

**MULTIPLICITY OF POSITIVE SOLUTIONS
FOR SEMILINEAR ELLIPTIC PROBLEMS
WITH ANTIPODAL SYMMETRY**

NORIMICHI HIRANO

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we show the multiple existence of positive solutions of semilinear elliptic problems of the form

$$-\Delta u = |u|^{2^*-2}u + f, \quad u \in H_0^1(\Omega),$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a bounded domain, 2^* is the Sobolev critical exponent and $f \in L^2(\Omega)$.

1. Introduction

Let $N \geq 3$, $2^* = 2N/(N-2)$, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be a bounded domain with a smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$, and $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ with $f \geq 0$. The existence and multiplicity of solutions of problem

$$(P_f) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta u = |u|^{2^*-2}u + f & \text{on } \Omega, \\ u > 0 & \text{on } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

has been studied by many authors. It is known that problem (P_0) has no nontrivial solution when domain Ω is star-shaped (cf. [7]). In [6], Kazdon and Warner proved the existence of a nontrivial solution of (P_0) in the case that Ω is annulus.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35J60.

Key words and phrases. Critical exponent, multiple existence, semilinear elliptic problem.

©2005 Juliusz Schauder Center for Nonlinear Studies

In [1], Bahri and Coron established the existence of a nontrivial solution of (P_0) when Ω has nontrivial topology. On the other hand, for the nonhomogeneous problem $f \neq 0$, Tarantello [10] proved the existence of two solutions of (P_f) when $\|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$ is small. In the case that Ω has non trivial topology, Rey [8] proved that problem (P_f) has $\text{cat}(\Omega) + 1$ solutions when f is sufficiently small.

Our purpose in this paper is to consider the multiple existence of solutions of problem (P_f) for domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ and $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ having antipodal symmetry.

To state our main results, we need some notations. Throughout this paper, Ω is a bounded domain with a smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. We denote by $B_r(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ the open ball centered at 0 with radius r . We put

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(\Omega) &= \sup\{r > 0 : B_r(x) \subset \Omega \text{ for some } x \in \Omega\}, \\ \theta(\Omega) &= \sup\left\{r > 0 : \text{there exists } A \subset \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega \text{ such that } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega = \bigcup_{x \in A} B_r(x)\right\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$k(\Omega) = \frac{\rho(\Omega)}{\theta(\Omega)}.$$

We impose the following condition on Ω :

$$(\Omega) \quad \Omega = -\Omega \text{ and there exists } r_0 > 0 \text{ such that } B_{r_0}(0) \cap \Omega = \emptyset.$$

For two topological spaces X, Y , we write $X \cong Y$ when X and Y are of the same homotopy type. For each topological space X , $H_*(X)$ stands for the singular homology groups with coefficients \mathbb{Z}_2 (cf. [3], [9]). We denote by $\widehat{\Omega}$ the set Ω identified the antipodal points, and denote by $p_\Omega: \Omega \rightarrow \widehat{\Omega}$ the covering projection defined by $p_\Omega(x) = (-x, x)$ for $x \in \Omega$. For each $p \geq 1$, we denote by $|\cdot|_p$ the norm of $L^p(\Omega)$. We put

$$L = \{v \in L^2(\Omega) : v(x) = v(-x) \text{ for } x \in \Omega\}$$

and $H = H_0^1(\Omega) \cap L$. We can now state our main results.

THEOREM 1.1. *There exists $k_0 > 0$ and $\delta_0 > 0$ such that if $k(\Omega) < k_0$, then for each $f \in L$ with $f \geq 0$ and $0 < |f|_2 < \delta_0$, problem (P_f) possesses at least two solutions in H .*

THEOREM 1.2. *There exists $k_1 > 0$, $\delta_1 > 0$ such that if $k(\Omega) < k_1$, then there exists a residual subset D of $\{f \in L : f \geq 0 \text{ and } |f|_2 < \delta_1\}$ satisfying that for each $f \in D$, problem (P_f) possesses at least $\sum_{p=0}^\infty \text{rank } H_p(\widehat{\Omega})$ solutions in H .*

COROLLARY 1.3. *Suppose that $\Omega \cong S^{N-1}$. Then there exists $k > 0$, $\delta > 0$ such that if $k(\Omega) < k$, then there exists a residual subset D of $\{f \in L : f \geq 0, |f|_2 < \delta\}$ satisfying that for each $f \in D$, problem (P_f) possesses at least N solutions in H .*

REMARK 1.4. The solutions obtained in [10] as well as in [8] are solutions with critical levels smaller than the critical level c of the grand state solution of problem (P_0) with $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$. On the other hand, the solutions obtained in our results have critical levels close to $2c$. Then for instance under the assumption of Theorem 1.1, we have at least four solutions of problem (P_f) in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ by the result in [10] and Theorem 1.1.

