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#### Abstract

In this paper, we study the existence of infinitely many solu-


 tions for the quasilinear Schrödinger equations$$
\begin{cases}-\Delta u-\Delta\left(|u|^{\alpha}\right)|u|^{\alpha-2} u=g(x, u)+h(x, u) & \text { for } x \in \Omega \\ u=0 & \text { for } x \in \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

where $\alpha \geq 2, g, h \in C(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$. When $g$ is of superlinear growth at infinity in $u$ and $h$ is not odd in $u$, the existence of infinitely many solutions is proved in spite of the lack of the symmetry of this problem, by using the dual approach and Rabinowitz perturbation method. Our results generalize some known results and are new even in the symmetric situation.

## 1. Introduction and main results

Consider the following quasilinear Schrödinger equation:

$$
\begin{cases}-\Delta u-\Delta\left(|u|^{\alpha}\right)|u|^{\alpha-2} u=g(x, u)+h(x, u) & \text { for } x \in \Omega  \tag{1.1}\\ u=0 & \text { for } x \in \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

where $\alpha \geq 2, g, h \in C(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$, and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ is a bounded smooth domain.

[^0]In recent years, the quasilinear Schrödinger equation has been involved in several models of mathematical physics (see [8], [9], [15]). Notice that equation (1.1) is the Euler-Lagrange equation associated with the energy functional $J: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$
\begin{align*}
J(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x+\frac{1}{2 \alpha} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla\left(|u|^{\alpha}\right)\right|^{2} d x &  \tag{1.2}\\
& -\int_{\Omega} G(x, u) d x-\int_{\Omega} H(x, u) d x
\end{align*}
$$

where $E$ denotes the Hilbert space $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ equipped with the inner product

$$
(u, v)=\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla v d x, \quad u, v \in E .
$$

By direct computation, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 \alpha} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla\left(|u|^{\alpha}\right)\right|^{2} d x=\frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{2(\alpha-1)}|\nabla u|^{2} d x, \quad u \in E . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of (1.2) and (1.3),
$J(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x+\frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{2(\alpha-1)}|\nabla u|^{2} d x-\int_{\Omega} G(x, u) d x-\int_{\Omega} H(x, u) d x$,
for $u \in E$. By (1.4), the energy functional $J$ could be naturally defined on

$$
X=\left\{\left.u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\left|\int_{\Omega}\right| u\right|^{2(\alpha-1)}|\nabla u|^{2} d x<\infty\right\}
$$

which is not a vector space. So there is no suitable space on which the energy functional $J$ is well-defined. In recent years several methods have been developed to overcome this difficulty, such as the constrained minimization (see [10]), Nehari method (see [7], [11], [18]), change of variables (dual approach) (see [1], [7], [23], [25], [26]), perturbation method (see [12], [13], [24]). Recently, Liu and Zhao [14] considered the existence of infinitely many solutions for a more general quasilinear equation

$$
\begin{cases}D_{j}\left(\sum_{i, j=1}^{N} a_{i j}(x, u) D_{i} u\right)-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j=1}^{N} D_{s} a_{i j}(x, u) D_{i} u D_{j} u+|u|^{p-2} u+f=0 \\ u=0 & \text { for } x \in \Omega \\ u & \text { for } x \in \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

where $D_{i}:=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}, i=1, \ldots, N, D_{s} a_{i j}(x, s)=\frac{\partial}{\partial s} a_{i j}(x, s)$. They treated the case $f \neq 0$ as a perturbation from a symmetric equation. Under some suitable conditions, they showed the existence of infinitely many solutions for this quasilinear equation. Similar questions under symmetry breaking situation have been studied also for the problems of elliptic type, Hamiltonian systems and ordinary differential equations (see [3]-[6], [16], [19]-[22]).

But it should be noted that $|u|^{p-2} u$ is a special form of function, which satisfies the classical condition (AR) due to Ambrosetti and Rabinowitz. The condition (AR) is a convenient hypothesis since it achieves the mountain pass geometry as well as fulfils the Palais-Smale condition, but this condition is far too restrictive. There are many functions not satisfying (AR). For example, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x, t)=2 \alpha \theta(x)|t|^{2(\alpha-1)} t\left[\ln \left(1+|t|^{2 \alpha}\right)+\frac{|t|^{2 \alpha}}{1+|t|^{2 \alpha}}\right], \quad(x, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\theta: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a bounded continuous function with $\inf _{x \in \bar{\Omega}} \theta(x)>0$. To the best of our knowledge, the question whether infinitely many solutions persist for system (1.1) with functions not satisfying (AR) with broken symmetry is unsettled. In this paper, we give a positive answer to this question. But this question is different from the case discussed in [14], those methods cannot be applied directly to obtain our results. Our main tools are based on the dual approach and Rabinowitz perturbation method introduced in [16].

Theorem 1.1. Assume that $g$ and $h$ satisfy the following conditions:
$\left(\mathrm{g}_{1}\right) g \in C(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ and there exists $2 \alpha<p<2^{*} \alpha$ if $N \geq 3$ or $2 \alpha<p<\infty$ if $N=1,2$ such that

$$
|g(x, t)| \leq C_{0}\left(1+|t|^{p-1}\right), \quad(x, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R} ;
$$

( $\mathrm{g}_{2}$ ) there exists a positive constant $r_{0}>0$ such that

$$
G(x, t) \geq 0, \quad(x, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \quad \text { and } \quad|t| \geq r_{0},
$$

and

$$
\lim _{|t| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{G(x, t)}{|t|^{2 \alpha}}=\infty, \quad \text { a.e. } x \in \Omega
$$

where $G(x, t):=\int_{0}^{t} g(x, s) d s ;$
$\left(g_{3}\right)$ there exist constants $C_{1}>0$ and $\kappa>\max \{1, N / 2\}$ such that

$$
|G(x, t)|^{\kappa} \leq C_{1}|t|^{2 \alpha \kappa} \bar{G}(x, t), \quad(x, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R},|t| \geq r_{0},
$$

where $\bar{G}(x, t):=(2 \alpha)^{-1} \operatorname{tg}(x, t)-G(x, t)$;
$\left(\mathrm{g}_{4}\right)$ there exists a positive constant $C_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\bar{G}(x, t) \geq C_{2}\left(|t|^{2 \alpha}-1\right), \quad(x, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}
$$

