Topological Methods in Nonlinear Analysis Journal of the Juliusz Schauder Center Volume 16, 2000, 371–397

# THE TOPOLOGICAL FULL GROUP OF A CANTOR MINIMAL SYSTEM IS DENSE IN THE FULL GROUP

Sergey  $Bezuglyi^1$  — Jan Kwiatkowski<sup>2</sup>

ABSTRACT. To every homeomorphism T of a Cantor set X one can associate the full group [T] formed by all homeomorphisms  $\gamma$  such that  $\gamma(x) = T^{n(x)}(x), x \in X$ . The topological full group [[T]] consists of all homeomorphisms whose associated orbit cocycle n(x) is continuous. The uniform and weak topologies,  $\tau_u$  and  $\tau_w$ , as well as their intersection  $\tau_{uw}$ are studied on Homeo(X). It is proved that [[T]] is dense in [T] with respect to  $\tau_u$ . A Cantor minimal system (X,T) is called saturated if any two clopen sets of "the same measure" are  $\left[\left[T\right]\right]$  -equivalent. We describe the class of saturated Cantor minimal systems. In particular, (X,T) is saturated if and only if the closure of [[T]] in  $\tau_{uw}$  is [T] and if and only if every infinitesimal function is a T-coboundary. These results are based on a description of homeomorphisms from [[T]] related to a given sequence of Kakutani-Rokhlin partitions. It is shown that the offered method works for some symbolic Cantor minimal systems. The tool of Kakutani-Rokhlin partitions is used to characterize [[T]]-equivalent clopen sets and the subgroup  $[[T]]_x \subset [[T]]$  formed by homeomorphisms preserving the forward orbit of x.

#### 1. Introduction and preliminaries

**1.1. Introduction.** A Cantor minimal (C. m.) system (X, T) consists of a Cantor set X and a minimal homeomorphism T of X. Recently such systems have been studied mainly from the point of view of orbit equivalence theory

Key words and phrases. Cantor set, minimal homeomorphism, full group.

O2000Juliusz Schauder Center for Nonlinear Studies

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 37B05, 37A20.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Supported in part by INTAS-97 1843.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Supported by KBN Grant No. 2 P03A 002 14.

<sup>371</sup> 

(see, e.g. [1], [3], [5], [8]). It turns out that they can be classified up to orbit equivalence in terms of dimension groups and  $C^*$ -algebras. A similar problem had been earlier solved for measurable dynamical systems. In this connection, it seems natural to introduce and study some well known concepts of measurable dynamics in the context of Cantor minimal systems. T. Giordano, I. Putnam, and C. Skau showed in [2] that the notions of the full group [T] and topological full group [[T]] play the very important role as they do in measurable dynamics. In particular, they proved that two minimal homeomorphisms of Cantor sets are orbit equivalent if and only if their full groups are isomorphic.

In this paper, we are mainly interested in the following problems: (1) find a description and structure of a homeomorphism from [[T]]; (2) define a natural topology on Homeo(X) and investigate the topological properties of [[T]] and [T].

It is known that every C. m. system can be represented as a Bratteli–Vershik system [5], i.e. (in other terms) as a nested sequence of Kakutani–Rokhlin partitions. If  $\xi$  is a Kakutani–Rokhlin partition, then T generates two other partitions  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha'$  defined on the finite set of  $\xi$ -towers. We associate to  $\xi$  a finite set  $\Gamma$ of homeomorphisms from the topological full group preserving, in some sense,  $\alpha$ and  $\alpha'$ . For this, we determine by  $\xi$  a finite family of partitions  $\mathcal{E}$  (actually, they define some homeomorphisms from [[T]] such that  $\xi \succ \mathcal{E}$  and every  $\mathcal{E}$  satisfies the so called level condition (see Definition 2.1). The problem is whether every homeomorphism from [T] eventually gets into some  $\Gamma$  when we take a refining sequence of Kakutani-Rokhlin partitions. We prove such a statement for a wide class of sequences of Kakutani-Rokhlin partitions (Theorem 2.2). In fact, every C. m. system (X,T) is topologically conjugate to a system that satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2.2. To see this, it suffices to take a Bratteli–Vershik minimal system  $(Z, \phi)$  conjugated to (X, T) [1]. The proposed method allows us to find the structure of homeomorphisms from  $\Gamma$  in simple terms related to a Kakutani–Rokhlin partition.

In the next section, we describe natural sequences of Kakutani–Rokhlin partitions satisfying the conditions of Theorem 2.2 for odometers, Toeplitz–Morse, Chacon, and Grillenberger flows. For these C. m. systems, the found description looks very simple. In particular, the homeomorphisms from the topological full group of an odometer are mainly defined by finite permutations. It was also proved in [1] that the orbit equivalence class of a Cantor strictly ergodic dynamical system (X, T) is completely determined by  $\Lambda(X, T)$ , the set of values of a unique *T*-invariant measure on clopen subsets. We find this set for all examples mentioned above.

The topological full group [[T]] contains a very interesting subgroup  $[[T]]_x$  that is formed by homeomorphism preserving the forward orbit of x. It was proved that  $[[T]]_x$  does not depend on x and two such subgroups of different

C. m. systems are isomorphic if and only if the two systems are strongly orbit equivalent [2]. As a consequence of presented results, we prove that  $[[T]]_x$  can be obtained as an increasing sequence of finite groups found by a sequence of Kakutani–Rokhlin partitions.

Because the topological full group is a countable subgroup in the full group, it would be interesting to find out whether homeomorphisms from [T] can be approximated (in some sense) by elements from [[T]]. To do this, we introduce the uniform topology,  $\tau_u$ , on Homeo(X). This topology has the well known analog in measurable dynamics: the (uniform) distance between two automorphisms is the measure of the set where they are different. If one considers a C. m. system (X,T), then the full group [T] is closed but not complete with respect to  $\tau_u$  in contrast to the weak topology  $\tau_w$  studied in [2], [3]. The topology  $\tau_w$  is well known as the topology of uniform convergence. We use the term "weak" for that because we are motivated by the analogy with measurable dynamics. On the other hand, we have already used the word "uniform" in the definition of  $\tau_u$ . One of the main results of Section 4 is Theorem 4.5: the full group of a Cantor minimal system is the closure of the topological full group in the uniform topology. To prove this result, we again use the description of homeomorphisms from [[T]] found in Section 2. If we consider the topology  $\tau_{uw}$  on Homeo(X) which is the intersection of  $\tau_u$  and  $\tau_w$ , then [T] becomes complete and closed in  $\tau_{uw}$ . But, in general, the density property of [[T]] in [T] can be lost. Nevertheless there exists a class of C. m. systems, called saturated ones, such that this property holds. A C. m. system is called saturated if for any two clopen subsets A and Bwith  $\mu(A) = \mu(B)$  for every T-invariant measure  $\mu$  there is  $\gamma$  in [[T]] such that  $\gamma(A) = B$ . We prove that (X,T) is saturated if and only if  $\overline{[[T]]}^{'uw} = [T]$  and if and only if every infinitesimal function is a T-coboundary. We also show that this class contains odometers and does not contain the Chacon flow.

**1.2. Kakutani–Rokhlin partitions.** The notion of a Kakutani–Rokhlin (K-R) partition is one of the most useful in the study of C. m. systems. Here we recall the corresponding definitions and facts.

Let (X,T) be a C. m. system. A Kakutani–Rokhlin partition is a partition  $\mathcal{P}$  of X into clopen sets of the form

$$\mathcal{P} = \{ T^k(Z_j) \mid j \in I, \ 0 \le k \le h(j) - 1 \}$$

where I is a finite set,  $|I| < \infty$ . In other words, X is partitioned into |I| disjoint clopen T-towers. The clopen set  $Z_j$  is the base and h(j) is the height of the j-th tower.  $B(\mathcal{P}) = \bigcup_j Z_j$  is called the base of  $\mathcal{P}$ . If a subset of X is a union of some atoms of  $\mathcal{P}$ , then it is called a  $\mathcal{P}$ -set.

In the sequel, we will use the following property of K-R partitions:  $T^{h(j)}(Z_j) \subset \bigcup_{i \in J} Z_i, j \in I$ , i.e. the top of every tower is mapped by T into the base of the K-R partition.

A natural method to construct a K-R partition is as follows. Let Z be a clopen subset of X; consider  $Z_n = \{x \in Z \mid T^n(x) \in Z, T^i x \notin Z, i = 0, \ldots, n-1\}, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . In fact, there is a finite number of non-empty  $Z_n$ 's only. It is easily seen (because T is minimal) that T-orbits of such  $Z_n$ 's form a K-R partition of X [9].

We will consider the sequences  $\mathcal{P}_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , of K-R partitions satisfying the following conditions:

- (i)  $\mathcal{P}_{n+1}$  refines  $\mathcal{P}_n, \mathcal{P}_{n+1} \succ \mathcal{P}_n$  and  $B(\mathcal{P}_{n+1}) \subset B(\mathcal{P}_n), n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,
- (ii)  $(\mathcal{P})_n$  spans the clopen topology on X.

If  $(\mathcal{P}_n)$  satisfies additionally the condition

(iii)  $\bigcap_n B(\mathcal{P}_n)$  consists of one point,

then it is called a *nested* sequence. It follows from [9] that to any C. m. system one can associate a nested sequence of K-R partitions. Based on this fact, one can find the very useful Bratteli–Vershik realization of a C. m. system [5].

Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a K-R partition with towers  $\mathcal{P}(i) = (D_{0,i}, \ldots, D_{h(i)-1,i}), i = 1, \ldots, k$ , where  $D_{j+1,i} = T(D_{j,i}), j = 0, \ldots, h(i) - 2$ . Define two partitions  $\alpha = \alpha(\mathcal{P})$  and  $\alpha' = \alpha'(\mathcal{P})$  of  $\{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$  as follows. Say that J is an atom of  $\alpha$  if there exists a subset J' of  $\{1, \ldots, k\}$  such that

(1.1) 
$$T\left(\bigcup_{i\in J} D_{h(i)-1,i}\right) = \bigcup_{i'\in J'} D_{0,i'}$$

and for every non-empty proper subset  $J_0$  of J the T-image of  $\bigcup_{i \in J_0} D_{h(i)-1,i}$  is not a  $\mathcal{P}$ -set. It follows from (1.1) that J' is uniquely defined by J and T; J' will be denoted by T(J). It is easily seen that all such subsets J' form a partition  $\alpha'$  of  $\{1, \ldots, k\}$ . The map  $J \to T(J)$  establishes a 1-1 correspondence between atoms of  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha'$ . As a particular case, it may be that  $\alpha$  (and therefore  $\alpha'$ ) is the trivial partition.

To clarify this definition, let us introduce a matrix M = (m(i, i') : i, i' = 1, ..., k) with

$$m(i,i') = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } T(D_{h(i)-1,i}) \cap D_{0,i'} \neq \emptyset, \\ 0 & \text{if } T(D_{h(i)-1,i}) \cap D_{0,i'} = \emptyset. \end{cases}$$

Take some  $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$  and find  $J_1$  such that  $m(i, j_1) = 1$ ,  $j_1 \in J_1$ . Then find  $I_1 = \{i_1 \mid m(i_1, j_1) = 1$  for some  $j_1 \in J_1\}$ . Knowing  $I_1$ , we define  $J_2$ such that  $m(i_1, j_2) = 1$ ,  $j_2 \in J_2$  where  $i_1 \in I_1$ . Next, define  $I_2$  using  $J_2$ . We get two increasing finite sequences  $(I_p)$  and  $(J_p)$  that are stabilized in a finite number of steps. The last members of these sequences are the atoms J and J' = T(J) of  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha'$  respectively. Similarly, one can define a matrix  $M = \{m(J, J') \mid J \in \alpha, J' \in \alpha'\}$  by setting m(J, J') = 1 if and only if there exist  $i \in J$  and  $i' \in J'$  such that m(i, i') = 1 or, equivalently, if and only if T(J) = J'. Otherwise, m(J, J') = 0.

The 1-1 correspondence  $T: J \to J'$  between  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha'$  allows us to introduce cycles on  $\{1, \ldots, k\}$ . Denote by J(i) and J'(i) the atoms of  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha'$  containing i. We say that  $l = (i_1, \ldots, i_s)$  is a cycle on  $\{1, \ldots, k\}$  if  $i_1 \in J'(i_1), i_2 \in J'(i_2) =$  $T(J(i_1)), \ldots, i_s \in J'(i_s) = T(J(i_{s-1}))$ , and  $T(J(i_s)) = J'(i_1)$ .

### 2. Structure of homeomorphisms from the topological full group

**2.1. Compatible partitions and level condition.** Let (X, T) be a C. m. system. We recall that, by definition, a homeomorphism  $\gamma$  belongs to the *full group* [T] if  $\gamma(x) = T^{n_{\gamma}(x)}(x), x \in X$ . The function  $n_{\gamma} : X \to \mathbb{Z}$  is called the orbit cocycle. The *topological full group* [[T]] is the subgroup of all homeomorphisms  $\gamma \in [T]$  whose associated orbit cocycle  $n_{\gamma}$  is continuous.