2. Preliminaries

For given $R > 0$, we denote by Λ_R the set of bounded domains Ω with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$ such that $\text{diam}(\Omega) < R$. For each measurable set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, we denote by $|A|$ the measure of A . For $u, v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$, we put $\langle u, v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} uv \, dx$. The norm $\|\cdot\|$ of $H_0^1(\Omega)$ is defined by $\|v\| = |\nabla v|_2$ for $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$. For each $d \in \mathbb{R}$, Ω_d denotes the set defined by

$$\Omega_d = \begin{cases} \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : d(x, \Omega) < d\} & \text{if } d > 0, \\ \{x \in \Omega : d(x, \partial\Omega) > -d\} & \text{if } d \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

For each $a \in \mathbb{R}$, and a functional $F: H_0^1(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we denote by F^a the level set

$$F^a = \{v \in H_0^1(\Omega) : F(v) \leq a\}.$$

For $f \in L^2(\Omega)$, we define a functional I_f on $H_0^1(\Omega)$ by

$$I_f(u) = \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 - \frac{1}{2^*} |u^+|^{2^*} - fu \right) dx \quad \text{for } u \in H_0^1(\Omega).$$

Here $u^+(x) = \max\{u(x), 0\}$ for $x \in \Omega$. Then the solutions of (P_f) correspond to critical points of functional I_f . Let

$$D^1(\mathbb{R}^N) = \{v \in L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^N) : |\nabla v|_2 \in L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)\}.$$

For each $(z, \varepsilon) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times (0, \infty)$, we put

$$u_{(z, \varepsilon)}(x) = m \left[\frac{\varepsilon^{1/2}}{\varepsilon + (x - z)^2} \right]^{(N-2)/2}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N$$

where $m = (N(N - 2))^{(N-2)/4}$. It is known that each $u_{(z, \varepsilon)}$ is a critical point of I_0 with the domain $H_0^1(\Omega)$ replaced by $D^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. By the invariance of the norm of $D^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ under translation and scaling

$$(2.1) \quad u \rightarrow u_R(x) = R^{-N/2^*} u(x/R), \quad R > 0,$$

we have that each $u_{(z, \varepsilon)}$ have the same critical value of I_0 . We put $c = I_0(u_{(z, \varepsilon)})$ for $(z, \varepsilon) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times (0, \infty)$, and $c_0 = 2 \cdot 2^* c / (2^* - 2)$. We also set

$$\mathcal{S}_f(\Omega) = \{v \in H_0^1(\Omega) : \|v\|^2 = |v^+|_{2^*}^{2^*} + \langle f, v \rangle, I(v) = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+} I(tv)\},$$

for $f \in L$. It is easy to see that there exists $\bar{\varepsilon} > 0$ such that if $f \geq 0$, $|f|_2 < \bar{\varepsilon}$ and $v \in H \setminus \{0\}$ with $v^+ \not\equiv 0$, there exists a unique positive number $t_{f,v}$ such that $t_{f,v}v \in \mathcal{S}_f(\Omega)$ (cf. [5], [10]). Throughout the rest of this paper, we assume that $f \geq 0$ and $|f|_2 < \bar{\varepsilon}$. For each $v \in H \setminus \{0\}$ with $v^+ \not\equiv 0$, we define $\mathcal{N}_f v \in \mathcal{S}_f(\Omega)$ by $\mathcal{N}_f v = t_{f,v}v$. We have from the definition of $\mathcal{S}_f(\Omega)$ that

$$(2.2) \quad \langle \nabla I_f(v), v \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for all } v \in \mathcal{S}_f(\Omega).$$

We will seek for solutions of I_f in $\mathcal{S}_f \cap H$. For simplicity of notation, we put $\tilde{I}_f^d = I_f^d \cap \mathcal{S}_f(\Omega) \cap H$ for each $d > 0$. Let $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a smooth function such that $\varphi(x) = 1$ for $x \in B_{1/2}(0)$ and $\varphi(x) = 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_1(0)$. We put

$$v_{(r,z,\varepsilon)}(x) = \varphi((x-z)/r)u_{(z,\varepsilon)}(x) \quad \text{for } (r, z, \varepsilon) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^+ \text{ and } x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

We also fix a mapping $\eta \in C^\infty([0, \infty); [0, 1])$ such that $\eta(t) = 0$ for $t \in [0, 1/2]$ and $\eta(t) = 1$ for $t \geq 1$. For each $x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$, we define a mapping $\tau_x: \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by

$$\tau_x(z) = \eta(d(z, \{x\}^\perp)) \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

To prove theorems, it is sufficient to prove the assertions for each $R > 0$ and each $\Omega \in \Lambda_R$. Then, in the rest of this paper, we fix $R > 0$ and assume that $\Omega \in \Lambda_R$.

The following lemma is a simple consequence from the definition of τ_x .

