

## NEW $L(j, k)$ -LABELINGS FOR DIRECT PRODUCTS OF COMPLETE GRAPHS

Byeong Moon Kim, Byung Chul Song,  
Yoomi Rho and Woonjae Hwang\*

**Abstract.** An  $L(j, k)$ -labeling of a graph is a vertex labeling such that the difference between the labels of adjacent vertices is at least  $j$  and that between vertices separated by a distance 2 is at least  $k$ . The minimum of the spans of all  $L(j, k)$ -labelings of  $G$  is denoted by  $\lambda_k^j(G)$ . Recently, Haque and Jha [16] proved that if  $G$  is a multiple direct product of complete graphs, then  $\lambda_k^j(G)$  coincides with the trivial lower bound  $(N - 1)k$ , where  $N$  is the order of  $G$  and  $\frac{j}{k}$  is within a certain bound.

In this paper, we suggest a new labeling method for such a graph  $G$ . With this method, we extend the range of  $\frac{j}{k}$  such that  $\lambda_k^j(G) = (N - 1)k$  holds. Moreover, we obtain the upper bound of  $\lambda_k^j(G)$  for the remaining cases in the range  $\frac{j}{k}$ .

### 1. INTRODUCTION

A channel-assignment problem involves assigning frequencies represented by non-negative integers to radio or television transmitters at various nodes in a region. To minimize interference between transmitters that are close, or very close, to each other, there must be some restrictions when distributing frequencies. The natural restrictions on allocating frequencies to transmitters would be as follows.

For positive integers  $j, k (j \geq k)$ , we assign frequencies with a difference of at least  $j$  to transmitters that are very close, and at least  $k$  to those which are close.

Hale [15] first placed this problem in a theoretical graph context as a more generalized form. Vertices of a graph represent the transmitters. Two vertices are adjacent

---

Received March 13, 2013, accepted October 21, 2013.

Communicated by Gerard Jennhwa Chang.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 05C78, 05C12, 05C76.

*Key words and phrases*:  $L(j, k)$ -labelings, Direct product of graphs, Complete graph, Channel-assignment problem.

This work was supported by Korea University grant.

\*Corresponding author.

if the corresponding transmitters are very close and they have distance 2 if the corresponding transmitters are close. An  $L(j, k)$ -labeling of a graph  $G = (V_G, E_G)$  is a function  $f : V_G \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  such that

$$|f(u) - f(v)| \geq \begin{cases} j & \text{if } \text{dist}_G(u, v) = 1 \\ k & \text{if } \text{dist}_G(u, v) = 2. \end{cases}$$

The elements of the image of  $f$  are called the labels, and the maximum label assigned by  $f$  is called the span of  $f$ . The minimum span over all  $f$  is the  $\lambda_k^j$ -number of  $G$ , denoted by  $\lambda_k^j(G)$ . Griggs and Yeh [14] proposed a problem for determining  $\lambda_k^j(G)$ , in particular,  $\lambda_1^2(G)$ , and proved that for a graph  $G$  of maximum degree  $\Delta$ ,  $\lambda_1^2(G) \leq \Delta^2 + 2\Delta$ . They also conjectured that  $\lambda_1^2(G) \leq \Delta^2$ . Their conjecture is settled when  $\Delta = 3$  and  $G$  is Hamiltonian [20], and when  $\Delta$  is sufficiently large [17]. But generally it is still open. Many papers [3, 5, 6, 7, 11, 12, 18, 32] on studies of  $L(j, k)$ -labelings have been published. Calamoneri [1, 2] and Yeh [35] provided good surveys for  $L(j, k)$ -labeling of graphs. We can find many results on distance 3 labelings [4, 9, 21, 22] and radio labelings [8, 21, 22, 27, 29, 30, 31]. A radio labeling of a graph  $G$  is  $L(d, d-1, \dots, 2, 1)$  labeling when  $d = \text{diam}(G)$ . Distance two labeling problem can be generalized to the distance  $d$  labeling problem. For integers  $j_1, j_2, \dots, j_d$ , an  $L(j_1, j_2, \dots, j_d)$  labeling of  $G$  is the function  $f : V \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  which satisfies for each  $u, v \in V$ ,  $|f(u) - f(v)| \geq j_l$  when  $\text{dist}(u, v) = l$ .

For two graphs  $G$  and  $H$ , the Cartesian product of  $G$  and  $H$  is the graph  $G \square H$  with the vertex set  $V_G \times V_H$ , in which two vertices  $(x, y)$  and  $(x', y')$  are adjacent such that (i)  $x = x'$  and  $(y, y') \in E_H$ , or (ii)  $y = y'$  and  $(x, x') \in E_G$ . The direct (or Kronecker) product of  $G$  and  $H$  is the graph  $G \times H$  with the vertex set  $V_G \times V_H$ , in which two vertices  $(x, y)$  and  $(x', y')$  are adjacent such that  $(x, x') \in E_G$  and  $(y, y') \in E_H$ . Georges et al. [13] showed that if  $K_m$  and  $K_n$  are complete graphs of order  $m, n$  ( $2 \leq n < m$ ), respectively, then

$$\lambda_k^j(K_m \square K_n) = \begin{cases} (m-1)j + (n-1)k & \text{if } \frac{j}{k} > n \\ (mn-1)k & \text{if } \frac{j}{k} \leq n. \end{cases}$$

Whittlesey et al. [34] found  $\lambda_1^2(P_m \square P_n)$  for  $k$ -path  $P_k$ . The  $\lambda_1^2(P_m \square C_n)$  is computed by Klavzar and Vesel [24], and independently by Kuo and Yan [26]. Also Schwarz and Troxell [33] determined  $\lambda_1^2(C_m \square C_n)$ . More results on the  $\lambda_k^j$ -number for the Cartesian products of various simple graphs are available [10, 13, 19, 25]. With respect to the  $L(j, k)$ -labelings of the direct product of two graphs, Lam et al. [27] showed the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** *If  $j, k, m$  and  $n$  are positive integers with  $3 \leq n \leq m$  and  $j \geq k$ , then we have*

$$\lambda_k^j(K_m \times K_n) = \begin{cases} (mn - 1)k & \text{if } \frac{j}{k} \leq 2 \\ (mn - 2n + 1)k + (n - 1)j & \text{if } \frac{j}{k} > 2. \end{cases}$$

Haque and Jha [16] presented the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.** *If  $r \geq 3$ ,  $n_0 \geq n_1 \geq \dots \geq n_{r-1} \geq 3$ , and  $1 \leq \frac{j}{k} \leq n_0 n_1 \dots n_{r-3} + 1$ , then*

$$\lambda_k^j(K_{n_0} \times K_{n_1} \times \dots \times K_{n_{r-1}}) = (N - 1)k,$$

where  $N = n_0 n_1 \dots n_{r-1}$ .