To every  $\gamma \in [[T]]$ , one can associate a clopen finite partition  $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}(\gamma)$  of X such that  $\mathcal{E} = \{E_l \mid l \in K\}, |K| < \infty, K \subset \mathbb{Z}$ , where  $E_l = \{x \in X \mid \gamma(x) = T^l(x)\}$ . Evidently, the sets  $T^l(E_l), l \in K$ , also form a clopen partition of X. Denote it by  $\mathcal{E}(K)$ . It is well known (see, e.g. [2]) that if  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathcal{E}(K)$  are given clopen finite partitions of X, then they define the homeomorphism  $\gamma = \gamma(\mathcal{E}, K) \in [[T]]$  as follows:

(2.1) 
$$\gamma(x) = T^{l}(x), \quad x \in E_{l}, \ l \in K$$

We will use the notation  $(\mathcal{E}, K)$  for such a pair of partitions  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathcal{E}(K)$  and call it a *compatible pair*.

We will prove (Theorem 2.2) that under some natural assumptions about a sequence of K-R partitions one can describe all elements from the topological full group. In the next section, it will be shown that Theorem 2.2 can be used for the study of some symbolic minimal Cantor systems.

For a given C. m. system (X, T), consider a K-R partition  $\xi$  such that  $\xi$  is the union of  $k = k(\xi)$  disjoint *T*-towers  $\xi(i) = \{T^j(D_{0,i}) \mid 0 \le j \le h(i) - 1\}, i = 1, \ldots, k$ , where h(i) is the height of the *i*th tower. Let

$$h = \min\{h(i) \mid 1 \le i \le k\}$$

and suppose that  $i_{\min}$  is taken such that  $h = h(i_{\min})$ . Denote  $D_{j,i} = T^j(D_{0,i})$ . Let  $U = U(\xi)$  be the set of all pairs (j, i) where  $i = 1, \ldots, k, j = 0, \ldots, h(i) - 1$ ; then every atom of  $\xi$  is enumerated by a pair from U. Take a compatible pair of partitions  $(\mathcal{E}, K)$  (in other words, we take  $\gamma = \gamma(\mathcal{E}, K) \in [[T]]$ ). Assume that the K-R partition  $\xi$  refines both partitions  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathcal{E}(K)$ 

(2.2) 
$$\xi \succ \mathcal{E}, \quad \xi \succ \mathcal{E}(K) \text{ and } K \subset (-h, \dots, h).$$

This means that every  $E_l$  and  $T^l(E_l)$ ,  $l \in K$ , are  $\xi$ -sets, i.e. for every  $(j, i) \in U(\xi)$ there is  $l = l(j, i) \in K$  such that

(2.3) 
$$\gamma(D_{j,i}) = T^l(D_{j,i}).$$

To formulate another additional condition for  $(\mathcal{E}, K)$ , we divide  $U(\xi)$  into three disjoint subsets  $U_{\text{in}}, U_{\text{top}}$  and  $U_{\text{bot}}$  (this decomposition depends on  $(\mathcal{E}, K)$ ). We say that

- (A)  $(j,i) \in U_{\text{in}}$  if  $\gamma(D_{j,i}) \subset \xi(i)$ , i.e.  $0 \le l+j \le h(i)-1$ ,
- (B)  $(i, j) \in U_{\text{top}}$  if  $\gamma(D_{j,i})$  passes through the top of  $\xi(i)$ , i.e.  $l + j \ge h(i)$ ,
- (C)  $(j,i) \in U_{\text{bot}}$  if  $\gamma(D_{j,i})$  passes through the bottom of  $\xi(i)$ , i.e. l+j < 0,

(here l = l(j, i) is taken from (2.3)).

Let  $\alpha, \alpha'$  be the partitions defined by (X, T) and  $\xi$  as in Section 1.2. Take  $J \in \alpha$  and  $J' \in \alpha'$ . For  $r = 0, \ldots, h_J - 1$  where  $h_J = \min\{h(i) \mid i \in J\}$ , denote

$$V_1(r,J) = \{ (h(i) - h_J + r, i) \mid i \in J \}, \qquad V_2(r,J') = \{ (r,i) \mid i \in J' \},$$
  
$$F_1(r,J) = \bigcup_{(j,i) \in V_1(r,J)} D_{j,i}, \qquad F_2(r,J') = \bigcup_{(j,i) \in V_2(r,J')} D_{j,i}.$$

Assuming that (2.2) holds, we introduce

DEFINITION 2.1. We say that a pair  $(\mathcal{E}, K)$  (or the homeomorphism  $\gamma(\mathcal{E}, K)$ ) satisfies level condition (L) if either  $U = U_{\text{in}}$  or:

- $(L^+)$  whenever  $(j,i) \in U_{top}$  and  $D_{j,i} \subset E_l$ , then  $F_1(h_J h(i) + j, J) \subset E_l$ where J = J(i),
- $(L^{-})$  whenever  $(j, i) \in U_{\text{bot}}$  and  $D_{j,i} \subset E_l$ , then  $F_2(j, J') \subset E_l$  where J' = J'(i).

In other words, condition  $(L^+)$  says that whenever the set  $D_{j,i}$  goes through the top of the *i*th tower under the action of  $\gamma$ , then the entire level  $F_1(r, J(i))$ ,  $r = h_j - h(i) + j$  (containing  $D_{j,i}$ ) also goes through the top of  $\xi$ . Similarly, one can clarify condition  $(L^-)$  by taking the level  $F_2(j, J'(i))$  and  $D_{j,i}$  that goes through the bottom of  $\xi$ .

Define a subset  $\Gamma(\xi)$  in  $[[\Gamma]]$ : we say that a homeomorphism  $\gamma(\mathcal{E}, K)$  defined by (2.1) belongs to  $\Gamma(\xi)$  if the corresponding compatible pair  $(\mathcal{E}, K)$  satisfies (2.2) and condition (L). Clearly,  $\Gamma(\xi)$  is a finite set.

Let now  $(\xi_t), t \ge 0$ , be a sequence of K-R partitions (we will use the same notations as above with an additional index t). The next theorem gives the sufficient conditions under which for every  $\gamma \in [[T]]$  one can find some t such that  $\gamma \in \Gamma(\xi_t)$ , i.e.  $\gamma = \gamma(\mathcal{E}, K)$ . THEOREM 2.2. Let a sequence  $(\xi_t)$  of Kakutani–Rokhlin partitions be chosen such that

(1)  $h(t) = \min\{h(i,t) \mid 1 \le i \le k_t\} \to \infty \ (as \ t \to \infty),$ 

(2)  $(\xi_t)$  satisfies the properties (i) and (ii) from 1.2.

Then  $\bigcup_t \Gamma(\xi_t) = [[T]].$ 

PROOF. Let  $\gamma \in [[T]]$ , then  $\gamma(x) = T^{n_{\gamma}(x)}(x)$  where  $n_{\gamma} : X \to \mathbb{Z}$  is a continuous function. Denote by K the finite subset of  $\mathbb{Z}$  formed by the values of  $n_{\gamma}$ . Then  $E_l = \{x \in X \mid n_{\gamma}(x) = l\}, l \in K$ , is a non-empty clopen subset in X. According to the theorem assumption, one can find t so large that  $|n_{\gamma}(x)| < h(t)$  and moreover both  $E_l$  and  $\gamma(E_l) = T^l(E_l)$  are  $\xi_t$ -sets,  $l \in K$ . In such a way, we have defined a compatible pair  $(\mathcal{E}, K)$  where  $\mathcal{E}$  is the partition  $\{E_l \mid l \in K\}$ . We will show that this pair satisfies condition (L).

If  $U = U_{in}$ , then there is nothing to prove. Take  $l \in U_{top}$  and suppose that  $D_{j_0,i_0}^t$  is chosen as in (B), i.e.  $j_0 + l \ge h(i_0,t)$ . Let  $J = J(i_0)$  and let J' = T(J) be the element of  $\alpha'$  corresponding to J by (1.1). Denote by  $J_1$  the subset of J that consists of all i such that  $D_{j(i),i}^t \cap E_l = \emptyset$  where  $j(i) = j_0 + h(i,t) - h(i_0,t)$ . Set  $J_2 = J \setminus J_1$ ; then  $i_0 \in J_2$ . Assume that  $J_1 \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $J_2$  is a proper non-empty subset of J. By the definition of a K-R partition, we get

$$T^{l}\left(\bigcup_{i\in J_{2}} D^{t}_{j(i),i}\right) = \bigcup_{i\in J_{2}} T^{l-h(i,t)+1+j(i)}(D^{t}_{h(i,t)-1,i})$$
$$= T^{l-h(i_{0},t)+j_{0}}\left(\bigcup_{i\in J_{2}} T(D^{t}_{h(i,t)-1,i})\right)$$

Due to the choice of K, we have  $0 \leq l - (h(i_0, t) - j_0) < h(t)$ . It follows from the definition of the partition  $\alpha$  that  $Q = T^l(\bigcup_{i \in J_2} D^t_{j(i),i})$  is not a  $\xi_t$ -set.

On the other hand, we have that

$$Q \subset T^{l-h(i_0,t)+j_0} \bigg(\bigcup_{i \in J'} D_{0,i}^t\bigg)$$

At that time, Q is a subset of the  $\xi_t$ -set  $T^l(E_l)$  and moreover, in view of the evident equality

$$Q = T^{l}(E_{l}) \cap T^{l-h(i_{0},t)+j_{0}}\bigg(\bigcup_{i \in J'} D^{t}_{0,i}\bigg),$$

we get that Q is a  $\xi_t$ -set. This contradiction shows that  $J_1 = \emptyset$  and, therefore,  $(\mathcal{E}, K)$  satisfies condition  $(L^+)$ . The same method can be used to prove that  $(\mathcal{E}, K)$  also satisfies condition  $(L^-)$ . The proof is completed.

REMARK 2.3. (1) We observe that every C. m. system (X, T) is topologically conjugate to a system that satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2.2. For this, take

a Bratteli–Vershik model  $(\Omega, \varphi)$  for (X, T) [5]. (We use the notations from [5]). Note that it is sufficient to deal with an improper Bratteli-Vershik model. Here  $\Omega$  is the set of all infinite paths in a simple Bratteli diagram B = (V, E, >), and  $\varphi$  is the Vershik homeomorphism defined on  $\Omega$ . To determine the K-R partitions  $(\xi_t), t \ge 0$ , it suffices to define  $\xi_t$ -towers. Fix some  $i \in V_t$  and consider the set of all finite paths from the initial point  $V_0$  into i. In other words, every such path corresponds to an atom in  $\xi_t(i)$ -tower and, therefore  $\xi_t(i)$  is formed by the family of such atoms. It is easily checked that  $(\xi_t)$  satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2.2.

(2) Let  $\pi : (X,T) \to (Y,S)$  be a factor map of C. m. systems. If  $\gamma : y \mapsto S^{n(y)}(y) \in [S]$ , then  $P_{\pi}(\gamma) : x \mapsto T^{n(\pi(x))}(x)$  belongs to [T]. Thus,  $\pi$  generates a group monomorphism  $P_{\pi} : [S] \to [T]$  such that  $P_{\pi}([[S]]) \subset [[T]]$ .

(3) We note that Theorem 2.2 is true for the groupoid G[[T]] of partially defined homeomorphisms of X. We say that  $\gamma \in G[[T]]$  if  $\gamma$  is a homeomorphism from a clopen set A onto another clopen set B such that  $\gamma x = T^{n(x)}x$ ,  $x \in A$ , and n(x) is continuous (it is not required that  $\gamma$  is defined on all X).

**2.2.** Structure of homeomorphisms from [[T]]. We first analyze the proof of Theorem 2.2 to distinguish its most essential elements. We have proved that if a sequence  $(\xi_t)$  of K-R partitions (satisfying conditions of Theorem 2.2) is given, then to every homeomorphism  $\gamma \in [[T]]$  one can associate a finite clopen partition  $\mathcal{E}$  and a finite set  $K \subset \mathbb{Z}$  so that the pair  $(\mathcal{E}, K)$  satisfies (2.2) and condition (L). Moreover, there is a K-R partition  $\xi$  taken from the given sequence such that  $\xi \succ \mathcal{E}$  and  $\xi \succ \mathcal{E}(K)$ . (We use our notations from 2.1, and, for brevity, the index t is omitted when it does not lead to misunderstanding). Now we will show that if  $\xi$  and  $(\mathcal{E}, K)$  are given, then one can define another partition  $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}$  (also induced by  $\gamma$ ) such that  $\xi \succ \widehat{\mathcal{E}} \succ \mathcal{E}, \ \xi \succ \widehat{\mathcal{E}} \succ \mathcal{E}(K)$ .

