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#### Abstract

Robinson's generalized Newton's method for nonlinear functions with values in a cone is extended to mappings on Riemannian manifolds with values in a cone. When $\mathcal{D} f$ satisfies the $L$-average Lipschitz condition, we use the majorizing function technique to establish the semi-local quadratic convergence of the sequences generated by the extended Newton's method. As applications, we also obtain Kantorovich's type theorem, Smale's type theorem under the $\gamma$-condition and an extension of the theory of Smale's approximate zeros.


## 1. Introduction

In a Banach space, systems of nonlinear equalities and inequalities appear in a wide variety of problems in applied mathematics. These systems play a central role in the model formulation design and analysis of numerical techniques employed in solving problems arising in optimization, complementarity, and variational inequalities (see [5, 8, 7, 27, 31]). Newton's method is a well-known and very powerful technique for solving nonlinear systems of equations. One of the most important results on Newton's method is Kantorovich's theorem [17, 18], which provides a simple and clear criterion, based on the knowledge of the first derivative around the initial point, ensuring the existence, uniqueness of the solution of the equation and the quadratic convergence of Newton's method. Another important result on Newton's method is Smale's point estimate theory (i.e., $\alpha$-theory and $\gamma$-theory) in

[^0][2, 32], where the notion of an approximate zero was introduced and the rules to judge an initial point to be an approximate zero were established, depending only on the information of the analytic nonlinear operator at initial point $x_{0}$ and a solution $x^{*}$, respectively. There are a lot of works on the weakness and/or extension of the continuity made on the first derivatives of the operators, see for example, $[10,11,14,15,37]$ and references therein. It should be noted that Wang introduced in [37] the notions of Lipschitz conditions with $L$-average to unify both Kantorovich's and Smale's criteria.

Newton's method has been extended to solve nonlinear systems of equalities and inequalities in finite-dimensional space (see [5, 27]). Furthermore, Robinson generalized in [31] Newton's method to solve the inclusion problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x) \in K \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K$ is a nonempty closed convex cone in a Banach space $Y$, and $f$ is a function from a reflexive Banach space $X$ into $Y$. The usual Newton's method corresponds to the special case when $K$ is the degenerate cone $\{0\} \subset Y$. The extended Newton's method to solve (1.1) presented in [31] is as follows:

Algorithm A. Let $x_{0} \in X$ be given. For $k=0,1, \cdots$, having $x_{0}, x_{1}, \cdots, x_{k}$, determine $x_{k+1}$ as follows. If $\Delta\left(x_{k}\right) \neq \emptyset$, choose $w_{k} \in \Delta\left(x_{k}\right)$ such that

$$
\left\|w_{k}\right\|:=\min \left\{\|w\| \mid w \in \Delta\left(x_{k}\right)\right\}
$$

and set $x_{k+1}=x_{k}+w_{k}$, where, for each $x \in X, \Delta(x)$ is defined by

$$
\Delta(x):=\left\{w \in X \mid f(x)+f^{\prime}(x) w \in K\right\} .
$$

In [31], the Kantorovich type theorem was established for the Algorithm A to solve (1.1). Furthermore, the Gauss-Newton method has been extended to solve convex composite optimization (see [3, 4, 20, 24] and the reference therein). Especially, Li and Ng [20] considered an extension of the Gauss-Newton method to convex composite optimization and use the majorizing function technique to establish the semi-local linear/quadratic convergence of the sequences generated by the generalized Gauss-Newton method, which includes the Kantorovich type theorem and Smale's type theorem as special cases.

Recently, there has been an increased interest in studying numerical algorithms on manifolds for there are a lot of numerical problems posed in manifolds arising in many natural contexts, see for example [1, 19, 25, 33, 34]. In particular, in a Riemannian manifold framework, Newton's method is still a main method to solve these problems. An analogue of the well-known Kantorovich's theorem was given in [12] for Newton's method for vector fields on Riemannian manifolds while extensions of the famous Smale's $\alpha$-theory and $\gamma$-theory in [32] to analytic vector fields
and analytic mappings on Riemannian manifolds were respectively done in [6]. In the recent paper [22], the convergence criteria in [6] were improved by using the notion of the $\gamma$-condition to the vector fields and mappings on Riemannian manifolds. The radii of uniqueness balls of singular points of vector fields satisfying the $\gamma$-conditions were studied in [35], while the local behavior of Newton's method on Riemannian manifolds in paper [21]. Furthermore, in [23], a convergence criterion of Newton's method and the radii of the uniqueness balls of the singular points for sections on Riemannian manifolds, which is independent of the curvatures, are established under the assumption that the covariant derivatives of the sections satisfy a kind of the L-average Lipschitz condition.

The purpose of the present paper is to extend Robinson's generalized Newton's method for solving (1.1) to the inclusion problem on Riemannian manifolds, i.e., finding a point $p^{*} \in M$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(p^{*}\right) \in K, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K$ is a nonempty closed convex cone in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $f$ is a $C^{1}$ map from a manifold $M$ into $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. The extended Newton's method for the inclusion problem (1.2) is defined as follows.

Algorithm 1.1 Let $p_{0} \in M$ be given. For $k=0,1, \cdots$, having $p_{0}, p_{1}, \cdots, p_{k}$, determine $p_{k+1}$ as follows. If $\Lambda\left(p_{k}\right) \neq \emptyset$, choose $v_{k} \in \Lambda\left(p_{k}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|v_{k}\right\|:=\min \left\{\|v\| \| v \in \Lambda\left(p_{k}\right)\right\} \text { and set } p_{k+1}=\exp _{p_{k}} v_{k}, \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, for each $p \in M, \Lambda(p)$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda(p):=\left\{v \in T_{p} M \mid f(p)+\mathcal{D} f(p) v \in K\right\} . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the special case when $K=\{0\}$, Algorithm 1.1 reduces to Newton's method on Riemannian manifolds which has been extensively explored in [6, 12, 21, 22, 23, 35] and references therein.

The fact that the linear operator $\mathcal{D} f\left(p_{k}\right)$ is continuous and the assumption that $K$ is closed and convex, imply that $\Lambda\left(p_{k}\right)$ is a closed convex set. This, together with the fact that $T_{p_{k}} M$ is finite-dimensional, means that there exists $v_{k} \in \Lambda\left(p_{k}\right)$ such that $\left\|v_{k}\right\|=\min \left\{\|v\| \mid v \in \Lambda\left(p_{k}\right)\right\}$, whenever $\Lambda\left(p_{k}\right) \neq \emptyset$.

When $\mathcal{D} f$ satisfies the $L$-average Lipschitz condition, we use the majorizing function technique to establish the semi-local quadratic convergence of the sequences generated by the extended Newton's method. Applying the results to the special cases, we obtain the well-known Kantorovich type theorem, theorem under the $\gamma$-condition and Smale type theorem, respectively. Furthermore, an extension of the theory of Smale's approximate zeros to the setting of inclusion problems on Riemannian manifolds is provided.

## 2. Notions and Preliminaries

The notations and notions about smooth manifolds used in the present paper are standard, see for example [9].

Let $M$ be a complete connected $m$-dimensional Riemannian manifold with the Levi-Civita connection $\nabla$ on $M$. Let $p \in M$, and let $T_{p} M$ denote the tangent space at $p$ to $M$. Let $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ be the scalar product on $T_{p} M$ with the associated norm $\|\cdot\|_{p}$, where the subscript $p$ is sometimes omitted. For any two distinct elements $p, q \in M$, let $c:[0,1] \rightarrow M$ be a piecewise smooth curve connecting $p$ and $q$. Then the arc-length of $c$ is defined by $l(c):=\int_{0}^{1}\left\|c^{\prime}(t)\right\| \mathrm{d} t$, and the Riemannian distance from $p$ to $q$ by $d(p, q):=\inf _{c} l(c)$, where the infimum is taken over all piecewise smooth curves $c:[0,1] \rightarrow M$ connecting $p$ and $q$. Thus, by the HopfRinow Theorem (see [9]), ( $M, d$ ) is a complete metric space and the exponential map at $p, \exp _{p}: T_{p} M \rightarrow M$ is well-defined on $T_{p} M$.

Recall that a geodesic $c$ in $M$ connecting $p$ and $q$ is called a minimizing geodesic if its arc-length equals its Riemannian distance between $p$ and $q$. Clearly, a curve $c:[0,1] \rightarrow M$ is a minimizing geodesic connecting $p$ and $q$ if and only if there exists a vector $v \in T_{p} M$ such that $\|v\|=d(p, q)$ and $c(t)=\exp _{p}(t v)$ for each $t \in[0,1]$.

