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## SINGULAR VALUE INEQUALITIES OF LEWENT TYPE

Yun Zhang

Abstract. Let $A_{i}$ be strictly contractive matrices and let $\lambda_{i}$ be nonnegative real numbers with $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i}=1, i=1, \ldots, m$. We prove that

$$
s\left(\frac{I+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} A_{i}}{I-\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} A_{i}}\right) \prec_{\mathrm{wlog}} \prod_{i=1}^{m} s\left(\left(\frac{I+\left|A_{i}\right|}{I-\left|A_{i}\right|}\right)^{\lambda_{i}}\right)
$$

which generalizes a Lewent type determinantal inequality due to Lin [M. Lin, A Lewent type determinantal inequality, Taiwanese J. Math. 17(2013), 1303-1309]. On the other hand, we also prove

$$
s\left(\frac{I+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} A_{i}}{I-\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} A_{i}}\right) \prec_{\mathrm{wlog}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} s\left(\frac{I+\left|A_{i}\right|}{I-\left|A_{i}\right|}\right) .
$$

Here " $\prec_{\text {wlog }}$ " stands for weakly log-majorization. In addition, some other related inequalities are also obtained.

## 1. Introduction

Let $M_{n}$ denote the vector space of all complex $n \times n$ matrices and let $H_{n}$ be the set of all Hermitian matrices of order $n$. We always denote the eigenvalues of $A \in H_{n}$ in decreasing order by $\lambda_{1}(A) \geq \lambda_{2}(A) \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{n}(A)$ and denote $\lambda(A)=$
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$\left(\lambda_{1}(A), \lambda_{2}(A), \ldots, \lambda_{n}(A)\right)$. The singular values of $A \in M_{n}$ are defined to be the nonnegative square roots of the eigenvalues of $A^{*} A$. The absolute value of $A \in M_{n}$ is defined and denoted by $|A|=\left(A^{*} A\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Thus the singular values of $A$ are the eigenvalues of $|A|$. We always denote the singular values of $A \in M_{n}$ by $s_{1}(A) \geq$ $s_{2}(A) \geq \cdots \geq s_{n}(A)$ and denote $s(A)=\left(s_{1}(A), s_{2}(A), \ldots, s_{n}(A)\right)$. Denote by $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ the spectral norm. For $A \in M_{n},\|A\|_{\infty}=s_{1}(A)$. For $A, B \in H_{n}$, we use the notation $A \leq B$ or $B \geq A$ to mean that $B-A$ is positive semidefinite. Clearly, " $\leq$ " and " $\geq$ " define two partial orders on $H_{n}$, each of which is called Löwner partial order. In particular, $B \geq 0$ means that $B$ is positive semidefinite. Recall that a complex matrix $C$ is called a contraction if $\|C\|_{\infty} \leq 1$, or equivalently $C^{*} C \leq I$. Moreover, $C$ is called a strict contraction if $\|C\|_{\infty}<1$. Given a real vector $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, we rearrange its components as $x_{[1]} \geq x_{[2]} \geq \cdots \geq x_{[n]}$.

Definition 1. For $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), y=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, if

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{k} x_{[i]} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k} y_{[i]}, k=1,2, \ldots, n,
$$

then we say that $x$ is weakly majorized by $y$ and denote $x \prec_{\mathrm{w}} y$. If $x \prec_{\mathrm{w}} y$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}$, then we say that $x$ is majorized by $y$ and denote $x \prec y$.

Definition 2. Let the components of $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ and $y=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$ be nonnegative. If

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{k} x_{[i]} \leq \prod_{i=1}^{k} y_{[i]}, k=1,2, \ldots, n,
$$

then we say that $x$ is weakly log-majorized by $y$ and denote $x \prec_{\text {wlog }} y$. If $x \prec_{w l o g} y$ and $\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}=\prod_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}$, then we say that $x$ is log-majorized by $y$ and denote $x \prec_{\log } y$.

In 1908, by using the power-series method Lewent [7] proved the following numerical inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} x_{i}}{1-\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} x_{i}} \leq \prod_{i=1}^{m}\left(\frac{1+x_{i}}{1-x_{i}}\right)^{\lambda_{i}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x_{i} \in[0,1)$ and the nonnegative real numbers $\lambda_{i}, i=1, \ldots, m$, are (scalar) weights with $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i}=1$.