Set for  $J \in \alpha$ ,  $J' \in \alpha'$ ,

(2.4a) 
$$L^+(J) = \{ 0 \le r \le h_J - 1 \mid F_1(r, J) \subset E_l \text{ and } l + r \ge h_J \},$$
$$L^-(J') = \{ 0 \le r \le h_{J'} - 1 \mid F_2(r, J') \subset E_l \text{ and } l + r < 0 \}.$$

The homeomorphism  $\gamma$  induces two one-to-one maps  $\rho^+(J)$  and  $\rho^-(J')$  determined on  $L^+(J)$  and  $L^-(J')$ , respectively:

(2.4b) 
$$\rho^+(J)(r) = l + r - h_J, \qquad \rho^-(J')(r) = r + l + h_{T^{-1}(J')}.$$

Let  $M^+(J) = \rho^+(J)(L^+(J))$  and  $M^-(J') = \rho^-(J')(L^-(J'))$ . It follows from the proof of Theorem 2.2 that the families of sets  $\mathcal{F}^+(J) = \{F_1(r,J) \mid r \in L^+(J)\}$   $(J \in \alpha), \ \mathcal{F}^-(J') = \{F_2(r,J') \mid r \in L^-(J')\}$   $(J' \in \alpha')$  and  $\mathcal{F} = \{D_{j,i} \mid (j,i) \notin V_1(r,J) \cup V_2(r,J'), J \in \alpha, J' \in \alpha'\}$  form a partition  $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}$  such that  $\xi \succ \widehat{\mathcal{E}} \succ \mathcal{E}$ . The proved theorem asserts that

$$\gamma(F_1(r,J)) = F_2(\rho^+(J)(r), T(J)), \quad r \in L^+(J),$$

(2.5) 
$$\gamma(F_2(r,J')) = F_1(\rho^-(J')(r), T^{-1}(J')), \quad r \in L^-(J')$$

Denote

$$V_1(J) = \bigcup_{r \in L^+(J)} V_1(r, J), \qquad V_2(J') = \bigcup_{r \in L^-(J')} V_1(r, J').$$

Take  $(j,i) \notin V_1(J) \cup V_2(J')$  where J = J(i), J' = J'(i). Then  $\gamma$  induces also the map  $\rho(i)(j) = l + j$  where l is determined in (2.3). If we use the notations  $L_i^+(J) = L^+(J) + h(i,t) - h_J$ ,  $L_i^-(J') = L^-(J')$  and  $M_i^+(J)(=M^+(J))$ ,  $M_i^-(J')$ for images of  $L_i^+(J)$  and  $L_i^-(J')$  with respect to the maps defined in (2.5), then we see that  $\gamma$  generates a one-to-one map

(2.4c) 
$$\rho(i): P(i) \to R(i), \quad i = 1, \dots, k$$

where

$$P(i) = \{0, 1, \dots, h(i, t) - 1\} - (L_i^+(J) \cup L_i^-(J')),$$
  

$$R(i) = \{0, 1, \dots, h(i, t) - 1\} - (M_i^+(T(J)) \cup M_i^-(T^{-1}(J'))),$$
  

$$J = J(i), \quad J' = J'(i).$$

Thus, we get that the image of  $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}$  under action of  $\gamma$  is the partition  $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}(K)$  defined by the families of sets  $\mathcal{G}^+(J) = \{F_2(r', T(J)) \mid r' \in M^+(J)\}, \ \mathcal{G}^-(J') = \{F_1(r, T^{-1}(J')) \mid r \in M^-(J')\}$  and  $\mathcal{G} = \{D_{\rho(i)(j),i}^t : j \in P(i), i = 1, \ldots, k\}.$ 

To summarize, we observe that every homeomorphism  $\gamma$  from [[T]] (or, otherwise, the pair  $(\mathcal{E}, K)$ ) defines the following objects (recall that  $\xi$  is fixed):

- (\*) the subsets  $L^+(J), L^-(J')$  and one-to-one maps  $\rho^+(J), \rho^-(J'), \rho(i)$ satisfying (2.4a)–(2.4c) where  $J \in \alpha, J' \in \alpha', i = 1, ..., k$ ,
- (\*\*) the families of disjoint sets  $\mathcal{F}^+(J)$ ,  $\mathcal{F}^-(J')$ ,  $J \in \alpha$ ,  $J' \in \alpha'$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  form a partition  $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}$  satisfying (2.5).

It is clear that the other objects introduced above are completely determined by those from (\*) and (\*\*).

It is easily seen that the described procedure is reversible. This means that if  $L^+(J)$ ,  $L^-(J')$ ,  $\rho^+(J)$ ,  $\rho^-(J)$ ,  $\rho(i)$  and  $\mathcal{F}^+(J)$ ,  $\mathcal{F}^-(J')$ ,  $\mathcal{F}$  are taken as in (\*) and (\*\*), then we can restore a homeomorphism  $\gamma = \gamma(\mathcal{E}, K) \in [[T]]$ . To do this, we determine the orbit cocycle  $n_{\gamma} : X \to \mathbb{Z}$  as follows:

(2.6) 
$$n_{\gamma}(x) = \begin{cases} h_J - r + \rho^+(J)(r) & \text{if } x \in F_1(r,J), \ r \in L^+(J), \\ -h_{T^{-1}(J')} - r + \rho^-(J') & \text{if } x \in F_2(r',J'), \ r' \in L^-(J'), \\ \rho(i)(j) - j & \text{if } x \in D_{j,i}, \ (j,i) \notin V_1(J) \cup V_2(J). \end{cases}$$

In fact, this function is piecewise constant,  $n_{\gamma}(x) = n_i(j)$ ,  $x \in D_{j,i}$ , with the same values along the sets  $F_1(r, J)$  and  $F_2(r', J')$ . We leave other details to the reader.

Now we consider a few particular cases of the described structure.

**2.2(a).** We first give a simple example confirming the existence of subsets  $L^+(J), L^-(J')$ , maps  $\rho^+(J), \rho^-(J'), \rho(i)$  and  $\mathcal{F}^+(J), \mathcal{F}^-(J'), \mathcal{F}$  satisfying (\*) and (\*\*). For simplicity, let us assume that  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha'$  are trivial partitions. Let h be the height of the lowest tower. Suppose that  $L^+, L^-, M^+, M^-$  are chosen in  $\{0, \ldots, h-1\}$  such that  $L^+$  and  $M^-$  are in  $([h/2]+1, \ldots, h-1)$ , and  $L^-$  and  $M^+$  are in  $(0, \ldots, [h/2])$ . Moreover,  $|L^+| = |M^+|, |L^-| = |M^-|$ . Take some one-to-one maps  $\rho^+ : L^+ \to M^+$  and  $\rho^- : L^- \to M^-$ . The "level sets"  $F_1(r), r \in L^+$  and  $F_2(r'), r' \in L^-$  are defined as above. Then all conditions in (\*) and (\*\*) are fulfilled and such a choice gives us a homeomorphism  $\gamma$  from [[T]].

**2.2(b).** Suppose now that for a sequence of K-R partition  $\xi_t$ , we take  $\gamma \in [[T]]$  such that the condition  $L^+(J) = L^-(J') = \emptyset$  is realized in our construction. This means that both  $U_{\text{top}}$  and  $U_{\text{bot}}$  are empty. Then it follows from (2.4c) that for every  $(j,i) \in U_t$  one has  $0 \leq j + n_i(j) \leq h(i,t) - 1$  and  $\rho(i)(j) = j + n_i(j)$  is a permutation of  $\{0,\ldots,h(i,t)-1\}$ . Therefore  $\gamma(x) = T^{\rho(i)(j)-j}(x), x \in D_{j,i}$ , and the set of all such  $\gamma$  generates a subset  $\Gamma_t^0 \subset \Gamma(\xi_t)$ . Moreover,  $\Gamma_t^0$  is a subgroup in [[T]] that is isomorphic to  $\bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq k_t} S_{h(i,t)}$  where  $S_n$  is the group of all permutations of n elements. Because  $\xi_{t+1}$  refines  $\xi_t$ , we get that  $\Gamma_t^0 \subset \Gamma_{t+1}^0$ . It is clear that  $\Gamma^0 = \bigcup_t \Gamma_t^0$  is a proper subgroup in [[T]].

**2.2(c).** The next interesting case we get if assume that all towers in  $\xi_t$  have the same height, h(i,t) = h(t),  $i = 1, \ldots, k_t$ . Then we obtain that  $L_i^+(J) = L^+(J)$ ,  $M_i^-(J') = M^-(J')$  where  $L^+(J)$ ,  $L^-(J')$ ,  $\rho^+(J)$ ,  $\rho^-(J')$  satisfy (\*). Note that in this case the condition (\*) implies (\*\*). The map  $\rho(i)$ ,  $1 \le i \le k_t$ , sends  $\{0, \ldots, h(t) - 1\} \setminus (L^+(J) \cup L^-(J'))$  onto  $\{0, \ldots, h(t) - 1\} \setminus (M^+(J) \cup M^-(J'))$ .

**2.2(d).** The simplest case in the described class of Cantor minimal systems we get when  $k_t = 1$ , i.e. (X, T) is conjugate to an odometer. Then each  $\gamma \in [[T]]$  defines a permutation  $\rho$  of  $\{0, \ldots, h(t)-1\}$  and a vector  $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_l \mid l = 0, \ldots, h(t)-1)$ ,  $\varepsilon_l \in (-1, 0, 1)$ , as follows:

$$\rho(l) = \begin{cases} \rho^+(l) & \text{for } l \in L^+, \\ \rho^-(l) & \text{for } l \in L^-, \\ \rho(1)(l) & \text{for } l \notin L^+ \cup L^-. \end{cases}$$

and

$$e_l = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } l \in L^+, \\ -1 & \text{for } l \in L^-, \\ 0 & \text{for } \rho(1)(l) = l, \\ \text{sign}(\rho(1)(l) - l)) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Here  $\rho^+$ ,  $\rho^-$  and  $\rho(1)$  are taken from the above construction. Conversely, consider a permutation,  $\rho$ , of  $\{0, \ldots, h(t) - 1\}$  and a vector  $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_0, \ldots, \varepsilon_{h(t)-1})$  such that  $\varepsilon_i$  takes values in (-1, 0, 1) and  $\varepsilon$  compatible with  $\rho$ . This means that  $\varepsilon_l = 0$  if and only if  $\rho(l) = l$ . Then the function

$$n(j) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \rho(j) = j, \\ \rho(j) - j & \text{if } (\rho(j) - j)\varepsilon_j > 0, \\ \rho(j) - j + \varepsilon_j h(t) & \text{if } (\rho(j) - j)\varepsilon_j < 0, \end{cases}$$

defines a homeomorphism  $\gamma = \gamma(\rho, \varepsilon) \in [[T]]$  as follows:  $\gamma(x) = T^{n(j)}, x \in D_j, j = 0, \ldots, h(t) - 1$  where  $D_j$ 's are atoms of the unique tower. Evidently this correspondence between homeomorphisms from [[T]] and the set of all compatible pairs  $(\rho, \varepsilon)$  is one-to-one.

**2.3. The subgroup**  $\Gamma^0$ . Let (X,T) be a C. m. system. For all  $x \in X$ , let  $O_T^+(x) = \{T^k(x) : k \ge 1\}$  denote the forward *T*-orbit of *x*, and let  $[[T]]_x$  denote the subgroup of those  $\gamma$  from [[T]] such that  $\gamma(O_T^+(x)) = O_T^+(x)$ . It is known that  $[[T]]_x$  is a countable, locally finite ample group with minimal action on *X* [2], [Kr]. It was also proved in [Kr] that all groups  $[[T]]_x$ ,  $x \in X$ , are isomorphic. It is important to mention the next result proved in [2]: two C. m. systems  $(X_1, T_1)$  and  $(X_2, T_2)$  are strong orbit equivalent if and only if  $[[T_1]]_{x_1}$  and  $[[T_2]]_{x_2}$  are isomorphic as abstract groups for any  $x_i \in X_i$ , i = 1, 2.

In 2.2(b), we introduced the subgroup  $\Gamma^0 \subset [[T]]$ . It turns out that this group coincides with  $[[T]]_x$  for some  $x \in X$ .

THEOREM 2.4. Let (X, T) be a C. m. system and let  $(\xi_t)$ ,  $t \ge 0$ , be a sequence of K-R partitions satisfying the conditions of Theorem 2.2. Then, there exists a point  $x \in X$  such that  $\Gamma^0 = [[T]]_x$ .

PROOF. We can assume without lost of generality that the partitions  $\alpha_t$ and  $\alpha'_t$  are trivial. Take  $\xi_t$ ,  $t \ge 0$ , as in the proof of Theorem 2.2 (we use the notations from 2.1 and 2.2). In view of property (i) from 1.2, there is a point  $x \in \bigcap_t T^{-1}(B(\xi_t))$ . Suppose now that  $\gamma \in [[T]]_x$ . Then, as it was shown in 2.2, we can find some  $\xi_t$  and then associate to  $\gamma$  the collection  $(L^+, L^-, \rho^+, \rho^-, \rho(i))$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, k_t$ , satisfying (\*) and (\*\*). For definiteness, assume that x belongs to the top of  $\xi_t(i(0))$ -tower,  $x \in D^t_{h(i(0),t)-1,i}$ ,  $1 \le i(0) \le k_t$ . Let i(n),  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , be the numbers of  $\xi_t$ -towers which the forward T-orbit of x intersects successively. Then,  $O^+_T(x)$  can be divided into subsets O(n),  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , where O(1) = $\{T(x), \ldots, T^{h(i(1),t)}(x)\}$ ,  $O(2) = \{T^{h(i(1),t)+1}(x), \ldots, T^{h(i(1),t)+h(i(2),t)}(x)\}$  and so on. Thus, O(n) is the part of  $O^+_T(x)$  that is in  $\xi(i(n))$ . The backward orbit is divided similarly into  $O(0), O(-1), \ldots$  where, for example, O(0) = $\{T^{-h(i(0),t)+1}(x), \ldots, T^{-1}(x), x\}$ .

