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#### Abstract

Let $B$ be a subset of positive integers, and $\mathcal{P}$ the set of all positive primes. For a subset $A$ of positive integers, $A(x)$ denotes the number of integers in $A$ not exceeding $x$. Let $\mathcal{S}$ denote the set of integers of the form $p+b$ with $p \in \mathcal{P}$ and $b \in B$. In this paper, we prove that if $B(x) \gg \log x / \log \log x$ and $B(c x) \gg B(x)$ for some positive constant $c<1$, then $\mathcal{S}(x) \gg x / \log \log x$. This result is best possible in a sense: For any positive integer $m$, we construct an explicit subset $B$ of positive integers with $B(x) \gg(\log x)^{m}$ and $B(c x) \gg B(x)$ for any positive constant $c<1$ such that $\mathcal{S}(x) \ll x / \log \log x$. We also give an application to the integers of the form $p+2^{a^{2}}+2^{b^{2}}$, where $p \in \mathcal{P}$ and $a, b$ are integers. Two open problems are posed for further research.


## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{N}$ denote the set of all nonnegative integers and $\mathcal{P}$ denote the set of all positive primes. In 1849, Polignac [18] conjectured that every odd number greater than 3 can be represented as the sum of an odd prime and a power of 2 . He found a counterexample soon. In 1934, Romanoff [19] proved that the set

$$
\left\{p+2^{a}: p \in \mathcal{P}, a \in \mathbb{N}\right\}
$$

has a positive lower density. In 1950, van der Corput [7] proved that there are a positive proportion of positive odd integers not of the form $p+2^{a}$ with $p \in \mathcal{P}$ and $a \in \mathbb{N}$. In the same year, using covering congruences, Erdos [10] constructed an arithmetic progression consisting only of odd numbers, no term of which is of the form $p+2^{a}$. In recent years, developing the idea of ErdBs, many authors study on this subject. One can refer to [1-6, 9, 11, 14, 20-26].
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In [8], Crocker proved that there exist infinitely many odd positive integers $x$ not of the form $p+2^{a}+2^{b}$. In 2011, Pan [16] proved that

$$
\sharp\left\{n \in[1, x]: n \text { is odd and not of the form } p^{\alpha}+2^{a}+2^{b}\right\} \gg_{\epsilon} x^{1-\epsilon}
$$

for any $\epsilon>0$, where $\gg_{\epsilon}$ means the implied constant only depends on $\epsilon$.
Recently, Pan and Zhang [17] proved the sets $\left\{p^{2}+b^{2}+2^{n}: p \in \mathcal{P}, b, n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ and $\left\{b_{1}^{2}+b_{2}^{2}+2^{n^{2}}: b_{1}, b_{2}, n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ have positive lower densities. Conversely, they also proved that there exists a residue class with an odd modulus that contains no integer of each form.

Throughout this paper, Vinogradov's notation $f(x) \ll g(x)$ (or $g(x) \gg f(x)$ ) means $f(x)=O(g(x))$. For a subset $A$ of $\mathbb{N}, A(x)$ denotes the number of integers in $A$ not exceeding $x$. Let $\pi(x)=\mathcal{P}(x)$.

A subset $B$ of $\mathbb{N}$ is said to satisfy $c$-condition if $B(c x) \gg B(x)$ for some positive constant $c<1$.

In this paper, we shall study the sumset

$$
\mathcal{S}=\{p+b: \quad p \in \mathcal{P}, b \in B\}
$$

where $B$ is a subset of $\mathbb{N}$ with $c$-condition.
The following theorems are proved.
Theorem 1. For any subset $B$ of positive integers with $c$-condition, we have

$$
\frac{x}{\log x} \min \left\{B(x), \frac{\log x}{\log \log x}\right\} \ll \mathcal{S}(x) \ll \frac{x}{\log x} \min \{B(x), \log x\}
$$