Recently, Lin [5] proved an interesting analogue of (1) for the determinant of strict contractions: Let $A_{i}, i=1, \ldots, m$, be strictly contractive matrices. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\operatorname{det}\left(\frac{I+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} A_{i}}{I-\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} A_{i}}\right)\right| \leq \prod_{i=1}^{m} \operatorname{det}\left(\frac{I+\left|A_{i}\right|}{I-\left|A_{i}\right|}\right)^{\lambda_{i}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where each $\lambda_{i} \geq 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i}=1$.
Here $\frac{I+A}{I-A}$ is understood as $(I+A)(I-A)^{-1}$, which is also equal to $(I-A)^{-1}(I+$ A).

For simplicity, we state our results for matrices, but these results still hold for trace class operators on a complex separable Hilbert space via limiting arguments.

For $B_{i} \in M_{n}, i=1, \ldots, m$, we always denote $\prod_{i=1}^{m} s\left(B_{i}\right):=\left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} s_{1}\left(B_{i}\right), \cdots\right.$, $\left.\prod_{i=1}^{m} s_{n}\left(B_{i}\right)\right)$. In this paper, we shall prove the following inequalities: Let $A_{i} \in$ $M_{n}, i=1, \ldots, m$, be strictly contractive matrices and let $\lambda_{i}$ be nonnegative real numbers with $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i}=1, \quad i=1, \ldots, m$.. Then

$$
s\left(\frac{I+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} A_{i}}{I-\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} A_{i}}\right) \prec_{\mathrm{wlog}} \prod_{i=1}^{m} s\left(\left(\frac{I+\left|A_{i}\right|}{I-\left|A_{i}\right|}\right)^{\lambda_{i}}\right)
$$

which generalizes (2). Meanwhile, we also prove

$$
s\left(\frac{I+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} A_{i}}{I-\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} A_{i}}\right) \prec_{\mathrm{wlog}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} s\left(\frac{I+\left|A_{i}\right|}{I-\left|A_{i}\right|}\right) .
$$

Some other related results are also obtained.

## 2. Results and Proof

We start with several lemmas which will be used in our proof.

The following well known result is due to Ky Fan [3, 10].
Lemma 1. Let $A, B \in H_{n}$. Then $\lambda(A+B) \prec \lambda(A)+\lambda(B)$.
Denote by $H_{n}(\Omega)$ the set of $n \times n$ Hermitian matrices with the spectra in an interval $\Omega$. We have the following

Lemma 2. [1] Let $f$ be a convex function on $\Omega$. Then

$$
\lambda(f(\alpha A+(1-\alpha) B)) \prec_{\mathrm{w}} \lambda(\alpha f(A)+(1-\alpha) f(B))
$$

for all $A, B \in H_{n}(\Omega)$ and $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$.
Remark. Using an idea similar to that in [1], we can generalize Lemma 2 to $m$ matrices:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda\left(f\left(\alpha_{1} A_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{m} A_{m}\right)\right) \prec_{\mathrm{w}} \lambda\left(\alpha_{1} f\left(A_{1}\right)+\cdots+\alpha_{m} f\left(A_{m}\right)\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{m} \in H_{n}(\Omega)$ and $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m} \in[0,1]$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_{i}=1$.
Lemma 3. [3, 10]. Let $g(t)$ be an increasing convex function. If $x \prec_{\mathrm{w}} y$ with $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, then

$$
\left(g\left(x_{1}\right), \ldots, g\left(x_{n}\right)\right) \prec_{\mathrm{w}}\left(g\left(y_{1}\right), \ldots, g\left(y_{n}\right)\right) .
$$

Let $f$ be a real valued function defined on an interval $\Omega$. If $f$ is positive and

$$
f(\alpha s+(1-\alpha) t) \leq f(s)^{\alpha} f(t)^{1-\alpha}
$$

for all $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, then $f$ is called log-convex. The reader is referred to [8] for general properties of convex and log-convex functions.