Let $c: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$ be a $C^{\infty}$ curve and let $P_{c, r}$, denote the parallel transport along $c$, which is defined by

$$
P_{c, c(b), c(a)}(v)=V(c(b)), \quad \forall a, b \in \mathbb{R} \text { and } v \in T_{c(a)} M
$$

where $V$ is the unique $C^{\infty}$ vector field satisfying $\nabla_{c^{\prime}(t)} V=0$ and $V(c(a))=v$. Then, for any $a, b \in \mathbb{R}, P_{c, c(b), c(a)}$ is an isometry from $T_{c(a)} M$ to $T_{c(b)} M$. Note that, for any $a, b, b_{1}, b_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
P_{c, c\left(b_{2}\right), c\left(b_{1}\right)} \circ P_{c, c\left(b_{1}\right), c(a)}=P_{c, c\left(b_{2}\right), c(a)} \quad \text { and } \quad P_{c, c(b), c(a)}^{-1}=P_{c, c(a), c(b)} .
$$

In particular, we write $P_{q, p}$ for $P_{c, q, p}$ in the case when $c$ is a minimizing geodesic connecting $p$ and $q$. Let $C^{1}(T M)$ denote the set of all the $C^{1}$-vector fields on $M$ and $C^{i}(M)$ the set of all $C^{i}$-mappings from $M$ to $\mathbb{R}\left(i=0,1\right.$, where $C^{0}$-mappings mean continuous mappings), respectively. Let $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a $C^{1}$ mapping such that

$$
f=\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, \cdots, f_{n}\right)
$$

with $f_{i} \in C^{1}(M)$ for each $i=1,2, \cdots, n$. Let $\nabla$ be the Levi-Civita connection on $M$, and let $X \in C^{1}(T M)$. Following [6] (see also [23]), the derivative of $f$ along the vector field $X$ is defined by

$$
\nabla_{X} f=\left(\nabla_{X} f_{1}, \nabla_{X} f_{2}, \cdots, \nabla_{X} f_{n}\right)=\left(X\left(f_{1}\right), X\left(f_{2}\right), \cdots, X\left(f_{n}\right)\right) .
$$

Thus, the derivative of $f$ is a mapping $\mathcal{D} f:\left(C^{1}(T M)\right) \rightarrow C^{0}(M)$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D} f(X)=\nabla_{X} f \quad \text { for each } X \in C^{1}(T M) . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We use $\mathcal{D} f(p)$ to denote the derivative of $f$ at $p$. Let $v \in T_{p} M$. Taking $X \in$ $C^{1}(T M)$ such that $X(p)=v$, and any nontrivial smooth curve $c:(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \rightarrow M$ with $c(0)=p$ and $c^{\prime}(0)=v$, one has that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D} f(p) v:=\mathcal{D} f(X)(p)=\nabla_{X} f(p)=\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}(f \circ c)(t)\right)_{t=0} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which only depends on the tangent vector $v$.
Another important link of the present study relates to the concept of convex process, which was introduced by Rockafellar [28, 29] for convexity problems (see also Robinson [30] ).

Definition 2.1. Let $E$ be a Banach space, and let $W: E \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{R}^{n}}$ be a set-valued mapping. $W$ is called a convex process from $E$ to $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ if it satisfies
(i) $W(x+y) \supseteq W x+W y$ for all $x, y \in E$;
(ii) $W \lambda x=\lambda W x$ for all $\lambda>0, x \in E$;
(iii) $0 \in W 0$.

As usual, the domain, range, and inverse of a convex process $W$ are respectively denoted by $\mathrm{D}(W), \mathrm{R}(W), W^{-1}$; i.e.,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{D}(W):=\{x \in E \mid W x \neq \emptyset\}, \\
& \mathrm{R}(W):=\cup\{W x \mid x \in \mathrm{D}(W)\}, \\
& W^{-1} y:=\{x \in E \mid y \in W x\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Obviously, $W^{-1}$ is a convex process from $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ to $E$. The norm of a convex process $W$ is defined by

$$
\|W\|:=\sup \{\|W x\| \mid x \in \mathrm{D}(W),\|x\| \leq 1\}
$$

where, following [30,20], for a set $G$ in a Banach space, $\|G\|$ denotes its distance to the origin, that is,

$$
\|G\|:=\inf \{\|a\| \mid \quad a \in G\} .
$$

The convex process $W$ is said to be normed if $\|W\|<+\infty$. The following proposition is taken from [31] and will be useful.

Lemma 2.1. Let $p_{0} \in M$ be such that $W_{p_{0}}$ carries $T_{p_{0}} M$ onto $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Then the following assertions hold:
(i) $W_{p_{0}}^{-1}$ is normed.
(ii) If $Q$ is a linear transformation from $T_{p_{0}} M$ to $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that $\left\|W_{p_{0}}^{-1}\right\|\|Q\|<1$, then the convex process $\bar{W}$ defined by

$$
\bar{W}:=W_{p_{0}}+Q
$$

carries $T_{p_{0}} M$ onto $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Furthermore, $\bar{W}^{-1}$ is normed and

$$
\left\|\bar{W}^{-1}\right\| \leq \frac{\left\|W_{p_{0}}^{-1}\right\|}{1-\left\|W_{p_{0}}^{-1}\right\|\|Q\|}
$$

## 3. Convergence Criterion

Let $Z$ be a Banach space or a Riemannian manifold. We use $\mathbf{B}_{Z}(p, r)$ and $\overline{\mathbf{B}_{Z}(p, r)}$ to denote respectively the open metric ball and the closed metric ball at $p$ with radius $r$, that is,

$$
\mathbf{B}_{Z}(p, r):=\{q \in Z \mid d(p, q)<r\} \quad \text { and } \quad \overline{\mathbf{B}_{Z}(p, r)}:=\{q \in Z \mid d(p, q) \leq r\} .
$$

We often omit the subscript $Z$ if no confusion occurs. Let $p \in Z$ and $G$ be a subset of $Z$. Then the distance of $p$ to $G$ is denoted by $d(p, G)$ and defined by

$$
d(p, G):=\inf \{d(p, q) \mid q \in G\} .
$$

Let $L$ be a positive valued nondecreasing integrable function on $[0,+\infty)$. The notion of Lipschitz condition with the $L$ average for operators from Banach spaces to Banch spaces was first introduced in [37] by Wang for the study of Smale's point estimate theory, where the terminology "the center Lipschitz condition in the inscribed sphere with $L$ average" was used (see [37]). Recently, this notion has been extended and applied to sections on Riemannian manifolds in [23]. Note that a mapping $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a special example of sections. Definition 3.1 below is a slight modification of the corresponding one in [23] for mappings on Riemannian manifolds. Let $f$ be a $C^{1}$ mapping, and let $p \in M$. We define a set-valued mapping $W_{p}$ from $T_{p} M$ into $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{p} v:=\mathcal{D} f(p) v-K \quad \text { for each } v \in T_{p} M \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $K$ is a closed convex cone, $W_{p}$ is a convex process. Obviously, for each $p \in M, \mathrm{D}\left(W_{p}\right)=T_{p} M$. The inverse of $W_{p}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{p}^{-1} y:=\left\{v \in T_{p} M \mid \quad \mathcal{D} f(p) v \in y+K\right\} \quad \text { for each } y \in \mathbb{R}^{n} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$W_{p}$ is said to carry $T_{p} M$ onto $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ if $\mathrm{D}\left(W_{p}^{-1}\right)=\mathbb{R}^{n}$. By Lemma 2.1 , if $W_{p}$ carries $T_{p} M$ onto $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ then $W_{p}^{-1}$ is normed. In the remainder of this paper, we always assume that $p_{0} \in M$ such that $W_{p_{0}}$ carries $T_{p_{0}} M$ onto $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.