$\left(\mathrm{g}_{5}\right) g(x, t)=-g(x,-t)$ for $(x, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}$;
$\left(\mathrm{h}_{1}\right) h \in C(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ and there exist constants $C_{3}>0$ and $1<\sigma<2 \alpha$ such that

$$
|h(x, t)| \leq C_{3}\left(1+|t|^{\sigma-1}\right), \quad(x, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R} ;
$$

$\left(\mathrm{h}_{2}\right)$ the constants $p, \alpha$ and $\sigma$ satisfy

$$
\frac{2 \alpha N-p(N-2)}{N(p-2 \alpha)}>\frac{2 \alpha}{2 \alpha-\sigma} .
$$

Then system (1.1) has an unbounded sequence of solutions.
Corollary 1.2. Assume that $g$ and $h$ satisfy $\left(\mathrm{g}_{1}\right)-\left(\mathrm{g}_{5}\right)$, $\left(\mathrm{h}_{1}\right)$ and the following assumption:
$\left(\mathrm{h}_{3}\right) h(x, t)=-h(x,-t)$ for $(x, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}$.
Then there exists an unbounded sequence of solutions for system (1.1).
The plan of this paper is as follows. In Section 2 we provide some preliminary materials. We prove our main results by the use of the dual approach and Rabinowitz perturbation method in Section 3. In the last section an example is given to illustrate our results.

Notation. Throughout the paper, we denote by $C_{n}$ various positive constants, which may vary from line to line and are not essential to the proof.

## 2. Preliminaries

For any $s \in\left[1,2^{*}\right], L^{s}(\Omega)$ is the usual Lebesgue space with the norm

$$
\|u\|_{s}:=\left(\int_{\Omega}|u|^{s} d x\right)^{1 / s}
$$

and $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ is the usual Sobolev space with the norm

$$
\|u\|:=\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

we denote the Hilbert space $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ by $E$. It is well-known that $E$ is continuously embedded into $L^{s}(\Omega)$ for $s \in\left[1,2^{*}\right]$, i.e. there exist constants $\tau_{s}>0$ such that

$$
\|u\|_{s} \leq \tau_{s}\|u\|, \quad u \in E, s \in\left[1,2^{*}\right] .
$$

Moreover, $E \hookrightarrow L^{s}(\Omega)$ is compact for $s \in\left[1,2^{*}\right)$.
It is obvious that the second order differential operator with the Dirichlet boundary condition is a selfadjoint operator, and there exists a sequence of eigenvalues (counted with multiplicity) $\lambda_{1}<\lambda_{2}<\ldots \rightarrow \infty$, and the corresponding system of normalized eigenfunctions $\left\{e_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ forming an orthogonal basis in $E$. Hereafter, let $E_{n}:=\operatorname{span}\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ and $E_{n}^{\perp}$ be the orthogonal complement of $E_{n}$ in $E$.

Inspired by the transformation initially introduced in [9], the function $f$ can be defined by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
f^{\prime}(t)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\alpha|f(t)|^{2(\alpha-1)}}} & \text { for } t \in[0,+\infty) \\
f(-t)=-f(t) & \text { for } t \in(-\infty, 0]
\end{array}
$$

Next we collect some useful properties of the function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, which will be used frequently in the sequel of the paper. Proofs can be found in [1].

Lemma 2.1. The function $f$ and its derivative have the following properties:
$\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}\right) f$ is a uniquely defined $C^{\infty}$ function and it is invertible;
$\left(\mathrm{f}_{2}\right) 0<f^{\prime}(t) \leq 1$ and $|f(t)| \leq|t|$, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$;
(f $\left.\mathrm{f}_{3}\right) \lim _{t \rightarrow 0}|f(t)| /|t|=1$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}|f(t)|^{\alpha} /|t|=\sqrt{\alpha}$;
$\left(\mathrm{f}_{4}\right)$ there exists a positive constant $C_{0}$ such that

$$
|f(t)|^{\alpha-1} f^{\prime}(t) \leq C_{0}, \quad \text { for all } t \in \mathbb{R}
$$

$\left(\mathrm{f}_{5}\right) f^{\prime \prime}(t) f(t)=(\alpha-1)\left(f^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2}\left(\left(f^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2}-1\right)$, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.
Therefore, after change of variables, we obtain the following functional:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(v):=J(f(v))=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla v|^{2} d x-\int_{\Omega} G(x, f(v)) d x-\int_{\Omega} H(x, f(v)) d x . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, for any $v, w \in E$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle I^{\prime}(v), w\right\rangle=(v, w)-\int_{\Omega} g(x, f(v)) f^{\prime}(v) w d x-\int_{\Omega} h(x, f(v)) f^{\prime}(v) w d x \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By a standard argument which is similar to Lemma 2.6 and Remark 2.7 in [1], if $v \in E$ is a critical point of the functional $I$, then $u=f(v) \in E$ and $u$ is a weak solution of (1.1).

In order to define a suitable modified functional, we prove the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2. Under the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1, there exists a positive constant $A$ depending on $\alpha$ such that if $v$ is a critical point of $I$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}|f(v)|^{2 \alpha} d x \leq A\left(I^{2}(v)+1\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since $v$ is a critical point of $I$, by $\left(\mathrm{f}_{5}\right),\left(\mathrm{g}_{4}\right),\left(\mathrm{h}_{1}\right),(2.1)$ and (2.2),

$$
\begin{align*}
I(v)-\frac{1}{2 \alpha}\left\langle I^{\prime}(v), \frac{f(v)}{f^{\prime}(v)}\right\rangle & >\int_{\Omega} \bar{G}(x, f(v)) d x-C_{4}\left(\int_{\Omega}|f(v)|^{\sigma} d x+1\right)  \tag{2.4}\\
& >C_{5} \int_{\Omega}|f(v)|^{2 \alpha} d x-C_{6}
\end{align*}
$$