They also provided the upper bound of  $\lambda_k^j(K_n \times K_2)$ , which is extremal when  $(j, k) = (1, 2)$ . Recently Lin and Lam [28] and Kim et al. [23] studied the  $\lambda$ -number of the direct product of a complete graph and a cycle.

In this paper, we extend Theorem 2 and we also find the upper bound of  $\lambda_k^j(K_{n_0} \times K_{n_1} \times \dots \times K_{n_{r-2}} \times K_2)$ , as follows.

- (1) The range of  $\frac{j}{k}$  in which  $\lambda_k^j(K_{n_0} \times K_{n_1} \times \dots \times K_{n_{r-1}}) = (N - 1)k$  can be extended to  $[1, A]$ , where  $A = 2 + n_0 + n_0 n_1 + \dots + n_0 n_1 \dots n_{r-3}$  (Theorem 3), which is broader than the range given by Haque and Jha in Theorem 2.
- (2) If  $\frac{j}{k} > A$ , then the upper bound of  $\lambda_k^j(K_{n_0} \times K_{n_1} \times \dots \times K_{n_{r-1}})$  is  $(N - 1)k + (j - Ak)(n_{r-1} - 1)$  (Theorem 4).
- (3) We provide the upper bound of  $\lambda_k^j(K_{n_0} \times K_{n_1} \times \dots \times K_{n_{r-2}} \times K_2)$ , which is extremal when  $\frac{j}{k} \leq A' = 2 + n_0 + n_0 n_1 + \dots + n_0 n_1 \dots n_{r-4}$  (Corollary 1).

## 2. MAIN THEOREMS

Let  $K_n$  be a complete graph with  $V_{K_n} = \{0, 1, \dots, n - 1\}$ . For  $r \geq 2$ , consider the direct product  $K_{n_0} \times K_{n_1} \times \dots \times K_{n_{r-1}}$  of  $K_{n_0}, K_{n_1}, \dots, K_{n_{r-1}}$ . We may assume that  $n_0 \geq n_1 \geq \dots \geq n_{r-1} \geq 3$ . Throughout this paper, for simplicity, we use

$$u = (u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{r-1}),$$

$$v = (v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{r-1}),$$

$$\tilde{u} = (u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{r-2}),$$

and

$$\tilde{v} = (v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{r-2}).$$

So,  $u = (\tilde{u}, u_{r-1})$  and  $v = (\tilde{v}, v_{r-1})$ . It is not difficult to see that the diameter of  $K_{n_0} \times K_{n_1} \times \dots \times K_{n_{r-1}}$  is 2, and  $\text{dist}(u, v) = 1$  if and only if  $u_i \neq v_i$  for each  $i$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq r - 1$ . For  $0 \leq u_t \leq n_t - 1$  with  $0 \leq t \leq r - 2$ , we define  $h(\tilde{u}) = u_0 + n_0 u_1 + \dots + n_0 n_1 \dots n_{r-3} u_{r-2}$ .

**Theorem 3.** *If  $r \geq 3$ ,  $n_0 \geq n_1 \geq \dots \geq n_{r-1} \geq 3$  and  $1 \leq \frac{j}{k} \leq A$ , then*

$$\lambda_k^j(K_{n_0} \times K_{n_1} \times \dots \times K_{n_{r-1}}) = (N - 1)k,$$

where  $N = n_0 n_1 \dots n_{r-1}$  and  $A = 2 + n_0 + n_0 n_1 + \dots + n_0 n_1 \dots n_{r-3}$ .

*Proof.* Since the diameter of  $K_{n_0} \times K_{n_1} \times \dots \times K_{n_{r-1}}$  is 2, the difference between any two vertices of  $K_{n_0} \times K_{n_1} \times \dots \times K_{n_{r-1}}$  is at least  $k$ . So,

$$\lambda_k^j(K_{n_0} \times K_{n_1} \times \dots \times K_{n_{r-1}}) \geq (N - 1)k.$$

We give a labeling

$$f(u) = \begin{cases} (u_{r-1}n_0n_1 \dots n_{r-2} + h(\tilde{u}))k & \text{if } u_{r-1} \text{ is even,} \\ ((u_{r-1} + 1)n_0n_1 \dots n_{r-2} - 1 - h(\tilde{u}))k & \text{if } u_{r-1} \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

This labeling is shown in Table 1 for the example  $K_5 \times K_4 \times K_3$  and in Table 2 for the example  $K_5 \times K_4 \times K_3 \times K_3$ , respectively. In Table 1, the number located in the  $(u_0 + 1)$ -th row and  $(u_1 + 1)$ -th column of the box over which  $u_2$  is indicated denotes the labeling of the vertex  $(u_0, u_1, u_2)$  of  $K_5 \times K_4 \times K_3$ , for example,  $f(3, 2, 1) = 26$ . Table 2 is read similarly.

Since  $0 \leq h(\tilde{u}) = u_0 + n_0 u_1 + \dots + n_0 n_1 \dots n_{r-3} u_{r-2} \leq n_0 n_1 \dots n_{r-2} - 1$ , we have  $u_{r-1} n_0 n_1 \dots n_{r-2} k \leq f(u) \leq ((u_{r-1} + 1) n_0 n_1 \dots n_{r-2} - 1) k$ . Let  $u$  and  $v$  be two vertices of  $K_{n_0} \times K_{n_1} \times \dots \times K_{n_{r-1}}$ . We may assume that  $u_{r-1} \leq v_{r-1}$ . If the distance from  $u$  to  $v$  is 2, and  $v_{r-1} \geq u_{r-1} + 1$ , then  $f(v) - f(u) \geq v_{r-1} n_0 n_1 \dots n_{r-2} k - ((u_{r-1} + 1) n_0 n_1 \dots n_{r-2} - 1) k \geq k$ . If the distance from  $u$  to  $v$  is 2, and  $v_{r-1} = u_{r-1}$ , then  $l$  exists such that  $u_l \neq v_l$  and  $u_t = v_t$  for all  $t = 0, 1, \dots, l - 1$ . Then, since  $-n_l + 1 \leq v_l - u_l \leq n_l - 1$  and  $u_l \neq v_l$ ,  $v_l - u_l$  is not a multiple of  $n_l$ . So, we have

$$\begin{aligned} h(\tilde{v}) - h(\tilde{u}) &= \sum_{t=l}^{r-2} (v_t - u_t) n_0 n_1 \dots n_{t-1} \\ &= (v_l - u_l) n_0 n_1 \dots n_{l-1} \\ &\quad + n_0 n_1 \dots n_l \left( \sum_{t=l+1}^{r-2} (v_t - u_t) n_{l+1} n_{l+2} \dots n_{t-1} \right) \end{aligned}$$

is not a multiple of  $n_0 n_1 \dots n_l$ . So,  $h(\tilde{v}) \neq h(\tilde{u})$ . Thus,  $|f(v) - f(u)| = |h(\tilde{v}) - h(\tilde{u})| k \geq k$ . If  $u$  is adjacent to  $v$ , then  $u_l \neq v_l$  for all  $l = 0, 1, \dots, r - 1$ . So,  $1 \leq u_l + v_l \leq 2n_l - 3$  for all  $l = 0, 1, \dots, r - 1$  and  $v_{r-1} \geq u_{r-1} + 1$ . If  $v_{r-1} \geq u_{r-1} + 2$ , then, from

$$u_{r-1} n_0 n_1 \dots n_{r-2} k \leq f(u) \leq ((u_{r-1} + 1) n_0 n_1 \dots n_{r-2} - 1) k,$$

