## EXISTENCE AND MULTIPLICITY OF SOLUTIONS FOR NONLOCAL $\vec{p}(x)$-LAPLACIAN PROBLEM

G. A. Afrouzi* and M. Mirzapour

Abstract. In this paper, we study the nonlocal anisotropic $\vec{p}(x)$-Laplacian problem of the following form

$$
\begin{gathered}
-\sum_{i=1}^{N} M_{i}\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|\partial_{x_{i} u} u\right|^{p_{i}(x)}}{p_{i}(x)} d x\right) \partial_{x_{i}}\left(\left|\partial_{x_{i}} u\right|^{p_{i}(x)-2} \partial_{x_{i}} u\right)=f(x, u) \quad \text { in } \Omega, \\
u=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega .
\end{gathered}
$$

By means of a direct variational approach and the theory of the anisotropic variable exponent Sobolev space, we obtain the existence and multiplicity of weak energy solutions. Moreover, we get much better results with $f$ in a special form.

## 1. Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to analyze the existence and multiplicity of the nonlocal anisotropic problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\sum_{i=1}^{N} M_{i}\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|\partial_{x_{i}} u\right|^{p_{i}(x)}}{p_{i}(x)} d x\right) \partial_{x_{i}}\left(\left|\partial_{x_{i}} u\right|^{p_{i}(x)-2} \partial_{x_{i}} u\right)=f(x, u) \quad \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{1.1}\\
u=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega,
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}(N \geq 3)$ is a bounded domain with smooth boundary, for $i \in$ $\{1, \ldots, N\}, p_{i}$ are continuous functions on $\bar{\Omega}$ such that $2 \leq p_{i}(x)<N, M_{i}: \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}^{+}$are continuous functions and $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Caratheodory function, satisfying some certain conditions.
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Since the first equation in (1.1) contains an integral over $\Omega$, it is no longer pointwise identity; therefore it is often called nonlocal problem. Problem (1.1) is related to the stationary version of the Kirchhoff equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}-\left(\frac{\rho_{0}}{h}+\frac{E}{2 L} \int_{0}^{L}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right|^{2} d x\right) \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}=0, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

presented by Kirchhoff in 1883, see [11]. This equation is an extension of the classical D'Alembert's wave equation, by considering the effect of the changing in the length of the string during the vibrations. The parameters in (1.2) have the following meanings: $L$ is the length of the string, $h$ is the area of the cross-section, $E$ is the Young modulus of the material, $\rho$ is the mass density and $P_{0}$ is the initial tension.

In the last few decades, one of the topics from the field of partial differential equations that has continuously attracted interest is that concerning the Sobolev space with variable exponents, $W^{1, p(.)}$ (where $p$ is a function depending on $X$ ). Naturally, problems involving the $p($.$) -Laplace operator$

$$
\Delta_{p(x)} u=\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u\right)
$$

were intensively studied. Variable Sobolev spaces have been used in the last decades to model various phenomena. Chen, Levine and Rao [3] proposed a frame work for image restoration based on a variable exponent Laplacian. An other application which uses nonhomogeneous Laplace operators is related to the modeling of electrorheological fluids. The first major discovery in electrorheological fluids is due to Willis Winslow in 1949. These fluids have the interesting property that their viscosity depends on the electric field in the fluid. They can raise the viscosity by as much as five orders of magnitude. This phenomenon is known as the Winslow effect. Electrorheological fluids have been used in robotics and space technology. The experimental research has been done mainly in the USA, for instance in NASA Laboratories. For more information on properties, modelling and the application of variable exponent space to the fluids, we refer to Diening [4], Rajagopal and Ruzicka [14] and Ruzicka [15].

In this paper, the operator involved (1.1) is more general than the $p($.$) -Laplace$ operator. Thus, the variable exponent Sobolev space $W^{1, p(.)}(\Omega)$ is not adequate to study nonlinear problems of this type. This lead us to seek weak solution for problem (1.1) in a more general variable exponent Sobolev space which was introduced for the first time by Mihăilescu et al [13].

Motivated by the papers [6, 10] and the ideas introduced in [9], the goal of this paper is to study the existence and multiplicity of solutions for problem (1.1).

## 2. Notations and Preliminaries

We recall in this section some definitions and basic properties of the variable exponent Lebesgue Sobolev space $L^{p(.)}(\Omega)$ and $W^{1, p(.)}(\Omega)$, where $\Omega$ is a bounded domain
in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. Roughly speaking, anisotropic Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces are functional spaces of Lebesgue's and Sobolev's type in which different space directions have different roles.

Let $\Omega$ be a bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, denote

$$
C_{+}(\bar{\Omega})=\{h(x): h(x) \in C(\bar{\Omega}), h(x)>1, \forall x \in \bar{\Omega}\} ;
$$

for any $h \in C_{+}(\bar{\Omega})$, we define

$$
h^{+}=\max \{h(x): x \in \bar{\Omega}\}, \quad h^{-}=\min \{h(x): x \in \bar{\Omega}\} ;
$$

for any $p \in C_{+}(\bar{\Omega})$, we define the variable exponent Lebesgue space

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L^{p(.)}(\Omega) \\
= & \left\{u: u \text { is a measurable real-valued function such that } \int_{\Omega}|u(x)|^{p(x)} d x<\infty\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

endowed with the so-called Luxemburg norm

$$
|u|_{L^{p(.)}(\Omega)}=|u|_{p(.)}=\inf \left\{\mu>0 ; \int_{\Omega}\left|\frac{u(x)}{\mu}\right|^{p(x)} d x \leq 1\right\},
$$

and the space $\left(L^{p(.)}(\Omega),|\cdot|_{p(.)}\right)$ becomes a reflexive Banach space [12].
Proposition 2.1. (see [5, 7]). (i) The space $\left(L^{p(.)}(\Omega),|\cdot|_{p(.)}\right)$ is a separable, uniformly convex Banach space and its dual space is $L^{q(.)}(\Omega)$, where $\frac{1}{p(.)}+\frac{1}{q(.)}=1$. For any $u \in L^{p(.)}(\Omega)$ and $v \in L^{q(.)}(\Omega)$, we have

$$
\left|\int_{\Omega} u v d x\right| \leq\left(\frac{1}{p^{-}}+\frac{1}{q^{-}}\right)|u|_{p(.)}|v|_{q(.)} \leq 2|u|_{p(.)}|v|_{q(.)}
$$

(ii) If $p_{1}(),. p_{2}(.) \in C_{+}(\bar{\Omega}), p_{1}(.) \leq p_{2}(),. \forall x \in \bar{\Omega}$, then $L^{p_{2}(.)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{p_{1}(.)}(\Omega)$ and the embedding is continuous.

