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#### Abstract

The existence of three nontrivial solutions is established for a class of fourth-order elliptic equations. Our technical approach is based on Linking Theorem and $(\nabla)$-Theorem.


## 1. Introduction and main results

We consider the fourth-order elliptic equation

$$
\begin{cases}\Delta^{2} u+c \Delta u=\mu u+f(x, u) & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{1.1}\\ u=\Delta u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}(N>4)$ is a bounded smooth domain, $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. $\Delta$ is the Laplace operator and $\Delta^{2}$ is the biharmonic operator.

Let $0<\lambda_{1}<\ldots<\lambda_{k}<\ldots$ be the distinct eigenvalues of $-\Delta$ in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$. The eigenvalue problem

$$
\begin{cases}\Delta^{2} u+c \Delta u=\mu u & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{1.2}\\ u=\Delta u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

[^0]has distinct eigenvalues $\mu_{i}=\lambda_{i}\left(\lambda_{i}-c\right), i=1,2, \ldots$ We will always assume $c<\lambda_{1}$. The Hilbert space $E=H^{2}(\Omega) \cap H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ is equipped with the inner product
$$
\langle u, v\rangle=\int_{\Omega}(\Delta u \Delta v-c \nabla u \nabla v) d x
$$

So, the norm on $E$ is given by

$$
\|u\|=\left(\int_{\Omega}\left(|\Delta u|^{2}-c|\nabla u|^{2}\right) d x\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

A weak solution of problem (1.1) is $u \in E$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}(\Delta u \Delta v-c \nabla u \nabla v-\mu u v) d x-\int_{\Omega} f(x, u) v d x=0 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $v \in E$.
If $i>1$, we denote

$$
H_{i-1}=\bigoplus_{j \leq i-1} \operatorname{ker}\left(\Delta^{2}+c \Delta-\mu_{j}\right), \quad H_{i}^{0}=\operatorname{ker}\left(\Delta^{2}+c \Delta-\mu_{i}\right)
$$

and $H_{i}^{\perp}$ is the orthogonal complement of $H_{i}$ in $E$, moreover, $\operatorname{dim} H_{i}<+\infty$. Let $P: E \rightarrow H_{i}^{0}$ and $Q: E \rightarrow H_{i-1} \oplus H_{i}^{\perp}$ be the orthogonal projections. For any $i \geq 1$, we have the following inequalities

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\|u\|^{2} \leq \mu_{i}\|u\|_{L^{2}}^{2} & \text { for all } u \in H_{i} \\
\|u\|^{2} \geq \mu_{i+1}\|u\|_{L^{2}}^{2} & \text { for all } u \in H_{i}^{\perp} \tag{1.4}
\end{array}
$$

For convenience, we introduce some notations. Let

$$
\begin{gathered}
S_{i}^{+}(\rho)=\left\{u \in H_{i}^{\perp}:\|u\|=\rho\right\}, \quad B_{i}^{+}(\rho)=\left\{u \in H_{i}^{\perp}:\|u\| \leq \rho\right\}, \\
T_{i-1, i}(R)=\left\{u \in H_{i-1}:\|u\| \leq R\right\} \cup\left\{u \in H_{i}:\|u\|=R\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

In recent years, fourth-order problems have been studied by many authors (see [2], [3], [10]-[12], [23]-[25]). In [2], Lazer and McKenna pointed out that the problem (1.1) furnishes a model to study travelling waves in suspension bridges if $f(x, u)=b\left((u+1)^{+}-1\right)$, where $u^{+}=\max \{u, 0\}$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}$. Since then, more general nonlinear fourth-order elliptic boundary value problems have been studied. In [10, 11], Micheletti and Pistoia proved that the problem

$$
\begin{cases}\Delta^{2} u+c \Delta u=f(x, u) & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{1.5}\\ u=\Delta u=0 & \text { on } \Omega\end{cases}
$$

admits two or three solutions by the variational method. In [24], Zhang proved the existence of weak solutions for the problem (1.5) when $f(x, u)$ is sublinear at $\infty$. In [25], Zhang and Li showed that the problem (1.5) has at least two nontrivial solutions by means of Morse theory and local linking.

Recently, the $(\nabla)$-Theorem (see $[7]$ ) of Marino and Saccon is widely used to study the multiplicity of solutions for differential equations and variational inequalities (see [4]-[9], [12]-[19], [21]-[23]). In [4], Magrone, Mugnai and Servadei used the Linking Theorem and ( $\nabla$ )-Theorem to overcome the lack of the PalaisSmale condition, and proved the existence of three distinct nontrivial solutions for a class of semilinear elliptic variational inequalities involving a superlinear nonlinearity. In [21], [22], Wang proved that some nonlinear Schrödinger equations have at least three nontrivial solutions by means of the Linking Theorem and $(\nabla)$-Theorem. In [15], Mugnai studied the Dirichlet problem

$$
\begin{cases}-\Delta u-\lambda u=g(x, u) & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{1.6}\\ u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

where $\Omega$ is a bounded smooth subset of $\mathbb{R}^{N}, N \geq 3$, and a Carathéodory function $g: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is superlinear and subcritical in the usual senses. By using the Linking Theorem and $(\nabla)$-Theorem, Mugnai proved the existence of three nontrivial solutions for the problem (1.6).

In this paper, we shall study the multiplicity of nontrivial solutions for the problem (1.1). The technical approach is based on the Linking Theorem and $(\nabla)$-Theorem. Our main result is the following.