Table 1.  $L(j, k)$  – labeling of  $K_5 \times K_4 \times K_3$  for  $k = 1, 1 \leq j \leq 7$

$u_2 = 0$			
0	5	10	15
1	6	11	16
2	7	12	17
3	8	13	18
4	9	14	19
$u_2 = 1$			
39	34	29	24
38	33	28	23
37	32	27	22
36	31	26	21
35	30	25	20
$u_2 = 2$			
40	45	50	55
41	46	51	56
42	47	52	57
43	48	53	58
44	49	54	59

Table 2.  $L(j, k)$  – labeling of  $K_5 \times K_4 \times K_3 \times K_3$  for  $k = 1, 1 \leq j \leq 27$

$(u_2, u_3) = (0, 0)$	$(u_2, u_3) = (0, 1)$	$(u_2, u_3) = (0, 2)$																																																												
<table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr><td>0</td><td>5</td><td>10</td><td>15</td></tr> <tr><td>1</td><td>6</td><td>11</td><td>16</td></tr> <tr><td>2</td><td>7</td><td>12</td><td>17</td></tr> <tr><td>3</td><td>8</td><td>13</td><td>18</td></tr> <tr><td>4</td><td>9</td><td>14</td><td>19</td></tr> </table>	0	5	10	15	1	6	11	16	2	7	12	17	3	8	13	18	4	9	14	19	<table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr><td>119</td><td>114</td><td>109</td><td>104</td></tr> <tr><td>118</td><td>113</td><td>108</td><td>103</td></tr> <tr><td>117</td><td>112</td><td>107</td><td>102</td></tr> <tr><td>116</td><td>111</td><td>106</td><td>101</td></tr> <tr><td>115</td><td>110</td><td>105</td><td>100</td></tr> </table>	119	114	109	104	118	113	108	103	117	112	107	102	116	111	106	101	115	110	105	100	<table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr><td>120</td><td>125</td><td>130</td><td>135</td></tr> <tr><td>121</td><td>126</td><td>131</td><td>136</td></tr> <tr><td>122</td><td>127</td><td>132</td><td>137</td></tr> <tr><td>123</td><td>128</td><td>133</td><td>138</td></tr> <tr><td>124</td><td>129</td><td>134</td><td>139</td></tr> </table>	120	125	130	135	121	126	131	136	122	127	132	137	123	128	133	138	124	129	134	139
0	5	10	15																																																											
1	6	11	16																																																											
2	7	12	17																																																											
3	8	13	18																																																											
4	9	14	19																																																											
119	114	109	104																																																											
118	113	108	103																																																											
117	112	107	102																																																											
116	111	106	101																																																											
115	110	105	100																																																											
120	125	130	135																																																											
121	126	131	136																																																											
122	127	132	137																																																											
123	128	133	138																																																											
124	129	134	139																																																											
$(u_2, u_3) = (1, 0)$	$(u_2, u_3) = (1, 1)$	$(u_2, u_3) = (1, 2)$																																																												
<table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr><td>20</td><td>25</td><td>30</td><td>35</td></tr> <tr><td>21</td><td>26</td><td>31</td><td>36</td></tr> <tr><td>22</td><td>27</td><td>32</td><td>37</td></tr> <tr><td>23</td><td>28</td><td>33</td><td>38</td></tr> <tr><td>24</td><td>29</td><td>34</td><td>39</td></tr> </table>	20	25	30	35	21	26	31	36	22	27	32	37	23	28	33	38	24	29	34	39	<table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr><td>99</td><td>94</td><td>89</td><td>84</td></tr> <tr><td>98</td><td>93</td><td>88</td><td>83</td></tr> <tr><td>97</td><td>92</td><td>87</td><td>82</td></tr> <tr><td>96</td><td>91</td><td>86</td><td>81</td></tr> <tr><td>95</td><td>90</td><td>85</td><td>80</td></tr> </table>	99	94	89	84	98	93	88	83	97	92	87	82	96	91	86	81	95	90	85	80	<table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr><td>140</td><td>145</td><td>150</td><td>155</td></tr> <tr><td>141</td><td>146</td><td>151</td><td>156</td></tr> <tr><td>142</td><td>147</td><td>152</td><td>157</td></tr> <tr><td>143</td><td>148</td><td>153</td><td>158</td></tr> <tr><td>144</td><td>149</td><td>154</td><td>159</td></tr> </table>	140	145	150	155	141	146	151	156	142	147	152	157	143	148	153	158	144	149	154	159
20	25	30	35																																																											
21	26	31	36																																																											
22	27	32	37																																																											
23	28	33	38																																																											
24	29	34	39																																																											
99	94	89	84																																																											
98	93	88	83																																																											
97	92	87	82																																																											
96	91	86	81																																																											
95	90	85	80																																																											
140	145	150	155																																																											
141	146	151	156																																																											
142	147	152	157																																																											
143	148	153	158																																																											
144	149	154	159																																																											
$(u_2, u_3) = (2, 0)$	$(u_2, u_3) = (2, 1)$	$(u_2, u_3) = (2, 2)$																																																												
<table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr><td>40</td><td>45</td><td>50</td><td>55</td></tr> <tr><td>41</td><td>46</td><td>51</td><td>56</td></tr> <tr><td>42</td><td>47</td><td>52</td><td>57</td></tr> <tr><td>43</td><td>48</td><td>53</td><td>58</td></tr> <tr><td>44</td><td>49</td><td>54</td><td>59</td></tr> </table>	40	45	50	55	41	46	51	56	42	47	52	57	43	48	53	58	44	49	54	59	<table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr><td>79</td><td>74</td><td>69</td><td>64</td></tr> <tr><td>78</td><td>73</td><td>68</td><td>63</td></tr> <tr><td>77</td><td>72</td><td>67</td><td>62</td></tr> <tr><td>76</td><td>71</td><td>66</td><td>61</td></tr> <tr><td>75</td><td>70</td><td>65</td><td>60</td></tr> </table>	79	74	69	64	78	73	68	63	77	72	67	62	76	71	66	61	75	70	65	60	<table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr><td>160</td><td>165</td><td>170</td><td>175</td></tr> <tr><td>161</td><td>166</td><td>171</td><td>176</td></tr> <tr><td>162</td><td>167</td><td>172</td><td>177</td></tr> <tr><td>163</td><td>168</td><td>173</td><td>178</td></tr> <tr><td>164</td><td>169</td><td>174</td><td>179</td></tr> </table>	160	165	170	175	161	166	171	176	162	167	172	177	163	168	173	178	164	169	174	179
40	45	50	55																																																											
41	46	51	56																																																											
42	47	52	57																																																											
43	48	53	58																																																											
44	49	54	59																																																											
79	74	69	64																																																											
78	73	68	63																																																											
77	72	67	62																																																											
76	71	66	61																																																											
75	70	65	60																																																											
160	165	170	175																																																											
161	166	171	176																																																											
162	167	172	177																																																											
163	168	173	178																																																											
164	169	174	179																																																											

