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## 6. Example

Consider the problem

$$
\begin{align*}
& x^{\prime \prime}(t)+W_{x}(t, x(t))=0, \quad \text { a.e. in }[0,1],  \tag{6.1}\\
& x^{\prime}(0)=0=x^{\prime}(1)
\end{align*}
$$

where $W(\cdot, x), x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, is a measurable function in $[0,1], W(t, \cdot), t \in[0,1]$, is a convex, continuously Frechet differentiable function, such that its Fenchel conjugate has the derivative $d W_{q}^{*}(t, q) / d t$ at $(t, 0), t \in[0,1]$ and $W$ satisfies the following growth condition:

- there exist $0<\beta_{1}<\beta_{2}, q_{1}>1, q>2, k_{1} \geq 0, k_{2}>0$ such that for $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$

$$
k_{1}+\frac{\beta_{1}}{q_{1}}\|x\|^{q_{1}} \leq W(t, x) \leq \frac{\beta_{2}}{q}\|x\|^{q}+k_{2} .
$$

In the notation of the paper we have $L\left(t, x^{\prime}\right)=\left|x^{\prime}\right|^{2} / 2$ and $V(t, x)=W(t, x)$. It is easily seen that assumptions (H) and (H1) are satisfied. Therefore what we have to do is to construct a nonempty set $X$ defined in Section 1. To this effect
let us take any $k>0$ and let $\bar{X}$ denote the same as in Section 1 with the new $L$ and $V$. We assume the following hypotheses:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(\mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right) k_{3}>\left(\frac{\beta_{2}}{q}\right) k^{q}+k_{2} \\
&\left.k_{3}>k\left(\frac{q \beta_{2}^{1 /(q-1)}}{q-1}\right)\left(k+k_{2}-k_{1}\right)+1\right)^{q-1}+\int_{0}^{1} W(t, 0) d t \\
&\left.\left(\frac{q \beta_{2}^{1 /(q-1)}}{q-1}\right)\left(k+k_{2}-k_{1}\right)+1\right)^{q-1} \leq \frac{1}{3} \pi k \\
&\left(\frac{q_{1}}{q}\right)^{1 / q_{1}}\left(\frac{k}{3}\right)^{q / q_{1}}+\left(\left(k_{2}-k_{1}\right) q_{1}\right)^{1 / q_{1}} \leq \frac{k}{3} \\
& \text { (H2) } \frac{d}{d t} W_{q}^{*}(0,0) \neq 0 \text { or } \frac{d}{d t} W_{q}^{*}(1,0) \neq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

We shall show that the set $X=\left\{v \in \widetilde{X}: 0<\|v\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq k\right\}$ where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{X}= & \left\{x+c_{x} \in \bar{X}: x \in A_{0}, c_{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}\right. \text { is such that } \\
& \int_{0}^{1} W_{x}\left(t, x(t)+c_{x}\right) d t=0, \\
& \text { and } \left.p(t)=x^{\prime}(t), t \in[0,1] \text { belongs to } A_{0,0}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

is the set $X$ which we are looking for. That means: we must prove that for each function $v \in X$ the appropriate primitive of the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
t \rightarrow \int_{0}^{t} W_{x}(\tau, v(\tau)) d \tau=w^{\prime}(t) \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

belongs to $X$ i.e. $w(t)=c_{w}+\int_{0}^{t} w^{\prime}(s) d s$ with $c_{w}$ such that $\int_{0}^{1} W_{x}(\tau, w(\tau)) d \tau=0$. It is obvious that $w^{\prime} \in A_{0,0}$. Therefore we have to show that $\|w\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq k$ - by the first two of assumptions ( $\mathrm{H} 1^{\prime}$ ) we shall get then also the inequality $\int_{0}^{1} W(t, w(t)) d t \leq(1 / 2) \int_{0}^{1}\left|w^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t+k_{3}$. Moreover, we have also to check that $w$ is not identically equal to 0 . If we take $p(t)=w^{\prime}(t)\left(w^{\prime}(t)\right.$ defined by (6.2)) then by estimation theorem for subgradients of convex functions (taking into account the estimations on $W(t, x)$ ) we observe that

$$
\left.\left\|p^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq\left(\frac{q \beta_{2}^{1 /(q-1)}}{q-1}\right)\left(k+k_{2}-k_{1}\right)+1\right)^{q-1}
$$

and next applying the estimation for the function by its derivative (for functions with zero at ends) we have

$$
\left\|w^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq \frac{1}{\pi}\left\|p^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}
$$

