TAIWANESE JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS Vol. 12, No. 4, pp. 933-940, July 2008 This paper is available online at http://www.tjm.nsysu.edu.tw/

THE HAMILTON-WATERLOO PROBLEM FOR TWO EVEN CYCLES FACTORS

Hung-Lin Fu and Kuo-Ching Huang

Dedicated to Professor Ko-Wei Lih on the occasion of his 60th birthday.

Abstract. This paper investigates the problem of factoring $K_{2n} - I_n$ into 2-factors of two kinds or three kinds: (1) C_t -factors and C_{2t} -factors, (2) C_4 -factors and C_{2t} -factors, (3) C_4 -factors, C_8 -factors and C_{16} -factors.

1. INTRODUCTION

The well-known Oberwolfach problem, formulated by Ringel in 1967, asks for a 2-factorization of the complete graph K_{2n+1} into 2-factors each of which isomorphic to a given 2-factor D. If D consists of cycles of lengths m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_t with $m_1 + m_2 + \ldots + m_t = 2n + 1$, then the Oberwolfach problem is denoted by $OP(2n + 1; m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_t)$. It is known that the cases OP(9; 4, 5) and OP(11; 3, 3, 5) do not exist. Therefore, it has been conjectured that a solution to $OP(2n + 1; m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_t)$ exists except the above two counterexamples. So far, the conjecture has been verified for the case when $m_1 = m_2 = \ldots = m_t$, i.e., all components of the isomorphic 2-factor D are cycles of the same odd length [3, 4]. However, the Oberwolfach problem to the case by considering 2-factorization of the complete graph K_{2n} with a 1-factor I_n removed, denoted by $K_{2n} - I_n$. It has also been verified that a solution to $OP(2n; m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_t)$ exists when $m_1 = m_2 = \ldots = m_t$ except that OP(6; 3, 3) and OP(12; 3, 3, 3, 3) have no solution [2, 3, 6].

The Hamilton-Waterloo problem (HWP) is a generalization of the Oberwolfach problem which asks for a 2-factorization of K_{2n+1} in which r of the 2-factors are isomorphic to a given 2-factor D_1 and the remaining s of the 2-factors are

Received December 10, 2007, Accepted January 10, 2008.

Communicated by Xuding Zhu.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C38.

Key words and phrases: Hamilton-Waterloo problem, 2-Factorization, Ct-factor.

isomorphic to another given 2-factor D_2 , where r + s = n. Again, one may ask the similar problem by considering 2-factorizations of $K_{2n} - I_n$.

For convenience, we introduce the following notations. Let I_n be a 1-factor of K_{2n} . Suppose H is a subgraph of G. Let mH be the edge-disjoint union of m copies of H. An H-factor of a graph G is a spanning subgraph of G in which each component is isomorphic to H. An $\{H_1^{m_1}, H_2^{m_2}, \ldots, H_t^{m_t}\}$ -factorization of a graph G is a factorization which consists precisely of m_i H_i -factors. If there is such a factorization of G, then we say that $(G; H_1^{m_1}, H_2^{m_2}, \ldots, H_t^{m_t})$ exists. Let HWP(v; m, n) be the set of pairs (r, s) such that $(G; C_m^r, C_n^s)$ exists for $G = K_v$ if v is odd and $G = K_v - I_{\frac{v}{2}}$ if v is even, where C_t denotes a cycle of length t. The cases (m, n) = (3, v), (m, n) = (3, 4) and $(m, n) \in \{(4, 6), (4, 8), (4, 16), (8, 16), (3, 5), (3, 15), (5, 15)\}$ are considered in [7, 5, 1], respectively. In this paper, we completely determine the sets HWP(2n; t, 2t) for even integers $t \ge 4$ and HWP(2n; 4, 2t) for $t \ge 3$. Moreover, we also show that $(K_{2n} - I_n; C_4^r, C_8^s, C_{16}^t)$ exists for all pairs (r, s, t) with r+s+t=n-1.