LEMMA 2.1. *Let $\{\Omega^{(n)}\}$, $\{x_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$ and $\{u_n\}$ be sequences such that $\Omega^{(n)} \in \Lambda_R$, $\rho(\Omega^{(n)}) = 1$ for each $n \geq 1$, $u_n \in H_0^1(\Omega^{(n)})$ for $n \geq 1$, and*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{F(x_n)} |\nabla u_n|^2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{F(x_n)} |u_n|^{2^*} = 0,$$

where $F(x_n) = \{z \in \mathbb{R}^N : d(z, \{x_n\}^\perp) \leq 1\}$. Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{F(x_n)} |\nabla(\tau_{x_n} u_n)|^2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{F(x_n)} |\tau_{x_n} u_n|^{2^*} = 0.$$

PROOF. Let $\{\Omega^{(n)}\}$, $\{x_n\}$ and $\{u_n\}$ satisfy the assumption. From the definition of τ_x , we have that there exists, $C > 0$ such that $|\nabla \tau_x|_\infty \leq C$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$. On the other hand, since $\Omega^{(n)} \in \Lambda_R$ for $n \geq 1$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{F(x_n)} |u_n|^2 &\leq |F(x_n) \cap \Omega^{(n)}|^{(2^*-2)/2^*} \left(\int_{F(x_n)} |u_n|^{2^*} \right)^{2/2^*} \\ &\leq R^2 \left(\int_{F(x_n)} |u_n|^{2^*} \right)^{2/2^*} \end{aligned}$$

for each $n \geq 1$. Then from the assumption, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{F(x_n)} |\nabla(\tau_{x_n} u_n)|^2 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{F(x_n)} |\tau_{x_n} \nabla u_n + \nabla \tau_{x_n} u_n|^2 \\ &\leq 2 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_{F(x_n)} |\nabla u_n|^2 + C^2 \int_{F(x_n)} |u_n|^2 \right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

It is also easy to see that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{F(x_n)} |\tau_{x_n} u_n|^{2^*} = 0$ holds. □

LEMMA 2.2. *There exist positive numbers $\bar{\delta}$ and k_0 such that if $k(\Omega) \leq k_0$, then there exists $r > 0$ satisfying that the following conditions:*

- (a) $\Omega \cong \Omega_{3r}$,
- (b) for each $u \in \tilde{I}_0^{2c+\bar{\delta}} \cap S_0(\Omega)$, there is $x \in \Omega_r$ such that $B_{4r}(x) \cap B_{4r}(-x) = \phi$ and

$$\int_{B_r(x) \cup B_r(-x)} |u|^{2^*} dx \geq \frac{4}{3} c_0.$$

PROOF. We first note that if $\{u_n\} \subset \mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$ satisfies $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_0(u_n) = c$, then there exists a sequence $\{(z_n, \varepsilon_n)\} \subset \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n - u_{(z_n, \varepsilon_n)}\| = 0$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |u_n - u_{(z_n, \varepsilon_n)}|_{2^*} = 0$ (cf. [1], [10]).

Now suppose contrary that there exists a sequence $\{\Omega^{(n)}\} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ and $\{u_n\} \subset H_0^1(\Omega)$ such that $\Omega^{(n)} \in \Lambda_R$ for each $n \geq 1$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k(\Omega^{(n)}) = 0$, $u_n \in \mathcal{S}_0(\Omega^{(n)}) \cap H$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_0(u_n) = 2c$ and

$$\int_{B_r(x) \cup B_r(x)} |u_n|^{2^*} dx < \frac{4}{3} c_0$$

for any $(r, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \Omega_r$ with $B_{4r}(x) \cap B_{4r}(-x) = \phi$ and $\Omega^{(n)} \cong (\Omega^{(n)})_{3r}$ for all $n \geq 1$. By the invariance of the norms $\|\cdot\|$ and $|\cdot|_{2^*}$ under the scaling (2.1), we may assume that $\rho(\Omega^{(n)}) = 1$ for all $n \geq 1$. Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k(\Omega^{(n)}) = 0$, we find that

$$(2.3) \quad \bar{r}_n = \sup\{r > 0 : B_r(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega^{(n)}\} \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then it is easy to see that there exists a sequence $\{x_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{F(x_n)} |\nabla u_n|^2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{F(x_n)} |u_n|^{2^*} = 0,$$

Put $u'_n = \tau_{x_n} u_n$ for $n \geq 1$. Then we have by Lemma 2.1 that

$$(2.4) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{F(x_n)} |\nabla u'_n|^2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{F(x_n)} |u'_n|^{2^*} = 0,$$

holds. Therefore we have that

$$(2.5) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\nabla u'_n|_2^2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_{\Omega^{(n)} \setminus F(x_n)} |\nabla u_n|^2 + \int_{F(x_n)} |\nabla u'_n|^2 \right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\nabla u_n|_2^2.$$

Similarly, we have

$$(2.6) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |u'_n|_{2^*}^{2^*} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |u_n|_{2^*}^{2^*}.$$

From the definition of u'_n , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} u'_n &= v_n^1 + v_n^2, \quad \text{where } v_n^1, v_n^2 \in H_0^1(\Omega^{(n)}), \\ \text{supp } v_n^1 \cap \text{supp } v_n^2 &= \phi, \\ v_n^1(x) &= v_n^2(-x) \end{aligned}$$

for each $n \geq 1$. It then follows from (2.5) and (2.6) that

$$(2.7) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n - (v_n^1 + v_n^2)\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |u_n - (v_n^1 + v_n^2)|_{2^*} = 0.$$