Definition 3.1. Let $r>0 . \mathcal{D} f$ is said to satisfy
(i) the center L-average Lipschitz condition on $\mathbf{B}\left(p_{0}, r\right)$, if for any point $p \in$ $\mathbf{B}\left(p_{0}, r\right)$ and any geodesic $c$ connecting $p_{0}, p$ with $l(c)<r$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|W_{p_{0}}^{-1}\right\| \cdot\left\|\mathcal{D} f(p) P_{c, p, p_{0}}-\mathcal{D} f\left(p_{0}\right)\right\| \leq \int_{0}^{l(c)} L(u) \mathrm{d} u \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) the L-average Lipschitz condition on $\mathbf{B}\left(p_{0}, r\right)$, if for any two points $p, q \in$ $\mathbf{B}\left(p_{0}, r\right)$ and any geodesic $c$ connecting $p, q$ with $d\left(p_{0}, p\right)+l(c)<r$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|W_{p_{0}}^{-1}\right\| \cdot\left\|\mathcal{D} f(q) P_{c, q, p}-\mathcal{D} f(p)\right\| \leq \int_{d\left(p_{0}, p\right)}^{d\left(p_{0}, p\right)+l(c)} L(u) \mathrm{d} u \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obviously, the $L$-average Lipschitz condition implies the center $L$-average Lipschitz condition. Let $r_{0}>0$ be such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{r_{0}} L(u) \mathrm{d} u=1 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have the following lemma.
Lemma 3.1. Let $0<r \leq r_{0}$. Suppose that $\mathcal{D} f$ satisfies the center L-average Lipschitz condition on $\mathbf{B}\left(p_{0}, r\right)$. Then, for each point $p \in \mathbf{B}\left(p_{0}, r\right)$ and any geodesic $c$ connecting $p_{0}, p$ with $l(c)<r, W_{p}$ carries $T_{p} M$ onto $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|W_{p}^{-1}\right\| \leq \frac{\left\|W_{p_{0}}^{-1}\right\|}{1-\int_{0}^{l(c)} L(u) \mathrm{d} u} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since $\mathcal{D} f$ satisfies the center $L$-average Lipschitz condition on $\mathbf{B}\left(p_{0}, r\right)$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|W_{p_{0}}^{-1}\right\| \cdot\left\|\mathcal{D} f(p) P_{c, p, p_{0}}-\mathcal{D} f\left(p_{0}\right)\right\| \leq \int_{0}^{l(c)} L(u) \mathrm{d} u<\int_{0}^{r_{0}} L(u) \mathrm{d} u=1 \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

For each $v \in T_{p} M$, the convex process

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{p} v=\mathcal{D} f(p) v-K=\left[\mathcal{D} f\left(p_{0}\right) P_{c, p_{0}, p}+\left(\mathcal{D} f(p)-\mathcal{D} f\left(p_{0}\right) P_{c, p_{0}, p}\right)\right] v-K \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $P_{c, p_{0}, p}$ is an isomorphism from $T_{p} M$ to $T_{p_{0}} M$ (see [16, p.30]), $W_{p_{0}} \circ P_{c, p_{0}, p}$ is a convex process from $T_{p} M$ into $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $\mathcal{D} f(p)-\mathcal{D} f\left(p_{0}\right) P_{c, p_{0}, p}$ is a linear transformation from $T_{p} M$ to $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Moreover, $\left\|W_{p_{0}} \circ P_{c, p_{0}, p}\right\|=\left\|W_{p_{0}}\right\|$ and $\| \mathcal{D} f(p)-$ $\mathcal{D} f\left(p_{0}\right) P_{c, p_{0}, p}\|=\| \mathcal{D} f(p) P_{c, p, p_{0}}-\mathcal{D} f\left(p_{0}\right) \|$ thanks to the fact that $P_{c, p_{0}, p}$ is an
isometry (see [9, p.56]). Thus, by (3.7) and (3.8), Lemma 2.1 is applicable to concluding that $W_{p}$ carries $T_{p} M$ onto $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and

$$
\left\|W_{p}^{-1}\right\| \leq \frac{\left\|W_{p_{0}}^{-1}\right\|}{1-\left\|W_{p_{0}}^{-1}\right\|\left\|\mathcal{D} f(p) P_{c, p, p_{0}}-\mathcal{D} f\left(p_{0}\right)\right\|} \leq \frac{\left\|W_{p_{0}}^{-1}\right\|}{1-\int_{0}^{d\left(p_{0}, p\right)} L(u) \mathrm{d} u}
$$

The proof is complete.
Let $b>0$ be such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
b=\int_{0}^{r_{0}} L(u) u \mathrm{~d} u \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and let $\xi>0$. For our main theorem, we define the majorizing function $\phi$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(t)=\xi-t+\int_{0}^{t} L(u)(t-u) \mathrm{d} u \quad \text { for each } t \geq 0 \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{\prime}(t)=-1+\int_{0}^{t} L(u) \mathrm{d} u \quad \text { and } \quad \phi^{\prime \prime}(t)=L(t) \quad \text { for a.e. } t \geq 0 . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $t_{n}$ denote the Newton sequence for $\phi$ with initial point $t_{0}=0$ generated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{n+1}=t_{n}-\phi^{\prime}\left(t_{n}\right)^{-1} \phi\left(t_{n}\right) \quad \text { for each } n=0,1, \cdots . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, by (3.10), (3.11) and (3.12), one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{1}-t_{0}=\xi . \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following lemmas will play a key role in the present paper, which are known in [37] (see also [20]).

Lemma 3.2. Suppose that $0<\xi \leq b$. Then the following assertions hold:
(i) $\phi$ is strictly decreasing on $\left[0, r_{0}\right]$ and strictly increasing on $\left[r_{0},+\infty\right)$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(\xi)>0, \quad \phi\left(r_{0}\right)=\xi-b \leq 0, \quad \phi(+\infty) \geq \xi>0 . \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, if $\xi<b$, $\phi$ has two zeros, denoted respectively by $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi<r_{1}<\frac{r_{0}}{b} \xi<r_{0}<r_{2}, \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and if $\xi=b$, then $\phi$ has a unique zero $r_{1}$ in $(\xi,+\infty)$ (in fact $r_{1}=r_{0}$ ).
(ii) The sequence $\left\{t_{n}\right\}$ generated by (3.12) is strictly increasing and converges to $r_{1}$.
(iii) The convergence of $\left\{t_{n}\right\}$ is of quadratic rate if $\xi<b$, and linear if $\xi=b$.

Lemma 3.3. Let $0 \leq c<+\infty$. Define

$$
\chi(t)=\frac{1}{t^{2}} \int_{0}^{t} L(c+u)(t-u) \mathrm{d} u \quad \text { for each } 0 \leq t<+\infty
$$

Then $\chi$ is increasing on $[0,+\infty)$.
Now we are ready to prove the main theorem.
Theorem 3.1. Suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi:=\left\|W_{p_{0}}^{-1}\right\| d\left(f\left(p_{0}\right), K\right) \leq b \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and that $\mathcal{D} f$ satisfies the L-average Lipschitz condition on $\mathbf{B}\left(p_{0}, r_{1}\right)$. Then the sequence $\left\{p_{k}\right\}$ generated by Algorithm 1.1 with initial point $p_{0}$ is well-defined and converges to a solution $p^{*} \in \overline{\mathbf{B}\left(p_{0}, r_{1}\right)}$ of the inclusion problem (1.2). Moreover, if $\left\{v_{k}\right\}$ and $\left\{p_{k}\right\}$ are sequences generated by (1.3), then the following assertions hold for each $n=1,2, \cdots$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& d\left(p_{k}, p_{k-1}\right) \leq\left\|v_{k-1}\right\| \leq t_{k}-t_{k-1}  \tag{3.17}\\
& \left\|v_{k}\right\| \leq\left(t_{k+1}-t_{k}\right)\left(\frac{\left\|v_{k-1}\right\|}{t_{k}-t_{k-1}}\right)^{2} \tag{3.18}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(p_{k-1}, p^{*}\right) \leq r_{1}-t_{k-1} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Proof.