2. BASIC CONSTRUCTIONS

In this section we present the idea and some basic constructions in order to prove our main results. Since the following lemmas are easy to see, we omit the proofs.

Lemma 1. Suppose G_1 and G_2 are two vertex-disjoint graphs. If $(G_1; C_m^r, C_n^s)$ and $(G_2; C_m^r, C_n^s)$ both exist, then $(G_1 \cup G_2; C_m^r, C_n^s)$ exists.

Lemma 2. Suppose G_1 and G_2 are two edge-disjoint graphs with the same vertex set. If $(G_1; C_m^{r_1}, C_n^{s_1})$ and $(G_2; C_m^{r_2}, C_n^{s_2})$ both exist, then $(G_1 \cup G_2; C_m^{r_1+r_2}, C_n^{s_1+s_2})$ exists.

The trivial necessary condition for K_{2n} having a C_{2t} -factor is 2n = 2tk or n = tk. On the other hand, if n = tk, then $K_{2n} - I_n = k(K_{2t} - I_t) \bigcup (2k-2)(kK_{t,t}) = (kK_{2t} - I_n) \bigcup (2k-2)(kK_{t,t})$, we shall prove that $(K_{2t} - I_t; C_m^{r_1}, C_{2t}^{s_1})$ exists for $r_1 + s_1 = t - 1$ and m = 4 or t, and $(K_{t,t}; C_m^{\frac{t}{2}})$ exists for m = t or 2t. Then, by Lemma 1, $(k(K_{2t} - I_t); C_m^{r_1}, C_{2t}^{s_1})$ and $(kK_{t,t}; C_m^{\frac{t}{2}})$ both exist. Let (r, s) be a pair of nonnegative integers with r + s = n - 1 = tk - 1. It is not difficult to see that there are pairs of nonnegative integers (r_1, s_1) with $r_1 + s_1 = t - 1$ and (r_2, s_2) with $r_2 + s_2 = 2k - 2$ such that $(r, s) = (r_1, s_1) + \frac{t}{2}(r_2, s_2)$. Now, factor $k(K_{2t} - I_t) = kK_{2t} - I_n$ into $r_1 C_t$ -factors and $s_1 C_{2t}$ -factors. By Lemma 2, $(K_{2n} - I_n; C_t^r, C_{2t}^s)$ exists, i.e., $(r, s) \in \text{HWP}(2n; t, 2t) \subseteq \{(r, s): r + s = n - 1\}$.

Therefore, we have $HWP(2n; t, 2t) = \{(r, s) : r+s = n-1\}$ for even integers t. By the same argument, we also obtain that $HWP(2n; 4, 2t) = \{(r, s) : r+s = n-1\}$ for integers $t \ge 3$.

The following lemma is a well-known result.

Lemma 3. The complete graph K_{2t} is 1-factorable and it can be decomposed into t - 1 Hamilton cycles and one 1-factor. Moreover, by ordering the vertices of K_{2t} , one of the t - 1 Hamilton cycles is of the form $v_0v_1 \cdots v_{2t-1}v_0$ and the 1-factor is $\{v_0v_t, v_iv_{2t-i} : 1 \le i \le t-1\}$.

Before proving the next lemma, we define a new graph. Suppose G is a graph. The *duplicate graph* of G, denoted by DG, obtained from G by replacing each vertex v_i of G by two new vertices x_i and y_i and replacing each edge v_iv_j of G by four edges x_ix_j, x_iy_j, y_ix_j and y_iy_j , i.e., each edge v_iv_j of G corresponds to a $K_{2,2} = C_4$ in DG. In what follows, let the vertex sets and edge sets of duplicate graphs are the same as above if no confusion occurs.

Lemma 4. Let $C = v_0 v_1 v_2 \cdots v_{t-1} v_0$ be an even cycle. Then $(DC; C_m^2)$ exists for m = 4, t and 2t. Moreover, let $I_t = \{x_i y_i : 0 \le i \le t-1\}$ and $G = DC \bigcup I_t$. Then there is a 1-factor M of G such that $(G-M; C_m^1, C_{2t}^1)$ exists for m = 4 and t.