we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(v) - f(u) &\geq v_{r-1}n_0n_1 \cdots n_{r-2}k - ((u_{r-1} + 1)n_0n_1 \cdots n_{r-2} - 1)k \\ &\geq (n_0n_1 \cdots n_{r-2} + 1)k > Ak \geq j. \end{aligned}$$

If  $v_{r-1} = u_{r-1} + 1$  and  $u_{r-1}$  is even, then

$$\begin{aligned} &0f(v) - f(u) \\ &= ((u_{r-1} + 2)n_0n_1 \cdots n_{r-2} - h(\tilde{v}) - u_{r-1}n_0n_1 \cdots n_{r-2} - h(\tilde{u}) - 1)k \\ &= (2n_0n_1 \cdots n_{r-2} - 1 - (u_0 + v_0) - n_0(u_1 + v_1) - \cdots \\ &\quad - n_0n_1 \cdots n_{r-3}(u_{r-2} + v_{r-2}))k \\ &\geq (2n_0n_1 \cdots n_{r-2} - 1 - (2n_0 - 3) - n_0(2n_1 - 3) - \cdots \\ &\quad - n_0n_1 \cdots n_{r-3}(2n_{r-2} - 3))k \\ &= (2 + n_0 + n_0n_1 + \cdots + n_0n_1 \cdots n_{r-3})k = Ak \geq j. \end{aligned}$$

If  $v_{r-1} = u_{r-1} + 1$  and  $u_{r-1}$  is odd, then

$$\begin{aligned} &f(v) - f(u) \\ &= ((u_{r-1} + 1)n_0n_1 \cdots n_{r-2} + h(\tilde{v}) - (u_{r-1} + 1)n_0n_1 \cdots n_{r-2} + 1 + h(\tilde{u}))k \\ &= ((1 + (u_0 + v_0) + n_0(u_1 + v_1) + \cdots + n_0n_1 \cdots n_{r-3}(u_{r-2} + v_{r-2})))k \\ &\geq (2 + n_0 + n_0n_1 + \cdots + n_0n_1 \cdots n_{r-3})k = Ak \geq j. \end{aligned}$$

So,  $f$  is an  $L(j, k)$ -labeling of  $K_{n_0} \times K_{n_1} \times \cdots \times K_{n_{r-1}}$ . Since the span of  $f$  is  $(n_0n_1 \cdots n_{r-1} - 1)k$ , we conclude that  $\lambda_k^j(K_{n_0} \times K_{n_1} \times \cdots \times K_{n_{r-1}}) = (N - 1)k$ . ■

**Theorem 4.** *If  $r \geq 3$ ,  $n_0 \geq n_1 \geq \cdots \geq n_{r-1} \geq 3$ , and  $\frac{j}{k} > A$ , then*

$$\lambda_k^j(K_{n_0} \times K_{n_1} \times \cdots \times K_{n_{r-1}}) \leq (j - Ak)(n_{r-1} - 1) + (N - 1)k,$$

where  $N = n_0n_1 \cdots n_{r-1}$  and  $A = 2 + n_0 + n_0n_1 + \cdots + n_0n_1 \cdots n_{r-3}$ .

*Proof.* We give a labeling

$$f(u) = \begin{cases} u_{r-1}(n_0n_1 \cdots n_{r-2}k + j - Ak) + h(\tilde{u})k & \text{if } u_{r-1} \text{ is even,} \\ u_{r-1}(j - Ak) + ((u_{r-1} + 1)n_0n_1 \cdots n_{r-2} - 1 - h(\tilde{u}))k & \text{if } u_{r-1} \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

This labeling is shown in Tables 3 and 4 for the examples  $K_5 \times K_4 \times K_3$  and  $K_5 \times K_4 \times K_3 \times K_3$ , respectively. These tables are read in a similar way to Tables 1 and 2. Since  $0 \leq h(\tilde{u}) \leq n_0n_1 \cdots n_{r-2} - 1$ , we have  $u_{r-1}(n_0n_1 \cdots n_{r-2}k + j - Ak) \leq f(u) \leq u_{r-1}(n_0n_1 \cdots n_{r-2}k + j - Ak) + (n_0n_1 \cdots n_{r-2} - 1)k$ . Assume  $u$  and  $v$  are two vertices of  $K_{n_0} \times K_{n_1} \times \cdots \times K_{n_{r-1}}$ . We may assume that  $u_{r-1} \leq v_{r-1}$ . If  $v_{r-1} \geq u_{r-1} + 1$ , then

Table 3.  $L(j, k)$  – labeling of  $K_5 \times K_4 \times K_3$  for  $k = 1, j > 7$

$u_2 = 0$			
0	5	10	15
1	6	11	16
2	7	12	17
3	8	13	18
4	9	14	19
$u_2 = 1$			
$j+32$	$j+27$	$j+22$	$j+17$
$j+31$	$j+26$	$j+21$	$j+16$
$j+30$	$j+25$	$j+20$	$j+15$
$j+29$	$j+24$	$j+19$	$j+14$
$j+28$	$j+23$	$j+18$	$j+13$
$u_2 = 2$			
$2j+26$	$2j+31$	$2j+36$	$2j+41$
$2j+27$	$2j+32$	$2j+37$	$2j+42$
$2j+28$	$2j+33$	$2j+38$	$2j+43$
$2j+29$	$2j+34$	$2j+39$	$2j+44$
$2j+30$	$2j+35$	$2j+40$	$2j+45$

Table 4.  $L(j, k)$  – labeling of  $K_5 \times K_4 \times K_3 \times K_3$  for  $k = 1, j > 27$