From Theorem 1, we have the following corollaries immediately.
Corollary 1. If $B(x) \ll \log x / \log \log x$ and $B$ satisfies $c$-condition, then

$$
\frac{x}{\log x} B(x) \ll \mathcal{S}(x) \ll \frac{x}{\log x} B(x)
$$

Corollary 2. Let $Q=\left\{n: n=p+2^{q}, p, q \in \mathcal{P}\right\}$. Then

$$
\frac{x}{\log \log x} \ll Q(x) \ll \frac{x}{\log \log x}
$$

Remark 1. Theorem 1.13 in [5] is a quantitative version of Corollary 2.
Corollary 3. If $B(x) \gg \log x / \log \log x$ and $B$ satisfies $c$-condition, then

$$
\mathcal{S}(x) \gg \frac{x}{\log \log x}
$$

> Let $W=\left\{2^{a^{2}}+2^{b^{2}}: a, b \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$. Since
> $W(x / 2) \geq \frac{1}{2}\left|\left\{2^{a^{2}} \leq x / 4: a \in \mathbb{N}\right\}\right|^{2} \gg \log x \gg\left|\left\{2^{a^{2}} \leq x: a \in \mathbb{N}\right\}\right|^{2} \geq W(x)$,
it follows that $W$ satisfies $c$-condition and $\log x \ll W(x) \ll \log x$. By Corollary 3 we have the following corollary.

Corollary 4. Let $V=\left\{n: n=p+2^{a^{2}}+2^{b^{2}}, p \in \mathcal{P}, a, b \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$. Then

$$
V(x) \gg \frac{x}{\log \log x} .
$$

The next theorem shows that the lower bounds in Theorem 1 and Corollary 3 are best possible in a sense.

Theorem 2. For any positive integers $m$, there exists a subset $B$ of $\mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
B(x)=\frac{1+o(1)}{m+1}\left(\frac{\log x}{\log \log x}\right)^{m+1} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\frac{x}{\log \log x} \ll \mathcal{S}(x) \ll \frac{x}{\log \log x} .
$$

Remark 2. By (1) we know that the set $B$ in Theorem 2 satisfies $c$-condition.
Now we pose two problems for further research.
Problem 1. Does there exist a real number $\alpha>0$ and a subset $B$ of $\mathbb{N}$ with $c$-condition such that $B(x) \gg x^{\alpha}$ and $\mathcal{S}(x) \ll x / \log \log x$ ?

Problem 2. Does there exist a positive integer $k$ such that the set of positive integers which can be represented as $p+\sum_{i=1}^{k} 2^{a_{i}^{2}}$ with $p \in \mathcal{P}$ and $a_{i} \in \mathbb{N}$ has the positive lower density? If such $k$ exists, what is the minimal value of such $k$ ?

## 2. Proofs

In this section, $p$ always denotes a prime.
Lemma 1. (see [15, Theorem 7.3].) Let $N$ be a positive even integer, and let $\pi_{N}(x)$ denote the number of primes $p$ up to $x$ such that $p+N$ is also prime. Then

$$
\pi_{N}(x) \ll \frac{x}{(\log x)^{2}} \prod_{p \mid N}\left(1+\frac{1}{p}\right) .
$$

Remark 3. If $N$ is a positive odd integer, then $\pi_{N}(x) \leq 1$.

Lemma 2. Let $\Phi(x, y)$ denote the number of positive integers $n<x$ that are not divisible by any prime $p<y$. Then

$$
\Phi(x, y) \leq x \prod_{p<y}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)+2^{y} \ll \frac{x}{\log y}+2^{y}
$$

Lemma 2 follows from (5.4), (5.5) and (5.7) in [12, Chapter 1].
Proof of Theorem 1. The number of pairs $(p, b)$ with $p \leq x, p \in \mathcal{P}$ and $b \leq x, b \in$ $B$ is $\pi(x) B(x)$. So the upper bound is clear.

Now we shall prove

$$
\mathcal{S}(x) \gg \frac{x}{\log x} \min \left\{B(x), \frac{\log x}{\log \log x}\right\} .
$$

Let $r(N)$ denote the number of solutions of the equation $N=p+b$, where $p \in \mathcal{P}$ and $b \in B$.

First we estimate the upper bound of $\sum_{N \leq x} r(N)^{2}$.
Since $r(N)^{2}$ is the number of quadruples $\left(p_{1}, b_{1}, p_{2}, b_{2}\right)$ such that

$$
p_{1}+b_{1}=p_{2}+b_{2}=N, \quad p_{1}, p_{2} \in \mathcal{P}, b_{1}, b_{2} \in B
$$

it follows that

$$
\sum_{N \leq x} r(N)^{2}=\sharp\left\{\left(p_{1}, b_{1}, p_{2}, b_{2}\right): p_{1}+b_{1}=p_{2}+b_{2} \leq x, p_{1}, p_{2} \in \mathcal{P}, b_{1}, b_{2} \in B\right\}
$$