Proof. Since t is even, $F_1 = \{v_i v_{i+1} : i \text{ is odd}\}$ and $F_2 = \{v_i v_{i+1} : i \text{ is even}\}$ are two 1-factors of C. Hence, DF_1 and DF_2 are two C_4 -factors of DC. Next, by directed construction, $\{x_0 x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{t-1} x_0, y_0 y_1 y_2 \cdots y_{t-1} y_0\}$ and $\{x_0 y_1 x_2 y_3 \cdots x_{t-2} y_{t-1} x_0, y_0 x_1 y_2 x_3 \cdots y_{t-2} x_{t-1} y_0\}$ are two C_t -factors of DC and $\{x_0 x_1 \cdots x_{t-1} y_0 y_1 \cdots y_{t-1} x_0\}$ and $\{x_0 y_1 x_2 y_3 \cdots x_{t-2} y_{t-1} y_0 x_1 y_2 x_3 \cdots y_{t-2} x_{t-1} x_0\}$ are two C_{2t} -factors of DC. Let

$$Q_{1} = \{x_{0}x_{1}\cdots x_{\frac{t}{2}-1}y_{\frac{t}{2}-1}y_{\frac{t}{2}-2}\cdots y_{1}y_{0}x_{0}, x_{\frac{t}{2}}x_{\frac{t}{2}+1}\cdots x_{t-1}y_{t-1}y_{t-2}\cdots y_{\frac{t}{2}}x_{\frac{t}{2}}\},\$$

$$Q_{2} = \{x_{0}y_{1}x_{2}y_{3}\cdots x_{t-2}y_{t-1}y_{0}x_{1}y_{2}x_{3}\cdots y_{t-2}x_{t-1}x_{0}\} \text{ and }$$

$$M_{1} = G - (Q_{1} \bigcup Q_{2}).$$

It is routine to verify that Q_1 is a C_t -factor, Q_2 is a C_{2t} -factor and M_1 is a 1-factor of G, respectively.

Let $F_3 = \{x_i x_{i+1} y_{i+1} y_i x_i : i \text{ is even}\}$, Q_2 be the same as above and $M_2 = G - (F_3 \bigcup Q_2)$. It is easy to see that F_3 is a C_4 -factor, Q_2 is a C_{2t} -factor and M_2 is a 1-factor of G, respectively.

Lemma 5. Suppose G is a graph consisting of an even cycle $C = v_0 v_1 v_2 \cdots v_{t-1} v_0$ and a 1-factor $M_1 = \{v_0 v_{\frac{t}{2}}, v_i v_{t-i} : 1 \le i \le \frac{t}{2} - 1\}$. Let $H = DG \bigcup I_t$, where $I_t = \{x_i y_i : 0 \le i \le t - 1\}$. Then there is a 1-factor M of H such that $(H - M; C_t^r, C_{2t}^s)$ exists for all pairs (r, s) with r + s = 3.

Proof. Let
$$F_1 = \{x_0 x_1 x_{t-1} x_{t-2} x_2 \cdots x_{\frac{t}{2}} x_0, y_0 y_1 y_{t-1} y_{t-2} y_2 \cdots y_{\frac{t}{2}} y_0\},\$$

 $F_2 = \{x_0 y_{t-1} x_1 y_2 x_{t-2} \cdots y_{\frac{t}{2}} x_0, y_0 x_{t-1} y_1 x_2 y_{t-2} \cdots x_{\frac{t}{2}} y_0\},\$
 $Q_1 = \{x_0 x_1 x_{t-1} x_{t-2} x_2 \cdots x_{\frac{t}{2}} y_0 y_1 y_{t-1} y_{t-2} y_2 \cdots y_{\frac{t}{2}} x_0\}\$ and
 $Q_2 = \{x_0 y_{t-1} x_1 y_2 x_{t-2} \cdots y_{\frac{t}{2}} y_0 x_{t-1} y_1 x_2 y_{t-2} \cdots x_{\frac{t}{2}} x_0\}.$

