

WEIGHTED NORM INEQUALITIES FOR FLAG SINGULAR INTEGRALS ON HOMOGENEOUS GROUPS

Xinfeng Wu

Abstract. Let G be a homogeneous nilpotent Lie group. In this paper, we introduce a new class of multiparameter weights $A_p^{\mathcal{F}}$ associated with a flag \mathcal{F} on G and show that such class of weights can be characterized via two type of flag maximal operators. We then prove that singular integrals with flag kernels are bounded on $L_w^p(G)$, $1 < p < \infty$, when $w \in A_p^{\mathcal{F}}(G)$, which extends a recent result of Nagel-Ricci-Stein-Wainger in [13]. As an application, we get weighted norm inequalities for the multiparameter Marcinkiewicz multipliers on Heisenberg groups introduced in [11].

1. INTRODUCTION

Flag singular integral operators were comprehensively studied in recent years and many applications were found in analysis on Heisenberg groups, theory of function spaces, several complex variables and *etc.* Such class of operators were introduced by Müller, Ricci and Stein [11] when they studied the Marcinkiewicz multiplier on the Heisenberg groups \mathbb{H}^n . They obtained the surprising result that certain Marcinkiewicz multipliers, invariant under a two-parameter group of dilations on $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R}$, are bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{H}^n)$, despite the absence of a two-parameter automorphic group of dilations on \mathbb{H}^n . To study the \square_b -complex on certain CR submanifolds of \mathbb{C}^n , Nagel, Ricci and Stein [12] studied further a class of product singular integrals with flag kernels. Applying the theory of flag kernels, Nagel and Stein [14] obtained remarkable results on the optimal estimates for solutions of the Kohn-Laplacian for certain classes of model domains in several complex variables. More recently, using Littlewood-Paley theory, Nagel, Ricci, Stein and Wainger [13] extended the above results to a more general setting, namely,

Received August 17, 2013, accepted September 2, 2013.

Communicated by Chin-Cheng Lin.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 42B20; Secondary 42B25.

Key words and phrases: Weighted norm inequalities, Flag singular integrals, Homogeneous groups, Heisenberg groups, Marcinkiewicz multipliers.

The research is supported by NNSF-China (Grant No. 11101423) and supported in part by NNSF-China (Grant No. 11171345).

homogeneous group. We would like to point out that Głowacki [5, 6] independently obtained similar results by using Melin calculus on homogeneous groups developed in [7]. The multiparameter Hardy spaces associated with flag kernels were developed by Han and Lu [8] in the Euclidean setting and by Han, Lu and Sawyer [9] in the setting of Heisenberg groups and atomic decomposition characterizations for the Hardy spaces were established in [16]. For other results about flag kernels, we refer the reader to [3, 17, 18]. While the theory of flag kernels are satisfactorily established, it is still open how to develop a theory of multiparameter weightes associated with flag kernels, even in the setting of Heisenberg groups.

The purpose of this paper is to address this question. More precisely, we shall introduce a new class of flag weights $A_p^{\mathcal{F}}$ (associated to a flag \mathcal{F}) on a homogeneous group G and provide characterizations of $A_p^{\mathcal{F}}$ via two kinds of maximal operators. We then prove that singular integrals with flag kernels are bounded on $L_w^p(G)$, $1 < p < \infty$, when $w \in A_p^{\mathcal{F}}(G)$, which extends a recent result of Nagel-Ricci-Stein-Wainger in [13]. As an application, we also get weighted norm inequalities for the multiparameter Marcinkiewicz multipliers introduced in [11] on Heisenberg groups.

To state our main results more precisely, we begin with recalling some basic definitions and notations on homogeneous groups. Let G be a homogeneous nilpotent Lie group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . A Lie group G is homogeneous means that there is a one-parameter group of automorphisms $\delta_r : G \rightarrow G$ for $r > 0$, with $\delta_1 = Id$. As a manifold, G is an N -dimension real vector space, and we assume that with an appropriate choice of coordinates, $G = \mathbb{R}^N$ and the automorphisms are given by

$$\delta_r[x] = r \cdot x = (r^{d_1}x_1, \dots, r^{d_N}x_N)$$

with $1 \leq d_1 \leq d_2 \leq \dots \leq d_N$. We identify G with \mathbb{R}^N as above. The bi-invariant Haar measure on G is Lebesgue measure $dy = dy_1 \cdots dy_N$. The convolution of functions $f, g \in L^1(G)$ is given by

$$f * g(x) = \int_G f(xy^{-1})g(y)dy = \int_G f(y)g(y^{-1}x)dy,$$

and the integral converges absolutely for almost all $x \in G$. For more details about homogeneous groups, we refer the reader to [4, 10]

