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#### Abstract

The aim of this article is to give a new proof of Cohen-Gabber theorem in the equal characteristic $p>0$ case.


1. Introduction. Cohen proved the structure theorem on complete local rings in [2] and since then, it has been used as a basic tool in commutative algebra. Since our main concern is in rings of positive characteristic, let us recall its statement in the equal characteristic $p>0$ case, where $p$ is a prime number. Let $(A, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a complete local ring of dimension $d \geq 0$ and of equal characteristic $p>0$. In particular, $k$ is a field of characteristic $p$. Then there exists a coefficient field $\phi: k \rightarrow A$, together with a system of parameters $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}$ of $A$ such that there is a module-finite extension $\phi(k)\left[\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right]\right] \subset A$, where $\phi(k)\left[\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right]\right]$ is a complete regular local ring of dimension $d$. The aim of this article is to give a new and elementary proof of Cohen-Gabber theorem which is stated as follows:

Theorem 1.1 (Cohen-Gabber). Assume that $(A, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ is a complete local ring of dimension $d \geq 0$ and of equal characteristic $p>0$ and let $\pi: A \rightarrow k=A / \mathfrak{m}$ be the quotient map. Then there exists a system of parameters $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{d}$ of $A$ and a ring map $\phi: k \rightarrow A$ such that the following hold: $\pi \circ \phi=\mathrm{id}_{k}$, the natural map

$$
\phi(k)\left[\left[y_{1}, \ldots, y_{d}\right]\right] \subset A
$$

is module-finite, and $\operatorname{Frac}\left(\phi(k)\left[\left[y_{1}, \ldots, y_{d}\right]\right]\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Frac}(A / P)$ is a separable field extension for any minimal prime $P$ of $A$ such that $\operatorname{dim} A / P=d$.

The above theorem is seen as a strengthened version of Cohen structure theorem in that the module-finite extension $\phi(k)\left[\left[y_{1}, \ldots, y_{d}\right]\right] \subset A$ can be made to be generically étale when the local ring $R$ is reduced and equi-dimensional. Theorem 1.1 is formulated and proved by Gabber in [3, Théorème 7.1] and [5, Exposé IV, Théorème 2.1.1]. It plays a role in the proof of Gabber's alteration theorem with applications to étale cohomology. It is also essential in the proof of the Bertini-type theorem for reduced hyperplane quotients of complete local rings of characteristic $p>0$. This result is proved in [7]. Finally, we mention that there is a version of Theorem 1.1 for an affine domain over a perfect field (see [8, Theorem 4.2.2]).

[^0]2. Preliminaries. We collect some facts that we shall use in this paper. All rings are assumed to be commutative and Noetherian with unity. A local ring is a Noetherian ring with a unique maximal ideal and it is denoted by the symbol $(A, \mathfrak{m}, k)$. We denote by $\operatorname{Frac}(A)$ the total ring of fractions of a commutative ring $A$.

Definition 2.1. (1) A coefficient field of a complete local ring ( $A, \mathfrak{m}, k$ ) is a ring map $\phi: k \rightarrow A$ such that $\pi \circ \phi=\operatorname{id}_{k}$, where $\pi: A \rightarrow k=A / \mathfrak{m}$ is the quotient map. In particular, if a complete local ring has a coefficient field, this local ring contains the field of rationals $\mathbb{Q}$ or the finite field $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ for some prime number $p$.
(2) Let $K / k$ be a field extension such that the characteristic of $k$ is $p$ and let $\left\{\alpha_{i}\right\}_{i \in \Lambda}$ be a set of elements of $K$. Then say that $\left\{\alpha_{i}\right\}_{i \in \Lambda}$ is a $p$-basis of $K$ over $k$, if $\left\{d \alpha_{i}\right\}_{i \in \Lambda}$ form a basis of the $K$-vector space $\Omega_{K / k}$, where $\Omega_{K / k}$ is the module of differentials of $K$ over $k$. Note that $K$ is a perfect field if $\Omega_{K / \mathbb{F}_{p}}=0$.

We recall a fundamental theorem on the existence of a coefficient field. For the proof, see [1, Chapitre IX, § 3, $\mathrm{n}^{0} 3$, Théorème 1 b ].

THEOREM 2.2 (Cohen). Let $(A, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a complete local ring of equal characteristic $p>0$. Let $\left\{\alpha_{i}\right\}_{i \in \Lambda}$ be a set of elements of $A$ and let $\left\{\overline{\alpha_{i}}\right\}_{i \in \Lambda}$ be its image in $A / \mathfrak{m}=k$. If $\left\{\overline{\alpha_{i}}\right\}_{i \in \Lambda}$ is a p-basis of $k$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$, then there exists the unique coefficient field $\phi: k \rightarrow A$ such that $\phi\left(\overline{\alpha_{i}}\right)=\alpha_{i}$ for each $i \in \Lambda$. Moreover, if $k$ is a perfect field, then $A$ has the unique coefficient field.

We recall Weierstrass Preparation Theorem. An element $f \in A[[X]]$ over a local ring $(A, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ is called a distinguished polynomial of degree $n$, if we can write $f=X^{n}+$ $a_{n-1} X^{n-1}+\cdots+a_{1} X+a_{0}$ for some integer $n \geq 0$ and $a_{0}, \ldots, a_{n-1} \in \mathfrak{m}$.