Proposition 2.2. (see [6]). If we denote $\rho_{p(.)}(u)=\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p(x)} d x$, then for $u \in$ $L^{p(.)}(\Omega),\left(u_{n}\right) \subset \in L^{p(.)}(\Omega)$, we have
(1) $|u|_{p(.)}<1($ respectively $=1 ;>1) \Longleftrightarrow \rho_{p(.)}()<.1($ respectively $=1 ;>1)$,
(2) for $u \neq 0,|u|_{p(.)}=\lambda \Longleftrightarrow \rho_{p(.)}\left(\frac{u}{\lambda}\right)=1$,
(3) if $|u|_{p(.)}>1$, then $|u|_{p(.)}^{p^{-}} \leq \rho_{p(.)}(u) \leq|u|_{p(.)}^{p^{+}}$,
(4) if $|u|_{p(.)}<1$, then $|u|_{p(.)}^{p^{+}} \leq \rho_{p(.)}(u) \leq|u|_{p(.)}^{p^{-}}$,
(5) $|u|_{p(.)} \rightarrow 0($ respectively $\rightarrow \infty) \Longleftrightarrow \rho_{p(.)}(u) \rightarrow 0$ (respectively $\left.\rightarrow \infty\right)$,
since $p^{+}<\infty$.
The variable exponent Sobolev space $W^{1, p(.)}(\Omega)$ is defined by

$$
W^{1, p(.)}(\Omega)=\left\{u \in L^{p(.)}(\Omega):|\nabla u| \in L^{p(.)}(\Omega)\right\},
$$

and it can equipped with the norm

$$
\|u\|=|u|_{p(.)}+|\nabla u(x)|_{p(.)} .
$$

As shown by Zhikov [18, 19] the smooth functions are in general not dense in $W^{1, p(.)}(\Omega)$, but if the exponent variable $p$ in $C_{+}(\bar{\Omega})$ is logarithmic Hölder continuous, that is,

$$
|p(x)-p(y)| \leq \frac{-M}{\log (|x-y|)} \text { for all } x, y \in \Omega \text { such that }|x-y| \leq \frac{1}{2}
$$

then the smooth functions are dense in $W^{1, p(.)}(\Omega)$.
The Sobolev space with zero boundary values $W_{0}^{1, p(.)}(\Omega)$, defined as the closure of $C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ with respect to the norm $\|$.$\| . Of course also the norms \|u\|=|\nabla u|_{p(.)}$ and $\|u\|=\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left|\partial_{x_{i}} u\right|_{p(.)}$ are equivalent norms in $W_{0}^{1, p(.)}(\Omega)$. Note that when $s \in C_{+}(\bar{\Omega})$ and $s(x)<p^{*}(x)$ for all $x \in \bar{\Omega}$, where $p^{*}(x)=\frac{N p(x)}{N-p(x)}$ if $p(x)<N$ and $p^{*}(x)=\infty$ if $p(x) \geq N$, then the embedding $W_{0}^{1, p(.)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{s(.)}(\Omega)$ is compact and continuous.

Finally, we introduce a natural generalization of the variable exponent Sobolev space $W_{0}^{1, p(.)}(\Omega)$ that will enable us to study with sufficient accuracy problem (1.1). For this purpose, let us denote by $\vec{p}: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N}$ the vectorial function $\vec{p}=\left(p_{1}(),. p_{2}(),. \ldots, p_{N}().\right)$. We define $X=W_{0}^{1, \vec{p}(x)}(\Omega)$, the anisotropic variable exponent space, as the closure of $C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ with respect to the norm

$$
\|u\|=\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left|\partial_{x_{i}} u\right|_{p_{i}(x)} .
$$

It was proved that $W_{0}^{1, \vec{p}(x)}(\Omega)$ is a reflexive Banach space for any $\vec{p}(x) \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ with $p_{i}^{-}>1$ for all $i \in\{1, \ldots, N\}$ and the $\vec{p}(x)$-Laplacian operator $-\Delta_{\vec{p}(x)}: W_{0}^{1, \vec{p}(x)}(\Omega) \rightarrow$ $\left(W_{0}^{1, \vec{p}(x)}(\Omega)\right)^{*}$

$$
-\Delta_{\vec{p}(x)} u=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \partial_{x_{i}}\left(\left|\partial_{x_{i}} u\right|^{p_{i}(x)-2} \partial_{x_{i}} u\right)
$$

is strictly monotone homeomorphism [2].

In order to facilitate the manipulation of the space $W_{0}^{1, \vec{p}(x)}(\Omega)$ we introduce $\vec{P}_{+}, \vec{P}_{-} \in$ $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ and $P_{+}^{+}, P_{-}^{+}, P_{+}^{-}, P_{-}^{-} \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\vec{P}_{+}=\left(p_{1}^{+}, p_{2}^{+}, \ldots, p_{N}^{+}\right), & \vec{P}_{-}=\left(p_{1}^{-}, p_{2}^{-}, \ldots, p_{N}^{-}\right) \\
P_{+}^{+}=\max \left\{p_{1}^{+}, p_{2}^{+}, \ldots, p_{N}^{+}\right\}, & P_{-}^{+}=\max \left\{p_{1}^{-}, p_{2}^{-}, \ldots, p_{N}^{-}\right\} \\
P_{+}^{-}=\min \left\{p_{1}^{+}, p_{2}^{+}, \ldots, p_{N}^{+}\right\}, & P_{-}^{-}=\min \left\{p_{1}^{-}, p_{2}^{-}, \ldots, p_{N}^{-}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Throughout this paper, we assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{p_{i}^{-}}>1 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and define $P_{-}^{*} \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$and $P_{-, \infty} \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$by

$$
P_{-}^{*}=\frac{N}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{p_{i}^{-}}-1}, \quad P_{-, \infty}=\max \left\{P_{-}^{+}, P_{-}^{*}\right\}
$$

In addition, for the Caratheodory function $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we consider the antiderivative $F: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$
F(x, s)=\int_{0}^{s} f(x, t) d t
$$

With the previous notation, the functions $M_{i}, f$ satisfy the conditions:
$\left(\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{0}}\right)$ For each $i=1, \ldots, N, M_{i}:(0,+\infty) \rightarrow(0,+\infty)$ is continuous and $M_{i} \in$ $L^{1}(0, t)$ for any $t>0$.
$\left(\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{0}}\right)$ For every $(x, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}$

$$
|f(x, t)| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m} b_{i}(x)|t|^{q_{i}(x)-1}
$$

where $b_{i}(x) \geq 0, b_{i}(x) \neq 0, b_{i} \in L^{r_{i}}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega), r_{i}, q_{i} \in C_{+}(\bar{\Omega}), P_{+}^{+}<$ $q_{i}(x)<P_{-}^{*}$, and there are $s_{i} \in C_{+}(\bar{\Omega})$, such that $P_{+}^{+}<s_{i}(x)<P_{-}^{*}, \frac{1}{r_{i}(x)}+$ $\frac{q_{i}(x)}{s_{i}(x)}=1$.
Proposition 2.3. (see [13].) Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}(N \geq 3)$ is a bounded domain with smooth boundary. Assume relation (2.1) is satisfied. For any $q \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ verifying

$$
1<q(x)<P_{-, \infty}, \quad \forall x \in \bar{\Omega}
$$

then the embedding

$$
W_{0}^{1, \vec{p}(.)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{q(.)}(\Omega)
$$

is continuous and compact.