$(u_2, u_3) = (0, 0)$	$(u_2, u_3) = (0, 1)$	$(u_2, u_3) = (0, 2)$	
0 5 10 15	$j+92$ $j+87$ $j+82$ $j+77$	$2j+66$ $2j+71$ $2j+76$ $2j+81$	
1 6 11 16	$j+91$ $j+86$ $j+81$ $j+76$	$2j+67$ $2j+72$ $2j+77$ $2j+82$	
2 7 12 17	$j+90$ $j+85$ $j+80$ $j+75$	$2j+68$ $2j+73$ $2j+78$ $2j+83$	
3 8 13 18	$j+89$ $j+84$ $j+79$ $j+74$	$2j+69$ $2j+74$ $2j+79$ $2j+84$	
4 9 14 19	$j+88$ $j+83$ $j+78$ $j+73$	$2j+70$ $2j+75$ $2j+80$ $2j+85$	
$(u_2, u_3) = (1, 0)$	$(u_2, u_3) = (1, 1)$	$(u_2, u_3) = (1, 2)$	
20 25 30 35	$j+72$ $j+67$ $j+62$ $j+57$	$2j+86$ $2j+91$ $2j+96$ $2j+101$	
21 26 31 36	$j+71$ $j+66$ $j+61$ $j+56$	$2j+87$ $2j+92$ $2j+97$ $2j+102$	
22 27 32 37	$j+70$ $j+65$ $j+60$ $j+55$	$2j+88$ $2j+93$ $2j+98$ $2j+103$	
23 28 33 38	$j+69$ $j+64$ $j+59$ $j+54$	$2j+89$ $2j+94$ $2j+99$ $2j+104$	
24 29 34 39	$j+68$ $j+63$ $j+58$ $j+53$	$2j+90$ $2j+95$ $2j+100$ $2j+105$	
$(u_2, u_3) = (2, 0)$	$(u_2, u_3) = (2, 1)$	$(u_2, u_3) = (2, 2)$	
40 45 50 55	$j+52$ $j+47$ $j+42$ $j+37$	$2j+106$ $2j+111$ $2j+116$ $2j+121$	
41 46 51 56	$j+51$ $j+46$ $j+41$ $j+36$	$2j+107$ $2j+112$ $2j+117$ $2j+122$	
42 47 52 57	$j+50$ $j+45$ $j+40$ $j+35$	$2j+108$ $2j+113$ $2j+118$ $2j+123$	
43 48 53 58	$j+49$ $j+44$ $j+39$ $j+34$	$2j+109$ $2j+114$ $2j+119$ $2j+124$	
44 49 54 59	$j+48$ $j+43$ $j+38$ $j+33$	$2j+110$ $2j+115$ $2j+120$ $2j+125$	

$$\begin{aligned} f(v) - f(u) &\geq (v_{r-1} - u_{r-1})(n_0 n_1 \cdots n_{r-2} k + j - Ak) - (n_0 n_1 \cdots n_{r-2} - 1)k \\ &\geq j - Ak + k > k. \end{aligned}$$

If  $v_{r-1} = u_{r-1}$ , then  $l$  exists such that  $u_l \neq v_l$  and  $u_t = v_t$  for all  $t = 0, 1, \dots, l-1$ . Then, since  $-n_l + 1 \leq v_l - u_l \leq n_l - 1$  and  $u_l \neq v_l$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} h(\tilde{v}) - h(\tilde{u}) &= \sum_{t=l}^{r-2} (v_t - u_t) n_0 n_1 \cdots n_{t-1} \\ &= (v_l - u_l) n_0 n_1 \cdots n_{l-1} \\ &\quad + n_0 n_1 \cdots n_l \left( \sum_{t=l+1}^{r-2} (v_t - u_t) n_{l+1} n_{l+2} \cdots n_{t-1} \right) \end{aligned}$$

is not a multiple of  $n_0 n_1 \cdots n_l$ . So,  $h(\tilde{v}) \neq h(\tilde{u})$ , and thus  $|f(v) - f(u)| = |h(\tilde{v}) - h(\tilde{u})|k \geq k$ . If  $u$  is adjacent to  $v$ , then  $u_l \neq v_l$  for all  $l = 0, 1, \dots, r-1$ . So,  $1 \leq u_l + v_l \leq 2n_l - 3$  for all  $l = 0, 1, \dots, r-1$  and  $v_{r-1} \geq u_{r-1} + 1$ . If  $v_{r-1} \geq u_{r-1} + 2$ , then, from

$$\begin{aligned} &u_{r-1}(n_0 n_1 \cdots n_{r-2} k + j - Ak) \\ &\leq f(u) \leq u_{r-1}(n_0 n_1 \cdots n_{r-2} k + j - Ak) + (n_0 n_1 \cdots n_{r-2} - 1)k, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(v) - f(u) &\geq (v_{r-1} - u_{r-1})(n_0 n_1 \cdots n_{r-2} k + j - Ak) - (n_0 n_1 \cdots n_{r-2} - 1)k \\ &\geq 2(j - Ak) + n_0 n_1 \cdots n_{r-2} k + k > j. \end{aligned}$$

If  $v_{r-1} = u_{r-1} + 1$  and  $u_{r-1}$  is even, then

$$\begin{aligned} f(v) - f(u) &= (u_{r-1} + 1)(j - Ak) + ((u_{r-1} + 2)n_0 n_1 \cdots n_{r-2} - 1 \\ &\quad - h(\tilde{v}))k - u_{r-1}(n_0 n_1 \cdots n_{r-2} k + j - Ak) - h(\tilde{u})k \\ &= j - Ak + (2n_0 n_1 \cdots n_{r-2} - 1 - (u_0 + v_0) \\ &\quad - n_0(u_1 + v_1) - n_0 n_1(u_2 + v_2) - \cdots - n_0 n_1 \cdots n_{r-3}(u_{r-2} + v_{r-2}))k \\ &\geq j - Ak + (2n_0 n_1 \cdots n_{r-2} - 1 - (2n_0 - 3) - (2n_1 - 3)n_0 - \cdots \\ &\quad - (2n_{r-2} - 3)n_0 n_1 \cdots n_{r-3})k \\ &= j + (-A + n_0 n_1 \cdots n_{r-3} + \cdots + n_0 + 2)k = j. \end{aligned}$$

