# INFINITELY MANY ENTIRE SOLUTIONS OF AN ELLIPTIC SYSTEM WITH SYMMETRY 

Yanheng Ding

## 1. Introduction

In [6], we have considered the existence of at least one nontrivial solution for the following elliptic system on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u=\frac{\partial H}{\partial v}(x, u, v), \quad-\Delta v=\frac{\partial H}{\partial u}(x, u, v) \tag{ES}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that $u, v \in W^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, where $H \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ has the form of

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(x, u, v)=-q(x) u v+\bar{H}(x, u, v) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and satisfies, with $(u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ denoted by $z$ and $\left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$ by $|z|$, the following conditions:
(Q) $q \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $q(x) \rightarrow \infty$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$;
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)$ there is an $\mu>2$ such that

$$
0<\mu \bar{H}(x, z) \leq \bar{H}_{z}(x, z) z
$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and $z \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash\{0\}$, where $\bar{H}_{z}(x, z)=\nabla_{z} \bar{H}(x, z)$;
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right) \quad 0<\underline{b} \equiv \inf _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N},|z|=1} \bar{H}(x, z) ;$
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)\left|\bar{H}_{z}(x, z)\right|=o(|z|)$ as $|z| \rightarrow 0$ uniformly in $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$;

[^0]$\left(\mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$ there are $0 \leq a_{1} \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \cap C\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $a_{2}>0$ such that
$$
\left|\bar{H}_{z}(x, z)\right|^{\gamma} \leq a_{1}(x)+a_{2} \bar{H}_{z}(x, z) z, \quad \forall(x, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{2}
$$
where $\gamma>1, \mu \leq \frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1} \equiv \bar{\gamma}<\bar{N} \equiv \frac{2 N}{N-2}$ if $N>2$ and $\bar{\gamma}<\infty$ if $N=1,2$. In [6] we also proved that (ES) has at least one nontrivial solution if $H$ has the form of (1.1) and satisfies, roughly, the following:
$\left(\mathrm{Q}_{\alpha}\right) q \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and there is an $\alpha<2$ such that $q(x)|x|^{\alpha-2} \rightarrow \infty$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$;
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \bar{H}(x, 0) \equiv 0$, and there is $1<\beta \in\left(\frac{2 N}{2-\alpha+N}, 2\right)$ such that
$$
0<\bar{H}_{z}(x, z) z \leq \beta \bar{H}(x, z), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \text { and } z \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash\{0\}
$$
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{6}\right)$ there is $a_{3}>0$ such that
$$
a_{3}|z|^{\beta} \leq \bar{H}(x, z), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \text { and }|z| \geq 1
$$
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{7}\right)$ there are $a_{4}>0$ and $\nu>\max \left\{0, \frac{\alpha-2+N}{2-\alpha+N}\right\}$ such that
$$
\left|\bar{H}_{z}(x, z)\right| \leq a_{4}|z|^{\nu}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \text { and }|z| \leq 1
$$
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{8}\right)\left|\bar{H}_{z}\right| \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} \times B_{R}\right)$ for any $R>0$, where $B_{R}=\left\{z \in \mathbb{R}^{2}:|z| \leq R\right\}$, and
$$
|z|^{-1}\left|\bar{H}_{z}(x, z)\right| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as }|z| \rightarrow \infty \text { uniformly in } x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}
$$

We remark that, under the above assumptions, (ES) is a nonlinear Schrödinger equation group with the Schrödinger operator $A=-\Delta+q(x)$. Conditions like (Q) arise in mathematical physics, e.g., when one deals with the systems associated with the generalized harmonic oscillator $A=-\Delta+\left(q^{+}(x)-q^{-}(x)\right)$ where $0 \leq q^{+}(x) \rightarrow \infty$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ and $q^{-}(x)$ is bounded, or particularly, the anharmonic oscillator $A=-\Delta+q(x)$ in which $q(x)$ is a polynomial of degree $2 m$ with the property that the coefficient of the leading term is positive (see [9], [10]).

The purpose of this paper is to show that (ES) has infinitely many solutions if $\bar{H}(x, z)$ is even in $z$ and satisfies the above assumptions. Precisely, we have

THEOREM 1.1. Let $H$ be of the form (1.1) with $q$ satisfying (Q) and $\bar{H}$ satisfying $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)-\left(\mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$. Suppose, in addition, that $\bar{H}(x, z)$ is even with respect to $z \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$. Then (ES) has infinitely many solutions.