Below, we verify the following implication:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (3.17) holds for all } k=1, \cdots, n \Longrightarrow \text { (3.18) holds for } k=n \text {. } \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that for each $j=1, \cdots, n$,

$$
d\left(p_{j}, p_{0}\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{j} d\left(p_{i}, p_{i-1}\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{j}\left(t_{i}-t_{i-1}\right)=t_{j}<r_{1}
$$

Hence, $p_{n} \in \mathbf{B}\left(p_{0}, r_{1}\right)$. Thus, Lemma 3.1 is applicable to concluding that $W_{p_{n}}$ carries $T_{p_{n}} M$ onto $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|W_{p_{n}}^{-1}\right\| \leq \frac{\left\|W_{p_{0}}^{-1}\right\|}{1-\int_{0}^{d\left(p_{0}, p_{n}\right)} L(u) \mathrm{d} u} \leq \frac{\left\|W_{p_{0}}^{-1}\right\|}{1-\int_{0}^{t_{n}} L(u) \mathrm{d} u} \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, $\Lambda\left(p_{n}\right) \neq \emptyset$ and so there exists $v_{n} \in \Lambda\left(p_{n}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|v_{n}\right\|=\min \left\{\|v\| \mid v \in \Lambda\left(p_{n}\right)\right\} \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, $p_{n+1}$ exists. Now consider the problem of finding $v \in T_{p_{n}} M$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(p_{n}\right)+\mathcal{D} f\left(p_{n}\right) v \in f\left(p_{n-1}\right)+\mathcal{D} f\left(p_{n-1}\right) v_{n-1}+K \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $v_{n-1} \in \Lambda\left(p_{n-1}\right)$, we have $f\left(p_{n-1}\right)+\mathcal{D} f\left(p_{n-1}\right) v_{n-1} \in K$. Thus,

$$
\Lambda\left(p_{n}\right)=\left\{v \in T_{p_{n}} M \mid f\left(p_{n}\right)+\mathcal{D} f\left(p_{n}\right) v \in f\left(p_{n-1}\right)+\mathcal{D} f\left(p_{n-1}\right) v_{n-1}+K\right\} .
$$

This together with (3.22) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{n} \in W_{p_{n}}^{-1}\left[-f\left(p_{n}\right)+f\left(p_{n-1}\right)+\mathcal{D} f\left(p_{n-1}\right) v_{n-1}\right] . \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|v_{n}\right\| \leq\left\|W_{p_{n}}^{-1}\right\|\left\|-f\left(p_{n}\right)+f\left(p_{n-1}\right)+\mathcal{D} f\left(p_{n-1}\right) v_{n-1}\right\| . \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $c_{n}$ be the geodesic connecting $p_{n-1}, p_{n}$ such that $c_{n}(t)=\exp _{p_{n-1}}\left(t v_{n-1}\right)$ for each $t \in[0,1]$. Noting that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -f\left(p_{n}\right)+f\left(p_{n-1}\right)+\mathcal{D} f\left(p_{n-1}\right) v_{n-1} \\
= & -\left[f\left(p_{n}\right)-f\left(p_{n-1}\right)-\mathcal{D} f\left(p_{n-1}\right) v_{n-1}\right] \\
= & -\int_{0}^{1}\left[\mathcal{D} f\left(c_{n}(\tau)\right) P_{c_{n}, c_{n}(\tau), p_{n-1}}-\mathcal{D} f\left(p_{n-1}\right)\right] v_{n-1} \mathrm{~d} \tau,
\end{aligned}
$$

one has from (3.4) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|W_{p_{0}}^{-1}\right\|\left\|-f\left(p_{n}\right)+f\left(p_{n-1}\right)+\mathcal{D} f\left(p_{n-1}\right) v_{n-1}\right\| \\
\leq & \int_{0}^{1}\left\|W_{p_{0}}^{-1}\right\|\left\|\mathcal{D} f\left(c_{n}(\tau)\right) P_{c_{n}, c_{n}(\tau), p_{n-1}}-\mathcal{D} f\left(p_{n-1}\right)\right\|\left\|v_{n-1}\right\| \mathrm{d} \tau \\
\leq & \int_{0}^{1} \int_{d\left(p_{n-1}, p_{0}\right)+\tau\left\|v_{n-1}\right\|}^{d\left(u p_{n-1}\right) \mathrm{d} u\left\|v_{n-1}\right\| \mathrm{d} \tau}  \tag{3.26}\\
= & \int_{0}^{\left\|v_{n-1}\right\|} L\left(d\left(p_{n-1}, p_{0}\right)+u\right)\left(\left\|v_{n-1}\right\|-u\right) \mathrm{d} u \\
\leq & \int_{0}^{\left\|v_{n-1}\right\|} L\left(t_{n-1}+u\right)\left(\left\|v_{n-1}\right\|-u\right) \mathrm{d} u .
\end{align*}
$$

Since (3.17) holds for $k=n$, we obtains from Lemma 3.3 that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\int_{0}^{\left\|v_{n-1}\right\|} L\left(t_{n-1}+u\right)\left(\left\|v_{n-1}\right\|-u\right) \mathrm{d} u}{\left\|v_{n-1}\right\|^{2}}  \tag{3.27}\\
\leq & \frac{\int_{0}^{t_{n}-t_{n-1}} L\left(t_{n-1}+u\right)\left(t_{n}-t_{n-1}-u\right) \mathrm{d} u}{\left(t_{n}-t_{n-1}\right)^{2}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, combining (3.21), (3.25), (3.26) and (3.27) yields that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|v_{n}\right\| \leq \frac{\int_{0}^{t_{n}-t_{n-1}} L\left(t_{n-1}+u\right)\left(t_{n}-t_{n-1}-u\right) \mathrm{d} u}{1-\int_{0}^{t_{n}} L(u) \mathrm{d} u} \frac{\left\|v_{n-1}\right\|^{2}}{\left(t_{n}-t_{n-1}\right)^{2}} \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi\left(t_{n}\right) & =\phi\left(t_{n}\right)-\phi\left(t_{n-1}\right)-\phi^{\prime}\left(t_{n-1}\right)\left(t_{n}-t_{n-1}\right) \\
& =\int_{0}^{1}\left[\phi^{\prime}\left(t_{n-1}+\tau\left(t_{n}-t_{n-1}\right)\right)-\phi^{\prime}\left(t_{n-1}\right)\right] \mathrm{d} \tau\left(t_{n}-t_{n-1}\right) \\
& =\int_{0}^{1} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_{n-1}+\tau\left(t_{n}-t_{n-1}\right)} L(u) \mathrm{d} u\left\|v_{n-1}\right\| \mathrm{d} \tau \\
& =\int_{0}^{t_{n}-t_{n-1}} L\left(t_{n-1}+u\right)\left(\left(t_{n}-t_{n-1}\right)-u\right) \mathrm{d} u .
\end{aligned}
$$

This, together with (3.28), implies that

$$
\left\|v_{n}\right\| \leq\left(-\phi^{\prime}\left(t_{n}\right)^{-1} \phi\left(t_{n}\right)\right)\left(\frac{\left\|v_{n-1}\right\|}{t_{n}-t_{n-1}}\right)^{2}=\left(t_{n}-t_{n-1}\right)\left(\frac{\left\|v_{n-1}\right\|}{t_{n}-t_{n-1}}\right)^{2} .
$$

Consequently, the implication (3.20) holds. Clearly, if (3.17) holds for each $k=$ $1,2, \cdots$, then $\left\{p_{k}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence by the monotonicity of $\left\{t_{n}\right\}$ and hence converges to some point $p^{*} \in \overline{\mathbf{B}\left(p_{0}, r_{1}\right)}$. Thus, (3.19) is clear. Moreover, for arbitrary $k$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[f\left(p_{k+1}\right)-f\left(p^{*}\right)\right]-\left[f\left(p_{k+1}\right)-f\left(p_{k}\right)-\mathcal{D} f\left(p_{k}\right) v_{k}\right] \in K-f\left(p^{*}\right) . \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

The continuity assumptions imply that the left-hand side of (3.29) approaches zero, and since $K-f\left(p^{*}\right)$ is closed, we have $0 \in K-f\left(p^{*}\right)$. So $f\left(p^{*}\right) \in K$. Therefore, to complete the proof, we only need to prove that (3.17) and (3.18) hold for each $k=1,2, \cdots$. We proceed by mathematical induction. Since $W_{p_{0}}$ carries $T_{p_{0}} M$ onto $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, we have

$$
\Lambda\left(p_{0}\right)=\left\{v \in T_{p_{0}} M \mid f\left(p_{0}\right)+\mathcal{D} f\left(p_{0}\right) v \in K\right\} \neq \emptyset .
$$

Thus, there exists $v_{0} \in \Lambda\left(p_{0}\right)$ such that $\left\|v_{0}\right\|=\min \left\{\|v\| \mid v \in \Lambda\left(p_{0}\right)\right\}$. Below we show that $\left\|v_{0}\right\| \leq \xi$. To proceed, let $c \in K$, and let $v \in W_{p_{0}}^{-1}\left(c-f\left(p_{0}\right)\right)$. Then by (3.2), we have that

$$
\mathcal{D} f\left(p_{0}\right) v \in c-f\left(p_{0}\right)+K \subseteq-f\left(p_{0}\right)+K
$$

and so $f\left(p_{0}\right)+\mathcal{D} f\left(p_{0}\right) v \in K$, that is, $v \in \Lambda\left(p_{0}\right)$. Hence, $W_{p_{0}}^{-1}\left(c-f\left(p_{0}\right)\right) \subseteq \Lambda\left(p_{0}\right)$. Thus,

$$
d\left(0, \Lambda\left(p_{0}\right)\right) \leq\left\|W_{p_{0}}^{-1}\left(c-f\left(p_{0}\right)\right)\right\| \leq\left\|W_{p_{0}}^{-1}\right\|\left\|c-f\left(p_{0}\right)\right\|
$$

