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#### Abstract

In this paper, we introduce a class of functions $(k, A, B, \alpha)-$ $U C V$ which is convex in the unit disk. We give some results for the class $(k, A, B, \alpha)-U C V$, integral operators and radius of $k$-uniform convexity. Further, the proofs of distortion theorems for fractional calculus for functions $(k, A, B, \alpha)-U C V$ is given.


## 1. Introduction

Let $H$ denote the class of functions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are analytic the open unit disk $\mathbb{U}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$ and let $S$ denote the class of functions (1.1), analytic and univalent in $\mathbb{U}$. By $C V$, we denote the subclass of convex and univalent functions defined by the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
C V=\left\{f \in S: \operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}}{f^{\prime}}\right\}>0 \quad, z \in \mathbb{U}\right\} . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In 1991, Goodman in [3] gave the following definition and theorem for the class $U C V$.

Definition A. A function $f \in H$ is said to be uniformly convex in $\mathbb{U}$, if it is convex in $\mathbb{U}$, and has the property that for every circular arc $\gamma$, contained in $\mathbb{U}$, with center $\zeta$, also in $\mathbb{U}, \operatorname{arcf}(\gamma)$ is convex.

[^0]For $\gamma=0$, we obtain the class $C V$, and also that if $\gamma$ is a complete circle contained in $\mathbb{U}$, it is well known that $f(\gamma)$ is a convex curve also for $f \in C V$.

Theorem A. Let $f \in H$. Then $f \in U C V$ if and only if

$$
R e\left\{1+\frac{(z-\zeta) f^{\prime \prime}}{f^{\prime}}\right\} \geq 0
$$

for $(z, \zeta) \in \mathbb{U} \times \mathbb{U}$.
Also,in 1999, Kanas et al. in [4] gave the following definition and theorem.
Definition B. Let $0 \leq k<\infty$.A function $f \in S$ said to be $k$-uniformly convex in $\mathbb{U}$, if the image of every circular $\operatorname{arc} \gamma$, contained in $\mathbb{U}$, with center $\zeta$, where $|\zeta| \leq k$, is convex.

For fixed $k$, the class of all $k$-uniformly convex functions is denoted by $k-U C V$. Note that $0-U C V=C V$ and $1-U C V=U C V$ in [3].

Theorem B. L et $f \in H$ and $0 \leq k<\infty$. Then $f \in k-U C V$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
R e\left\{1+\frac{(z-\zeta) f^{\prime \prime}}{f^{\prime}}\right\} \geq 0 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $z \in \mathbb{U}$ and $|\zeta| \leq k$.
Let $T$ denote the subclass of $S$ whose elements can be expressed in the form,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}, \quad a_{n}>0 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

A function $f \in T$ is said to be in the class $(k, A, B, \alpha)-U C V$ if it satisfies the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
R e\left\{1+\frac{(z-\zeta) f^{\prime \prime}}{f^{\prime}}\right\} \geq \alpha \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $|\zeta| \leq k, \quad \alpha(0 \leq \alpha<1)$ and all $z \in \mathbb{U}$.
In other words, a function $f$ belonging to the class $T$ is said to be in the class $(k, A, B, \alpha)-U C V$ iff it satisfies the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{(z-\zeta) f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{(A-B)(1-\alpha) f^{\prime}(z)+B(z-\zeta) f^{\prime \prime}(z)}\right|<1 \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $-1 \leq B<A \leq 1, \quad-1 \leq B<0, \quad 0 \leq \alpha<1, \quad|\zeta| \leq k$ and all $z \in \mathbb{U}$.

The class $k-U C V$ was introduced by Kanas et al.[4], where its geometric definition and connections with the conic domains were considered. Kanas and Srivastava [5] studied further developments involving the class $k-U C V$. Also, Gangadharan et al.[2] use linear operator in order to establish a number of connections between the class $k-U C V$ and various other subclasses of $H$.

The aim of this paper is to give various basic properties of functions belonging to general class $(k, A, B, \alpha)-U C V$, radius of $k$-uniform convexity. We also prove several distortion theorems in fractional calculus for functions in the class $(k, A, B, \alpha)-U C V$.

