Topological Methods in Nonlinear Analysis Journal of the Juliusz Schauder University Centre Volume 40, 2012, 225–243

# PERIODIC SOLUTIONS TO NONLINEAR EQUATIONS WITH OBLIQUE BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

Walter Allegretto — Duccio Papini

ABSTRACT. We study the existence of positive periodic solutions to nonlinear elliptic and parabolic equations with oblique and dynamical boundary conditions and non-local terms. The results are obtained through fixed point theory, topological degree methods and properties of related linear elliptic problems with natural boundary conditions and possibly nonsymmetric principal part. As immediate consequences, we also obtain estimates on the principal eigenvalue for non-symmetric elliptic eigenvalue problems.

## 1. Introduction

In this paper we consider the existence of positive periodic solutions to nonlinear elliptic/parabolic equations subject to oblique natural boundary conditions. We first consider an elliptic problem in Section 2 and then apply these results to parabolic problems that, in particular, involve situations with dynamic boundary conditions. For the sake of simplicity we assume that the right hand side is described by a standard logistic formula to which we have added a nonlocal term. This has been previously done for various biological problems ([2], [6], [7], [27]). It invalidates the use of order methods. For a reference to these we direct the reader to [24], [29]. We thus proceed with topological methods (for a detailed reference see the book [3]).

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 35J25, 35K55, 35P15.

 $Key\ words\ and\ phrases.$  Periodic solutions, oblique and dynamic boundary conditions, topological methods.

<sup>©2012</sup> Juliusz Schauder University Centre for Nonlinear Studies

We observe that the oblique boundary conditions problems we consider would arise in situations where the motion due to diffusion induced an effect in a different direction, for example in the situation of charged bacteria [23] moving in a magnetic field. On the other hand, the dynamic boundary condition could be used to model situations where the biological species was stored and released depending on conditions at the boundary. To give the flavor of our results we state as an example the following:

LEMMA 1.1. Let  $M, h, e \ge 0$  (possibly  $e \equiv 0$ ) and P > 0. Assume that there exists a periodic function c(t) > 0 such that

$$\int_0^T \int_\Omega \frac{M}{c} > \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{h}{c}.$$

Then the problem

$$\begin{array}{ll} & e(x)c(t)u_t - \Delta u = [M(x,t) - P(x,t)u]u & \mbox{ in } \Omega \times (0,T), \\ & \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} + c(t)u_t + h(x,t)u = 0 & \mbox{ on } \partial \Omega \times (0,T), \\ & e(x)u(x,0) = e(x)u(x,T) & \mbox{ for } x \in \Omega, \\ & c(0)u(x,0) = c(T)u(x,T) & \mbox{ for } x \in \partial \Omega, \end{array}$$

has a positive generalized solution. Here we assume all problem data regular.

The proof of Lemma 1.1 is given in Theorem 3.4 below. We remark that the existence results of Sections 3 and 4 are obtained via fixed point theorems and topological degree arguments. In this respect the use of estimates in Hölder spaces  $C^{\alpha,\alpha/2}$  will ensure the compactness of the maps that are involved in the arguments. Furthermore, solution bounds in these spaces depend only on coefficients estimates, not on the specific coefficients themselves.

The history of problems with oblique boundary conditions is vast, but we were unable to find our results in previous work. Problems with dynamic boundary conditions have somewhat fewer results. However we were only able to find [1] that deals with the periodic case. There the model is a degenerate parabolic equation and the existence and asymptotic stability of periodic solutions are proved. We note that in [1] the existence of a positive solution in cases where there is also the identically zero solution was not considered, nor were the effects on the solution existence of changing c.

Other references deal with the initial value problem and other questions. For example, in [22] dynamical boundary conditions are considered for the Laplace and heat equations with semi-linear forcing terms. Existence and uniqueness of initial value problems are obtained via semigroup theory. See also [13]–[15], [21], [31] for analogous results. [38] studies a non-symmetric elliptic equation with respect to global existence for initial value problems. In [35] and [36] the problems of global existence and blow-up in finite time are tackled for elliptic or

parabolic equations with a nonlinear dynamical boundary condition. The blowup phenomenon is considered also in [8] for the Laplace equation and conditions for the continuability after the blow-up are given. Well- or ill-posedness of the initial value problem for linear heat and Laplace equations with dynamical and reactive boundary conditions are studied in [33], [34]. The paper [16] deals with reaction-diffusion equation from the point of view of global existence for initial value problems and global attractor. [30] considers an analogous problem but it is mainly concerned with quenching solutions, that is: bounded solutions with a bounded maximal time-interval of existence. In [18] a distributed model for the ecology of mangroves featuring dynamic boundary conditions is considered; existence and uniqueness of solutions of initial value problems and convergence to steady state are proved. [4] proves existence and uniqueness of initial value problems for degenerate elliptic-parabolic equation with nonlinear diffusion and nonlinear dynamical boundary condition. [17] also deals with a degenerate parabolic equation with p-Laplacean and nonlinear dynamic boundary conditions and shows the existence of a global attractor. In [12] a Hamilton–Jacobi equation with dynamic boundary condition is studied: in order to prove existence of a viscosity solution of the initial value problem, an approximating parabolic problem with dynamic boundary conditions is solved. The papers [37] and [11] deal with global existence and convergence to steady states for Cahn-Hilliard and Caginal equations with dynamic boundary conditions and regular potentials. On the other hand, [19], [28], [9], [10], [20] considers different assumptions on the potentials for Cahn-Hilliard and Caginalp phase-field systems.

### 2. Oblique elliptic problems

We consider in this section the elliptic problem:

(2.1) 
$$-\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \beta_j(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} + l(x) u = f(x)$$

for  $x \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $n \geq 3$  and  $\Omega$  a smooth bounded domain, subject to the natural boundary condition:

(2.2) 
$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \nu_i(x) + h(x) u = g(x),$$

where  $h \ge 0$  and  $\overrightarrow{\nu} = (\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_n)$  is the outward normal to  $\partial\Omega$ . We assume all data is regular and set  $A = (a_{ij}), \overrightarrow{\beta} = (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_n)$ . We also assume that (2.1)-(2.2) is elliptic, i.e.  $\langle A \overrightarrow{\xi}, \overrightarrow{\xi} \rangle > \delta |\overrightarrow{\xi}|^2$  for some  $\delta > 0$ , but do not require that A be symmetric. Consequently, condition (2.2) becomes:

$$\langle (A_{\rm s} + A_{\rm a})\nabla u, \overrightarrow{\nu} \rangle + hu = g$$

where  $A_{\rm s} = (A + A^{\top})/2$ ,  $A_{\rm a} = (A - A^{\top})/2$ . Since  $\langle A_{\rm a} \overrightarrow{\nu}, \overrightarrow{\nu} \rangle = 0$ , we recover in this way oblique derivative problems. We remark that if an oblique condition is given a priori, then the form associated with (2.1) can be modified so that the given condition becomes "natural". We will do this later explicitly for a special case, and the general process may be found in detail in the book by Troianiello [32]. We also note that it will be convenient for us to consider a related T-periodic elliptic problem in  $Q_T \triangleq \Omega \times (0, T)$ : now (2.2) is to apply only to  $\partial\Omega \times (0, T)$ , and we add periodic conditions on the problem data and u:

$$u(x,0) = u(x,T)$$
 for  $x \in \Omega$ .

We observe that if u solves either (2.1)–(2.2) or the periodic problem, then u is a classical solution (see, e.g. [32]. For the periodic problem extend u to  $\Omega \times (-T, 2T)$  by periodicity).