Theorem 2.3 (Weierstrass Preparation Theorem). Let $(A, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a complete local ring and let $B=A[[X]]$. Let $f=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_{i} X^{i} \in B$ be a non-zero element with $a_{i} \in A$. If there exists a natural number $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a_{i} \in \mathfrak{m}$ for all $i<n$ and $a_{n} \notin \mathfrak{m}$, then we have $f=u \cdot f_{0}$, where $u$ is a unit in $B$ and $f_{0} \in B$ is a distinguished polynomial of degree $n$. Furthermore, $u$ and $f_{0}$ are uniquely determined by $f$.

See [4] for a short proof of this theorem.
REMARK 2.4. (1) Let $(A, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a $d$-dimensional complete local ring, let $\phi: k \rightarrow$ $A$ be a coefficient field and let $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d} \in \mathfrak{m}$. Then there is a natural injective ring map

$$
f: \phi(k)\left[\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right]\right] \subset A .
$$

Here, $\phi(k)\left[\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right]\right]$ is the image of the map $\phi(k)\left[\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{d}\right]\right] \rightarrow A$ defined by $X_{i} \mapsto$ $x_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, d$, where $\phi(k)\left[\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{d}\right]\right]$ is the formal power series ring over $\phi(k)$ with variables $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{d}$. The map $f$ is module-finite and $\phi(k)\left[\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right]\right]$ is isomorphic to a formal power series ring with $d$ variables if and only if $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}$ is a system of parameters of $A$.

Suppose that $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}, x_{d+1}, \ldots, x_{d+h}$ generate $\mathfrak{m}$ such that $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}$ is a system of parameters of $A$. Then there is a module-finite ring map

$$
\phi(k)\left[\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right]\right] \subset A=\phi(k)\left[\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}, x_{d+1}, \ldots, x_{d+h}\right]\right] .
$$

Since $A /\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)$ is a finite dimensional $\phi(k)$-vector space spanned by monomials on $x_{d+1}, \ldots, x_{d+h}, A$ is a finitely generated $\phi(k)\left[\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right]\right]$-module also spanned by monomials on $x_{d+1}, \ldots, x_{d+h}$ (cf. [6, Theorem 8.4]), i.e.,

$$
A=\phi(k)\left[\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}, x_{d+1}, \ldots, x_{d+h}\right]\right]=\phi(k)\left[\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right]\right]\left[x_{d+1}, \ldots, x_{d+h}\right] .
$$

(2) Let $R:=k\left[\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r}\right]\right]$ be a formal power series ring over a field $k$ and choose $f \neq 0 \in R$. Write

$$
f=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b_{i} X_{r}^{i}
$$

with $b_{i} \in k\left[\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r-1}\right]\right]$. Let $\mathfrak{n}$ be the maximal ideal of $k\left[\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r-1}\right]\right]$ and assume that $b_{0}, \ldots, b_{\ell-1} \in \mathfrak{n}$ and $b_{\ell} \notin \mathfrak{n}$ for some $\ell>0$. By Theorem 2.3, there is a unit $u \in R^{\times}$ together with a distinguished polynomial $g=X_{r}^{\ell}+a_{\ell-1} X_{r}^{\ell-1}+\cdots+a_{0}$ with $a_{0}, \ldots, a_{\ell-1} \in \mathfrak{n}$ such that

$$
f=u \cdot g
$$

The ring injection $k\left[\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r-1}\right]\right] \subset k\left[\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r}\right]\right]$ induces an injection

$$
S:=k\left[\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r-1}\right]\right] \rightarrow R /(f)=: A .
$$

Here, $A$ is the $S$-free module with free basis $1, X_{r}, \ldots, X_{r}^{\ell-1}$. In particular, the ideal $(f)=$ $(g)$ of $R$ does not contain any non-zero polynomial in $S\left[X_{r}\right]$ of degree strictly less than $\ell$.

We give an example to illustrate the situation. Let $A:=\mathbb{F}_{p}[[X, Y]] /(f)$ with $f=$ $\left(X^{p}+t Y^{p}\right)(X+1)$, where $t$ is transcendental over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ and $X, Y$ are variables.

Then we have

$$
\frac{\partial f}{\partial X}=X^{p}+t Y^{p}=0 \text { in } A .
$$

We note that $f$ is a not a distinguished polynomial with respect to $X$.
REMARK 2.5. Let $(A, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a $d$-dimensional local ring such that $\mathfrak{m}$ is minimally generated by $d+h$ elements. By the prime avoidance theorem, we can find $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d+h} \in \mathfrak{m}$ such that

- $\mathfrak{m}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d+h}\right)$, and
- any $d$ elements in $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d+h}\right\}$ form a system of parameters of $A$.