Theorem 1.2. Let $H$ be of the form (1.1) with $q$ satisfying $\left(\mathrm{Q}_{\alpha}\right)$ and $\bar{H}$ satisfying $\left(\mathrm{H}_{5}\right)-\left(\mathrm{H}_{8}\right)$. Suppose, in addition, that $\bar{H}(x, z)$ is even with respect to $z \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$. Then (ES) has infinitely many solutions.

Remark 1.3. The existence of at least one solution $(u, v)$ to the elliptic systems like (ES) on a smooth bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ such that $\left.u\right|_{\partial \Omega}=0=$
$\left.v\right|_{\partial \Omega}$ has been studied by Benci-Rabinowitz [3], Clément-de Figueiredo-Mitidieri [4], de Figueiredo-Felmer [7] and Szulkin [11] using a variational approach.

## 2. Two theoretical propositions

The following two abstract propositions will be used for proving the previous results.

Let $E$ be a real Hilbert space with norm $\|\cdot\|$. Suppose that $E$ has an orthogonal decomposition $E=E_{1} \oplus E_{2}$ with both $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ being infinitedimensional. Let $\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ (resp. $\left\{w_{n}\right\}$ ) be an orthogonal basis for $E_{1}$ (resp. $E_{2}$ ), and set

$$
X_{n}=\operatorname{span}\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\} \oplus E_{2}, \quad X^{m}=E_{1} \oplus \operatorname{span}\left\{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{m}\right\} .
$$

For a functional $I \in C^{1}(E, \mathbb{R})$ we set $I_{n}=\left.I\right|_{X_{n}}$. Recall that we say that I satisfies the (PS)* condition if any sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ with $u_{n} \in X_{n}$ for which $0 \leq I\left(u_{n}\right) \leq$ const and $I_{n}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \equiv \nabla I_{n}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ has a convergent subsequence. We also say that I satisfies the (PS)** condition if for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $I_{n}$ satisfies the Palais-Smale condition, i.e., any sequence $\left\{u_{k}\right\} \subset X_{n}$ for which $I\left(u_{k}\right)$ is bounded and $I_{n}^{\prime}\left(u_{k}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ has a convergent subsequence.

Proposition 2.1. Let $E$ be as above and let $I \in C^{1}(E, \mathbb{R})$ be even, satisfy $(\mathrm{PS})^{*}$ and $(\mathrm{PS})^{* *}$, and $I(0)=0$. Suppose, moreover, that I satisfies, for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$,
( $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ ) there is $R_{m}>0$ such that

$$
I(u) \leq 0, \quad \forall u \in X^{m} \text { with }\|u\| \geq R_{m}
$$

( $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ ) there are $r_{m}>0$ and $a_{m}>0$ with $a_{m} \rightarrow \infty$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$
I(u) \geq a_{m}, \quad \forall u \in\left(X^{m-1}\right)^{\perp} \text { with }\|u\|=r_{m}
$$

$\left(\mathrm{I}_{3}\right) I$ is bounded from above on bounded sets of $X^{m}$.
Then I has a sequence $\left\{c_{k}\right\}$ of critical values with $c_{k} \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.
This proposition is a version of the symmetric Mountain Pass Theorem of Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz. The main difference between them is that in the former case $E_{1}$ is infinite-dimensional, while in the latter case $E_{1}$ is finite-dimensional (see [1] or [8, Theorem 9.12]). Such a result is also a special case of BartschWillem [2, Theorem 3.1], and so its proof is omitted.

Now we turn to another result which seems to us to be new even though its proof is simpler.