Lemma 4. Let $A_{i}, i=1, \ldots, m$, be strictly contractive matrices. If $A_{i}, i=$ $1, \ldots, m$, are positive semidefinite, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
s\left(\frac{I+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} A_{i}}{I-\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} A_{i}}\right) \prec_{\mathrm{wlog}} \prod_{i=1}^{m} s\left(\left(\frac{I+A_{i}}{I-A_{i}}\right)^{\lambda_{i}}\right), \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where each $\lambda_{i} \geq 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i}=1$.
Proof. First, we will show that $f(t)=\frac{1+t}{1-t}$ is log-convex on $[0,1)$. It is clear that $f(t)$ is positive on $[0,1)$. Let

$$
g(t):=\log f(t) .
$$

It is equivalent to showing that $g(t)$ is convex on $[0,1)$. Since $g(t)$ is continuous, we only need show $g^{\prime \prime}(t) \geq 0$, for all $t \in[0,1)$. A routine calculation shows that

$$
g^{\prime \prime}(t)=\frac{4 t}{(1+t)^{2}(1-t)^{2}} \geq 0
$$

for all $t \in[0,1)$. This shows that

$$
f(t)=\frac{1+t}{1-t}
$$

is log-convex on $[0,1)$. Since the spectra of $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} A_{i}$ and $A_{i}$ are contained in $[0,1), i=$ $1, \ldots, m$, it follows that each $A_{i}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} A_{i}$ belong to $H_{n}([0,1))$. By the spectral mapping theorem, the spectra of $f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} A_{i}\right)$ and $f\left(A_{i}\right)$ are contained in $[1,+\infty)$. For $\lambda_{i} \geq 0$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i}=1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \log \lambda\left(f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} A_{i}\right)\right) \\
= & \lambda\left(\log f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} A_{i}\right)\right) \\
\prec_{\mathrm{w}} \lambda\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} \log f\left(A_{i}\right)\right) & \text { by the Spectral Mapping Theorem } \\
\prec & \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda\left(\lambda_{i} \log f\left(A_{i}\right)\right) \\
= & \text { by Lemma 1 } \\
=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \log \lambda\left(f\left(A_{i}\right)^{\lambda_{i}}\right) . & \text { by the Spectral Mapping Theorem }
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\log \lambda\left(f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} A_{i}\right)\right) \prec_{\mathrm{w}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \log \lambda\left(f\left(A_{i}\right)^{\lambda_{i}}\right) .
$$

Applying Lemma 3 to the above weak-majorization with the increasing convex function $e^{t}$, we obtain

$$
\lambda\left(f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} A_{i}\right)\right) \prec_{\operatorname{wlog}} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \lambda\left(f\left(A_{i}\right)^{\lambda_{i}}\right) .
$$

Clearly, each $f\left(A_{i}\right)$ and $f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} A_{i}\right)$ are positive definite. Note that for positive definite matrices, singular values and eigenvalues are the same. Thus the inequality (4) holds. This completes the proof.

Remark. In [5], Lin proved the following result: Let $A_{i}, i=1, \ldots, m$, be strictly contractive matrices. If $A_{i}, i=1, \ldots, m$, are positive semidefinite, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}\left(\frac{I+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} A_{i}}{I-\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} A_{i}}\right) \leq \prod_{i=1}^{m} \operatorname{det}\left(\frac{I+A_{i}}{I-A_{i}}\right)^{\lambda_{i}}, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where each $\lambda_{i} \geq 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i}=1$. The author pointed out this result was also an application of Theorem 3.3 in [2]. Note that (5) is the special case $k=n$ of (4) in Lemma 4.

Let $\Phi: M_{n} \rightarrow M_{n}$ be a map. We say that $\Phi$ is 2-positive if whenever the $2 \times 2$ operator matrix $\left(\begin{array}{cc}A & B \\ B^{*} & C\end{array}\right) \geq 0$ then $\left(\begin{array}{cc}\Phi(A) & \Phi(B) \\ \Phi\left(B^{*}\right) & \Phi(C)\end{array}\right) \geq 0$. It is clear that any Liebian function is 2-positive [9].

Lemma 5. [5] $\Phi(t)=\frac{1+t}{1-t}$ is 2-positive over the strictly contractive matrices.
Lemma 6. [4, p.208] The partitioned block matrix $\left(\begin{array}{cc}A & B \\ B^{*} & C\end{array}\right)$ is positive semidefinite if and only if both $A$ and $C$ are positive semidefinite and there exists a contraction $W$ such that $B=A^{\frac{1}{2}} W C^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Moreover, we have

$$
s(B) \prec_{w \log } s\left(A^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) s\left(B^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) .
$$