It then follows that there exists $\{(z_n, \varepsilon_n)\} \subset \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^+$ such that

$$(2.8) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|v_n^1 - u_{(z_n, \varepsilon_n)}\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |v_n^1 - u_{(z_n, \varepsilon_n)}|_{2^*} = 0.$$

One can see that $\sup_n \varepsilon_n < \infty$. In fact, noting that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \theta(\Omega_n) = \infty$, we have

$$(2.9) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\Omega^{(n)}|/|B_{r_n}(z_n)| = 0,$$

where $r_n = \inf\{r > 0 : \Omega_n \subset B_r(z_n)\}$ for each $n \geq 1$. Then if $\sup_n \varepsilon_n = \infty$, we have from (2.9) that

$$c_0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |v_n^1|_{2^*}^{2^*} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega^{(n)}} |v_n^1|^{2^*} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf \int_{\Omega^{(n)}} |u_{(z_n, \varepsilon_n)}|^{2^*} = 0.$$

This is a contradiction. Thus we have $\varepsilon = \sup_n \varepsilon_n < \infty$. Now we fix $r_1 > 0$ such that

$$(3.10) \quad \int_{B_{r_1}(0)} |u_{(0, \varepsilon)}|^{2^*} = \frac{3}{4}c_0.$$

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \theta(\Omega^{(n)}) = \infty$, we have that there exists $n_0 \geq 1$ such that $\Omega^{(n)} \cong (\Omega^{(n)})_{3r_1}$. We can choose $n_1 \geq n_0$ such that $\bar{r}_n \geq 5r_1$ for all $n \geq n_1$. Now suppose that $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} |z_n| \leq 4r_1$. Then noting that $B_{r_1}(z_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega^{(n)}$ in case that $|z_n| \leq 4r_1$, we have

$$0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf \int_{B_{r_1}(z_n)} |v_n^1|^{2^*} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf |u_{(z_n, \varepsilon_n)}|^{2^*} \geq \frac{3}{4}c_0.$$

This is a contradiction. Thus we find that $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} |z_n| > 4r_1$. This implies that $B_{4r_1}(z_n) \cap B_{4r_1}(-z_n) = \phi$. We also have that $z_n \in \Omega_{r_1}^{(n)}$ for $n \geq 1$. In fact if $z_n \notin \Omega_{r_1}^{(n)}$, then $\int_{B_{r_1}(z_n)} |v_n^1|^{2^*} = 0$. Then again we reaches to a contradiction. Now we have by (2.7), (2.8) and (3.10) that

$$\int_{B_{r_1}(z_n) \cup B_{r_1}(-z_n)} |u_n|^{2^*} dx \geq \frac{4}{3}c_0$$

for n sufficiently large. This contradicts to the assumption. Then the assertion follows. \square

LEMMA 2.3. *Let $f \in L$ such that $f \geq 0$ and $0 < \|f\|_2 < \bar{\varepsilon}$. Let $r' > 0$ such that $\Omega_{-r'} \cong \Omega$ and*

$$\int_{\Omega_{-r'}} |f|^2 dx > \|f\|_2^2/2.$$

Then there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and a positive function $w_{(z,\varepsilon)} \in H$ for each $(z,\varepsilon) \in \Omega_{-r'} \times (0, \varepsilon_0)$ such that

$$(2.11) \quad \sup\{I_f(\mathcal{N}_f(v_{(r',z,\varepsilon)} + v_{(r',-z,\varepsilon)} + w_{(z,\varepsilon)})) : z \in \Omega_{-r'}\} < 2c \quad \text{for } \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0).$$

PROOF. The argument is standard. For completeness, we give a proof. Let $f \in L$ and $r' > 0$ satisfy the assumption. We choose $d_0 > 0$ so small that

$$(2.12) \quad \int_{\Omega_{-r'} \setminus (B_{d_0}(z) \cup B_{d_0}(-z))} |f|^2 dx > \|f\|_2^2/3 \quad \text{for all } z \in \Omega.$$

Let $\psi: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a mapping such that $\psi \in C^2(\bar{\Omega})$, $\psi(x) = \psi(-x)$ on Ω , $\psi(x) = 1$ on $\Omega_{-r'}$ and $\psi(x) = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$. We fix $d \in (0, \min\{d_0/2, r'\})$ and put

$$w_{(z,\varepsilon)}(x) = \varepsilon^{1/4}[\psi(x) - \varphi((x-z)/2d) - \varphi((x+z)/2d)] \quad \text{for } x, z \in \Omega \text{ and } \varepsilon > 0.$$

By (Q), we have that $|x| \geq r'$ for each $x \in \Omega_{-r'}$. That is $B_{r'}(x) \cap B_{r'}(-x) = \emptyset$. Fix $z \in \Omega$. Then, for $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, we have

$$(2.13) \quad \|\nabla v_{(d,z,\varepsilon)}\|_2^2 = c_0 + O(\varepsilon^{(N-2)/2}),$$

$$(2.14) \quad \|v_{(d,z,\varepsilon)}\|_{2^*}^{2^*} = c_0 + O(\varepsilon^{N/2}),$$