A *standard flag* \mathcal{F} associated to the partition $N = a_1 + \dots + a_n$ ($a_i > 0$) is a collection of increasing subspaces

$$(1.1) \quad (0) \subset \mathbb{R}^{a_n} \subset \mathbb{R}^{a_{n-1}} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{a_n} \subset \dots \subset \mathbb{R}^{a_2} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{R}^{a_n} \subset \mathbb{R}^{a_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{R}^{a_n} = \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Throughout this paper, we fix the partition and the flag on $G = \mathbb{R}^N$. In what follows, for $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, we always write $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ with $x_l = (x_{p_l}, \dots, x_{q_l}) \in \mathbb{R}^{a_l}$ so that $q_l = p_l + a_l - 1$. Denote by $J_l = \{p_l, \dots, q_l\}$ the set of subscripts corresponding to

the factor \mathbb{R}^{a_l} so that $\{1, \dots, N\}$ is the disjoint union $J_1 \cup \dots \cup J_n$. With the family of dilations defined above, the action on the subspace \mathbb{R}^{a_l} is given by

$$r \cdot x_l = (r^{d_{p_l}} x_{p_l}, \dots, r^{d_{q_l}} x_{q_l}).$$

The homogeneous dimension of \mathbb{R}^{a_l} is $Q_l = d_{p_l} + \dots + d_{q_l} = \sum_{j \in J_l} d_j$. The function $N_l(x_l) = \sup_{p_l \leq s \leq q_l} |x_s|^{1/d_s}$ is a homogeneous norm on \mathbb{R}^{a_l} so that $N_l(r \cdot x_l) = r N_l(x_l)$. If $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N) \in \mathbb{N}^N$, let $\bar{\alpha}_l = (\alpha_{p_l}, \dots, \alpha_{q_l})$, and set

$$[\bar{\alpha}_l] = \alpha_{p_l} d_{p_l} + \dots + \alpha_{q_l} d_{q_l} = \sum_{j \in J_l} \alpha_j d_j.$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} G_k &= \{x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^N : x_1 = \dots = x_{k-1} = 0\} \\ &= \{(0, \dots, 0, x_k, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^N : x_j \in \mathbb{R}^{a_j}, k \leq j \leq n\}, \end{aligned}$$

and let G_k^\perp denote the annihilator of G_k . We can identify G_k with $\mathbb{R}^{a_k} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{R}^{a_n}$ so that $G_k^\perp = \mathbb{R}^{a_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{R}^{a_{k-1}}$. For $x \in G$, we also write

$$x = (x_\perp^k, x^k) \in G_k^\perp \times G_k.$$

It follows from the formula for group multiplication that G_k is a subgroup of G . We let $m(E)$ denote the Lebesgue measure of a set $E \subset G = G_1$, and $m_k(F)$ denote the Lebesgue measure on G_k of subset $F \subset G_k$. For $s = (s_k, \dots, s_n)$, let

$$R_s^{(k)} = R_s^{(k)}(0) = \{(x_k, \dots, x_n) \in G_k : |x_k| \leq s_k^{Q_k}, \dots, |x_n| \leq s_n^{Q_n}\}.$$

We say that the size of the rectangle R_s is *acceptable* if $s_k \leq s_{k+1} \leq \dots \leq s_n$.

Definition 1.1. The maximal function $M_{\mathcal{F}}$ on G is defined by

$$M_{\mathcal{F}}(f)(x) = \sup_{R_s} \frac{1}{|R_s|} \int_{R_s} |f(xy^{-1})| dy,$$

where the supremum is taken over all acceptable rectangles $R_s = R_s^{(1)} \subset G = G_1$.

We now introduce the Muckenhoupt weight $A_p^{\mathcal{F}}$ associated to the flag \mathcal{F} on G . Define the *translated acceptable rectangles* $R_s(x) := x \cdot R_s(0) = \{x \cdot y : y \in R_s(0)\}$. Denote by $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}$ the set of translated acceptable rectangles.

Definition 1.2. Let w be a nonnegative measurable function on G . We say that w is a flag weight in $A_p^{\mathcal{F}}(G)$ if

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{R \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}} \left(\frac{1}{|R|} \int_R w(x) dx \right) \left(\frac{1}{|R|} \int_R w(x)^{-1/(p-1)} dx \right)^{p-1} < \infty & \text{ for } 1 < p < \infty, \\ M_{\mathcal{F}}(w)(x) \leq Cw(x), \text{ a.e.} & \text{ for } p = 1. \end{aligned}$$