## 2. Some Results for the Class $(k, A, B, \alpha)-U C V$

Theorem 2.1. A function $f \in T$ is in the class $(k, A, B, \alpha)-U C V$ iff

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}[(1-B)(1+k)(n-1)+(A-B)(1-\alpha)] n a_{n} \leq(A-B)(1-\alpha) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The result is sharp.
Proof. Suppose that $f \in(k, A, B, \alpha)-U C V$. Then we have from (1.6) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\left|\frac{(z-\zeta) f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{(A-B)(1-\alpha) f^{\prime}(z)+B(z-\zeta) f^{\prime \prime}(z)}\right| \\
& (A-B)(1-\alpha)\left(1-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n a_{n} z^{n-1}\right)+B(z-\zeta) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1) a_{n} z^{n-2}
\end{aligned}<1 .
$$

Since $\operatorname{Re}(z) \leq|z|$ for all $z \in \mathbb{U}$.

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{(z-\zeta) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1) a_{n} z^{n-2}}{(A-B)(1-\alpha)\left(1-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n a_{n} z^{n-1}\right)+B(z-\zeta) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1) a_{n} z^{n-2}}\right\}<1
$$

If we choose $z$ and $\zeta$ real and letting $z \rightarrow 1^{-}$and $\zeta \rightarrow-k^{+}$, we have

$$
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}[(1-B)(1+k)(n-1)+(A-B)(1-\alpha)] n a_{n} \leq(A-B)(1-\alpha)
$$

which is equivalent to (2.1). Conversely, assume that (2.1) is true and $|z|=1$ and $|\zeta| \leq k$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|(z-\zeta) f^{\prime \prime}(z)\right|-\left|(A-B)(1-\alpha) f^{\prime}(z)+B(z-\zeta) f^{\prime \prime}(z)\right| \\
\leq & \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}[(1-B)(1+|\zeta|)(n-1)+(A-B)(1-\alpha)] n a_{n}-(A-B)(1-\alpha) \\
\leq & \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}[(1-B)(1+k)(n-1)+(A-B)(1-\alpha)] n a_{n}-(A-B)(1-\alpha) \leq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

by hypothesis. This implies that $f \in(k, A, B, \alpha)-U C V$.

The result (2.1) is sharp for the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z-\frac{(A-B)(1-\alpha)}{[(1-B)(1+k)(n-1)+(A-B)(1-\alpha)] n} z^{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}, 0 \leq k<\infty \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark. We note that $(0,1,-1, \alpha)-U C V \equiv C(\alpha)$. Therefore, our class ( $k, A, B, \alpha)-U C V$ is the generalization of $C(\alpha)$ by Silverman [8].

Theorem 2.2. Let the function $f$ and $g$ be in the class $(k, A, B, \alpha)-U C V$. Then for $\lambda \in[0,1]$, the function $h(z)=(1-\lambda) f(z)+\lambda g(z)=z-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} c_{n} z^{n}$ is in the class $(k, A, B, \alpha)-U C V$.

Proof. Since the function $f$ and $g$ be in the class $(k, A, B, \alpha)-U C V$, they satisfy the inequality (2.1). Therefore, if we define the function $h(z)$ by

$$
h(z)=(1-\lambda) f(z)+\lambda g(z)=z-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} c_{n} z^{n}, \quad c_{n}=(1-\lambda) a_{n}+\lambda b_{n}>0
$$

be in the class $T$, we can get the result.
Theorem 2.3. Let $f_{1}(z)=z$ and $f_{n}(z)=z-\frac{(A-B)(1-\alpha)}{[(1-B)(1+k)(n-1)+(A-B)(1-\alpha)] n} z^{n}$ for $0 \leq \alpha<1, \quad 0 \leq k<\infty$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $f \in(k, A, B, \alpha)-U C V$ iff it can be expressed in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=\lambda_{1} f_{1}(z)+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \lambda_{n} f_{n}(z) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda_{n} \geq 0$ and $\lambda_{1}=1-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \lambda_{n}$.