Since this is valid for each $c \in K$, it follows that

$$
\left\|v_{0}\right\|=d\left(0, \Lambda\left(p_{0}\right)\right) \leq\left\|W_{p_{0}}^{-1}\right\| d\left(f\left(p_{0}\right), K\right)=\xi
$$

Hence,

$$
d\left(p_{1}, p_{0}\right) \leq\left\|v_{0}\right\| \leq \xi=t_{1}-t_{0}
$$

i.e., (3.17) holds for $k=1$. Then, by (3.20), (3.18) holds for $k=1$. Furthermore, assume that (3.17) and (3.18) hold for all $1 \leq k \leq n$. Then

$$
\left\|v_{n}\right\| \leq\left(t_{n+1}-t_{n}\right)\left(\frac{\left\|v_{n-1}\right\|}{t_{n}-t_{n-1}}\right)^{2} \leq t_{n+1}-t_{n}
$$

This shows that (3.17) holds for $k=n+1$, and hence (3.17) holds for all $k$ with $1 \leq k \leq n+1$. Thus, (3.20) implies that (3.18) holds for $k=n+1$. Therefore, (3.17) and (3.18) hold for each $k=1,2, \cdots$. The proof is complete.

## 4. Special Cases and Applications

This section is devoted to four applications of the result in the previous section. The first three are concerned with Kantorovich's type theorem, theorem under the $\gamma$-condition and Smale's type theorem, respectively. The last one is concerned with an extension of the theory of Smale's approximate zeros to the setting of inclusion problems on Riemannian manifolds.

### 4.1. Kantorovich's type theorem

Throughout this subsection, we assume that the function $L$ is a positive constant function. Recall from [12] that $\mathcal{D} f$ is Lipschitz continuous on $\mathbf{B}\left(p_{0}, r\right)$ with modulus $\lambda>0$ if for any two points $p, q \in \mathbf{B}\left(p_{0}, r\right)$ and any geodesic $c:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbf{B}\left(p_{0}, r\right)$ connecting $p, q$ with $c(0)=p, c(1)=q$, one has

$$
\left\|\mathcal{D} f(q) P_{c, q, p}-\mathcal{D} f(p)\right\| \leq \lambda l(c)
$$

Clearly, if $\mathcal{D} f$ is Lipschitz continuous on $\mathbf{B}\left(p_{0}, r\right)$ with modulus $\lambda$, then $\mathcal{D} f$ satisfies $L$-average Lipschitz condition on $\mathbf{B}\left(p_{0}, r\right)$ with Lipschitz constant $L=\lambda\left\|W_{p_{0}}^{-1}\right\|$, i.e., if for any two points $p, q \in \mathbf{B}\left(p_{0}, r\right)$ and any geodesic $c:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbf{B}\left(p_{0}, r\right)$ connecting $p, q$ with $c(0)=p, c(1)=q$ and $d\left(p_{0}, p\right)+l(c)<r$, one has

$$
\left\|W_{p_{0}}^{-1}\right\|\left\|\mathcal{D} f(q) P_{c, q, p}-\mathcal{D} f(p)\right\| \leq \lambda\left\|W_{p_{0}}^{-1}\right\| l(c)
$$

The corresponding majorizing function $\phi$ reduces to a quadratic function, i.e.,

$$
\phi(t)=\xi-t+\frac{\lambda\left\|W_{p_{0}}^{-1}\right\|}{2} t^{2} \quad \text { for each } t \geq 0
$$

Then by (3.9),

$$
r_{0}=\frac{1}{\lambda\left\|W_{p_{0}}^{-1}\right\|} \quad \text { and } \quad b=\frac{1}{2 \lambda\left\|W_{p_{0}}^{-1}\right\|} .
$$

Moreover, if $\xi \leq \frac{1}{2 \lambda\left\|W_{p_{0}}^{-1}\right\|}$, the zeros of $\phi$ are equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{1}=\frac{1-\sqrt{1-2 \lambda\left\|W_{p_{0}}^{-1}\right\| \xi}}{\lambda\left\|W_{p_{0}}^{-1}\right\|} \quad \text { and } \quad r_{2}=\frac{1+\sqrt{1-2 \lambda\left\|W_{p_{0}}^{-1}\right\| \xi}}{\lambda\left\|W_{p_{0}}^{-1}\right\|} . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Newton sequence $\left\{t_{n}\right\}$ for $\phi$ with $t_{0}=0$ (see. [13, 26, 36, 37]) satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{1}-t_{n}=\frac{(1-\rho) \rho^{2^{n}-1}}{1-\rho^{2^{n}}} r_{1} \quad \text { for each } n=0,1, \cdots, \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho=\frac{1-\sqrt{1-2 \lambda\left\|W_{p_{0}}^{-1}\right\| \xi}}{1+\sqrt{1-2 \lambda\left\|W_{p_{0}}^{-1}\right\| \xi}}$.
Thus, the following corollary is a trivial application of Theorem 3.1.
Corollary 4.1. Suppose that

$$
\xi=\left\|W_{p_{0}}^{-1}\right\| d\left(f\left(p_{0}\right), K\right) \leq \frac{1}{2 \lambda\left\|W_{p_{0}}^{-1}\right\|}
$$

and that $\mathcal{D} f$ satisfies the Lipschitz continuous on $\mathbf{B}\left(p_{0}, r_{1}\right)$ with modulus $\lambda$. Then the sequence $\left\{p_{k}\right\}$ generated by Algorithm 1.1 with initial point $p_{0}$ is well-defined and converges to a solution $p^{*} \in \overline{\mathbf{B}\left(p_{0}, r_{1}\right)}$ of the inclusion problem (1.2). Moreover, if $\left\{p_{k}\right\}$ is the sequence generated by (1.3), then for each $n=0,1, \cdots$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(p_{n}, p^{*}\right) \leq \frac{(1-\rho) \rho^{2^{n}-1}}{1-\rho^{2^{n}}} r_{1} \leq \rho^{2^{n}-1} r_{1} . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 4.2. Theorem under the $\gamma$-condition

Let $k, \kappa$ be positive integers such that $k \leq \kappa$. Let $f$ be a $C^{\kappa}$-mapping. Following [23], define inductively the derivative of order $k$ for $f$. Recall that $\nabla$ is the LeviCivita connection on $M$. Let $C^{\kappa}(T M)$ denote the set of all the $C^{\kappa}$-vector fields on $M$ and $C^{\kappa}(M)$ the set of all $C^{\kappa}$-mappings from $M$ to $\mathbb{R}$, respectively.

Recall from (2.1) that the map $\mathcal{D}^{1} f=\mathcal{D} f:\left(C^{\kappa}(T M)\right)^{1} \rightarrow C^{\kappa-1}(M)$ is defined by

$$
\mathcal{D} f(X)=\nabla_{X}(f) \quad \text { for each } X \in C^{\kappa}(T M) .
$$

Define the map $\mathcal{D}^{k} f:\left(C^{\kappa}(T M)\right)^{k} \rightarrow C^{\kappa-k}(M)$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{D}^{k} f\left(X_{1}, \cdots, X_{k-1}, X_{k}\right)= & \nabla_{X_{k}}\left(\mathcal{D}^{k-1} f\left(X_{1}, \cdots, X_{k-1}\right)\right) \\
& -\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \mathcal{D}^{k-1} f\left(X_{1}, \cdots, \nabla_{X_{k}} X_{i}, \cdots, X_{k-1}\right) \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

for each $X_{1}, \cdots, X_{k-1}, X_{k} \in C^{\kappa}(T M)$. Then, one can use mathematical induction to prove easily that $\mathcal{D}^{k} f\left(X_{1}, \cdots, X_{k}\right)$ is tensorial with respect to each component $X_{i}$, that is, $k$ multi-linear map from $\left(C^{\kappa}(T M)\right)^{k}$ to $C^{\kappa-k}(M)$, where the linearity refers to the structure of $C^{k}(M)$-module. This implies that the value of $\mathcal{D}^{k} f\left(X_{1}, \cdots, X_{k}\right)$ at $p \in M$ only depends on the $k$-tuple of tangent vectors $\left(v_{1}, \cdots, v_{k}\right)=\left(X_{1}(p), \cdots, X_{k}(p)\right) \in\left(T_{p} M\right)^{k}$. Consequently, for a given $p \in M$, the map $\mathcal{D}^{k} f(p):\left(T_{p} M\right)^{k} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$, defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}^{k} f(p) v_{1} \cdots v_{k}:=\mathcal{D}^{k} f\left(X_{1}, \cdots, X_{k}\right)(p) \text { for any }\left(v_{1}, \cdots, v_{k}\right) \in\left(T_{p} M\right)^{k} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