Then (2.3) follows from (2.4) and the Young inequality.
Next we introduce a cut-off function $\zeta \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$
\begin{cases}\zeta(t)=1 & \text { for } t \in(-\infty, 1]  \tag{2.5}\\ 0 \leq \zeta(t) \leq 1 & \text { for } t \in(1,2) \\ \zeta(t)=0 & \text { for } t \in[2, \infty) \\ \left|\zeta^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq 2 & \text { for } t \in \mathbb{R}\end{cases}
$$

With the help of this cut-off function, define

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(v)=2 A\left(I^{2}(v)+1\right)^{1 / 2}, \quad \phi(v)=\zeta\left(P^{-1}(v) \int_{\Omega}|f(v)|^{2 \alpha} d x\right) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $v$ is a critical point of $I$, by $(2.3),(2.5)$ and $(2.6), \phi(v)=1$. Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{I}(v)=\frac{1}{2}\|v\|^{2}-\int_{\Omega} G(x, f(v)) d x-\phi(v) \int_{\Omega} H(x, f(v)) d x . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\zeta$ is a smooth function, we have $\bar{I} \in C^{1}(E, \mathbb{R})$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle\bar{I}^{\prime}(v), w\right\rangle= & (v, w)-\int_{\Omega} g(x, f(v)) f^{\prime}(v) w d x  \tag{2.8}\\
& -\phi(v) \int_{\Omega} h(x, f(v)) f^{\prime}(v) w d x-\left\langle\phi^{\prime}(v), w\right\rangle \int_{\Omega} H(x, f(v)) d x
\end{align*}
$$

for $v, w \in E$. It is obvious that $I(v)=\bar{I}(v)$ if $v$ is a critical point of $I$.
Lemma 2.3. Assume all the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1 hold. Then:
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)$ there is a positive constant $C_{7}$ such that

$$
|\bar{I}(v)-\bar{I}(-v)| \leq C_{7}\left(|\bar{I}(v)|^{\sigma / 2 \alpha}+1\right), \quad v \in E ;
$$

$\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ there exists a positive constant $M_{1}$ such that if $\bar{I}(v) \geq M_{1}$ and $\bar{I}^{\prime}(v)=0$, then $\bar{I}(v)=I(v)$ and $I^{\prime}(v)=0$;
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$ there exists a positive constant $M_{2} \geq M_{1}$ such that for any $c>M_{2}$, then $\bar{I}$ satisfies the $(C)_{c}$ condition at $c$.

Proof. If $v \in \operatorname{supp} \phi$, by (2.5) and (2.6),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}|f(v)|^{2 \alpha} d x \leq 4 A\left(I^{2}(v)+1\right)^{1 / 2} \leq 4 A(|I(v)|+1) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

By $\left(\mathrm{h}_{1}\right)$ and direct computation, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{\Omega} H(x, f(v)) d x\right| \leq C_{8}\left(\int_{\Omega}|f(v)|^{2 \alpha} d x+1\right)^{\sigma / 2 \alpha} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (2.9) and (2.10) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{\Omega} H(x, f(v)) d x\right| \leq C_{9}\left(|I(v)|^{\sigma / 2 \alpha}+1\right) . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of (2.1), (2.7) and (2.11),

$$
\begin{equation*}
|I(v)| \leq|\bar{I}(v)|+\left|\int_{\Omega} H(x, f(v)) d x\right| \leq|\bar{I}(v)|+2 C_{9}\left(|I(v)|^{\sigma / 2 \alpha}+1\right) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

In combination with $\left(\mathrm{h}_{1}\right)$ and (2.12),

$$
\begin{equation*}
|I(v)| \leq C_{10}(|\bar{I}(v)|+1) \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (2.11) and (2.13) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{\Omega} H(x, f(v)) d x\right| \leq C_{11}\left(|\bar{I}(v)|^{\sigma / 2 \alpha}+1\right), \quad v \in \operatorname{supp} \phi . \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

By a similar estimate, we also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{\Omega} H(x, f(-v)) d x\right| \leq C_{12}\left(|\bar{I}(v)|^{\sigma / 2 \alpha}+1\right), \quad-v \in \operatorname{supp} \phi . \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from $\left(\mathrm{g}_{5}\right),(2.7),(2.14)$ and $(2.15)$ that $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)$ holds.
To prove $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$, it suffices to show that $v$ is a critical point of $\bar{I}$ with $\bar{I}(v) \geq M_{1}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{-1}(v) \int_{\Omega}|f(v)|^{2 \alpha} d x<1 \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next we show that (2.16) holds. It follows from (2.8) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle\bar{I}^{\prime}(v),\right. & \left.\frac{f(v)}{f^{\prime}(v)}\right\rangle=\alpha\|v\|^{2}-(\alpha-1) \int_{\Omega}\left(f^{\prime}(v)\right)^{2}|\nabla v|^{2} d x  \tag{2.17}\\
& -\int_{\Omega} g(x, f(v)) f(v) d x-\phi(v) \int_{\Omega} h(x, f(v)) f(v) d x \\
& -\left\langle\phi^{\prime}(v), \frac{f(v)}{f^{\prime}(v)}\right\rangle \int_{\Omega} H(x, f(v)) d x,
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\phi^{\prime}(v), \omega\right\rangle & =\zeta^{\prime}(\theta(v)) P^{-2}(v) \\
\cdot & {\left[2 \alpha P(v) \int_{\Omega}|f(v)|^{2(\alpha-1)} f(v) f^{\prime}(v) \omega d x-(2 A)^{2} \theta(v) I(v)\left\langle I^{\prime}(v), \omega\right\rangle\right], }
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta(v):=P^{-1}(v) \int_{\Omega}|f(v)|^{2 \alpha} d x \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $v \notin \operatorname{supp} \phi, \phi(v)=\phi^{\prime}(v)=0$, then (2.17) reduces to

