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#### Abstract

A relative homological linking of pairs is proposed. It is shown to imply homotopical linking, as well as earlier non-relative notion of homological linkings. Using Morse theory we prove a simple "homological linking principle", thereby generalizing and simplifying many well known results in critical point theory.


## 1. Introduction

The use of linking methods in critical point theory is rather new. It was implicitely present in the work of Ambrosetti and Rabinowitz [1] in the early 70's as well as in the work of Benci and Rabinowitz [2]. The first explicit definition was given by Ni in 1980 [10].

Definition 1.1 (Classical Homotopical Linking). Let $A \subset B$ and $Q$ be subspaces of a topological space $X$ such that the pair $(B, A)$ is homeomorphic to $\left(D^{n}, S^{n-1}\right)$. Then $A$ homotopically links $Q$ if for each deformation $\eta:[0,1] \times B \rightarrow$ $X$ fixing $A, \eta(1, B) \cap Q \neq \emptyset$.

In the early 80 's, homological linking was introduced in critical point theory (see Fadell [5], Benci [3] and Chang [4] for instance).
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Definition 1.2 (Classical Homological Linking). Let $A$ and $S$ be non-empty disjoint subspaces in a topological space $X$. Then $A$ homologically links $S$ if the inclusion of $A$ in $X \backslash S$ induces a non-trivial homomorphism in reduced homology.

In her 1999's article [6], Frigon generalized homotopical linking to pairs of subspaces.

Definition 1.3 (Relative Homotopical Linking). Let $(B, A)$ and $(Q, P)$ be two pairs of subspaces in a topological space $X$ such that $B \cap P=\emptyset$ and $A \cap Q=\emptyset$. $(B, A)$ homotopically links $(Q, P)$ if for each deformation $\eta:[0,1] \times B \rightarrow X$ fixing $A$ pointwise, $\eta(1, B) \cap Q=\emptyset$ then there exists $t \in] 0,1]$ such that $\eta(t, B) \cap P \neq \emptyset$.

The classical definition corresponds to the case where $(B, A) \cong\left(D^{n}, S^{n-1}\right)$ and $P=\emptyset$.

The goal of this article is to propose a similar generalization for homological linking. In Section 2.1 we explore the properties of this new homological linking and in 2.2 we give some detailed examples. In Section 3 we interpret homotopical linking as an obstruction to factoring certain homotopy through homotopically trivial pairs. It becomes clear from this point of view that homological linking is stronger than homotopical linking. Our definition of homological linking fits very nicely with Morse theory. We exploit this in Section 4 to derive a new linking principle (see Theorem 4.2) for detecting and locating critical points. Despite its simplicity, the idea is quite fruitful. Close analog to the Mountain Pass Theorem of Ambrosetti and Rabinowitz [1] as well as to the Saddle Point Theorem of Rabinowitz [12] are easy corollaries. In Proposition 4.6, we also obtain a homological version of the generalized saddle point theorem of Frigon (see [6]). In Section 4.1, some multiplicity results are studied.

Our approach has many advantages: each critical point is detected by a different linking, stability type is directly available (i.e. critical groups are known) and last but not least, the proofs are easy. However, it also has a disadvantage: working with Morse theory requires more regularity than using a "min-max" method for example. It might appear as if the content of this paper is extremely easy. We agree with this point of view. In fact, it is rather surprising to see that so many of the classical results of critical point theory are straightforward consequences of this new definition of homological linking.

This paper is an extension of the author's master's thesis [7]. He would like to express his most sincere thanks to his advisor, Marlène Frigon.

## 2. Homological linking

2.1. Definition and properties. The principal contribution of this article is the following definition.

Definition 2.1 (Relative Homological Linking). Let $(B, A)$ and $(Q, P)$ be pairs of subspaces in a topological space $X$. Then $(B, A)$ homologically links $(Q, P)$ in $X$ if $(B, A) \subset(X \backslash P, X \backslash Q)$ and if this inclusion induces a non-trivial homomorphism in reduced homology. Given integers $q, \beta \geq 0$, we say that

$$
(B, A)(q, \beta) \text {-links }(Q, P) \text { in } X
$$

if the above inclusion induces a homomorphism of rank $\beta$ on the $q$-th reduced homology groups.

Remark 2.2. For notational convenience, a topological pair $(B, \emptyset)$ will be identified with the space $B$.