LEMMA 2.1. Let  $u \ge 0$ , nontrivial, solve (2.1)–(2.2) then

$$(2.3) \quad 0 \leq \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \left\langle AA_{s}^{-1}A^{\top}\nabla\phi, \nabla\phi \right\rangle + \left\langle \nabla\phi + A_{s}^{-1}A_{a}^{\top}\nabla\phi, \overrightarrow{\beta} \right\rangle \phi + \left\langle A^{-1}\overrightarrow{\beta}, \overrightarrow{\beta} \right\rangle \frac{\phi^{2}}{4} + l\frac{u}{u+\eta}\phi^{2} \right\} + \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{hu}{u+\eta}\phi^{2} - \int_{\Omega} \frac{\phi^{2}}{u+\eta}f - \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\phi^{2}}{u+\eta}g$$

for all  $\phi \in H^1(\Omega)$  and  $\eta > 0$ . If, moreover, f, g are nonnegative and  $R(\phi) \leq 0$ for some nontrivial  $\phi \in H^1(\Omega)$ , where

$$\begin{split} R(\phi) &\triangleq \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \left\langle AA_{\rm s}^{-1}A^{\top}\nabla\phi, \nabla\phi \right\rangle \right. \\ &+ \left\langle \nabla\phi + A_{\rm s}^{-1}A_{\rm a}^{\top}\nabla\phi, \overrightarrow{\beta} \right\rangle \phi + \left\langle A_{\rm s}^{-1}\overrightarrow{\beta}, \overrightarrow{\beta} \right\rangle \frac{\phi^2}{4} + l\phi^2 \right\} + \int_{\partial\Omega} h\phi^2 d\phi \, . \end{split}$$

then either  $\mu\{x \in \Omega \mid u(x) = 0\} + \mu'\{x \in \partial\Omega \mid u(x) = 0\} > 0$  (where  $\mu$  and  $\mu'$  denote the measures in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and in  $\partial\Omega$  respectively), or  $R(\phi) = 0$ ,  $\phi^2 f = \phi^2 g = 0$  and

$$\nabla \left(\frac{\phi}{u}\right) = (A^{\top})^{-1} \left(A_{\mathbf{a}} \frac{\nabla u}{u} - \frac{\overrightarrow{\beta}}{2}\right) \frac{\phi}{u} \quad where ver \ u > 0.$$

PROOF. Assume first that  $\phi(x) > 0$ , u(x) > 0. We observe by direct calculation:

$$\left\langle A_{s}\nabla\left(\frac{\phi}{u}\right),\nabla\left(\frac{\phi}{u}\right)\right\rangle u^{2} = \left\langle A_{s}\nabla\phi,\nabla\phi\right\rangle + 2\left\langle A_{a}^{\top}\nabla\phi,\nabla u\right\rangle\frac{\phi}{u} - \left\langle A^{\top}\nabla\left(\frac{\phi^{2}}{u}\right),\nabla u\right\rangle.$$

Put  $\vec{b} = 2(A_{\rm a}^{\top}\nabla\phi)/\phi$ , whence

$$2\left\langle A_{\mathbf{a}}^{\top}\nabla\phi,\nabla u\right\rangle \frac{\phi}{u} = \left\langle \overrightarrow{b},\nabla u\right\rangle \frac{\phi^{2}}{u} = -\left\langle \overrightarrow{b},\nabla\left(\frac{\phi}{u}\right)\right\rangle u\phi + \left\langle \overrightarrow{b},\nabla\phi\right\rangle\phi.$$

We note that  $\left\langle \overrightarrow{b}, \nabla \phi \right\rangle \phi = 0$ , whence

$$u^{2}\left\langle A_{s}\nabla\left(\frac{\phi}{u}\right),\nabla\left(\frac{\phi}{u}\right)\right\rangle + \left\langle\overrightarrow{b} + \overrightarrow{\beta},\nabla\left(\frac{\phi}{u}\right)\right\rangle u\phi$$
$$= \left\langle A_{s}\nabla\phi,\nabla\phi\right\rangle - \left\langle A^{\top}\nabla\left(\frac{\phi^{2}}{u}\right),\nabla u\right\rangle + \left\langle\overrightarrow{\beta},\nabla\phi\right\rangle\phi - \left\langle\overrightarrow{\beta},\nabla u\right\rangle\frac{\phi^{2}}{u}.$$

We add the term  $\langle (A_s)^{-1}(\overrightarrow{b} + \overrightarrow{\beta}), \overrightarrow{b} + \overrightarrow{\beta} \rangle \phi^2/4$  to both sides, thus completing the square on the left hand side and obtaining

$$\begin{split} 0 &\leq \left\langle A_{\rm s}^{-1} \left[ u A_{\rm s} \nabla \left( \frac{\phi}{u} \right) + \frac{\phi}{2} \left( \overrightarrow{b} + \overrightarrow{\beta} \right) \right], \left[ u A_{\rm s} \nabla \left( \frac{\phi}{u} \right) + \frac{\phi}{2} \left( \overrightarrow{b} + \overrightarrow{\beta} \right) \right] \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle A_{\rm s} \nabla \phi, \nabla \phi \right\rangle + \left\langle \overrightarrow{\beta}, \nabla \phi \right\rangle \phi - \left\langle A^{\top} \nabla \left( \frac{\phi^2}{u} \right), \nabla u \right\rangle \\ &- \left\langle \overrightarrow{\beta}, \nabla u \right\rangle \frac{\phi^2}{u} + \left\langle A_{\rm s}^{-1} \left( \overrightarrow{b} + \overrightarrow{\beta} \right), \overrightarrow{b} + \overrightarrow{\beta} \right\rangle \frac{\phi^2}{4}. \end{split}$$

We expand the last term on the right hand side and obtain:

$$\left\langle A_{\rm s}^{-1} \left( \overrightarrow{b} + \overrightarrow{\beta} \right), \overrightarrow{b} + \overrightarrow{\beta} \right\rangle \frac{\phi^2}{4}$$
  
=  $\left\langle A_{\rm a} A_{\rm s}^{-1} A_{\rm a}^{\top} \nabla \phi, \nabla \phi \right\rangle + \left\langle A_{\rm s}^{-1} A_{\rm a}^{\top} \nabla \phi, \overrightarrow{\beta} \right\rangle \phi + \left\langle A_{\rm s}^{-1} \overrightarrow{\beta}, \overrightarrow{\beta} \right\rangle \frac{\phi^2}{4}.$ 

We thus obtain for x such that  $\phi(x) > 0$ :

$$\begin{split} 0 &\leq \left\langle A_{\rm s}^{-1} \left[ u A_{\rm s} \nabla \left( \frac{\phi}{u} \right) + \frac{\phi}{2} \left( \overrightarrow{b} + \overrightarrow{\beta} \right) \right], \left[ u A_{\rm s} \nabla \left( \frac{\phi}{u} \right) + \frac{\phi}{2} \left( \overrightarrow{b} + \overrightarrow{\beta} \right) \right] \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle A A_{\rm s}^{-1} A^{\top} \nabla \phi, \nabla \phi \right\rangle + \left\langle \nabla \phi + A_{\rm s}^{-1} A_{\rm a}^{\top} \nabla \phi, \overrightarrow{\beta} \right\rangle \phi + \left\langle A_{\rm s}^{-1} \overrightarrow{\beta}, \overrightarrow{\beta} \right\rangle \frac{\phi^2}{4} \\ &- \left\langle A^{\top} \nabla \left( \frac{\phi^2}{u} \right), \nabla u \right\rangle - \left\langle \overrightarrow{\beta}, \nabla u \right\rangle \frac{\phi^2}{u}, \end{split}$$

where we used the identity  $A_s + A_a A_s^{-1} A_a^{\top} = (A_s + A_a) A_s^{-1} (A_s - A_a) = A A_s^{-1} A^{\top}$ . Since on the set  $\{x : \phi(x) = 0\}$  we have  $\nabla \phi = 0$  almost everywhere, the above inequality holds for almost all  $x \in \Omega$ . Integrating, we obtain:

$$\begin{split} 0 &\leq \int_{\Omega} \left\langle A_{\rm s}^{-1} \left[ u A_{\rm s} \nabla \left( \frac{\phi}{u} \right) + \frac{\phi}{2} \left( \overrightarrow{b} + \overrightarrow{\beta} \right) \right], \left[ u A_{\rm s} \nabla \left( \frac{\phi}{u} \right) + \frac{\phi}{2} \left( \overrightarrow{b} + \overrightarrow{\beta} \right) \right] \right\rangle \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \left[ \left\langle A A_{\rm s}^{-1} A^{\top} \nabla \phi, \nabla \phi \right\rangle + \left\langle \overrightarrow{\beta}, \nabla \phi \right\rangle \phi \\ &+ \left\langle A_{\rm s}^{-1} A_{\rm a}^{\top} \nabla \phi, \overrightarrow{\beta} \right\rangle \phi + \left\langle A_{\rm s}^{-1} \overrightarrow{\beta}, \overrightarrow{\beta} \right\rangle \frac{\phi^2}{4} + l \phi^2 \right] \\ &+ \int_{\partial \Omega} h \phi^2 - \int_{\Omega} \frac{\phi^2}{u} f - \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{\phi^2}{u} g. \end{split}$$