is well-defined, where $X_{i} \in C^{\kappa}(T M)$ satisfy $X_{i}(p)=v_{i}$ for each $i=1, \cdots, k$.
Let $r>0$ and $\gamma>0$ be such that $r \gamma<1$.
Definition 4.1. $f$ is said to satisfy the $\gamma$-condition at $p_{0}$ in $\mathbf{B}\left(p_{0}, r\right)$, if for any two points $p, q \in \mathbf{B}\left(p_{0}, r\right)$, any geodesic $c$ connecting $p, q$ with $d\left(p_{0}, p\right)+l(c)<r$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|W_{p_{0}}^{-1}\right\|\left\|\mathcal{D}^{2} f(q)\right\| \leq \frac{2 \gamma}{\left(1-\gamma\left(d\left(p_{0}, p\right)+l(c)\right)\right)^{3}} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $L$ be the function defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(u)=\frac{2 \gamma}{(1-\gamma u)^{3}} \quad \text { for each } u \text { with } 0 \leq u<\frac{1}{\gamma} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following proposition shows that the $\gamma$-condition implies the $L$-average Lipschitz condition.

Proposition 4.1. Suppose that $f$ satisfies the $\gamma$-condition at $p_{0}$ in $\mathbf{B}\left(p_{0}, r\right)$. Then $\mathcal{D} f$ satisfies the L-average Lipschitz condition in $\mathbf{B}\left(p_{0}, r\right)$ with $L$ given by (4.7).

Proof. Let $p, q \in \mathbf{B}\left(p_{0}, r\right)$, and let $c$ be a geodesic connecting $p, q$ such that $d\left(p_{0}, p\right)+l(c)<r$. It is sufficient to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|W_{p_{0}}^{-1}\right\|\left\|\left(\mathcal{D} f(q) P_{c, q, p}-\mathcal{D} f(p)\right)\right\| \leq \int_{d\left(p_{0}, p\right)}^{d\left(p_{0}, p\right)+l(c)} \frac{2 \gamma}{(1-\gamma u)^{3}} \mathrm{~d} u \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $v \in T_{p} M$ be arbitrary. Then there exists a unique vector field $Y$ such that $Y(c(0))=v$ and $\nabla_{c^{\prime}(t)} Y=0$. Then $Y(c(s))=P_{c, c(s), p} v$ for each $s \in[0,1]$. Thus
by definition, one has that

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{D} f(q) P_{c, q, p} v-\mathcal{D} f(p) v & =\mathcal{D} f(Y)(q)-\mathcal{D} f(Y)(p) \\
& =\int_{0}^{1} \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{D} f(Y)(c(s))) c^{\prime}(s) \mathrm{d} s \tag{4.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\nabla_{c^{\prime}(s)} Y(c(s))=0$, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}^{2} f(c(s)) Y(c(s)) c^{\prime}(s) & =c^{\prime}(s)(\mathcal{D} f(Y)(c(s)))-\mathcal{D} f\left(\nabla_{c^{\prime}(s)} Y(c(s))\right) \\
& =\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{D} f(Y)(c(s))) c^{\prime}(s)
\end{aligned}
$$

This, together with (4.9), implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D} f(q) P_{c, q, p} v-\mathcal{D} f(p) v=\int_{0}^{1} \mathcal{D}^{2} f(c(s)) Y(c(s)) c^{\prime}(s) \mathrm{d} s \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Noting that $c$ is a geodesic connecting $p$ and $q$, there exists $\bar{v} \in T_{p} M$ such that $q=\exp _{p}(\bar{v})$ and $l(c)=\|\bar{v}\|$. It follows from (4.10) and (4.6) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|W_{p_{0}}^{-1}\right\|\left\|\mathcal{D} f(q) P_{c, q, p} v-\mathcal{D} f(p) v\right\| \\
\leq & \int_{0}^{1} \frac{2 \gamma}{\left(1-\gamma\left(d\left(p_{0}, p\right)+s\|\bar{v}\|\right)\right)^{3}}\|\bar{v}\|\|v\| \mathrm{d} s \\
= & \int_{d\left(p_{0}, p\right)}^{d\left(p_{0}, p\right)+l(c)} \frac{2 \gamma}{(1-\gamma u)^{3}} \mathrm{~d} u\|v\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

As $v \in T_{p} M$ is arbitrary, (4.8) is seen to hold.
Corresponding to the function $L$ given by (4.7), one has from (3.9) and elementary calculation (cf. [37]) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{0}=\frac{2-\sqrt{2}}{2 \gamma}, \quad b=\frac{3-2 \sqrt{2}}{\gamma} \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(t)=\xi-t+\frac{\gamma t^{2}}{1-\gamma t} \quad \text { for each } t \text { with } 0 \leq t<\frac{1}{\gamma} \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, from [37], we have the following lemma.
Lemma 4.1. Assume that $\xi \leq b$, namely,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma \xi \leq 3-2 \sqrt{2} \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the following assertions hold:
(i) $\phi$ has two zeros given by

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
r_{1}  \tag{4.14}\\
r_{2}
\end{array}\right\}=\frac{1+\gamma \xi \mp \sqrt{(1+\gamma \xi)^{2}-8 \gamma \xi}}{4 \gamma}
$$

(ii) the sequence $\left\{t_{n}\right\}$ generated by Newton's method for $\phi$ with the initial point $t_{0}=0$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{n}=\frac{1-\eta^{2^{n}-1}}{1-\eta^{2^{n}-1} \zeta} r_{1} \quad \text { for each } n=0,1, \cdots \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$\eta:=\frac{1-\gamma \xi-\sqrt{(1+\gamma \xi)^{2}-8 \gamma \xi}}{1-\gamma \xi+\sqrt{(1+\gamma \xi)^{2}-8 \gamma \xi}} \quad$ and $\quad \zeta:=\frac{1+\gamma \xi-\sqrt{(1+\gamma \xi)^{2}-8 \gamma \xi}}{1+\gamma \xi+\sqrt{(1+\gamma \xi)^{2}-8 \gamma \xi}}(4.16)$
(iii) we have
$\frac{t_{n+1}-t_{n}}{t_{n}-t_{n-1}}=\frac{1-\eta^{2^{n}}}{1-\eta^{2^{n-1}}} \cdot \frac{1-\eta^{2^{n-1}-1} \zeta}{1-\eta^{2^{n+1}-1} \zeta} \eta^{2^{n-1}} \leq \eta^{2^{n-1}} \quad$ for each $n=1,2, \cdots$ (
In view of Proposition 4.1 and Lemma 4.1, the following corollary follows directly from Theorem 3.1.

Corollary 4.2. Suppose that

$$
\xi=\left\|W_{p_{0}}^{-1}\right\| d\left(f\left(p_{0}\right), K\right) \leq \frac{3-2 \sqrt{2}}{\gamma}
$$

and that $f$ satisfies the $\gamma$-condition at $p_{0}$ in $\mathbf{B}\left(p_{0}, r_{1}\right)$. Then the sequence $\left\{p_{k}\right\}$ generated by Algorithm 1.1 with initial point $p_{0}$ is well-defined and converges to a solution $p^{*} \in \overline{\mathbf{B}\left(p_{0}, r_{1}\right)}$ of the inclusion problem (1.2). Moreover, if $\left\{v_{k}\right\}$ and $\left\{p_{k}\right\}$ are sequences generated by (1.3), then the following assertions hold:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|v_{k}\right\| \leq \eta^{2^{k-1}}\left\|v_{k-1}\right\| \quad \text { for each } k \geq 1 \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(p_{k}, p^{*}\right) \leq \eta^{2^{k}-1} r_{1} \quad \text { for each } k \geq 0 \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\eta$ is given by (4.16).