If we assume that  $L^+ \neq \emptyset$ , then there is some  $T^k(x) \in F_1(l) \cap O(0), \ l \in L^+$ , such that  $\gamma(T^k(x)) \in O(1)$ . This fact contradicts to our assumption that  $\gamma \in [[T]]_x$ . Analogously, we will come to a contradiction assuming that  $L^- \neq \emptyset$ . Conversely, let  $\gamma \in \Gamma^0$ , i.e.  $L^+$  and  $L^-$  are empty for some  $\xi_t$ . It follows that  $\gamma(O(n)) = O(n), n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and therefore  $\gamma \in [[T]]_x$ .

REMARK 2.5. Let  $\mu$  be a *T*-invariant probability measure on *X*. In [2], the group homomorphism  $I_{\mu} : [[T]] \to \mathbb{R}$ ,  $I_{\mu}(\gamma) = \int_{X} m_{\gamma} d\mu$  was introduced and studied where  $m_{\gamma} = \sum_{l} l\chi_{E_{l}}$ . It was shown that, in fact,  $I_{\mu}$  takes values in  $\mathbb{Z}$ and  $[[\Gamma_{\mu}]] = [[T]]$  where  $\Gamma_{\mu}$  is the kernel of  $I_{\mu}$  [2, Proposition 5.8]. We note that this result follows also from the found description (\*) and (\*\*). The point is that every  $\gamma$  from [[T]] is defined by a finite set of permutations acting on the towers of  $\xi$ . Because each permutation is the product of some transpositions and every transposition corresponds to a homeomorphism from  $\Gamma_{\mu}$ , we get the above statement.

**2.4.** [[T]]-equivalent clopen sets. We will say that two clopen sets A and B are [[T]]-equivalent (resp. [T]-equivalent) if there exists  $\gamma \in [[T]]$  (resp.  $\gamma \in [T]$ ) such that  $\gamma(A) = B$ . We also call two clopen sets A and B partially [[T]]-equivalent if there exists  $\gamma \in G[[T]]$  such that  $\gamma(A) = B$  (see Remark 2.3). E. Glasner and B. Weiss proved that if  $\mu(A) = \mu(B)$  for every T-invariant probability measure  $\mu$ , then A and B are [T]-equivalent [3, Proposition 2.6]. It follows from [2, Lemma 3.3] that two clopen sets A and B are [[T]]-equivalent if and only if  $\chi_A(x) - \chi_B(x)$  is a coboundary. We consider another approach to this problem based on K-R partitions. If  $\xi$  is a K-R partition with towers  $\xi(i)$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, k$ , then for a clopen  $\xi$ -set A denote by  $N_i(A) = |\{0 \le j \le h(i) - 1 : D_{j,i} \subset A\}|$ .

THEOREM 2.6. Let (X,T) be a C. m. system and let  $(\xi_t)$  be a sequence of K-R partitions satisfying conditions of Theorem 2.2. Then two clopen sets A and B are partially [[T]]-equivalent if and only if there exists t such that for every cycle  $l = (i_1, \ldots, i_s)$  on  $\{1, \ldots, k_t\}$  one has

(2.7) 
$$\sum_{i \in l} N_i^t(A) = \sum_{i \in l} N_i^t(B)$$

(it is assumed that t is already chosen so large that A and B are  $\xi_t$ -sets).

PROOF. Suppose that for given clopen sets A and B there exists  $\gamma \in G[[T]]$ such that  $\gamma(A) = B$ . Find t such that A and B become  $\xi_t$ -sets and  $\gamma \in \Gamma(\xi_t)$ . Let us observe that if  $r \in L^+(J)$ ,  $J \in \alpha_t$ , then either  $F_1(r, J) \cap A = \emptyset$  or  $F_1(r, J) \subset A$ . The same statement holds for B. It follows from the fact that A, B are  $\xi_t$ -sets and from the equality  $\gamma(F_1(r, J) \cap A) = F_2(r', J') \cap B$  where  $J' = T(J), r' = \rho_J^+(r), r \in L^+(J)$ .

Now we define for  $J \in \alpha$ ,  $J' \in \alpha'$ 

$$L^{+}(J,A) = \{ r \in L^{+}(J) \mid F_{1}(r,J) \subset A \},\$$
  
$$L^{-}(J',A) = \{ r \in L^{-}(J') \mid F_{2}(r,J') \subset A \},\$$

and

$$L_A(i) = \{ 0 \le j \le h(i,t) \mid D_{j,i}^t \subset A \text{ and } \gamma(D_{j,i}^t) \subset \xi_t(i) \}.$$

The set  $L_B(i)$  is determined analogously.

If J = J(i), J' = J'(i), then the fact that  $\gamma(A) = B$  implies  $N_i(A) = |L_A(i)| + |L^+(J,A)| + |L^-(J',A)|$  and  $N_i(B) = |L_B(i)| + |L^+(T^{-1}(J'),A)| + |L^-(T(J),A)|$ . We note that  $|L_A(i)| = |L_B(i)|$ . Therefore

(2.8) 
$$N_i(A) - N_i(B) = |L^+(J, A)| + |L^-(J', A)| - |L^+(T^{-1}(J'), A)| - |L^-(T(J), A)|.$$

If  $l = (i_1, \ldots, i_s)$  is a cycle then  $i_1 \in J'(i_1), i_2 \in J'(i_2) = T(J(i_1)), \ldots, i_s \in J'(i_s) = T(J(i_{s-1}))$  and  $T(J(i_s)) = J'(i_1)$ . We get from (2.8)

$$\sum_{i \in l} (N_i(A) - N_i(B)) = \sum_{k=1}^s (N_{i_k}(A) - N_{i_k}(B))$$
  
= 
$$\sum_{k=1}^s (|L^+(J(i_k), A)| + |L^-(J'(i_k), A)|$$
  
- 
$$|L^+(T^{-1}(J'(i_k)), A)| - |L^-(T(J(i_k)), A)|) = 0.$$

Conversely, suppose that (2.7) holds for every cycle l. Let  $B(J') = \bigcup_{i \in J'} D_{0,i}^t$ . Construct a partition  $\xi'_t$  that refines  $\xi_t$ . For this, take  $x \in D_{0,i}^t$ ,  $i \in J' = J'(i)$  and consider  $\{x, T(x), \ldots, T^p(x)\}$  where  $T^p(x) \in B(J')$  and  $T^n(x) \notin B(J')$ , n < p. The *T*-orbit of  $x \in B(J')$  will go through the towers  $\xi_t(i_1), \ldots, \xi_t(i_s)$  where  $i_1 = i$  and  $l(x) = (i_1, \ldots, i_s)$  will form a cycle in  $\xi_t$ . Then define  $\xi'_t$  to be such a minimal partition that refines  $\xi_t$  and makes  $l = l(x) \xi'(t)$ -measurable. In such a way, every *l* determines a tower in  $\xi'_t$ . Then equality (2.7) implies existence of some  $\gamma \in \Gamma(\xi'_t)$  such that  $\gamma(A) = B$ .

COROLLARY 2.7. Every  $\gamma \in G[[T]]$  can be extended to a homeomorphism  $\gamma' \in [[T]]$ .

In fact, it follows from Theorem 2.6 that  $\sum_{i \in l} N_i^t(X - A) = \sum_{i \in l} N_i^t(X - B)$  if and only if (2.7) is valid for A and B.

EXAMPLE 2.8. Let (X,T) be a strictly ergodic C. m. system and let  $\mu$  be a unique *T*-invariant measure. Assume that we have a sequence  $(\xi_t)$  of K-R partitions such that each tower  $\xi_{t+1}(j)$  is a concatenation of exactly  $k_t$  parts of equal measures coming from each  $\xi_t(j)$ -tower,  $j = 1, \ldots, k_t$  (see Theorem 4.7 of [5] for details of the Bratteli–Vershik construction). Note that, in this case, the partitions  $\alpha_t$  and  $\alpha'_t$  are trivial. Then we state that for any two clopen subsets A and B of equal measure  $\mu$  there exists  $\gamma \in [[T]]$  such that  $\gamma(A) = B$ . In fact, the equality  $\mu(A) = \mu(B)$  implies that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k_t} N_i^t(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{k_t} N_i^t(B).$$

But we get from the construction that  $N_j^{t+1}(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{k_t} N_i^t(A), \ j = 1, \dots, k_{t+1}$ . Therefore the statement follows from Theorem 2.6.

## 3. Examples

**3.1. Odometer (adding machine).** Let  $\{\lambda_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of integers such that  $\lambda_t \geq 2$ . Denote by  $p_{-1} = 1$ ,  $p_t = \lambda_0 \dots \lambda_t$ ,  $t = 0, 1, \dots$  Let  $\Delta$  be the group of all  $p_t$ -adic numbers; then any element of  $\Delta$  can be represented as an infinite formal series:

$$\Delta = \left\{ x = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} x_i p_{i-1} \, \middle| \, x_i \in (0, \dots, \lambda_i - 1) \right\}.$$

It is well known that  $\Delta$  is a compact metric abelian group. An odometer,  $\sigma$ , is the transformation acting on  $\Delta$  as follows:  $\sigma x = x + 1$ ,  $x \in \Delta$ , where  $1 = 1p_{-1} + 0p_0 + 0p_1 + \ldots \in \Delta$ . From the topological point of view,  $(\Delta, \sigma)$  is a strictly ergodic Cantor system.

To an odometer  $(\Delta, \sigma)$  defined by a sequence  $\{\lambda_t\}_t$ , one can associate a so called "generalized number"  $\prod_t \lambda_t = r_1^{\alpha_1} r_2^{\alpha_2} \dots$  where  $r_i$  is a prime factor of some  $\lambda_t$  and  $\alpha_i$  takes values in  $1, \ldots, \infty$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Apart from, we can take  $r_1 < r_2 < \ldots$ . Let  $\{\lambda'_t\}, \lambda'_t \geq 2$ , be another sequence of integers and let  $(\Delta', \sigma')$  be the corresponding odometer. It is known that  $(\Delta, \sigma)$  and  $(\Delta', \sigma')$  are topologically conjugate if and only if  $\prod_t \lambda_t = \prod_t \lambda'_t$ , i.e. the collection  $\{(r_i, \alpha_i) \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a complete invariant of conjugacy. The latter means that these "generalized numbers" have the same prime factors with regard to their multiplicity.

Denoting

$$D_0^t = \left\{ x = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} x_i p_{i-1} \, \middle| \, x_0 = x_1 = \ldots = x_t = 0 \right\},\,$$

we see that the sets  $(D_0^t, \ldots, D_{p_t-1}^t)$ ,  $D_i^t = \sigma^i(D_0^t)$ , form a partition  $\xi_t$  of  $\Delta$  into clopen sets. Clearly,  $(\xi_t), t \ge 0$ , is a nested sequence of Kakutani–Rokhlin partitions. By definition,  $k_t(\xi_t) = 1$ . Therefore the topological full group of an odometer can be described as in 2.2(d).

The unique  $\sigma$ -invariant measure  $\mu_{\sigma}$  is completely defined by its values on  $\xi_t$ -atoms:  $\mu(D_i^t) = p_t^{-1}, i = 0, \ldots, p_t - 1$ . Therefore the set  $\Lambda(\Delta, \sigma)$  of values of  $\mu_{\sigma}$  on clopen subsets is  $\{i/p_t^{-1} \mid i = 0, \ldots, p_t - 1, t \ge 0\}$ . It follows from this remark and Theorem 2.2 of [1] that two odometers are orbit equivalent if and only if they are conjugated.

384

**3.2. Toeplitz–Morse flow.** We consider here so called Toeplitz–Morse sequences that are a special kind of Toeplitz sequences (see [6], [10]). Let G be a finite alphabet with at least two symbols. A finite sequence  $B = (B[0], \ldots, B[n-1]), B[i] \in G$  is called a block. The length n of B is denoted by |B|. Denote by  $\Omega$  the space of all bisequences over G with its natural compact metric topology, and let T be the left shift on  $\Omega$ . For  $\omega = \{\omega[n]\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \Omega$ , let  $O(\omega)$  denote the T-orbit of  $\omega$ .