Theorem 1.1. Assume that the nonlinearity $f \in C(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$. Let $F(x, t)=$ $\int_{0}^{t} f(x, s) d s$, suppose that the following conditions hold:
( $\mathrm{f}_{1}$ ) There are constants $k_{0}>0$ and $1<s<(N+4) /(N-4)$ such that

$$
|f(x, t)| \leq k_{0}\left(1+|t|^{s}\right) \quad \text { for all }(x, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}
$$

$\left(\mathrm{f}_{2}\right) f(x, t)=o(|t|)$ as $t \rightarrow 0$ uniformly in $x \in \Omega$.
$\left(\mathrm{f}_{3}\right)$ There exist constants $\beta>\max \{N(s-1) / 4, s\}, k_{1}>0$ and $L>0$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
f(x, t) t-2 F(x, t)>0 & \text { for all }(x, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\} \\
f(x, t) t-2 F(x, t) \geq k_{1}|t|^{\beta} & \text { for all } x \in \Omega,|t| \geq L
\end{array}
$$

( $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ ) $F(x, t) / t^{2} \rightarrow+\infty$ as $|t| \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly in $x \in \Omega$.
( $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ ) $F(x, t) \geq 0$ for all $(x, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}$.
Then, for all $i \geq 2$, there is $\delta_{i}>0$ such that for $\mu \in\left(\mu_{i}-\delta_{i}, \mu_{i}\right)$, the problem (1.1) has at least three nontrivial solutions.

By the Sobolev theorem, $E$ is continuously embedded in $L^{\theta}(\Omega)$ for $1 \leq \theta \leq$ $2 N /(N-4)$. If $1 \leq \theta<2 N /(N-4)$, the embedding is compact. There exists a positive constant $K$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{L^{\theta}} \leq K\|u\| \quad \text { for all } u \in E \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\theta=1,2, s+1,2 N /(N-4)=2^{* *}$, where $\|\cdot\|_{L^{\theta}}$ denotes the norm of $L^{\theta}(\Omega)$. Define a functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{\mu}(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(|\Delta u|^{2}-c|\nabla u|^{2}-\mu u^{2}\right) d x-\int_{\Omega} F(x, u) d x \quad \text { for all } u \in E . \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to see that $\varphi_{\mu} \in C^{1}(E, \mathbb{R})$ under conditions of Theorem 1.1 and it is well known that a critical point of the functional $\varphi_{\mu}$ in $E$ corresponds to a weak solution of the problem (1.1).

## 2. Proof of the main results

Here, we recall two variational theorems for the reader's convenience. The first one is a classical Linking Theorem, and the second one, called ( $\nabla$ )-Theorem, is a recent result in variational theory of mixed type, with assumptions on the values of the functional on some suitable sets and on the value of its gradient.

Theorem 2.1 (Classical Linking Theorem, [1], [20]). Let $X$ be a real Banach space with $X=X_{1} \oplus X_{2}$, with $\operatorname{dim} X_{1}<\infty$. Suppose $I \in C^{1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies the (PS)-condition, and
(a) there are constants $\rho$ and $\alpha>0$ such that $\left.I\right|_{\partial B_{\rho} \cap X_{2}} \geq \alpha$, and
(b) there is an $e \in \partial B_{1} \cap X_{2}$ and $R>\rho$ such that if $Q=\left(\bar{B}_{R} \cap X_{1}\right) \oplus\{r e$ : $0<r<R\}$, then $\left.I\right|_{\partial Q} \leq 0$.
Then I possesses a critical value $c \geq \alpha$ which can be characterized as

$$
c=\inf _{h \in \Gamma} \max _{u \in Q}(I(h(u)))
$$

where $\Gamma=\{h \in C(\bar{Q}, X) \mid h=\mathrm{id}$ on $\partial Q\}$.
Definition 2.2. Let $X$ be a Hilbert space, $I: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a $C^{1}$ functional, $M$ a closed subspace of $X, a, b \in \mathbb{R} \cup\{-\infty,+\infty\}$. We say that the condition $(\nabla)(I, M, a, b)$ holds if there is $\gamma>0$ such that

$$
\inf \left\{\left\|P_{M} \nabla I(u)\right\|: a \leq I(u) \leq b, \operatorname{dist}(u, M) \leq \gamma\right\}>0
$$

where $P_{M}: X \rightarrow M$ is the orthogonal projection of $X$ onto $M$.
Theorem 2.3 ( $\nabla$-Theorem, $[7]$ ). Let $X$ be a Hilbert space and $X_{i}, i=1,2,3$, three subspaces of $X$ such that $X=X_{1} \oplus X_{2} \oplus X_{3}$, and $\operatorname{dim} X_{i}<\infty$ for $i=1,2$. Denote by $P_{i}$ the orthogonal projection of $X$ onto $X_{i}$. Let $I: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a $C^{1,1}$ functional and $\rho, \rho^{\prime}, \rho^{\prime \prime}, \rho_{1}$ be constants such that $\rho_{1}>0,0 \leq \rho^{\prime}<\rho<\rho^{\prime \prime}$. Define

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Gamma=\left\{u \in X_{1} \oplus X_{2}: \rho^{\prime} \leq\left\|P_{2} u\right\| \leq \rho^{\prime \prime},\left\|P_{1} u\right\| \leq \rho_{1}\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad T=\partial_{X_{1} \oplus X_{2}} \Gamma \\
S_{23}(\rho)=\left\{u \in X_{2} \oplus X_{3}:\|u\|=\rho\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad B_{23}(\rho)=\left\{u \in X_{2} \oplus X_{3}:\|u\| \leq \rho\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Assume that $a^{\prime}=\sup I(T)<\inf I\left(S_{23}(\rho)\right)=a^{\prime \prime}$. Let $a$ and $b$ be such that $a^{\prime}<a<a^{\prime \prime}$ and $b>\sup I(\Gamma)$. Assume that $(\nabla)\left(I, X_{1} \oplus X_{3}, a, b\right)$ holds and that
$(\mathrm{PS})_{c}$ holds at any $c$ in $[a, b]$. Then I has at least two critical points in $I^{-1}([a, b])$. Moreover, if $\inf I\left(B_{23}(\rho)\right)>-\infty, a_{1}<\inf I\left(B_{23}(\rho)\right)$ and $(\mathrm{PS})_{c}$ holds at any $c$ in $\left[a_{1}, b\right]$, then I has another critical level in $\left[a_{1}, a^{\prime}\right]$.