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left\langle\bar{I}^{\prime}(v), \frac{f(v)}{f^{\prime}(v)}\right\rangle=\alpha\|v\|^{2}-(\alpha-1) \int_{\Omega}\left(f^{\prime}(v)\right)^{2}|\nabla v|^{2} d x  \tag{2.19}\\
&-\int_{\Omega} g(x, f(v)) f(v) d x
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, if $v$ is a critical point of $\bar{I}$, by $\left(\mathrm{g}_{3}\right),(2.1),(2.8)$ and (2.19),

$$
\begin{align*}
I(v)-\frac{1}{2 \alpha}\left\langle\bar{I}^{\prime}(v), \frac{f(v)}{f^{\prime}(v)}\right\rangle> & \frac{\alpha-1}{2 \alpha} \int_{\Omega}\left(f^{\prime}(v)\right)^{2}|\nabla v|^{2} d x  \tag{2.20}\\
& +\int_{\Omega} \bar{G}(x, f(v)) d x-\int_{\Omega} H(x, f(v)) d x
\end{align*}
$$

In view of (2.4) and (2.20), (2.16) holds. If $v \in \operatorname{supp} \phi$, we regroup terms in (2.17) yielding

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle\bar{I}^{\prime}(v),\right. & \left.\frac{f(v)}{f^{\prime}(v)}\right\rangle=\left(1+K_{1}(v)\right)\left[\alpha\|v\|^{2}-(\alpha-1) \int_{\Omega}\left(f^{\prime}(v)\right)^{2}|\nabla v|^{2} d x\right]  \tag{2.21}\\
& -K_{2}(v) \int_{\Omega} H(x, f(v)) d x-\left(1+K_{1}(v)\right) \int_{\Omega} g(x, f(v)) f(v) d x \\
& -\left(\phi(v)+K_{1}(v)\right) \int_{\Omega} h(x, f(v)) f(v) d x
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& K_{1}(v):=(2 A)^{2} \zeta^{\prime}(\theta(v)) P^{-2}(v) \theta(v) I(v) \int_{\Omega} H(x, f(v)) d x  \tag{2.22}\\
& K_{2}(v):=2 \alpha \zeta^{\prime}(\theta(v)) \theta(v) \tag{2.23}
\end{align*}
$$

Next we prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{1}(v) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } M_{1} \rightarrow \infty \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.5), (2.6), (2.11) and (2.22),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|K_{1}(v)\right| \leq 8 C_{9}\left(|I(v)|^{\sigma / 2 \alpha}+1\right)|I(v)|^{-1} . \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

In combination with (2.1) and (2.7),

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(v) \geq \bar{I}(v)-\left|\int_{\Omega} H(x, f(v)) d x\right| \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of (2.11) and (2.26),

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(v)+C_{9}|I(v)|^{\sigma / 2 \alpha} \geq \bar{I}(v)-C_{9} \geq \frac{M_{1}}{2} \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $M_{1}$ large enough. If $I(v) \leq 0$, by (2.27) and the Young inequality,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{(2 \alpha-\sigma) C_{9}^{2 \alpha /(2 \alpha-\sigma)}}{2 \alpha}+\frac{\sigma|I(v)|}{2 \alpha} \geq \frac{M_{1}}{2}+|I(v)| . \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

But the above inequality is impossible if $M_{1}$ is large enough, e.g. $M_{1} \geq(2 \alpha-\sigma)$ . $C_{9}{ }^{2 \alpha /(2 \alpha-\sigma)} / \alpha$. Therefore $I(v)>0$. Hence it follows from (2.27) that

$$
I(v)>\frac{M_{1}}{4} \quad \text { or } \quad I(v)>\left(\frac{M_{1}}{2 C_{9}}\right)^{2 \alpha / \sigma}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(v) \rightarrow+\infty, \quad \text { as } M_{1} \rightarrow \infty \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

which together with (2.25) shows that (2.24) holds. Moreover, it follows from (2.5), (2.6) and (2.23) that $\left|K_{2}(v)\right| \leq 8 \alpha, v \in E$.

If $v$ is a critical point of $\bar{I}$ and $M_{1}$ is large enough such that $\left|K_{1}(v)\right|<1 / 2$, it follows from (2.1) and (2.21) that

$$
\begin{align*}
I(v)- & \frac{1}{2 \alpha\left(1+K_{1}(v)\right)}\left\langle\bar{I}^{\prime}(v), \frac{f(v)}{f^{\prime}(v)}\right\rangle>\frac{\alpha-1}{2 \alpha} \int_{\Omega}\left(f^{\prime}(v)\right)^{2}|\nabla v|^{2} d x  \tag{2.30}\\
& +\int_{\Omega} \bar{G}(x, f(v)) d x-8 \alpha \int_{\Omega}[|h(x, f(v)) f(v)|+|H(x, f(v))|] d x
\end{align*}
$$

In view of $\left(\mathrm{h}_{1}\right),(2.4)$ and (2.30), we can replace $A$ by a larger constant but smaller than $2 A$ in (2.3), then (2.16) holds.

To prove $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$, first we show that there exists $M_{2}>M_{1}$ such that if $\left\{v_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ $\subset E$ is a sequence such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{I}\left(v_{n}\right) \rightarrow c \quad \text { and } \quad\left\|\bar{I}^{\prime}\left(v_{n}\right)\right\|\left(1+\left\|v_{n}\right\|\right) \rightarrow 0 \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\left(v_{n}\right)$ is bounded. To prove the boundedness of $\left\{v_{n}\right\}$, arguing by contradiction, suppose that $\left\|v_{n}\right\| \rightarrow \infty$. Let $w_{n}=v_{n} /\left\|v_{n}\right\|$. Then $\left\|w_{n}\right\|=1$ and $\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{s} \leq \tau_{s}\left\|v_{n}\right\|=\tau_{s}$ for $2 \leq s<2^{*}$. Passing to a subsequence, we assume that $w_{n} \rightharpoonup w$ in $E$, then $w_{n} \rightarrow w$ in $L^{s}(\Omega), 2 \leq s<2^{*}$. For $0 \leq a<b$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{n}(a, b)=\left\{x \in \Omega: a \leq\left|f\left(v_{n}(x)\right)\right|<b\right\} \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of $\left(\mathrm{g}_{4}\right),\left(\mathrm{h}_{1}\right),(2.7),(2.8)$ and (2.21), for $n$ large enough