Proof. By Theorem 3.1, the sequence $\left\{p_{k}\right\}$ with initial point $p_{0}$ converges to a solution $p^{*} \in \overline{\mathbf{B}\left(p_{0}, r_{1}\right)}$ of the inclusion problem (1.2), and the following estimates hold for each $k$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|v_{k}\right\| & \leq\left(t_{k+1}-t_{k}\right)\left(\frac{\left\|v_{k-1}\right\|}{t_{k}-t_{k-1}}\right)^{2} \\
& \leq\left(\frac{t_{k+1}-t_{k}}{t_{k}-t_{k-1}}\right)\left\|v_{k-1}\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
d\left(p_{k}, p^{*}\right) \leq r_{1}-t_{k}
$$

Hence (4.18) and (4.19) are true because, by (4.15) and (4.17), one has

$$
r_{1}-t_{k}=\frac{\eta^{2^{k}-1}(1-\zeta)}{1-\eta^{2^{k}-1} \zeta} r_{1} \leq \eta^{2^{k}-1} r_{1}
$$

and

$$
\frac{t_{k+1}-t_{k}}{t_{k}-t_{k-1}} \leq \eta^{2^{k-1}}
$$

### 4.3. Smale's type theorem

Throughout the remainder of the present paper, we always assume that $f$ is an analytic mapping. We define, for a point $p_{0} \in M$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma\left(f, p_{0}\right):=\sup _{k \geq 2}\left(\frac{\left\|W_{p_{0}}^{-1}\right\|\left\|\mathcal{D}^{k} f\left(p_{0}\right)\right\|}{k!}\right)^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following proposition is taken from [23].
Proposition 4.2. Let $r=\frac{1}{\gamma\left(f, p_{0}\right)}$. Let $p \in M$, and let $v \in T_{p_{0}} M$ be such that $\|v\|<r$ and $p=\exp _{p_{0}}(v)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}^{j} f(p)=\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \mathcal{D}^{k+j} f\left(p_{0}\right) v^{k}\right) P_{c, p_{0}, p}^{j} \quad \text { for each } j=0,1,2, \cdots, \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c$ is a geodesic connecting $p_{0}, p$ such that $c(t)=\exp _{p_{0}}$ tv for each $t \in[0,1]$, and $P_{c, p_{0}, p}^{j}$ stands for the map from $\left(T_{p} M\right)^{j}$ to $\left(T_{p_{0}} M\right)^{j}$ defined by $P_{c, p_{0}, p}^{j}\left(v_{1}, \cdots, v_{j}\right)=\left(P_{c, p_{0}, p} v_{1}, \cdots, P_{c, p_{0}, p} v_{j}\right) \quad$ for each $\left(v_{1}, \cdots, v_{j}\right) \in\left(T_{p} M\right)^{j}$.

We will show that any analytic mapping satisfies the $\gamma$-condition. For this purpose, we need a simple known fact (cf. [2, P.150]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(k+j)!}{k!j!} t^{j}=\frac{1}{(1-t)^{k+1}} \quad \text { for each } t \in[-1,1] \text { and } k=0,1, \cdots \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

For simplicity, we use the function $\psi$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(u):=1-4 u+2 u^{2} \quad \text { for each } u \in\left[0,1-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\right) \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\psi$ is strictly decreasing on $\left[0,1-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\right)$.

Lemma 4.2. Let $p \in M$, and let $c$ be a geodesic connecting $p_{0}$ and $p$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u:=\gamma\left(f, p_{0}\right) l(c)<1-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} . \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $W_{p}$ carries $T_{p} M$ onto $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|W_{p}^{-1}\right\| \leq \frac{\left\|W_{p_{0}}^{-1}\right\|(1-u)^{2}}{\psi(u)} \quad \text { and } \quad \gamma(f, p) \leq \frac{\gamma\left(f, p_{0}\right)}{(1-u) \psi(u)} \tag{4.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Assume that $c$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(t)=\exp _{p_{0}}(t v) \quad \text { for each } t \in[0,1], \tag{4.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v \in T_{p_{0}} M$. Then $p=\exp _{p_{0}}(v)$ and $l(c)=\|v\|$; hence, by (4.24),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma\|v\|=u<1-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}<1 \tag{4.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, Proposition 4.2 (with $j=1$ ) is applicable to concluding that

$$
\left\|W_{p_{0}}^{-1}\right\|\left\|\mathcal{D} f(p) P_{c, p, p_{0}}-\mathcal{D} f\left(p_{0}\right)\right\| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left\|W_{p_{0}}^{-1}\right\|\left\|\mathcal{D}^{k+1} f\left(p_{0}\right)\right\|}{k!}\|v\|^{k} .
$$

It follows from (4.20), (4.22) and (4.27) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|W_{p_{0}}^{-1}\right\|\left\|\mathcal{D} f(p) P_{c, p, p_{0}}-\mathcal{D} f\left(p_{0}\right)\right\| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(k+1) \gamma\left(f, p_{0}\right)^{k}\|v\|^{k}=\frac{1}{(1-u)^{2}}-1<1 . \tag{4.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the convex process

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{p} v=\mathcal{D} f(p) v-K=\left[\mathcal{D} f\left(p_{0}\right) P_{c, p_{0}, p}+\left(\mathcal{D} f(p)-\mathcal{D} f\left(p_{0}\right) P_{c, p_{0}, p}\right)\right] v-K . \tag{4.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $P_{c, p_{0}, p}$ is an isomorphism from $T_{p} M$ to $T_{p_{0}} M$ (cf. [16, p.30]), $W_{p_{0}} \circ P_{c, p_{0}, p}$ is a convex process from $T_{p} M$ into $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $\mathcal{D} f(p)-\mathcal{D} f\left(p_{0}\right) P_{c, p_{0}, p}$ is a linear transformation from $T_{p} M$ to $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Moreover, $\left\|W_{p_{0}} \circ P_{c, p_{0}, p}\right\|=\left\|W_{p_{0}}\right\|$ and $\| \mathcal{D} f(p)-$ $\mathcal{D} f\left(p_{0}\right) P_{c, p_{0}, p}\|=\| \mathcal{D} f(p) P_{c, p, p_{0}}-\mathcal{D} f\left(p_{0}\right) \|$ thanks to the fact that $P_{c, p_{0}, p}$ is an isometry (cf. [9, p.56]). Thus, by (4.28) and (4.29), Lemma 2.1 is applicable to concluding that $W_{p}$ carries $T_{p} M$ onto $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and

$$
\left\|W_{p}^{-1}\right\| \leq \frac{\left\|W_{p_{0}}^{-1}\right\|}{1-\left\|W_{p_{0}}^{-1}\right\|\left\|\mathcal{D} f(p) P_{c, p, p_{0}}-\mathcal{D} f\left(p_{0}\right)\right\|} \leq \frac{\left\|W_{p_{0}}^{-1}\right\|(1-u)^{2}}{\psi(u)} .
$$

To establish the second inequality of (4.25), let $k=2,3, \cdots$, and observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|W_{p}^{-1}\right\| \frac{\left\|\mathcal{D}^{k} f(p)\right\|}{k!} \leq \frac{(1-u)^{2}}{\psi(u)}\left\|W_{p_{0}}^{-1}\right\| \frac{\left\|\mathcal{D}^{k} f(p)\right\|}{k!} . \tag{4.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of (4.26) and (4.27), one can apply Proposition 4.2 (with $j=k$ ) to conclude that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|W_{p_{0}}^{-1}\right\| \frac{\left\|\mathcal{D}^{k} f(p)\right\|}{k!} & =\left\|W_{p_{0}}^{-1}\right\|\left\|\frac{1}{k!} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!} \mathcal{D}^{k+j} f\left(p_{0}\right) v^{j} P_{c, p_{0}, p}^{k}\right\| \\
& \leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(k+j)!}{k!j!}\left\|W_{p_{0}}^{-1}\right\|\left\|\frac{\mathcal{D}^{k+j} f\left(p_{0}\right)}{(k+j)!}\right\|\|v\|^{j}  \tag{4.31}\\
& \leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(k+j)!}{k!j!} \gamma\left(f, p_{0}\right)^{k+j-1}\|v\|^{j} .
\end{align*}
$$

This, together with (4.22), implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|W_{p_{0}}^{-1}\right\| \frac{\left\|\mathcal{D}^{k} f(p)\right\|}{k!} \leq \frac{\gamma\left(f, p_{0}\right)^{k-1}}{\left(1-\gamma\left(f, p_{0}\right)\|v\|\right)^{k+1}} \tag{4.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining this with the first inequality of (4.25) and (4.30) gives that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|W_{p}^{-1}\right\| \frac{\left\|\mathcal{D}^{k} f(p)\right\|}{k!} \leq \frac{1}{\psi(u)}\left(\frac{\gamma}{1-u}\right)^{k-1} \tag{4.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

thanks to (4.27). Consequently,
$\gamma(f, p)=\sup _{k \geq 2}\left(\frac{\left\|W_{p_{0}}^{-1}\right\| \cdot\left\|\mathcal{D}^{k} f(p)\right\|}{k!}\right)^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \leq \frac{\gamma\left(f, p_{0}\right)}{1-u} \sup _{k \geq 2} \frac{1}{\psi(u)^{\frac{1}{k-1}}}=\frac{\gamma\left(f, p_{0}\right)}{(1-u) \psi(u)}$,
where the last equality holds because the supremum attains at $k=2$ as $0<\psi(u) \leq$ 1 by (4.24).