## Proof. Suppose that

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(z) & =\lambda_{1} f_{1}(z)+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \lambda_{n} f_{n}(z) \\
& =z-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(A-B)(1-\alpha)}{[(1-B)(1+k)(n-1)+(A-B)(1-\alpha)] n} \lambda_{n} z^{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then from Theorem 2.1, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}[(1-B)(1+k)(n-1)+(A-B)(1-\alpha)] n \\
& \frac{(A-B)(1-\alpha)}{[(1-B)(1+k)(n-1)+(A-B)(1-\alpha)] n} \lambda_{n} \\
\leq & (A-B)(1-\alpha) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $f \in(k, A, B, \alpha)-U C V$. Conversely, let $f \in(k, A, B, \alpha)-U C V$. Then

$$
a_{n} \leq \frac{(A-B)(1-\alpha)}{[(1-B)(1+k)(n-1)+(A-B)(1-\alpha)] n} .
$$

Setting $\lambda_{n}=\frac{[(1-B)(1+k)(n-1)+(A-B)(1-\alpha)] n}{(A-B)(1-\alpha)} a_{n}$ and $\lambda_{1}=1-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \lambda_{n}$, we see that $f(z)$ can be expressed in the form (2.3).

Corollary 2.1. The extreme points of the class $(k, A, B, \alpha)-U C V$ are

$$
f_{1}(z)=z \text { and } f_{n}(z)=z-\frac{(A-B)(1-\alpha)}{[(1-B)(1+k)(n-1)+(A-B)(1-\alpha)] n} z^{n}, n \in \mathbb{N} .
$$

Definition 2.1. For the functions

$$
f(z)=z-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}, \quad\left(a_{n}>0\right) \quad \text { and } \quad g(z)=z-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n}, \quad\left(b_{n}>0\right),
$$

the modified Hadamard product is denoted by

$$
(f * g)(z)=z-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} b_{n} z^{n} .
$$

We now prove the following.
Theorem 2.4. If $f, g \in(k, A, B, \alpha)-U C V$, then $(f * g) \in(k, A, B, \beta)-U C V$ where

$$
\beta=1-\frac{(A-B)(1-\alpha)^{2}(1-B)(1+k)}{[(1-B)(1+k)+(A-B)(1-\alpha)]^{2}+[(1-B)(1+k)+2(A-B)(1-\alpha)](1-B)(1+k)} .
$$

The result is sharp for the functions $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ given by

$$
f(z)=g(z)=z-\frac{(A-B)(1-\alpha)}{2[(1-B)(1+k)+(A-B)(1-\alpha)]} z^{2}
$$

where $0 \leq \alpha<1$ and $0 \leq k<\infty$.
Proof. From Theorem 2.1, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{[(1-B)(1+k)(n-1)+(A-B)(1-\alpha)] n}{(A-B)(1-\alpha)} a_{n} \leq 1 . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{[(1-B)(1+k)(n-1)+(A-B)(1-\alpha)] n}{(A-B)(1-\alpha)} b_{n} \leq 1 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have to find the largest $\beta$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{[(1-B)(1+k)(n-1)+(A-B)(1-\beta)] n}{(A-B)(1-\beta)} a_{n} b_{n} \leq 1 . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.4) and (2.5), we find,by means of Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{[(1-B)(1+k)(n-1)+(A-B)(1-\alpha)] n}{(A-B)(1-\alpha)} \sqrt{a_{n} b_{n}} \leq 1 \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore (2.6) holds true if

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{[(1-B)(1+k)(n-1)+(A-B)(1-\beta)] n}{(A-B)(1-\beta)} a_{n} b_{n} \\
\leq & \frac{[(1-B)(1+k)(n-1)+(A-B)(1-\alpha)] n}{(A-B)(1-\alpha)} \sqrt{a_{n} b_{n}}
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\sqrt{a_{n} b_{n}} \leq \frac{(1-\beta)}{(1-\alpha)} \frac{[(1-B)(1+k)(n-1)+(A-B)(1-\alpha)]}{[(1-B)(1+k)(n-1)+(A-B)(1-\beta)]} .
$$

Note that from (2.7)

$$
\sqrt{a_{n} b_{n}} \leq \frac{(A-B)(1-\alpha)}{[(1-B)(1+k)(n-1)+(A-B)(1-\alpha))] n}
$$

Thus if

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{(A-B)(1-\alpha)}{[(1-B)(1+k)(n-1)+(A-B)(1-\alpha))] n} \\
\leq \frac{(1-\beta)}{(1-\alpha)} \frac{[(1-B)(1+k)(n-1)+(A-B)(1-\alpha)]}{[(1-B)(1+k)(n-1)+(A-B)(1-\beta)]}
\end{gathered}
$$

or, equivalently, if

$$
\beta \leq 1-\frac{(A-B)(1-\alpha)^{2}(1-B)(1+k)}{(1-B)^{2}(1+k)^{2} n(n-1)+2 n(A-B)(1-\alpha)(1-B)(1+k)+(A-B)^{2}(1-\alpha)^{2}} .
$$