(cf. [2]). On the other hand, we have by the definition of $w_{(z,\varepsilon)}$ and (2.12) that

$$(2.15) \quad \|\nabla w_{(z,\varepsilon)}\|_2^2 = O(\varepsilon^{1/2}), \quad \|w_{(z,\varepsilon)}\|_{2^*}^{2^*} = O(\varepsilon^{N/2(N-2)}), \quad \langle f, w_{(z,\varepsilon)} \rangle = O(\varepsilon^{1/4})$$

for ε sufficiently small. We put $y_{(z,\varepsilon)}(x) = v_{(d,z,\varepsilon)} + v_{(d,-z,\varepsilon)} + w_{(z,\varepsilon)}$. Let $t = t_{f,y_{(z,\varepsilon)}}$. Then t satisfies

$$t^2 \|\nabla y_{(z,\varepsilon)}\|_2^2 = t^{2^*} \|y_{(z,\varepsilon)}\|_{2^*}^{2^*} + t \langle f, y_{(z,\varepsilon)} \rangle.$$

Then noting that

$$\|\nabla y_{(z,\varepsilon)}\|_2^2 = \|\nabla v_{(r',z,\varepsilon)}\|_2^2 + \|\nabla v_{(r',-z,\varepsilon)}\|_2^2 + \|\nabla w_{(z,\varepsilon)}\|_2^2$$

and

$$\|y_{(z,\varepsilon)}\|_{2^*}^{2^*} = \|v_{(r',z,\varepsilon)}\|_{2^*}^{2^*} + \|v_{(r',-z,\varepsilon)}\|_{2^*}^{2^*} + \|w_{(z,\varepsilon)}\|_{2^*}^{2^*},$$

we find from (2.13)–(2.15) that $t = 1 - O(\varepsilon^{1/4})$. Then we have

$$I(\mathcal{N}_f y_{(z,\varepsilon)}) = \frac{(2^* - 2)t^{2^*}}{2 \cdot 2^*} \|y_{(z,\varepsilon)}\|_{2^*}^{2^*} - \frac{t}{2} \langle f, y_{(z,\varepsilon)} \rangle \leq 2(1 - O(\varepsilon^{1/4}))c.$$

Thus we find that the assertion holds by taking ε_0 sufficiently small. \square

Throughout the rest of this paper, we assume that $k(\Omega) \leq k_0$ holds. We fix $r > 0$ and $\bar{\delta} > 0$ satisfying the assertion of Lemma 2.2. From the definition of $\mathcal{S}_f(\Omega)$, we have that $\mathcal{N}_f(u) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_0(u)$ and $I_f(\mathcal{N}_f u) \rightarrow I_0(\mathcal{N}_0 u)$, as $f \rightarrow 0$, uniformly on $I_f^d \cap \mathcal{S}_f(\Omega)$ for each $d > 0$. That is we have

LEMMA 2.4. *Let $d > 0$ and $\delta > 0$. Then there exists $\varepsilon \in (0, \bar{\varepsilon})$ such that for each $f \in H$ with $|f|_2 < \varepsilon$,*

$$I_0(\mathcal{N}_0 u) \leq I_f(u) + \delta \quad \text{for all } u \in I_f^d \cap \mathcal{S}_f(\Omega).$$

The assertion of Lemma 2.4 is a direct consequence of the definition of \mathcal{N}_f . Then we omit the proof. We now put $\delta = \bar{\delta}$ and $d = c$ in Lemma 2.4. Then by Lemma 2.4, we can choose $\tilde{\varepsilon} \in (0, \bar{\varepsilon})$ such that for $f \in H$ with $|f|_2 < \tilde{\varepsilon}$

$$(2.17) \quad I_0(\mathcal{N}_0 u) \leq 2c + \bar{\delta} \quad \text{for } u \in \tilde{I}_f^{2c}.$$

We may assume that $\bar{\delta} < c/4$. Then again by Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.2 that

$$(2.17) \quad I_f(u) \geq \frac{13}{12}c \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathcal{S}_f(\Omega) \cap H.$$

Here we note that Palais–Smale (PS) condition holds in the interval $(c, 2c)$ for I_f (cf. [10], [5]). That is if $\{u_n\} \subset H_0^1(\Omega)$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_f(u_n) = d \in (c, 2c)$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \nabla I_f(u_n) = 0$, then there exists a convergent sequence $\{u_{n_i}\} \subset \{u_n\}$ with $u_{n_i} \rightarrow u$, $I_f(u) = d$ and $\nabla I_f(u) = 0$. Therefore from (2.17), we find that (PS) condition holds on $\tilde{I}_f^{2c-\sigma}$. In the following, we assume that $f \in H$ satisfies $|f|_2 < \tilde{\varepsilon}$. Then there exists $r > 0$ satisfying the assertion of Lemma 2.2. Here we fix a continuous function $\xi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $\xi(t) = 1$ for $t \geq 2/3$ and $\xi(t) = 0$ for $t \leq 1/2$. For each $u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$, we define a continuous function $\beta: \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by

$$\beta_u(x) = \xi\left(\frac{\int_{B_r(x)} |u|^{2^*} dx}{|u|_{2^*}^{2^*}}\right) \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