We would like to point out that in the Euclidean setting when $G = \mathbb{R}^N$, the flag weights defined above are different from the product weights used in [2]. The standard maximal function M_k on the subgroup G_k is defined by

$$M_k(f)(x^k) = \sup_{\rho > 0} \frac{1}{m(B(\rho))} \int_{B(\rho)} |f(x^k \cdot (y^k)^{-1})| dy^k,$$

where $B(\rho) = B^{(k)}(\rho)$ is the automorphic one-parameter ball given by

$$B^{(k)}(\rho) = \{x^k = (x_k, \dots, x_n) \in G_k : |x_k| \leq \rho^{Q_k}, |x_{k+1}| \leq \rho^{Q_{k+1}}, \dots, |x_n| \leq \rho^{Q_n}\},$$

and \cdot denote the group multiplication on subgroup G_k . Let

$$B_{x^k}^{(k)}(\rho) := \{x^k \cdot y^k : y^k \in B^{(k)}(\rho)\}.$$

Define the maximal operator \widetilde{M}_k on G by

$$\widetilde{M}_k(f)(x) \equiv (\delta_{G_k^\perp} \otimes M_k)(f)(x) = M_k(f(x_\perp^k, \cdot))(x^k),$$

where $\delta_{G_k^\perp}$ is the Dirac mass at $(0) \in G_k^\perp$. We then define another type of flag maximal operator by

$$\widetilde{M}_{\mathcal{F}} = \widetilde{M}_n \circ \widetilde{M}_{n-1} \circ \dots \circ \widetilde{M}_1.$$

For $k = 1, \dots, n$, the one-parameter weight classes $A_p^{(k)}$, relative to \widetilde{M}_k on G , are defined as follows.

Definition 1.3. Let w be a nonnegative measurable function on G . We say that w is in $A_p^{(k)}(G)$, $1 < p < \infty$, if

$$\left(\frac{1}{|B^{(k)}|} \int_{B^{(k)}} w(x_\perp^k, x^k) dx^k \right) \left(\frac{1}{|B^{(k)}|} \int_{B^{(k)}} w(x_\perp^k, x^k)^{-1/(p-1)} dx^k \right)^{p-1} < C$$

for all $B^{(k)} \subset G_k$ and almost all $x_\perp^k \in G_k^\perp$. If $\widetilde{M}_k(w)(x) \leq Cw(x)$, a.e., then we say $w \in A_1^{(k)}(G)$.

By definition, $A_p^{(k)}(G)$ consists of those weight functions that satisfy *uniform A_p property* in flag subvariables of G_k , $k = 1, \dots, n$.

Our first main result is as follows.

Theorem 1.4. Let $1 < p < \infty$ and w be a nonnegative measurable function on G . Then the following four conditions are equivalent:

- (1) $w \in A_p^{\mathcal{F}}(G)$;
- (2) $w \in A_p^{(1)}(G) \cap A_p^{(2)}(G) \cap \dots \cap A_p^{(n)}(G)$;

- (3) $\widetilde{M}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is bounded on $L_w^p(G)$;
- (4) $M_{\mathcal{F}}$ is bounded on $L_w^p(G)$.

Using Theorem 1.4, we get the following weighted Fefferman-Stein vector-valued inequality.

Theorem 1.5. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and w be a nonnegative locally integrable function on G . Then the following weighted Fefferman-Stein vector-valued inequality holds*

$$(1.2) \quad \left\| \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |M_{\mathcal{F}}(f_t)|^2 \frac{dt}{[t]} \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L_w^p(G)} \leq C \left\| \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |f_t|^2 \frac{dt}{[t]} \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L_w^p(G)},$$

if and only if $w \in A_p^{\mathcal{F}}(G)$.

The following definition of flag kernels on G was introduced in [13].

Definition 1.6. A flag kernel adapted to the flag \mathcal{F} is a distribution $\mathcal{K} \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^N)$ which satisfies the following differential inequalities (part (a)) and cancellation conditions (part (b))

- (a) For test functions supported away from the subspace $x_1 = 0$, the distribution \mathcal{K} is given by integration against a \mathcal{C}^∞ -function K . Moreover for every $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N) \in \mathbb{Z}^N$ there is a constant C_α so that if $\alpha_k = (\alpha_{p_k}, \dots, \alpha_{q_k})$, then for $x_1 \neq 0$,

$$|\partial^\alpha K(x)| \leq C_\alpha \prod_{k=1}^n [N_1(x_1) + \dots + N_k(x_k)]^{-Q_k - [\bar{\alpha}_k]}.$$

- (b) Let $\{1, \dots, n\} = L \cup M$ with $L = \{l_1, \dots, l_\alpha\}$, $M = \{m_1, \dots, m_\beta\}$ and $L \cap M = \emptyset$ be any pair of complementary subsets. For any $\psi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{N_b})$ and any positive real numbers R_1, \dots, R_β , put $\psi_R(x_{m_1}, \dots, x_{m_\beta}) = \psi(R_1 \cdot x_{m_1}, \dots, R_\beta \cdot x_{m_\beta})$. Define a distribution $\mathcal{K}_{\psi,R}^\# \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{a_{l_1} + \dots + a_{l_r}})$ by setting

$$\langle \mathcal{K}_{\psi,R}^\#, \varphi \rangle = \langle \mathcal{K}, \psi_R \otimes \varphi \rangle$$

for any test function $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{a_{l_1} + \dots + a_{l_r}})$. Then the distribution $\mathcal{K}_{\psi,R}^\#$ satisfies the differential inequalities of part (a) for the decomposition $\mathbb{R}^{a_{l_1}} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{R}^{a_{l_r}}$. Moreover, the corresponding constants that appear in these differential inequalities are independent of the parameters $\{R_1, \dots, R_s\}$, and depend only on the constants $\{C_\alpha\}$ from part (a) and the semi-norms of ψ .