If  $v_{r-1} = u_{r-1} + 1$  and  $u_{r-1}$  is odd, then

$$\begin{aligned} f(v) - f(u) &= (u_{r-1} + 1)(n_0 n_1 \cdots n_{r-2} k + j - Ak) + h(\tilde{v})k - u_{r-1}(j - Ak) \\ &\quad - ((u_{r-1} + 1)n_0 n_1 \cdots n_{r-2} - 1 - h(\tilde{u}))k \\ &= j - Ak + ((u_0 + v_0) + n_0(u_1 + v_1) + \cdots \\ &\quad + n_0 n_1 \cdots n_{r-3}(u_{r-2} + v_{r-2}) + 1)k \\ &\geq j - Ak + (n_0 n_1 \cdots n_{r-3} + \cdots + n_0 + 2)k = j. \end{aligned}$$



Table 5.  $L(j, k)$  – labeling of  $K_4 \times K_3 \times K_2$  for  $k = 1, 1 \leq j \leq 2$

$u_2 = 0$		
0	7	8
1	6	9
2	5	10
3	4	11
$u_2 = 1$		
0	7	8
1	6	9
2	5	10
3	4	11

So,  $f$  is an  $L(j, k)$ -labeling of  $K_{n_0} \times K_{n_1} \times \dots \times K_{n_{r-1}}$ . Since the span of  $f$  is  $(j - Ak)(n_{r-1} - 1) + (n_0 n_1 \dots n_{r-1} - 1)k$ , we conclude that

$$\lambda_k^j(K_{n_0} \times K_{n_1} \times \dots \times K_{n_{r-1}}) \leq (j - Ak)(n_{r-1} - 1) + (N - 1)k. \quad \blacksquare$$

The following lemma is useful when we deal with the labelings of the graph  $K_{n_0} \times K_{n_1} \times \dots \times K_{n_{r-2}} \times K_2$ .

**Lemma 1.** *If  $G$  is a graph, then  $\lambda_k^j(G \times K_2) \leq \lambda_k^j(G)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f$  be an  $L(j, k)$ -labeling of  $G$  with minimum span. We define a labeling  $g$  of  $G \times K_2$  such that  $g(v, x) = f(v)$ . If the distance from  $(v, x)$  to  $(w, y)$  is 2,  $v \neq w$  and the distance from  $v$  to  $w$  in  $G$  is at most 2. So,  $|g(v, x) - g(w, y)| = |f(v) - f(w)| \geq k$ . If  $(v, x)$  and  $(w, y)$  are adjacent,  $x \neq y$  and  $v$  is adjacent to  $w$  in  $G$ . So,  $|g(v, x) - g(w, y)| = |f(v) - f(w)| \geq j$ . Thus,  $g$  is an  $L(j, k)$ -labeling of  $G \times K_2$ , whose span equals that of  $f$ . We have  $\lambda_k^j(G \times K_2) \leq \lambda_k^j(G)$ .  $\blacksquare$

The following theorem is a consequence of Theorems 3 and 4 and Lemma 1.

**Theorem 5.** *For  $r \geq 3$  and  $n_0 \geq n_1 \geq \dots \geq n_{r-2} \geq 3$ , let  $H = K_{n_0} \times K_{n_1} \times \dots \times K_{n_{r-2}} \times K_2$ . Then we have*

$$\lambda_k^j(H) \begin{cases} = (N' - 1)k \text{ if } \frac{j}{k} \leq A', \\ \leq (n_{r-2} - 1)(j - A'k) + (N' - 1)k \text{ if } \frac{j}{k} > A', \end{cases}$$

where  $A' = n_0 n_1 \dots n_{r-4} + n_0 n_1 \dots n_{r-5} + \dots + n_0 + 2$  and  $N' = n_0 n_1 \dots n_{r-2}$ .

Tables 5, 6, 7, and 8 show the explicit labelings of  $K_{n_0} \times K_{n_1} \times \dots \times K_{n_{r-2}} \times K_2$  for the examples  $K_4 \times K_3 \times K_2$  and  $K_5 \times K_4 \times K_3 \times K_2$ .

Table 6.  $L(j, k)$  – labeling of  $K_4 \times K_3 \times K_2$  for  $k = 1, 2 < j \leq 10$

$u_2 = 0$		
0	$j+5$	$2j+4$
1	$j+4$	$2j+5$
2	$j+3$	$2j+6$
3	$j+2$	$2j+7$
$u_2 = 1$		
0	$j+5$	$2j+4$
1	$j+4$	$2j+5$
2	$j+3$	$2j+6$
3	$j+2$	$2j+7$

Table 7.  $L(j, k)$  – labeling of  $K_5 \times K_4 \times K_3 \times K_2$  for  $k = 1, 1 \leq j \leq 7$

$(u_2, u_3) = (0, 0)$				$(u_2, u_3) = (0, 1)$			
0	5	10	15	0	5	10	15
1	6	11	16	1	6	11	16
2	7	12	17	2	7	12	17
3	8	13	18	3	8	13	18
4	9	14	19	4	9	14	19
$(u_2, u_3) = (1, 0)$				$(u_2, u_3) = (1, 1)$			
39	34	29	24	39	34	29	24
38	33	28	23	38	33	28	23
37	32	27	22	37	32	27	22
36	31	26	21	36	31	26	21
35	30	25	20	35	30	25	20
$(u_2, u_3) = (2, 0)$				$(u_2, u_3) = (2, 1)$			
40	45	50	55	40	45	50	55
41	46	51	56	41	46	51	56
42	47	52	57	42	47	52	57
43	48	53	58	43	48	53	58
44	49	54	59	44	49	54	59

Table 8.  $L(j, k)$  – labeling of  $K_5 \times K_4 \times K_3 \times K_2$  for  $k = 1, 8 < j \leq 47$

$(u_2, u_3) = (0, 0)$				$(u_2, u_3) = (0, 1)$			
0	5	10	15	0	5	10	15
1	6	11	16	1	6	11	16
2	7	12	17	2	7	12	17
3	8	13	18	3	8	13	18
4	9	14	19	4	9	14	19
$(u_2, u_3) = (1, 0)$				$(u_2, u_3) = (1, 1)$			
$j+32$	$j+27$	$j+22$	$j+17$	$j+32$	$j+27$	$j+22$	$j+17$
$j+31$	$j+26$	$j+21$	$j+16$	$j+31$	$j+26$	$j+21$	$j+16$
$j+30$	$j+25$	$j+20$	$j+15$	$j+30$	$j+25$	$j+20$	$j+15$
$j+29$	$j+24$	$j+19$	$j+14$	$j+29$	$j+24$	$j+19$	$j+14$
$j+28$	$j+23$	$j+18$	$j+13$	$j+28$	$j+23$	$j+18$	$j+13$
$(u_2, u_3) = (2, 0)$				$(u_2, u_3) = (2, 1)$			
$2j+26$	$2j+31$	$2j+36$	$2j+41$	$2j+26$	$2j+31$	$2j+36$	$2j+41$
$2j+27$	$2j+32$	$2j+37$	$2j+42$	$2j+27$	$2j+32$	$2j+37$	$2j+42$
$2j+28$	$2j+33$	$2j+38$	$2j+43$	$2j+28$	$2j+33$	$2j+38$	$2j+43$
$2j+29$	$2j+34$	$2j+39$	$2j+44$	$2j+29$	$2j+34$	$2j+39$	$2j+44$
$2j+30$	$2j+35$	$2j+40$	$2j+45$	$2j+30$	$2j+35$	$2j+40$	$2j+45$