Then F_1 and F_2 are two C_t -factors of H, Q_1 and Q_2 are two C_{2t} -factors of H and $F_1 \bigcup F_2 = Q_1 \bigcup Q_2$. Let $R_1 = DG - (F_1 \bigcup F_2)$. Then $R_1 =$ $\{x_0y_1y_2x_3x_4 \cdots x_{t-1}x_0, y_0x_1x_2y_3y_4 \cdots y_{t-1}y_0\}$ which is a C_t -factor of H if $t \equiv 0$ (mod 4) and $R_1 = \{x_0y_1y_2x_3x_4 \cdots y_{t-1}y_0x_1x_2y_3y_4 \cdots x_{t-1}x_0\}$ which is a C_{2t} factor of H if $t \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$.

For $t \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, let $R_2 = (R_1 - \{x_0y_1, y_0x_1\}) \bigcup \{x_0y_0, x_1y_1\}$ which is a C_{2t} -factor of H and $M_2 = (I_t - \{x_0y_0, x_1y_1\}) \bigcup \{x_0y_1, y_0x_1\}$ which is a 1-factor of H. Then $\{F_1, F_2, R_1, I_t\}$, $\{F_1, F_2, R_2, M_2\}$, $\{R_1, Q_1, Q_2, I_t\}$ and $\{R_2, Q_1, Q_2, M_2\}$ are the desired four factorizations.

For $t \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, let $R_3 = (R_1 - \{x_0 x_{t-1}, y_0 y_{t-1}, x_{\frac{t}{2}-1} y_{\frac{t}{2}}, x_{\frac{t}{2}} y_{\frac{t}{2}-1}\}) \bigcup \{x_i y_i : i = 0, \frac{t}{2} - 1, \frac{t}{2}, t - 1\}$ which is a C_t -factor of H and $M_3 = (I_t - \{x_i y_i : i = 0, \frac{t}{2} - 1, \frac{t}{2}, t - 1\}) \bigcup \{x_0 x_{t-1}, y_0 y_{t-1}, x_{\frac{t}{2}-1} y_{\frac{t}{2}}, x_{\frac{t}{2}} y_{\frac{t}{2}-1}\}$ which is a 1-factor of H. Then $\{F_1, F_2, R_3, M_3\}$, $\{F_1, F_2, R_1, I_t\}$, $\{R_3, Q_1, Q_2, M_3\}$ and $\{R_1, Q_1, Q_2, I_t\}$ are the desired four factorizations.

Lemma 6. Suppose $t \ge 4$ is an even integer. Then $HWP(2t; t, 2t) = \{(r, s) : r + s = t - 1\}$.