The constants $\{C_\alpha\}$ in part and the implicit constant in part are called the flag kernel constants for the flag kernel \mathcal{K} .

The second main result of this paper is the following

Theorem 1.7. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $w \in A_p^{\mathcal{F}}(G)$. If \mathcal{K} a flag kernel on G , then the convolution operator $T(f) = f * \mathcal{K}$ is bounded on $L_w^p(G)$.*

Finally, we give an application of Theorem 1.7 to Marcinkiewicz multipliers on Heisenberg group \mathbb{H}^n . Recall that the Heisenberg group \mathbb{H}^n is a two-step homogeneous nilpotent group on $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ with the multiplication law

$$(z, t) \cdot (z', t') = (z + z', t + t' + 2\Im(z \cdot \bar{z}')).$$

Let \mathcal{Z} be the center of \mathbb{H}^n define by

$$\mathcal{Z} = \{(0, t) : 0 \in \mathbb{C}^n, t \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

The flag $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ on \mathbb{H}^n is given by

$$(0) \subset \mathcal{Z} \subset \mathbb{H}^n.$$

In [11], Müller-Ricci-Stein studied a class of multiparameter Marcinkiewicz multipliers on \mathbb{H}^n . They showed that such class of Marcinkiewicz multipliers can be characterized by the flag singular integrals on \mathbb{H}^n . Applying Theorem 1.7, we then get the following weighted norm inequalities for the multiparameter Marcinkiewicz multipliers studied in [11] on \mathbb{H}^n .

Theorem 1.8. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $w \in A_p^{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}(\mathbb{H}^n)$. Suppose that $m(\xi, \eta)$ is a function on $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}$ satisfying*

$$|(\xi \partial_\xi)^\alpha (\eta \partial_\eta)^\beta m(\xi, \eta)| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta}$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \leq N$, with N large enough. Then $m(\mathcal{L}, iT)$ is a bounded operator on $L_w^p(\mathbb{H}^n)$. Here \mathcal{L} is the sub-Laplacian and T is the central element of the Heisenberg Lie algebra.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.4

We prove Theorem 1.4 by showing (1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3) \Rightarrow (4) \Rightarrow (1).

We show first (1) \Rightarrow (2). Note that all one-parameter balls $B^{(1)}(\rho)$ are acceptable, we thus have $A_p^{\mathcal{F}}(G) \subset A_p^{(1)}(G)$, $1 < p < \infty$. To see that $A_p^{\mathcal{F}}(G) \subset A_p^{(k)}(G)$, $1 < k \leq n$, we assume that $w \in A_p^{\mathcal{F}}(G)$. Given any translated one-parameter ball $B^{(k)}(x^k, \rho)$ and $r \leq \rho$, set

$$\begin{aligned} B_{\perp}^{(k)}(r) &= B_{\perp}^{(k)}(x_{\perp}^k, r) \\ &= \{x_{\perp}^k \cdot y : (y_1, \dots, y_{k-1}) \in G_k^{\perp} : |y_1| \leq r^{Q_1}, \dots, |y_{k-1}| \leq r^{Q_{k-1}}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $B_{\perp}^{(k)}(r)$ shrinks to $x_{\perp}^k \in G_k^{\perp}$ as r tends to zero. Moreover, $B_{\perp}^{(k)}(x_{\perp}^k, r) \times B^{(k)}(x^k, \rho) \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}$. Thus, $w \in A_p^{\mathcal{F}}(G)$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\frac{1}{|B^{(k)}(\rho)|} \int_{B^{(k)}(\rho)} \left(\frac{1}{|B_{\perp}^{(k)}(r)|} \int_{B_{\perp}^{(k)}(r)} w(\bar{y}, y') d\bar{y} \right) dy' \right) \\ &\times \left(\frac{1}{|B^{(k)}(\rho)|} \int_{B^{(k)}(\rho)} \left(\frac{1}{|B_{\perp}^{(k)}(r)|} \int_{B_{\perp}^{(k)}(r)} w(\bar{y}, y')^{-1/(p-1)} d\bar{y} \right) dy' \right)^{p-1} < C. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $r \rightarrow 0$ and applying Lebesgue’s differential theorem, we get

$$\left(\frac{1}{|B^{(k)}(\rho)|} \int_{B^{(k)}(\rho)} w(x_{\perp}^k, y') dy'\right) \left(\frac{1}{|B^{(k)}(\rho)|} \int_{B^{(k)}(\rho)} w(x_{\perp}^k, y')^{-1/(p-1)} dy'\right)^{p-1} < C$$

for all $B^{(k)}(\rho) \subset G_k$ and almost all $x_{\perp}^k \in G_k^{\perp}$. This verifies $w \in A_p^{(k)}(G)$ and thus the implication (1) \Rightarrow (2) is proved.