If  $u \ge 0$ , we repeat the argument, replacing u by  $u+\eta$ , for  $\eta > 0$ , in the inequality. We obtain the same estimate with

$$\int_{\Omega} l\phi^2 + \int_{\partial\Omega} h\phi^2 - \int_{\Omega} \frac{\phi^2}{u} f - \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\phi^2}{u} g$$

replaced by

$$\int_{\Omega} l \frac{u}{u+\eta} \phi^2 + \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{hu}{u+\eta} \phi^2 - \int_{\Omega} \frac{\phi^2}{u+\eta} f - \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\phi^2}{u+\eta} g$$

That is exactly (2.3).

If moreover  $R(\phi) \leq 0, f, g$  are non-negative and

$$\mu\{x \in \Omega \mid u(x) = 0\} + \mu'\{x \in \partial\Omega \mid u(x) = 0\} = 0,$$

then from (2.3), as  $\eta \to 0$ , we have that  $R(\phi) = 0$ ,  $\phi^2 f = \phi^2 g = 0$  and at any x with u(x) > 0:

$$0 = A_{\rm s} \nabla \left(\frac{\phi}{u}\right) + \frac{\phi}{2u} \left(\overrightarrow{b} + \overrightarrow{\beta}\right)$$
$$= A_{\rm s} \nabla \left(\frac{\phi}{u}\right) - A_{\rm a} \nabla \left(\frac{\phi}{u}u\right) \frac{1}{u} + \frac{\phi}{u} \frac{\overrightarrow{\beta}}{2} = A^{\top} \nabla \left(\frac{\phi}{u}\right) - \left(A_{\rm a} \frac{\nabla u}{u} - \frac{\overrightarrow{\beta}}{2}\right) \frac{\phi}{u}$$
I the result follows.

and the result follows.

As immediate applications of Lemma 2.1 we obtain estimates of the principal eigenvalue of non-symmetric elliptic operators, by letting  $\eta \to 0$ .

COROLLARY 2.2. Let  $\lambda$  denote the principal eigenvalue for (2.1)–(2.2), where  $f \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle \Delta}{=} \lambda u$  and  $g \equiv 0$ , with eigenvector u > 0. Then:

$$\lambda \leq \inf_{\phi \in H^1(\Omega)} \left[ \frac{R(\phi)}{\int_{\Omega} \phi^2} \right].$$

The choice  $\phi \equiv 1$  gives:

COROLLARY 2.3.

$$\lambda \leq \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{\left\langle A_{\rm s}^{-1} \overrightarrow{\beta}, \overrightarrow{\beta} \right\rangle}{4} + l \right) + \int_{\partial \Omega} h \right\}.$$

The following result is an application of Lemma 2.1 to the principal Steklov eigenvalue. We refer to [5] for recent results on Steklov eigenvalues in the symmetric case.

COROLLARY 2.4. Let  $\lambda$  denote the principal eigenvalue for the following Steklov eigenvalue problem:

$$\begin{cases} -\nabla \cdot [A\nabla u] + \overrightarrow{\beta} \cdot \nabla u + lu = 0 & in \ \Omega, \\ \langle A\nabla u, \overrightarrow{\nu} \rangle + hu = \lambda u & on \ \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\lambda \leq \frac{R(\phi)}{\int_{\partial\Omega} \phi^2} \quad for \ all \ \phi \in H^1(\Omega)$$

We comment on the analogous situation for the periodic-elliptic problem in  $Q_T \triangleq \Omega \times (0,T)$ . Observe that in this case similar results hold if all data is periodic and now

$$\phi \in H^{1, \text{per}}(Q_T) = \{ \phi \mid \phi \in H^1(Q_T), \ \phi \text{ is periodic in } x_{n+1} \},$$

once we observe that a solution u must also have  $\partial u/\partial x_{n+1}$  periodic, while on  $\partial \Omega \times (0,T)$  the outward normal  $\overrightarrow{n} = (\overrightarrow{\nu}^{\top}, 0)^{\top}$  must be perpendicular to the  $x_{n+1}$ -axis. In particular it is also convenient to observe for the periodic-elliptic problem we consider next, that in the preceding argument the variables can be treated differently in the case of a cylindrical domain as follows: set  $x_{n+1} = t$ ,  $\nabla u = \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1}, \ldots, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_n}\right)^{\top}$ ,  $\delta u = (\nabla^{\top} u, u_t)^{\top}$ . Consider the problem:

$$\mathcal{L}u \triangleq -\nabla \cdot \left[A\nabla u + \overrightarrow{b} u_t\right] - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[-\overrightarrow{b} \cdot \nabla u + \varepsilon u_t\right] + \overrightarrow{z} \cdot \nabla u + eu_t + ru = f \quad \text{in } Q_T$$

with smooth periodic data, and

$$(A\nabla u + \overrightarrow{b} u_t) \cdot \overrightarrow{\nu} + hu = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times [0, T], u(x, 0) = u(x, T) \qquad \qquad \text{for } x \in \Omega,$$

where  $\overrightarrow{\nu}$  is the outward normal to  $\partial\Omega$ . Assume further that  $A = (a_{ij})$  is a symmetric positive definite  $n \times n$  matrix,  $\varepsilon > 0$ . We then have:

COROLLARY 2.5. Let k > 1, u > 0, and set

$$\mathfrak{A} = \begin{pmatrix} \left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right)A & \overrightarrow{b} \\ -\overrightarrow{b}^{\top} & \varepsilon \end{pmatrix}$$

with  $\mathfrak{A}_s = (\mathfrak{A} + \mathfrak{A}^{\top})/2$ ,  $\mathfrak{A}_a = (\mathfrak{A} - \mathfrak{A}^{\top})/2$ . Let  $\phi$  be smooth, periodic in t. Then:

$$0 \leq \int_{Q_T} \left\langle \frac{A}{k} \nabla \phi, \nabla \phi \right\rangle + \int_{Q_T} \left\langle \overrightarrow{z}, \nabla \phi \right\rangle \phi + \int_{Q_T} \frac{k}{4} \left\langle A^{-1} \overrightarrow{z}, \overrightarrow{z} \right\rangle \phi^2 \\ + \int_{Q_T} \left\langle \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{A}_{\mathbf{s}}^{-1} \mathfrak{A}^\top \delta \phi, \delta \phi \right\rangle + \int_{Q_T} r \phi^2 + \int_0^T \int_{\partial \Omega} \phi^2 h - \int_{Q_T} \frac{\phi^2}{u} (f - eu_t).$$

PROOF. Choose k > 1 and set

$$\mathcal{L}_1 u = -\nabla \cdot \left[\frac{A}{k}\nabla u\right] + \overrightarrow{z} \cdot \nabla u,$$
  
$$\mathcal{L}_2 u = -\nabla \cdot \left[\left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right)A\nabla u + \overrightarrow{b}u_t\right] - \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left[\overrightarrow{b} \cdot \nabla u + \varepsilon u_t\right] + ru.$$