Let  $\{a_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of blocks over  $G \cup \{-\}$  where "-" means a symbol (called a "hole"). Suppose that  $|a_t| = \lambda_t \ge 2$ ,  $a_t[i] \in G$ ,  $i = 0, \ldots, \lambda_t - 2$ , and  $a_t[\lambda_t - 1] = -$ . Denote by  $p_t = \lambda_0 \ldots \lambda_t$ . Without loss of generality, we assume that for every  $t \ge 0$  and any  $g \in G$  there exists  $0 \le i \le \lambda_t - 2$  such that  $a_t[i] = g$ . Define inductively a sequence of blocks  $(A_t)_{t\ge 0}$  as follows:

(3.1) 
$$A_0 = a_0, A_{t+1} = A_t a_{t+1}[0] A_t a_{t+1}[1] \dots a_{t+1}[\lambda_{t+1} - 2] A_t -, \quad t \ge 0$$

Thus,  $A_{t+1}$  is obtained as the concatenation of  $\lambda_{t+1}$  copies of  $A_t$  with holes filled by the successive elements of  $a_{t+1}$  except the latest hole. We will also write down equality (3.1) as  $A_{t+1} = A_t * a_{t+1}$ . Define a bisequence  $\omega$  over  $G \cup \{-\}$  as follows:

$$\omega[kp_t, (k+1)p_t - 1] = A_t, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z},$$

for every  $t \ge 0$ . It is evident that all coordinates  $\omega[i]$  are filled by elements of G whenever  $i \ne -1$ , and  $\omega[-1] = -$ . The sequence  $\omega$  can be written also in the form  $\omega = a_0 * a_1 * \ldots$  We will also use the sequences  $\omega_t = a_t * a_{t+1} * \ldots$ ,  $t = 0, 1, \ldots$  Consider the set

$$X_{\omega} = \{ x \in \Omega \mid x = \lim_{|i_t| \to \infty} T^{i_t}(\omega) \},\$$

i.e.  $X_{\omega}$  is the closure of *T*-orbit of  $\omega$  in  $\Omega$ . We get a topological flow  $(X_{\omega}, T)$  which is called a Toeplitz–Morse flow. It is well known that a Toeplitz–Morse flow is a strictly ergodic C. m. system [6], [10].

Define a sequence of Kakutani–Rokhlin partitions  $(\xi_t)$ ,  $t \ge 0$ , for a Toeplitz– Morse flow. Let  $A_tg$  be the block obtained from  $A_t$  by placing the symbol g on the last position in  $A_t$ – (instead of the "hole"). Let  $E_t$  be the set of all pairs ghfrom  $G \times G$  such that the block  $(A_tg)(A_th)$  appears in  $\omega$  infinitely many times. Then for  $i = 0, \ldots, p_t - 1$  and  $gh \in E_t$ , we set

(3.2) 
$$D_{i,gh}^t = \{x \in X \mid x[-p_t - i, p_t - i - 1] = (A_t g)(A_t h)\}, t \ge 0.$$

The sets  $D_{i,gh}^t$  are cylinder in  $X_{\omega}$  and they generate the clopen topology on  $X_{\omega}$ . Each pair  $gh \in E_t$  defines the *T*-tower  $\xi_{t,gh} = \{D_{i,gh}^t \mid i = 0, \dots, p_t - 1\}$ . For fixed  $t \ge 0$ , the towers  $\xi_{t,gh}$  form the K-R partition  $\xi_t$  of  $X_{\omega}$ . This sequence is not nested because condition (iii) from 1.2 is not satisfied. Namely,  $\bigcap_t B(\xi_t) = \{\omega_g \mid g \in G\}$  where  $\omega_g[-p_t, p_t - 2] = A_t g A_t, t \ge 0$ .

Now we apply the results of Section 2 to the Toeplitz-Morse flows. The sequence of K-R partitions  $(\xi_t)$  shows that this class of C. m. systems corresponds to the case 2.2(c). Therefore we have  $k_t = |E_t|$ ,  $h(i,t) = p_t$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, k_t$ . Find the matrix  $M_t = (m_t(gh, g'h') : gh, g'h' \in E_t)$  that was introduced in 1.2. Note that m(gh, g'h') = 1 if and only if h = g' and the block  $(A_tg)(A_th)(A_th')$  appears infinitely many times in  $\omega$  or, equivalently, the triple (ghh') appears infinitely many times in  $\omega$  or, equivalently, the triple (ghh') appears all pairs  $gh \in E_t$  and all triples (ghh') from  $\omega_{t+1}$  because one can take the block  $a_t * \ldots * a_{t+k}$  instead of  $a_t, t \geq 0$ . This means that  $M_t$  is completely defined by the block  $a_{t+1}$ . It is easily seen that the partitions  $\alpha_t$  and  $\alpha'_t$  related to  $(\xi_t)$  are formed by the atoms J(h) = Gh and J'(h) = hG,  $h \in G$  respectively. Now we see that Theorem 2.2 can be used, in this case, to describe the topological full group of  $(X_{\omega}, T)$ .

Let  $(\Delta, \sigma)$  be the odometer defined by the sequence  $(p_t)$ . There exists a factor map  $\pi : (X_{\omega}, T) \to (\Delta, \sigma)$  such that  $\pi(D_{i,gh}^t) = D_i^t$  for all  $i = 0, \ldots, p_t - 1, t \ge 0$ , and  $gh \in E_t$  [10]. We showed in 2.2(d) that every homeomorphism  $\gamma \in [[\sigma]]$  is completely defined by a pair  $(\rho, \varepsilon)$ . In Remark 2.3, we introduced the map  $P_{\pi}$ :  $[[\sigma]] \to [[T]]$ . In this case,  $P_{\pi}(\gamma) \in [[T]]$  is determined by  $(L^+, L^-, \rho^+, \rho^-, \rho(i))$ so that  $L^+ = \{0 \le j \le p_t - 1 \mid \rho(j) < j, \varepsilon_j = 1\}, L^- = \{0 \le j \le p_t - 1 :$  $\rho(j) > j, \varepsilon_j = -1\}, \rho^+ = \rho|_{L^+}, \rho^- = \rho|_{L^-}, \text{ and } \rho(i)(j) = \rho(j), j \notin L^+ \cup L^-,$  $i = 1, \ldots, k_t$ .

It is interesting to know the values of the unique *T*-invariant measure  $\mu$  on the cylinder sets  $D_{i,qh}^t$  defined in (3.2). Let us denote

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{fr}(g, a_t) \ = \frac{1}{\lambda_t} |\{ 0 \le i \le \lambda_t - 2 \mid a_t[i] = g \}|, \\ &\mathrm{fr}(gh, a_t) \ = \frac{1}{\lambda_t} |\{ 0 \le i \le \lambda_t - 3 \mid a_t[i] = g, \ a_t[i+1] = h \}|, \quad t \ge 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then for  $t \ge 0$  one can compute

$$fr(g,\omega_t) = \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} \frac{fr(g,a_s)}{p_t^{(s)}}, \quad p_t^{(s)} = \lambda_t \dots \lambda_s, \ s \ge t,$$
  
$$fr(gh,\omega_t) = fr(gh,a_t) + \frac{fr(h,\omega_{t+1})}{\lambda_t} (\chi_{a_{t+1}[\lambda_{t+1}-2]=g}) + \frac{fr(g,\omega_{t+1})}{\lambda_t} (\chi_{a_{t+1}[0]=h}),$$

where  $\chi$  is the indicator function. Finally,

(3.3) 
$$q_t(gh) = \mu(D_{i,gh}^t) = \frac{1}{p_t} \operatorname{fr}(gh, \omega_{t+1}), \quad gh \in E_t.$$

If we denote by  $\Lambda_{\omega} = \Lambda(X_{\omega}, T)$  the set of values of  $\mu$  on all clopen subsets in  $X_{\omega}$ , then we get that  $\Lambda_{\omega} = \bigcup_t \Lambda_{\omega}^t$  where  $\Lambda_{\omega}^t = \{\sum_{gh \in E_t} |I(gh)| q_t(gh) :$  I(gh) is any subset in  $(0, \ldots, p_t - 1)$ . It follows from (3.3) and [1, Theorem 2.2] that the set  $\{q_t(gh) \mid t \geq 0, gh \in E_t\}$  defines the class of C. m. systems orbit equivalent to  $(X_{\omega}, T)$ . If all  $q_t(gh)$  are in  $\mathbb{Q}$  then the Toeplitz-Morse flow is orbit equivalent to an odometer. Otherwise, if some  $q_t(gh)$  is irrational, then the Toeplitz-Morse flow is orbit equivalent to a Denjoy homeomorphism. On the other hand,  $(\Delta, \sigma)$  and  $(X_{\omega}, T)$  are not conjugate because  $(\Delta, \sigma)$  is the maximal equicontinuous factor of  $(X_{\omega}, T)$ .

**3.3. Chacon flow.** We remind briefly the definition of Chacon flow. For this, we start with the sequence  $\{B_t\}$  of blocks over two symbols (0, s):

 $B_0 = 0, \quad B_{t+1} = B_t B_t s B_t, \quad t > 0.$ 

Then  $|B_t| = (3^{t+1} - 1)/2 = r_t$ . Let  $\omega$  be a one-sided sequence defined by blocks  $B_t$  as follows:  $\omega[0, r_t - 1] = B_t, t \ge 0$ . As in the case of Toeplitz–Morse flows, we take the subset  $Y \subset \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$  which is the closure of *T*-orbit of  $\omega$  with respect to the left shift. The C. m. system (Y, T) is called the *Chacon flow*.

For t > 0, we denote

(3.4)

$$D_{00}^{t} = \{x \in Y \mid x[-r_t, 2r_t - 1] = B_t B_t B_t\},\$$

$$D_{s0}^{t} = \{x \in Y \mid x[-r_t - 1, 2r_t - 1] = B_t s B_t B_t\},\$$

$$D_{0s}^{t} = \{x \in Y \mid x[-r_t, 2r_t] = B_t B_t s B_t\},\$$

$$D_{ss}^{t} = \{x \in Y \mid x[-r_t - 1, 2r_t] = B_t s B_t s B_t\}.$$

Let  $D_t = \bigcup_{p,q=0,s} D_{pq}^t$ . Take the K-R partition  $\xi_t$  built by the base  $B_t$  and the return time function as in 1.2. Then  $\xi_t$  has four towers  $\xi_t(pq)$  corresponding the sets  $D_{pq}^t$  such that  $h(00,t) = h(s0,t) = r_t$  and  $h(0s,t) = h(ss,t) = r_t + 1$ . It follows from these definitions that the sequence  $(\xi_t), t \ge 0$ , satisfies all conditions of Theorem 2.2. One can see that  $(\xi_t)$  is not nested because  $\bigcap_t D_t = \{\omega_1, \omega_2\}$  where

$$\omega_1[-r_t, r_t - 1] = B_t B_t, \quad \omega_2[-r_t - 1, r_t - 1] = B_t s B_t, \quad t \ge 0.$$

It is known that (Y,T) is strictly ergodic and the values of the unique *T*-invariant measure  $\nu$  on the sets  $D_{ij}^t$ ,  $t \ge 0$  are the following:

$$\nu(D_{00}^t) = \nu(D_{ss}^t) = \frac{1}{3^{t+2}}, \qquad \nu(D_{0s}^t) = \nu(D_{s0}^t) = \frac{2}{3^{t+2}}$$

Therefore the set  $\Lambda(Y,T)$  (the set of values of  $\nu$  on clopen subsets) is a subset in  $\mathbb{Q}^+$  and completely determined by  $\{3^{-t-2} \mid t = 0, 1, ...\}$ . Then  $\Lambda(Y,T)$  defines the class of odometers which are orbit equivalent to the Chacon flow.

Taking  $(\xi_t)$  as above, one can easily point out the matrix  $M_t$  and partitions  $\alpha_t$ ,  $\alpha'_t$  introduced in 1.2 (in fact, they do not depend on t). We get  $J_1 = \{00, s0\}$ ,  $J_2 = \{0s, ss\}$  and  $J'_1 = \{00, 0s\}$ ,  $J'_2 = \{s0, ss\}$ . Then  $\alpha_t = (J_1, J_2)$ ,  $\alpha'_t = (J'_1, J'_2)$  and  $m(J_1, J'_1) = m(J_2, J'_2) = 1$ ,  $m(J_1, J'_2) = m(J_2, J'_1) = 0$ . Let us note that

there are three cycles for the Chacon flow:  $\{00\}$ ,  $\{ss\}$  of the length 1 and  $\{0s, s0\}$  of the length 2.