Remark 2.3. The classical definition corresponds to the case $A(q, \beta)$-links $(X, Q)$ and $\beta>0$.

Remark 2.4. For any space $X, X\left(q, b_{q}(X)\right)$-links $X$ in $X$, where $b_{q}(X)$ is the $q$-th reduced Betti number of $X$. Thus our linking contains as much information as Betti numbers.

The next proposition and it's corollary shows that in many situations, it suffices to consider linking locally to deduce a global linking situation.

Proposition 2.5. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be an open subset of $X$. If $A, B, P, Q \subset \mathcal{O}$ with $Q$ closed, then
$(B, A)(q, \beta)$-links $(Q, P)$ in $X$ if and only if $(B, A)(q, \beta)$-links $(Q, P)$ in $\mathcal{O}$.
Proof. Since $\mathcal{O}^{c}$ is closed and $X \backslash Q$ is open in $X \backslash P$, the excision axiom applies to

$$
\mathcal{O}^{c} \subset X \backslash Q \subset X \backslash P
$$

It follows that the the bottom line of the following commutative diagram is an isomorphism.

$$
\widetilde{H}_{q}(\mathcal{O} \backslash P, \mathcal{O} \backslash Q) \xrightarrow{{ }^{i}} \stackrel{\widetilde{H}_{q}(B, A)}{\longrightarrow}(X \backslash P, X \backslash Q)
$$

Hence, $\operatorname{rank} j=\operatorname{rank} i$.
Corollary 2.6. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be the domain of a chart on a manifold $M$. If the pair $(B, A)$ links the pair $(Q, P)$ in $\mathcal{O}$, with $Q$ closed, then $(B, A)$ also links the pair $(Q, P)$ in $M$.

The two following theorems show how some simple linking situations lead to new linkings.

Theorem 2.7. If $A(q, \beta)$-links $(X, Q)$ and $A(q, \delta)$-links $(X, X \backslash B)$ in $X$ for some $\delta<\beta$ then $(B, A)(q+1, \mu)$-links $Q$ in $X$ for some $\mu \geq \beta-\delta$.

Proof. It follows from the commutativity of

that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu:=\operatorname{rank} \alpha & \geq \operatorname{rank} \Delta_{2} \circ \alpha=\operatorname{rank} i \circ \Delta_{1} \geq \operatorname{rank} \Delta_{1}-\operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{ker} i) \\
& =\operatorname{rang} \Delta_{1}-\left(\operatorname{dim} \widetilde{H}_{q}(A)-\operatorname{rank} i\right)=\operatorname{rank} i+\operatorname{rank} \Delta_{1}-\operatorname{dim} \widetilde{H}_{q}(A) \\
& =\operatorname{rank} i+\operatorname{rank} \Delta_{1}-(\operatorname{rank} k+\operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{ker} k))
\end{aligned}
$$

By exactness, rank $\Delta_{1}=\operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{ker} k)$, thus

$$
\mu \geq \operatorname{rank} i-\operatorname{rank} k=\beta-\delta
$$

Theorem 2.8. If $B(q, \beta)$-links $(X, P)$ and $X \backslash Q(q, \delta)$-links $(X, P)$ for some $\delta<\beta$, then $B(q, \mu)$-links $(Q, P)$ in $X$ for some $\mu \geq \beta-\delta$.

Proof. From the commutativity of

it follows that

$$
\mu=\operatorname{rank} \alpha=\operatorname{rank} j \circ i \geq \operatorname{rank} i-\operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{ker} j)=\operatorname{rank} i-\operatorname{rank} k=\beta-\delta
$$

2.2. Examples of linking. Our definition permits to obtain new situations of linking and to recover others already known. In particular, in Propositions 2.9-2.11 we present linking situations equivalent to those already studied by Perera in [11] using a non relative definition of homological linking.

Let $E$ be a Banach space. Given a direct sum decomposition $E=E_{1} \oplus E_{2}$, $B_{i}$ denotes the closed ball in $E_{i}$ and $S_{i}$ its relative boundary $(i=1,2)$.

Proposition 2.9. Let $e \in E,\|e\|>1$. Then $\{0, e\}(0,1)$-links $(E, S)$ in $E$.
Proof. The map $r: E \backslash S \rightarrow\{0, e\}$ defined by

$$
r(x)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if }\|x\|<1 \\ e & \text { if }\|x\|>1\end{cases}
$$

is a retraction. That is, the following diagram commutes


It follows that the inclusion of $\{0, e\}$ in $E \backslash S$ is of rank 1 in reduced homology. $\square$
Proposition 2.10. Let $E=E_{1} \oplus E_{2}$ with $\left.k=\operatorname{dim} E_{1} \in\right] 0, \infty\left[\right.$. Then $S_{1}$ $(k-1,1)$-links $\left(E, E_{2}\right)$ in $E$.