Lemma 2.4. Assume that conditions $\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}\right)-\left(\mathrm{f}_{3}\right)$ hold. Then, for any $\delta$ with $\min \left\{\mu_{i+1}-\mu_{i}, \mu_{i}-\mu_{i-1}\right\}>\delta>0$, for some $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ such that $\mu \in\left[\mu_{i}-\delta, \mu_{i}+\delta\right]$, the unique critical point $u$ of $\varphi_{\mu}$ constrained on $H_{i-1} \oplus H_{i}^{\perp}$ such that $\varphi_{\mu}(u) \in$ $\left[-\varepsilon_{0}, \varepsilon_{0}\right]$, is the trivial one.

Proof. Assume by contradiction that there exist $\delta>0,\left\{\bar{\mu}_{n}\right\} \subset\left[\mu_{i}-\delta, \mu_{i}+\delta\right]$, and $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset H_{i-1} \oplus H_{i}^{\perp} \backslash\{0\}$ such that, for all $v \in H_{i-1} \oplus H_{i}^{\perp}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi_{\bar{\mu}_{n}}\left(u_{n}\right)= & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|\Delta u_{n}\right|^{2}-c\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}-\bar{\mu}_{n} u_{n}^{2}\right) d x  \tag{2.1}\\
& -\int_{\Omega} F\left(x, u_{n}\right) d x \rightarrow 0, \\
\left\langle\varphi_{\bar{\mu}_{n}}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), v\right\rangle= & \int_{\Omega}\left(\Delta u_{n} \Delta v-c \nabla u_{n} \nabla v-\bar{\mu}_{n} u_{n} v\right) d x  \tag{2.2}\\
& -\int_{\Omega} f\left(x, u_{n}\right) v d x=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Here, going if necessary to a subsequence, we can assume that $\bar{\mu}_{n} \rightarrow \mu \in\left[\mu_{i}-\delta\right.$, $\left.\mu_{i}+\delta\right]$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. From $\left(\mathrm{f}_{3}\right)$, there exists a positive constant $k_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x, t) t-2 F(x, t) \geq k_{1}|t|^{\beta}-k_{2} \quad \text { for all }(x, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $v=u_{n}$ in (2.2), by (2.3), one has

$$
\begin{align*}
2 \varphi_{\bar{\mu}_{n}}\left(u_{n}\right)-\left\langle\varphi_{\bar{\mu}_{n}}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle & =\int_{\Omega}\left(f\left(x, u_{n}\right) u_{n}-2 F\left(x, u_{n}\right)\right) d x  \tag{2.4}\\
& \geq k_{1} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{\beta} d x-k_{2}|\Omega|
\end{align*}
$$

We deduce from (2.1), (2.2) and (2.4) that there exists a positive constant $L_{1}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{\beta} d x<L_{1} \quad \text { for all } n \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choose $v_{n} \in H_{i-1}$ and $w_{n} \in H_{i}^{\perp}$ so that $u_{n}=v_{n}+w_{n}$, for all $n$. And let $v=w_{n}-v_{n}$ in (2.2), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega}\left(\left|\Delta w_{n}\right|^{2}-c\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{2}\right) & d x-\int_{\Omega}\left(\left|\Delta v_{n}\right|^{2}-c\left|\nabla v_{n}\right|^{2}\right) d x  \tag{2.6}\\
& -\bar{\mu}_{n} \int_{\Omega}\left(w_{n}^{2}-v_{n}^{2}\right) d x=\int_{\Omega} f\left(x, u_{n}\right)\left(w_{n}-v_{n}\right) d x
\end{align*}
$$

As for the first line in (2.6), by (1.4), one has

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega}\left(\left|\Delta w_{n}\right|^{2}\right. & \left.-c\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{2}\right) d x-\bar{\mu}_{n} \int_{\Omega} w_{n}^{2} d x  \tag{2.7}\\
& -\int_{\Omega}\left(\left|\Delta v_{n}\right|^{2}-c\left|\nabla v_{n}\right|^{2}\right) d x+\bar{\mu}_{n} \int_{\Omega} v_{n}^{2} d x \\
= & \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|\Delta w_{n}\right|^{2}-c\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{2}\right) d x-\frac{\bar{\mu}_{n}}{\mu_{i+1}} \mu_{i+1} \int_{\Omega} w_{n}^{2} d x \\
& -\int_{\Omega}\left(\left|\Delta v_{n}\right|^{2}-c\left|\nabla v_{n}\right|^{2}\right) d x+\frac{\bar{\mu}_{n}}{\mu_{i-1}} \mu_{i-1} \int_{\Omega} v_{n}^{2} d x \\
\geq & \left(1-\frac{\bar{\mu}_{n}}{\mu_{i+1}}\right)\left\|w_{n}\right\|^{2}+\left(\frac{\bar{\mu}_{n}}{\mu_{i-1}}-1\right)\left\|v_{n}\right\|^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (2.6) and (2.7) that there exists $k_{3}>0$, independent of $n$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{3}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq \int_{\Omega} f\left(x, u_{n}\right)\left(w_{n}-v_{n}\right) d x \quad \text { for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have

$$
\beta>\frac{N}{4}(s-1) \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{N}{4}(s-1)<\frac{2 N}{N+4} s<\frac{2 N}{N-4} .
$$