It should be noticed that from the condition $\left(\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{0}}\right)$, we have $P_{-, \infty}=\max \left\{P_{-}^{+}, P_{-}^{*}\right\}=$ $P_{-}^{*}$. Define for $i=1, \ldots, N$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widehat{M}_{i}(t)=\int_{0}^{t} M_{i}(s) d s, \quad \forall t \geq 0, \\
& I_{i}(u)=\int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|\partial_{x_{i}} u\right|^{p_{i}(x)}}{p_{i}(x)} d x, \\
& J_{i}(u)=\widehat{M}_{i}\left(I_{i}(u)\right)=\widehat{M}_{i}\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|\partial_{x_{i}} u\right|^{p_{i}(x)}}{p_{i}(x)} d x\right), \quad \forall u \in X, \\
& J(u)=\sum_{i=1}^{N} J_{i}(u), \quad \forall u \in X, \\
& \phi(u)=\int_{\Omega} F(x, u) d x, \quad \forall u \in X, \\
& E(u)=J(u)-\phi(u), \quad \forall u \in X .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 2.4. (see [9]). Let $\left(\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{0}}\right)$ and $\left(\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{0}}\right)$ hold. Then for $i \in\{1, \ldots, N\}$ the following statements hold:
(1) $\widehat{M}_{i} \in C^{0}([0, \infty)) \cap C^{1}((0, \infty)), \widehat{M}_{i}(0)=0, \widehat{M}_{i}^{\prime}(t)=M_{i}(t)>0$ for any $t>0, \widehat{M}_{i}$ is strictly increasing on $[0, \infty)$.
(2) $J_{i}, \phi, E \in C^{0}(X), J_{i}(0)=\phi(0)=E(0)=0 . \quad J_{i} \in C^{1}(X \backslash\{0\}), \phi \in$ $C^{1}(X), E \in C^{1}(X \backslash\{0\})$. For every $u \in X \backslash\{0\}$ and $v \in X$, it holds that
$E^{\prime}(u) v=\sum_{i=1}^{N} M_{i}\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|\partial_{x_{i}} u\right|^{p_{i}(x)}}{p_{i}(x)} d x\right) \int_{\Omega}\left|\partial_{x_{i}} u\right|^{p_{i}(x)-2} \partial_{x_{i}} u \partial_{x_{i}} v d x-\int_{\Omega} f(x, u) v d x$.
Thus $u \in X \backslash\{0\}$ is a weak solution of (1.1) if and only if $u$ is a nontrivial critical point of $E$.
(3) The functional $J_{i}: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is sequentially weakly lower semi-continuous, $\phi$ : $X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is sequentially weakly continuous, and thus $E$ is sequentially weakly lower semi-continuous.
(4) The mapping $\phi^{\prime}: X \rightarrow X^{*}$ is sequentially weakly-strongly continuous.

Proposition 2.5 (See [9]). Let $\left(\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{0}}\right)$ and $\left(\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{0}}\right)$ hold. Then the mapping $J^{\prime}$ and $E^{\prime}: X \backslash\{0\} \rightarrow X^{*}$ are of type $\left(S_{+}\right)$, namely,

$$
u_{n} \rightharpoonup u \quad \text { and } \quad \limsup _{n \rightarrow+\infty} J^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\left(u_{n}-u\right) \leq 0 \quad \text { implies } u_{n} \rightarrow u .
$$

Corollary 2.6. Let $\left(\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{0}}\right)$ and $\left(\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{0}}\right)$ hold. Then for any $c \neq 0$, every bounded $(P S)_{c}$ sequence for $E$, i.e. a bounded sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset X \backslash\{0\}$ such that $E\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c$ and $E^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$, has a strongly convergent subsequence and such $u$ is a nonzero solution of (1.1).

Proof. Let $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset X \backslash\{0\}$ be bounded $(P S)_{c}$ sequence for $E$ with $c \neq 0$. Then there exists a subsequence $\left\{u_{n_{k}}\right\}$ of $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ such that $u_{n_{k}} \rightharpoonup u$ in $X$. The condition $E^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ implies that $E^{\prime}\left(u_{n_{k}}\right)\left(u_{n_{k}}-u\right) \rightarrow 0$. Since $E^{\prime}$ is of type $\left(S_{+}\right)$, we have $u_{n_{k}} \rightarrow u$ in $X$. If, in addition, $E\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c \neq 0$, then, by the continuity of $E$ at $u, E(u)=c \neq 0=E(0)$. Thus $u \neq 0$, and by the continuity of $E^{\prime}$ at $u$, $E^{\prime}(u)=\lim _{n_{k} \rightarrow \infty} E^{\prime}\left(u_{n_{k}}\right)=0$.

Remark 2.7. By Corollary (2.6), to verify that $E$ satisfies $(P S)_{c}$ with $c \neq 0$, it is sufficient to prove that every $(P S)_{c}$ sequence with $c \neq 0$ is bounded.

Remark 2.8. Under assumption $\left(\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{0}}\right)$, the function $M_{i}$ may be singular at 0 and in this case the energy functional $E$ may be non-differentiable at 0 . It is obvious that, under assumptions $\left(\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{0}}\right)$ and $\left(\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{0}}\right)$, if in addition, for each $i=1, \ldots, N, M_{i}$ is continuous at 0 , then $E \in C^{1}(X)$ and $E: X \rightarrow X^{*}$ is of type $\left(S_{+}\right)$.

In the sequel, we use $c, c^{\prime}, C, C^{\prime}, M$, to denote the general nonnegative or positive constant ( the exact value may change from line to line).

## 3. Solutions with Negative Energy

Theorem 3.1. Let $\left(\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{0}}\right)$ and $\left(\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{0}}\right)$ and the following conditions hold:
$\left(\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{1}}\right)$ For each $i=1, \ldots, N$, there are positive constants $\gamma_{i}, M$ and $C$ such that $\widehat{M}_{i}(t) \geq C t^{\gamma_{i}}$ for $t \geq M$.
$\left(\mathbf{H}_{1}\right) q^{+}<\gamma_{i} P_{-}^{-}$for $i=1, \ldots, N$.
Then the functional $E$ is coercive, that is, $E(u) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\|u\| \rightarrow \infty$, and $E$ attains its infimum in $X$ at some $u_{0} \in X$. Therefore, $u_{0}$ is a solution of (1.1) if $E$ is differentiable at $u_{0}$, and in particular, if $u_{0} \neq 0$.