$$
\begin{align*}
c+1 & \geq \bar{I}\left(v_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{2 \alpha\left(1+K_{1}\left(v_{n}\right)\right)}\left\langle\bar{I}^{\prime}\left(v_{n}\right), \frac{f\left(v_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(v_{n}\right)}\right\rangle  \tag{2.33}\\
& >\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_{n}\left(r_{0}, \infty\right)} \bar{G}\left(x, f\left(v_{n}\right)\right) d x-C_{13}
\end{align*}
$$

where $C_{13}$ is a positive constant independent of $n$. By $\left(f_{3}\right)$ and $\left(h_{1}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}|H(x, f(v))| d x \leq C_{14}\left(\|v\|^{\sigma / \alpha}+1\right) \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (2.7), (2.31) and (2.34) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|G\left(x, f\left(v_{n}\right)\right)\right|}{\left\|v_{n}\right\|^{2}} d x \geq \frac{1}{2} \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $w=0$, then $w_{n} \rightarrow 0$ in $L^{s}(\Omega), 2 \leq s<2^{*}, w_{n} \rightarrow 0$ almost everywhere on $\Omega$. Set $\kappa^{\prime}=\kappa /(\kappa-1)$. Since $\kappa>\max \{1, N / 2\}$, then $2 \kappa^{\prime} \in\left(2,2^{*}\right)$. It follows from $\left(\mathrm{g}_{1}\right)$ and (2.33) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega_{n}\left(r_{0}, \infty\right)} \frac{\mid G\left(x, f\left(v_{n}\right) \mid\right.}{\left|v_{n}\right|^{2}}\left|w_{n}\right|^{2} d x  \tag{2.36}\\
& \leq\left[\int_{\Omega_{n}\left(r_{0}, \infty\right)}\left(\frac{\mid G\left(x, f\left(v_{n}\right) \mid\right.}{f^{2 \alpha}\left(v_{n}\right)}\right)^{\kappa} d x\right]^{1 / \kappa}\left[\int_{\Omega_{n}\left(r_{0}, \infty\right)}\left|w_{n}\right|^{2 \kappa^{\prime}} d x\right]^{1 / \kappa^{\prime}} \\
& \leq C_{15}\left[\int_{\Omega_{n}\left(r_{0}, \infty\right)} \overline{\left.G\left(x, f\left(v_{n}\right)\right) d x\right]^{1 / \kappa}\left(\int_{\Omega_{n}\left(r_{0}, \infty\right)}\left|w_{n}\right|^{2 \kappa^{\prime}} d x\right)^{1 / \kappa^{\prime}}}\right. \\
& \leq C_{15}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|w_{n}\right|^{2 \kappa^{\prime}} d x\right)^{1 / \kappa^{\prime}} \rightarrow 0
\end{align*}
$$

Combining ( $\mathrm{g}_{1}$ ) and (2.36), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} \frac{\mid G\left(x, f\left(v_{n}\right) \mid\right.}{\left\|v_{n}\right\|^{2}} d x=\int_{\Omega_{n}\left(0, r_{0}\right)} \frac{\mid G\left(x, f\left(v_{n}\right) \mid\right.}{\left\|v_{n}\right\|^{2}} d x \\
& \quad+\int_{\Omega_{n}\left(r_{0}, \infty\right)} \frac{\mid G\left(x, f\left(v_{n}\right) \mid\right.}{\left|v_{n}\right|^{2}}\left|w_{n}\right|^{2} d x \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

which contradicts (2.35).
Set $\Pi=\{x \in \Omega: w(x) \neq 0\}$. If $w \neq 0$, then meas $(\Pi)>0$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|v_{n}(x)\right|=\infty, \quad \text { a.e. } x \in \Pi \tag{2.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from $\left(\mathrm{f}_{3}\right)$ and (2.32) that $\Pi \subset \Omega_{n}\left(r_{0}, \infty\right)$ for large $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By $\left(\mathrm{g}_{1}\right)$, $\left(\mathrm{h}_{1}\right),\left(\mathrm{f}_{3}\right),(2.34),(2.37)$ and Fatou's lemma,

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\bar{I}\left(v_{n}\right)}{\left\|v_{n}\right\|^{2}}=\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\frac{1}{2}-\int_{\Omega} \frac{G\left(x, f\left(v_{n}\right)\right)}{\left\|v_{n}\right\|^{2}} d x\right] \\
& =\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\frac{1}{2}-\int_{\Omega_{n}\left(0, r_{0}\right)} \frac{G\left(x, f\left(v_{n}\right)\right)}{\left\|v_{n}\right\|^{2}} d x-\int_{\Omega_{n}\left(r_{0}, \infty\right)} \frac{G\left(x, f\left(v_{n}\right)\right)}{\left|v_{n}\right|^{2}}\left|w_{n}\right|^{2} d x\right] \\
& \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\frac{1}{2}+C_{0}\left(r_{0}+r_{0}^{p}\right) \operatorname{meas}(\Omega)\left\|v_{n}\right\|^{-2}-\int_{\Omega_{n}\left(r_{0}, \infty\right)} \frac{G\left(x, f\left(v_{n}\right)\right)}{\left|v_{n}\right|^{2}}\left|w_{n}\right|^{2} d x\right] \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}-\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega_{n}\left(r_{0}, \infty\right)} \frac{G\left(x, f\left(v_{n}\right)\right)}{f^{2 \alpha}\left(v_{n}\right)} \cdot \frac{f^{2 \alpha}\left(v_{n}\right)}{\left|v_{n}\right|^{2}}\left|w_{n}\right|^{2} d x \\
& =\frac{1}{2}-\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \frac{G\left(x, f\left(v_{n}\right)\right)}{f^{2 \alpha}\left(v_{n}\right)} \cdot \frac{f^{2 \alpha}\left(v_{n}\right)}{\left|v_{n}\right|^{2}}\left|w_{n}\right|^{2}\left[\chi_{\Omega_{n}\left(r_{0}, \infty\right)}(x)\right] d x \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}-\int_{\Omega \rightarrow \infty} \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{G\left(x, f\left(v_{n}\right)\right)}{f^{2 \alpha}\left(v_{n}\right)} \cdot \frac{f^{2 \alpha}\left(v_{n}\right)}{\left|v_{n}\right|^{2}}\left|w_{n}\right|^{2}\left[\chi_{\Omega_{n}\left(r_{0}, \infty\right)}(x)\right] d x=-\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