Theorem 7. Let $A_{i} \in M_{n}, i=1, \ldots, m$, be strictly contractive matrices. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
s\left(\frac{I+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} A_{i}}{I-\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} A_{i}}\right) \prec_{\mathrm{wlog}} \prod_{i=1}^{m} s\left(\left(\frac{I+\left|A_{i}\right|}{I-\left|A_{i}\right|}\right)^{\lambda_{i}}\right), \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where each $\lambda_{i} \geq 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i}=1$.
Proof. Note that $\left.A_{i}=\left|A_{i}^{*} \frac{1}{2} U_{i}\right| A_{i} \right\rvert\,, i=1, \ldots, m$, with unitary $U_{i}$. By Lemma 6, we have $\left(\begin{array}{cc}\left|A_{i}^{*}\right| & A_{i} \\ A_{i}^{*} & \left|A_{i}\right|\end{array}\right) \geq 0$, for any $i$. For each $\lambda_{i} \geq 0$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i}=1$, then we
have

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i}\left|A_{i}^{*}\right| & \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} A_{i} \\
\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} A_{i}^{*} & \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i}\left|A_{i}\right|
\end{array}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\left|A_{i}^{*}\right| & A_{i} \\
A_{i}^{*} & \left|A_{i}\right|
\end{array}\right) \geq 0 .
$$

Applying Lemma 5 to the above partitioned block matrix, we obtain the following $2 \times 2$ block matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{I+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i}\left|A_{i}^{*}\right|}{} & I+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} A_{i} \\
I-\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i}\left|A_{i}^{*}\right| & I-\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} A_{i} \\
\frac{I+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} A_{i}^{*}}{I-\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} A_{i}^{*}} & \frac{I+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i}\left|A_{i}\right|}{I-\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i}\left|A_{i}\right|}
\end{array}\right) \geq 0
$$

By Lemma 6, we have

$$
s\left(\frac{I+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} A_{i}}{I-\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} A_{i}}\right) \prec_{\mathrm{wlog}} s\left(\left(\frac{I+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i}\left|A_{i}^{*}\right|}{I-\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i}\left|A_{i}^{*}\right|}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) s\left(\left(\frac{I+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i}\left|A_{i}\right|}{I-\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i}\left|A_{i}\right|}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)
$$

Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be an vector with nonnegative components and denote $x^{\frac{1}{2}}:=\left(x_{1}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \ldots, x_{n}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)$. Then we have

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
s\left(\frac{I+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} A_{i}}{I-\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} A_{i}}\right) \\
\left.\prec_{\text {wlog }} s\left(\left(\frac{I+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i}\left|A_{i}^{*}\right|}{I-\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i}\left|A_{i}^{*}\right|}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) s\left(\left(\frac{I+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i}\left|A_{i}\right|}{I-\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i}\left|A_{i}\right|}\right)\right.
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =s\left(\left(\frac{I+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i}\left|A_{i}^{*}\right|}{I-\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i}\left|A_{i}^{*}\right|}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} s\left(\left(\frac{I+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i}\left|A_{i}\right|}{I-\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i}\left|A_{i}\right|}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \prec_{\text {wlog }}\left[\prod_{i=1}^{m} s\left(\left(\frac{I+\left|A_{i}^{*}\right|}{I-\left|A_{i}^{*}\right|}\right)^{\lambda_{i}}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\left[\prod_{i=1}^{m} s\left(\left(\frac{I+\left|A_{i}^{*}\right|}{I-\left|A_{i}^{*}\right|}\right)^{\lambda_{i}}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text { by Lemma } 4 \\
& =\left[\prod_{i=1}^{m} s\left(\left(\frac{I+\left|A_{i}^{*}\right|}{I-\left|A_{i}^{*}\right|}\right)^{\lambda_{i}}\right) s\left(\left(\frac{I+\left|A_{i}\right|}{I-\left|A_{i}\right|}\right)^{\lambda_{i}}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& =\prod_{i=1}^{m} s\left(\left(\frac{I+\left|A_{i}\right|}{I-\left|A_{i}\right|}\right)^{\lambda_{i}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last equality can be seen as follows. Using the spectral mapping theorem and $\lambda\left(\left|A_{i}\right|\right)=\lambda\left(\left|A_{i}^{*}\right|\right)=s\left(\left|A_{i}^{*}\right|\right)=s\left(\left|A_{i}\right|\right)$ for any $i$, we have