3. Proof of Cohen-Gabber theorem. We shall prove Theorem 1.1 in this section.

Let $(A, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a $d$-dimensional complete local ring containing a field of characteristic $p>0$. Let $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{r}$ be the set of minimal prime ideals of $A$ of coheight $d$. If Theorem 1.1 is proved for $\tilde{A}:=A / P_{1} \cap \cdots \cap P_{r}$, then the same is true for $A$. Indeed, $\tilde{A}$ is an equi-dimensional reduced local ring of dimension $d$. Then, if we can find a required coefficient field together with a system of parameters for $\tilde{A}$, we can lift them to $A$ by Theorem 2.2. Therefore, we may assume that
$(A, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ is a $d$-dimensional reduced equi-dimensional complete local ring containing a field of characteristic $p>0$.
First we give a proof of the hardest case of Cohen-Gabber theorem.
Proposition 3.1. Let $(A, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a ring as in (3.1). Assume that the ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ is generated by $d+1$ elements. Then there exists a system of parameters $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{d}$ of $A$ and $a$ ring map $\phi: k \rightarrow A$ such that the following hold: $\pi \circ \phi=\mathrm{id}_{k}$, the natural map

$$
\phi(k)\left[\left[y_{1}, \ldots, y_{d}\right]\right] \subset A
$$

is module-finite, and $\operatorname{Frac}\left(\phi(k)\left[\left[y_{1}, \ldots, y_{d}\right]\right]\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Frac}(A / P)$ is a separable field extension for any minimal prime $P \subset A$.

Proof. We fix a coefficient field $\phi: k \rightarrow A$ together with a set of elements $x_{1}, \ldots$, $x_{d+1} \in \mathfrak{m}$ which satisfy the conclusion of Remark 2.5 . Then we have a module-finite injection

$$
k\left[\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{d}\right]\right] \rightarrow A
$$

by mapping $k$ to $\phi(k)$ and each $X_{i}$ to $x_{i}$. Since $A$ is reduced and equi-dimensional, after embedding $k\left[\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{d}\right]\right]$ to $R:=k\left[\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{d}, X_{d+1}\right]\right]$ in the natural way, we get a presentation:

$$
k\left[\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{d}\right]\right] \subset R \rightarrow R /(f)=A
$$

where $f=f_{1} \cdots f_{r}$ such that $f_{i}$ is irreducible for $i=1, \ldots, r$. Furthermore, we may assume that each $f_{i}$ is a distinguished polynomial with respect to $X_{d+1}$. Here, remark that, since $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{d}, f_{i}$ is a system of parameters of $R$ for $i=1, \ldots, r$, each $f_{i}$ satisfies the assumption of Theorem 2.3. In summary,
(1) any $d$ elements in $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d+1}\right\}$ form a system of parameters of $A$,
(2) $f=f_{1} \cdots f_{r}$ is a factorization, where each $f_{i}$ is a prime element of $R$, and
(3) each $f_{i}$ is a distinguished polynomial with respect to $X_{d+1}$.

We claim the following fact.
Claim 3.2. After replacing a coefficient field of $A$ and $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d+1}$ if necessary, the following formula together with (1), (2) and (3) above holds:

$$
\frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial X_{1}} \neq 0 \text { in } R
$$

for all $i=1, \ldots, r$.

Before proving this claim, let us see how Proposition 3.1 follows from it. Since $f_{i}$ is a distinguished polynomial with respect to $X_{d+1}$, it follows from Claim 3.2 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial X_{1}} \notin\left(f_{i}\right) \text { in } R \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $\operatorname{deg}_{X_{d+1}} \frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial X_{1}}$ is strictly less than $\operatorname{deg}_{X_{d+1}} f_{i}$ (see Remark 2.4 (2)). Since $x_{2}, \ldots, x_{d+1}$ form a system of parameters of $A$ by (1), the composite ring map

$$
k\left[\left[X_{2}, \ldots, X_{d+1}\right]\right] \subset R \rightarrow R /(f)=A \rightarrow R /\left(f_{i}\right)
$$

is module-finite. Then by Remark 2.4, we can find a unit $u_{i} \in R^{\times}$such that $g_{i}:=f_{i} \cdot u_{i}$ is a distinguished polynomial with respect to $X_{1}$ for $i=1, \ldots, r$. Moreover, $g_{i}$ is a minimal polynomial of $x_{1} \in A$ over $\operatorname{Frac}\left(k\left[\left[X_{2}, \ldots, X_{d+1}\right]\right]\right)$. By Leibniz rule, we get

$$
\frac{\partial g_{i}}{\partial X_{1}}=\frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial X_{1}} u_{i}+f_{i} \frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial X_{1}} .
$$

Then by (3.2),

$$
\frac{\partial g_{i}}{\partial X_{1}} \notin\left(f_{i}\right)
$$

and in particular,

$$
\frac{\partial g_{i}}{\partial X_{1}} \neq 0 \text { in } R .
$$

Since $g_{i}$ is a distinguished polynomial with respect to $X_{1}$ satisfying the above, it follows that $g_{i}$ is a separable polynomial over $\operatorname{Frac}\left(k\left[\left[X_{2}, \ldots, X_{d+1}\right]\right]\right)$. Therefore, the field extension

$$
\operatorname{Frac}\left(k\left[\left[X_{2}, \ldots, X_{d+1}\right]\right]\right) \subset \operatorname{Frac}\left(R /\left(f_{i}\right)\right)=\operatorname{Frac}\left(R /\left(g_{i}\right)\right)
$$

is finite separable and this proves Proposition 3.1.
Proof of Claim 3.2. The point is to make a good choice of a coefficient field of $A$. Consider the following condition for some $s \geq 1$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial X_{1}} \neq 0 \quad \text { for } i=1, \ldots, s-1 \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{\partial f_{s}}{\partial X_{1}}=0 \text { in } R \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume (3.3). Then we shall prove that
after replacing a coefficient field $\phi: k \rightarrow A$ and $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{d+1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial X_{1}} \neq 0 \text { holds for every } i=1, \ldots, s \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We prove (3.4) in 2 steps below. Keep in mind that we assume (3.3).
Step 1. Let us assume that the following condition holds.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { There exists some } j \geq 2 \text { such that } \frac{\partial f_{s}}{\partial X_{j}} \neq 0 \text { in } R \text {. } \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Write