The second implication can be proved as in the Euclidean case (see [15]) while the third follows immediately from the following Lemma (see [13, Lemma 9.3]).

Lemma 2.1. *There is a constant C so that for all $x \in G$,*

$$M_{\mathcal{F}}(f)(x) \leq C \widetilde{M}_{\mathcal{F}}(f)(x).$$

To show the last implication (4) \Rightarrow (1), we assume that $M_{\mathcal{F}}$ is bounded on $L_w^p(G)$ for a non-negative locally integrable function w . Apply this to the function $f\chi_R$ supported in an acceptable rectangle R and use that $1/|R| \int_R |f| \lesssim M_{\mathcal{F}}(f\chi_R)(x)$ for all $x \in R$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} w(R) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{|R|} \int_R |f(x)| dx\right)^p &\leq C \int_R [M_{\mathcal{F}}(f\chi_B)(x)]^p w(x) dx \\ &\leq C_p \int_R |f(x)|^p w(x) dx, \end{aligned}$$

where $w(R) = \int_R w(x) dx$. It follows that

$$\left(\frac{1}{|R|} \int_R |f(x)| dx\right)^p \leq \frac{C_p}{w(R)} \int_R |f(x)|^p w(x) dx,$$

for all $R \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}$ and all functions f . Now we take $f = w^{-p'/p}$, which gives $f^p w = w^{-p'/p}$. We thus get that w should satisfy the inequality (1) under additional assumption that $\inf_R w > 0$ for all acceptable rectangles R . If $\inf_R w = 0$ for some acceptable rectangles R , we take $f = (w + \varepsilon)^{-p'/p}$. Repeating the similar argument, we can derive

$$\left(\frac{1}{|R|} \int_R w(x) dx\right) \left(\frac{1}{|R|} \int_R (w(x) + \varepsilon)^{-\frac{p'}{p}} dx\right)^{p-1} \leq C_p,$$

from which we can still get the conclusion (1) via the Lebesgue monotone convergence theorem by letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. This ends the proof of the implication (4) \Rightarrow (1) and hence Theorem 1.4 follows. ■

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.5

To prove the sufficient part of Theorem 1.5, we need the following one-parameter weighted Fefferman-Stein’s inequality.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $1 \leq k \leq n$, $1 < p, q < \infty$ and let u be a $A_p(G_k)$ weight (i.e. the classical Muckenhoupt weight on G_k).*

$$(3.1) \quad \left\| \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |M_k(f_j)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^p_u(G_k)} \leq C \left\| \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |f_j|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^p_u(G_k)}.$$

The key tool in the proof of the above inequality in Euclidean setting is the the Calderón-Zygmund decomposition. Such decomposition in the current setting was provided in [15]. Based on the Calderón-Zygmund decomposition, the proof of Lemma 3.1 is just a recreation of the Euclidean one in [1]. We omit the details here.

We now assume that $w \in A_p^{\mathcal{F}}(G)$. By Theorem 1.4, $w \in A_p^{(k)}$, $k = 1, \dots, n$. Thus for each $x_{\perp}^k \in G_k^{\perp}$, $w(x_{\perp}^k, \cdot)$ is in $A_p(G_k)$ uniformly for x_{\perp}^k . Thus the the weighted Fefferman-Stein's inequality in Lemma 3.1 hold for $u = w(x_{\perp}^k, \cdot)$. Lifting to G yields

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |\widetilde{M}_k(f_j)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^p_w(G)} \leq C \left\| \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |f_j|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^p_w(G)}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n.$$

By iteration,

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |\widetilde{M}_{\mathcal{F}}(f_j)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^p_w(G)} \leq C \left\| \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |f_j|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^p_w(G)}.$$

This together with Lemma 2.1 yields

$$(3.2) \quad \left\| \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |M_{\mathcal{F}}(f_j)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^p_w(G)} \leq C \left\| \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |f_j|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^p_w(G)}.$$

To pass to the continuous one in Theorem 1.5, we assume first that $f_t(x)$ is jointly continuous and has compact support. For each $\epsilon > 0$, apply the conclusion (3.2) to the case where $\{f_j(x)\}$ are enumeration of the $\epsilon^{n/2} F_{\epsilon j_1, \epsilon j_2, \dots, \epsilon j_n}(x)$, for (j_1, j_2, \dots, j_n) ranging over $(\mathbb{Z}^+)^n$, and then let $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, obtaining the desired result in this case. For the general f_t , assuming that is finite, find a sequence $f_t^{(k)}(x)$ of continuous functions of compact support, with $f_t^{(k)}(x) \rightarrow f_t(x)$ almost everywhere, so that

$$\left\| \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |f_t^{(k)}|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^p_w(G)} \rightarrow \left\| \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |f_t|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^p_w(G)}.$$

Applying the previous case and Fatou's lemma, we obtain the desired estimate.