which is a contradiction. Thus $\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $E$.
Since $E$ is a reflexive space, passing to a subsequence, also denoted by $\left\{v_{n}\right\}$, it can be assumed that $v_{n} \rightharpoonup v_{0}, n \rightarrow \infty$. By ( $\mathrm{f}_{3}$ ) in Lemma 2.1, there exists a positive constant $M_{3}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(t)| \leq C_{16}|t|^{1 / \alpha}, \quad|t| \geq M_{3} \tag{2.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $v, w \in E$, by $\left(\mathrm{f}_{2}\right),\left(\mathrm{f}_{4}\right),(2.38)$ and the Hölder inequality,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega}|f(v)|^{p-1} f^{\prime}(v)|w| d x  \tag{2.39}\\
& \quad=\int_{\Omega_{0}}|f(v)|^{p-1} f^{\prime}(v)|w| d x+\int_{\Omega \backslash \Omega_{0}}|f(v)|^{p-1} f^{\prime}(v)|w| d x \\
& \quad \leq C_{0} C_{16} \int_{\Omega_{0}}|v|^{(p-\alpha) / \alpha}|w| d x+\int_{\Omega \backslash \Omega_{0}}|v|^{p-1}|w| d x \\
& \quad \leq C_{0} C_{16}\|v\|_{p / \alpha}^{(p-\alpha) / \alpha}\|w\|_{p / \alpha}+M_{3}^{p-1}\|w\|_{1},
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Omega_{0}:=\left\{x \in \Omega:|v(x)| \geq M_{3}\right\}$. By (2.8), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\langle\bar{I}^{\prime}\left(v_{n}\right), v_{n}-v_{0}\right\rangle=\left(v_{n}, v_{n}-v_{0}\right)- \\
&-\int_{\Omega} g\left(x, f\left(v_{n}\right)\right) f^{\prime}\left(v_{n}\right)\left(v_{n}-v_{0}\right) d x \\
&-\left\langle\phi^{\prime}\left(v_{n}\right), v_{n}-\right.\left.v_{0}\right\rangle \int_{\Omega} H\left(x, f\left(v_{n}\right)\right) d x \\
& \quad-\phi\left(v_{n}\right) \int_{\Omega} h\left(x, f\left(v_{n}\right)\right) f^{\prime}\left(v_{n}\right)\left(v_{n}-v_{0}\right) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left\langle\phi^{\prime}\left(v_{n}\right), v_{n}-v_{0}\right\rangle \int_{\Omega} H\left(x, f\left(v_{n}\right)\right) d x  \tag{2.40}\\
&=2 \alpha \zeta^{\prime}\left(\theta\left(v_{n}\right)\right) P^{-1}\left(v_{n}\right) \int_{\Omega}\left|f\left(v_{n}\right)\right|^{2(\alpha-1)} f\left(v_{n}\right) f^{\prime}\left(v_{n}\right)\left(v_{n}-v_{0}\right) d x \\
& \cdot \int_{\Omega} H\left(x, f\left(v_{n}\right)\right) d x-K_{1}\left(v_{n}\right)\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(v_{n}\right), v_{n}-v_{0}\right\rangle
\end{align*}
$$

If $v_{n} \notin \operatorname{supp} \phi, \phi\left(v_{n}\right)=\phi^{\prime}\left(v_{n}\right)=0$. Then

$$
\left\langle\bar{I}^{\prime}\left(v_{n}\right), v_{n}-v_{0}\right\rangle=\left(v_{n}, v_{n}-v_{0}\right)-\int_{\Omega} g\left(x, f\left(v_{n}\right)\right) f^{\prime}\left(v_{n}\right)\left(v_{n}-v_{0}\right) d x
$$

Otherwise, $v_{n} \in \operatorname{supp} \phi$, in combination with (2.6) and (2.11), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|P^{-1}\left(v_{n}\right) \int_{\Omega} H\left(x, f\left(v_{n}\right)\right) d x\right| \leq(2 A)^{-1} C_{9}\left(\left|I\left(v_{n}\right)\right|^{\sigma / 2 \alpha}+1\right)\left|I\left(v_{n}\right)\right|^{-1} \tag{2.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $M_{2}$ is large enough, in view of (2.24), (2.29) and (2.41),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|P^{-1}\left(v_{n}\right) \int_{\Omega} H\left(x, f\left(v_{n}\right)\right) d x\right| \leq \frac{1}{16}, \quad\left|K_{1}\left(v_{n}\right)\right| \leq \frac{1}{16} \tag{2.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from $\left(\mathrm{g}_{1}\right),\left(\mathrm{h}_{1}\right)$ and (2.39) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left|f\left(v_{n}\right)\right|^{2 \alpha-1} f^{\prime}\left(v_{n}\right)\left(v_{n}-v_{0}\right) d x \rightarrow 0 \tag{2.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega} g\left(x, f\left(v_{n}\right)\right) f^{\prime}\left(v_{n}\right)\left(v_{n}-v_{0}\right) d x \rightarrow 0  \tag{2.44}\\
& \int_{\Omega} h\left(x, f\left(v_{n}\right)\right) f^{\prime}\left(v_{n}\right)\left(v_{n}-v_{0}\right) d x \rightarrow 0 \tag{2.45}
\end{align*}
$$

In combination with (2.31), (2.40), (2.42)-(2.45), $v_{n} \rightarrow v_{0}, n \rightarrow \infty$.
Lemma 2.4. Under assumptions $\left(\mathrm{g}_{1}\right)$, $\left(\mathrm{g}_{3}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{h}_{1}\right)$, for any finite dimensional subspace $\widetilde{E} \subset E$,

$$
\bar{I}(v) \rightarrow-\infty, \quad\|v\| \rightarrow \infty, \quad v \in \widetilde{E}
$$

Proof. Arguing indirectly, assume that for some sequence $\left\{v_{n}\right\} \subset \widetilde{E}$ with $\left\|v_{n}\right\| \rightarrow \infty$, there is $M>0$ such that $\bar{I}\left(v_{n}\right) \geq-M$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Set $w_{n}=$ $v_{n} /\left\|v_{n}\right\|$, then $\left\|w_{n}\right\|=1$. Passing to a subsequence, we can assume that $w_{n} \rightharpoonup w$ in $E$. Since $\widetilde{E}$ is a finite dimensional space, then $w_{n} \rightarrow w \in \widetilde{E}$ and $\|w\|=1$. Hence, we can conclude a contradiction by a similar fashion as in Lemma 2.3.