Proof. Set $\epsilon=\min \left\{\gamma_{i} P_{-}^{-}-q^{+}: i=1, \ldots, N\right\}$. Then by $\left(\mathbf{H}_{1}\right), \epsilon>0$. For $\|u\|$ large enough, by $\left(\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{1}}\right)$, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{i}(u) & =\widehat{M}\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|\partial_{x_{i}} u\right|^{p_{i}(x)}}{p_{i}(x)} d x\right) \geq \widehat{M}\left(\frac{1}{P_{+}^{+}}\left|\partial_{x_{i}} u\right|_{p_{i}(x)}^{P_{-}^{-}}\right) \\
& \geq C\left(\left|\partial_{x_{i}} u\right|_{p_{i}(x)}\right)^{\gamma_{i} P_{-}^{-}} \geq C\left(\left|\partial_{x_{i}} u\right|_{p_{i}(x)}\right)^{q^{+}+\epsilon},
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence,

$$
J(u)=\sum_{i=1}^{N} J_{i}(u) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{N} C\left(\left|\partial_{x_{i}} u\right|_{p_{i}(x)}\right)^{q^{+}+\epsilon} \geq C\|u\|^{q^{+}+\epsilon}
$$

For simplicity, in $\left(\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{0}}\right)$ we assume that $m=1, b_{1}=b, s_{1}=s$ and $r_{1}=r$. we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\phi(u)|=\left|\int_{\Omega} F(x, u) d x\right| & \leq \int_{\Omega}|F(x, u)| d x \leq \int_{\Omega} \frac{b(x)}{q(x)}|u|^{q(x)} d x \\
& \leq\left.\left.\frac{2}{q^{-}}|b|_{r(x)}| | u\right|^{q(x)}\right|_{\frac{s(x)}{q(x)}} \leq \frac{2}{q^{-}}|b|_{r(x)}\left(|u|_{s(x)}\right)^{q^{+}} \\
& \leq c| | u \|^{q^{+}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
E(u)=J(u)-\phi(u) \geq C\|u\|^{q^{+}+\epsilon}-c\|u\|^{q^{+}} \rightarrow+\infty,
$$

that is, $E$ is coercive. Since $E$ is sequentially weakly lower semi-continuous and $X$ is reflexive, $E$ attains its infimum in $X$ at some $u_{0} \in X$. In this case where $E$ is differentiable at $u_{0}, u_{0}$ is a solution of (1.1).

Theorem 3.2. Let $\left(\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{0}}\right),\left(\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{0}}\right)$ and $\left(\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1}}\right)$ and the following conditions hold:
$\left(\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{1}}\right)$ For each $i=1, \ldots, N$, there exists $\alpha_{i}>0$ such that $\lim \sup _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\widehat{M}_{i}(t)}{t^{(x}}<+\infty$.
$\left(\mathbf{F}_{1}\right)$ There exists a positive constant $\delta>0$ such that $f(x, t) \geq b_{0}(x) t^{q_{0}(x)-1}$ for $x \in \Omega$ and $0<t \leq \delta$, where $b_{0} \geq 0, b_{0}(x) \in C(\Omega, \mathbb{R}), b_{0} \neq 0, q_{0}(x) \in$ $C_{+}(\bar{\Omega}), q_{0}^{+}<P_{-}^{-}$.
$\left(\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2}}\right) q_{0}^{+}<\alpha_{i} P_{-}^{-}$for $i=1, \ldots, N$.
Then (1.1) has at least one nontrivial solution which is a global minimizer of the functional $E$.

Proof. Setting $\epsilon_{1}=\min \left\{\alpha_{i} P_{-}^{-}-q_{0}^{+}: i=1, \ldots, N\right\}$, then by $\left(\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2}}\right), \epsilon_{1}>0$. From Theorem 3.1 we know that $E$ has a minimizer $u_{0}$. It is clear that $F(x, 0)=0$ and consequently $E(0)=0$. As $b_{0} \geq 0$ and $b_{0} \neq 0$, we can find an open set $\Omega_{0} \subset \Omega$ such that $b_{0}(x)>0$ for $x \in \Omega$. Take $\omega \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega) \backslash\{0\}$. Then, by $\left(\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{1}}\right),\left(\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{2}}\right)$ and $\left(\mathbf{H}_{2}\right)$, for sufficiently small $\lambda>0$, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{i}(\lambda \omega) & =\widehat{M}_{i}\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{\lambda^{p_{i}(x)}\left|\partial_{x_{i}} \omega\right|^{p_{i}(x)}}{p_{i}(x)} d x\right) \leq c\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{\lambda^{p_{i}(x)}\left|\partial_{x_{i}} \omega\right|^{p_{i}(x)}}{p_{i}(x)} d x\right)^{\alpha_{i}} \\
& \leq c \lambda^{\alpha_{i} P_{-}^{-}}\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|\partial_{x_{i}} \omega\right|^{p_{i}(x)}}{p_{i}(x)} d x\right)^{\alpha_{i}} \leq c \lambda^{\alpha_{i} P_{-}^{-}} \leq c \lambda^{q_{0}^{+}+\epsilon_{1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus for sufficiently small $\lambda>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
E(\lambda \omega) & =J(\lambda \omega)-\phi(\lambda \omega)=\sum_{i=1}^{N} J_{i}(\lambda \omega)-\int_{\Omega} F(x, \lambda \omega) d x \\
& \leq c \lambda^{q_{0}^{+}+\epsilon_{1}}-C \lambda^{q_{0}^{+}}<0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $E(u)<0$ which shows $u_{0} \neq 0$.
Since $X$ is a reflexive and separable Banach space, then $X^{*}$ is too. There exist (see [17]) $\left\{e_{j}\right\} \subset X$ and $\left\{e_{j}^{*}\right\} \subset X^{*}$ such that

$$
X=\overline{\operatorname{span}\left\{e_{j}: j=1,2, \ldots\right\}}, \quad X^{*}=\overline{\operatorname{span}\left\{e_{j}^{*}: j=1,2, \ldots\right\}},
$$

and

$$
\left\langle e_{i}, e_{j}^{*}\right\rangle=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
1 & \text { if } & i=j, \\
0 & \text { if } & i \neq j,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\langle.,$.$\rangle denote the duality product between X$ and $X^{*}$. We define

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{j}=\operatorname{span}\left\{e_{j}\right\}, \quad Y_{k}=\bigoplus_{j=1}^{k} X_{j}, \quad Z_{k}=\overline{\bigoplus_{j=k}^{\infty} X_{j}} . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.3. (see [6]). Assume that $\psi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is weakly-strongly continuous and $\psi(0)=0, \nu>0$ is a given number. Set

$$
\beta_{k}=\sup _{u \in Z_{k},\|u\| \mid \leq \nu}|\psi(u)|,
$$

then $\beta_{k} \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.
Theorem 3.4. Let all the hypotheses of Theorem 3.2 hold, and let, in addition, $f$ satisfy the following condition:
$\left(\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{2}}\right) f(x,-t)=-f(x, t)$ for $x \in \Omega$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$.
Then (1.1) has a sequence of solutions $\left\{ \pm u_{k}\right\}$ such that $E\left( \pm u_{k}\right)<0$, and $E\left( \pm u_{k}\right) \rightarrow$ 0 as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. Denote by $\kappa(A)$ the genus of $A$. Denote