Proof. The long exact sequence induced by $S_{1} \subset E \backslash E_{2}$ is

$$
\cdots \longrightarrow \widetilde{H}_{k}\left(E \backslash E_{2}, S_{1}\right) \longrightarrow \widetilde{H}_{k-1}\left(S_{1}\right) \xrightarrow{i} \widetilde{H}_{k-1}\left(E \backslash E_{2}\right) \longrightarrow \cdots
$$

Because $E \backslash E_{2}$ strongly retract on $S_{1}, H_{k}\left(E \backslash E_{2}, S_{1}\right)=0$. It follows that $\operatorname{rank} i=\operatorname{dim} \widetilde{H}_{k-1}\left(S_{1}\right)=1$.

Proposition 2.11. Let $E=E_{1} \oplus E_{2}$ with $\left.k=\operatorname{dim} E_{1} \in\right] 0, \infty[$ and let $e \in E_{2}$ be of unit length. Let $A=\partial\left(B_{1} \oplus[0,2] e\right)$ in $E_{1} \oplus \mathbb{R} e$. Then $A(k, 1)$-links $\left(E, S_{2}\right)$ in $E$.

Proof. Let $P: E \rightarrow E_{1}$ be the projection on $E_{1}$ and $r: E \backslash S_{2} \rightarrow\left(E_{1} \oplus\right.$ $\mathbb{R} e) \backslash\{e\}$ be defined by $r(x)=P(x)+\|x-P(x)\| e$. Let's make sure $\{e\}$ really is omitted by $r$. Suppose $x \in E$ is such that $P(x)+\|x-P(x)\| e=e$. Then $P(x)=0$ and $1=\|x-P(x)\|=\|x\|$. In other words, $x \in E_{2}$ and $\|x\|=1$ wich is impossible for $x$ in the domain of $r$. Let $i$ be the inclusion of $A$ in $E \backslash S_{2}$. If $i_{k}: \widetilde{H}_{k}(A) \rightarrow \widetilde{H}_{k}\left(E \backslash S_{2}\right)$ is null, then so is

$$
r_{k} \circ i_{k}: \widetilde{H}_{k}(A) \rightarrow \widetilde{H}_{k}\left(\left(E_{1} \oplus \mathbb{R} e\right) \backslash\{e\}\right)
$$

However, $r \circ i$ is the inclusion of $A$ in $\left(E_{1} \oplus \mathbb{R} e\right) \backslash\{e\}$ and $\left(E_{1} \oplus \mathbb{R} e\right) \backslash\{e\}$ strongly retract on $A$. Thus $\widetilde{H}_{*}\left(\left(E_{1} \oplus \mathbb{R} e\right) \backslash\{e\}, A\right) \cong 0$. It then follows from the long exact sequence induced by the inclusion $r \circ i$ of $A$ in $\left(E_{1} \oplus \mathbb{R} e\right) \backslash\{e\}$

$$
0=\widetilde{H}_{k+1}\left(\left(E_{1} \oplus \mathbb{R} e\right) \backslash\{e\}, A\right) \longrightarrow \widetilde{H}_{k}(A) \xrightarrow{r_{k} \circ i_{k}} \widetilde{H}_{k}\left(E_{1} \oplus \mathbb{R} e \backslash\{e\}\right)
$$

that $r_{k} \circ i_{k}$ is not trivial because $\widetilde{H}_{k}(A) \cong \mathbb{K}$. Consequently $A(k, 1)$-links $\left(E, S_{2}\right)$ in $E$, as was to be proved.

Theorem 2.7 and the previous linking situations give rise to other linkings which are in fact the classical situations treated in the litterature. Observe that,
in these classical situations, the pair $(Q, P)$ is always of the form $(Q, \emptyset)$ and the pair $(B, A)$ always has $A \neq \emptyset$.

Corollary 2.12. Let $e \in E$ with $\|e\|>1$. Then $([0, e],\{0, e\})(1,1)$-links $S$ in $E$.