**Theorem 6.** For  $r \geq 3$  and  $n_0 \geq n_1 \geq \dots \geq n_{r-2} \geq 3$ , let  $H = K_{n_0} \times K_{n_1} \times \dots \times K_{n_{r-2}} \times K_2$ ,  $A = 2 + n_0 + n_0n_1 + \dots + n_0n_1 \dots n_{r-3}$  and  $N' = n_0n_1 \dots n_{r-2}$ . Then we have

$$\lambda_k^j(H) \leq j + (2N' - A - 1)k.$$

*Proof.* Define

$$f(u) = \begin{cases} h(\tilde{u})k & \text{if } u_{r-1} = 0 \\ j + (2n_0n_1 \dots n_{r-2} - A - 1 - h(\tilde{u}))k & \text{if } u_{r-1} = 1. \end{cases}$$

This labeling is shown in Tables 9 and 10 for the examples  $K_4 \times K_3 \times K_2$  and  $K_5 \times K_4 \times K_3 \times K_2$ . Assume  $u$  and  $v$  are vertices of  $H = K_{n_0} \times K_{n_1} \times \dots \times K_{n_{r-2}} \times K_2$ . If the distance between  $u$  and  $v$  is 2, since  $H$  is a bipartite graph with two parts  $U_0 = \{(p_0, p_1, \dots, p_{r-1}) | p_{r-1} = 0\}$  and  $U_1 = \{(p_0, p_1, \dots, p_{r-1}) | p_{r-1} = 1\}$ , we have  $u_{r-1} = v_{r-1}$ . Since  $u \neq v$ , we let  $t$  be the smallest number such that  $u_t \neq v_t$ . Then, since  $0 \leq u_t, v_t \leq n_t - 1$ , we have  $|u_t - v_t| \leq n_t - 1$ . So,  $u_t - v_t$  is not a multiple of  $n_t$ . Since  $|h(\tilde{u}) - h(\tilde{v})| = |(u_t - v_t)n_0n_1 \dots n_{t-1} + \dots + (u_{r-2} - v_{r-2})n_0n_1 \dots n_{r-3}|$ , which is not a multiple of  $n_0n_1 \dots n_t$ , we have  $|f(u) - f(v)| \geq k$ .

If the distance between  $u$  and  $v$  is 1,  $u_{r-1} \neq v_{r-1}$ . We may assume that  $u_{r-1} = 0$  and  $v_{r-1} = 1$ . For each  $t = 0, \dots, r-2$ , since  $u_t \neq v_t$ , we have  $1 \leq u_t + v_t \leq 2n_t - 3$ .

Table 9.  $L(j, k)$  – labeling of  $K_4 \times K_3 \times K_2$  for  $k = 1, j > 10$

$u_2 = 0$		
0	4	8
1	5	9
2	6	10
3	7	11
$u_2 = 1$		
$j+17$	$j+13$	$j+9$
$j+16$	$j+12$	$j+8$
$j+15$	$j+11$	$j+7$
$j+14$	$j+10$	$j+6$

Table 10.  $L(j, k)$  – labeling of  $K_5 \times K_4 \times K_3 \times K_2$  for  $k = 1, j > 47$

$(u_2, u_3) = (0, 0)$				$(u_2, u_3) = (0, 1)$			
0	5	10	15	$j+92$	$j+87$	$j+82$	$j+77$
1	6	11	16	$j+91$	$j+86$	$j+81$	$j+76$
2	7	12	17	$j+90$	$j+85$	$j+80$	$j+75$
3	8	13	18	$j+89$	$j+84$	$j+79$	$j+74$
4	9	14	19	$j+88$	$j+83$	$j+78$	$j+73$
$(u_2, u_3) = (1, 0)$				$(u_2, u_3) = (1, 1)$			
20	25	30	35	$j+72$	$j+67$	$j+62$	$j+57$
21	26	31	36	$j+71$	$j+66$	$j+61$	$j+56$
22	27	32	37	$j+70$	$j+65$	$j+60$	$j+55$
23	28	33	38	$j+69$	$j+64$	$j+59$	$j+54$
24	29	34	39	$j+68$	$j+63$	$j+58$	$j+53$
$(u_2, u_3) = (2, 0)$				$(u_2, u_3) = (2, 1)$			
40	45	50	55	$j+52$	$j+47$	$j+42$	$j+37$
41	46	51	56	$j+51$	$j+46$	$j+41$	$j+36$
42	47	52	57	$j+50$	$j+45$	$j+40$	$j+35$
43	48	53	58	$j+49$	$j+44$	$j+39$	$j+34$
44	49	54	59	$j+48$	$j+43$	$j+38$	$j+33$

So,  $f(v) - f(u) = j + (2n_0n_1 \cdots n_{r-2} - A - 1)k - ((u_0 + v_0) + \cdots + (u_{r-2} + v_{r-2})n_0n_1 \cdots n_{r-3})k \geq j$ . So,  $f$  is an  $L(j, k)$ -labeling of  $H$ . Since the span of  $f$  is  $j + (2n_0n_1 \cdots n_{r-2} - A - 1)k$ , we have  $\lambda_k^j(H) \leq j + (2n_0n_1 \cdots n_{r-2} - A - 1)k$ . ■

As a consequence of Theorems 5 and 6, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $H$ ,  $A$ ,  $A'$ , and  $N'$  be the same as in Theorems 3 and 5. We have the following:*

- (1)  $\lambda_k^j(H) = (N' - 1)k$  if  $\frac{j}{k} \leq A'$ .
- (2)  $\lambda_k^j(H) \leq (n_{r-2} - 1)(j - A'k) + (N' - 1)k$  if  $A' < \frac{j}{k} \leq A' + \frac{n_0n_1 \cdots n_{r-3}(n_{r-2} - 1)}{n_{r-2} - 2}$ .
- (3)  $\lambda_k^j(H) \leq j + (2N' - A - 1)k$  if  $A' + \frac{n_0n_1 \cdots n_{r-3}(n_{r-2} - 1)}{n_{r-2} - 2} < \frac{j}{k}$ .

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank anonymous referees for valuable comments and corrections.