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum & =\{A \subset X \backslash\{0\}: A \text { is compact and } A=-A\} \\
\sum_{k} & =\left\{A \in \sum: \kappa(A) \geq k\right\} \\
c_{k} & =\inf _{A \in \sum_{k}} \sup _{u \in A} E(u), k=1,2, \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

we have $-\infty<c_{1} \leq c_{2} \leq \cdots \leq c_{k} \leq c_{k+1} \cdots$
For any $k$, we can choose a $k$-dimensional linear subspace $E_{k}$ of $W_{0}^{k, p(.)}(\Omega)$ such that $E_{k} \subset C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$. As the norms on $E_{k}$ are equivalent to each other, there exists
$\rho_{k} \in(0,1)$ such that $u \in E_{k}$ with $\|u\| \leq \rho_{k}$ implies $|u|_{L^{\infty}}<\delta$. Set $S_{\rho_{k}}^{(k)}=\{u \in$ $\left.E_{k}:\|u\|=\rho_{k}\right\}$. Since $S_{\rho_{k}}^{(k)}$ is compact, we can find a positive constant $d_{k}$ such that

$$
\int_{\Omega} \frac{b_{0}(x)}{q_{0}(x)}|u|^{q_{0}(x)} d x \geq d_{k}, \quad \forall u \in S_{\rho_{k}}^{(k)}
$$

For $u \in S_{\rho_{k}}^{(k)}$ and $t \in(0,1)$, we have

$$
E(t u) \leq \frac{t^{\alpha_{i} P_{-}^{-}}}{P_{-}^{-}} \rho_{k}^{P_{-}^{-}}-t^{q_{0}^{+}} d_{k} .
$$

By $\left(\mathbf{H}_{2}\right)$, we can find $t_{k} \in(0,1)$ and $\epsilon_{k}>0$ such that $E\left(t_{k} u\right) \leq-\epsilon_{k}<0$ for every $u \in S_{\rho_{k}}^{(k)}$, which implies $E\left(u_{k}\right) \leq-\epsilon_{k}<0$ for every $u \in S_{t_{k} \rho_{k}}^{(k)}$. Since $\kappa\left(S_{t_{k} \rho_{k}}^{(k)}\right)=k$, we get the conclusion $c_{k} \leq-\epsilon_{k}<0$.

By the genus theory, each $c_{k}$ is a critical value of $E$, hence there is a sequence of solutions $\left\{ \pm u_{k}: k=1,2, \ldots\right\}$ of problem (1.1) such that $E\left( \pm u_{k}\right)=c_{k}<0$.

At last, we will prove $c_{k} \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Since $E$ is coercive, then there exists a constant $\eta>0$ such that $E(u)>0$ when $\|u\| \geq \eta$. For any $A \in \sum_{k}$, let $Y_{k}$ and $Z_{k}$ be the subspace of $X$ as mentioned above. According to the properties of genus, we know $A \cap Z_{k} \neq \emptyset$. Set

$$
\beta_{k}=\sup _{u \in Z_{k},\|u\| \leq \eta}|\phi(u)|,
$$

we know $\beta_{k} \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. When $u \in Z_{k}$ and $\|u\| \leq \eta$, we have $E(u) \geq-\beta_{k}$ and then $c_{k} \geq-\beta_{k}$, which concludes $c_{k} \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

## 4. Solutions with Positive nergy

In this section we will find the Mountain Pass critical points of the energy functional $E$ associated to problem (1.1).

Lemma 4.1. Let $\left(\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{0}}\right),\left(\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{1}}\right)$ and the following conditions be satisfied:
$\left(\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{1}}\right)^{\prime}$ The condition $\left(\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{1}}\right)$ holds and $\gamma_{i} P_{-}^{-}>1$ for $i=1, \ldots, N$.
$\left(\mathbf{M}_{3}\right)$ For each $i=1, \ldots, N$, there exist $\lambda_{i}>0$ and $M>0$ such that $\lambda_{i} \widehat{M_{i}}(t) \geq$ $M_{i}(t) t$ for $t \geq M$.
$\left(\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{3}}\right)$ There exist $\mu>0$ and $M>0$ such that $0 \leq \mu F(x, t) \leq f(x, t) t$ for $|t| \geq M$ and $x \in \Omega$.
$\left(\mathbf{H}_{3}\right) \lambda_{i} P_{+}^{+}<\mu$ for $i=1, \ldots, N$.
Then $E$ satisfies condition $(P S)_{c}$ for any $c \neq 0$.

Proof. By $\left(\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{3}}\right)$, for each $i=1, \ldots, N$, and for sufficiently large $\left|\partial_{x_{i}} u\right|_{p_{i}(.)}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{i} P_{+}^{+} J_{i}(u) & =\lambda_{i} P_{+}^{+} \widehat{M}_{i}\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|\partial_{x_{i}} u\right|^{p_{i}(x)}}{p_{i}(x)} d x\right) \\
& \geq P_{+}^{+} M_{i}\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|\partial_{x_{i}} u\right|^{p_{i}(x)}}{p_{i}(x)} d x\right) \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|\partial_{x_{i}} u\right|^{p_{i}(x)}}{p_{i}(x)} d x \\
& \geq M_{i}\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|\partial_{x_{i}} u\right|^{p_{i}(x)}}{p_{i}(x)} d x\right) \int_{\Omega}\left|\partial_{x_{i} u} u\right|^{p_{i}(x)} d x=J_{i}^{\prime}(u) u .
\end{aligned}
$$

In [8] it was proved that, $\left(\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{1}}\right)^{\prime}$ and $\left(\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{3}}\right)$ imply that, given any $\epsilon \in(0, \mu)$, there exists $C_{\epsilon}$ such that

$$
\phi^{\prime}(u) u-(\mu-\epsilon) \phi(u) \geq-C_{\epsilon} \text { for } u \in X .
$$

Now let $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset X \backslash\{0\}, E\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c \neq 0$ and $E^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$. By ( $\mathbf{H}_{3}$ ), there exists $\epsilon>0$ small enough such that $\lambda_{i} P_{+}^{+}<(\mu-\epsilon)$ for $i=1, \ldots, N$. Setting $d=\min \left\{\gamma_{i} P_{-}^{-} ; i=1, \ldots, N\right\}$ and $e=(\mu-\epsilon)-\lambda_{i} P_{+}^{+}$, then $d>1$ and $e>0$. Since $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is a $(P S)_{c}$ sequence, for sufficiently large $n$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\mu-\epsilon) c+1+\left\|u_{n}\right\| & \geq(\mu-\epsilon) E\left(u_{n}\right)-E^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) u_{n} \\
& \geq(\mu-\epsilon) \sum_{i=1}^{N} J_{i}\left(u_{n}\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{N} J_{i}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) u_{n}+\phi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) u_{n}-(\mu-\epsilon) \phi\left(u_{n}\right) \\
& \geq \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left((\mu-\epsilon)-\lambda_{i} P_{+}^{+}\right) J_{i}\left(u_{n}\right)-c-C_{\epsilon} \\
& \geq e J\left(u_{n}\right)-c-C_{\epsilon} \\
& \geq c^{\prime}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{d}-C .
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that $\left\{\left\|u_{n}\right\|\right\}$ is bounded because $d>1$. By Corollary 2.6, $E$ satisfies condition $(P S)_{c}$ for any $c \neq 0$.

Lemma 4.2. Under the hypotheses of Lemma 4.1, for any $\omega \in X \backslash\{0\}, E(s \omega) \rightarrow$ $-\infty$ as $s \rightarrow+\infty$.