## 3. Construction of minimax sequences and proof of Theorem 1.1

By Lemma 2.4, there exists a strictly increasing sequence of numbers $R_{n}$ such that $\bar{I}(v) \leq 0$ for $v \in E_{n} \backslash B_{R_{n}}$, where $B_{R_{n}}$ denotes the open ball of radius $R_{n}$ centred at 0 in $E$, and $\bar{B}_{R_{n}}$ denotes the closure of $B_{R_{n}}$ in $E$. Next we introduce some continuous maps in $E$. Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{n}=\left\{\zeta \in C\left(D_{n}, E\right): \zeta \text { is odd and } \zeta=\text { id on } \partial B_{R_{n}} \cap E_{n}\right\} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D_{n}:=\bar{B}_{R_{n}} \cap E_{n}$, and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Lambda_{n}:=\left\{\gamma \in C\left(U_{n}, E\right):\left.\gamma\right|_{D_{n}} \in \Gamma_{n} \text { and } \gamma=\mathrm{id}\right.  \tag{3.2}\\
& \left.\quad \text { for } v \in Q_{n}:=\left(\partial B_{R_{n+1}} \cap E_{n+1}\right) \cup\left(\left(B_{R_{n+1}} \backslash \bar{B}_{R_{n}}\right) \cap E_{n}\right)\right\},
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{n}:=\left\{v=t e_{n+1}+\omega: t \in\left[0, R_{n+1}\right], \omega \in \bar{B}_{R_{n+1}} \cap E_{n},\|v\| \leq R_{n+1}\right\} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

With the help of these continuous maps, we define two sequences of minimax values

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{n}=\inf _{\zeta \in \Gamma_{n}} \max _{v \in D_{n}} \bar{I}(\zeta(v)), \quad c_{n}=\inf _{\gamma \in \Lambda_{n}} \max _{v \in U_{n}} \bar{I}(\gamma(v)) . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is obvious that $c_{n} \geq b_{n}$. For the sake of getting the lower bound of the above minimax values, we give an intersection property which has been proved by Rabinowitz in Lemma 1.44 of [16].

LEMMA 3.1. $\zeta\left(D_{n}\right) \cap \partial B_{\rho} \cap E_{n-1}^{\perp} \neq \emptyset$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}, \rho<R_{n}$ and $\zeta \in \Gamma_{n}$.
Next we give the lower bounds for $b_{n}$.
Lemma 3.2. There are a positive constant $C_{17}$ and $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{n} \geq C_{17} n^{2 \alpha N-p(N-2) /(N(p-2 \alpha))}, \quad n \geq n_{0} . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, for any $\zeta \in \Gamma_{n}$ and $\rho<R_{n}$, there exists $v_{n} \in$ $\zeta\left(D_{n}\right) \cap \partial B_{\rho} \cap E_{n-1}^{\perp}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{v \in D_{n}} \bar{I}(\zeta(v)) \geq \bar{I}\left(v_{n}\right) \geq \inf _{v \in \partial B_{\rho} \cap E_{n-1}^{\perp}} \bar{I}(v) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of $\left(\mathrm{g}_{1}\right),\left(\mathrm{g}_{3}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{f}_{3}\right)$ in Lemma 2.1, we have

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\int_{\Omega}|G(x, f(v))| d x \leq C_{18}\left(\|v\|_{p / \alpha}^{p / \alpha}+1\right), & v \in E \\
\int_{\Omega}|H(x, f(v))| d x \leq C_{19}\left(\|v\|_{\sigma / \alpha}^{\sigma / \alpha}+1\right), & v \in E \tag{3.8}
\end{array}
$$

In view of (2.7), (3.7) and (3.8),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{I}(v) \geq \frac{1}{4}\|v\|^{2}-C_{20}\left(\|v\|_{p / \alpha}^{p / \alpha}+1\right) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|v\|_{p / \alpha} \leq \tau\|v\|^{s}\|v\|_{2}^{1-s} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tau$ is a positive constant and $s=(2 p)^{-1} N(p-2 \alpha)$. If $v \in E_{n-1}^{\perp}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|v\|_{2}^{2} \leq \lambda_{n}^{-1}\|v\|^{2} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.9), (3.10) and (3.11), if $v \in \partial B_{\rho} \cap E_{n-1}^{\perp}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{I}(v) \geq \rho^{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}-C_{20} \lambda_{n}^{(s-1) p /(2 \alpha)} \rho^{(p-2 \alpha) / \alpha}\right)-C_{20} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of (3.12), choose $\rho_{n}=\left(4 C_{20}\right)^{\alpha /(2 \alpha-p)} \lambda_{n}^{(1-s) p /(2(p-2 \alpha))}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{I}(v) \geq \frac{1}{4} \rho_{n}^{2}-C_{20} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (3.4), (3.6) and (3.13) that (3.5) holds.
By $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)$ in Lemma 2.3 and a similar fashion as in the proof of Proposition 10.46 in [17], we have

LEmma 3.3. If $c_{n}=b_{n}$ for all $n \geq n_{0}$, where $n_{0}$ is a positive integer, there exists a positive constant $C_{21}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{n} \leq C_{21} n^{2 \alpha /(2 \alpha-\sigma)} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of $\left(\mathrm{h}_{2}\right),(3.5)$ and (3.14), it is impossible that $c_{n}=b_{n}$ for all large $n$. Next we can construct critical values of $\bar{I}$ as follows.