Defining the function $\Theta(n)$ by
$\Theta(n)=1-\frac{(A-B)(1-\alpha)^{2}(1-B)(1+k)}{(1-B)^{2}(1+k)^{2} n(n-1)+2 n(A-B)(1-\alpha)(1-B)(1+k)+(A-B)^{2}(1-\alpha)^{2}}$,
we can see that $\Theta(n)$ is an increasing function of $n$. Therefore,
$\beta \leq \Theta(2)=1-\frac{(A-B)(1-\alpha)^{2}(1-B)(1+k)}{[(1-B)(1+k)+(A-B)(1-\alpha)]^{2}+[(1-B)(1+k)+2(A-B)(1-\alpha)](1-B)(1+k)}$
which completes the assertion of theorem.

## 3. Integral Operators

Theorem 3.1. Let $c$ be real number such that $c>-1$. If $f \in(k, A, B, \alpha)-$ $U C V$, then the function $F$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=\frac{c+1}{z^{c}} \int_{0}^{z} t^{c-1} f(t) d t \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

also belongs to $(k, A, B, \alpha)-U C V$.
Proof. Let $f(z)=z-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}$. Then from representation of $F$, it follows that

$$
F(z)=z-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n} \quad \text { where } \quad b_{n}=\left(\frac{c+1}{c+n}\right) a_{n}
$$

Therefore using Theorem 2.1 for the coefficients of $F$, we obtain $F \in(k, A, B, \alpha)-$ $U C V$.

Theorem 3.2. Let $c$ be real number such that $c>-1$. If $F \in(k, A, B, \alpha)-$ $U C V$, then the function $f$ defined by (3.1) is univalent in $|z|<R^{*}$, where

$$
R^{*}=\inf _{n}\left\{\left[\frac{[(1-B)(1+k)(n-1)+(A-B)(1-\alpha)]}{(A-B)(1-\alpha)}\left(\frac{c+1}{c+n}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{n-1}}\right\} .
$$

The result is sharp. The sharpness follows if we take

$$
f(z)=z-\left(\frac{c+n}{c+1}\right) \frac{(A-B)(1-\alpha)}{n[(1-B)(1+k)(n-1)+(A-B)(1-\alpha)]} z^{n} .
$$

## 4. Radius of $k$-Uniform Convexity

The following known result for the class $k-U C V$ will be required in our investigation.

Lemma A. (See [1]) Let $f \in H$ and $0 \leq k<\infty$. Then $f \in k-U C V$ iff

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}}{f^{\prime}}\right\}>k\left|\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}}{f^{\prime}}\right|+\alpha
$$

where $0 \leq \alpha<1$ and $z \in \mathbb{U}$.
Theorem 4.1. Let the function $f$ be defined by (1.4)be in the class $(k, A, B, \alpha)-$ $U C V$ of order $\delta(0 \leq \delta<1), \quad 0 \leq \alpha+\delta<1$. Then $f$ is $k$-uniform convex in $|z|<R(k, A, B, \alpha, \delta)$, where

$$
\begin{align*}
& |z|<R(k, A, B, \alpha, \delta) \\
= & \inf _{n}\left\{\frac{[(1-B)(1+k)(n-1)+(A-B)(1-\alpha)](1-\delta-\alpha)}{[k(n-1)+(1-\delta-\alpha)](A-B)(1-\alpha)}\right\}^{\frac{1}{n-1}} . \tag{4.1}
\end{align*}
$$

The result is sharp.
Proof. In order to establish the required result in Theorem 4.1, it is sufficient to show that

$$
k\left|\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}}{f^{\prime}}\right|+\alpha \leq 1-\delta \quad \text { for } \quad|z|<R(k, A, B, \alpha, \delta) .
$$

## 5. Distortion Theorems Involving Fractional Calculus

In this section, we shall prove several distortion theorems for functions to general class $(k, A, B, \alpha)-U C V$. Each of these theorems would involve certain operators of fractional calculus which are defined as follows [6,7,9,10].