Proof. By definition, HWP(2t; t, 2t) ⊆ {(r, s) : r + s = t − 1}. Conversely, let (r, s) be a pair with r+s = t-1. By Lemma 3, we have (0, t-1) ∈ HWP(2t; t, 2t). Now, suppose r > 0. It is easy to see that $K_{2t} - I_t = DK_t$. Since t is even, by Lemma 3, K_t can be decomposed into $\frac{t}{2} - 1$ Hamilton cycles, denoted by HC for short, and one 1-factor F. Hence, $DK_t = (\frac{t}{2} - 2)DHC \bigcup DHC^* \bigcup DF^*$ with the particular DHC^* and DF^* stated in Lemma 3. Let $G = DHC^* \bigcup DF^* \bigcup I_t$. If r is even, by Lemma 5, then $(G - M_1; C_t^2, C_{2t}^1)$ exists, where M_1 is some 1-factor of G which is also a 1-factor of K_{2t} . By Lemmas 4 and 2, $((\frac{r}{2} - 1)DHC; C_t^{r-2}, C_{2t}^{t-2-r})$ exists and then $(K_{2t} - M_1; C_t^r, C_{2t}^{t-1-r})$ exists. Thus, $(r, t-1-r) \in \text{HWP}(2t; t, 2t)$. If r is odd, by Lemma 5, then $(G - M_2; C_t^1, C_{2t}^2)$ exists, where M_2 is some 1-factor both of G and K_{2t} . By Lemmas 4 and 2, $((\frac{r-1}{2})DHC; C_t^{r-2}, C_{2t}^{t-2-r})$ exists. Hence, $((\frac{t}{2} - 2)DHC; C_t^{r-1})$ and $((\frac{t}{2} - 2 - \frac{r-1}{2})DHC; C_{2t}^{t-3-r})$ both exist. Hence, $((\frac{t}{2} - 2)DHC; C_t^{r-1})$ and $((\frac{t}{2} - 2 - \frac{r-1}{2})DHC; C_{2t}^{t-3-r})$ both exist. Hence, $((\frac{t}{2} - 2)DHC; C_t^{r-1})$ and $((\frac{t}{2} - 2 - \frac{r-1}{2})DHC; C_{2t}^{t-3-r})$ exists. Hence, $((\frac{t}{2} - 2)DHC; C_t^{r-1})$ and $((\frac{t}{2} - 2 - \frac{r-1}{2})DHC; C_{2t}^{t-3-r})$ both exist. Hence, $((\frac{t}{2} - 2)DHC; C_t^{r-1})$ and $((\frac{t}{2} - 2 - \frac{r-1}{2})DHC; C_{2t}^{t-3-r})$ both exist. Hence, $((\frac{t}{2} - 2)DHC; C_t^{r-1})$ exists

and then $(K_{2t} - M_2; C_t^r, C_{2t}^{t-1-r})$ exists. Thus, $(r, t - 1 - r) \in HWP(2t; t, 2t)$. Therefore, $HWP(2t; t, 2t) = \{(r, s) : r + s = t - 1\}$.

The following result can be found in [8].

Lemma 7. ([8]). There is a 2-factorization of $K_{n,n}$ in which each 2-factor is the vertex disjoint union of m cycles of lengths t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_m if and only if n is even, $t_i \ge 4$ is even for $1 \le i \le m$ and $t_1 + t_2 + \ldots + t_m = 2n$, except there is no C_6 -factorization of $K_{6,6}$. In particular, $(K_{t,t}; C_m^{\frac{t}{2}})$ exists for even integers t and m = 4, t or 2t, except m = t = 6.

Since $(K_{6,6}; C_6^3)$ does not exist, we can not obtain HWP(2n; 6, 12) directly by applying Lemma 7. However, by a minor modification, we also can completely determine the set HWP(2n; 6, 12).

Lemma 8. Suppose $n \equiv 0 \pmod{12}$. Then $HWP(2n; 6, 12) = \{(r, s) : r + s = n - 1\}$.

Proof. By Lemma 6, $(K_{12} - I_6; C_6^r, C_{12}^s)$ exists for all pairs (r, s) with r + s = 5. By Lemma 7, $(K_{12,12}; C_m^6)$ exists for m = 6 or 12. Let (a, b) be a pair with a + b = 11. Then $(a, b) = (a_1, b_1) + 6(a_2, b_2)$, where $a_1 + b_1 = 5$ and $a_2 + b_2 = 1$. Since $K_{24} - I_{12} = 2(K_{12} - I_6) \bigcup K_{12,12}$, $(K_{12} - I_6; C_6^{a_1}, C_{12}^{b_1})$ and $(K_{12,12}; C_6^{6a_2}, C_{12}^{6b_2})$ both exist, by Lemmas 1 and 2, $(K_{24} - I_{12}; C_6^a, C_{12}^b)$ exists. Now, if (r, s) is a pair with r + s = n - 1 = 12k - 1, then $(r, s) = (r_1, s_1) + 6(r_2, s_2)$, where $r_1 + s_1 = 11$ and $r_2 + s_2 = 2k - 2$. Since $K_{2n} - I_n = K_{24k} - I_{12k} = k(K_{24} - I_{12}) \bigcup (2k - 2)(kK_{12,12})$, $(K_{24} - I_{12}; C_6^{r_1}, C_{12}^{s_1})$ exists and, $(r_2(kK_{12,12}); C_6^{6r_2})$ and $(s_2(kK_{12,12}); C_{12}^{6s_2})$ both exist by Lemmas 1 and 2, we have $(K_{2n} - I_n; C_6^r, C_{12}^s)$ exists. Therefore, $(r, s) \in \text{HWP}(2n; 6, 12) = \{(r, s): r + s = n - 1\}$.

