**Tôhoku Math. Journ.** 27 (1975), 355-379.

# INFINITE TENSOR PRODUCTS IN FOURIER ALGEBRAS

## SADAHIRO SAEKI

(Received April 25, 1974)

This paper is a continuation of the author's article [8], and the main purpose is to improve Theorem 4 in [8]. The reader is required to read [8] before proceeding to the present one.

Let G be a locally compact abelian group with dual G. For a sequence  $(E_j)_1^{\infty}$  of (non-empty) compact subsets of G, we write  $E = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j$ . We say that  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j$  converges if  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x_j$  converges for every  $x = (x_j)_1^{\infty} \in E$ . If this is the case, we define

$$\widetilde{E} = \sum\limits_{j=1}^\infty E_j = \left\{ \sum\limits_{j=1}^\infty x_j {:} (x_j)_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}^\infty \in E 
ight\}$$
 .

Any set  $\tilde{E}$  obtained in this way is called a *multi-symmetric* set. We also define a map  $p_E: E \to \tilde{E}$  by setting

$$p_{\scriptscriptstyle E}(x) = \sum\limits_{j=1}^\infty x_j \quad (x = (x_j)^\infty_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} \in E)$$
 .

Notice that if  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E_{j}$  is a convergent series of compact sets then so is  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E_{j}$  for every natural number  $n \in N$ , and that to each neighborhood V of  $0 \in G$  there corresponds an  $N \in N$  such that

$$n \ge N \Longrightarrow \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} E_j \subset V$$
.

In fact, suppose this is false for some compact neighborhood V. Then for each  $p \in N$  there exists an arbitrarily large  $M_p \in N$  such that

(1) 
$$x_{jp} \in E_j (j \ge M_p) \text{ and } \sum_{j=M_p}^{\infty} x_{jp} \notin V$$

for some choice of  $(x_{jp})$ . Suppose that such an  $M_p$  and a sequence  $(x_{jp})$  have been chosen for some  $p \in N$ . Since V is compact, there is an  $N_p \in N$ , with  $N_p > M_p$ , such that

(2) 
$$\sum_{j=M_p}^n x_{jp} \notin V \quad (n \ge N_p) .$$

Then we choose  $M_{p+1} > N_p$  so that (1) with p replaced by p + 1 is satisfied for some sequence  $(x_{j(p+1)})$ . If we set  $x_j = x_{jp}$  for  $M_p \leq j < M_{p+1}$ , p =1, 2, ..., then (2) and our choice of  $M_p$  show that the series  $\sum_j x_j$  does not converge, which contradicts the convergence of  $\sum_{i} E_{i}$ .

Thus we conclude that for any convergent series  $\sum_j E_j$  of compact sets the map  $p_E$  is continuous and therefore  $\tilde{E} = p_E(E)$  is compact.

THEOREM 1. Let  $(F_j)_1^{\infty}$  be a sequence of non-empty finite subsets of the real line **R**. Then every locally compact abelian I-group G contains a convergent series  $\tilde{E} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E_j$  of compact subsets satisfying the following three conditions:

(a) the map  $p_E$  induces an isometric isomorphism  $P_E$  of the restriction algebra  $A(\tilde{E})$  onto the S-tensor product  $A_E = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} A(E_i)$  by  $P_E f = f \circ p_E$ . Moreover,  $A(E_i)$  is isometrically isomorphic to  $A(F_i)$  for each  $j = 1, 2, \cdots$ .

(b)  $\tilde{E}$  is an S-set.

(c)  $\tilde{E}$  is a Dirichlet set, that is,

$$\liminf_{\widehat{G} \ni \chi \to \infty} ||\chi - 1||_{C(\widetilde{E})} = 0.$$

To prove this, we need two lemmas.

LEMMA 1.1. Let G be a locally compact abelian I-group, and  $F \subset \mathbf{R}$ and  $E_0 \subset G$  finite sets. Then every neighborhood V of  $O_G$  contains a finite set E such that  $Gp(E) \cap Gp(E_0) = \{O_G\}$  and A(E) = A(F) algebraically and isomorphically.