Concerning the necessity of $w \in A_p^{\mathcal{F}}(G)$, take $f_t = f$ for $t \in [1, 2]^n$ and $f_t = 0$ otherwise. Then we see that $w \in A_p^{\mathcal{F}}(G)$ is necessary by the scalar-valued result in Theorem 1.4. This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.5. ■

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.7

The proof is similar to the unweighted case in [13] and we provide it for the sake of completeness. We first recall the square functions introduced in [13]. Since each subgroup G_k is a homogeneous group with family of dilations δ_r , there exists a finite-dimensional inner-product space V_k and a pair $\varphi^{(k)}, \psi^{(k)}$ of V_k -valued functions, with $\varphi^{(k)} \in C_0^\infty(G_k)$ supported in the unit ball, and $\psi^{(k)} \in \mathcal{S}(G_k)$ a Schwartz function, so that

$$\int_{G_k} \varphi^{(k)}(x) dx = \int_{G_k} \psi^{(k)}(x) dx = 0$$

and

$$(4.1) \quad \int_0^\infty \psi_a^{(k)}(xy^{-1}) \cdot \varphi_a^{(k)}(y) \frac{da}{a} = \delta_0.$$

Here

$$\varphi_a^{(k)} = a^{-Q_k - Q_{k+1} \dots - Q_n} \varphi^{(k)}(\delta_{a^{-1}}(x))$$

with a similar definition for $\psi_a^{(k)}(x)$ and \cdot denotes the inner product in V_k . See [4, Theorem 1.61].

The operators $P_a^{(k)}$ and $Q_a^{(k)}$, acting on functions on G_k , are defined by $P_a^{(k)}(f) = f * \varphi_a^{(k)}$ and $Q_a^{(k)}(f) = f * \psi_a^{(k)}$. Note that (4.1) implies that

$$(4.2) \quad \int_0^\infty P_a^{(k)} \cdot Q_a^{(k)} \frac{da}{a} = Id.$$

Next, define the square functions S_k and $S_k^\#$ by setting

$$S_k(f)(x) = \left(\int_0^\infty |P_a^{(k)}(f)(x)|^2 \frac{da}{a} \right)^{1/2}, \quad S_k^\#(f)(x) = \left(\int_0^\infty |Q_a^{(k)}(f)(x)|^2 \frac{da}{a} \right)^{1/2}.$$

Since $w \in A_p^{\mathcal{F}}(G)$, by Theorem 1.4, $w(x_\perp^k, \cdot)$ is in $A_p(G_k)$, uniformly in $x_\perp^k \in G_k^\perp$. Then the classical weighted Littlewood-Paley theory gives

$$(4.3) \quad \|f\|_{L^p_{w(x_\perp^k, \cdot)}(G_k)} \sim \|S_k(f)\|_{L^p_{w(x_\perp^k, \cdot)}(G_k)} \sim \|S_k^\#(f)\|_{L^p_{w(x_\perp^k, \cdot)}(G_k)}$$

for $1 < p < \infty$. Now, we transfer these inequalities to the whole group G . Let

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{P}_a^{(k)}(f) &= f * (\delta_{x_1 \dots x_{k-1}} \otimes \varphi_a^{(k)}), \\ \tilde{Q}_a^{(k)}(f) &= f * (\delta_{x_1 \dots x_{k-1}} \otimes \psi_a^{(k)}), \\ \tilde{S}_k(f) &= \left(\int_0^\infty |\tilde{P}_a^{(k)}(f)|^2 \frac{da}{a} \right)^{1/2}, \\ \tilde{S}_k^\#(f) &= \left(\int_0^\infty |\tilde{Q}_a^{(k)}(f)|^2 \frac{da}{a} \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Then by (4.3) we have

$$\|f\|_{L_w^p(G)} \sim \|\tilde{S}_k(f)\|_{L_w^p(G)} \sim \|\tilde{S}_k^\#(f)\|_{L_w^p(G)}.$$

Moreover, these inequalities also hold for Hilbert-valued functions.