We then observe that  $\mathcal{L}(u) = f$  implies  $\mathcal{L}_1(u) + \mathcal{L}_2(u) = f - eu_t$ . We basically repeat the calculation of Lemma 2.1 for this case and obtain for any  $t \in (0, T)$ :

$$(2.4) \quad 0 \leq \int_{\Omega} \left\langle \frac{A}{k} \nabla \phi, \nabla \phi \right\rangle + \int_{\Omega} \left\langle \overrightarrow{z}, \nabla \phi \right\rangle \phi \\ + \int_{\Omega} \frac{k}{4} \left\langle A^{-1} \overrightarrow{z}, \overrightarrow{z} \right\rangle \phi^{2} - \int_{\partial \Omega} \left\langle \frac{A}{k} \nabla u, \overrightarrow{\nu} \right\rangle \frac{\phi^{2}}{u} - \int_{\Omega} \frac{\phi^{2}}{u} \mathcal{L}_{1}(u).$$

Next observe that once again repeating the calculations of Lemma 2.1 yields, with  $\mathfrak{A}$  replacing A and  $\overrightarrow{\beta} = 0$  in (2.3), and recalling  $\delta \phi = (\nabla \phi, \phi_t)$ 

$$(2.5) \ 0 \leq \int_{Q_T} \langle \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{A}_{\mathbf{s}}^{-1} \mathfrak{A}^\top \delta \phi, \delta \phi \rangle + \int_{Q_T} r \phi^2 - \int_{\partial Q_T} \frac{\phi^2}{u} \langle \mathfrak{A} \delta u, \overrightarrow{n} \rangle - \int_{Q_T} \frac{\phi^2}{u} \mathcal{L}_2(u).$$

Integrating (2.4) with respect to t and adding to (2.5) yield, noting that on  $\partial \Omega \times (0,T)$  the normal  $\overrightarrow{n}$  is perpendicular to the t-axis,

$$(2.6) \quad 0 \leq \int_{Q_T} \left\langle \frac{A}{k} \nabla \phi, \nabla \phi \right\rangle + \int_{Q_T} \left\langle \overrightarrow{z}, \nabla \phi \right\rangle \phi \\ + \int_{Q_T} \frac{k}{4} \left\langle A^{-1} \overrightarrow{z}, \overrightarrow{z} \right\rangle \phi^2 + \int_{Q_T} \left\langle \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{A}_{\mathrm{s}}^{-1} \mathfrak{A}^{\top} \delta \phi, \delta \phi \right\rangle \\ + \int_{Q_T} r \phi^2 - \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\phi^2}{u} \left\langle A \nabla u + \overrightarrow{b} u_t, \overrightarrow{\nu} \right\rangle - \int_{Q_T} \frac{\phi^2}{u} \mathcal{L}(u).$$

We observe that the last two terms of (2.6) are:

$$\int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} \phi^2 h - \int_{Q_T} \frac{\phi^2}{u} \left( f - e u_t \right).$$

# 3. The periodic parabolic problem

We now consider, as an application of the results in Section 2, the following periodic parabolic problem:

(3.1) 
$$\begin{cases} d(x,t)u_t - \Delta u = \left(M(x,t) - P(x,t)u - \int_{\Omega} S(\xi,t)ud\xi\right)u \\ & \text{in } Q_T \triangleq \Omega \times (0,T) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} + c(x,t)\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + h(x,t)u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0,T), \\ d(x,0)u(x,0) = d(x,T)u(x,T) \quad \text{for } x \in \Omega, \\ c(x,0)u(x,0) = c(x,T)u(x,T) \quad \text{for } x \in \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

with  $d, M, S, h \ge 0$ , P, c > 0 and all periodic. Specifically, we perturb the problem to an elliptic equation as follows: for  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$  and a suitable smooth

function  $a(x,t) \ge a_0 > 0$ 

(3.2) 
$$-\frac{\varepsilon}{a(x,t)}u_{tt} + d(x,t)u_t - \Delta u$$
$$= \left(M(x,t) - P(x,t)u - \int_{\Omega} S(\xi,t)u\,d\xi\right)u^+ + \frac{\varepsilon}{a(x,t)}$$

subject to the (dynamic) boundary conditions:

(3.3) 
$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} + c(x,t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + h(x,t) u = 0 \quad (x,t) \in \partial\Omega \times (0,T),$$

$$(3.4) u(x,0) = u(x,T) x \in \Omega$$

We can incorporate (3.3) as a natural condition in (3.2) by dividing (3.3) by c and rewriting (3.2) in the form:

(3.5) 
$$\mathcal{L}_{1}(u) \triangleq -\nabla \cdot \left(a\nabla u + \overrightarrow{b} u_{t}\right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(-\overrightarrow{b} \cdot \nabla u + \varepsilon u_{t}\right) \\ + \nabla a \cdot \nabla u + adu_{t} + \left(\nabla \cdot \overrightarrow{b}\right) u_{t} - \frac{\partial \overrightarrow{b}}{\partial t} \cdot \nabla u \\ = a \left(M - Pu - \int_{\Omega} Su\right) u^{+} + \varepsilon$$

with the following choices: a(x,t) = 1/c(x,t) and  $\overrightarrow{b}: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\overrightarrow{b} \cdot \overrightarrow{\nu} = 1$ on  $\partial \Omega$ , where c is extended to a positive smooth function on  $\overline{Q}_T$  and  $\overrightarrow{\nu}$  denotes the outward normal to  $\partial \Omega$ ). We recall that we are interested in the solution of (3.3)-(3.5) in the limit as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ , and that all data is assumed smooth, periodic.

THEOREM 3.1. Problem (3.3)–(3.5) has a positive classical solution  $u_{\varepsilon}$  for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ . This  $u_{\varepsilon}$  also solves (3.2)–(3.4).

PROOF. First of all add a linear term aRu to both sides of (3.5) so that the left hand side is coercive, and such that any regular solution of (3.3)–(3.5) is positive in  $\Omega \times (0,T)$  by the maximum principle.

Secondly, any solution of (3.3)–(3.5) is bounded above uniformly with respect to  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ . Indeed, since u > 0, we have

$$\left(M+R-Pu-\int_{\Omega}Su\right)u+\frac{\varepsilon}{a}\leq \left(M+R-Pu\right)u+\frac{1}{a_{0}}\leq \frac{\|M+R\|_{\infty}^{2}}{4\min P}+\frac{1}{a_{0}}\triangleq K.$$

Now let z = z(x) > 0 be the solution of

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta z + Rz = K & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial z}{\partial \nu} = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega, \end{cases}$$

and observe that  $\mathcal{L}_1(z-u) + aR(z-u) \geq 0$ , therefore  $u(x,t) \leq z(x)$  again by the maximum principle. In particular, the regularity estimates in [25] show that any solution of (3.2)–(3.4) is bounded in  $C^{\alpha}(\overline{Q}_T)$  with  $\alpha$  and the bound depending only on  $\varepsilon$ . For the reader's convenience, we recall that, if  $\partial\Omega$  is smooth and

 $0 < \alpha < 1, C^{\alpha}(\overline{Q}_T)$  is the Banach space of continuous functions  $u: \overline{Q}_T \to \mathbb{R}$  such that

(3.6) 
$$\langle u \rangle_{Q_T}^{(\alpha)} \triangleq \sup \frac{|u(x,t) - u(x',t')|}{|(x - x',t - t')|^{\alpha}} < +\infty$$

where the supremum is taken over all  $(x,t), (x',t') \in \overline{Q}_T$  such that  $|x-x'|+|t-t'| \leq \rho_0$  for some fixed  $\rho_0 > 0$ . Therefore we can consider the compact operator  $\mathcal{T}: C^{\alpha/2}(\overline{Q}_T) \to C^{\alpha/2}(\overline{Q}_T)$  such that  $\mathcal{T}(\xi)$  is the solution of  $\mathcal{L}_1(v) + aRv = a(M + R - P\xi - \int_{\Omega} S\xi)\xi^+ + \varepsilon$  with (3.3)–(3.4). The existence of a solution  $u_{\varepsilon}$  follows by the Schauder Fixed Point Theorem. Regularity is also immediate from local elliptic estimates (see [25]) after we extend u to  $t \in [-T, 2T]$  by periodicity. Finally the equivalence of (3.3)–(3.5) to (3.2)–(3.4) is by direct calculation, since we observe that (3.3) can be recovered as the natural boundary condition associated with (3.5).