We are going to show that the Chacon flow (Y, T) does not satisfy the condition of Theorem 2.6. This means that there are two clopen subsets of the same measure which are not [[T]]-equivalent. Take  $(\xi_t)$  as above and denote the  $\xi_t$ -towers by  $\xi_t(00), \xi_t(s0), \xi_t(0s), \xi_t(ss)$  according to (3.4). If A is a clopen set, then A a  $\xi_t$ -set for some t. One can compute that for k = 1, 2, ...

$$\begin{split} N_{00}^{t+k}(A) &= \frac{1}{2} (3^{k-1} + 1) N_{00}^t(A) + 3^{k-1} (N_{s0}^t(A) + N_{0s}^t(A)) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} (3^{k-1} - 1) N_{ss}^t(A), \\ N_{0s}^{t+k}(A) &= \frac{1}{2} (3^{k-1} + 1) (N_{00}^t(A) + N_{ss}^t(A)) + (3^{k-1} - 1) N_{s0}^t(A) + 3^{k-1} N_{0s}^t(A)), \\ N_{s0}^{t+k}(A) &= 3^{k-1} (N_{00}^t(A) + N_{0s}^t(A)) + (3^{k-1} + 1) N_{s0}^t(A) + \frac{1}{2} (3^{k-1} - 1) N_{ss}^t(A)), \\ N_{ss}^{t+k}(A) &= \frac{1}{2} (3^{k-1} - 1) N_{00}^t(A) + 3^{k-1} (N_{s0}^t(A) + N_{0s}^t(A)) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} (3^{k-1} + 1) N_{ss}^t(A). \end{split}$$

Take  $A = D_{00}^t$ ,  $B = D_{ss}^t$ . Then we get that

$$\begin{split} N_{00}^{t+k}(A) &= \frac{1}{2}(3^{k-1}+1), \qquad N_{0s}^{t+k}(A) &= \frac{1}{2}(3^{k-1}+1), \\ N_{s0}^{t+k}(A) &= 3^{k-1}, \qquad \qquad N_{ss}^{t+k}(A) &= \frac{1}{2}(3^{k-1}-1), \end{split}$$

and

$$N_{00}^{t+k}(B) = N_{s0}^{t+k}(B) = \frac{1}{2}(3^{k-1} - 1),$$
  
$$N_{0s}^{t+k}(B) = N_{ss}^{t+k}(B) = \frac{1}{2}(3^{k-1} + 1).$$

Therefore we can see that (2.7) fails for the Chacon flow (Y, T).

**3.4. Grillenberger flow.** Let  $S = \{0, \ldots, s-1\}, s \geq 3$ . We define inductively a family  $\mathcal{A}_t$  of blocks over S. Every  $\mathcal{A}_t$  consists of  $m_t$  blocks  $B_0^t, \ldots, B_{m_t-1}^t$  of the same length  $l_t$ . For t = 0 we set  $\mathcal{A}_0 = \{0, \ldots, s-1\}$ , i.e.  $B_i^0 = i$ ,  $i = 0, \ldots, s-1$ , and  $m_0 = s$ ,  $l_0 = 1$ . Assume that  $\mathcal{A}_t$  is defined. Let  $\rho$  be a permutation of the set  $\{0, \ldots, m_t - 1\}$ . We set up

$$B_{\rho}^{t+1} = B_{\rho(0)}^t B_{\rho(1)}^t \dots B_{\rho(m_t-1)}^t$$

and then  $\mathcal{A}_{t+1}$  is formed by all such blocks  $B_{\rho}^{t+1}$ . We get  $m_{t+1} = m_t!$ ,  $l_{t+1} = l_t m_t$ . For every  $t \ge 0$ , take the blocks  $L_t, F_t \in \mathcal{A}_t$  such that

(3.4) 
$$L_{t+1} = L_t B_{\rho_1(1)}^t \dots B_{\rho_1(m_t-1)}^t, \quad F_{t+1} = B_{\rho_2(0)}^t \dots B_{\rho_2(m_t-2)}^t F_t.$$

Now we can define a two-sided sequence  $\omega$  over S as follows:

$$\omega[-l_t, l_t - 1] = F_t L_t, \quad t \ge 0.$$

It follows from (3.4) that  $\omega$  is well-defined. Therefore, one can take the closure Z of T-orbit of  $\omega$  where T is the left shift on the space of all two-sided sequences over S. Then, we get a strictly ergodic Cantor minimal system (Z, T) which is called a *Grillenberger flow* [4].

Define now a sequence  $\xi_t$  of K-R partitions for (Z,T). For fixed  $t \ge 0$ , denote  $\overline{j} = (j_1 j_2 j_3)$  where  $j_k \in \{0, \ldots, m_t - 1\}, k = 1, 2, 3$ , and at least two numbers from  $j_1, j_2, j_3$  are different. If  $E_t$  denotes the number of all such  $\overline{j}$ , then  $|E_t| = m_t^2(m_t - 1)$ . The sets

$$(3.5) \quad D_{i,\overline{j}}^t = \{ x \in Z \mid x[-l_t - i, 2l_t - i - 1] = B_{j_1}^t B_{j_2}^t B_{j_3}^t \}, \quad i = 0, \dots, l_t - 1.$$

are the atoms of  $\xi_t$ . The partitions  $\alpha_t$  and  $\alpha'_t$  are formed by atoms  $J = J(j_2, j_3) = \{\overline{j} = (j_1 j_2 j_3) : 1 \leq j_1 \leq m_t\}$  and  $J' = J'(j_1, j_2) = \{\overline{j} = (j_1 j_2 j_3) : 1 \leq j_3 \leq m_t\}$  respectively. The refining partitions  $\xi_t, t \geq 0$ , generate the clopen topology on Z. It is easy to verify that the conditions of Theorem 2.2 are satisfied in this case. The tower  $\xi_t(\overline{j})$  defined by (3.5) is enumerated by  $\overline{j}$ . Therefore all towers have the same height  $l_t$ . We conclude that the Grillenberger flow corresponds to the case **2.2(c)**. Remark that the sequence of K-R partitions  $(\xi_t)$  is far to be nested. It is easy to construct  $\omega$  in such a way that the set  $\bigcap_t B(\xi_t)$  would have uncountable many points.

### 4. Topologies on Homeo(X)

**4.1. Definition and properties of uniform topology.** Let  $\Omega$  be a compact metric space and denote by  $Bor(\Omega)$  the set (group) of all one-to-one Borel maps of  $\Omega$  onto itself. We will introduce here a new topology  $\tau_u$  on  $Bor(\Omega)$ . Note that our definition is inspired by the notion of uniform metric on the set of all nonsingular automorphisms of a measure space. It follows that the topology  $\tau_u$  generates the relative topology (denoted again by  $\tau_u$ ) on the set of all homeomorphisms Homeo( $\Omega$ )  $\subset Bor(\Omega)$ .

Let  $M_1(\Omega)$  be the set of all Borel probability measures on  $\Omega$ . It is known that  $M_1(\Omega)$  is a convex compact metric space.

DEFINITION 4.1. The uniform topology  $\tau_u$  on Bor $(\Omega)$  is defined by the family  $\mathcal{U} = \{U(T; \mu_1, \ldots, \mu_p; \varepsilon)\}$  of open neighbourhoods (the base of topology): given  $\varepsilon > 0, \mu_1, \ldots, \mu_n \in M_1(\Omega)$  and  $T \in Bor(\Omega)$ , set

 $U(T; \mu_1, \dots, \mu_n; \varepsilon) = \{ S \in Bor(\Omega) \mid \mu_i(E(S, T)) < \varepsilon, \ i = 1, \dots, n \}$ 

where  $E(S,T) = \{x \in \Omega : Sx \neq Tx\} \cup \{x \in \Omega : S^{-1}x \neq T^{-1}x\}.$ 

It follows immediately from this definition that a sequence of Borel maps  $(T_n)$  is  $\tau_u$ -converging to a Borel map S if and only if

(4.1) 
$$\mu(E(T_n, S)) \to 0, \quad n \to \infty,$$

for every  $\mu \in M_1(\Omega)$ .

The next statement characterizes converging sequences in the uniform topology.

PROPOSITION 4.2. A sequence  $(T_n) \tau_u$ -converges to  $S \in Bor(\Omega)$  if and only if for every  $x \in \Omega$  there exists  $n(x) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $T_n(x) = S(x)$  and  $T_n^{-1}(x) = S^{-1}(x)$  for all  $n \ge n(x)$ .

PROOF. Assume that  $T_n \xrightarrow{\tau_u} S$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Therefore, it follows from (4.1) that if we take  $\mu = \delta_x$ ,  $x \in \Omega$ , then  $\delta_x(E(T_n, S)) \to 0$ . This means that  $x \notin E(T_n, S)$  for all sufficiently large n.

Conversely, suppose that for given  $x \in \Omega$  there exists n(x) such that  $T_n(x) = S(x)$ ,  $T_n^{-1}(x) = S^{-1}(x)$  for all  $n \ge n(x)$ . Define

$$\Omega_n = \{ x \in \Omega \mid T_m(x) = S(x), \ T_m^{-1}(x) = S^{-1}(x), \ m = n, n+1, \dots \}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Clearly,  $\Omega_n \subset \Omega_{n+1}$  and  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \Omega_n = \Omega$ . For each  $\mu \in M_1(\Omega)$ , we have  $\mu(\Omega_n) \to 1$ as  $n \to \infty$ . Take a neighborhood  $U(S; \mu_1, \ldots, \mu_p; \varepsilon)$  and find  $n_0$  such that  $\mu_i(\Omega_n) > 1 - \varepsilon$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, p$ , when  $n \ge n_0$ . It is evident that  $E(T_n, S) \subset \Omega - \Omega_n$  for all n. Then we get  $\mu_i(E(T_n, S)) < \varepsilon$  for all  $n \ge n_0$ , i.e.  $T_n \in U(S; \mu_1, \ldots, \mu_p; \varepsilon)$ .

REMARK 4.3. (1) Show that  $(Bor(\Omega), \tau_u)$  is a complete nonseparable topological group. Let  $(T_n) \subset Bor(\Omega)$  be a sequence of Borel maps. It follows from the proof of Proposition 4.2 that the Cauchy condition for  $(T_n)$  is equivalent to

(F) 
$$\bigcup_{n} X_{n} = \Omega$$
 and  $\bigcup_{n} T_{n}(X_{n}) = \Omega$ 

where  $X_n = \{x \in \Omega \mid T_n(x) = T_{n+1}(x) = \dots\}, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Note that  $(X_n)$  and  $(T_n(X_n))$  are increasing sequences of Borel subsets. Define

(4.2) 
$$T(x) = T_n(x) \quad \text{if } x \in X_n, \ n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then  $T = \tau_u - \lim_n T_n$  and obviously T is a one-to-one Borel map. To see that  $Bor(\Omega)$  (and  $Homeo(\Omega)$ ) is nonseparable, it suffices to consider the set of irrational rotations of the circle. The fact that  $Bor(\Omega)$  is a topological group can be proved straightforward.

(2) Homeo( $\Omega$ ) is not closed in Bor( $\Omega$ ) with respect to  $\tau_u$ . To prove this statement we take a Cantor set X and let  $X = E_0 \cup F_0$  be partitioned into two clopen subsets. Suppose that  $E_0 = E'_1 \cup E_1 \cup E''_1$  and  $F_0 = F'_1 \cup F_1 \cup F''_1$  are also partitioned into clopen subsets and so on. We get two sequences  $(E_n)$  and  $(F_n)$ 

such that  $E_{n-1} = E'_n \cup E_n \cup E''_n$  and  $F_{n-1} = F'_n \cup F_n \cup F''_n$ . Suppose  $\bigcap_n E_n = \{x\}$  and  $\bigcap_n F_n = \{y\}$ . Take a homeomorphism  $\alpha_1$  such that  $\alpha_1(E'_1) = F'_1$  and  $\alpha_1^2 = \text{id.}$  Define

$$\gamma_1(x) = \begin{cases} \alpha_1(x) & \text{if } x \in E'_1 \cup F'_1, \\ x & \text{if } x \in E_1 \cup E''_1 \cup F_1 \cup F''_1. \end{cases}$$

Next, let a homeomorphism  $\alpha_2$  be taken such that  $\alpha_2(E'_2) = F'_2$  and  $\alpha_2^2 = \text{id.}$ Define

$$\gamma_2(x) = \begin{cases} \gamma_1(x) & \text{if } x \notin E_1 \cup F_1, \\ \alpha_2(x) & \text{if } x \in E_2' \cup F_2', \\ x & \text{if } x \in E_2 \cup E_2'' \cup F_2 \cup F_2'' \end{cases}$$

This procedure allows us to define a sequence of homeomorphisms  $(\gamma_n)$  on  $X \tau_u$ -converging to a Borel map  $\gamma$ . It follows from the construction that x and y are the points of discontinuity of  $\gamma$ .

Note that if T is a minimal homeomorphism of X, then one can slightly change the construction (more precisely, the choice of  $E'_n$  and  $F'_n$ ) in such a way that  $\alpha_n : E'_n \to F'_n$  (and therefore  $\gamma_n$ ) would be taken from [[T]],  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

(3) Let a sequence  $(T_n) \subset \text{Homeo}(\Omega)$  converges to T in the uniform topology  $\tau_u$  (i.e.  $(T_n)$  satisfies condition (F)). Then  $T \in \text{Homeo}(\Omega)$  if and only if the following condition is true:

(C) for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for all  $x, x' \in X_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , with

$$d(x, x') < \delta$$
 one has  $d(T_n(x), T_n(x')) < \varepsilon$ .

(4) Let (X,T) be a C. m. system and let  $\gamma_n \in [T]$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , be a sequence of homeomorphisms  $\tau_u$ -converging to  $\gamma \in \text{Homeo}(X)$ , then  $\gamma \in [T]$ , i.e. [T] is closed in  $(\text{Homeo}(X), \tau_u)$ . It follows immediately from (4.2).