For each $t = (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in (\mathbb{R}^+)^n$, set

$$P_t = \tilde{P}_{t_n}^{(n)} \cdot \tilde{P}_{t_{n-1}}^{(n-1)} \cdot \dots \cdot \tilde{P}_{t_1}^{(1)}.$$

That is, $P_t(f) = f * \Phi_t$, where $\Phi_t = \tilde{\varphi}_{t_1}^{(1)} * \tilde{\varphi}_{t_2}^{(2)} * \dots * \tilde{\varphi}_{t_n}^{(n)}$, and $\tilde{\varphi}_{t_k}^{(k)} = \delta_{x_1 \dots x_{k-1}} \otimes \varphi_{t_k}^{(k)}$. Similarly, define

$$\begin{aligned} P_t^* &= \tilde{P}_{t_1}^{(1)} \cdot \tilde{P}_{t_2}^{(2)} \cdot \dots \cdot \tilde{P}_{t_n}^{(n)}, \\ Q_t &= \tilde{Q}_{t_n}^{(n)} \cdot \tilde{Q}_{t_{n-1}}^{(n-1)} \cdot \dots \cdot \tilde{Q}_{t_1}^{(1)}, \\ Q_t^* &= \tilde{Q}_{t_1}^{(1)} \cdot \tilde{Q}_{t_2}^{(2)} \cdot \dots \cdot \tilde{Q}_{t_n}^{(n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $Q_t(f) = f * \bar{\psi}_t$, with $\bar{\psi}_t = \tilde{\psi}_{t_1}^{(1)} * \dots * \tilde{\psi}_{t_n}^{(n)}$ and $\bar{\psi}_t$ is also V -valued. Finally, set

$$\begin{aligned} S(f)(x) &= \left(\int_{(\mathbb{R}^+)^n} |P_t(f)(x)|^2 \frac{t}{[t]} \right)^{1/2}, \\ S^\#(f)(x) &= \left(\int_{(\mathbb{R}^+)^n} |Q_t(f)(x)|^2 \frac{t}{[t]} \right)^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{S}(f)(x) = \left(\int_{(\mathbb{R}^+)^n} |(M_{\mathcal{F}} \circ M_{\mathcal{F}} \circ Q_t)(f)(x)|^2 \frac{t}{[t]} \right)^{1/2},$$

where $[t] = t_1 \cdot t_2 \cdot \dots \cdot t_n$. Then by (4.2), we get the following reproducing formula

$$\int_{(\mathbb{R}^+)^n} P_t^* Q_t \frac{dt}{[t]} = Id.$$

To prove Theorem 1.7, we need the following

Lemma 4.1. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $w \in A_p^{\mathcal{F}}(G)$. We have*

- (a) $\|S(f)\|_{L_w^p(G)} \sim \|S^\#(f)\|_{L_w^p(G)} \sim \|f\|_{L_w^p(G)}$;
- (b) $\|\mathfrak{S}(f)\|_{L_w^p(G)} \leq C\|f\|_{L_w^p(G)}$.

Proof. We first use induction on n to prove the \lesssim part of (a). The case $n = 1$ follows from (4.3). Assume that the assertion holds for $n = k - 1$. The Hilbert-valued version of inequality (4.3) together with the inductive hypothesis yields

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} &\|S(f)\|_{L_w^p(G)} \\ &\lesssim \left\| \left(\int_{[0, \infty)^{k-1}} |\tilde{P}_{t_{k-1}}^{(k-1)} \cdot \dots \cdot \tilde{P}_{t_1}^{(1)}(f)|^2 \frac{dt_1 \dots dt_{k-1}}{t_1 \dots t_{k-1}} \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L_w^p(G)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L_w^p(G)}. \end{aligned}$$

This gives the desired conclusion for $n = k$.

The converse inequality follows by duality argument. Indeed, by Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f\|_{L_w^p} \\ &= \sup_{g \in L_{w^{1-p'}}^{p'}} \left| \int_G f(x) \overline{g(x)} dx \right| = \sup_{g \in L_{w^{1-p'}}^{p'}} \left| \int_G \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} P_t^* Q_t(f)(x) \frac{dt}{[t]} \right) \overline{g(x)} dx \right| \\ &= \sup_{g \in L_{w^{1-p'}}^{p'}} \left| \int_G \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} P_t(\bar{g})(x) Q_t(f)(x) \frac{dt}{[t]} dx \right| \\ &\leq \sup_{g \in L_{w^{1-p'}}^{p'}} \int_G \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |P_t(\bar{g})(x)|^2 \frac{dt}{[t]} \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |Q_t(f)(x)|^2 \frac{dt}{[t]} \right)^{1/2} dx \\ &\leq \sup_{g \in L_{w^{1-p'}}^{p'}} \left\| \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |P_t(\bar{g})(x)|^2 \frac{dt}{[t]} \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L_{w^{1-p'}}^{p'}} \left\| \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |Q_t(f)(x)|^2 \frac{dt}{[t]} \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L_w^p} \\ &\lesssim \left\| \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |Q_t(f)(x)|^2 \frac{dt}{[t]} \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L_w^p}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can show that the assertion (a) continues to hold if the operator S is replaced by $S^\#$.