Using the last two assumptions of ( $\mathrm{H} 1^{\prime}$ ) we obtain

$$
\|w\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq k
$$

Moreover, $w \neq 0$. Actually, if $w(t) \equiv 0$ for some $v \in X$ then $W_{x}(t, v(t))=0$ for all $t \in[0,1]$. This, by convexity of $W(t, \cdot)$ means that $v(t)=W_{q}^{*}(t, 0)$ for all $t \in[0,1]$. By (H2), the von Neumann's boundary conditions of $v$ could not be satisfied. Therefore $w \neq 0$ and it belongs to $X$. It is also clear that the set $X$ is nonempty and, again by (H2) the zero function is not a solution to (6.1). Thus all assumptions of Theorem 4.2 are satisfied, so we come to the following theorem.

THEOREM 6.1. There exists a pair $(\bar{x}, \bar{p})$ being a solution to (6.1) and such that

$$
J(\bar{x})=\min _{x \in X} J(x)=\min _{p \in X^{d}} J_{D}(p)=J_{D}(\bar{p})
$$

## "Periodic Solutions of Lagrange Equations"

(Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal. 22 (2003), 167-180)

## 5. Example

Let us denote by $P$ the positive cone in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ i.e. $P=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: x_{i}>0, i=\right.$ $1, \ldots, n\}$ and by $\bar{P}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: x_{i} \geq 0, i=1, \ldots, n\right\}$. We say that $x \geq y$ for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ if $x-y \in \bar{P}$.

Consider the problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(k(t) x^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+V_{x}(t, x(t))=0, \quad \text { a.e. in } \mathbb{R},  \tag{5.1}\\
x(0)=x(1), \quad x^{\prime}(0)=x^{\prime}(1) \tag{5.1'}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $V(\cdot, x)$ is a 1-periodic, measurable function in $\mathbb{R}, V(t, \cdot)$ is a continuously Frechet differentiable function. In the notation of the paper we have $L\left(t, x^{\prime}\right)=$ $(1 / 2) k(t)\left|x^{\prime}\right|^{2}$. If $b, c \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ by $b c$ we always mean a vector $\left[b_{i} c_{i}\right]_{i=1, \ldots, n}$. We set the basic hypotheses we need:
(H1') The function $k(t)$ is absolutely continuous, periodic and positive for $t \in[0,1], k(1)=1, \int_{0}^{1} V_{x}(t, 0) d t \neq 0$, and let $c_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be such that $\int_{0}^{1} V_{x}\left(t, c_{0}\right) d t=0$,
(H2') For a given $\theta \in P$, there exists $v \in P$ and $w \in-P$ such that $V_{x}\left(t, c_{0}+\right.$ $\beta v)$ is positive, $V_{x}\left(t, c_{0}+\beta w\right)$ is negative, for $t \in[0,1]$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} V_{x}\left(t, c_{0}+\beta(v-w)\right) d t \leq \theta v, \quad \int_{0}^{1} V_{x}\left(t, c_{0}+\beta(v-w)\right) d t \leq-\theta w \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
-\int_{0}^{1} V_{x}\left(t, c_{0}+\beta(w-v)\right) d t \leq \int_{0}^{1} V_{x}\left(t, c_{0}+\beta(v-w)\right) d t
$$

where $\theta v=\left[\theta_{i} v_{i}\right]_{i=1, \ldots, n}, \beta v=\left[\beta_{i} v_{i}\right]_{i=1, \ldots, n}$, and $\beta=2 \theta \int_{0}^{1}(1 / k(r)) d r$ and the growth condition is satisfied i.e. there exist $0<\beta_{1}, q_{1}>1$,
$k_{1} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for each $y \in \bar{X}=\left\{x \in A: x^{\prime} \in A, x(t) \in I, t \in\right.$ $\left.[0,1], x(0)=x(1)=0, x^{\prime}(0)=x^{\prime}(1)\right\}$, where $I=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: \beta w \leq x \leq\right.$ $\beta v\}$ and for all $c \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{1}+\beta_{1}|c|^{q_{1}} \leq \int_{0}^{1} V\left(t, c_{0}+y(t)+c\right) d t \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(H3') We assume that if $c_{v}$ is a minimizer of the functional $c \rightarrow \int_{0}^{1} V(t, v+c) d t$ and $c_{w}$ is a minimizer of the functional $c \rightarrow \int_{0}^{1} V(t, w+c) d t$ then $w<v+c_{v}, w+c_{w}<v$ and $V(t, \cdot)$ is convex in the set $\operatorname{co}(D)$ for $t \in[0,1]$, where $D=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: c_{0}+w-v \leq x \leq c_{0}+v-w\right\}$. Moreover, assume that there exist $l, l_{1} \in L^{2}([0,1], \mathbb{R})$ such that $\sup \{V(t, x): x \in \operatorname{co}(D)\} \leq l(t)$ and $\sup \left\{V_{x_{i}}(t, x): x \in \operatorname{co}(D)\right\} \leq l_{1}(t)$ for $t \in[0,1], i=1, \ldots, n$ (here $\operatorname{co}(D)$ denotes the convex hull of $D)$.