In the following we assume that $f \in L$ with $|f|_2 < \tilde{\varepsilon}$. Then we have

LEMMA 2.5. *Let $u \in \tilde{I}_f^{2c} \cap \mathcal{S}_f(\Omega)$. Then there exists $z \in \mathbb{R}^N$ such that $|z| > 4r$, $\Omega' = \{x \in \Omega : \beta_u(x) > 0\} \subset B_{2r}(z) \cup B_{2r}(-z)$, and*

$$(2.18) \quad \frac{\int_{B_r(z) \cap \Omega'} \beta_u(x) x}{\int_{B_r(z) \cap \Omega'} \beta_u(x)} \in \Omega_{3r},$$

PROOF. Let $u \in \tilde{I}_f^{2c}$. Then by Lemma 2.2, there exists $z \in \Omega_r$ such that

$$\int_{B_r(z) \cup B_r(-z)} |\mathcal{N}_0 u|^{2^*} dx \geq \frac{4}{3}c_0.$$

From the inequality above, it is obvious that

$$\beta_u(x) = \beta_{\mathcal{N}_0 u}(x) = 0 \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus (B_{2r}(z) \cup B_{2r}(-z)).$$

Then

$$\Omega' = \{x \in \Omega : \beta_u(x) > 0\} \subset B_{2r}(z) \cup B_{2r}(-z).$$

Since $z \in \Omega_r$, we have that $\Omega' \subset \Omega_{3r}$. Then (2.18) holds. \square

From lemma above, we can define a mapping $\tilde{\gamma}: \tilde{I}_f^{2c} \rightarrow \widehat{\Omega}_{3r}$ by

$$\tilde{\gamma}(u) = \left\{ \frac{\int_{B_r(z) \cap \Omega'} \beta_u(x) x}{\int_{B_r(z) \cap \Omega'} \beta_u(x)}, \frac{\int_{B_r(-z) \cap \Omega'} \beta_u(x) x}{\int_{B_r(-z) \cap \Omega'} \beta_u(x)} \right\},$$

where $z \in \mathbb{R}^N$ is the point obtained in Lemma 2.5. One can see, from the fact $\Omega' \subset B_{2r}(z) \cup B_{2r}(-z)$, that $\tilde{\gamma}(u)$ does not depend on the choice of z , and $\tilde{\gamma}: \tilde{I}_f^{2c} \rightarrow \widehat{\Omega}_{3r}$ is continuous. Then we have

LEMMA 2.6. *For each $p \geq 1$, $\text{rank } H_p(\tilde{I}_f^{2c-\sigma}) \geq \text{rank } H_p(\widehat{\Omega})$ for $\sigma > 0$ sufficiently small.*

PROOF. By Lemma 2.3, there exists positive numbers r_1, ε_0 , such that $\Omega \cong \Omega_{-r_1}$ and that for each $(z, \varepsilon) \in \Omega_{-r_1} \times (0, \varepsilon_0)$,

$$(2.19) \quad \sup\{I_f(\mathcal{N}_f(v_{(r_1, z, \varepsilon)} + v_{(r_1, -z, \varepsilon)} + w_{(z, \varepsilon)})) : z \in \Omega_{-r_1}\} < 2c,$$

where $w_{(z, \varepsilon)} \in H$ the function defined in the proof of Lemma 2.3. Then we have that $\widehat{\Omega}_{3r} \cong \widehat{\Omega} \cong \widehat{\Omega}_{-r_1}$, and $H_p(\widehat{\Omega}_{3r}) \cong H_p(\widehat{\Omega}) \cong H_p(\widehat{\Omega}_{-r_1})$ for each $p \geq 0$. We denote by θ the retraction from Ω_{3r} to Ω_{-r_1} . We put

$$W_1 = \{\mathcal{N}_f(v_{(r_1, z, \varepsilon)} + v_{(r_1, -z, \varepsilon)} + w_{(z, \varepsilon)}) : z \in \Omega_{-r_1}\}.$$

Let $j: \widehat{\Omega}_{-\delta_1} \rightarrow W_1$ be the mapping defined by

$$j[(z, -z)] = \mathcal{N}_f(v_{(r_1, z, \varepsilon)} + v_{(r_1, -z, \varepsilon)} + w_{(z, \varepsilon)}) \quad \text{for each } x \in \Omega_{-r_1}.$$