This value does not exceed the number of solutions of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{2}-p_{1}=b_{1}-b_{2}, \quad p_{1}, p_{2} \in \mathcal{P}, b_{1}, b_{2} \in B \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $p_{1}, p_{2}, b_{1}, b_{2} \leq x$.
If $b_{1}=b_{2}$, then $p_{1}=p_{2}$. Hence, the number of solutions of (2) in this case is at most

$$
\pi(x) B(x) \ll \frac{x}{\log x} B(x)
$$

Now, fix $b_{1}$ and $b_{2}$ such that $b_{1}-b_{2} \neq 0$. By Lemma 1 and Remark 3, we have

$$
\sharp\left\{\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right) \in \mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{P}: p_{2}-p_{1}=b_{1}-b_{2}, p_{1}, p_{2} \leq x\right\} \ll \frac{x}{(\log x)^{2}} \prod_{p \mid b_{1}-b_{2}}\left(1+\frac{1}{p}\right) .
$$

For any positive integer $h$, by $\phi(n) \gg n / \log \log n$ (see [13, Theorem 328]), we have

$$
\prod_{p \mid h}\left(1+\frac{1}{p}\right) \leq \prod_{p \mid h}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1}=\frac{h}{\phi(h)} \ll \log \log h
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{N \leq x} r(N)^{2} \\
\ll & \frac{x}{\log x} B(x)+\frac{x}{(\log x)^{2}} \sum_{\substack{b_{2}<b_{1} \leq x \\
b_{1}, b_{2} \in B}} \prod_{p \mid b_{1}-b_{2}}\left(1+\frac{1}{p}\right) \\
\ll & \frac{x}{\log x} B(x)+\frac{x}{(\log x)^{2}} B(x)^{2} \log \log x \\
\ll & \frac{x \log \log x}{(\log x)^{2}} B(x) \cdot \max \left\{\frac{\log x}{\log \log x}, B(x)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Next we estimate the lower bound of $\sum_{N \leq x} r(N)$.
Since $B$ satisfies $c$-condition, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{N \leq x} r(N) & =\sharp\{(p, b): p+b \leq x, p \in \mathcal{P}, b \in B\} \\
& \geq \sharp\{p \in \mathcal{P}: p \leq(1-c) x\} \cdot \sharp\{b \in B: b \leq c x\} \\
& \gg \frac{x}{\log x} B(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{x^{2}}{(\log x)^{2}} B(x)^{2} & \ll\left(\sum_{N \leq x} r(N)\right)^{2} \leq \mathcal{S}(x) \sum_{N \leq x} r(N)^{2} \\
& \leq \mathcal{S}(x) \frac{x \log \log x}{(\log x)^{2}} B(x) \max \left\{\frac{\log x}{\log \log x}, B(x)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\mathcal{S}(x) \gg \frac{x}{\log x} \min \left\{B(x), \frac{\log x}{\log \log x}\right\} .
$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.
Proof of Theorem 2. Let

$$
B=\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty}\left\{k p_{1} p_{2} \cdots p_{j}: 1 \leq k \leq j^{m}, p_{j+1} \nmid k\right\}
$$

where $p_{j}$ is the $j$ th prime.
For any real number $x \geq p_{1} p_{2} \cdots p_{m+1}$, there exists a positive integer $t \geq m+1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{1} p_{2} \cdots p_{t} \leq x<p_{1} p_{2} \cdots p_{t+1} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $i=1,2, \ldots, t-m$, we have

$$
i^{m} \cdot p_{1} p_{2} \cdots p_{i} \leq(t-m)^{m} \cdot p_{1} p_{2} \cdots p_{t-m} \leq p_{1} p_{2} \cdots p_{t} \leq x
$$

Hence,

$$
C:=\bigcup_{j=1}^{t-m}\left\{k p_{1} p_{2} \cdots p_{j}: 1 \leq k \leq j^{m}, p_{j+1} \nmid k\right\} \subseteq B \cap[1, x] .
$$

Clearly, we have

$$
|(B \cap[1, x]) \backslash C| \leq(t-m+1)^{m}+(t-m+2)^{m}+\cdots+t^{m} \ll t^{m}
$$

Now we estimate the cardinality of $C$. Noting that the set $C$ is the union of disjoint sets, we have

$$
|C|=\sum_{j=1}^{t-m}\left(j^{m}-\left\lfloor\frac{j^{m}}{p_{j+1}}\right\rfloor\right)
$$