To prove the assertion (b), we use the weighted Fefferman-Stein's inequality in Corollary 1.5 and a similar inequality to (4.4) with S replaced by $S^\#$ to get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathfrak{S}(f)\|_{L_w^p(G)} &= \left\| \left(\int_{(\mathbb{R}^+)^n} |(M_{\mathcal{F}} \circ M_{\mathcal{F}} \circ Q_t)(f)|^2 \frac{t}{[t]} \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L_w^p(G)} \\ &\lesssim \|S^\#(f)\|_{L_w^p(G)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L_w^p(G)}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves assertion (b) and hence Lemma 4.1 follows. ■

We also need the following lemma, whose proof can be found in [13].

Lemma 4.2. *Suppose \mathcal{K} is a flag kernel and $T(f) = f * \mathcal{K}$. Then*

$$S[T(f)](x) \lesssim \mathfrak{S}(f)(x).$$

Now, we are ready to give

Proof of Theorem 1.7. Applying Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2 yields

$$\|T(f)\|_{L_w^p(G)} \lesssim \|S[T(f)]\|_{L_w^p(G)} \lesssim \|\mathfrak{S}(f)\|_{L_w^p(G)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L_w^p(G)}.$$

Hence Theorem 1.7 is proved. ■

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author would like to express his deep gratitude to the referee for his/her valuable comments.

REFERENCES

1. K. F. Andersen and R. T. John, Weighted inequalities for vector-valued maximal functions and singular integrals, *Studia Math.*, **69** (1980), 19-31.
2. Y. Ding, Y. Han, G. Lu and X. Wu, Boundedness of singular integrals on multiparameter weighted Hardy spaces $H_w^p(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m)$, *Potential Anal.*, **37** (2012), 31-56.
3. Y. Ding, G. Lu and B. Ma, Multi-parameter Triebel-Lizorkin and Besov spaces associated with flag singular integrals, *Acta Math. Sinica, (Engl. Ser.)*, **26** (2010), 603-620.
4. G. B. Folland and E. M. Stein, *Hardy spaces on homogeneous groups*, Princeton University Press and University of Tokyo Press, Princeton, 1982.
5. P. Głowacki, Composition and L^2 -boundedness of flag kernels, *Colloq. Math.*, **118** (2010), 581-585.
6. P. Głowacki, Correction to “Composition and L^2 -boundedness of flag kernels”, *Colloq. Math.*, **120** (2010), 331.
7. P. Głowacki, The Melin calculus for general homogeneous groups, *Ark. Mat.*, **45** (2007), 31-48.
8. Y. Han and G. Lu, *Discrete Littlewood-Paley-Stein Theory and Multi-Parameter Hardy Spaces Associated with Flag Singular Integrals*, Arxiv:0801.1701.
9. Y. Han, G. Lu and E. Sawyer, *Flag Hardy Spaces and Marcinkiewicz Multipliers on the Heisenberg Group: An Expanded Version*, Arxiv:1208.2484.
10. C.-C. Lin, Convolution operators on Hardy spaces, *Studia Math.*, **120** (1996), 53-59.
11. D. Müller, F. Ricci and E. M. Stein, Marcinkiewicz multipliers and multi-parameter structure on Heisenberg(-type) groups, I, *Invent. Math.*, **119** (1995), 119-233.
12. A. Nagel, F. Ricci and E. M. Stein, Singular integrals with flag kernels and analysis on quadratic CR manifolds, *J. Func. Anal.*, **181** (2001), 29-118.
13. A. Nagel, F. Ricci, E. M. Stein and S. Wainger, Singular integrals with flag kernels on homogeneous groups: I, *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana*, **28** (2012), 631-722.
14. A. Nagel and E. M. Stein, The $\bar{\partial}_b$ -complex on decoupled boundaries in \mathbb{C}^n , *Ann. of Math.*, **164** (2006), 649-713.
15. E. M. Stein, *Harmonic Analysis: Real Variable Methods, Orthogonality, and Oscillatory Integrals*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1993.
16. X. Wu, An atomic decomposition characterization of flag Hardy spaces $H_F^p(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m)$ with applications, *J. Geom. Anal.*, DOI: 10.1007/s12220-012-9347-8, to appear.

17. X. Wu and Z. Liu, Characterizations of multiparameter Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces associated with flag singular integrals, *J. Func. Spac. Appl.*, (2012), Article ID 275791, 18 pages, DOI: 10.1155/2012/275791.
18. D. Yang, Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces related to singular integrals with flag kernels, *Rev. Mat. Complut.*, **22** (2009), 253-302.

Xinfeng Wu
Department of Mathematics
China University of Mining & Technology (Beijing)
Beijing, 100083
P. R. China
E-mail: wuxf@cumtb.edu.cn