First, we consider the case $N(s-1) / 4<\beta<2 N s /(N+4)$. Put

$$
\alpha=\frac{2 s N-(N+4) \beta}{2 N-(N-4) \beta}
$$

one has $0<\alpha<1$. Let $p=\beta /(s-\alpha)>1$, we can obtain from Hölder's inequality and (1.7) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{s}\left|w_{n}-v_{n}\right| d x=\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{\mid-\alpha}\left|u_{n}\right|^{\alpha}\left|w_{n}-v_{n}\right| d x  \tag{2.9}\\
& =\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{\beta / p}\left|u_{n}\right|^{\alpha}\left|w_{n}-v_{n}\right| d x \\
& \leq\left(\int_{\Omega}\left(\left|u_{n}\right|^{\beta / p}\right)^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left(\left|u_{n}\right|^{\alpha}\left|w_{n}-v_{n}\right|\right)^{q} d x\right)^{1 / q} \\
& \leq L_{1}^{1 / p}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left(\left|u_{n}\right|^{q \alpha}\right)^{2^{* *} /(q \alpha)} d x\right)^{\alpha / 2^{* *}}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left(\left|w_{n}-v_{n}\right|^{q}\right)^{2^{* * *} / q} d x\right)^{1 / 2^{* *}} \\
& \leq L_{1}^{1 / p}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2 * *}}^{\alpha}\left\|w_{n}-v_{n}\right\|_{L^{2 * *}} \leq L_{1}^{1 / p} K^{\alpha+1}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{\alpha}\left\|w_{n}-v_{n}\right\|
\end{align*}
$$

for all $n$, where $q=p /(p-1)=2^{* *} /(\alpha+1)$. By $\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}\right),(2.5),(2.8)$ and (2.9), for all $n$, one has

$$
\begin{align*}
k_{3}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2} & \leq\left|\int_{\Omega} f\left(x, u_{n}\right)\left(w_{n}-v_{n}\right) d x\right| \leq \int_{\Omega}\left|f\left(x, u_{n}\right) \| w_{n}-v_{n}\right| d x  \tag{2.10}\\
& \leq k_{0} \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|u_{n}\right|^{s}\left|w_{n}-v_{n}\right|+\left|w_{n}-v_{n}\right|\right) d x
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq k_{0} L_{1}^{1 / p} K^{\alpha+1}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{\alpha}\left\|w_{n}-v_{n}\right\|+k_{0} K\left\|w_{n}-v_{n}\right\| \\
& \leq 2 k_{0} L_{1}^{1 / p} K^{\alpha+1}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{\alpha+1}+2 k_{0} K\left\|u_{n}\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, if $\beta$ satisfies

$$
\frac{2 N}{N+4} s \leq \beta<\frac{2 N}{N-4},
$$

then $1 \leq \beta /(\beta-s) \leq 2 N /(N-4)$. So, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{L^{\beta /(\beta-s)}} \leq K\|u\|, \quad u \in E . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

By $\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}\right)$ and (2.11), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
k_{3}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq & \left|\int_{\Omega} f\left(x, u_{n}\right)\left(w_{n}-v_{n}\right) d x\right|  \tag{2.12}\\
\leq & k_{0} \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|u_{n}\right|^{s}\left|w_{n}-v_{n}\right|+\left|w_{n}-v_{n}\right|\right) d x \\
\leq & k_{0}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left(\left|u_{n}\right|^{s}\right)^{\beta / s} d x\right)^{s / \beta} \cdot\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|w_{n}-v_{n}\right|^{\beta /(\beta-s)} d x\right)^{(\beta-s) / \beta} \\
& +k_{0} K\left\|w_{n}-v_{n}\right\| \\
\leq & k_{0} K\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{\beta}}^{s} \cdot\left\|w_{n}-v_{n}\right\|+k_{0} K\left\|w_{n}-v_{n}\right\| \\
\leq & 2 k_{0} K\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{\beta}}^{s} \cdot\left\|u_{n}\right\|+2 k_{0} K\left\|u_{n}\right\|
\end{align*}
$$

for all $n$. Note that $\beta \geq 2 N s /(N+4)$ and $N>4$ imply that $\beta>s$. So, it follows from (2.5), (2.10) and (2.12) that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $E$. There is a subsequence of $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$, still denoted by $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ and $u \in E$ such that $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in $E, u_{n} \rightarrow u$ strongly in $L^{\theta}(\Omega)$ for all $\theta \in\left(1,2^{* *}\right)$ and $u_{n}(x) \rightharpoonup u(x)$ for almost every $x \in \Omega$. Let $v=u_{n}$ in (2.2), by (2.1) and Fatou's lemma, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} 2 \varphi_{\bar{\mu}_{n}}\left(u_{n}\right)-\left\langle\varphi_{\bar{\mu}_{n}}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega}\left(f\left(x, u_{n}\right) u_{n}-2 F\left(x, u_{n}\right)\right) d x \\
& \geq \int_{\Omega} \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(f\left(x, u_{n}\right) u_{n}-2 F\left(x, u_{n}\right)\right) d x \geq \int_{\Omega}(f(x, u) u-2 F(x, u)) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, $\left(\mathrm{f}_{3}\right)$ and the above expression imply that $u=0$. Since $\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}=\left\|v_{n}\right\|^{2}+$ $\left\|w_{n}\right\|^{2}$, from (1.7), (2.8) and Hölder's inequality we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
k_{3}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2} & \leq\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|f\left(x, u_{n}\right)\right|^{(1+s) / s} d x\right)^{s /(s+1)}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|w_{n}-v_{n}\right|^{1+s} d x\right)^{1 /(1+s)} \\
& \leq 2 K\left\|u_{n}\right\|\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|f\left(x, u_{n}\right)\right|^{(1+s) / s} d x\right)^{s /(s+1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{3}\left\|u_{n}\right\| \leq 2 K\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|f\left(x, u_{n}\right)\right|^{(1+s) / s} d x\right)^{s /(s+1)} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $u_{n} \rightarrow 0$, then ( $\mathrm{f}_{2}$ ) and (2.13) would show