From the definition of $w_{(z, \varepsilon)}$, we have that $w_{(z, \varepsilon)} \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Then

$$\gamma(\mathcal{N}_f(v_{(r_1, z, \varepsilon)} + v_{(r_1, -z, \varepsilon)} + w_{(z, \varepsilon)})) \rightarrow \gamma(\mathcal{N}_f(v_{(r_1, z, \varepsilon)} + v_{(r_1, -z, \varepsilon)})) = (z, -z),$$

as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. That is $\theta \circ \gamma \circ j \rightarrow i$, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, where $i: \Omega_{-r_1} \rightarrow \Omega_{-r_1}$ is the identity mapping. Therefore we have by choosing $\varepsilon_1 \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ sufficiently small that $\theta \circ \gamma \circ j(\Omega_{-r_1}) \cong \Omega_{-r_1}$. By Lemma 2.3, we have that there exists $\sigma > 0$ such that

$$(2.20) \quad \sup\{I_f(\mathcal{N}_f(v_{(r_1, z, \varepsilon_1)} + v_{(r_1, -z, \varepsilon_1)} + w_{(z, \varepsilon_1)})) : z \in \Omega_{-r_1}\} < 2c - \sigma.$$

We now consider the following sequence:

$$\widehat{\Omega}_{-r_1} \xrightarrow{j} \tilde{I}_f^{2c-\sigma} \xrightarrow{\gamma} \widehat{\Omega}_{3r} \xrightarrow{\theta} \widehat{\Omega}_{-r_1}.$$

Then noting that $\theta_* \circ \gamma_* \circ j_*$ is the identity mapping on $H_p(\widehat{\Omega}_{-r_1})$, we have from the sequence

$$H_p(\widehat{\Omega}_{-r_1}) \xrightarrow{j_*} H_p(\tilde{I}_f^{2c-\sigma}) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\gamma}_*} H_p(\widehat{\Omega}_{3r}) \xrightarrow{\theta_*} H_p(\widehat{\Omega}_{-r_1}),$$

that

$$\text{rank } H_p(\tilde{I}_f^{2c-\sigma}) \geq \text{rank } H_p(\widehat{\Omega}_{-r_1}) = \text{rank } H_p(\widehat{\Omega}) \quad \text{for each } p \geq 1. \quad \square$$

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1. From the assumption (Ω) , we have that $H_0(\Omega) \neq \{0\}$ and $H_p(\Omega) \neq \{0\}$ for some $p \geq 1$. By the Thom–Gysin exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_p(\Omega) \xrightarrow{p^*} H_p(\widehat{\Omega}) \xrightarrow{\xi \cap} H_{p-1}(\widehat{\Omega}) \rightarrow H_{q-1}(\Omega) \rightarrow \cdots$$

where $\xi \in H^1(\widehat{\Omega})$ (cf. [9, Chapter 5.3, Theorem 11]), we find that $\sum_{p=0}^{\infty} H_p(\widehat{\Omega}) \geq 2$ holds. We choose $\sigma > 0$ sufficiently small that the assertion of Lemma 2.6 holds. We may assume that $2c - \sigma$ is a regular value of I_f . Since (PS) condition holds on the interval $[13c/12, 2c - \sigma]$ for I_f on H , we have that $m = \inf\{I_f(v) : v \in \tilde{I}_f^{2c-\sigma}\}$ is attained by an element in $\mathcal{S}_f(\Omega)$. That is there exists a subset $K \subset H$ of critical points of I_f such that

$$I_f(u) = \min\{I_f(v) : v \in \tilde{I}_f^{2c-\sigma}\} \quad \text{for each } u \in K.$$

If K contains more than two points, the assertion holds. Then we assume that K consists of single point u_1 . Then we have that there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $m + \delta < 2c - \sigma$, $H_0(I_f^{m+\delta}) = Z_2$ and $H_p(I_f^{m+\delta}) = \{0\}$ for $p \geq 1$. Then since $\sum_{p=0}^{\infty} H_p(I_f^{2c-\sigma}) \geq 2$, we find that there exists a critical point $u_2 \in \mathcal{S}_f(\Omega)$ with $u_1 \neq u_2$. \square

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2. As in the proof of Theorem 1.1, we choose $\sigma > 0$ so small that the assertion of Lemma 2.6. Since $\{g \in C^\infty(\Omega) : g > 0 \text{ on } \Omega\}$ is dense $\{g \in L^2(\Omega) : g \geq 0\}$, we may assume that $f \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ and $f > 0$ on Ω . We suppose that $n \geq 0$ and there exist critical points $u_1, \dots, u_n \in H$ of I_f such that each of them is nondegenerate. If $\sum_{p \geq 0} \text{rank } H_p(\widehat{\Omega}) \leq n$, the assertion holds. Suppose that $\sum_{p \geq 0} \text{rank } H_p(\widehat{\Omega}) > n$. Then since $\sum_{p \geq 0} \text{rank } H_p(\tilde{I}_f^{2c-\sigma}) > n$, we have by the Morse inequality that there exists a critical point $u_{n+1} \in \tilde{I}_f^{2c-\sigma}$ of I_f such that $u_{n+1} \neq u_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. We define a mapping $\mathcal{F} : H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega)$ by

$$\mathcal{F}(u) = -(\Delta u + |u|^{2^*-2}u) \quad \text{for } u \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega).$$