EXAMPLE 4.4. Let  $(\Delta, \sigma)$  be an odometer such that  $\lambda_t > 2$  (see Section 3 for notations). We will construct a sequence  $\{S_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset [[\sigma]]$  that  $\tau_u$ -converges to a homeomorphism  $\gamma \in [\sigma]$ . For this, take a permutation  $\overline{\rho}_t$  of the set  $(0, \ldots, \lambda_t - 1)$ such that  $\overline{\rho}_t(\lambda_t - 1) = \lambda_t - 1$ , for all  $t \ge 0$ . Let us define inductively a sequence  $\{\rho_t\}_t$  of permutations of  $(0, \ldots, p_t - 1)$ . Set  $\rho_0 = \overline{\rho}_0$ , and suppose that  $\rho_i$  is determined for  $i = 1, \ldots, t$ . To define  $\rho_{t+1}$ , we note that every  $0 \le j \le p_{t+1} - 1$ can be uniquely written as  $j = qp_t + i$  where  $0 \le q \le \lambda_{t+1} - 1$  and  $0 \le i \le p_t - 1$ . Set

$$\rho_{t+1}(j) = \begin{cases} qp_t + \rho_t(i) & \text{if } i = 0, \dots, p_t - 2, \\ \overline{\rho}_{t+1}(q)p_t + p_t - 1 & \text{if } i = p_t - 1. \end{cases}$$

According to 2.2(d), a compatible pair  $(\rho_t, \varepsilon^t)$  defines a homeomorphism  $S_t$ from  $[[\sigma]]$ . Take a vector  $\varepsilon^{t+1} = (\varepsilon_0^{t+1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{p_{t+1}-1}^{t+1})$  with  $\varepsilon_i^{t+1} \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$ ,  $i = 0, \ldots, p_{t+1}-1$ , which is compatible with  $\rho_{t+1}$  and  $\varepsilon_j^{t+1} = \varepsilon_i^t$  where  $j = qp_t + i$ and  $0 \le i \le p_t - 2$ . Thus, we have constructed a homeomorphism  $S_{t+1}$ . We state that  $\{S_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$  satisfies (F) and (C) of Remark 4.3 and therefore  $\tau_u$ -converges to some  $\gamma \in [\sigma]$ . To check this fact, one can show that if  $X_n = \{x \in \Delta \mid S_n(x) = S_{n+i}(x), i = 1, 2, ...\}$ , then

$$X_n = \bigcup_{i=0}^{p_n - 2} (D_i^n \cup \{x_0\})$$

where  $x_0 = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} (\lambda_t - 1) p_{t-1}$ . In fact, it follows from the construction that  $S_n(x_0) = x_0$  for all n, and if  $x \in D_i^n$ ,  $i = 0, \ldots, p_n - 2$ , then  $S_{n+1}(x) = S_n(x)$ . The proof of property (C) is left to the reader.

**4.2.** [[T]] is  $\tau_u$ -dense in [T]. Let (X, T) be a Cantor minimal system. In Remark 4.3 we have shown that a sequence of homeomorphisms  $\gamma_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , is  $\tau_u$ -converging to a homeomorphism if and only if  $(\gamma_n)$  satisfies conditions (F) and (C). If in addition  $\gamma_n$  are taken from [T] (or [[T]]), then  $\gamma$  belongs to [T]. In this subsection, we prove that every homeomorphism from [T] is a limit of a sequence of homeomorphisms from [[T]].

THEOREM 4.5. Let (X,T) be a minimal Cantor system. Given  $\gamma \in [T]$ , there exists sequence  $(\gamma_s), \gamma_s \in [[T]]$ , which  $\tau_u$ -converges to  $\gamma$ .

PROOF. In the proof, we will use the notations from Section 2. Because  $\gamma$  is taken from [T], then the sets  $X_j = \{x \in X \mid \gamma(x) = T^j(x)\}, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , are closed, pairwise disjoint, and satisfy the conditions

$$\bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} X_j = X, \qquad \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} T^j(X_j) = X.$$

Denote  $Y_s = \bigcup_{j=-s}^s X_j$ , s = 0, 1, ... Then  $Y_s \subset Y_{s+1}$  and  $\bigcup_{s \in \mathbb{N}} Y_s = X$ . Let  $(\xi_t)$  be a refining sequence of K-R partitions that satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2.2 (we have noted above that such a sequence always exists). Because  $X_j$  (resp.  $\gamma(X_j)$ ) is a clopen subset of  $Y_s$  (resp.  $\gamma(Y_s)$ ), then for every s one can find sufficiently large t = t(s) such that every  $X_j \subset Y_s$  (resp.  $\gamma(X_j) \subset \gamma(Y_s)$ ) is a union of some sets  $D_{q,p}^t \cap Y_s$  (resp.  $D_{q,p}^t \cap \gamma(Y_s)$ ) and  $|s| \leq h(t)$ . Here we use the notations of Theorem 2.2 for atoms of  $\xi_t$ . Set

$$I_{s,t} = \{(q,p) \mid D_{q,p}^t \cap Y_s \neq \emptyset\}, \quad \widehat{I}_{s,t} = \{(q,p) \mid D_{q,p}^t \cap \gamma(Y_s) \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Let  $Z_{s,t}$  and  $\widehat{Z}_{s,t}$  be the  $\xi_t$ -hull of  $Y_s$  and  $\gamma(Y_s)$  respectively, i.e.

$$Z_{s,t} = \bigcup_{(q,p)\in I_{k,t}} D_{q,p}^t, \qquad \widehat{Z}_{s,t} = \bigcup_{(q,p)\in \widehat{I}_{k,t}} D_{q,p}^t.$$

Then  $I_{s,t}$  and  $\widehat{I}_{s,t}$  are divided into the disjoint sets

$$I_{s,t}^{j} = \{ (q,p) \in I_{s,t} \mid D_{q,p}^{t} \cap Y_{s} \subset X_{j} \}, \quad -s \le j \le s.$$

and

$$\widehat{I}_{s,t}^{j} = \{(q,p) \in \widehat{I}_{s,t} \mid D_{q,p}^{t} \cap \gamma(Y_s) \subset \gamma(X_j)\}, \quad -s \le j \le s.$$

respectively. Denote by  $Z_{s,t}^j$  and  $\widehat{Z}_{s,t}^j$  the subsets of  $Z_{s,t}$  and  $\widehat{Z}_{s,t}$  corresponding to  $I_{s,t}^j$  and  $\widehat{I}_{s,t}^j$ . Clearly,  $Z_{s,t}^j \supset X_j$  and  $\widehat{Z}_{s,t}^j \supset \gamma(X_j)$ . Note that for every  $(q,p) \in I_{s,t}$  one can find a uniquely defined j = j(q,p,t) such that  $\gamma$  coincides with  $T^j$  on  $D_{q,p}^t \cap Y_s$ , i.e.  $D_{q,p}^t \cap Y_s \subset X_j$ . Similarly, we can define j' = j'(q,p,t)such that  $\gamma^{-1}(x) = T^{j'}(x)$  for  $x \in D_{q,p}^t \cap \gamma(Y_s)$ .

The sets  $T^{j}(Z_{s,t}^{j})$  and  $T^{-j}(\widehat{Z}_{s,t}^{j})$ ,  $|j| \leq s$ , are clopen and therefore one can take sufficiently large  $\tau = \tau(s) \geq t(s)$  such that they become  $\xi_{\tau}$ -sets. To construct a homeomorphism from [[T]] approximating  $\gamma$ , we will use the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 2.2.

Let  $\alpha_t$  and  $\alpha'_t$  be the partitions of  $\{1, \ldots, k_t\}$  defined by  $\xi_t$  as in Section 1. Define the sets  $I^j_{s,\tau}, \hat{I}^j_{s,\tau}$  and  $Z^j_{s,\tau}, \hat{Z}^j_{s,\tau}$  as it was done above for  $\xi_t$ . Note that every set  $Z^j_{s,\tau}, |j| \leq s$ , can be written as a union of atoms from  $\xi_{\tau}$ . In fact, if  $J \in \alpha, J' \in \alpha'$  and j > 0, then

(4.3) 
$$Z_{s,t}^j = \left(\bigcup_{(q,p)\in Q_j(0)} D_{q,p}^\tau\right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{(r,J)\in Q_j(1)} F_1(r,J)\right).$$

If j < 0, then

(4.4) 
$$Z_{s,t}^j = \left(\bigcup_{(q,p)\in Q_j(0)} D_{q,p}^{\tau}\right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{(r',J')\in Q_j(2)} F_2(r',J')\right)$$

where

(4.5)  $Q_j(0) = \{(q, p) \mid 0 \le j + q \le h(p, \tau) - 1\},\$ 

(4.6)  $Q_j(1) = \{(r, J) \mid \text{there exists } D_{q, p}^{\tau} \subset F_1(r, J) \cap Z_{s, t}^j \text{ and } j + q \ge h(p, \tau)\},\$ 

(4.7)  $Q_j(2) = \{(r', J') \mid \text{there exists } D_{q,p}^{\tau} \subset F_2(r', J') \cap Z_{s,t}^j \text{ and } j+q<0\}.$ 

In a similar way one can decompose  $\widehat{Z}_{s,t}^{j}$ . For this, we define  $\widehat{Q}_{j}(0), \widehat{Q}_{j}(1)$  and  $\widehat{Q}_{j}(2)$  replacing  $Z_{s,t}^{j}$  in (4.5)–(4.7) by  $\widehat{Z}_{s,t}^{j}$ . Then  $\widehat{Z}_{s,t}^{j}$  can be written using formulas analogous to (4.3), (4.4).

Let for definiteness j > 0. Assume that  $(r, J) \in Q_j(1)$ , i.e.  $F_1(r, J) \cap Z_{s,t}^j \neq \emptyset$ . Then it follows from Theorem 2.6 that  $F_1(r, J) \subset Z_{s,t}^j$  because  $T^j(Z)_{s,t}^j$  and  $Z_{s,t}^j$  are  $\xi_{\tau}$ -sets.

Since  $\xi_{\tau}$  refines  $\xi_t$ , then some atoms  $D_{q,p}^{\tau} \subset Z_{s,t}^{j}$  can lie in  $X - Y_s$ . Therefore we have to consider only those atoms of  $\xi_{\tau}$  that intersect  $Y_s$ . Define

$$W_{s,t}^j = \left(\bigcup_{(q,p)\in R_j(0)} D_{q,p}^{\tau}\right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{(r,J)\in R_j(1)} F_1(r,J)\right)$$

where  $R_j(0) = Q_j(0) \cap I_{s,\tau}^j$  and  $R_j(1) = \{(r,J) \in Q_j(1) : \text{there exists } D_{q,p}^{\tau} \subset F_1(r,J) \text{ such that } D_{q,p}^{\tau} \cap Y_s \neq \emptyset\}.$ 

The case j < 0 can be considered similarly. If j = 0, then  $W_{s,t}^0 = Z_{s,\tau}^0$ . It is clear that

(4.8) 
$$Z^{j}_{s,t} \supset W^{j}_{s,t} \supset Z^{j}_{s,\tau} \supset X_{j}.$$

The set  $\widehat{W}_{s,t}^{j}$  is determined by the same way as  $W_{s,t}^{j}$  if one takes  $\widehat{Z}_{s,t}^{j}$  and  $\gamma(Y_{s})$  instead of  $Z_{s,t}^{j}$  and  $Y_{s}$ . We have

(4.9) 
$$\widehat{Z}_{s,t}^j \supset \widehat{W}_{s,t}^j \supset \widehat{Z}_{s,\tau}^j \supset \gamma(X_j).$$

It follows from the construction that  $\{W_{s,t}^j\}_j$  and  $\{\widehat{W}_{s,t}^j\}_j$  are two families of disjoint clopen subsets and  $T^j(W_{s,t}^j) = \widehat{W}_{s,t}^j$ . For  $|j| \leq s$ , set

$$\gamma_s(x) = T^j(x), \quad x \in W^j_{s,t}.$$

In such a way,  $\gamma_s$  maps  $Z_s = \bigcup_{|j| \leq s} W_{s,t}^j$  onto  $\widehat{Z}_s = \bigcup_{|j| \leq s} \widehat{W}_{s,t}^j$ . By Corollary 2.7,  $\gamma_s$  can be extended to a homeomorphism from [[T]] (denoted again by  $\gamma_s$ ) determined on all X. It follows from (4.8) and (4.9) that the sequence  $(\gamma_s)$  converges to  $\gamma$  in the uniform topology.