$$
1 \leq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{K}{k_{3}\left\|u_{n}\right\|}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|f\left(x, u_{n}\right)\right|^{(1+s) s} d x\right)^{s /(s+1)}=0
$$

which is a contradiction. So, there should exist $\alpha_{1}>0$ such that $\left\|u_{n}\right\| \geq \alpha_{1}$ for all $n$. Then, we can obtain from $\left(\mathrm{f}_{2}\right)$ and (2.13) that

$$
k_{3} \alpha_{1} \leq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} K\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|f\left(x, u_{n}\right)\right|^{(1+s) / s} d x\right)^{s /(s+1)}=0
$$

This is a contradiction.
Lemma 2.5. Assume that conditions $\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{f}_{3}\right)$ hold, $\mu \in\left(\mu_{i-1}, \mu_{i+1}\right)$ and $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset E$ is such that $\left\{\varphi_{\mu}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\}$ is bounded, $P u_{n} \rightarrow 0$ and $Q \varphi_{\mu}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$. Then $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $E$.

Proof. By contradiction, we assume that $\left\|u_{n}\right\| \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let $u_{n}=P u_{n}+Q u_{n}$, by ( $\mathrm{f}_{1}$ ) and Hölder's inequality, there exists a constant $k_{4}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int_{\Omega} f\left(x, u_{n}\right) P u_{n} d x\right| \leq \int_{\Omega}\left|f\left(x, u_{n}\right)\right|\left|P u_{n}\right| d x \\
& \left.\quad \leq k_{0} \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|P u_{n}\right|+\left|u_{n}\right|^{s} \mid P u_{n}\right) \mid\right) d x \leq k_{0}\left\|P u_{n}\right\|_{\infty}\left(1+\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{s} d x\right) \\
& \quad \leq k_{0}\left\|P u_{n}\right\|_{\infty}\left(1+|\Omega|^{(\beta-s) / \beta}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left(\left|u_{n}\right|^{s}\right)^{\beta / s} d x\right)^{s / \beta}\right) \\
& \quad \leq k_{4}\left\|P u_{n}\right\|_{\infty}\left(1+\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{\beta}}^{s}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

So, we can obtain from (2.4) and the above expression that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \varphi_{\mu}\left(u_{n}\right)-\left\langle Q \varphi_{\mu}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle=2 \varphi_{\mu}\left(u_{n}\right)-\left\langle\varphi_{\mu}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle+\left\langle P \varphi_{\mu}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle \\
&= \int_{\Omega}\left(f\left(x, u_{n}\right) u_{n}-2 F\left(x, u_{n}\right)\right) d x \\
& \quad+\int_{\Omega}\left(\left|\Delta P u_{n}\right|^{2}-c\left|\nabla P u_{n}\right|^{2}-\mu\left|P u_{n}\right|^{2}\right) d x-\int_{\Omega} f\left(x, u_{n}\right) P u_{n} d x \\
& \quad \geq k_{1} \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{\beta} d x-k_{2}|\Omega|+\left\|P u_{n}\right\|^{2}-\mu\left\|P u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}-k_{4}\left\|P u_{n}\right\|_{\infty}\left(1+\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{\beta}}^{s}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $1<s<\beta$, $\operatorname{dim}\left(H_{i}^{0}\right)<\infty$ and $\left\|P u_{n}\right\|_{\infty} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the above inequality implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{\beta}}^{s}}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty . \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $Q u_{n}=v_{n}+w_{n}, v_{n} \in H_{i-1}, w_{n} \in H_{i}^{\perp}$. On one hand, from (2.9), for

$$
\frac{N}{4}(s-1)<\beta<\frac{2 N}{N+4} s \quad \text { and } \quad \alpha=\frac{2 s N-(N+4) \beta}{2 N-(N-4) \beta}
$$

we can obtain by Hölder's inequality and (1.7) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{s}\left|v_{n}\right| d x \leq K^{\alpha+1}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{\alpha}\left\|v_{n}\right\|\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{\beta} d x\right)^{1 / p} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{s}\left|w_{n}\right| d x \leq K^{\alpha+1}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{\alpha}\left\|w_{n}\right\|\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{\beta} d x\right)^{1 / p} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $n$, where $p=\beta /(s-\alpha)>1$ and $q=p /(p-1)=2^{* *} /(\alpha+1)$. So, we can get from $\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}\right),(1.4),(1.7)$ and (2.15) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle Q \varphi_{\mu}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right),-v_{n}\right\rangle=-\left\|v_{n}\right\|^{2}+\mu \int_{\Omega} v_{n}^{2} d x+\int_{\Omega} f\left(x, u_{n}\right) v_{n} d x \\
& \quad \geq\left(-1+\frac{\mu}{\mu_{i-1}}\right)\left\|v_{n}\right\|^{2}+\int_{\Omega} f\left(x, u_{n}\right) v_{n} d x \\
& \quad \geq \frac{\mu-\mu_{i-1}}{\mu_{i-1}}\left\|v_{n}\right\|^{2}-k_{0} \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|v_{n}\right|+\left|u_{n}\right|^{s}\left|v_{n}\right|\right) d x \\
& \quad \geq \frac{\mu-\mu_{i-1}}{\mu_{i-1}}\left\|v_{n}\right\|^{2}-k_{0} K^{\alpha+1}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{\alpha}\left\|v_{n}\right\|\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{\beta} d x\right)^{1 / p}-k_{0}\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{L^{1}} \\
& \quad \geq \frac{\mu-\mu_{i-1}}{\mu_{i-1}}\left\|v_{n}\right\|^{2}-k_{0} K\left\|v_{n}\right\|\left(1+K^{\alpha}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{\beta}}^{\beta / p}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{\alpha}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $n$. So, from (2.14), one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left\|v_{n}\right\|}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty . \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