Proposition 2.2. Let $E$ be as above and let $I \in C^{1}(E, \mathbb{R})$ be even, satisfy $(\mathrm{PS})^{*}$ and $(\mathrm{PS})^{* *}$, and $I(0)=0$. Suppose, moreover, that I satisfies, for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$,
( $\mathrm{I}_{4}$ ) there are $r_{m}>0$ and $a_{m}>0$ such that

$$
a_{m} \leq I(u), \quad \forall u \in X^{m} \text { with }\|u\|=r_{m}
$$

$\left(\mathrm{I}_{5}\right)$ there is $b_{m}>0$ with $b_{m} \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$
I(u) \leq b_{m}, \quad \forall u \in\left(X^{m-1}\right)^{\perp}
$$

Then I has a sequence $\left\{c_{k}\right\}$ of critical values with $0<c_{k} \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.
Proof. Let $\Sigma$ denote the family of closed (in $E$ ) subsets of $E \backslash\{0\}$ symmetric with respect to the origin, and $\gamma: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup\{0, \infty\}$ be the genus map [8]. Set

$$
\Sigma_{n}^{m}=\left\{A \in \Sigma: A \subset X_{n} \text { and } \gamma(A) \geq n+m\right\}, \quad c_{n}^{m}=\sup _{A \in \Sigma_{n}^{m}} \inf _{u \in A} I(u) .
$$

Since for each $A \in \Sigma_{n}^{m}, A \subset X_{n}$ and $\gamma(A) \geq n+m$, it is known that $A \cap$ $\left(X^{m-1}\right)^{\perp} \neq \emptyset$. Thus by $\left(\mathrm{I}_{5}\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf _{u \in A} I(u) \leq \sup _{u \in\left(X^{m-1}\right)^{\perp}} I(u) \leq b_{m} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\gamma\left(\partial B_{r_{m}} \cap X_{n}^{m}\right)=n+m$ where $B_{r_{m}}=\left\{u \in E:\|u\| \leq r_{m}\right\}$ and $X_{n}^{m}=$ $X_{n} \cap X^{m}=\operatorname{span}\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}, w_{1}, \ldots, w_{m}\right\}$, one sees that $\partial B_{r_{m}} \cap X_{n}^{m} \in \Sigma_{n}^{m}$ and so by $\left(\mathrm{I}_{4}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf _{\partial B_{r_{m}} \cap X_{n}^{m}} I(u) \geq a_{m} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (2.1) and (2.2) shows

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{m} \leq c_{n}^{m} \leq b_{m} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $I$ satisfies (PS) ${ }^{* *}$, using the genus theory and a positive rather than a negative gradient flow (see [8, Appendix A, Remark A.17-(iii)]), a standard argument $[1,8]$ shows that $c_{n}^{m}$ is a critical value of $I_{n}$. By (2.3), noting that $a_{m}$ and $b_{m}$ are independent of $n$, we see that $c_{n}^{m} \rightarrow c^{m}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{m} \leq c^{m} \leq b_{m} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, taking into account that $I$ satisfies the (PS)* condition, we conclude that $c^{m}$ is a critical value of $I$, and so by $\left(\mathrm{I}_{5}\right)$ and (2.4), $0<c^{m} \leq b_{m} \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. The proof is complete.

## 3. Spaces associated with the Schrödinger operator

In this section we recall some embedding properties of the Hilbert space on which we will work. We refer to [6, Section 2] or [5].

Suppose $q$ satisfies (Q) and let $A$ denote the selfadjoint extension of $-\Delta+q(x)$ acting in $L^{2} \equiv L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, defined as a sum of quadratic forms. Let $|A|$ be the absolute value of $A,|A|^{1 / 2}$ the square root of $|A|,\{E(\nu):-\infty<\nu<\infty\}$ the resolution of $A$, and $U=I-E(0)-E(-0)$. Set $W=\mathcal{D}\left(|A|^{1 / 2}\right)$. Then $W$ is a Hilbert space equipped with the inner product

$$
\langle u, v\rangle_{0}=\left(|A|^{1 / 2} u,|A|^{1 / 2} v\right)_{L^{2}}+(u, v)_{L^{2}}
$$

and norm $\|u\|_{0}^{2}=\langle u, u\rangle_{0}$, where $(\cdot, \cdot)_{L^{2}}$ denotes the inner product of $L^{2}$. Clearly $W$ is continuously embedded in $W^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ (see [6]). Moreover, we have

Lemma 3.1. If $q$ satisfies ( Q ) then $W$ is compactly embedded in $L^{p}$ for $p \in[2, \bar{N})$ where $\bar{N}=\frac{2 N}{N-2}$ if $N \geq 3, \bar{N}=\infty$ if $N=2$, and $p \in[2, \infty]$ if $N=1$.