**PROOF.** Since F is finite, there exists a rationally independent finite set  $\{v_1, \dots, v_M\}$  in R such that

 $F \subset Gp(\{v_1, \cdots, v_M\})$ .

Take a finite set  $\widetilde{F} \subset \mathbb{Z}^{M}$  so that

$$F = \left\{ \sum_{1}^{M} n_{j} v_{j} \colon n = (n_{j})_{1}^{M} \in \widetilde{F} 
ight\}$$
.

Let V be an arbitrary neighborhood of  $O_G$ . Since G is an *I*-group and  $E_0$  is a finite subset thereof, we can find a finite set  $\{x_1, \dots, x_M\}$  in G, which is independent (over the ring Z of integers), so that

$$E = \left\{\sum_{1}^{M} n_{j} x_{j} : n \in \widetilde{F} 
ight\} \subset V$$

and  $Gp(E) \cap Gp(E_0) = \{O_g\}.$ 

Define a map  $p: Gp(\{x_j\}_1^M) \to Gp(\{v_j\}_1^M)$  by setting

$$p\left(\sum_{j=1}^{M} n_j x_j\right) = \sum_{j=1}^{M} n_j v_j \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}^M)$$
.

Then p is an onto isomorphism and p(E) = F. Therefore it is easy to prove that

 $||f\circ p\,||_{_{A(E)}}=||f\,||_{_{A(F)}}$   $(f\in A(F))$  ,

which completes the proof.

LEMMA 1.2. Let E be a finite set in a locally compact abelian group G, and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then there exists a compact neighborhood V of  $O_{g}$  such that:

(i) The sets x + V,  $x \in E$ , are disjoint.

(ii) For each  $\gamma \in \hat{G}_d$ ,  $G_d$  being the group G with the discrete topology, let  $f_{\gamma} \in A(E + V)$  be defined by

$$f_{\gamma}(x + v) = \gamma(x) \quad (x \in E, v \in V)$$
.

Then  $||f||_{A(E+V)} < 1 + \varepsilon$ .

**PROOF.** Let  $\eta > 0$  be given. Since *E* is finite, there exists a finite subset  $\Gamma$  of  $\hat{G}$  such that  $\{\chi|_E: \chi \in \Gamma\}$  is  $\eta$ -dense in  $\{\gamma|_E: \gamma \in \hat{G}_d\} \subset C(E)$ .

Take a compact neighborhood W of  $O_{G}$  so that

(1) 
$$x, y \in E \text{ and } x \neq y \Rightarrow (x + W) \cap (y + W) = \emptyset$$
,

(2) 
$$\chi \in \Gamma \Rightarrow \operatorname{diam} [\chi(W)] < \eta$$
.

Next choose a  $g \in A(G)$  so that

$$(3) \|g\|_{A^{(G)}} < 2$$
 , supp  $g \subset W$  , and

(4) g = 1 on some compact neighborhood V of  $O_g$ .

Then  $V \subset W$ , and (i) holds.

Let  $\gamma \in \widehat{G}_d$  be given. By the choice of  $\Gamma$ , there exists a  $\chi = \chi_{\tau} \in \Gamma$  such that  $|\gamma - \chi| < \eta$  on E. We can write

$$egin{aligned} &f_{\gamma} = \sum\limits_{x \in E} \gamma(x) g_x = \sum\limits_{x \in E} \{\gamma(x) - \chi(x)\} g_x \ &+ \sum\limits_{x \in E} \{\chi(x) - \chi\} g_x + \chi \quad ext{on} \quad E + V ext{ ,} \end{aligned}$$

where  $g_x(y) = g(y - x)$ . It follows that

$$egin{aligned} ||f_{\tau}||_{A(E+V)} &\leq \sum\limits_{x \in E} |\gamma(x) - \chi(x)| \cdot ||g_{x}||_{A(G)} \ &+ \sum\limits_{x \in E} ||\{\chi(x) - \chi\}g_{x}||_{A(G)} + 1 \ &\leq 2\eta \, ext{Card} \, E + \sum\limits_{x \in E} ||\chi(x) - \chi||_{A(x+W)} \, ||g_{x}||_{A(G)} + 1 \ &\leq 2(\eta + M\eta) \, ext{Card} \, E + 1 \, , \end{aligned}$$

where M is an absolute constant (cf. Lemma 1 in [8]). Therefore (ii) holds if  $\eta > 0$  is sufficiently small.