For the case that $n \equiv 6 \pmod{12}$, we need the following. Let $K_{u(g)}$ be the complete *u*-partite graph with *g* vertices in each partite set.

Lemma 9. ([4])/ The graph $K_{u(g)}$ is C_3 -factorable if and only if (u-1)g is even and $ug \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$.

Lemma 10. Let $n \equiv 6 \pmod{12}$. Then $HWP(2n; 6, 12) = \{(r, s) : r + s = n - 1\}$.

Proof. Let n = 6k, where k is odd. Then $K_{2n} - I_n = k(K_{12} - I_6) \bigcup K_{k(12)}$. By Lemma 9, $K_{k(12)}$ is $K_{4,4,4}$ -factorable, i.e., $K_{k(12)} = \frac{3(k-1)}{2}(kK_{4,4,4})$, where $kK_{4,4,4}$ is a $K_{4,4,4}$ -factor. It is not difficult to see that $K_{4,4,4} = DK_{2,2,2}$ which can be decomposed into two DC_6 . Since (DC_6, C_m^2) exists for m = 6 or 12, by Lemmas 4 and 2, $(K_{4,4,4}; C_m^4)$ exists. By Lemma 1, $(kK_{4,4,4}; C_m^4)$ exists. If (r, s) is a pair with r + s = n - 1 = 6k - 1, then $(r, s) = (r_1, s_1) + 4(r_2, s_2)$, where $r_1 + s_1 = 5$ and $r_2 + s_2 = \frac{3(k-1)}{2}$. Since $(K_{12} - I_6; C_6^{r_1}, C_{12}^{s_1})$ exists by Lemma 6 and, $(r_2(kK_{4,4,4}); C_6^{4r_2})$ and $(s_2(kK_{4,4,4}); C_{12}^{4s_2})$ both exist by Lemma 2, we have $(K_{2n} - I_n; C_6^r, C_{12}^s)$ exists. Therefore, $(r, s) \in \text{HWP}(2n; 6, 12)$ and then HWP $(2n; 6, 12) = \{(r, s): r + s = n - 1\}$.

Combining Lemmas 8 and 10, we have

Corollary 11. Suppose $n \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$. Then $HWP(2n; 6, 12) = \{(r, s) : r + s = n - 1\}$.

3. MAIN RESULTS

Now, we are ready to prove our main results.

Theorem 12. Suppose $t \ge 4$ is even and $n \equiv 0 \pmod{t}$. Then $HWP(2n; t, 2t) = \{(r, s) : r + s = n - 1\}.$

Proof. By Corollary 11, the assertion holds for t = 6. Now, suppose $t \neq 6$. Since $n \equiv 0 \pmod{t}$, we have n = tk and $K_{2n} = kK_{2t} \bigcup (2k-2)(kK_{t,t})$. Let (r, s) be a pair of nonnegative integers with r + s = n - 1 = tk - 1. Then $(r, s) = (r_1, s_1) + \frac{t}{2}(r_2, s_2)$ for some pairs (r_1, s_1) with $r_1 + s_1 = t - 1$ and (r_2, s_2) with $r_2 + s_2 = 2k - 2$. By Lemma 6, $(K_{2t} - I_t; C_t^{r_1}, C_{2t}^{s_1})$ exists. Hence, by Lemma 1, $(k(K_{2t} - I_t); C_t^{r_1}, C_{2t}^{s_1}) = (kK_{2t} - I_n; C_t^{r_1}, C_{2t}^{s_1})$ exists. By Lemma 7, $(K_{t,t}; C_m^{\frac{t}{2}})$ exists for m = t or 2t. Hence, by Lemma 1, $(kK_{t,t}; C_m^{\frac{t}{2}})$ exists. By Lemma 2, $(r_2(kK_{t,t}); C_t^{\frac{t}{2}r_2})$ and $(s_2(kK_{t,t}); C_{2t}^{\frac{t}{2}s_2})$ both exist and then $(K_{2n} - I_n; C_t^r, C_{2t}^s)$ exists, i.e., $(r, s) \in \text{HWP}(2n; t, 2t)$. Therefore, $\text{HWP}(2n; t, 2t) = \{(r, s) : r + s = n - 1\}$.