Proof. See [6, Lemma 2.1].
Lemma 3.2. If $q$ satisfies $\left(\mathrm{Q}_{\alpha}\right)$ then $W$ is compactly embedded in $L^{p}$ for all $1 \leq p \in\left(\frac{2 N}{2-\alpha+N}, \bar{N}\right)$.

Proof. See [6, Lemma 2.2]. We only mention that $\left(\mathrm{Q}_{\alpha}\right)$ implies (Q), and since $\alpha<2$, one has $\frac{2 N}{2-\alpha+N}<2$, and if further $\alpha<2-N$ then $\frac{2 N}{2-\alpha+N}<1$.

Now by Lemma 3.1, $A$ has a compact resolution, and so $\sigma(A)$, the spectrum of $A$, consists of eigenvalues (counted with multiplicities)

$$
\lambda_{1} \leq \lambda_{2} \leq \ldots \leq \lambda_{n} \leq \ldots \rightarrow \infty
$$

with a corresponding system of eigenfunctions $\left\{h_{n}\right\}, A h_{n}=\lambda_{n} h_{n}$, which forms an orthogonal basis in $L^{2}$. Let $n^{-}$(resp. $n^{0}$ ) denote the number of negative (resp. null) eigenvalues, and $\bar{n}=n^{-}+n^{0}$. Set
$W^{-}=\operatorname{span}\left\{h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n^{-}}\right\}, \quad W^{0}=\operatorname{span}\left\{h_{n^{-}+1}, \ldots, h_{\bar{n}}\right\}, \quad W^{+}=\left(W^{-} \oplus W^{0}\right)^{\perp}$. Then $W=W^{-} \oplus W^{0} \oplus W^{+}$is a natural orthogonal decomposition. Based on this decomposition we introduce the following inner product in $W$ :

$$
\langle u, v\rangle_{1}=\left(|A|^{1 / 2} u,|A|^{1 / 2} v\right)_{L^{2}}+\left(u^{0}, v^{0}\right)_{L^{2}}
$$

and norm $\|u\|_{1}=\langle u, u\rangle_{1}^{1 / 2}$ for all $u=u^{-}+u^{0}+u^{+}$and $v=v^{-}+v^{0}+v^{+} \in W=$ $W^{-} \oplus W^{0} \oplus W^{+}$. It is easy to see that $\|\cdot\|_{0}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{1}$ are equivalent norms on $W$. We note that $W^{-}, W^{0}$ and $W^{+}$are orthogonal to each other with respect to both $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{1}$ and $(\cdot, \cdot)_{L^{2}}$.

Let

$$
a(u, v)=\left(|A|^{1 / 2} U u,|A|^{1 / 2} v\right)_{L^{2}}
$$

be the quadratic form associated with $A$. Then for $u \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ and $v \in W$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(u, v)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}(\nabla u \nabla v+q(x) u v) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so by continuity, (3.1) holds for all $u, v \in W$. Clearly, $W^{-}, W^{0}$ and $W^{+}$are orthogonal to each other with respect to $a(\cdot, \cdot)$, and moreover

$$
\begin{align*}
& a(u, v)=\left\langle\left(p^{+}-p^{-}\right) u, v\right\rangle_{1}  \tag{3.2}\\
& a(u, u)=\left\|u^{+}\right\|_{1}^{2}-\left\|u^{-}\right\|_{1}^{2} \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $p^{ \pm}: W \rightarrow W^{ \pm}$are the orthogonal projectors.
Now we turn to the product space $E=W \times W$ with the inner product $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ defined by

$$
\langle(u, v),(\varphi, \psi)\rangle=\langle u, \varphi\rangle_{1}+\langle v, \psi\rangle_{1}
$$

and norm $\|(u, v)\|^{2}=\|u\|_{1}^{2}+\|v\|_{1}^{2}$. Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
E^{0} & =W^{0} \times W^{0} \\
E^{-} & =\left\{\left(u^{-}+u^{+}, u^{-}-u^{+}\right): u^{-}+u^{+} \in W^{-} \oplus W^{+}\right\} \\
E^{+} & =\left\{\left(u^{-}+u^{+},-u^{-}+u^{+}\right): u^{-}+u^{+} \in W^{-} \oplus W^{+}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $E=E^{-} \oplus E^{0} \oplus E^{+}$is an orthogonal decomposition of $E$. For any $z=$ $(u, v) \in E$ we have the unique representation $z=z^{-}+z^{0}+z^{+}$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
z^{-} & =\frac{1}{2}\left(u^{-}+v^{-}+u^{+}-v^{-}, u^{-}+v^{-}-u^{+}+v^{+}\right) \in E^{-} \\
z^{0} & =\left(u^{0}, v^{0}\right) \in E^{0} \\
z^{+} & =\frac{1}{2}\left(u^{-}-v^{-}+u^{+}+v^{+},-u^{-}+v^{-}+u^{+}+v^{+}\right) \in E^{+} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider the quadratic form defined on $E$ by