We remark that during the preceding proof we obtained also:

LEMMA 3.2. The solutions  $u_{\varepsilon}$  of (3.2)–(3.4) are bounded above uniformly with respect to  $\varepsilon \in [0, 1]$ .

We employ the results of Section 2 to obtain conditions to ensure that  $u_{\varepsilon} \not\rightarrow 0$ . Specifically:

LEMMA 3.3. If one of the following three conditions is satisfied:

(a) d = d(x) and  $M \ge 0$ , c = c(x) and

$$\int_{Q_T} M > \int_0^T \int_{\partial \Omega} h;$$

(b) d = d(x) and  $M \ge 0$  and the Dirichlet problem:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta w - \overline{M}(x)w = \lambda_1 w & \text{in } \Omega, \\ w = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

has least eigenvalue  $\lambda_1 < 0$  with eigenvector  $\phi_1$ , where

$$\overline{M}(x) = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T M(x,t) dt \quad and \quad \|\phi\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = 1;$$

(c) The quotient d/c is a function of x (we allow  $d \equiv 0$ ) and

(3.7) 
$$\int_{Q_T} \frac{M}{c} > \int_{Q_T} \frac{c}{4} \left| \nabla \left( \frac{1}{c} \right) \right|^2 + \int_0^T \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{h}{c}$$

then  $\{u_{\varepsilon}\}$  are bounded away from zero.

PROOF. We first deal with cases (a) and (b). Since c = c(x) and a(x) = 1/c(x) in (3.2), we observe that  $u_{\varepsilon}$  satisfies:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = \left(M(x,t) - P(x,t)u - \int_{\Omega} Su\right)u^{+} + \frac{\varepsilon}{a(x)} + \frac{\varepsilon u_{tt}}{a(x)} - d(x)u_{t} \\ & \text{in } \Omega, \ t \in (0,T), \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} + h(x,t)u = -c(x)u_{t} & \text{on } \partial\Omega, t \in (0,T), \end{cases}$$

thus we can apply (2.3) in Lemma 2.1 with the choices  $A \equiv \text{diag}(1, \ldots, 1)$ ,  $\overrightarrow{\beta} \equiv 0, \ l \equiv 0, \ g = -c(x) \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial t}$  and

$$f(x,t) = \left(M(x,t) - P(x,t)u_{\varepsilon} - \int_{\Omega} Su_{\varepsilon}\right)u_{\varepsilon}^{+} + \frac{\varepsilon}{a(x)} + \frac{\varepsilon}{a(x)}\frac{\partial^{2}u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial t^{2}} - d(x)\frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial t}$$

and, after integrating on (0, T), we obtain by T-periodicity:

$$0 \leq \int_{Q_T} |\nabla \phi|^2 + \int_0^T \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{h u_{\varepsilon} \phi^2}{u_{\varepsilon} + \eta} - \int_{Q_T} \phi^2 \left( M - P u_{\varepsilon} - \int_{\Omega} S u_{\varepsilon} \right) \frac{u_{\varepsilon}}{u_{\varepsilon} + \eta} - \varepsilon \int_{Q_T} \frac{\phi^2}{a(u_{\varepsilon} + \eta)} \left( \frac{\partial^2 u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial t^2} + 1 \right),$$

where the last integral can be dropped since:

$$\int_{Q_T} \frac{\phi^2}{a(u_{\varepsilon} + \eta)} \frac{\partial^2 u_{\epsilon}}{\partial t^2} = \int_{Q_T} \frac{\phi^2}{a(u_{\varepsilon} + \eta)^2} \left(\frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial t}\right)^2 \ge 0$$

In case (a) we choose  $\phi \equiv 1$  and let  $\eta \to 0$  to obtain

$$0 \leq \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} h - \int_{Q_T} \left( M - Pu_{\varepsilon} - \int_{\Omega} Su_{\varepsilon} \right)$$

and we observe that  $||u_{\varepsilon}||_{L^1}$  cannot tend to zero.

In case (b) we choose  $\phi = \phi_1$  and note that the Dirichlet condition eliminates the boundary integrals. Hence we obtain, as  $\eta \to 0$ ,

$$0 \leq \int_{Q_T} |\nabla \phi_1|^2 - \int_{Q_T} \left( M - Pu_{\varepsilon} - \int_{\Omega} Su_{\varepsilon} \right) \phi_1^2$$
$$= \int_{Q_T} (\overline{M} - \lambda_1) \phi_1^2 - \int_{Q_T} \left( M - Pu_{\varepsilon} - \int_{\Omega} Su_{\varepsilon} \right) \phi_1^2$$

and  $||u_{\varepsilon}||_{L^1} \not\rightarrow 0$  since  $\lambda_1 < 0$ .

Finally, to deal with case (c) we choose a(x,t) = 1/c(x,t) in (3.5) or, equivalently, in (3.2) and we apply Corollary 2.5 with

$$A = \operatorname{diag}(a, \dots, a), \qquad a = \frac{1}{c}, \qquad \overrightarrow{z} = \nabla\left(\frac{1}{c}\right),$$
$$r = \frac{-M + Pu_{\varepsilon} + \int_{\Omega} Su_{\varepsilon}}{c}, \quad e = \frac{d}{c} + \nabla \cdot \overrightarrow{b}, \quad f = \varepsilon, \quad k > 1$$

and  $\phi \equiv 1$  to obtain

$$0 \leq \int_{Q_T} \frac{kc}{4} \left| \nabla \left( \frac{1}{c} \right) \right|^2 + \int_0^T \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{h}{c} \\ - \int_{Q_T} \frac{1}{c} \left( M - Pu_{\varepsilon} - \int_{\Omega} Su_{\varepsilon} \right) + \int_{Q_T} \left( \frac{d}{c} + \nabla \cdot \overrightarrow{b} \right) \frac{(u_{\varepsilon})_t}{u_{\varepsilon}}.$$

Once again, since  $d/c + \nabla \cdot \overrightarrow{b}$  are functions purely of x, integration with respect to t shows that the last term vanishes by periodicity. We thus conclude that  $||u_{\varepsilon}||_{L^1} \neq 0$  if (3.7) holds and the result follows.

We note that since  $u_{\varepsilon}$  are bounded above in  $L^{\infty}$ , then there is a subsequence that converges strongly in  $L^2(Q_T)$  [26], while (3.2)–(3.4) indicate that  $\{u_{\varepsilon}\}$  are also bounded in  $V_2^{1,0}$  (see [26]) by integration. We recall that  $V_2^{1,0}(Q_T)$  can be obtained by completing the Sobolev space  $W_2^{1,1}(Q_T)$  with respect to the norm ess  $\sup_{t\in[0,T]} ||u(\cdot,t)||_{L^2(\Omega)} + ||\nabla u||_{L^2(Q_T)}$ . It follows that without loss of generality we may assume the existence of a nontrivial  $u \ge 0$  such that  $u_{\varepsilon} \to u$ strongly in  $L^2(Q_T)$  and weakly in  $V_2^{1,0}$ .

Let  $\phi: Q_T \to \mathbb{R}$  be a smooth function such that  $\phi(x, 0) = \phi(x, T), \phi_t(x, 0) = \phi_t(x, T)$ . We recall that  $\overrightarrow{b} \cdot \overrightarrow{\nu} = 1$  and integrate (3.2)–(3.4) to obtain:

$$-\int_{Q_T} u_{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (d\phi) + \int_{Q_T} \nabla u_{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla \phi + \int_{Q_T} u_{\varepsilon} \nabla \cdot \left\{ \overrightarrow{b} \left[ h\phi - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (c\phi) \right] \right\} \\ - \varepsilon \int_{Q_T} u_{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \left( \frac{\phi}{a} \right) + \int_{Q_T} \nabla u_{\varepsilon} \cdot \overrightarrow{b} \left[ h\phi - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (c\phi) \right] \\ = \int_{Q_T} \left[ \left( M - Pu_{\varepsilon} - \int_{\Omega} Su_{\varepsilon} \right) u_{\varepsilon} + \frac{\varepsilon}{a} \right] \phi.$$

If one of the conditions of Lemma 3.3 holds, we pass to the limit as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ and find the existence of a weak  $V_2$  solution to (3.1) after noting that functions that are periodic as well as their derivatives are dense in the space of periodic functions.