Definition 5.1. The fractional integral of order $\lambda$ is defined, for a function $f$, by

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{z}^{-\lambda} f(z)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \int_{0}^{z} \frac{f(\xi)}{(z-\xi)^{1-\lambda}} d \xi \quad ;(\lambda>0) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f$ is an analytic function in a simply - connected region of the $z$-plane containing the origin, and the multiplicity of $(z-\xi)^{\lambda-1}$ is removed by requiring $\log (z-\xi)$ to be real when $z-\xi>0$.

Definition 5.2. The fractional derivative of order $\lambda$ is defined, for a function $f$, by

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{z}^{\lambda} f(z)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\lambda)} \frac{d}{d z} \int_{0}^{z} \frac{f(\xi)}{(z-\xi)^{\lambda}} d \xi ;(0 \leq \lambda<1) \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f$ is constrained, and the multiplicity of $(z-\xi)^{-\lambda}$ is removed, as in Definition 5.1.

Definition 5.3. Under the hypotheses of Definition 5.2, the fractional derivative of order $(n+\lambda)$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{z}^{n+\lambda} f(z)=\frac{d^{n}}{d z^{n}} D_{z}^{\lambda} f(z) \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0 \leq \lambda<1$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}=\mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$. From Definition 5.2, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{z}^{0} f(z)=f(z) \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, in view of Definition 5.3 yields,

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{z}^{n+0} f(z)=\frac{d^{n}}{d z^{n}} D_{z}^{0} f(z)=f^{n}(z) \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, it follows from (5.4) and (5.5) that

$$
\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow 0} D_{z}^{-\lambda} f(z)=f(z) \quad \text { and } \quad \lim _{\lambda \rightarrow 0} D_{z}^{1-\lambda} f(z)=f^{\prime}(z)
$$

Theorem 5.1. Let $f \in(k, A, B, \alpha)-U C V$. Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|D_{z}^{-\lambda} f(z)\right| \\
& \quad \leq|z|^{1+\lambda}\left\{\frac{1}{\Gamma(\lambda+2)}+\frac{(A-B)(1-\alpha)}{\Gamma(\lambda+3)[(1-B)(1+k)+(A-B)(1-\alpha)]}|z|\right\} \tag{5.6}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|D_{z}^{-\lambda} f(z)\right| \geq|z|^{1+\lambda}\left\{\frac{1}{\Gamma(\lambda+2)}-\frac{(A-B)(1-\alpha)}{\Gamma(\lambda+3)[(1-B)(1+k)+(A-B)(1-\alpha)]}|z|\right\} \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $z \in \mathbb{U}$ and $\lambda>0$. The inequalities in (5.6) and (5.7) are attained for the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z-\frac{(A-B)(1-\alpha)}{2[(1-B)(1+k)+(A-B)(1-\alpha)]} z^{2} . \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Using Theorem 2.1, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} \leq \frac{(A-B)(1-\alpha)}{2[(1-B)(1+k)+(A-B)(1-\alpha)]} \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Definition 5.1, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{z}^{-\lambda} f(z) z^{-\lambda} \Gamma(\lambda+2)=z-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+1) \Gamma(\lambda+2)}{\Gamma(n+\lambda+1)} a_{n} z^{n}=z-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \psi_{n} a_{n} z^{n} \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\psi_{n}=\frac{\Gamma(n+1) \Gamma(\lambda+2)}{\Gamma(n+\lambda+1)}, \quad(n \geq 2)
$$

Since

$$
0<\psi_{n} \leq \psi(2)=\frac{2}{2+\lambda},
$$

using (5.9) and (5.10), we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|D_{z}^{-\lambda} f(z) z^{-\lambda} \Gamma(\lambda+2)\right| \leq|z|+\psi(2)|z|^{2} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} \\
\leq & |z|+\frac{\Gamma(\lambda+2)(A-B)(1-\alpha)^{2}}{\Gamma(\lambda+3)[(1-B)(1+k)+(A-B)(1-\alpha)]}|z|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|D_{z}^{-\lambda} f(z) z^{-\lambda} \Gamma(\lambda+2)\right| \geq|z|-\psi(2)|z|^{2} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} \\
\geq & |z|-\frac{\Gamma(\lambda+2)(A-B)(1-\alpha)^{2}}{\Gamma(\lambda+3)[(1-B)(1+k)+(A-B)(1-\alpha)]}|z|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

which are equivalent to (5.6) and (5.7), respectively.