From $\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}\right),(1.4),(1.7)$ and (2.16), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle Q \varphi_{\mu}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), w_{n}\right\rangle=\left\|w_{n}\right\|^{2}-\mu \int_{\Omega} w_{n}^{2} d x-\int_{\Omega} f\left(x, u_{n}\right) w_{n} d x \\
& \quad \geq\left(1-\frac{\mu}{\mu_{i+1}}\right)\left\|w_{n}\right\|^{2}-\int_{\Omega} f\left(x, u_{n}\right) w_{n} d x \\
& \quad \geq \frac{\mu_{i+1}-\mu}{\mu_{i+1}}\left\|w_{n}\right\|^{2}-k_{0} \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|w_{n}\right|+\left|u_{n}\right|^{s}\left|w_{n}\right|\right) d x \\
& \quad \geq \frac{\mu_{i+1}-\mu}{\mu_{i+1}}\left\|w_{n}\right\|^{2}-k_{0} K^{\alpha+1}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{\alpha}\left\|w_{n}\right\|\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{\beta} d x\right)^{1 / p}-k_{0}\left\|w_{n}\right\|_{L^{1}} \\
& \quad \geq \frac{\mu_{i+1}-\mu}{\mu_{i+1}}\left\|w_{n}\right\|^{2}-k_{0} K\left\|w_{n}\right\|\left(1+K^{\alpha}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{\beta}}^{\beta / p}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{\alpha}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $n$. By (2.14), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left\|w_{n}\right\|}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty . \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, if

$$
\frac{2 N}{N+4} s \leq \beta<\frac{2 N}{N-4},
$$

we can get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{s}\left|v_{n}\right| d x \leq K\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{\beta}}^{s}\left\|v_{n}\right\| \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{s}\left|w_{n}\right| d x \leq K\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{\beta}}^{s}\left\|w_{n}\right\| \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $n$. It follows from $\left(f_{1}\right),(1.4),(1.7)$ and (2.19) that

$$
\left\langle Q \varphi_{\mu}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right),-v_{n}\right\rangle \geq \frac{\mu-\mu_{i-1}}{\mu_{i-1}}\left\|v_{n}\right\|^{2}-k_{0} K\left\|v_{n}\right\|\left(1+K^{\alpha}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{\beta}}^{s}\right)
$$

for all $n$. Combining (2.14) and the above expression, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left\|v_{n}\right\|}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

We conclude from $\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}\right),(1.4),(1.7)$ and (2.20) that

$$
\left\langle Q \varphi_{\mu}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), w_{n}\right\rangle \geq \frac{\mu_{i+1}-\mu}{\mu_{i+1}}\left\|w_{n}\right\|^{2}-k_{0} K\left\|w_{n}\right\|\left(1+\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{\beta}}^{s}\right)
$$

for all $n$. The above expression and (2.14) imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left\|w_{n}\right\|}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left\|P u_{n}\right\|}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, we can get from (2.17), (2.18), (2.21)-(2.23) that

$$
1=\frac{\left\|u_{n}\right\|}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|} \leq \frac{\left\|v_{n}\right\|+\left\|P u_{n}\right\|+\left\|w_{n}\right\|}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|} \rightarrow 0
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, which is a contradiction. Hence, $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ must be bounded.
Lemma 2.6. Assume that conditions $\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}\right)-\left(\mathrm{f}_{3}\right)$ hold. Then, for any $\delta$ with $\min \left\{\mu_{i+1}-\mu_{i}, \mu_{i}-\mu_{i-1}\right\}>\delta>0$ and for some $\varepsilon>0, \mu \in\left[\mu_{i}-\delta, \mu_{i}+\delta\right]$, and for any $a, b \in(0, \varepsilon)$ with $a<b$, the condition $(\nabla)\left(\varphi_{\mu}, H_{i-1} \oplus H_{i}^{\perp}, a, b\right)$ holds.

Proof. Assume by contradiction that there exists $\delta>0$ such that for all $\varepsilon_{0}>0$, there exist $\mu \in\left[\mu_{i}-\delta, \mu_{i}+\delta\right]$ and $a, b \in\left(0, \varepsilon_{0}\right)$ such that $a<b$ and the condition $(\nabla)\left(\varphi_{\mu}, H_{i-1} \oplus H_{i}^{\perp}, a, b\right)$ does not hold.

Take $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ as given by Lemma 2.4. There exists $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ in $E$ such that $d\left(u_{n}, H_{i-1} \oplus H_{i}^{\perp}\right) \rightarrow 0, \varphi_{\mu}\left(u_{n}\right) \in(a, b)$ and $Q \varphi_{\mu}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$. So, from Lemma 2.5, $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded. We can assume that $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$.