We denote by $\mathcal{B}_r^{(2)}$, $\mathcal{B}_r^{(h)}$ and $\mathcal{B}_r^{(\infty)}$ the balls centered at 0 with radius r in $L^2(\Omega)$, $H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega)$ and $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$, respectively. Since each critical point u_i is nondegenerate for $1 \leq i \leq n$, we can choose $r_i > 0$ such $\text{Ker } I_f''(u) = \{0\}$ for each $u \in u_i + \mathcal{B}_{r_i}^{(h)}$ and the mapping $\mathcal{F} : u_i + \mathcal{B}_{r_i}^{(h)} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(u_i + \mathcal{B}_{r_i}^{(h)})$ is an isomorphism, for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, where I_f'' denotes the Hessian of I_f . Recall that $v \in \text{Ker } I''(u_{n+1})$ if and only if

$$-\Delta v - (2^* - 1)|u_{n+1}|^{2^*-2}v = 0$$

and that there exists $m > 0$ such that for each

$$|\langle -\Delta v - (2^* - 1)|u_{n+1}|^{2^*-2}v, v \rangle| \geq m|v|^2, \quad \text{for } v \in (\text{Ker } I_f''(u_{n+1}))^\perp.$$

Then we can choose $r' \in (0, r)$ such that

$$\mathcal{F}(u_{n+1} + \mathcal{B}_{r'}^{(h)}) \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^n \mathcal{F}(u_i + \mathcal{B}_{r_i}^{(h)}),$$

and that for each $u \in u_{n+1} + \mathcal{B}_{r'}^{(h)}$,

$$(2.21) \quad |\langle -\Delta v - (2^* - 1)|u|^{2^*-2}v, v \rangle| \geq (m/2)|v|^2 \quad \text{for } v \in (\text{Ker } I''(u_{n+1}))^\perp.$$

We can also choose $\hat{r} > 0$ such that $\mathcal{B}_{\hat{r}}^{(\infty)} \subset \mathcal{B}_{r'}^{(h)}$ and for each $u \in u_{n+1} + \mathcal{B}_{\hat{r}}^{(h)}$.

$$\mathcal{F}(u) = -\Delta u - |u|^{2^*-2}u > 0 \quad \text{on } \Omega.$$

Then since $\text{Ker } I''(u_{n+1})$ is a finite dimensional space, one can see that there exists $u' \in u_{n+1} + \mathcal{B}_{\hat{r}}^{(\infty)}$ such that

$$-\Delta v - (2^* - 1)|u'|^{2^*-2}v \neq 0 \quad \text{for } v \in \text{Ker } I''(u_{n+1}) \setminus \{0\}$$

and that

$$f' = -\Delta u' - |u'|^{2^*-2}u' > 0 \quad \text{on } \Omega.$$

Then u' is nondegenerate critical point of problem $(P_{f'})$. Since $f' = \mathcal{F}(u') \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n \mathcal{F}(u_i + \mathcal{B}_{r_i}^{(*)})$, there exist critical points u'_1, \dots, u'_n of $I_{f'}$ such that $u'_i \in u_i + \mathcal{B}_{r_i}^{(h)}$. From the definition of r_i , each u'_i is a nondegenerate critical point of $(P_{f'})$. Thus we find that problem $(P_{f'})$ has $n+1$ nondegenerate critical points. Repeating this procedure, we reaches to the conclusion. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] A. BAHRI AND M. CORON, *On a nonlinear elliptic equation involving the critical Sobolev exponent. The effect of the topology of the domain*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **41** (1988), 253–294.
- [2] H. BREZIS AND L. NIRENBERG, *Positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **36** (1983), 437–477.
- [3] K. C. CHANG, *Infinite Dimensional Morse Theory and Multiple Solution Problems*, Birkhauser, 1993.
- [4] A. DOLD, *Lectures in Algebraic Topology*, Springer–Verlag, 1972.
- [5] N. HIRANO, *Multiplicity of solutions for nonhomogeneous nonlinear elliptic equations with critical exponent*, Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal. **18** (2001), 269–281.
- [6] J. KAZDAN AND F. WARNER, *Remarks on some quasilinear elliptic equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **28** (1975), 567–597.
- [7] S. I. PHOZAEV, *Eigenfunctions fo the equations $-\Delta u + \lambda f(u) = 0$* , Sov. Math. Dokl. **6** (1965), 1408–1411.
- [8] O. REY, *Concentration of solutions to elliptic equations with ciritcal nonlinearity*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire **9** (1990), 201–218.

- [9] E. SPANIER, *Algebraic Topology*, McGraw–Hill, New York, 1966.
- [10] G. TARANTELO, *On nonhomogeneous elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponent*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire **9** (1992), 281–304.

Manuscript received January 30, 2004

NORIMICHI HIRANO
Graduate School of Environment
and Information Sciences
Yokohama National University
Tokiwadai, Hodogayaku
Yokohama, JAPAN

E-mail address: hirano@mth.sci.ynu.ac.jp