In what follows, we study HWP(2n; 4, 2t) for $t \ge 3$. The necessary condition for the existence of $(K_{2n} - I_n; C_4^r, C_{2t}^s)$ with r + s = n - 1 is that 2n is divisible by 4 and 2t. Hence, we may assume that n = tk is even. We also need the following result.

Lemma 13. ([6]). A C_k -factorization of $K_{2n} - I_n$ exists if and only if k divides 2n except that $K_6 - I_3$ and $K_{12} - I_6$ do not admit a C_3 -factorization.

Theorem 14. For an integer $t \ge 3$, $HWP(2n; 4, 2t) = \{(r, s) : r+s = n-1\}$.

Proof. The assertion holds for t = 3 which is proved in [1]. Suppose $t \ge 4$ is even. Let (r, s) be a pair with r + s = n - 1. By Lemma 3, $(0, n - 1) \in$ HWP(2n; 4, 2t). Let r > 0. It is easy to see that $K_{2n} - I_n = DK_n$. Since n is even, by Lemma 13, $K_n - I_{\frac{n}{2}}$ is C_t -factorable. Let $K_n - I_{\frac{n}{2}} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1} F_i$, where each F_i is a C_t -factor. It is clear that $DI_{\frac{n}{2}}$ corresponds to a C_4 -factor in K_{2n} . Let C be a t-cycle of F_i . Since t is even, by Lemma 4, (DC, C_4^2) and (DC, C_{2t}^2) both exist. Hence, (DF_i, C_4^2) and (DF_i, C_{2t}^2) exist. If r is odd, then $\frac{r-1}{2}$ $(D(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\frac{r-1}{2}} F_i \bigcup I_{\frac{n}{2}}); C_4^r)$ and $(D(\bigcup_{i=\frac{r+1}{2}}^{\frac{n}{2}-1} F_i); C_{2t}^s)$ both exist. By Lemma 2, $(K_{2n} - I_n; C_4^r, C_{2t}^s)$ exists. Hence, $(r, s) \in \text{HWP}(2n; 4, 2t)$. If r is even, by Lemma 4, $((DF_1 \bigcup I_n) - M_1; C_4^1, C_{2t}^1)$ exists for some 1-factor M_1 of $DF_1 \bigcup I_n$ which is also a 1-factor of K_{2n} . Hence, $(((DF_1 \bigcup I_n) - M_1) \bigcup DI_{\frac{n}{2}}; C_4^2, C_{2t}^1)$ exists. $\frac{r}{2}-1}{\text{Since}} (D(\bigcup_{i=2}^{\frac{n}{2}-1} F_i); C_{2t}^s)$ both exist, by Lemma 2, $(K_{2n} - M_1; C_4^r, C_{2t}^s)$ exists. Hence, $(r, s) \in \text{HWP}(2n; 4, 2t)$. Therefore, the assertion holds for t is even.

Now, suppose $t \ge 5$ is odd. Since n = kt is even, n is divisible by 2t. Again, by Lemma 13, $K_n - I_{\frac{n}{2}}$ is C_{2t} -factorable. By Lemma 4, Lemma 2 and a similar argument as above, $(K_{2n} - I_n; C_4^r, C_{2t}^s)$ exists. Therefore, the assertion holds for $t \ge 5$ being odd and then we complete the proof.