$$
f_{s}=\sum_{a, b} F_{a, b} X_{1}^{a} X_{j}^{b}
$$

where

$$
F_{a, b}:=F_{a, b}\left(X_{2}, \ldots, X_{j-1}, X_{j+1}, \ldots, X_{d+1}\right) \in k\left[\left[X_{2}, \ldots, X_{j-1}, X_{j+1}, \ldots, X_{d+1}\right]\right] .
$$

By hypothesis (3.3), if $F_{a, b} \neq 0$, then we have $p \mid a$. Define

$$
b_{0}:=\min \left\{b \mid p \nmid b \text { and } F_{a, b} \neq 0 \text { for some } a \geq 0\right\}
$$

and

$$
a_{0}:=\min \left\{a \mid F_{a, b_{0}} \neq 0\right\} .
$$

Note that $p \mid a_{0}$. We prove the following claim.

- Any choice of $d$ elements from the set $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{j-1}, x_{j}-x_{1}^{n}, x_{j+1}, \ldots, x_{d+1}\right\}$ forms a system of parameters of $A$ for $n \gg 0$.
It suffices to take care of the $d$ elements $x_{2}, \ldots, x_{j-1}, x_{j}-x_{1}^{n}, x_{j+1}, \ldots, x_{d+1}$. Let $P \in$ $\operatorname{Min}\left(A /\left(x_{2}, \ldots, x_{j-1}, x_{j+1}, \ldots, x_{d+1}\right)\right)$. Then we have $x_{j}-x_{1}^{n} \notin P$ for $n \gg 0$. Indeed, if this is not the case, there exist $n_{1}$ and $n_{2}$ such that $n_{1}<n_{2}$ and $x_{j}-x_{1}^{n_{1}}, x_{j}-x_{1}^{n_{2}} \in P$. Then we would have that

$$
x_{1}^{n_{1}}\left(1-x_{1}^{n_{2}-n_{1}}\right) \in P \text { and thus } x_{1} \in P
$$

This is a contradiction. Hence the claim follows. By (3.3), we may assume that the following condition is satisfied.
(3.6) For $i=1, \ldots, s-1$, the coefficient of $X_{1}^{c_{i, 1}} \cdots X_{d+1}^{c_{i, d+1}}$ in $f_{i}$ is not zero and $p \nmid c_{i, 1}$.

For the sequence $c_{1,1}, c_{2,1}, \ldots, c_{s-1,1}$ as above, let us make a choice of an integer $q>0$ such that the following condition holds.

- Let $n:=q p+1$. Furthermore, $n$ is strictly greater than any element in the set $\left\{a_{0}, c_{1,1}, c_{2,1}, \ldots, c_{s-1,1}\right\}$, and any choice of $d$ elements from the set $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{j-1}\right.$, $\left.x_{j}-x_{1}^{n}, x_{j+1}, \ldots, x_{d+1}\right\}$ forms a system of parameters of $A$.
We put

$$
Y_{t}:=X_{t} \quad \text { for } t=1, \ldots, j-1, j+1, \ldots, d+1 \quad \text { and } \quad Y_{j}:=X_{j}-X_{1}^{n} .
$$

Then

$$
g_{i}\left(Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{d+1}\right):=f_{i}\left(Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{j-1}, Y_{j}+Y_{1}^{n}, Y_{j+1}, \ldots, Y_{d+1}\right) \in R=k\left[\left[Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{d+1}\right]\right]
$$

is still a distinguished polynomial with respect to $Y_{d+1}$. Let us look at the partial derivative of $g_{i}$ with respect to $Y_{1}$ for $i=1, \ldots, s-1$. Note that

$$
g_{i}\left(Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{d+1}\right)=Y_{1}^{n} \cdot h\left(Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{d+1}\right)+f_{i}\left(Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{d+1}\right)
$$