$$
Q((u, v),(\varphi, \psi))=a(u, \psi)+a(v, \varphi)
$$

Then by (3.1),

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q((u, v),(\varphi, \psi))=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}[\nabla u \nabla \psi+q(x) u \psi+\nabla v \nabla \varphi+q(x) v \varphi] \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and by (3.2) and (3.3),

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(z) \equiv Q((u, v),(u, v))=\left\|z^{+}\right\|^{2}-\left\|z^{-}\right\|^{2} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $z=(u, v) \in E$.
Finally, in virtue of Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, we have
LEmMA 3.3. $E$ is compactly embedded in $\left(L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)\right)^{2}$ for all $p \in[2, \bar{N})$ if $q$ satisfies $(\mathrm{Q})$, and for all $1 \leq p \in\left(\frac{2 N}{2-\alpha+N}, \bar{N}\right)$ if $q$ satisfies $\left(\mathrm{Q}_{\alpha}\right)$.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section we prove Theorem 1.1. Let the assumptions of Theorem 1.1 be satisfied and let $E$ be the product space defined in the previous section. By $\left(\mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\bar{H}_{z}(x, z)\right| \leq C_{1}+C_{2}|z|^{\bar{\gamma}-1}, \quad \forall(x, z) ; \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

here (and in the sequel) $C_{i}$ (or $C$ ) stands for generic positive constants. This, together with $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$, shows that, for any $\varepsilon>0$, there is $C_{\varepsilon}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\bar{H}_{z}(x, z)\right| \leq \varepsilon|z|+C_{\varepsilon}|z|^{\bar{\gamma}-1}, \quad \forall(x, z), \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{H}(x, z) \leq C_{3}|z|^{2}+C_{4}|z|^{\bar{\gamma}}, \quad \forall(x, z) . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
J(z)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \bar{H}(x, z) d x, \quad \forall z \in E
$$

By (4.1)-(4.3) and Lemma 3.3, a standard argument shows that $J \in C^{1}(E, \mathbb{R})$ with

$$
J^{\prime}(z) y=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \bar{H}_{z}(x, z) y d x, \quad \forall z, y \in E
$$

where $J^{\prime} \equiv \nabla J$ represents the gradient of $J$, and $J^{\prime}$ is a compact operator (see [6]). Define

$$
I(z)=\frac{1}{2} Q(z)-J(z)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\left\|z^{+}\right\|^{2}-\left\|z^{-}\right\|^{2}\right)-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \bar{H}(x, z) d x
$$

for all $z=(u, v) \in E$. Then $I \in C^{1}(E, \mathbb{R})$ and for $z=(u, v)$ and $y=(\varphi, \psi) \in E$, by (3.4),

$$
\begin{aligned}
I^{\prime}(z) y= & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}(\nabla u \nabla \psi+q(x) u \psi+\nabla v \nabla \varphi+q(x) v \varphi) \\
& -\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\frac{\partial \bar{H}}{\partial u}(x, u, v) \varphi+\frac{\partial \bar{H}}{\partial v}(x, u, v) \psi\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, any critical point of $I$ corresponds to a $W^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}, \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ solution of (ES). We will use Proposition 2.1 to look for critical points of $I$.

Let $e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots$ be an orthonormal basis for $E^{+}$, and $g_{1}, g_{2}, \ldots$ be an orthonormal basis for $E^{-} \oplus E^{0}$. Set $E_{1}=E^{-} \oplus E^{0}, E_{2}=E^{+}, X_{n}=\operatorname{span}\left\{g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right\} \oplus$ $E^{+}, X^{m}=E^{-} \oplus E^{0} \oplus \operatorname{span}\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{m}\right\}$, and $I_{n}=\left.I\right|_{X_{n}}$.