Corollary 2.13. Let $E=E_{1} \oplus E_{2}$ with $\left.k=\operatorname{dim} E_{1} \in\right] 0, \infty\left[\right.$. Then $\left(B_{1}, S_{1}\right)$ $(k, 1)$-links $E_{2}$ in $E$.

Corollary 2.14. Let $E=E_{1} \oplus E_{2}$ with $\left.k=\operatorname{dim} E_{1} \in\right] 0, \infty\left[\right.$ and let $e \in E_{2}$ be of unit length. Let $B=B_{1} \oplus[0,2] e$ and $A=\partial B$ in $E_{1} \oplus \mathbb{R} e$. Then $(B, A)$ $(k+1,1)$-links $S_{2}$ in $E$.

By combining the linking situations of Propositions 2.9-2.11 with Theorem 2.8 , we get a new familly of linking situations. These linking situation will be particularyly useful in applications to critical point theory since they will allow us to relax the a priori estimates on $f$. For these linking, the pair $(B, A)$ is always of the form $(B, \emptyset)$ and the pair $(Q, P)$ always has $P \neq \emptyset$.

Corollary 2.15. Let $e \in E,\|e\|>1$. Then $\{0, e\}(0,1)$-links $(B, S)$ in $E$.
Corollary 2.16. Let $E=E_{1} \oplus E_{2}$ with $\left.k=\operatorname{dim} E_{1} \in\right] 0, \infty[$ and let $e \in E_{1}$ be of unit length. Let $B=S_{1}, Q=E_{2}+\left[0, \infty\left[e\right.\right.$ and $P=E_{2}$. Then $B$ $(k-1,1)$-links $(Q, P)$ in $E$.

Corollary 2.17. Let $E=E_{1} \oplus E_{2}$ with $\left.k=\operatorname{dim} E_{1} \in\right] 0, \infty\left[\right.$ and let $e \in E_{2}$ be of unit length. Let $A=\partial\left(B_{1} \oplus[0,2] e\right)$ in $E_{1} \oplus \mathbb{R} e$. Then $A(k, 1)$-links $\left(B_{2}, S_{2}\right)$ in $E$.

The two following propositions exhibit new homological linking situations. From a homotopical point of view, they where studied by Frigon [6]. These linking fully deserve to be called "linking of pairs" since for both of them we have $A \neq \emptyset$ and $P \neq \emptyset$. A more geometrical argument is also possible, but it is longuer.

Proposition 2.18. Let $E=E_{1} \oplus E_{2} \oplus \mathbb{R} e$ with $e \in E$ of unit length and $\left.k=\operatorname{dim} E_{1} \in\right] 0, \infty\left[\right.$ Let $B=B_{1}+e, A=S_{1}+e, Q=E_{2}+\left[0, \infty\left[e\right.\right.$ et $P=E_{2}$ Then $(B, A)(k, 1)$-links $(Q, P)$ in $E$.

Proof. Let $\varepsilon \in] 0,1[$ and

$$
\widehat{B}=B \cup\left(\varepsilon B_{1}+\right] 0, \infty\left[e+E_{2}\right), \quad \widehat{A}=\widehat{B} \backslash(] 0, \infty\left[e+E_{2}\right) .
$$

Since $B$ (resp. $A$ ) is a strong deformation retract of $\widehat{B}$ (resp. $\widehat{A}$ ), the inclusion $(B, A) \rightarrow(\widehat{B}, \widehat{A})$ induces an isomorphism $H_{k}(B, A) \cong H_{k}(\widehat{B}, \widehat{A})$. Let

$$
U=(E \backslash P) \backslash \widehat{B} \subset E \backslash Q \subset E \backslash P,
$$

and observe that $\bar{U} \subset$ int $(E \backslash Q)$ in $E \backslash P, \widehat{B}=(E \backslash P) \backslash U$ and $\widehat{A}=(E \backslash Q) \backslash U$. Hence, by excision, the inclusion $(\widehat{B}, \widehat{A}) \rightarrow(E \backslash P, E \backslash Q)$ induces an isomorphism $H_{k}(\widehat{B}, \widehat{A}) \cong H_{k}(E \backslash P, E \backslash Q)$. The result follows from $H_{k}(B, A) \cong \mathbb{K}$.

A similar argument leads to the following proposition.
Proposition 2.19. Let $E=E_{1} \oplus E_{2}$ with $\left.k=\operatorname{dim} E_{1} \in\right] 0, \infty[$. Then $\left(B_{1}, S_{1}\right)(k, 1)$-links $\left(B_{2}, S_{2}\right)$ in $E$.