for some $h\left(Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{d+1}\right) \in R=k\left[\left[Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{d+1}\right]\right]$. Since the coefficient of $Y_{1}^{c_{i, 1}} Y_{2}^{c_{i, 2}} \ldots$ $Y_{d+1}^{c_{i, d+1}}$ in $f_{i}\left(Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{d+1}\right)$ is not zero and $c_{i, 1}<n$, the coefficient of $Y_{1}^{c_{i, 1}} Y_{2}^{c_{i, 2}} \cdots Y_{d+1}^{c_{i, d+1}}$ in $g_{i}\left(Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{d+1}\right)$ turns out to be not zero. Since $p \nmid c_{i, 1}$ by (3.6), it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial g_{i}}{\partial Y_{1}} \neq 0 \text { in } R \text { for } i=1, \ldots, s-1 \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, define $G_{a, b}\left(Y_{2}, \ldots, Y_{j-1}, Y_{j+1}, \ldots, Y_{d+1}\right) \in k\left[\left[Y_{2}, \ldots, Y_{j-1}, Y_{j+1}, \ldots, Y_{d+1}\right]\right]$ by the following equation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{s}\left(Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{d+1}\right) & =\sum_{a, b} F_{a, b}\left(Y_{2}, \ldots, Y_{j-1}, Y_{j+1}, \ldots, Y_{d+1}\right) Y_{1}^{a}\left(Y_{j}+Y_{1}^{n}\right)^{b} \\
& =\sum_{a, b} G_{a, b}\left(Y_{2}, \ldots, Y_{j-1}, Y_{j+1}, \ldots, Y_{d+1}\right) Y_{1}^{a} Y_{j}^{b}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we claim that $G_{a_{0}+n, b_{0}-1} \neq 0$ by the choice of $n$. Indeed, if $p \mid b, F_{a, b} Y_{1}^{a}\left(Y_{j}+\right.$ $\left.Y_{1}^{n}\right)^{b}$ does not contribute to $G_{a_{0}+n, b_{0}-1} Y_{1}^{a_{0}+n} Y_{j}^{b_{0}-1}$. Since $n>a_{0}, F_{a, b} Y_{1}^{a}\left(Y_{j}+Y_{1}^{n}\right)^{b}$ does not contribute to $G_{a_{0}+n, b_{0}-1} Y_{1}^{a_{0}+n} Y_{j}^{b_{0}-1}$ if $b>b_{0}$. Therefore, only $F_{a_{0}, b_{0}} Y_{1}^{a_{0}}\left(Y_{j}+Y_{1}^{n}\right)^{b_{0}}$ contributes to $G_{a_{0}+n, b_{0}-1} Y_{1}^{a_{0}+n} Y_{j}^{b_{0}-1}$. We have $G_{a_{0}+n, b_{0}-1}=\binom{b_{0}}{1} F_{a_{0}, b_{0}}=b_{0} F_{a_{0}, b_{0}} \neq 0$.

Since $p \nmid\left(a_{0}+n\right)$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial g_{s}}{\partial Y_{1}} \neq 0 \text { in } R \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (3.7) and (3.8) together, we complete the proof of (3.4) under the condition (3.5). Now we move on to Step 2.
Step 2. Next, let us assume that the following condition holds.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial f_{s}}{\partial X_{j}}=0 \text { for all } j=1, \ldots, d+1 \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case, the coefficient of some monomial on $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{d+1}$ in $f_{s}$ does not belong to $k^{p}$. If not, $f_{s}$ must be a $p$-th power of some element of $R=k\left[\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{d+1}\right]\right]$. In this case $A=R /(f)$ is not reduced, which contradicts to our hypothesis. Thus, we have $k^{p} \subsetneq k$ and in particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
k \text { is an infinite field. } \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the set

$$
T=\left\{\left(\ell_{1}, \ldots, \ell_{d+1}\right) \mid \text { the coefficient of } X_{1}^{\ell_{1}} X_{2}^{\ell_{2}} \cdots X_{d+1}^{\ell_{d+1}} \text { in } f_{s} \text { is not in } k^{p}\right\}
$$

Let $\left(\ell_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \ell_{d+1}^{\prime}\right)$ be an element of $T$ such that $\ell_{1}^{\prime}+\cdots+\ell_{d+1}^{\prime}$ is the minimum element in

$$
\left\{\ell_{1}+\cdots+\ell_{d+1} \mid\left(\ell_{1}, \ldots, \ell_{d+1}\right) \in T\right\}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { each of } \ell_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \ell_{d+1}^{\prime} \text { is divisible by } p \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\alpha$ be the coefficient of $X_{1}^{\ell_{1}^{\prime}} X_{2}^{\ell_{2}^{\prime}} \cdots X_{d+1}^{\ell_{d+1}^{\prime}}$ in $f_{s}$, and take a $p$-basis of $k / \mathbb{F}_{p}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{\alpha\} \cup\left\{\beta_{\lambda}\right\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda} . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\pi: k\left[\left[X_{1}\right]\right] \rightarrow k$ be the natural surjection. Let $\delta$ be an element in $k$. Since $\{\pi(\alpha+$ $\left.\left.\delta X_{1}\right)\right\} \cup\left\{\pi\left(\beta_{\lambda}\right)\right\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is a $p$-basis of $k / \mathbb{F}_{p}$, we have a map $\psi_{\delta}: k \rightarrow k\left[\left[X_{1}\right]\right]$ such that $\psi_{\delta}\left(\beta_{\lambda}\right)=\beta_{\lambda}$ for $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $\psi_{\delta}(\alpha)=\alpha+\delta X_{1}$ by Theorem 2.2. Here, $\psi_{\delta}$ naturally induces
an isomorphism $\tilde{\psi}_{\delta}: k\left[\left[X_{1}\right]\right] \rightarrow k\left[\left[X_{1}\right]\right]$ such that $\tilde{\psi}_{\delta}\left(X_{1}\right)=X_{1}$ and $\left.\tilde{\psi}_{\delta}\right|_{k}=\psi_{\delta}$, where $\left.\tilde{\psi}_{\delta}\right|_{k}$ is the restriction of $\tilde{\psi}_{\delta}$ to $k$. Let $\phi_{\delta}$ be the composite map of

$$
k \subset k\left[\left[X_{1}\right]\right] \xrightarrow{\tilde{\psi}_{\delta}^{-1}} k\left[\left[X_{1}\right]\right] .
$$

Let

$$
\Phi_{\delta}: R \rightarrow R
$$

be a ring isomorphism such that $\Phi_{\delta}\left(X_{i}\right)=X_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, d+1$ and $\left.\Phi_{\delta}\right|_{k\left[\left[X_{1}\right]\right]}=\tilde{\psi_{\delta}}$. We have the following commutative diagram

where the vertical maps are the natural inclusions.
Considering the composite map of

$$
k \xrightarrow{\phi_{\delta}} k\left[\left[X_{1}\right]\right] \subset R=k\left[\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{d+1}\right]\right]
$$

as a new coefficient field of $R$, we have an isomorphism

$$
R /\left(f_{i}\right) \simeq R /\left(\Phi_{\delta}\left(f_{i}\right)\right)
$$

We claim the following.