Lemma 4.1. I satisfies (PS)* and (PS)**.
Proof. See [6, Lemma 3.2] where (PS)* was verified. However, the verification of (PS)** can be checked along the same lines and so it is omitted here.

Lemma 4.2. I satisfies $\left(\mathrm{I}_{1}\right)$.
Proof. By $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ one has

$$
\bar{H}(x, z) \geq \underline{b}|z|^{\mu}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \text { and }|z| \geq 1
$$

which, together with the fact that $|z|^{\mu} \leq|z|^{2}$ for $|z| \leq 1$, yields, for any $0<\varepsilon \leq \underline{b}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{H}(x, z) \geq \varepsilon\left(|z|^{\mu}-|z|^{2}\right), \quad \forall(x, z) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In virtue of Lemma 3.3, there is $d>0$ such that $\|z\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \leq d\|z\|^{2}$ for all $z \in E$. Taking $\varepsilon=\min \left\{\frac{1}{4 d}, \underline{b}\right\}$, we have by (4.4), for $z=z^{-}+z^{0}+z^{+} \in X^{m}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
I(z) & =\frac{1}{2}\left\|z^{+}\right\|^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left\|z^{-}\right\|^{2}-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \bar{H}(x, z) d x  \tag{4.5}\\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\|z^{+}\right\|^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left\|z^{-}\right\|^{2}+\varepsilon\|z\|_{L^{2}}^{2}-\varepsilon\|z\|_{L^{\mu}}^{\mu} \\
& \leq\left\|z^{+}\right\|^{2}-\frac{1}{4}\left\|z^{-}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{4}\left\|z^{0}\right\|^{2}-\varepsilon\|z\|_{L^{\mu}}^{\mu} .
\end{align*}
$$

Using $L^{2}$ orthogonality, the Hölder inequality $\left(1 / \mu+1 / \mu^{\prime}=1\right)$ and $\operatorname{dim}\left(E^{0} \oplus\right.$ $\left.\operatorname{span}\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{m}\right\}\right)<\infty$, we have
$\left\|z^{0}+z^{+}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}=\left(z^{0}+z^{+}, z\right)_{L^{2}} \leq\left\|z^{0}+z^{+}\right\|_{L^{\mu^{\prime}}}\|z\|_{L^{\mu}} \leq C(m)\left\|z^{0}+z^{+}\right\|_{L^{2}}\|z\|_{L^{\mu}}$,
and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
C^{\prime}(m)\left\|z^{0}+z^{+}\right\|^{\mu} \leq\|z\|_{L^{\mu}}^{\mu} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C^{\prime}(m)>0$ depends on $m$ but not on $z \in X^{m}$. (4.5) and (4.6) imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(z) \leq\left\|z^{0}+z^{+}\right\|^{2}-\frac{1}{4}\left\|z^{-}\right\|^{2}-\varepsilon C^{\prime}(m)\left\|z^{0}+z^{+}\right\|^{\mu} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $z \in X^{m}$. Since $\mu>2$, (4.7) implies that there is $R_{m}>0$ such that $I(z) \leq 0$ for all $z \in X^{m}$ with $\|z\| \geq R_{m}$, proving ( $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ ).

Lemma 4.3. I satisfies $\left(\mathrm{I}_{2}\right)$.
Proof. Set

$$
\eta_{m}=\sup _{z \in\left(X^{m}\right) \perp \backslash\{0\}}\|z\|_{L^{\bar{\gamma}}} /\|z\| .
$$

Clearly, $\eta_{m} \geq \eta_{m+1}>0$. Moreover, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{m} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } m \rightarrow \infty \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, if not, then $\eta_{m} \rightarrow \eta>0$. Consequently, there is a sequence $z_{m} \in\left(X^{m}\right)^{\perp}$ with $\left\|z_{m}\right\|=1$ and $\left\|z_{m}\right\|_{L^{\bar{\gamma}}} \geq \eta / 2$. Since $\left\langle z_{m}, e_{k}\right\rangle \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$ for each $k$, one sees $z_{m} \rightarrow 0$ weakly in $E$, and so by Lemma $3.3,\left\|z_{m}\right\|_{L^{\bar{\gamma}}} \rightarrow 0$, yielding a contradiction. Therefore (4.8) must be true.