Since $p_{j+1} \geq j$ and

$$
\frac{(t-m)^{m+1}}{m+1}=\int_{0}^{t-m} x^{m} d x \leq \sum_{j=1}^{t-m} j^{m} \leq \int_{1}^{t-m+1} x^{m} d x<\frac{(t-m+1)^{m+1}}{m+1}
$$

we have

$$
|C|=\sum_{j=1}^{t-m} j^{m}+O\left(\sum_{j=1}^{t-m} j^{m-1}\right)=\frac{1+o(1)}{m+1}(t-m)^{m+1}=\frac{1+o(1)}{m+1} t^{m+1}
$$

Thus

$$
B(x)=|(B \cap[1, x]) \backslash C|+|C|=\frac{1+o(1)}{m+1} t^{m+1}
$$

By [13, Theorems 6 and 420] and [13, Theorem 8], we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{p \leq x} \log p=(1+o(1)) x, \quad p_{n}=(1+o(1)) n \log n \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, by (3) and (4), we have

$$
\log x \geq \sum_{p \leq p_{t}} \log p=(1+o(1)) p_{t}=(1+o(1)) t \log t
$$

and

$$
\log x<\sum_{p \leq p_{t+1}} \log p=(1+o(1)) p_{t+1}=(1+o(1)) t \log t
$$

It follows that

$$
t=\frac{(1+o(1)) \log x}{\log \log x}
$$

Hence, we have

$$
B(x)=\frac{1+o(1)}{m+1}\left(\frac{\log x}{\log \log x}\right)^{m+1}
$$

It is clear that $B$ satisfies $c$-condition. By Theorem 1, we have $\mathcal{S}(x) \gg x / \log \log x$. Next we prove that

$$
\mathcal{S}(x) \ll \frac{x}{\log \log x}
$$

For any integer $h$ with $1 \leq h \leq t$, let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{h}=\bigcup_{j=1}^{h}\left\{k p_{1} p_{2} \cdots p_{j}: 1 \leq k \leq j^{m}, p_{j+1} \nmid k\right\}, \\
& \mathcal{S}_{1}(x)=\sharp\left\{n \leq x: n=p+b, p \in \mathcal{P}, b \in B_{h}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{S}_{2}(x)=\sharp\left\{n \leq x: n=p+b, p \in \mathcal{P}, b \in B \backslash B_{h}\right\} .
$$

Clearly, we have $\mathcal{S}(x) \leq \mathcal{S}_{1}(x)+\mathcal{S}_{2}(x)$ and

$$
\mathcal{S}_{1}(x) \leq \pi(x)\left|B_{h}\right| \leq \pi(x) \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{h} j^{m} \ll \frac{x}{\log x} h^{m+1}
$$

Suppose that $n=p+b$ with $p \in \mathcal{P}$ and $b \in B \backslash B_{h}$. If $\left(n, p_{1} p_{2} \cdots p_{h}\right)>1$, then, by $n=p+b$ and $p_{1} p_{2} \cdots p_{h} \mid b$ for any $b \in B \backslash B_{h}$, we have $p=p_{i}$ for some $i$ with $1 \leq i \leq h$. By Lemma 2, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_{2}(x) \leq & \sharp\left\{n \leq x: n=p+b,\left(n, p_{1} p_{2} \cdots p_{h}\right)>1, p \in \mathcal{P}, b \in B \backslash B_{h}\right\} \\
& +\sharp\left\{n \leq x: n=p+b,\left(n, p_{1} p_{2} \cdots p_{h}\right)=1, p \in \mathcal{P}, b \in B \backslash B_{h}\right\} \\
\leq & \sharp\left\{n \leq x: n=p+b, p \in\left\{p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{h}\right\}, b \in B, b \leq x\right\} \\
& +\sharp\left\{n \leq x:\left(n, p_{1} p_{2} \cdots p_{h}\right)=1\right\} \\
\leq & h B(x)+\frac{x}{\log p_{h+1}}+2^{p_{h+1}} \\
\ll & h(\log x)^{m+1}+\frac{x}{\log h}+2^{p_{h+1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\mathcal{S}(x) \leq \mathcal{S}_{1}(x)+\mathcal{S}_{2}(x) \ll \frac{x}{\log x} h^{m+1}+\frac{x}{\log h}+2^{p_{h+1}}
$$

Taking

$$
h=\left(\frac{\log x}{\log \log x}\right)^{\frac{1}{m+1}}
$$

we obtain

$$
\mathcal{S}(x) \ll \frac{x}{\log \log x} .
$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.
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