- For $i=1, \ldots, s-1$, one can present the coefficient of $X_{1}^{c_{i, 1}} \cdots X_{d+1}^{c_{i, d+1}}$ in $\Phi_{\delta}\left(f_{i}\right)$ in the form $\xi_{i}(\delta)$, where $\xi_{i}(X) \in k[X]$ and $\xi_{i}(0) \neq 0$, where the sequence $c_{i, 1}, \ldots$, $c_{i, d+1}$ is given as in (3.6).
Let us prove this claim. Let $\xi_{i}(\delta)$ be the coefficient of $X_{1}^{c_{i, 1}} \cdots X_{d+1}^{c_{i, d+1}}$ in $\Phi_{\delta}\left(f_{i}\right)$. Note by (3.6) that $\xi_{i}(0) \neq 0$ since $\Phi_{0}$ is the identity. We shall prove that $\xi_{i}(\delta)$ is a polynomial function on $\delta$. Pick an element $c \in k \subseteq R$, where $k$ embeds into $R=k\left[\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{d+1}\right]\right]$ in the natural way. Then we have $\Phi_{\delta}(c)=\tilde{\psi}_{\delta}(c) \in k\left[\left[X_{1}\right]\right]$ and $\tilde{\psi}_{\delta}(c)-c$ is divisible by $X_{1}$. So we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{\delta}(c)=c+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \eta_{c, i}(\delta) X_{1}^{i} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\eta_{c, i}(\delta) \in k$ for $i \geq 1$. It is sufficient to prove that each $\eta_{c, i}(\delta)$ is a polynomial with respect to $\delta$.

For a fixed integer $e>0$, note that the set

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l|l}
\alpha^{q} \cdot \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \beta_{\lambda}^{q_{\lambda}} & \begin{array}{l}
q, q_{\lambda}=0,1, \ldots, p^{e}-1 \\
q_{\lambda}=0 \text { except for finitely many } \lambda \in \Lambda
\end{array}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

forms a basis of the $k^{p^{e}}$-vector space $k$. We use the symbol $\underline{q}_{\lambda}$ to denote a vector $\left\{q_{\lambda}\right\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$, where $q_{\lambda}=0$ except for finitely many $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Suppose

$$
c=\sum_{q, \underline{q}_{\lambda}=0}^{p^{e}-1}\left(d_{q, \underline{q}_{\lambda}}\right)^{p^{e}} \cdot \alpha^{q} \cdot\left(\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \beta_{\lambda}^{q_{\lambda}}\right)
$$

for $d_{q, \underline{q}_{\lambda}} \in k$. Applying the map $\Phi_{\delta}$, we have

$$
\Phi_{\delta}(c)=\sum_{q, \underline{q}_{\lambda}=0}^{p^{e}-1} \Phi_{\delta}\left(d_{q, \underline{q}_{\lambda}}\right)^{p^{e}} \cdot\left(\alpha+\delta X_{1}\right)^{q} \cdot\left(\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \beta_{\lambda}^{q_{\lambda}}\right),
$$

where

$$
\Phi_{\delta}\left(d_{q, \underline{q}_{\lambda}}\right)^{p^{e}}=\left(d_{q, \underline{q}_{\lambda}}+X_{1} \cdot \gamma\right)^{p^{e}}=\left(d_{q, \underline{q}_{\lambda}}\right)^{p^{e}}+X_{1}^{p^{e}} \cdot \gamma^{p^{e}}
$$

for some $\gamma \in R$. Letting $\eta_{c, i}(\delta)$ be as in (3.13), it follows that, if $e \geq 0$ is an integer satisfying $p^{e}>i$, then $\eta_{c, i}(\delta)$ is the coefficient of $X_{1}^{i}$ in

$$
\sum_{q, \underline{\underline{\lambda}}_{\lambda}=0}^{p^{e}-1}\left(d_{q, \underline{q}_{\lambda}}\right)^{p^{e}} \cdot\left(\alpha+\delta X_{1}\right)^{q} \cdot\left(\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \beta_{\lambda}^{q_{\lambda}}\right)
$$

This description shows that $\eta_{c, i}(\delta)$ is a polynomial with respect to $\delta$. Therefore, $\xi_{i}(\delta)$ is also a polynomial function on $\delta$ for $i=1, \ldots, s-1$.

Let us write

$$
\xi(x):=\xi_{1}(x) \cdots \xi_{s-1}(x) \in k[x] .
$$

Then since $\xi(0) \neq 0$, there exists $\delta \in k^{\times}$such that $\xi(\delta) \neq 0$ due to the fact that $k$ is an infinite field (3.10). Now we are going to finish the proof of Claim 3.2.