## 3. Homotopical consequences of homological linking

Let $(B, A)$ and $(Q, P)$ be pairs of subspaces in a topological space $X$ such that $B \cap P=\emptyset$ and $A \cap Q=\emptyset$. The following lemma shows that relative homotopical linking is an obstruction to extension factoring through a homotopically trivial pair.

Lemma 3.1. The following statements are equivalent.
(a) The pair $(B, A)$ homotopicaly links $(Q, P)$.
(b) There exists no homotopy $\eta:[0,1] \times(B, A) \rightarrow(X \backslash P, X \backslash Q)$ such that $\eta=\mathrm{id}$ on $\{0\} \times B \cup[0,1] \times A$ making the following diagram commutative


Corollary 3.2. Homological linking implies homotopical linking.
Remark 3.3. To see that homotopical linking doesn't imply homological linking, it is sufficient to consider $X=B=Q$ to be a singleton and $A=P=\emptyset$.

## 4. Homological linking principle

Let $H$ be a Hilbert space and let $f \in C^{2}(H, \mathbb{R})$. The following notation is standard. Given $c \in \mathbb{R}, f_{c}=\{p \in H \mid f(p) \leq c\}$ is a level set of $f, K(f)=\{p \in$ $\left.H \mid f^{\prime}(p)=0\right\}$ is the critical set of $f, K_{c}(f)=K(f) \cap f^{-1}(c)$.

Throughout this section, the following hypothesis are assumed,
(H1) the Palais-Smale condition for $f$ holds. That is, each sequence $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $\left(f\left(x_{n}\right)\right)$ is bounded and $f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ admits a convergent subsequence,
(H2) the set $K(f)$ of critical point of $f$ is discrete.
In particular, $f(K)$ is discrete and for each bounded interval $I, K \cap I$ is compact.

Under these assumptions, there is a suitable Morse theory which is well behaved (see [9] for instance). We shall use the following standard notation. Given $p \in K_{c}(f)$,

$$
C_{q}(f, p):=H_{q}\left(f_{c}, f_{c} \backslash\{p\}\right)
$$

is the $q$-th critical group of $f$ at $p$. Let $a<b$ be two regular values of $f$,

$$
\mu_{q}\left(f_{b}, f_{a}\right):=\sum_{p \in K(f) \cap f^{-1}[a, b]} \operatorname{dim} C_{q}(f, p)
$$

is the Morse number of the pair $\left(f_{b}, f_{a}\right)$. The function $f$ is said to be a Morse function if its critical points are all non-degenerate.

Remark 4.1. Most of our results depend only on the Morse inequalities. It is thus possible to use any other setting where they hold. For example, in [8] a Morse theory for continuous functions on metric spaces is presented. In applications to PDE, it may be necessary to use the Finsler structure approach of Chang [4] to apply the results in suitable Sobolev spaces.

The following theorem is an easy exercise and was probably first observed by Marston Morse himself.

Theorem 4.2 (Homological Linking Principle). Let $(B, A)$ and $(Q, P)$ be pairs of subspaces in $H$ and let $a<b$ be regular values of $f$ such that $(B, A) \subset$ $\left(f_{b}, f_{a}\right) \subset(H \backslash P, H \backslash Q)$. If $(B, A)(q, \beta)$-links $(Q, P)$ in $H$ for some $\beta \geq 1$ then $f$ admits a critical point $p$ such that $a<f(p)<b$ and $C_{q}(f, p) \neq 0$. Moreover, if $f$ is a Morse function then it admits at least $\beta$ such points.

Proof. It follows from commutativity of

that $\operatorname{dim} \widetilde{H}_{q}\left(f_{b}, f_{a}\right) \geq \beta$. Application of the weak Morse inequalities leads to $\mu_{q}\left(f_{b}, f_{a}\right) \geq \beta$ and to the first conclusion. The non-degeneracy condition leads to the second one.

Remark 4.3. From Remark 2.4 and our linking principle we recover the weak Morse inequalities. This shows that our homological linking contains nearly as much information as classical Morse theory.