$$
s\left(\left(\frac{I+\left|A_{i}^{*}\right|}{I-\left|A_{i}^{*}\right|}\right)^{\lambda_{i}}\right)=\lambda\left(\left(\frac{I+\left|A_{i}^{*}\right|}{I-\left|A_{i}^{*}\right|}\right)^{\lambda_{i}}\right)=\lambda\left(\left(\frac{I+\left|A_{i}\right|}{I-\left|A_{i}\right|}\right)^{\lambda_{i}}\right)=s\left(\left(\frac{I+\left|A_{i}\right|}{I-\left|A_{i}\right|}\right)^{\lambda_{i}}\right),
$$

for any $i$. This completes the proof.
The following corollary is the main result [5], which follows by Theorem 7.
Corollary 8. [5]. Let $A_{i}, i=1, \ldots, m$, be strictly contractive matrices. Then

$$
\left|\operatorname{det}\left(\frac{I+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} A_{i}}{I-\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} A_{i}}\right)\right| \leq \prod_{i=1}^{m} \operatorname{det}\left(\frac{I+\left|A_{i}\right|}{I-\left|A_{i}\right|}\right)^{\lambda_{i}},
$$

where each $\lambda_{i} \geq 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i}=1$.

$$
\text { Proof. Denote } M=\frac{I+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} A_{i}}{I-\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} A_{i}} \text { and denote } M_{i}=\left(\frac{I+A_{i}}{I-A_{i}}\right)^{\lambda_{i}} \text { for } i=1, \ldots, m \text {. }
$$

Suppose the eigenvalues of $M$ is $\lambda_{1}(M), \ldots, \lambda_{n}(M)$ with $\left|\lambda_{1}(M)\right| \geq \cdots \geq\left|\lambda_{n}(M)\right|$
and denote $|\lambda(M)|=\left(\left|\lambda_{1}(M)\right|, \ldots,\left|\lambda_{n}(M)\right|\right)$. Using Weyl’s Theorem [10, p.81] and Theorem 7, we have

$$
|\lambda(M)| \prec_{\log } s(M) \prec_{\mathrm{wlog}} \prod_{i=1}^{m} s\left(M_{i}\right) .
$$

Note that $M_{j}, j=1, \ldots, m$, are positive definite. Letting $k=n$, we have
$|\operatorname{det} M|=\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left|\lambda_{i}(M)\right| \leq \prod_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{m} s_{i}\left(M_{j}\right)=\prod_{j=1}^{m} \prod_{i=1}^{n} s_{i}\left(M_{j}\right)=\prod_{j=1}^{m}\left|\operatorname{det} M_{j}\right|=\prod_{j=1}^{m} \operatorname{det} M_{j}$.
This completes the proof.
Setting $k=1$ in (6) of Theorem 7, we deduce an analogue of (1) for the spectral norm of strictly contractions:

Corollary 9. Let $A_{i}, i=1, \ldots, m$, be strictly contractive matrices. Then
(7)

$$
\left\|\frac{I+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} A_{i}}{I-\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} A_{i}}\right\|_{\infty} \leq \prod_{i=1}^{m}\left\|\left(\frac{I+\left|A_{i}\right|}{I-\left|A_{i}\right|}\right)^{\lambda_{i}}\right\|_{\infty}
$$

where each $\lambda_{i} \geq 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i}=1$.
Next, we derive another weak log-majorization involving contractive matrices and singular values.

Theorem 10. Let $A_{i}, i=1, \ldots, m$, be strictly contractive matrices. Then
(8)

$$
s\left(\frac{I+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} A_{i}}{I-\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} A_{i}}\right) \prec_{\mathrm{wlog}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} s\left(\frac{I+\left|A_{i}\right|}{I-\left|A_{i}\right|}\right)
$$

where each $\lambda_{i} \geq 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i}=1$.
Proof. Denote $M=\frac{I+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} A_{i}}{I-\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} A_{i}}$ and denote $M_{i}=\left(\frac{I+\left|A_{i}\right|}{I-\left|A_{i}\right|}\right)^{\lambda_{i}}$ for $i=1, \ldots, m$.