- For $i=1,2, \ldots, s-1$, we have

$$
\frac{\partial \Phi_{\delta}\left(f_{i}\right)}{\partial X_{1}} \neq 0
$$

since the coefficient of $X_{1}^{c_{i, 1}} X_{2}^{c_{i, 2}} \cdots X_{d+1}^{c_{i, d+1}}$ in $\Phi_{\delta}\left(f_{i}\right)$ is $\xi_{i}(\delta)$, which is not 0 by the choice of $\delta$.

- For $i=s$, we shall prove that the coefficient of $X_{1}^{\ell_{1}^{\prime}+1} X_{2}^{\ell_{2}^{\prime}} \cdots X_{d+1}^{\ell_{d+1}^{\prime}}$ in $\Phi_{\delta}\left(f_{s}\right)$ is not zero. Put

$$
f_{s}=\sum_{\ell_{1}, \ldots, \ell_{d+1}} c_{\ell_{1}, \ldots, \ell_{d+1}} X_{1}^{\ell_{1}} X_{2}^{\ell_{2}} \cdots X_{d+1}^{\ell_{d+1}}
$$

where $c_{\ell_{1}, \ldots, \ell_{d+1}} \in k$. Then, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{\delta}\left(f_{s}\right) & =\sum_{\ell_{1}, \ldots, \ell_{d+1}} \Phi_{\delta}\left(c_{\ell_{1}, \ldots, \ell_{d+1}}\right) X_{1}^{\ell_{1}} X_{2}^{\ell_{2}} \cdots X_{d+1}^{\ell_{d+1}} \\
& =\sum_{\ell_{1}, \ldots, \ell_{d+1}}\left(c_{\ell_{1}, \ldots, \ell_{d+1}}+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \eta_{\ell_{1}, \ldots, \ell_{d+1}, i}(\delta) X_{1}^{i}\right) X_{1}^{\ell_{1}} X_{2}^{\ell_{2}} \cdots X_{d+1}^{\ell_{d+1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=f_{s}+\sum_{\ell_{1}, \ldots, \ell_{d+1}} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \eta_{\ell_{1}, \ldots, \ell_{d+1}, i}(\delta) X_{1}^{\ell_{1}+i} X_{2}^{\ell_{2}} \cdots X_{d+1}^{\ell_{d+1}}
$$

Therefore, the coefficient of $X_{1}^{\ell_{1}^{\prime}+1} X_{2}^{\ell_{2}^{\prime}} \cdots X_{d+1}^{\ell_{d+1}^{\prime}}$ in $\Phi_{\delta}\left(f_{s}\right)$ is

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{\ell_{1}^{\prime}+1} \eta_{\ell_{1}^{\prime}+1-i,,_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, \ell_{d+1}^{\prime}}, i(\delta)
$$

since the coefficient of $X_{1}^{\ell_{1}^{\prime}+1} X_{2}^{\ell_{2}^{\prime}} \cdots X_{d+1}^{\ell_{d+1}^{\prime}}$ in $f_{s}$ is zero by (3.9) and (3.11). If $\eta_{c_{\ell_{1}^{\prime}+1-i, \ell_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, \ell_{d+1}^{\prime}}, i}(\delta) \neq 0$, then this implies that $c_{\ell_{1}^{\prime}+1-i, \ell_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, \ell_{d+1}^{\prime}} \neq 0$ and thus, $\ell_{1}^{\prime}+1-i$ is divisible by $p$ by (3.9). So, we assume that $i \equiv 1 \bmod p$ by (3.11). If $i=$ $q p+1$ with $q>0$, then $c_{\ell_{1}^{\prime}+1-i, \ell_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, \ell_{d+1}^{\prime}} \in k^{p}$ by the definition of $\left(\ell_{1}^{\prime}, \ell_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, \ell_{d+1}^{\prime}\right)$. Under the notation as in (3.13), note that $\eta_{\gamma, i}(\delta)=0$ if $p \nmid i$ and $\gamma \in k^{p}$, because $\Phi_{\delta}(\gamma)$ has a $p$-th root in $R$. Therefore, we have $\eta_{\ell_{\ell_{1}^{\prime}+1-i, \ell_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, \ell_{d+1}^{\prime}} i}(\delta)=0$ for any integer $i \geq 2$. Then, the coefficient of $X_{1}^{\ell_{1}^{\prime}+1} X_{2}^{\ell_{2}^{\prime}} \cdots X_{d+1}^{\ell_{d+1}^{\prime}}$ in $\Phi_{\delta}\left(f_{s}\right)$ is

$$
\eta_{\ell_{\ell_{1}^{\prime}, \ell_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, \ell_{d+1}^{\prime}}, 1}(\delta)=\eta_{\alpha, 1}(\delta)=\delta \neq 0
$$

where $\alpha \in k$ is as in (3.12). Hence, we obtain

$$
\frac{\partial \Phi_{\delta}\left(f_{s}\right)}{\partial X_{1}} \neq 0 .
$$

We complete the proof of (3.4) under the condition (3.9).
We have completed the proof of Claim 3.2.
We have completed the proof of Proposition 3.1, which is the hypersurface case of Cohen-Gabber theorem.