Taking into account that $Q \varphi_{\mu}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)=u_{n}-P u_{n}+\left(\Delta^{2}+c \Delta\right)^{-1}\left(\mu u_{n}+f\left(x, u_{n}\right)\right)$, where $f\left(x, u_{n}\right) \rightarrow f(x, u)$ in $L^{1+1 / s}(\Omega)$ by $\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\Delta^{2}+c \Delta\right)^{-1}: E \rightarrow E$ is a compact operator. We have $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ and $u=0$ is a critical point of $\varphi_{\mu}$
constrained on $H_{i-1} \oplus H_{i}^{\perp}$ by Lemma 2.4. But $0<a \leq \varphi_{\mu}\left(u_{n}\right)$ for all $n$ and the continuity of $\varphi_{\mu}$ imply a contradiction.

Lemma 2.7. Assume that $\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}\right),\left(\mathrm{f}_{2}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{F}_{2}\right)$ hold. Then, for any $\mu \in$ $\left(\mu_{i-1}, \mu_{i}\right)$, there are $R, \rho$ with $R>\rho>0$ such that

$$
\sup \varphi_{\mu}\left(T_{i-1, i}(R)\right)<\inf \varphi_{\mu}\left(S_{i-1}^{+}(\rho)\right)
$$

Proof. For any $u \in H_{i-1}$ and $\mu \in\left(\mu_{i-1}, \mu_{i}\right)$, by $\left(\mathrm{F}_{2}\right)$, one has

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi_{\mu}(u) & =\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(|\Delta u|^{2}-c|\nabla u|^{2}-\mu u^{2}\right) d x-\int_{\Omega} F(x, u) d x  \tag{2.24}\\
& \leq \frac{\mu_{i-1}-\mu}{2 \mu_{i-1}}\|u\|^{2} \leq 0 .
\end{align*}
$$

By $\left(\mathrm{F}_{1}\right)$, there exists $L_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x, t) \geq\left(\mu_{i}-\mu\right) t^{2}-L_{2} \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $(x, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}$. So, from (1.4) and (2.25), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{\mu}(u) & =\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(|\Delta u|^{2}-c|\nabla u|^{2}-\mu u^{2}\right) d x-\int_{\Omega} F(x, u) d x \\
& \leq \frac{\mu_{i}-\mu}{2 \mu_{i}}\|u\|^{2}-\left(\mu_{i}-\mu\right)\|u\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+L_{2}|\Omega| \leq \frac{\mu-\mu_{i}}{2 \mu_{i}}\|u\|^{2}+L_{2}|\Omega|
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $u \in H_{i}$. We obtain from the above expression that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{\mu}(u) \rightarrow-\infty \quad \text { as }\|u\| \rightarrow \infty \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, by $\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{f}_{2}\right)$, for $\varepsilon=\left(\mu_{i}-\mu\right) / 2>0$, there exists $L_{3}(\varepsilon)>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x, t) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}|t|^{2}+L_{3}|t|^{s+1} \quad \text { for all }(x, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $u \in H_{i-1}^{\perp}, \mu \in\left(\mu_{i-1}, \mu_{i}\right)$, by (1.7) and (2.27), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi_{\mu}(u) & =\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(|\Delta u|^{2}-c|\nabla u|^{2}-\mu u^{2}\right) d x-\int_{\Omega} F(x, u) d x  \tag{2.28}\\
& \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(|\Delta u|^{2}-c|\nabla u|^{2}-\mu u^{2}\right) d x-\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^{2} d x-L_{3} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{s+1} d x \\
& \geq \frac{\mu_{i}-\mu-\varepsilon}{2 \mu_{i}}\|u\|^{2}-L_{3}\|u\|_{L^{s+1}}^{s+1} \geq \frac{\mu_{i}-\mu}{4 \mu_{i}}\|u\|^{2}-L_{3} K^{s+1}\|u\|^{s+1} .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $s+1>2$, from (2.24), (2.26) and (2.28), there are $R>0$ and $\rho>0$ such that

$$
\sup I_{\lambda}\left(T_{i-1, i}(R)\right)<\inf I_{\lambda}\left(S_{i-1}^{+}(\rho)\right)
$$

LEmma 2.8. Assume that condition $\left(\mathrm{F}_{2}\right)$ holds, then for any $\varepsilon>0$ and for any $R_{1}>0$, there is $\delta_{i}^{\prime}>0$ such that for any $\mu \in\left(\mu_{i}-\delta_{i}^{\prime}, \mu_{i}\right)$, one has

$$
\sup \varphi_{\mu}\left(B_{i}\left(R_{1}\right)\right)<\varepsilon
$$

where $B_{i}\left(R_{1}\right)=\left\{u \in H_{i}:\|u\| \leq R_{1}\right\}$.

Proof. By $\left(\mathrm{F}_{2}\right)$ and $\mu<\mu_{i}$, for any $u \in H_{i}$, we have

$$
\varphi_{\mu}(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(|\Delta u|^{2}-c|\nabla u|^{2}-\mu u^{2}\right) d x-\int_{\Omega} F(x, u) d x \leq \frac{\mu_{i}-\mu}{2 \mu_{i}}\|u\|^{2} .
$$