Proposition 4.3. Let $0<r \leq \frac{2-\sqrt{2}}{2 \gamma\left(f, p_{0}\right)}$. Then $f$ satisfies the $\gamma$-condition at $p_{0}$ in $\mathbf{B}\left(p_{0}, r\right)$ with $\gamma=\gamma\left(f, p_{0}\right)$.

Proof. Let $p, q \in \mathbf{B}\left(p_{0}, r\right)$. Let $c_{1}$ be a minimizing geodesic connecting $p_{0}, p$ and $c_{2}$ a geodesic connecting $p, q$ such that, for some $v_{1} \in T_{p_{0}} M$ and $v_{2} \in$ $T_{p} M, p=\exp _{p_{0}} v_{1}, q=\exp _{p} v_{2}, l\left(c_{1}\right)=\left\|v_{1}\right\|=d\left(p_{0}, p\right), l\left(c_{2}\right)=\left\|v_{2}\right\|$ with $l\left(c_{1}\right)+l\left(c_{2}\right)<r$. Write $u=l\left(c_{1}\right) \gamma\left(f, p_{0}\right)$. Then $u<1-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$, and so Lemma 4.2 is applicable to concluding that

$$
\gamma(f, p) \leq \frac{\gamma\left(f, p_{0}\right)}{(1-u) \psi(u)}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\gamma(f, p)} \geq \frac{(1-u) \psi(u)}{\gamma\left(f, p_{0}\right)} \tag{4.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\left\|v_{1}\right\|+\left\|v_{2}\right\|<r \leq \frac{1-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}}{\gamma\left(f, p_{0}\right)}
$$

it follows from (4.34) that

$$
\left\|v_{2}\right\|<\frac{1-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}}{\gamma\left(f, p_{0}\right)}-\left\|v_{1}\right\|=\frac{1-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}-\gamma\left(f, p_{0}\right)\left\|v_{1}\right\|}{\gamma\left(f, p_{0}\right)} \leq \frac{(1-u) \psi(u)}{\gamma\left(f, p_{0}\right)} \leq \frac{1}{\gamma(f, p)}
$$

Therefore, $q \in \mathbf{B}\left(p, \frac{1}{\gamma(\xi, p)}\right)$ and it follows from Proposition 4.2 that

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{D}^{2} f(q) & =\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i!} \mathcal{D}^{i+2} f(p) v_{2}^{i} P_{c_{2}, p, q}^{2} \\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i!} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!} \mathcal{D}^{j+i+2} f\left(p_{0}\right) v_{1}^{j} P_{c_{1}, p_{0}, p}^{i+2} v_{2}^{i} P_{c_{2}, p, q}^{2} \tag{4.35}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\frac{\left\|W_{p_{0}}^{-1}\right\| \cdot\left\|\mathcal{D}^{j+i+2} f\left(p_{0}\right)\right\|}{(j+i+2)!} \leq \gamma\left(f, p_{0}\right)^{j+i+1}$, one has from (4.35) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|W_{p_{0}}^{-1}\right\| \cdot\left\|\mathcal{D}^{2} f(q)\right\| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i!} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(j+i+2)!}{j!} \gamma\left(f, p_{0}\right)^{j+i+1}\left\|v_{1}\right\|^{j}\left\|v_{2}\right\|^{i} \tag{4.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (4.22) to calculate the quantity on the right-hand side of the inequality (4.36), we obtain that

$$
\left\|W_{p_{0}}^{-1}\right\| \cdot\left\|\mathcal{D}^{2} f(q)\right\| \leq \frac{2 \gamma}{\left(1-\gamma\left(\left\|v_{1}\right\|+\left\|v_{2}\right\|\right)^{3}\right.}=\frac{2 \gamma}{\left(1-\gamma\left(d\left(p_{0}, p\right)+l\left(c_{2}\right)\right)^{3}\right.}
$$

and the proof is complete.
The following corollary results from Proposition 4.3 and Corollary 4.2.
Corollary 4.3. Let $\gamma=\gamma\left(f, p_{0}\right)$. Suppose that

$$
\xi=\left\|W_{p_{0}}^{-1}\right\| d\left(f\left(p_{0}\right), K\right) \leq \frac{3-2 \sqrt{2}}{\gamma} .
$$

Then the sequence $\left\{p_{k}\right\}$ generated by Algorithm 1.1 with initial point $p_{0}$ is welldefined and converges to a solution $p^{*}$ of the inclusion problem (1.2). Moreover, if $\left\{v_{k}\right\}$ and $\left\{p_{k}\right\}$ are sequences generated by (1.3), then (4.18) and (4.19) hold.

### 4.4. Extension of Smale's approximate zeros

The following notion of approximate singular point was introduced in [23] for Newton's method on Riemannian manifold. Let $\nu$ be a section from a Riemannian manifold $M$ to another one $E$. Recall that Newton's method for $\nu$ is defined as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{k+1}=\exp _{p_{k}}\left(-\mathrm{D} \nu\left(p_{k}\right)^{-1} \nu\left(p_{k}\right)\right) \quad \text { for each } k=0,1, \cdots, \tag{4.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

where D is a connection of $\nu$.
Definition 4.2. Suppose that $p_{0} \in M$ is such that the sequence $\left\{p_{k}\right\}$ generated by Newton's method (4.37) with initial point $p_{0}$ is well-defined for $\nu$ and satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta\left(p_{k}\right) \leq\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2^{k-1}} \Theta\left(p_{k-1}\right) \quad \text { for all } k=1,2, \cdots \tag{4.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Theta\left(p_{k}\right)$ denotes some measurement of the approximation degree between $p_{k}$ and the singular point $p^{*}$. Then $p_{0}$ is said to be an approximate singular point of $\nu$ in the sense of $\Theta\left(p_{k}\right)$.

We now extend the notion of approximate singular point to the extended Newton's method for mappings on Riemannian manifolds with values in a cone.

Definition 4.3. Suppose that $p_{0} \in M$ is such that the sequence $\left\{p_{k}\right\}$ generated by Algorithm 1.1 with initial point $p_{0}$ is well-defined, converges to a solution of the inclusion problem (1.2) and satisfies (4.38). Then $p_{0}$ is said to be an approximate solution of (1.2) in the sense of $\Theta\left(p_{k}\right)$.

Then we have the following corollary about approximate solution of (1.2).
Corollary 4.4. Let $\gamma=\gamma\left(f, p_{0}\right)$. Suppose that

$$
\xi=\left\|W_{p_{0}}^{-1}\right\| d\left(f\left(p_{0}\right), K\right) \leq \frac{13-3 \sqrt{17}}{4 \gamma}
$$

Then the sequence $\left\{p_{k}\right\}$ generated by Algorithm 1.1 with initial point $p_{0}$ is welldefined and converges to a solution $p^{*}$ of the inclusion problem (1.2). Furthermore, if $\left\{v_{k}\right\}$ and $\left\{p_{k}\right\}$ are sequences generated by (1.3), then $p_{0}$ is an approximate solution of (1.2) in the sense of $\left\|v_{k}\right\|$.

Proof. Since $\frac{13-3 \sqrt{17}}{4 \gamma}<\frac{3-2 \sqrt{2}}{\gamma}$, Corollary 4.3 is applicable to concluding that the sequence $\left\{p_{k}\right\}$ generated by Algorithm 1.1 with initial point $p_{0}$ is well-defined and converges to a solution $p^{*}$ of the inclusion problem (1.2). Moreover, if $\left\{v_{k}\right\}$
and $\left\{p_{k}\right\}$ are sequences generated by (1.3), then (4.18) holds for $\eta$ given by (4.16). Noting that $\eta$ increases as $\xi$ dose on $\left(0, \frac{13-3 \sqrt{17}}{4 \gamma}\right]$, and the value of $\eta$ at $\xi=\frac{13-3 \sqrt{17}}{4 \gamma}$ is $\frac{1}{2}$. Hence, (4.18) holds for $\eta=\frac{1}{2}$. Therefore, $p_{0}$ is an approximate solution of (1.2) in the sense of $\left\|v_{k}\right\|$.
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