Lemma 4.4. Let $(B, A)$ and $(Q, P)$ be pairs of subspaces in $H$ such that

$$
\sup f(B)<\inf f(P), \quad \sup f(A) \leq \inf f(Q)
$$

If $(B, A)(q, \beta)$-links $(Q, P)$ in $H$ for some $\beta \geq 1$ then $\inf f(Q) \leq \sup f(B)$.
Proof. Let the opposite be supposed: $\sup f(B)<\inf f(Q)$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist regular values $a_{n}<b_{n}$ in $] \sup f(B), \sup f(B)+1 / n[$. If $n$ is big enough, $\sup f(B)+1 / n<\inf f(Q) \leq \inf f(P)$ so that

$$
(B, A) \subset\left(f_{b_{n}}, f_{a_{n}}\right) \subset(X \backslash P, X \backslash Q)
$$

It follows from the homological linking principle that $f$ admits a critical value $\left.c_{n} \in\right] a_{n}, b_{n}\left[\right.$. The infinite sequence $\left(c_{n}\right)$ converges to $c=\sup f(B)$ which must therefore be critical because the set of all critical values of $f$ is closed. This contradicts the fact that critical values must be isolated.

The next theorem will be usefull for applications. In the next section, it will be used to prove some multiplicity results.

THEOREM 4.5. Let $(B, A)$ and $(Q, P)$ be pairs of subspaces in $H$ such that

$$
\sup f(B)<\inf f(P), \quad \sup f(A)<\inf f(Q)
$$

If $(B, A)(q, \beta)$-links $(Q, P)$ in $H$ for some $\beta \geq 1$ then $f$ admits a critical point $p$ such that

$$
\inf f(Q) \leq f(p) \leq \sup f(B)
$$

and $C_{q}(f, p) \neq 0$. Moreover, if $f$ is a Morse function then it admits at least $\beta$ such points.

Proof. By the preceding lemma,

$$
\sup f(A)<\inf f(Q) \leq \sup f(B)<\inf f(P)
$$

There exist regular values $a_{n}<b_{n}(n \in \mathbb{N})$ such that

$$
\sup f(A)<a_{n}<\inf f(Q) \leq \sup f(B)<b_{n}<\inf f(P)
$$

and $a_{n} \rightarrow \inf f(Q), b_{n} \rightarrow \sup f(B)$. By the linking principle, there must exist a sequence $\left(p_{n}\right)$ of critical points such that $C_{q}\left(f, p_{n}\right) \neq 0$ and such that the sequence $\left(c_{n}\right)=\left(f\left(p_{n}\right)\right)$ satisfies $a_{n}<c_{n}<b_{n}$. Because critical values are isolated, $c_{n} \in[\inf f(Q), \sup f(B)]$ for $n$ big enough.

The following result follows directly from Proposition 2.19 and Theorem 4.5. As far as we know, this result is new.

Theorem 4.6. Let $H=H_{1} \oplus H_{2}$ with $k=\operatorname{dim} H_{1}<\infty$. If

$$
\sup f\left(S_{1}\right)<\inf f\left(B_{2}\right), \quad \sup f\left(B_{1}\right)<\inf f\left(S_{2}\right)
$$

then $f$ admits a critical point $p$ such that

$$
\inf f\left(S_{2}\right) \leq f(p) \leq \sup f\left(S_{1}\right)
$$

and $C_{k}(f, p) \neq 0$.
4.1. Multiplicity results. By combining Corollaries 2.14 and 2.17 with Theorem 4.5, we get a version of a well known multiplicity result (see [13] for instance). As before, we get extra information about the critical groups.

Proposition 4.7. Let $H=H_{1} \oplus H_{2}$ with $\left.k=\operatorname{dim} H_{1} \in\right] 0, \infty\left[\right.$ and $e \in H_{2}$ be of unit length. Let $B=B_{1} \oplus[0,2] e$ and $A=\partial B$ in in $H_{1} \oplus \mathbb{R} e$. If $f$ is bounded below on $B_{2}$ and if

$$
\sup f(A)<\inf f\left(S_{2}\right)
$$

then $f$ admits two critical points $p_{0} \neq p_{1}$ such that

$$
\inf \left(f\left(B_{2}\right) \leq f\left(p_{0}\right) \leq \sup f(A), \quad \inf f\left(S_{2}\right) \leq f\left(p_{1}\right) \leq \sup f(B)\right.
$$

and $C_{k}\left(f, p_{0}\right) \neq 0, C_{k+1}\left(f, p_{1} \neq 0\right)$.
Proof. Because