We have thus obtained:

THEOREM 3.4. If one of the conditions of Lemma 3.3 holds, then there exists a positive weak solution of problem (3.1).

We note some of the consequences of condition (c) of Lemma 3.3, and in particular that if c = c(t), then (3.7) reduces to

$$\int_0^T \frac{1}{c} \left( \int_\Omega M \, dx \right) dt > \int_0^T \frac{1}{c} \left( \int_{\partial \Omega} h \, dx \right) dt.$$

Whence we have

COROLLARY 3.5. If

$$\int_{\Omega} M(x,t_0) \, dx > \int_{\partial \Omega} h(x,t_0)$$

for some  $t_0 \in (0,T)$  and recalling that the coefficients are smooth, then there exists a positive function c = c(t) such that problem (3.1) has a positive solution with d(x,t) = c(t) p(x) and any non-negative function p.

Observe that the condition on d/c will always hold if  $d \equiv 0$ .

#### 4. Solution of the nonlinear periodic parabolic problem

We now consider the existence of a solution of the nonlinear version of problem (3.1) given by

(4.1) 
$$\begin{cases} d(x,t)u_t - \nabla \cdot [A(x,t,u)\nabla u] = \left(M - Pu - \int_{\Omega} Su\right)u & \text{in } Q_T, \\ \langle A(x,t,u)\nabla u, \overrightarrow{\nu} \rangle + c(x,t)u_t + h(x,t)u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0,T), \\ u(x,0) = u(x,T) & x \in \Omega. \end{cases}$$

We recall that all data is smooth,  $c, d, P > 0, M, S, h \ge 0$  and that A is uniformly elliptic and bounded:

$$a_0 \left| \overrightarrow{\xi} \right|^2 \le \left\langle A(x,t,u) \overrightarrow{\xi}, \overrightarrow{\xi} \right\rangle \le A_0 \left| \overrightarrow{\xi} \right|^2 \quad \text{for all suitable } x, t, u, \ \overrightarrow{\xi}$$

for some positive constants  $a_0$ ,  $A_0$ . We do not require A to be symmetric, although we believe the results to be new even in this case. We explicitly observe that henceforth we assume d > 0.

We proceed by observing the following regularity results which will be useful in the next section. Specifically consider the linear parabolic problem:

(4.2) 
$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}(w) \triangleq d(x,t)w_t - \nabla \cdot [B(x,t)\nabla w] + N(x,t)w = f(x,t) & \text{in } Q_T, \\ \langle B\nabla w, \overrightarrow{\nu} \rangle + c(x,t)w_t + h(x,t)w = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0,T) \end{cases}$$

with B, d, N, c, h smooth,  $d, c > 0, h \ge 0, f \in L^{\infty}$  and  $\langle B(x,t) \overrightarrow{\xi}, \overrightarrow{\xi} \rangle \ge a_0 |\overrightarrow{\xi}|^2$  for all suitable  $x, t, \overrightarrow{\xi}$  and a positive constant  $a_0$ . B is not necessarily symmetric. Existence, uniqueness and suitable regularity of the solution of (4.2) follow from [31] and its references and can also be obtained by adaptations of the techniques described in the book [26]. However, for the reader's convenience we list here the properties that we need. For  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , let  $C^{\alpha,\alpha/2}(\overrightarrow{Q}_T)$  denote the Hölder space of continuous functions  $u: \overrightarrow{Q}_T \to \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \langle u(\cdot,t) \rangle_{\Omega}^{(\alpha)} + \sup_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} \langle u(x,\cdot) \rangle_{(0,T)}^{(\alpha/2)} < +\infty$$

(see (3.6) and [26]). In the sequel  $\alpha$  will denote a generic positive constant that may change from proof to proof or even within the same proof. Let N > 0 be sufficiently large. LEMMA 4.1. If the initial data  $w_0$  is smooth, the Initial Value Problem associated with (4.2) has a weak solution  $w \in C^{\alpha,\alpha/2}(\overline{Q}_T) \cap V_2^{1,0}(Q_T)$ . If the initial data satisfies  $w_0 \geq 0$  and  $f \geq 0$ , then  $w \geq 0$ .

We then note that w is defined in  $Q_T$  for any T > 0 and furthermore:

LEMMA 4.2. Let w be the solution of Lemma 4.1. Then

 $\|w\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega \times [T/2,3T/2])} \le K[\|w\|_{L^{2}(\Omega \times [T/4,7T/4])} + \|f\|_{L^{\infty}(Q_{T})}].$ 

LEMMA 4.3. There exist constants  $K_0 > 0$ ,  $\alpha > 0$  such that

 $(4.3) \|w\|_{C^{\alpha,\alpha/2}(\overline{\Omega}\times[T/2,3T/2])} \le K_0[\|w\|_{L^2(\Omega\times(T/4,7T/4))} + \|f\|_{L^{\infty}(Q_T)}]$ 

with  $K_0$  independent of the coefficient N of (4.2). If  $w \ge 0$  and  $f \le 0$  then the dependence on  $||f||_{L^{\infty}(Q_T)}$  may be dropped.

Consider now the periodic problem associated with (4.2). For any  $w_0 \in C^{\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$  ( $\alpha$  small) we put  $\mathcal{T}$  to be the Poincaré map:  $\mathcal{T}(w_0) = w(\cdot, T)$ , where w is the (generalized) solution in  $C^{\alpha,\alpha/2}(\overline{Q}_T) \cap V_2^{1,0}(Q_T)$  of the initial value problem. We then have:

THEOREM 4.4. Let N be large enough. Then the Poincaré map has a fixed point, i.e. problem (4.2) has a unique solution in  $C^{\alpha,\alpha/2}(\overline{Q}_T)$ . The coefficient  $\alpha$ only depends on the estimates for B(x,t), not on B itself.

Without loss of generality, suppose N > 0 and let  $w_0 \in C^{\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ . We recall that the coefficient  $K_0$  in (4.3) is independent of N. Assume  $||w_0||_{C^{\alpha}} \leq C_0 ||f||_{L^{\infty}}$ for some  $C_0$  to be chosen below. The energy inequality yields

$$\|w\|_{L^2(\Omega \times [T/4,7T/4])} \le \frac{B(1+C_0)}{\inf N} \, \|f\|_{L^{\infty}}$$

for some constant B independent of w, f. Choosing N shows that  $\mathcal{T}$  maps a ball in  $C^{\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$  to itself. It is easy to see that  $\mathcal{T}$  is continuous and completely continuous. The fixed point of  $\mathcal{T}$  yields the desired solution, whose uniqueness follows in the usual way by taking differences of two possible solutions.

THEOREM 4.5. If one of the following three conditions is satisfied:

(a) d = d(x) and  $M \ge 0$ , c = c(x) and

$$\int_{Q_T} M > \int_0^T \int_{\partial \Omega} h;$$

(b) d = d(x) and  $M \ge 0$  and the Dirichlet problem:

$$\begin{cases} -\frac{A_0^2}{a_0}\Delta w - \overline{M}(x)w = \lambda_1 w & \text{in } \Omega, \\ w = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega \end{cases}$$

has least eigenvalue  $\lambda_1 < 0$  with eigenfunction  $\phi_1$ , where  $\overline{M}(x) = (1/T) \int_0^T M(x,t) dt$  and  $\|\phi_1\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = 1$ ;

(c) The quotient d/c is a function of x and

$$\int_{Q_T} \frac{M}{c} > \frac{A_0^2}{a_0} \int_{Q_T} \frac{c}{4} \left| \nabla \left( \frac{1}{c} \right) \right|^2 + \int_0^T \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{h}{c}.$$

Then there exists a non-negative solution to problem (4.1).