As noted in (3.1), it suffices to prove Cohen-Gabber theorem (Theorem 1.1) in the reduced equi-dimensional case.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $\mathfrak{m}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}, x_{d+1}, \ldots, x_{d+h}\right)$ such that $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}$ is a system of parameters of $A$ (see Remark 2.5). We shall prove the reduced equi-dimensional case of Theorem 1.1 by induction on $h$.
$\boldsymbol{h}=\mathbf{0}$ : Since $\mathfrak{m}$ is generated by $d$ elements, we have $A=k\left[\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right]\right]$ and we are done in this case.
$\boldsymbol{h}=\mathbf{1}$ : This is already established as in Proposition 3.1.
$\boldsymbol{h} \geq \mathbf{2}$ : With notation as above, fix a coefficient field $\phi: k \rightarrow A$. Then $A=\phi(k)\left[\left[x_{1}\right.\right.$, $\left.\left.\ldots, x_{d+h}\right]\right]=\phi\left[\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right]\right]\left[x_{d+1}, \ldots, x_{d+h}\right]$ by Remark 2.4 (1). We consider the following
commutative diagram of complete local rings:


We explain the structure of the above diagram.

- Let $B$ be the subring $\phi(k)\left[\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d+1}\right]\right]$ of $A$. After applying the case $\boldsymbol{h}=\mathbf{1}$ to $B$, we can find a coefficient field $\phi^{\prime}: k \rightarrow B$ together with a system of parameters $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{d}$ to get a formal power series ring $C=\phi^{\prime}(k)\left[\left[y_{1}, \ldots, y_{d}\right]\right]$ such that $\operatorname{Frac}(C) \rightarrow \operatorname{Frac}(B / P)$ is a separable field extension for any minimal prime ideal $P$ of $B$. Let $D$ be the subring $\phi^{\prime}(k)\left[\left[y_{1}, \ldots, y_{d}, x_{d+2}, \ldots, x_{d+h}\right]\right]$ of $A$. Note that $C \subset D \subset A$.
- Since the maximal ideal of $D$ is generated by at most $d+h-1$ elements, we can find, by induction hypothesis on $h$, a coefficient field $\phi^{\prime \prime}: k \rightarrow D$ together with a system of parameters $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{d}$ to get a formal power series ring $E=\phi^{\prime \prime}(k)\left[\left[z_{1}, \ldots, z_{d}\right]\right]$ such that $\operatorname{Frac}(E) \rightarrow \operatorname{Frac}(D / Q)$ is a separable field extension for any minimal prime ideal $Q$ of $D$.
All the maps appearing in the diagram are injective and module-finite. We claim that $E \rightarrow A$ satisfies the conclusion of Cohen-Gabber theorem. To see this, fix a minimal prime $P \subset A$ and form the following commutative diagram of quotient fields.


Note that $E$ and $C$ are domains over which $A$ is module-finite and torsion free, so we have $E \cap P=(0)$ and $C \cap P=(0)$. We need to prove that $\operatorname{Frac}(E) \rightarrow \operatorname{Frac}(A / P)$ is a separable field extension. By construction, $f_{3}$ is separable and $f_{1}$ is obtained by adjoining $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d+1}$ to $\operatorname{Frac}(D / D \cap P)$. Hence $f_{1}$ is separable. That is, we proved that $f_{1} \circ f_{2}$ is separable.

We end this paper with the following example. For a ring map $R \rightarrow S$, let $\Omega_{S / R}$ denote the module of differentials of $S$ over $R$. It is regarded as an $S$-module.

EXAMPLE 3.3. Consider the following two integral domains;

$$
\begin{aligned}
A & =\mathbb{F}_{p}(t)[[X, Y]] /\left(t X^{p}+Y^{p}\right), \\
B & =\mathbb{F}_{p}(t)[X, Y] /\left(t X^{p}+Y^{p}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $t$ is transcendental over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ and $X, Y$ are variables.
We have $\Omega_{B / \mathbb{F}_{p}(t)}=B d X+B d Y \simeq B^{\oplus 2}$. Here, assume that $\mathbb{F}_{p}(t)[z] \hookrightarrow B$ is a module-finite map for some $z \in B$. Note that

$$
\Omega_{B / \mathbb{F}_{p}(t)[z]}=\Omega_{B / \mathbb{F}_{p}(t)} / B d z .
$$

Since it is not a torsion $B$-module, $\operatorname{Frac}(B)$ is not separable over $\operatorname{Frac}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}(t)[z]\right)$.
Let $w$ be any non-zero element in the maximal ideal of $A$. Then, $\mathbb{F}_{p}(t)[[w]] \rightarrow A$ is a module-finite extension. Then, we have

$$
\Omega_{\left.A / \mathbb{F}_{p}(t)[w]\right]}=A d X+A d Y / A d w,
$$

and it is not a torsion $A$-module. Hence, $\operatorname{Frac}(A)$ is not separable over $\operatorname{Frac}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}(t)[[w]]\right)$.
On the other hand, put $s=t+X \in A$. Then, $\mathbb{F}_{p}(s)$ is another coefficient field of $A$, and

$$
A=\mathbb{F}_{p}(s)[[X, Y]] /\left((s-X) X^{p}+Y^{p}\right) .
$$

Then, $\mathbb{F}_{p}(s)[[Y]] \rightarrow A$ is module-finite and $\operatorname{Frac}(A)$ is a separable field extension over $\operatorname{Frac}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}(s)[[Y]]\right)$.
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