By (4.2) with $\varepsilon=1 /(4 d)$ and $C=C_{\varepsilon}$, one has for $z \in\left(X^{m-1}\right)^{\perp}$,

$$
I(z)=\frac{1}{2}\|z\|^{2}-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \bar{H}(x, z) \geq \frac{1}{4}\|z\|^{2}-C\|z\|_{L^{\bar{\gamma}}}^{\bar{\gamma}} \geq \frac{1}{4}\|z\|^{2}-C \eta_{m-1}^{\bar{\gamma}}\|z\|^{\bar{\gamma}} .
$$

Consequently, taking $r_{m}=\left(2 \bar{\gamma} C \eta_{m-1}^{\bar{\gamma}}\right)^{-1 /(\bar{\gamma}-1)}$ and $a_{m}=\left(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{2 \bar{\gamma}}\right) r_{m}^{2}$, one obtains $I(z) \geq a_{m}$ for all $z \in\left(X^{m-1}\right)^{\perp}$ with $\|z\|=r_{m}$. Since $\bar{\gamma}>2$, (4.8) shows that $a_{m} \rightarrow \infty$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. ( $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ ) follows.

Lemma 4.4. I satisfies $\left(\mathrm{I}_{3}\right)$.
Proof. ( $\mathrm{I}_{3}$ ) follows directly from (4.7).
Now we give the following
Proof of Theorem 1.1. Clearly $I(0)=0$ and $I$ is even since $\bar{H}(x, z)$ is even in $z \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$. Lemmas 4.1-4.4 show that $I$ satisfies all the assumptions of Proposition 2.1. Hence $I$ has a positive critical value sequence $c_{k} \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Let $z_{k}=\left(u_{k}, v_{k}\right)$ be the critical point of $I$ such that $I\left(z_{k}\right)=c_{k}$. Then $\left(u_{k}, v_{k}\right)$ are entire solutions of (ES). The proof is complete.

## 5. Proof of Theorem 1.2

The proof of Theorem 1.2 will rely on an application of Proposition 2.2. Let the assumptions of Theorem 1.2 be satisfied. Below, all the symbols $E, E_{1}, E_{2}$, $X_{n}, X^{m}$ and so on still have the same meaning as in Section 4.

By $\left(\mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{H}_{7}\right)$ one sees that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\bar{H}(x, z) \begin{cases}\geq\left(\min _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N},|\xi|=1} \bar{H}(x, \xi)\right)|z|^{\beta} & \text { if }|z| \leq 1, \\
\leq\left(\max _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N},|\xi|=1} \bar{H}(x, \xi)\right)|z|^{\beta} & \text { if }|z| \geq 1,\end{cases} \\
\bar{H}(x, z) \leq a_{4}|z|^{1+\nu}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \text { and }|z| \leq 1 .
\end{gathered}
$$

These, jointly with $\left(\mathrm{H}_{6}\right)$, show that $1+\nu \leq \beta$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{3}|z|^{\beta} \leq \bar{H}(x, z) \leq a_{4}|z|^{\beta}, \quad \forall(x, z) . \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note also that by $\left(\mathrm{H}_{7}\right)$,

$$
1+\nu>\frac{2 N}{2-\alpha+N}
$$

and by $\left(\mathrm{H}_{7}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{H}_{8}\right)$,

$$
\left|\bar{H}_{z}(x, z)\right| \leq a_{5}\left(|z|^{\nu}+|z|\right), \quad \forall(x, z) .
$$

Consider again the functional $J$ defined on $E$ by

$$
J(z)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \bar{H}(x, z) d x
$$

The above argument, together with Lemma 3.3, shows that $J$ is well defined, $J \in C^{1}(E, \mathbb{R})$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
J^{\prime}(z) y=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \bar{H}_{z}(x, z) y d x, \quad \forall z, y \in E \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $J^{\prime}$ is compact (see [6]).

Now define the functional $I$ on $E$ by

$$
I(z)=J(z)-\frac{1}{2} Q(z)=J(z)-\frac{1}{2}\left\|z^{+}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|z^{-}\right\|^{2} .
$$

Then $I \in C^{1}(E, \mathbb{R})$, and by (3.4) and (5.2), critical points of $I$ give rise to solutions of $(\mathrm{ES})_{1}$. We will verify that $I$ satisfies the assumptions of Proposition 2.2.