Lemma 3.4. Suppose $c_{n}>b_{n} \geq M_{2}$ for any $n$ large enough. For any $\delta \in$ $\left(0, c_{n}-b_{n}\right)$, define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{n}(\delta)=\left\{\gamma \in \Lambda_{n}: \bar{I}(\gamma(v)) \leq b_{n}+\delta \text { for } v \in D_{n}\right\} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{n}(\delta)=\inf _{\gamma \in \Lambda_{n}(\delta)} \max _{v \in U_{n}} \bar{I}(\gamma(v)) \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $c_{n}(\delta)$ is a critical value of $\bar{I}$.
Proof. First the definition of $\Lambda_{n}(\delta)$ implies that this set is nonempty. By (3.2) and (3.15),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{n}(\delta) \subset \Lambda_{n}, \quad c_{n} \leq c_{n}(\delta) \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

By $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$ in Lemma 2.3, the Deformation Theorem also holds (see [2]). Suppose $c_{n}(\delta)$ is not a critical value of $\bar{I}$, choose $\bar{\varepsilon}:=\left(c_{n}-b_{n}-\delta\right) / 2$, there exists $\varepsilon \in(0, \bar{\varepsilon})$ and $\eta \in C([0,1] \times E, E)$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\eta(1, v)=v, \quad \bar{I}(v) \notin\left[c_{n}(\delta)-\bar{\varepsilon}, c_{n}(\delta)+\bar{\varepsilon}\right],  \tag{3.18}\\
\eta\left(1, \bar{I}_{c_{n}(\delta)+\varepsilon}\right) \subset \bar{I}_{c_{n}(\delta)-\varepsilon} . \tag{3.19}
\end{gather*}
$$

By (3.16), there exists $\gamma \in \Lambda_{n}(\delta)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{v \in U_{n}} \bar{I}(\gamma(v))<c_{n}(\delta)+\varepsilon . \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\gamma}(\cdot)=\eta(1, \gamma(\cdot)) . \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next we prove $\bar{\gamma} \in \Lambda_{n}(\delta)$. It is obvious that $\bar{\gamma} \in C\left(U_{n}, E\right)$. By (3.15) and (3.17),

$$
\bar{I}(\gamma(v)) \leq b_{n}+\delta<c_{n}-\bar{\varepsilon} \leq c_{n}(\delta)-\bar{\varepsilon}, \quad v \in D_{n}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{I}(\gamma(v))<c_{n}(\delta)-\bar{\varepsilon}, \quad v \in D_{n} \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

In combination with (3.18), (3.21) and (3.22),

$$
\bar{\gamma}(v)=\eta(1, \gamma(v))=\gamma(v), \quad v \in D_{n}
$$

which yields that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\bar{\gamma}\right|_{D_{n}} \in \Gamma_{n} \quad \text { and } \quad \bar{I}(\bar{\gamma}(v))=\bar{I}(\gamma(v)) \leq b_{n}+\delta, \quad v \in D_{n} . \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of $\gamma \in \Lambda_{n}(\delta)$ and the definitions of $R_{n}$ and $R_{n+1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma(v)=v \quad \text { and } \quad \bar{I}(\gamma(v)) \leq 0, \quad v \in Q_{n} \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $b_{n} \geq M_{2}>0$ and $c_{n}(\delta) \geq c_{n}>b_{n}$, then $c_{n}(\delta)>\bar{\varepsilon}$. It follows from (3.18) and (3.24) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\gamma}(v)=\eta(1, \gamma(v))=\gamma(v)=v, \quad v \in Q_{n} . \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of (3.23) and (3.25), $\bar{\gamma} \in \Lambda_{n}(\delta)$. Moreover, by (3.19)-(3.21),

$$
\max _{v \in U_{n}} \bar{I}(\bar{\gamma}(v))=\max _{v \in U_{n}} \bar{I}(\eta(1, \gamma(v))) \leq c_{n}(\delta)-\varepsilon,
$$

which is a contradiction to (3.16).
Proof of Theorem 1.1. Since it is impossible that $c_{n}=b_{n}$ for all large $n$, then we can choose a subsequence $\left\{n_{k}\right\} \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that $c_{n_{k}}>b_{n_{k}}$. In view of Lemma 3.2, $c_{n_{k}}>b_{n_{k}}>M_{2}$, when $n_{k}$ is large enough. It follows from $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ in Lemmas 2.3, 3.2 and 3.4 that $\bar{I}$ has an unbounded sequence of critical values which yields infinitely many solutions for system (1.1).

Proof of Corollary 1.2. First, it follows from $\left(g_{5}\right),\left(h_{3}\right)$ and (2.1) that $I$ is an even functional. Arguing as in $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$ in Lemma 2.3, we can prove that the functional $I$ satisfies the $(C)_{c}$ condition. Moreover, by a similar fashion as in the proof of Lemma 3.1, there exists a strictly increasing sequence of numbers $R_{n}^{\prime}$ such that $I(v) \leq 0$ for $v \in E_{n} \backslash B_{R_{n}^{\prime}}$. Define

$$
\Gamma_{n}^{\prime}=\left\{h \in C\left(D_{n}^{\prime}, E\right): h \text { is odd and } h=\text { id on } \partial B_{R_{n}^{\prime}} \cap E_{n}\right\}
$$

where $D_{n}^{\prime}:=\bar{B}_{R_{n}^{\prime}} \cap E_{n}$ and $b_{n}^{\prime}:=\inf _{h \in \Gamma_{n}^{\prime}} \max _{v \in D_{n}^{\prime}} I(h(v))$. Arguing as in Lemma 3.2, we have $b_{n}^{\prime} \rightarrow \infty$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then there exists $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $b_{n}^{\prime}>0, n \geq n_{0}$. If $n \geq n_{0}$, by a standard argument and the Deformation Theorem, we can also prove $b_{n}^{\prime}$ are unbounded critical values of $I$.

## 4. Example

In this section, we give one example to illustrate our result.
Example 4.1. In system (1.1), let $\Omega$ be a bounded smooth domain in $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ and $\alpha=3$. Let $g$ is given by (1.5) and $h(x, t)=t^{2}$. Thus all conditions of Theorem 1.1 are satisfied with $N=4, \kappa=3, \sigma=7 / 2, p=13 / 2$. By Theorem 1.1, system (1.1) has an unbounded sequence of infinitely many solutions. But the results in [14] cannot be applied to this example.
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