**4.3. Topologies**  $\tau_w$  and  $\tau_{uw}$ . The most known and studied topology on Homeo(X) is the topology of uniform convergence that we called as the weak topology  $\tau_w$  (see Introduction). It can be defined by the metric

$$p(T,S) = \sup_{x \in X} d(T(x), S(x)) + \sup_{x \in X} d(T^{-1}(x), S^{-1}(x))$$

where  $T, S \in \text{Homeo}(X)$  [2], [3]. Then  $(\text{Homeo}(X), \tau_w)$  is a complete separable metric space. It was proved in [2] that the full group [T] is not closed in the weak topology (in contrast to the uniform topology  $\tau_u$ ). Generally speaking, the closure of [[T]] in  $\tau_w$  does not contain [T]. Below we give a statement that describes all C. m. systems having the property  $\overline{[[T]]}^{\tau_w} \supset [T]$ . On the other hand,  $(\text{Homeo}(X), \tau_u)$  is not complete (in contrast to the weak topology). Therefore it would be interesting to find a topology on Homeo(X) such that Homeo(X)is complete and [T] is closed. It is natural to consider the topology  $\tau_{uw}$  such that its base is formed by intersection of bases for  $\tau_u$  and  $\tau_w$ . In other words, a sequence of homeomorphisms  $(\gamma_n)$  is  $\tau_{uw}$ -converging to a homeomorphism  $\gamma$ if simultaneously  $\gamma_n \xrightarrow{\tau_u} \gamma$  and  $p(\gamma_n, \gamma) \to 0$  when  $n \to \infty$ . Clearly, Homeo(X)is complete and [T] is closed with respect to  $\tau_{uw}$ . It follows from Theorem 4.5 that, in general,  $\overline{[[T]]}^{\tau_{uw}}$  is a subset of [T]. In this subsection, we are going to show that the density of [[T]] in [T] with respect to  $\tau_{uw}$  is equivalent to other topological properties of (X, T).

We first remark that more thorough analysis of the preceding theorem shows that the sequence  $(\gamma_s)$  found in the proof of Theorem 4.5 gives a kind of "weak approximation" of a homeomorphism  $\gamma \in [T]$  on the arbitrary "large" clopen

394

sets. The exact statement is given in Theorem 4.6 where we use the notations from the proof of Theorem 4.5.

THEOREM 4.6. Let  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma_s$  be as in Theorem 4.5. Then there exist clopen sets  $Z_s \supset Y_s$  and  $\widehat{Z}_s \supset \gamma(Y_s)$  such that  $\bigcup_s Z_s = \bigcup_s \widehat{Z}_s = X$  and

(4.10) 
$$\lim_{s \to \infty} [\sup_{x \in Z_s} d(\gamma(x), \gamma_s(x)) + \sup_{x \in \widehat{Z}_s} d(\gamma^{-1}(x), \gamma_s^{-1}(x))] = 0.$$

PROOF. Let  $(\xi_t)$  be a refining sequence of K-R partitions as in Theorem 4.5. Let us denote for  $J \in \alpha_t$  and  $J' \in \alpha'_t$  (we use the notations from Theorem 4.5)

$$F_1^t(h_J - 1, J) = \bigcup_{p \in J} D_{h(p,t)-1,p}^t, \quad F_2^t(0, J') = \bigcup_{p' \in J'} D_{0,p'}^t$$

Because  $(\xi_t)$  generates the clopen topology on X, we get that

(4.11) 
$$\sup_{J \in \alpha_t} (\operatorname{diam}(F_1^t(h_J - 1, J))) + \sup_{J' \in \alpha'_t} (\operatorname{diam}(F_2^t(0, J'))) \to 0 \quad (t \to \infty).$$

In the proof of Theorem 4.5 we have found a sequence  $(\tau(s)), s \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that  $(\xi_{\tau(s)})$  is a subsequence of refining partitions generating topology. We will slightly change  $\xi_{\tau(s)}$  to produce a new sequence  $(\xi'_{\tau(s)})$ . Determine  $\xi'_{\tau(s)}$  as the partition of X with atoms  $(\{D_{q,p}^{\tau} \mid (q,p) \in \bigcup_{|j| \leq s} R_j(0)\}, \{F_1^{\tau}(r,J) \mid (r,J) \in \bigcup_{0 < j \leq s} R_j(1)\}, \{F_2^{\tau}(r',J') \mid (r',J') \in \bigcup_{-s \leq j < 0} R_j(2)\}, X - Z_s)$ . Here  $\tau = \tau(s)$ . Note that the diameter of every atom in  $\xi'_{\tau}$  goes to 0 as  $s \to \infty$  by (4.11). It follows that the sequence  $(\xi'_{\tau})$  also generates the clopen topology and  $Z_s$  is a  $\xi'_{\tau}$ -set.

Fix some s and find t = t(s) and  $\tau = \tau(s)$  as in the proof of Theorem 4.5. In fact,  $\tau$  can be taken so large that all atoms  $D_{q,p}^t$  of  $\xi_t$  and sets  $\gamma(D_{q,p}^t)$ ,  $\gamma^{-1}(D_{q,p}^t)$  become  $\xi'_{\tau}$ -sets. Now we prove that for every  $D_{q,p}^t$ 

(4.12) 
$$\gamma_s(D_{q,p}^t \cap Z_s) = \gamma(D_{q,p}^t) \cap \widehat{Z}_s$$

Let  $C_{l,k}^{\tau}$  be an atom of  $\xi_{\tau}'$  taken in the  $\xi_{\tau}'$ -set  $D_{q,p}^t \cap Z_s$ . Then there exists a point  $x \in C_{l,k}^{\tau}$  such that  $\gamma_s(x) = \gamma(x)$ . It follows that  $\gamma_s(C_{l,k}^{\tau}) \cap \gamma(D_{q,p}^t) \neq \emptyset$  and  $\gamma_s(C_{l,k}^{\tau}) \subset \gamma(D_{q,p}^t)$ . By the same reason,  $\gamma_s(C_{l,k}^{\tau}) \subset \hat{Z}_s$ . Therefore  $\gamma_s(D_{q,p}^t \cap Z_s) \subset \gamma(D_{q,p}^t \cap Z_s)$ . Using the same arguments for  $\gamma^{-1}$ ,  $\gamma_s^{-1}$  and  $\gamma(D_{q,p}^t) \cap \hat{Z}_s$ , we obtain the opposite inclusion.

Note now that for  $x \in D_{q,p}^t \cap Z_s$  we have that  $d(\gamma(x), \gamma_s(x)) \leq \operatorname{diam}(\gamma(D_{q,p}^t))$ (the case of  $\gamma^{-1}$  and  $\gamma_s^{-1}$  is considered similarly). To finish the proof, we apply (4.11) and the fact that  $(\xi_t)$  generates the topology on X.

Remind that the set of coboundaries,  $B_T$ , is formed by all functions  $g = f \circ T - f$  where  $f : X \to \mathbb{Z}$  is a continuous function. Denote

$$\operatorname{Inf}(X,T) = \left\{ g \in C(X,\mathbb{Z}) \, \middle| \, \int_X g(x) d\mu(x) = 0 \text{ for every } \mu \in M_1(T) \right\}$$

where  $M_1(T)$  is the set of all T-invariant probability measures. Functions from  $\operatorname{Inf}(X,T)$  are called infinitesimal. Clearly,  $\operatorname{Inf}(X,T) \supset B_T$ .

DEFINITION 4.7. We say that a C. m. system (X, T) is saturated if any two clopen sets A and B from X such that  $\mu(A) = \mu(B), \ \mu \in M_1(T), \ \text{are } [[T]]$ equivalent.

THEOREM 4.8. Let (X,T) be a C. m. system. The following statements are equivalent.

- (i) (X,T) is saturated,
- (ii)  $\operatorname{Inf}(X,T) = B_T$ ,

- (iii)  $\begin{array}{c} \overbrace{[[T]]}^{\tau_w} \supset [T], \\ (iv) \quad \overbrace{[[T]]}^{\tau_w} = \overline{[T]}^{\tau_w} \\ (v) \quad \overbrace{[[T]]}^{\tau_{uw}} = [T]. \end{array}$

PROOF. The equivalence of (iii) and (iv) is evident. Suppose (iii) is true. For two clopen sets A and B from X such that  $\mu(A) = \mu(B), \ \mu \in M_1(T)$ , find  $\gamma \in [T]$  such that  $\gamma(A) = B$  [3, Proposition 2.6]. Then take a sequence  $(\gamma_s)$  that  $\tau_w$ -converges to  $\gamma$  where  $\gamma_s \in [[T]]$  for all s. By [2, Remark 1.6], there exists some N such that  $\gamma_s(A) = \gamma(A)$  for all s > N. Therefore, (i) holds. Conversely, suppose (X,T) is saturated and take some  $\gamma$  in [T]. Let  $(\xi_t)$  be a sequence of partitions of X into clopen sets generating the clopen topology. Then one can construct  $\gamma_t \in [[T]]$  such that  $\gamma_t(D) = \gamma(D)$  and  $\gamma_t^{-1}(\gamma(D)) = D$  for every  $D \in \xi_t$ . Then  $(\gamma_t)$  converges to  $\gamma$  in  $\tau_w$  that proves (iii).

Assume now that (ii) is true. If A and B are two clopen subsets from Xsuch that  $\mu(A) = \mu(B), \ \mu \in M_1(T)$ , then  $\chi_A - \chi_B \in \text{Inf}(X,T)$  and therefore is a T-coboundary. It follows from [12, Lemma 3.3] that A and B are [[T]]equivalent. Conversely, assume that (X,T) is saturated and take  $f \in Inf(X,T)$ . It follows from [2], [3] that there exists  $\gamma \in [T]$  such that  $Inf(X,T) = B_{\gamma}$ . Then  $f(x) = g(\gamma^{-1}(x)) - g(x)$  where  $g(x) = \sum_{n \in I} c_n \chi_{E_n}(x), |I| < \infty$ . We get that

$$g(\gamma^{-1}(x)) - g(x) = \sum_{n \in I} c_n(\chi_{\gamma(E_n)}(x) - \chi_{E_n}(x))$$
$$= \sum_{n \in I} c_n(\chi_{\sigma_n(E_n)}(x) - \chi_{E_n}(x))$$

where  $\sigma_n \in [[T]]$  and  $\sigma_n(E_n) = \gamma(E_n)$ . Since every  $\chi_{\sigma_n(E_n)}(x) - \chi_{E_n}(x)$  is represented as a finite sum of T-coboundaries, then  $f(x) = g(\gamma^{-1}(x)) - g(x)$  is a T-coboundary.

Clearly, (v) implies (iii) since  $\tau_{uw}$  is stronger than  $\tau_w$ . Next assume that (X,T) is saturated and show that (v) holds. Take  $\gamma \in [T]$  and find a sequence  $(\gamma_s)$  from [[T]] such that  $(\gamma_s) \tau_u$ -converges to  $\gamma$ . By Theorem 4.6, we get that there exists a subsequence (again denoted by  $(\gamma_s)$ ) satisfying (4.10) and (4.12). Having  $(\gamma_s)$ , we are going to construct  $(\tilde{\gamma}_s)$  such that  $\tilde{\gamma}_s$  is still  $\tau_u$ -converging to  $\gamma$  and furthermore is  $\tau_w$ -converging to  $\gamma$ . Fix some s. It follows from (4.12) and the proof of Theorem 4.6 that for every  $\mu \in M_1(T)$  and every atom  $D_{q,p}^t$  of  $\xi_t$ 

$$\mu(D_{q,p}^t \cap Z_s) = \mu(\gamma(D_{q,p}^t) \cap \widehat{Z}_s)$$

and therefore  $\mu(D_{q,p}^t - Z_s) = \mu(\gamma(D_{q,p}^t) - \widehat{Z}_s)$ . Take  $\gamma'(t) \in [[T]]$  such that  $\gamma'(t)(D_{q,p}^t - Z_s)) = \gamma(D_{q,p}^t) - \widehat{Z}_s$  where  $D_{q,p}^t$  does not belong to  $Z_s$ . Define

$$\widetilde{\gamma}_s(x) = \begin{cases} \gamma_s(x) & \text{if } x \in Z_s, \\ \gamma'(t)(x) & \text{if } x \in D_{q,p}^t - Z_s. \end{cases}$$

Thus, (4.10) and the fact that  $\operatorname{diam}(\gamma(D_{q,p}^t)) \to 0 \ (t \to \infty)$  imply  $\tau_{uw}$ -convergence of  $(\tilde{\gamma}_s)$  to  $\gamma$ .

We note that the Chacon flow is not saturated. It follows from Theorem 2.6 and the computations at the end of subsection **3.3**. On the other hand, every C. m. system constructed as in Example 2.8 is saturated.

Acknowledgment. This work was done when the authors visited the Torun University and the Institute for Low Temperature Physics and Engineering. We are thankful to these institutions for the hospitality and support. Also we would like to thank A. Forrest, T. Giordano, and C. Skau for helpful discussions.

Manuscript received October 4, 2000

SERGEY BEZUGIYI
Institute for Low Temperature Physics and Engineering 47, Lenin ave.,
310164 Kharkov, UKRAINE
E-mail address: bezuglyi@ilt.kharkov.ua

JAN KWIATKOWSKI
Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics
Nicholas Copernicus University
Chopina 12/18
87-100 Toruń, POLAND
E-mail address: jkwiat@mat.uni.torun.pl

 $\mathit{TMNA}$  : Volume 16 – 2000 – Nº 2