Lemma 5.1. I satisfies (PS)* and (PS)**.
Proof. See [6, Section 4, Step 3].
Lemma 5.2. I satisfies $\left(\mathrm{I}_{4}\right)$.
Proof. For any $z \in X^{m}$, we have by (5.1),

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(z) \geq a_{3}\|z\|_{L^{\beta}}^{\beta}-\frac{1}{2}\left\|z^{+}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|z^{-}\right\|^{2} . \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\operatorname{dim}\left(E^{0} \oplus \operatorname{span}\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{m}\right\}\right)<\infty$, one has $\left(\beta^{\prime}=\beta /(\beta-1)>2\right)$

$$
\left\|z^{0}+z^{+}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}=\left(z^{0}+z^{+}, z\right)_{L^{2}} \leq\left\|z^{0}+z^{+}\right\|_{L^{\beta^{\prime}}}\|z\|_{L^{\beta}} \leq C(m)\left\|z^{0}+z^{+}\right\|_{L^{2}}\|z\|_{L^{\beta}}
$$

and so by Lemma 3.3,

$$
C^{\prime}(m)\left\|z^{0}+z^{+}\right\|^{\beta} \leq a_{3}\|z\|_{L^{\beta}}^{\beta},
$$

which, together with (5.3), yields

$$
I(z) \geq C^{\prime}(m)\left\|z^{0}+z^{+}\right\|^{\beta}-\frac{1}{2}\left\|z^{0}+z^{+}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|z^{-}\right\|^{2}
$$

for all $z=z^{-}+z^{0}+z^{+} \in X^{m}$, where $C^{\prime}(m)$ is a constant depending only on $m$. Therefore, since $\beta<2$, there are $r_{m}>0$ and $a_{m}>0$ such that $I(z) \geq a_{m}$ for all $z \in X^{m}$ with $\|z\|=r_{m}$, i.e., $I$ satisfies $\left(\mathrm{I}_{4}\right)$.

Lemma 5.3. I satisfies $\left(\mathrm{I}_{5}\right)$.
Proof. Let $z \in\left(X^{m-1}\right)^{\perp}$. By (5.1) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(z)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \bar{H}(x, z)-\frac{1}{2}\|z\|^{2} \leq a_{4}\|z\|_{L^{\beta}}^{\beta}-\frac{1}{2}\|z\|^{2} . \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\xi_{m}$ be defined by

$$
\xi_{m}=\sup _{z \in\left(X^{m}\right)^{\perp} \backslash\{0\}}\|z\|_{L^{\beta}} /\|z\| .
$$

Similarly to the proof of Lemma 4.3, one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\xi_{m} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } m \rightarrow \infty \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now by (5.4), for $z \in\left(X^{m-1}\right)^{\perp}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(z) \leq a_{4} \xi_{m-1}^{\beta}\|z\|^{\beta}-\frac{1}{2}\|z\|^{2} . \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
b_{m}=(1-\beta / 2) a_{4} \xi_{m-1}^{\beta}\left(a_{4} \beta \xi_{m-1}^{\beta}\right)^{\beta /(2-\beta)} .
$$

Then by (5.5) and since $\beta<2, b_{m} \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$, and by (5.6),

$$
I(z) \leq b_{m}, \quad \forall z \in\left(X^{m-1}\right)^{\perp}
$$

i.e., $I$ satisfies $\left(\mathrm{I}_{5}\right)$.

Now we turn to
Proof of Theorem 1.2. Clearly by $\left(\mathrm{H}_{5}\right), I(0)=0$, and since $\bar{H}(x, z)$ is even with respect to $z \in \mathbb{R}^{2}, I$ is even. Lemmas $5.1-5.3$ show that $I$ satisfies all the assumptions of Proposition 2.2. Therefore $I$ has a sequence of positive critical values, $\left\{c_{k}\right\}$, satisfying $c_{k} \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Let $z_{k}=\left(u_{k}, v_{k}\right)$ be the critical points of $I$ corresponding to $c_{k}$, i.e., $I^{\prime}\left(z_{k}\right)=0$ and $I\left(z_{k}\right)=c_{k}$. Then $\left(u_{k}, v_{k}\right)$ are entire solutions of (ES). The proof is thereby complete.
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