We would like to stress that because of (H3') each function $x_{j} \rightarrow V_{x_{j}}\left(t,\left(x_{1}, \ldots\right.\right.$, $\left.\left.x_{j}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right), j=1, \ldots, n, t \in[0,1]$, is increasing for $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{j}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in D$ and in consequence for each $x \in \bar{X}$ the following inequalities hold: $V_{x}\left(t, c_{0}+\right.$ $\beta(w-v)) \leq V_{x}\left(s, x(s)+c_{x}\right) \leq V_{x}\left(t, c_{0}+\beta(v-w)\right.$ ) (we use the observation that $\left.c_{0}-\beta v \leq c_{x} \leq c_{0}-\beta w\right)$.

It is easily seen that assumptions (H) and (H1) are satisfied. Therefore, what we have to do is to construct a nonempty set $X$. We prove that $\bar{X}$ is our set $X$. To this effect let us define in $\bar{X}$ the operator A by the formula

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{A} x(t)=\int_{0}^{t} \frac{1}{k(r)} & \left(\int_{r}^{1} V_{x}\left(s, x(s)+c_{x}\right) d s\right) d r  \tag{5.4}\\
& -\frac{\int_{0}^{t}(1 / k(r)) d r}{\int_{0}^{1}(1 / k(r)) d r} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{k(r)}\left(\int_{r}^{1} V_{x}\left(s, x(s)+c_{x}\right) d s\right) d r
\end{align*}
$$

Then by (H2')

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{A} x(t) \leq & \int_{0}^{t} \frac{1}{k(r)} \int_{r}^{1} V_{x}\left(s, c_{0}+\beta(v-w)\right) d s d r \\
& -\frac{\int_{0}^{t}(1 / k(r)) d r}{\int_{0}^{1}(1 / k(r)) d r} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{k(r)}\left(\int_{r}^{1} V_{x}\left(s, c_{0}+\beta(w-v)\right) d s\right) d r \\
\leq & 2 \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{k(r)} \int_{0}^{1} V_{x}\left(s, c_{0}+\beta(v-w)\right) d s d r \leq 2 \theta \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{k(r)} d r \cdot v=\beta v .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarily, again using (H2'), we prove the second needed inequality. Hence A $x \in$ $\bar{X}$. Observe that if we take $\widetilde{p}(t)=k(t)(\mathrm{A} x(t))^{\prime}$ then by $(5.4)-\widetilde{p}^{\prime}(t)=V_{x}(t, x(t)+$ $\left.c_{x}\right)$. It is clear that $\bar{X}$ contains at least one element $w$ such that $w(0)=w(1)=0$. What we still have to check is the relation (1.5). By (H3') $V(t, \cdot)$ is convex and by (H1') it is continuously differentiable. However subdifferential is a global
notion thus we need to extend convexity of $V(t, \cdot)$ to the whole space. To this effect let us define

$$
\breve{V}(t, x)= \begin{cases}V(t, x) & \text { if } x \in \operatorname{co}(D), t \in[0,1] \\ \infty & \text { if } x \notin \operatorname{co}(D), t \in[0,1]\end{cases}
$$

As our all investigation reduce to the set $D$, therefore $\breve{V}=V$ in it. We need this notation only for the purpose of duality in Section 2. Of course (1.5) is satisfied for $\breve{V}$ in $\bar{X}$. Therefore $\bar{X}$ is our set $X$ and problem (5.1) has at least one nonzero (because of (H1')) periodic solution.
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