Hence, it is easy to see that the conclusion holds.
From Lemmas 2.7 and 2.8, we can choose $a \in\left(0, \inf \varphi_{\mu}\left(S_{i-1}^{+}(\rho)\right)\right)$ and $b>$ $\sup \varphi_{\mu}\left(B_{i}(R)\right)$ such that $0<a<b<\varepsilon_{0}$. Then the condition $(\nabla)\left(\varphi_{\mu}, H_{i-1} \oplus\right.$ $\left.H_{i}^{\perp}, a, b\right)$ from Lemma 2.6 holds.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. The argument will be divided into two steps.
Step 1. Two critical points are obtained. First of all, from Lemmas 2.62.8, the condition $(\nabla)\left(\varphi_{\mu}, H_{i-1} \oplus H_{i}^{\perp}, a, b\right)$ holds. According to Theorem 2.3, if we can prove that the (PS) condition holds, there are two critical points $u_{1}, u_{2}$ such that $\varphi_{\mu}\left(u_{i}\right) \in[a, b], i=1,2$. From the proof of Lemma 2.5, if for any (PS) sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ of $\varphi_{\mu}$, one has $\left\|P u_{n}\right\| /\left\|u_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded. Moreover, by ( $\mathrm{f}_{1}$ ), a standard argument implies that the (PS) condition holds. By $\left(\mathrm{f}_{3}\right)$, there exist $k_{5}>0$ and $k_{6}>0$ such that

$$
f(x, t) t-2 F(x, t) \geq k_{5}|t|-k_{6} \quad \text { for all }(x, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \varphi_{\mu}\left(u_{n}\right)-\left\langle\varphi_{\mu}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle \\
& \quad=\int_{\Omega}\left(f\left(x, u_{n}\right) u_{n}-2 F\left(x, u_{n}\right)\right) d x \geq \int_{\Omega}\left(k_{5}\left|u_{n}\right|-k_{6}\right) d x \\
& \quad \geq \int_{\Omega}\left(k_{5}\left|P u_{n}\right|-k_{5}\left|v_{n}\right|-k_{5}\left|w_{n}\right|-k_{6}\right) d x \geq k_{7}\left\|P u_{n}\right\|-k_{8}\left(\left\|v_{n}\right\|+\left\|w_{n}\right\|+1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $k_{7}, k_{8}$ are two positive constants. Combining (2.17), (2.18), (2.21), (2.22) with the above expression, we have $\left\|P u_{n}\right\| /\left\|u_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Step 2. The third critical point is obtained. By Theorem 2.1 it suffices to prove that there are $\delta_{i}^{\prime \prime}>0$ and $R_{2}>\rho_{1}>0$ such that for any $\mu \in\left(\mu_{i}-\delta_{i}^{\prime \prime}, \mu_{i}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf \varphi_{\mu}\left(S_{i}^{+}\left(\rho_{1}\right)\right)>\sup \varphi_{\mu}\left(T_{i, i+1}\left(R_{2}\right)\right) \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, there is a critical point $u$ of $\varphi_{\mu}$ such that $\varphi_{\mu}(u)>\inf \varphi_{\mu}\left(S_{i}^{+}\left(\rho_{1}\right)\right)$. For $u \in H_{i}^{\perp}$, by (2.27), one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{\mu}(u) & =\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(|\Delta u|^{2}-c|\nabla u|^{2}-\mu u^{2}\right) d x-\int_{\Omega} F(x, u) d x \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(|\Delta u|^{2}-c|\nabla u|^{2}-\mu u^{2}\right) d x-\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^{2} d x-L_{3} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{s+1} d x \\
& \geq \frac{\mu_{i+1}-\mu-\varepsilon}{2 \mu_{i+1}}\|u\|^{2}-L_{3}\|u\|_{L^{s+1}}^{s+1} \geq \frac{\mu_{i+1}-\mu}{4 \mu_{i+1}}\|u\|^{2}-L_{3} K^{s+1}\|u\|^{s+1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $s+1>2$, there are $\rho_{1}>0$ and $\alpha>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf \varphi_{\mu}\left(S_{i}^{+}\left(\rho_{1}\right)\right) \geq \alpha \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, for any $u \in H_{i}$, by ( $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ ), one has

$$
\varphi_{\mu}(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(|\Delta u|^{2}-c|\nabla u|^{2}-\mu u^{2}\right) d x-\int_{\Omega} F(x, u) d x \leq \frac{\mu_{i}-\mu}{2 \mu_{i}}\|u\|^{2} .
$$

There are $\delta_{i}^{\prime \prime}>0$ and $R_{2}>0$ such that $\mu \in\left(\mu_{i}-\delta_{i}^{\prime \prime}, \mu_{i}\right)$. From the above expression we have $\varphi_{\mu}(u)<\alpha$ for all $\|u\| \leq R_{2}$. It follows from ( $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ ) that there exists $L_{4}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x, t) \geq\left(\mu_{i+1}-\mu\right) t^{2}-L_{4} \quad \text { for all }(x, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $u \in H_{i+1}$ and $\mu \in\left(\mu_{i}-\delta_{i}^{\prime \prime}, \mu_{i}\right)$, by (2.31), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{\mu}(u) & =\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(|\Delta u|^{2}-c|\nabla u|^{2}-\mu u^{2}\right) d x-\int_{\Omega} F(x, u) d x \\
& \leq \frac{\mu_{i+1}-\mu}{2 \mu_{i+1}}\|u\|^{2}-\left(\mu_{i+1}-\mu\right)\|u\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+L_{4}|\Omega| \leq-\frac{\mu_{i+1}-\mu}{2 \mu_{i+1}}\|u\|^{2}+L_{4}|\Omega|
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{\mu}(u) \rightarrow-\infty \quad \text { as }\|u\| \rightarrow \infty \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, from (2.30) and (2.32), the conclusion (2.29) holds. By Theorem 2.1, there is a critical point $u$ of $\varphi_{\mu}$ such that $\varphi_{\mu}(u)>\alpha$. Finally, we take $\delta_{i}=$ $\min \left\{\delta_{i}^{\prime}, \delta_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ where $\delta_{i}^{\prime}$ is given in Lemma 2.8 and Theorem 1.1 is proved.
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