PROOF. We add the linear term +Nu to both sides of the equation of (4.1), with N > 0 to be chosen later sufficiently large depending only on data, and for  $v \in C^{\alpha,\alpha/2}(\overline{Q}_T)$  put u = Z(v) if and only if

$$du_t - \nabla \cdot [A(x, t, J_\eta(v))\nabla u] + Nu = \left(M + N - Pv - \int_{\Omega} Sv\right)v^+$$

subject to the same boundary conditions of (4.1). Here  $J_{\eta}$  denotes a map:  $C^{\alpha,\alpha/2}(\overline{Q}_T) \to C^{\infty}(\overline{Q}_T)$  such that  $J_{\eta}(v) \to v$  in  $C^{\alpha,\alpha/2}(\overline{Q}_T)$  as  $\eta \to 0$ . Using the previous regularity results, we view Z as a map  $C^{\alpha,\alpha/2}(\overline{Q}_T) \to C^{\alpha,\alpha/2}(\overline{Q}_T)$ , for some small positive  $\alpha$ , whose fixed points are the nonnegative solutions of (4.1).

Under the assumptions of the theorem, no solution u of

(4.4) 
$$du_t - \nabla \cdot [A(x, t, J_\eta(u))\nabla u] = \left(M - Pu - \int_{\Omega} Su\right)u + \varepsilon,$$

subject to the boundary conditions of (4.1) can have a small  $C^{\alpha,\alpha/2}$ -norm for a fixed  $\varepsilon \geq 0$ . In particular we show that the norm of the solutions u to (4.4) are bounded from below independently of  $\varepsilon$  small. Indeed, in case (a) we apply Lemma 2.1 with the choices  $\phi \equiv 1$ ,  $\overrightarrow{\beta} \equiv 0$ ,  $l \equiv 0$ ,  $g = -cu_t$  and

$$f = \left(M - Pu \int_{\Omega} Su\right)u + \varepsilon - du_t$$

we integrate (2.3) on (0, T), use T-periodicity, let  $\eta \to 0$  and obtain

$$\int_{Q_T} M - \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} h \le \int_{Q_T} \left( Pu_\epsilon + \int_\Omega Su_\varepsilon \right) \le (\|P\|_\infty + |\Omega| \|S\|_\infty) \|u_\varepsilon\|_{L^1}.$$

In case (b) we make the same choices as in case (a) except for  $\phi = \phi_1$  and we get

$$\begin{split} \|\phi_1\|_{\infty}^2 (\|P\|_{\infty} + |\Omega| \|S\|_{\infty}) \|u_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^1} &\geq \int_{Q_T} \left( Pu_{\varepsilon} + \int_{\Omega} Su_{\varepsilon} \right) \phi_1^2 \\ &\geq -\int_{Q_T} \langle AA_{\mathrm{s}}^{-1}A^{\top} \nabla \phi_1, \nabla \phi_1 \rangle + \int_{Q_T} M\phi_1^2 \\ &\geq -\int_{Q_T} \frac{A_0^2}{a_0} |\nabla \phi_1|^2 + \int_{Q_T} M\phi_1^2 = -T\lambda_1. \end{split}$$

In case (c) we write (4.4) in the following way:

(4.5) 
$$-\nabla \cdot \left[\frac{A}{c}\nabla u\right] + \left[A^{\top}\nabla\left(\frac{1}{c}\right)\right] \cdot \nabla u = \left(M - Pu - \int_{\Omega} Su\right)\frac{u}{c} + \frac{\varepsilon}{c} - \frac{d}{c}u_t$$

and the boundary condition on  $\partial \Omega \times (0,T)$  as

$$\left\langle \frac{A}{c} \nabla u, \overrightarrow{\nu} \right\rangle + \frac{h}{c} u = -u_t,$$

therefore we can apply Lemma 2.1 with the choices  $\phi \equiv 1$ , A/c in place of A,  $\overrightarrow{\beta} = A^{\top} \nabla(1/c)$ ,  $l \equiv 0$ , h/c in place of h,  $g = -u_t$  and f equal to the right hand side of (4.5). Recalling that now d/c does not depend on t, the usual computations with (2.3) lead to

$$\frac{\|P\|_{\infty} + |\Omega| \|S\|_{\infty}}{\min c} \|u_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{1}} \ge \int_{Q_{T}} \frac{Pu_{\varepsilon} + \int_{\Omega} Su_{\varepsilon}}{c}$$
$$\ge \int_{Q_{T}} \frac{M}{c} - \int_{Q_{T}} \frac{c}{4} \left\langle A_{s}^{-1} A^{\top} \nabla \left(\frac{1}{c}\right), A^{\top} \nabla \left(\frac{1}{c}\right) \right\rangle - \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{h}{c}$$
$$\ge \int_{Q_{T}} \frac{M}{c} - \frac{A_{0}^{2}}{a_{0}} \int_{Q_{T}} \frac{c}{4} \left| \nabla \left(\frac{1}{c}\right) \right|^{2} - \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{h}{c}.$$

In all three cases the norm  $||u_{\varepsilon}||_{L^1}$  is bounded away from zero uniformly with respect to  $\varepsilon$  (and  $\eta$ ), therefore, the same holds for the stronger norm  $||u_{\varepsilon}||_{C^{\alpha,\alpha/2}(\overline{Q}_T)}$ . By the continuity of the Leray-Schauder degree, we conclude that  $\deg(u - Z(u), B_r, 0) = 0$  where  $B_r$  is the ball of radius r in  $C^{\alpha,\alpha/2}(\overline{Q}_T)$  for some small r > 0 independent of  $\eta$ .

In the same way, if

(4.6) 
$$du_t - \nabla \cdot [A(x, t, J_\eta(u))\nabla u] + Nu = \lambda \left[ \left( M + N - Pu - \int_{\Omega} Su \right) u^+ \right]$$

for some  $\lambda$ ,  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ , then we show that  $||u||_{L^2}$  is bounded uniformly with respect to  $\lambda$  (and  $\eta$ ). Indeed, we multiply both sides of equation (4.6) by u and integrate over  $Q_T$  using the boundary conditions and obtain

$$\int_{Q_T} \left( M + N - Pu - \int_{\Omega} Su \right) u^2$$
  

$$\geq a_0 \int_{Q_T} |\nabla u|^2 + \int_0^T \int_{\partial \Omega} hu^2 + \int_{Q_T} \left( N - \frac{d_t}{2} \right) u^2 - \int_0^T \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{c_t u^2}{2}$$

Now, let the function c be extended to a smooth T-periodic function on  $\overline{Q}_T$  and let  $\overrightarrow{b}: \overline{\Omega} \to \mathbb{R}^n$  be a smooth vector field such that  $\overrightarrow{b} \cdot \overrightarrow{\nu} = 1$  on  $\partial \Omega$ . We can

estimate the last integral in the preceding inequality as follows:

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{c_t u^2}{2} = \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{c_t u^2}{2} \overrightarrow{b} \cdot \overrightarrow{\nu} = \int_{\Omega} u^2 \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{c_t}{2} \overrightarrow{b}\right) + \int_{\Omega} c_t u \overrightarrow{b} \cdot \nabla u$$
$$\leq \int_{\Omega} u^2 \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{c_t}{2} \overrightarrow{b}\right) + \eta \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 + \int_{\Omega} \frac{c_t^2 |\overrightarrow{b}|^2}{4\eta} u^2$$

for any  $\eta > 0$ . Therefore, if we choose  $\eta < a_0$  and

$$N > \sup_{\overline{Q}_T} \left[ \frac{d_t}{2} + \nabla \cdot \left( \frac{c_t}{2} \overrightarrow{b} \right) + \frac{c_t^2 |\overrightarrow{b}|^2}{4\eta} \right],$$

we have that

$$0 < \int_{Q_T} \left( M + N - Pu - \int_{\Omega} Su \right) u^2 \le (\|M\|_{\infty} + N) \|u\|_{L^2}^2 - \frac{\min P}{|Q_T|^{1/2}} \|u\|_{L^2}^3$$

by Hölder's inequality and, hence,  $||u||_{L^2}$  is bounded uniformly with respect to  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ .

We conclude from (4.3) by periodicity that  $||u||_{C^{\alpha,\alpha/2}(\overline{Q}_T)}$  is bounded uniformly with respect to  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ . It follows that  $\deg(u - Z(u), B_R \setminus \overline{B}_r, 0) = 1$ and the existence of a nontrivial nonnegative solution to (4.1) is immediate by the properties of the Leray-Schauder degree and a limit argument as  $\eta \to 0$  (we recall that the obtained bounds on u are uniform with respect to  $\eta$ ).