$$
\sup f(A)<\inf f\left(S_{2}\right), \quad \sup f(\emptyset)=-\infty<\inf f\left(B_{2}\right)
$$

and $A(k, 1)$-links $\left(B_{2}, S_{2}\right)$, it follows from Theorem 4.5 that $f$ admits a critical point $p_{0}$ such that $\inf f\left(B_{2}\right) \leq f\left(p_{0}\right) \leq \sup f(A)$ and $C_{k}\left(f, p_{0}\right) \neq 0$. Also, Corrolary 2.14 says that $(B, A)(k+1,1)$-links $S_{2}$. Since

$$
\sup f(B)<\infty=\inf f(\emptyset), \quad \sup f(A)<\inf f\left(S_{2}\right)
$$

it follows from Theorem 4.5 that $f$ admits a critical point $p_{1}$ such that $\inf f\left(S_{2}\right) \leq$ $f\left(p_{1}\right) \leq \sup f(B)$ and $C_{k+1}\left(f, p_{1}\right) \neq 0$. The inequality

$$
f\left(p_{0}\right) \leq \sup f(A)<\inf f\left(S_{2}\right) \leq f\left(p_{1}\right)
$$

insure that $p_{0}$ and $p_{1}$ are distinct.
A similar argument using Corollaries 2.13 and 2.16 leads to the next theorem. This result was already known to Perera [11].

Theorem 4.8. Let $H=H_{1} \oplus H_{2}$ with $\left.k=\operatorname{dim} H_{1} \in\right] 0, \infty\left[\right.$ and let $e \in H_{1}$ be of unit length. If $f$ is bounded below on $H_{1}+[0, \infty[e$ and if

$$
\sup f\left(S_{1}\right)<\inf f\left(H_{2}\right)
$$

then $f$ admits two critical points $p_{0} \neq p_{1}$ such that
$\inf \left(f\left(H_{1}+[0, \infty[e)) \leq f\left(p_{0}\right) \leq \max f\left(S_{1}\right), \quad \inf f\left(H_{2}\right) \leq f\left(p_{1}\right) \leq \max f(B(0,1))\right.\right.$
and $C_{k-1}\left(f, p_{0}\right) \neq 0, C_{k}\left(f, p_{1} \neq 0\right)$.

## References

[1] A. Ambrosetti and P. H. Rabinowitz, Dual variational methods in critical point theory and applications, J. Funct. Anal. 14 (1973), 349-381.
[2] V. Benci and P. H. Rabinowitz, Critical point theorems for indefinite functionals, Invent. Math 52 (1979), no. 3, 241-273.
[3] V. Benci, A new approach to the Morse-Conley theory and some applications, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) 158 (1991), 231-305.
[4] Kung-Ching Chang, Infinite Dimensional Morse Theory and Multiple Solution Problems, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1993.
[5] E. Fadell, The Equivariant Lusternik-Schnirelmann Method for Invariant Functionals and Relative Cohomological Index Theories. Méthodes topologiques en analyse non linàire, SMS, vol. 95 (A. Granas, ed.), Les presses de l'Universitè de Montrèal, Montrèal, 1985.
[6] M. Frigon, On a new notion of linking and application to elliptic problems at resonance, J. Differential Equations 153 (1999), no. 1, 96-120.
[7] A. Girouard, Enlacement Relatif Homologique Mémoire de Mâ̂trise, Université de Montréal, 2002.
[8] J.-N. Corvellec, Morse theory for continuous functionals, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 196 (1995), no. 3, 1050-1072.
[9] J. Mawhin and M. Willem, Critical point theory and Hamiltonian systems, Appl. Math. Sci., vol. 74, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989.
[10] W. M. Ni, Some minimax principles and their applications in nonlinear elliptic equations, J. Anal. Math. 37 (1980), 248-275.
[11] K. Perera, Critical groups of pairs of critical points produced by linking subsets, J. Differential Equations 140 (1997), no. 1, 142-160.
[12] P. H. Rabinowitz, Some minimax theorems and applications to nonlinear partial differential equations, Nonlinear Analysis, A collection of papers in honor of Erich Rothe, Academic Press, New York, 1978.
[13] M. Schechter, Linking Methods in Critical Point Theory, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1999.

Alexandre Girouard
Département de Mathématiques et Statistique
Université de Montréal
C. P. 6128, Succ. Centre-ville

Montréal, H3C 3J7, CANADA
E-mail address: girouard@dms.umontreal.ca