Proof. Setting $\tau=\min \left\{\mu-\lambda_{i} P_{+}^{+}: i=1, \ldots, N\right\}$, then by $\left(\mathbf{H}_{3}\right), \tau>0$. Let $\omega \in X \backslash\{0\}$ be given. From $\left(\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{3}}\right)$, for each $i=1, \ldots, N$, and sufficiently large $t>0$ we have

$$
\widehat{M}_{i}(t) \leq C_{i} t^{\lambda_{i}}
$$

and then it follows that for $s$ large enough

$$
J_{i}(s \omega) \leq d_{1} s^{\lambda_{i} P_{+}^{+}} \leq d_{1} s^{\mu-\tau},
$$

where $d_{1}$ is a positive constant depending on $\omega$. Thus for $s$ large enough we have

$$
J(s \omega) \leq N d_{1} s^{\mu-\tau}
$$

From $\left(\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{3}}\right)$ for $x \in \Omega$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$
F(x, t) \geq C|t|^{\mu}-c,
$$

which implies that for $s$ large enough

$$
\phi(s \omega)=\int_{\Omega} F(x, s \omega) d x \geq d_{2} s^{\mu}
$$

where $d_{2}$ is a positive constant depending on $\omega$. Hence for $s$ large enough, we have

$$
E(s \omega) \leq d_{1} s^{\mu-\tau}-d_{2} s^{\mu},
$$

and consequently, $E(s \omega) \rightarrow-\infty$ as $s \rightarrow+\infty$.
Lemma 4.3. Let $\left(\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{0}}\right),\left(\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{0}}\right)$ and the following conditions be satisfied:
$\left(\mathbf{M}_{4}\right)$ For each $i=1, \ldots, N$, there exists $\beta_{i}>0$ such that $\lim \inf _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\widehat{M}_{i}(t)}{t^{\beta_{i}}}>0$.
$\left(\mathbf{F}_{4}\right)$ There exists $r_{1}(x) \in C^{0}(\bar{\Omega})$ such that $P_{+}^{+}<r_{1}(x)<P_{-}^{*}(x)$ for $x \in \bar{\Omega}$ and $\lim \inf _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{|F(x, t)|}{|t|^{r_{1}(x)}}<+\infty$ uniformly in $x \in \Omega$.
$\left(\mathbf{H}_{4}\right) \beta_{i} P_{+}^{+}<r_{1}^{-}$for $i=1, \ldots, N$.
Then there exist positive constants $\rho$ and $\delta$ such that $E(u) \geq \delta$ for $\|u\|=\rho$.
Proof. Setting $\epsilon=\min \left\{r_{1}^{-}-\beta_{i} P_{+}^{+}: i=1, \ldots, N\right\}$, then by $\left(\mathbf{H}_{4}\right), \epsilon>0$. It follows from $\left(\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{4}}\right)$ that for $\|u\|$ small enough

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{i}(u) & =\widehat{M}\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|\partial_{x_{i}} u\right|^{p_{i}(x)}}{p_{i}(x)} d x\right) \geq \widehat{M}\left(\frac{1}{P_{+}^{+}}\left|\partial_{x_{i}} u\right|_{p_{i}(x)}^{P_{+}^{+}}\right) \\
& \geq C\left(\left|\partial_{x_{i}} u\right|_{p_{i}(x)}\right)^{\beta_{i} P_{+}^{+}} \geq C\left(\left|\partial_{x_{i}} u\right|_{p_{i}(x)}\right)^{r_{1}^{-}-\epsilon},
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence,

$$
J(u)=\sum_{i=1}^{N} J_{i}(u) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{N} C\left(\left|\partial_{x_{i}} u\right|_{p_{i}(x)}\right)^{r_{1}^{-}-\epsilon} \geq C \|\left. u\right|^{r_{1}^{-}-\epsilon} .
$$

It follows from $\left(\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{0}}\right)$ and $\left(\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{4}}\right)$ that for sufficiently small $\|u\|$,

$$
|\phi(u)| \leq C^{\prime}| | u \|^{r_{1}^{-}} .
$$

Thus, for sufficiently small $\|u\|, E(u) \geq C\|u\|^{r_{1}^{-}-\epsilon}-C^{\prime}| | u \|^{r_{1}^{-}}$. From this we obtain the assertion of Lemma 4.3.

By the famous Mountain pass lemma [1], from Lemmas 4.1-4.3 we have the following:

Theorem 4.4. Let all hypotheses of Lemmas 4.1-4.3 hold. Then (1.1) has a nontrivial solution with positive energy.

## 5. The Case of Concave-convex Nonlinearity

In this section, we will obtain much better results with $f$ in a special form. We have the following theorem:

Theorem 5.1. Let $f(x, t)=a(x)|u|^{\alpha(x)-2} u+b(x)|u|^{q(x)-2} u$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha, q \in C_{+}(\bar{\Omega}), \quad 1<\alpha^{-} \leq \alpha^{+}<P_{-}^{-} \leq P_{+}^{+}<q^{-}, \quad P_{+}^{+}<q(x)<P_{-}^{*}(x) \\
& a(x)>0, \quad a \in L^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega}) \cap L^{r_{1}(.)}(\Omega), \quad \frac{1}{r_{1}(x)}+\frac{\alpha(x)}{s_{1}(x)}=1, \\
& b(x)>0, \quad b \in L^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega}) \cap L^{r_{2}(.)}(\Omega), \quad \frac{1}{r_{2}(x)}+\frac{\alpha(x)}{s_{2}(x)}=1, \\
& p(x) \leq s_{1}(x) \leq P_{-}^{*}(x), \quad p(x) \leq s_{2}(x) \leq P_{-}^{*}(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, we have
(i) If $\left(\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{0}}\right),\left(\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{1}}\right)^{\prime},\left(\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{3}}\right),\left(\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{3}}\right)$ hold and we also assume that $\alpha^{+}<\gamma_{i} P_{-}^{-}<q^{+}$ and $1<\lambda_{i} P_{+}^{+}<q^{-}$, then problem (1.1) has solutions $\left\{ \pm u_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ such that $E\left( \pm u_{k}\right) \rightarrow+\infty$ as $k \rightarrow+\infty$.
(ii) If $\left(\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{0}}\right),\left(\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{1}}\right)^{\prime},\left(\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{3}}\right),\left(\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{4}}\right)$ hold and also assume that $\alpha^{-}<\beta_{i} P_{+}^{+}$and $\alpha^{+}<\lambda_{i} P_{-}^{-}$, then problem (1.1) has solutions $\left\{ \pm v_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ such that $E\left( \pm v_{k}\right)<$ $0, E\left( \pm v_{k}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

We will use the following Fountain theorem and the Dual Fountain theorem to prove Theorem 5.1.