Note that $\prod_{i=1}^{m} s\left(M_{i}\right)=\left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} s_{1}\left(M_{i}\right), \ldots, \prod_{i=1}^{m} s_{n}\left(M_{i}\right)\right)$. Let $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ and $\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{n}$ be the nonnegative real numbers with $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_{i}=1$. Then the weighted arithmeticgeometric mean inequality says that

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{\omega_{i}} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_{i} x_{i}
$$

For each given $j$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{i=1}^{m} s_{j}\left(M_{i}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{m} s_{j}\left(\left(\frac{I+\left|A_{i}\right|}{I-\left|A_{i}\right|}\right)\right)^{\lambda_{i}} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} s_{j}\left(\left(\frac{I+\left|A_{i}\right|}{I-\left|A_{i}\right|}\right)\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the first equality holds by the spectral mapping theorem and the last inequality holds by the weighted arithmetic-geometric mean inequality. Combining (9) and Theorem 7, we have

$$
s(M) \prec_{\mathrm{wlog}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} s_{1}\left(\frac{I+\left|A_{i}\right|}{I-\left|A_{i}\right|}\right), \ldots, \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} s_{n}\left(\frac{I+\left|A_{i}\right|}{I-\left|A_{i}\right|}\right)\right)
$$

i.e.,

$$
s\left(\frac{I+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} A_{i}}{I-\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} A_{i}}\right) \prec_{\mathrm{wlog}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} s\left(\frac{I+\left|A_{i}\right|}{I-\left|A_{i}\right|}\right)
$$

This completes the proof.
Denote by $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n} \downarrow$ the set of vectors in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ whose components are nonnegative and are decreasingly ordered.

Lemma 11. [10, p. 74]. Let $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ with their components in decreasing order. If $x \prec_{w} y$ and $z \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n} \downarrow$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle x, z\rangle \leq\langle y, z\rangle \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ denotes the standard Euclidean inner product.
Corollary 12. Let $A_{i}, i=1, \ldots, m$, be strictly contractive matrices. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{I+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} A_{i}}{I-\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} A_{i}}\right\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i}\left\|\frac{I+\left|A_{i}\right|}{I-\left|A_{i}\right|}\right\| \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every unitarily invariant norm, where each $\lambda_{i} \geq 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i}=1$.
Proof. Let $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots \alpha_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n} \downarrow$. Define $\|X\|_{\alpha}:=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{j} s_{j}(X)$ for $X \in M_{n}$. In other words, $\|X\|_{\alpha}=\langle s(X), \alpha\rangle$. It is known [10, p.56] that this $\|\cdot\|_{\alpha}$ is a unitarily invariant norm.

Note that for nonnegative vectors, weak log-majorization implies weak majorization [10, p.67]. By Theorem 10, we have

$$
s\left(\frac{I+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} A_{i}}{I-\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} A_{i}}\right) \prec_{\mathrm{w}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} s\left(\frac{I+\left|A_{i}\right|}{I-\left|A_{i}\right|}\right) .
$$

By Lemma 11, we have

$$
\left\langle s\left(\frac{I+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} A_{i}}{I-\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} A_{i}}\right), \alpha\right\rangle \leq\left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} s\left(\frac{I+\left|A_{i}\right|}{I-\left|A_{i}\right|}\right), \alpha\right\rangle
$$

i.e.,

$$
\left\|\frac{I+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} A_{i}}{I-\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} A_{i}}\right\|_{\alpha} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i}\left\|\frac{I+\left|A_{i}\right|}{I-\left|A_{i}\right|}\right\|_{\alpha} .
$$

As $\alpha$ was arbitrarily chosen, the inequality (11) follows from Corollary 3.5.9 in [4, p.206]. This completes the proof.

Remark. Note that the spectral norm is a unitarily invariant norm. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{I+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} A_{i}}{I-\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} A_{i}}\right\|_{\infty} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i}\left\|\frac{I+\left|A_{i}\right|}{I-\left|A_{i}\right|}\right\|_{\infty} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where each $\lambda_{i} \geq 0$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i}=1$.

For $A \in M_{n}$, we denote by $\operatorname{tr} A$ the trace of $A$. We have
Corollary 13. Let $A_{i}, i=1, \ldots, m$, be strictly contractive matrices. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\operatorname{tr}\left(\frac{I+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} A_{i}}{I-\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} A_{i}}\right) \right\rvert\, \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} \operatorname{tr}\left(\frac{I+\left|A_{i}\right|}{I-\left|A_{i}\right|}\right), \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where each $\lambda_{i} \geq 0$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i}=1$.
Proof. Applying Corollary 12 to the trace norm and using Weyl's theorem, we have the inequality (13). This completes the proof.

Remark. By Corollary 13, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|\operatorname{tr}\left(\frac{I+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} A_{i}}{I-\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} A_{i}}\right)\right| \leq \max _{i}\left\{\operatorname{tr}\left(\frac{I+\left|A_{i}\right|}{I-\left|A_{i}\right|}\right)\right\} .  \tag{14}\\
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