4. CONCLUDING REMARK

So far, we study the Hamilton-Waterloo problem for (1) C_t -factors and C_{2t} -factors if t is even and (2) C_4 -factors and C_{2t} -factors if $t \ge 3$. However, by using the similar argument in Lemma 6, we are able to deal with the Hamilton-Waterloo problem for cycle size 4, 6 and 8. Here is the result.

Theorem 15. Suppose $n \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$. Then $(K_{2n} - I_n; C_4^r, C_8^s, C_{16}^t)$ exists for all pairs (r, s, t) with r + s + t = n - 1.

Proof. Suppose n = 8k. Then $K_{2n} - I_n = (kK_{16} - I_n) \bigcup (2k - 2)(kK_{8,8})$. By a similar argument as in Lemma 6, $(K_{16} - I_8; C_4^{r_1}, C_8^{s_1}, C_{16}^{t_1})$ exists for all pairs (r_1, s_1, t_1) with $r_1 + s_1 + t_1 = 7$. Hence, by Lemma 2, $(kK_{16} - I_n; C_4^{r_1}, C_8^{s_1}, C_{16}^{t_1})$ exists for $r_1 + s_1 + t_1 = 7$. By Lemma 7, $(K_{8,8}; C_m^4)$ exists for m = 4, 8 or 16. By Lemma 1, $(kK_{8,8}; C_m^4)$ exists. If (r, s, t) is a pair with $r + s + t = n - 1 = 4 \cdot (2k - 2) + 7$, it is not difficult to see that $(r, s, t) = (r_1, s_1, t_1) + 4(r_2, s_2, t_2)$, where $r_1 + s_1 + t_1 = 7$ and $r_2 + s_2 + t_2 = 2k - 2$. Now, factor $kK_{16} - I_n$ into $r_1 C_4$ -factors, $s_1 C_8$ -factors and $t_1 C_{16}$ -factors. By Lemma 2, we can factor $r_2(kK_{8,8})$ into $4r_2 C_4$ -factors, $s_2(kK_{8,8})$ into $4s_2 C_8$ -factors and $t_2(kK_{8,8})$ into $4t_2 C_{16}$ -factors. Hence, by Lemma 2, $(K_{2n} - I_n; C_4^r, C_8^r, C_{16}^t)$ exists for r + s + t = n - 1.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank the referees for their helpful comments.

References

- 1. P. Adams, E. J. Billington and D. E. Bryant, On the Hamilton-Waterloo Problem, *Graph and Combinatorics*, **18** (2002), 13-51.
- B. Alspach and R. Haggkvist, Some Oberservation on the Oberwolfach Problem, J. Graph Theory, 9 (1985), 177-187.
- 3. B. Alspach, P. J. Schellenberg, D. R. Stinson and D. Wagner, The Oberwolfach Problem and Factors of Uniform Odd Length Cycles, J. Combinatorial Theory (A), 52 (1989), 20-43.
- 4. C. J. Colbourn and J. H. Dinitz (Eds.), *The CRC Handbook of Combinatorial Design*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL. 1996.
- 5. P. Danziger, G. Quattrocchi and B. Stevens, *The Hamilton-Waterloo Problem for Cycle Size 3 and 4*, in preprints.
- D. G. Hoffman and P. J. Schellenberg, The existence of Ck-factorization of K2n − F, Discrete Math., 97 (1991), 243-250.
- 7. P. Horak, R. Nedela and A. Rosa, The Hamilton-Waterloo Problem: the case of Hamilton cycles and triangle-factors, *Discrete Math.*, **284** (2004), 181-188.
- W. Piotrowski, The Solution of the Bipartite Analogue of the Oberwolfach Problem, Discrete Math., 97 (1991), 339-356.

Hung-Lin Fu Department of Applied Mathematics, National Chiao Tung University, Hsin Chu 30050, Taiwan E-mail: hlfu@math.nctu.edu.tw

Kuo-Ching Huang Department of Applied Mathematics, Providence University, Shalu 43301, Taiwan