Lemma 5.2. (Fountain Theorem, see [16]). Let
(A1) $E \in C^{1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ be an even functional, where $(X,\|\|$.$) is a separable and reflexive$ Banach space, the subspaces $X_{k}, Y_{k}$ and $Z_{k}$ are defined by (3.1).
If for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist $\rho_{k}>r_{k}>0$ such that
(A2) $\inf \left\{E(u): u \in Z_{k},\|u\|=r_{k}\right\} \rightarrow+\infty$ as $k \rightarrow+\infty$.
(A3) $\max \left\{E(u): u \in Y_{k},\|u\|=\rho_{k}\right\} \leq 0$.
(A4) E satisfies the (PS) condition for every $c>0$.
Then $E$ has an unbounded sequence of critical values tending to $+\infty$.
Lemma 5.3. (Dual Fountain Theorem, see [16]). Assume (A1) is satisfied and there is $k_{0}>0$ so that, for each $k \geq k_{0}$, there exist $\rho_{k}>r_{k}>0$ such that
(B1) $a_{k}=\inf \left\{E(u): u \in Z_{k},\|u\|=\rho_{k}\right\} \geq 0$.
(B2) $b_{k}=\max \left\{E(u): u \in Y_{k},\|u\|=r_{k}\right\}<0$.
(B3) $d_{k}=\inf \left\{E(u): u \in Z_{k},\|u\| \leq \rho_{k}\right\} \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow+\infty$.
(B4) E satisfies the $(P S)_{c}^{*}$ condition for every $c \in\left[d_{k_{0}}, 0\right)$.
Then $E$ has a sequence of negative critical values converging to 0 .
Definition 5.4. We say that $E$ satisfies the $(P S)_{c}^{*}$ condition (with respect to $\left(Y_{n}\right)$ ), if any sequence $\left\{u_{n_{j}}\right\} \subset X$ such that $n_{j} \rightarrow+\infty, u_{n_{j}} \in Y_{n_{j}}, E\left(u_{n_{j}}\right) \rightarrow c$ and $\left(\left.E\right|_{Y_{n_{j}}}\right)^{\prime}\left(u_{n_{j}}\right) \rightarrow 0$, contains a subsequence converging to a critical point of $E$.

Lemma 5.5. Assume that the conditions in Theorem 5.1 hold, then $J$ satisfies the $(P S)_{c}^{*}$ condition.

Proof. Suppose $\left\{u_{n_{j}}\right\} \subset X$ such that $n_{j} \rightarrow+\infty, u_{n_{j}} \in Y_{n_{j}}, E\left(u_{n_{j}}\right) \rightarrow c$ and $\left(\left.E\right|_{Y_{n_{j}}}\right)^{\prime}\left(u_{n_{j}}\right) \rightarrow 0$. Similar to the method in Lemma 4.1, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (\mu-\epsilon) c+1+\left\|u_{n_{j}}\right\| \\
\geq & (\mu-\epsilon) E\left(u_{n_{j}}\right)-E^{\prime}\left(u_{n_{j}}\right) u_{n_{j}} \\
\geq & (\mu-\epsilon) \sum_{i=1}^{N} J_{i}\left(u_{n_{j}}\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{N} J_{i}^{\prime}\left(u_{n_{j}}\right) u_{n_{j}}+\phi^{\prime}\left(u_{n_{j}}\right) u_{n_{j}}-(\mu-\epsilon) \phi\left(u_{n_{j}}\right) \\
\geq & \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left((\mu-\epsilon)-\lambda_{i} P_{+}^{+}\right) J_{i}\left(u_{n_{j}}\right)-c-C_{\epsilon} \\
\geq & e J\left(u_{n_{j}}\right)-c-C_{\epsilon} \\
\geq & c^{\prime}\left\|u_{n_{j}}\right\|^{d}-C,
\end{aligned}
$$

hence, we can get that $\left\{\left\|u_{n_{j}}\right\|\right\}$ is bounded. Going if necessary to a subsequence, we can assume $u_{n_{j}} \rightharpoonup u$ in $X$. As $X=\overline{\cup_{n_{j}} Y_{n_{j}}}$, we can choose $v_{n_{j}} \in Y_{n_{j}}$ such that $v_{n_{j}} \rightarrow u$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{n_{j} \rightarrow+\infty}\left\langle E^{\prime}\left(u_{n_{j}}\right), u_{n_{j}}-u\right\rangle & =\lim _{n_{j} \rightarrow+\infty}\left\langle E^{\prime}\left(u_{n_{j}}\right), u_{n_{j}}-v_{n_{j}}\right\rangle+\lim _{n_{j} \rightarrow+\infty}\left\langle E^{\prime}\left(u_{n_{j}}\right), v_{n_{j}}-u\right\rangle \\
& =\lim _{n_{j} \rightarrow+\infty}\left\langle\left(\left.E\right|_{Y_{n_{j}}}\right)^{\prime}\left(u_{n_{j}}\right), u_{n_{j}}-v_{n_{j}}\right\rangle \\
& =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

As $E^{\prime}$ is of $\left(S_{+}\right)$type, we conclude $u_{n_{j}} \rightarrow u$, furthermore we have $E^{\prime}\left(u_{n_{j}}\right) \rightarrow E^{\prime}(u)$. Let us prove $E^{\prime}(u)=0$ below. Taking $\omega_{k} \in Y_{k}$, notice that when $n_{j} \geq k$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle E^{\prime}(u), \omega_{k}\right\rangle & =\left\langle E^{\prime}(u)-E^{\prime}\left(u_{n_{j}}\right), \omega_{k}\right\rangle+\left\langle E^{\prime}\left(u_{n_{j}}\right), \omega_{k}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle E^{\prime}(u)-E^{\prime}\left(u_{n_{j}}\right), \omega_{k}\right\rangle+\left\langle\left(\left.E\right|_{Y_{n_{j}}}\right)^{\prime}\left(u_{n_{j}}\right), \omega_{k}\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Going to the limit on the right side of the above equation reaches

$$
\left\langle E^{\prime}(u), \omega_{k}\right\rangle=0, \quad \forall \omega_{k} \in Y_{k},
$$

so $E^{\prime}(u)=0$, this show that $E$ satisfies the $(P S)_{c}^{*}$ condition for every $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

### 5.1. Proof of Theorem 5.1

(i) We will prove that if $k$ is large enough, then there exist $\rho_{k}>r_{k}>0$ such that $\left(\mathbf{A}_{2}\right)$ and $\left(\mathbf{A}_{3}\right)$ are satisfied.
$\left(\mathbf{A}_{2}\right)$ For $k=1,2, \ldots$, denote

$$
\theta_{k}=\sup _{v \in Z_{k},\|v\| \leq 1} \int_{\Omega} \frac{a(x)}{\alpha(x)}|v|^{\alpha(x)} d x, \quad \beta_{k}=\sup _{v \in Z_{k},\|v\| \leq 1} \int_{\Omega} \frac{b(x)}{q(x)}|v|^{q(x)} d x
$$

then $\theta_{k}>0, \beta_{k}>0$ and $\theta_{k} \rightarrow 0, \beta_{k} \rightarrow 0$, as $k \rightarrow \infty$. When $u \in Z_{k},\|u\| \geq M$,

$$
E(u) \geq \frac{1}{P_{+}^{+}}\|u\|^{d}-\theta_{k}\|u\|^{\alpha^{+}}-\beta_{k}\|u\|^{q^{+}}
$$

where $d$ is defined in Lemma 4.1. For sufficiently large $k$, we have $\theta_{k}<\frac{1}{2 P_{+}^{+}}$. As $\alpha^{+}<\gamma_{i} P_{-}^{-}$for $i=1, \ldots, N$, it follows $\alpha^{+}<d$, we get

$$
E(u) \geq \frac{1}{2 P_{+}^{+}}\|u\|^{d}-\beta_{k}\|u\|^{q^{+}}
$$

At this stage, we fix $r_{k}$ as follows:

$$
r_{k}=\left(2 P_{+}^{+} \beta_{k} q^{+}\right)^{\frac{1}{d-q^{+}}} \rightarrow+\infty \quad \text { as } \quad k \rightarrow+\infty
$$