Theorem 5.2. Let $f \in(k, A, B, \alpha)-U C V$. Then we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|D_{z}^{\lambda} f(z)\right| \leq \frac{|z|^{1-\lambda}}{\Gamma(2-\lambda)}\left\{1+\frac{(A-B)(1-\alpha)}{[(1-B)(1+k)+(A-B)(1-\alpha)]}|z|\right\} \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|D_{z}^{\lambda} f(z)\right| \geq \frac{|z|^{1-\lambda}}{\Gamma(2-\lambda)}\left\{1-\frac{(A-B)(1-\alpha)}{[(1-B)(1+k)+(A-B)(1-\alpha)]}|z|\right\} \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $z \in \mathbb{U}$ and $0 \leq \lambda<1$. The inequalities in (5.11) and (5.12) are attained for the function $f$ given by (5.8).

Proof. Using similar argument as given by Theorem 5.1, we can get result.

Corollary 5.1. If $f \in(k, A, B, \alpha)-U C V$, then we find that for $|z|=r<1$

$$
\begin{align*}
& r-\frac{(A-B)(1-\alpha)}{2[(1-B)(1+k)+(A-B)(1-\alpha)]} r^{2} \leq|f(z)| \\
\leq & r+\frac{(A-B)(1-\alpha)}{2[(1-B)(1+k)+(A-B)(1-\alpha)]} r^{2} \tag{5.13}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& 1-\frac{(A-B)(1-\alpha)}{(1-B)(1+k)+(A-B)(1-\alpha)} r \leq\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|  \tag{5.14}\\
\leq & 1+\frac{(A-B)(1-\alpha)}{(1-B)(1+k)+(A-B)(1-\alpha)} r .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. From (5.4), letting $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ in (5.6)-(5.7) and $\lambda \rightarrow 1$ in (5.11)-(5.12), we have (5.13) and (5.14), respectively.

Theorem 5.3. Let $f \in(k, A, B, \alpha)-U C V$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|D_{z}^{1-\lambda} f(z)\right| \\
\geq & \max \left\{0, \frac{1}{\Gamma(\lambda+2)}|z|^{\lambda}\left((1-\lambda)-\frac{[\Gamma(\lambda+3)+\lambda \Gamma(\lambda+2)](A-B)(1-\alpha)}{\Gamma(\lambda+3)[(1-B)(1+k)+(A-B)(1-\alpha)]}|z|\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|D_{z}^{1-\lambda} f(z)\right| \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\lambda+2)}|z|^{\lambda}\left\{(1+\lambda)-\frac{[\Gamma(\lambda+3)+\lambda \Gamma(\lambda+2)](A-B)(1-\alpha)}{\Gamma(\lambda+3)[(1-B)(1+k)+(A-B)(1-\alpha)]}|z|\right\} \\
& \text { for } z \in \mathbb{U} \text { and } \lambda>0 \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. From (5.14), we find required results.
Corollary 5.3. Under the hypothesis of Theorem 5.1, $\left|D_{z}^{-\lambda} f(z)\right|$ is included in a disk with center at the origin and radius $R_{1}^{-\lambda}$ given by

$$
R_{1}^{-\lambda}=\frac{\Gamma(\lambda+3)(1-B)(1+k)+[\Gamma(\lambda+2)+\Gamma(\lambda+3)](A-B)(1-\alpha)}{\Gamma(\lambda+2) \Gamma(\lambda+3)[(1-B)(1+k)+(A-B)(1-\alpha)]} .
$$

Furthermore $\left|D_{z}^{1-\lambda} f(z)\right|$ is included in a disk with center at the origin and radius $R_{2}^{1-\lambda}$ given by

$$
R_{2}^{1-\lambda}=\frac{[\lambda(2+\lambda) \Gamma(\lambda+3) \Gamma(\lambda+2)](A-B)(1-\alpha)+(1+\lambda) \Gamma(\lambda+3)(1-B)(1+k)}{\Gamma(\lambda+2) \Gamma(\lambda+3)[(1-B)(1+k)+(A-B)(1-\alpha)]} .
$$
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