REMARK 4.6. If A(x, t, u) is symmetric then the constant  $A_0^2/a_0$  in conditions (b) and (c) can be replaced by  $A_0$ .

#### References

- T. AIKI, Periodic stability of solutions to some degenerate parabolic equations with dynamic boundary conditions, J. Math. Soc. Japan 48 (1996), 37–59.
- [2] W. ALLEGRETTO AND P. NISTRI, Existence and optimal control for periodic parabolic equations with nonlocal terms, IMA J. Math. Control Inform. 16 (1999), 43–58.
- J. ANDRES AND L. GÓRNIEWICZ, Topological Fixed Point Principles for Boundary Value Problems, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2003.
- [4] F. ANDREU, N. IGBIDA, J.M. MAZÓN AND J. TOLEDO, Renormalized solutions for degenerate elliptic-parabolic problems with nonlinear dynamical boundary conditions and L<sup>1</sup>-data, J. Differential Equations 244 (2008), 2764–2803.
- G. AUCHMUTY, Steklov eigenproblems and the representation of solutions of elliptic boundary value problems, Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim. 25 (2004), 321–348.
- [6] A. CALSINA AND C. PERELLO, Equations for biological evolution,, Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 125 (1995), 939–958.
- [7] A. CALSINA, C. PERELLO AND J. SALDANA, Non-local reaction-diffusion equations modelling predator-prey coevolution, Publ. Mat. 38 (1994), 315–325.
- [8] C.C. CASAL, J.I. DÍAZ AND J.M. VEGAS, Blow-up in functional partial differential equations with large amplitude memory terms, XXI Congress de Ecuaciones Diferenciales y Aplicaciones, XI Congress de Matemática Aplicada, Ciudad Real, 2009, pp. 21–25, http://matematicas.uclm.es/cedya09/archive/textos/123\_Casal-Piga-A.pdf.

- C. CAVATERRA, C.G. GAL, M. GRASSELLI AND A. MIRANVILLE, Phase-field systems with nonlinear coupling and dynamic boundary conditions, Nonlinear Anal. 72 (2010), 2375–2399.
- [10] L. CHERFILS AND A. MIRANVILLE, On the Caginalp system with dynamic boundary conditions and singular potentials, Appl. Math. 54 (2009), 89–115.
- [11] R. CHILL, E. FAŠANGOVÁ AND J. PRÜSS, Convergence to steady states of solutions of the Cahn-Hilliard and Caginal equations with dynamic boundary conditions, Math. Nachr. 279 (2006), 1448–1462.
- [12] C.M. ELLIOTT, Y. GIGA AND S. GOTO, Dynamic boundary conditions for Hamilton-Jacobi equations, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 34 (2003), 861–881.
- [13] J. ESCHER, Nonlinear elliptic systems with dynamic boundary conditions, Math. Z. 210 (1992), 413–439.
- [14] \_\_\_\_\_, Quasilinear parabolic systems with dynamical boundary conditions, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 18 (1993), 1309–1364.
- [15] \_\_\_\_\_\_, On the qualitative behaviour of some semilinear parabolic problems, Differential Integral Equations 8 (1995), 247–267.
- [16] Z.-H. FAN AND C.-K. ZHONG, Attractors for parabolic equations with dynamic boundary conditions, Nonlinear Anal. 68 (2008), 1723–1732.
- [17] C.G. GAL AND M. WARMA, Well posedness and the global attractor of some quasi-linear parabolic equations with nonlinear dynamic boundary conditions, Differential Integral Equations 23 (2010), 327–358.
- [18] G. GALIANO AND J. VELASCO, A dynamic boundary value problem arising in the ecology of mangroves, Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl. 7 (2006), 1129–1144.
- [19] G. GILARDI, A. MIRANVILLE AND G. SCHIMPERNA, Long time behavior of the Cahn-Hilliard equation with irregular potentials and dynamic boundary conditions, Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B 31 (2010), 679–712.=.
- [20] M. GRASSELLI, A. MIRANVILLE AND G. SCHIMPERNA, The Caginal phase-field system with coupled dynamic boundary conditions and singular potentials, Discrete Contin. Dynam. Systems 28 (2010), 67–98.
- [21] D. GUIDETTI, On elliptic boundary value problems with dynamic boundary conditions of parabolic type, Differential Integral Equations 7 (1994), 873–884.
- [22] T. HINTERMAN, Evolution equations with dynamic boundary conditions, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 113 (1989), 43–60.
- [23] B.A. JUCKER, H. HARMS AND A.J.B. ZEHNDER, Adhesion of the positively charged bacterium Stenotrophomonas (Xanthomonas) maltophilia 70401 to glass and teflon, J. Bacteriol. 178 (1996), 5472–5479.
- [24] G.S. LADDE, V. LAKSHMIKANTHAM AND A.S. VATSALA, Monotone Iterative Techniques for Nonlinear Differential Equations, Monographs, Advanced Texts and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 27, Pitman (Advanced Publishing Program) Boston, MA; distributed by John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1985.
- [25] O.A. LADYZHENSKAYA AND N.N. URAL'TSEVA, Linear and quasilinear elliptic equations, Academic Press, New York-London, 1968.
- [26] O. LADYZENSKAJA, V.A. SOLONNIKOV AND N.N. URAL'CEVA, Linear and Quasi-Linear Equations of Parabolic Type, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, vol. 23, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1968.
- [27] S. LENHART AND V. PROTOPOPESCU OPTIMAL CONTROL FOR PARABOLIC SYSTEMS WITH COMPETITIVE INTERACTIONS, Math. Methods Appl. Sci. 17 (1994), 509–524.
- [28] A. MIRANVILLE AND S. ZELIK, The Cahn-Hilliard equation with singular potentials and dynamic boundary conditions, Discrete Contin. Dynam. Systems 28 (2010), 275–310.
- [29] C.V. PAO, Nonlinear Parabolic and Elliptic Equations, Plenum Press, New York, 1992.

- [30] J. PETERSSON, a note on quenching for parabolic equations with dynamic boundary conditions, Nonlinear Anal. 58 (2004), 417–423.
- [31] J.F. RODRIGUES, V.A. SOLONNIKOV AND F. YI, On a parabolic system with time derivative in the boundary conditions and related free boundary problems, Math. Ann. 315 (1999), 61–95.
- [32] G.M. TROIANIELLO, Elliptic Differential Equations and Obstacle Problems, The University Series in Mathematics, Plenum Press, New York, 1987.
- [33] J.L. VÁZQUEZ AND E. VITILLARO, Heat equation with dynamical boundary conditions of reactive type, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 33 (2008), 561–612.
- [34] \_\_\_\_\_, On the Laplace equation with dynamical boundary conditions of reactive-diffusive type, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 354 (2009), 674–688.
- [35] E. VITILLARO, Global existence for the heat equation with nonlinear dynamical boundary conditions, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 135 (2005), 175–207.
- [36] \_\_\_\_\_, On the Laplace equation with non-linear dynamical boundary conditions, Proc. London Math. Soc. 93 (2006), 418–446.
- [37] H. WU AND S. ZHENG, Convergence to equilibrium for the Cahn-Hilliard equation with dynamic boundary conditions, J. Differential Equations 204 (2004), 511–531.
- [38] Z. YIN, Global existence for elliptic equations with dynamic boundary conditions, Arch. Math. 81 (2003), 567–574.

Manuscript received March 24, 2011

WALTER ALLEGRETTO Department of Mathematical and Statistical Sciences University of Alberta Edmonton, Alberta T6G 2G1, CANADA *E-mail address*: wallegre@math.ualberta.ca

DUCCIO PAPINI Dipartimento di Ingegneria dell'Informazione Università degli Studi di Siena via Roma 56 53100 Siena, ITALY

E-mail address: papini@dii.unisi.it

 $\mathit{TMNA}$  : Volume 40 - 2012 - N° 2