Consequently, if $\|u\|=r_{k}$ then

$$
E(u) \geq\left(1-\frac{1}{q^{+}}\right) \frac{r_{k}^{d}}{2 P_{+}^{+}}-C \rightarrow+\infty \quad \text { as } \quad k \rightarrow+\infty
$$

$\left(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{3}}\right)$ From $\left(\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{3}}\right)$, it is easy to obtain that for $t$ large enough $\widehat{M}_{i}(t) \leq C t^{\lambda_{i}}$. For $k=1,2, \ldots$, denote

$$
e_{k}=\inf _{v \in Y_{k},\|v\|=1} \int_{\Omega} \frac{b(x)}{q(x)}|v|^{q(x)} d x
$$

Then $e_{k}>0$. Setting $d^{\prime}=\max \left\{\lambda_{i} P_{+}^{+}: i=1, \ldots, N\right\}$, then $1<d^{\prime}<q^{-}$. For any $v \in Y_{k}$ with $\|v\|=1$ and $t$ large enough, since $\operatorname{dim} Y_{k}<\infty$, all norms are equivalent in $Y_{k}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
E(t v) & \leq \frac{c}{P_{-}^{-}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} t^{\lambda_{i} P_{+}^{+}}-e_{k} t^{q^{-}} \\
& \leq N \frac{c}{P_{-}^{-}} t^{d^{\prime}}-e_{k} t^{q^{-}}
\end{aligned}
$$

As $d^{\prime}<q^{-}$, there exists $\rho_{k}>r_{k}$ such that $t=\rho_{k}$ concludes $E(t v) \leq 0$ and then

$$
\max _{u \in Y_{k},\|u\|=\rho_{k}} E(u) \leq 0,
$$

so $\left(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{3}}\right)$ is satisfied.
(ii) We use the Dual Fountain theorem to prove conclusion (ii), and now it remains for us to prove that there exist $\rho_{k}>r_{k}>0$ such that if $k$ is large enough $\left(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{1}}\right),\left(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{2}}\right)$ and $\left(\mathbf{B}_{3}\right)$ are satisfied.
$\left(\mathbf{B}_{1}\right)$ Let $\theta_{k}$ and $\beta_{k}$ be defined as above. Setting $d^{\prime \prime}=\max \left\{\beta_{i} P_{+}^{+}: i=1, \ldots, N\right\}$, then $\alpha^{-}<d^{\prime \prime}$. When $v \in Z_{k},\|v\|=1$ and $t$ small enough, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
E(t v) & \geq \frac{1}{P_{+}^{+}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} t^{\beta_{i} P_{+}^{+}}-\theta_{k} t^{\alpha^{-}}-\beta_{k} t^{q^{-}} \\
& \geq \frac{N}{P_{+}^{+}} t^{d^{\prime \prime}}-\theta_{k} t^{\alpha^{-}}-\beta_{k} t_{+}^{P_{+}^{+}}
\end{aligned}
$$

For sufficiently large $k$ we have $\beta_{k}<\frac{1}{2 P_{+}^{+}}$, thus

$$
E(t v) \geq \frac{N}{P_{+}^{+}} t^{d^{\prime \prime}}-\theta_{k} t^{\alpha^{-}}
$$

Choose $\rho_{k}=\left(\frac{2 P_{+}^{+} \theta_{k}}{N}\right)^{\frac{1}{d^{\prime \prime}-\alpha^{-}}}$, then for sufficiently large $k, \rho_{k}<1$. When $t=\rho_{k}, v \in$ $Z_{k}$ with $\|v\|=1$, we have

$$
E(t v) \geq\left(\frac{2 P_{+}^{+}}{N}\right)^{\frac{\alpha^{-}}{d^{\prime}-\alpha^{-}}} \theta_{k}^{\frac{\alpha^{-}}{d^{\prime}-\alpha^{-}}}-\left(\frac{2 P_{+}^{+}}{N}\right)^{\frac{\alpha^{-}}{d^{\prime \prime}-\alpha^{-}}} \theta_{k}^{\frac{\alpha^{-}}{d^{-}-\alpha^{-}}}=0 .
$$

Since $d^{\prime \prime}>\alpha^{-}, \theta_{k} \rightarrow 0$, we know that $\rho_{k} \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow+\infty$, so $\left(\mathbf{B}_{1}\right)$ is satisfied.
$\left(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{2}}\right)$ For $k=1,2, \ldots$, denote

$$
\delta_{k}=\inf _{v \in Y_{k},\|v\|=1} \int_{\Omega} \frac{a(x)}{\alpha(x)}|v|^{\alpha(x)} d x
$$

then $\delta_{k}>0$. Setting $d_{0}=\min \left\{\lambda_{i} P_{-}^{-}: i=1, \ldots, N\right\}$, then $\alpha^{+}<d_{0}$. Using $\left(\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{3}}\right)$, for $v \in Y_{k},\|v\|=1$ and $t$ small enough, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
E(t v) & \leq \frac{1}{P_{-}^{-}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} t^{\lambda_{i} P_{-}^{-}}-\delta_{k} t^{\alpha^{+}} \\
& \leq \frac{N}{P_{-}^{-}} t^{d_{0}}-\theta_{k} t^{\alpha^{+}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\operatorname{dim} Y_{k}=k$, condition $\alpha^{+}<d_{0}$ implies that there exists a $r_{k} \in\left(0, \rho_{k}\right)$ such that $E(u)<0$ when $\|u\|=r_{k}$. Hence $b_{k}=\max \left\{E(u): u \in Y_{k},\|u\|=r_{k}\right\}<0$, hence
$\left(\mathbf{B}_{2}\right)$ is satisfied.
$\left(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{3}}\right)$ From the proof above and $Y_{k} \cap Z_{k} \neq \emptyset$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{k} & =\inf \left\{E(u): u \in Z_{k},\|u\|_{\vec{p}(x)} \leq \rho_{k}\right\} \leq b_{k} \\
& =\max \left\{E(u): u \in Y_{k},\|u\|_{\vec{p}(x)}=r_{k}\right\}<0
\end{aligned}
$$

For $v \in Z_{k},\|v\|=1$ and $u=t v$ small enough, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
E(u)=E(t v) & \geq \frac{N}{2 P_{+}^{+}} t^{d^{\prime \prime}}-\theta_{k} t^{\alpha^{-}} \\
& \geq-\theta_{k} t^{\alpha^{-}} \geq-\theta_{k} \rho_{k}^{\alpha^{-}} \geq-\theta_{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

hence $d_{k} \rightarrow 0$, so $\left(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{3}}\right)$ is satisfied.
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