#### REFERENCES

1. T. Calamoneri, The  $L(h, k)$ -labeling problem: A survey and annotated bibliography, *Comp. J.*, **49** (2006), 585-608.
2. T. Calamoneri and R. Petreschi, The  $L(h, k)$ -labeling problem: an updated survey and annotated bibliography, *Comp. J.*, **54** (2011), 1344-1371.
3. T. Calamoneri,  $L(h, 1)$ -labeling subclasses of planar graphs, *J. Parallel Distrib. Comp.*, **54** (2011), 1344-1371.
4. T. Calamoneri, E. G. Fusco, R. B. Tan and P. Vocca,  $L(h, 1, 1)$ -labeling of outerplanar graphs, *Math. Methods Oper. Res.*, **69** (2009), 307-321.
5. G. J. Chang and C. Lu, Distance-two labelings of graphs, *Europ. J. Combin.*, **24** (2003), 53-58.
6. G. J. Chang and D. Kuo, The  $L(2, 1)$ -labeling problem on graphs, *SIAM J. Disc. Math.*, **9** (1996), 309-316.
7. G. J. Chang, W. Ke, D. Kuo, D. Liu and R. K. Yeh, On  $L(d, 1)$ -labelings of graphs, *Disc. Math.*, **220** (2000), 57-66.
8. G. Chartrand, D. Erwin and P. Zhang, A graph labeling problem suggested by FM channel restrictions, *Bull. ICA*, **43** (2005), 43-57.
9. M. L. Chia, D. Kuo, H. Liao, C. H. Yang and R. K. Yeh,  $L(3, 2, 1)$  labeling of graphs, *Taiwanese J. Math.*, **15** (2011), 2439-2457.
10. S. H. Chiang and H. H. Yan, On  $L(d, 1)$ -labeling of Cartesian product of a cycle and a path, *Disc. Appl. Math.*, **156(15)** (2008), 2867-2881.

11. J. P. Georges and D. W. Mauro, Generalized vertex labelings with a condition at distance two, *Congr. Numer.*, **109** (1995), 141-159.
12. J. P. Georges and D. W. Mauro, Labeling trees with a condition at distance two, *Disc. Math.*, **269** (2003), 127-148.
13. J. P. Georges, D. W. Mauro and M. I. Stein, Labeling products of complete graphs with a condition at a distance two, *SIAM J. Disc. Math.*, **14** (2000), 28-35.
14. J. R. Griggs and R. K. Yeh, Labeling graphs with a condition at distance two, *SIAM J. Disc. Math.*, **5** (1992), 586-595.
15. W. K. Hale, Frequency assignment: theory and applications, *Proc. IEEE*, **68** (1980), 1497-1514.
16. E. Haque and P. K. Jha,  $L(j, k)$ -labelings of Kronecker products of complete graphs, *IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. II: Express Briefs*, **55(1)** (2008), 70-73.
17. F. Havet, B. Reed and J. S. Sereni, Griggs and Yeh's Conjecture and  $L(p, 1)$ -labelings, *SIAM J. Disc. Math.*, **26(1)** (2012), 145-168.
18. P. K. Jha, Optimal  $L(2, 1)$ -labeling of strong products of cycles, *IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. I: Fund. Theory Appl.*, **48** (2001), 498-500.
19. P. K. Jha, S. Klavzar and A. Vesel, Optimal  $L(d, 1)$ -labelings of certain direct products of cycles and Cartesian product of cycles, *Disc. Appl. Math.*, **152(1-3)** (2005), 257-265.
20. J. H. Kang,  $L(2, 1)$ -labeling of Hamiltonian graphs with maximum degree 3, *SIAM J. Disc. Math.*, **22(1)** (2008), 213-230.
21. B. M. Kim, B. C. Song and W. Hwang, Distance three labelings for direct products of 3 complete graphs, *Taiwanese J. of Math.*, **17** (2013), 207-219.
22. B. M. Kim, B. C. Song and W. Hwang, Distance three labelings for  $K_n \times K_2$ , *Intern. J. Comp. Math.*, **90** (2013), 906-911.
23. B. M. Kim, B. C. Song and Y. Rho,  $L(2, 1)$ -labelings for direct products of a triangle and a cycle, *Intern. J. Comp. Math.*, **90** (2013), 475-482.
24. S. Klavzar and A. Vesel, Computing graph invariants on rotographs using dynamic algorithm approach: the case of  $(2, 1)$ -colorings and independence numbers, *Disc. Appl. Math.*, **129** (2003), 449-460.
25. S. R. Kola and P. Panigrahi, An improved lower bound for the radio  $k$ -chromatic number of the hypercube  $Q_n$ , *Computers & Math. with Appl.*, **60** (2010), 2131-2140.
26. D. Kuo and J. H. Yan, On  $L(2, 1)$ -labelings of Cartesian products of paths and cycles, *Disc. Math.*, **283** (2004), 137-144.
27. P. C. B. Lam, W. Lin and J. Wu,  $L(j, k)$ - and circular  $L(j, k)$ -labelings for the products of complete graphs, *J. Comp. Optim.*, **14** (2007), 219-227.
28. W. Lin and P. C. B. Lam, Distance two labeling and direct products of graphs, *Disc. Math.*, **308** (2008), 3805-3815.

29. D. D. Liu, Radio number for trees, *Disc. Math.*, **308(7)** (2008), 1153-1164.
30. D. D. Liu and M. Xie, Radio number for square paths, *Ars. Combinatoria*, **90** (2009), 307-319.
31. D. D. Liu and X. Zhu, Multilevel distance labelings for paths and cycles, *SIAM J. Disc. Math.*, **19** (2005), 610-621.
32. D. Sakai, Labeling chordal graphs with a condition at distance two, *SIAM J. Disc. Math.*, **7** (1994), 133-140.
33. C. Schwarz and D. S. Troxell,  $L(2, 1)$ -labelings of Cartesian products of two cycles, *Disc. Appl. Math.*, **154(10)** (2006), 1522-1540.
34. M. A. Whittlesey, J. P. Georges and D. W. Mauro, On the  $\lambda$ -number of  $Q_n$  and related graphs, *SIAM J. Disc. Math.*, **8** (1995), 499-506.
35. R. K. Yeh, A survey on labeling graphs with a condition at distance two, *Disc. Math.*, **306(12)** (2006), 1217-1231.

Byeong Moon Kim and Byung Chul Song  
Department of Mathematics  
Gangneung-Wonju National University  
Gangneung 210-702  
Korea  
E-mail: kbm@gwnu.ac.kr  
bcsong@gwnu.ac.kr

Yoomi Rho  
Department of Mathematics  
Incheon National University  
Incheon 402-749  
Korea  
E-mail: rho@incheon.ac.kr

Woonjae Hwang  
Department of Mathematics  
Korea University  
Sejong 339-700  
Korea  
E-mail: woonjae@korea.ac.kr