**PROOF OF THEOREM 1.** Let G be any locally compact abelian group,

and H a closed subgroup thereof. As is well-known, H is an S-set (see Theorem 2.7.5 in [4]), and if a closed subset E of H is an S-set (or a Dirichlet set) in H, then so is E in G. Moreover, the restriction algebra of A(G) to H is isometrically isomorphic to the Fourier algebra A(H)on H (Theorems 2.7.2 and 2.7.4 in [4]), and every *I*-group contains a metrizable closed *I*-group (Theorem 2.5.5 in [4]). Consequently, to prove Theorem 1, we may and will assume that G is a metric *I*-group with translation-invariant metric d.

Let  $(\hat{K}_n)_1^{\infty}$  be an increasing sequence of compact subsets of  $\hat{G}$  such that every compact subset of  $\hat{G}$  is contained in some  $\hat{K}_n$ . We shall now inductively construct a sequence  $(V_n)_1^{\infty}$  of compact neighborhoods of  $O_G$ , a sequence  $(E_n)_1^{\infty}$  of finite subsets of G, and a sequence  $(\chi_n)_1^{\infty}$  of characters in  $\hat{G}$  which satisfy the following conditions:

(1) 
$$A(E_n) = A(F_n)$$
 algebraically and isometrically.

$$(2) \qquad \chi_n \in \widehat{G} \backslash \widehat{K}_n \quad \text{and} \quad |\chi_n - 1| < n^{-1} \text{ on } E_1 + \cdots + E_n + V_{n+1} \,.$$

(3) 
$$O_G \in E_n \text{ and } E_n + V_{n+1} \subset \operatorname{int} V_n$$
.

(4) The sets 
$$x + V_{n+1}, x \in E_1 + \cdots + E_n$$
, are disjoint.

(5) 
$$||f_{\gamma}^{n}||_{A(E_{1}+\cdots+E_{m}+V_{m+1})} < 1 + n^{-1} \quad (\gamma \in \widehat{G}_{d}),$$

where  $f_{\gamma}^{n}$  is defined by

$$f_{\gamma}^{n}(x_{1}+\cdots+x_{n}+V_{n+1})=\gamma(x_{1}+\cdots+x_{n})\quad\forall(x_{j}\in E_{j})_{1}^{n}.$$

For n = 1, we first take any compact neighborhood  $V_1$  of  $O_a$  with diam  $V_1 < 1/2$ . By Lemma 1.1, int  $V_1$  contains a finite set  $E_1$  which contains  $O_a$  and satisfies (1) for n = 1. Since  $E_1$  is finite, there is a  $\chi_1 \in \hat{G} \setminus \hat{K}_1$  such that  $|\chi_1 - 1| < 1$  on  $E_1$ .

Let  $n \in N$ , and suppose that  $V_k$ ,  $E_k$ , and  $\chi_k$  have been chosen for all  $k \leq n$  so that

$$|\chi_n-1| < n^{-1}$$
 on  $\sum\limits_1^n E_k$ , and  $E_n \subset \operatorname{int} V_n$ .

Then we can take a compact neighborhood  $W_n$  of  $O_G$  so that

(2)'  $|\chi_n - 1| < n^{-1}$  on  $\sum_{1}^n E_k + W_n$ ,

(3)' 
$$E_n + W_n \subset V_n$$
.

By Lemma 1.2,  $W_n$  contains a compact neighborhood  $V_{n+1}$  of  $O_G$  which satisfies (4) and (5). Clearly (2) and (3) hold. We can also demand that

(6) 
$$\operatorname{diam} V_{n+1} < 2^{-n-1}$$
.

By Lemma 1.1, int  $V_{n+1}$  contains a finite set  $E_{n+1}$  with  $O_G \in E_{n+1}$  which satisfies (1) with n replaced by n + 1 and

(7) 
$$Gp(E_1 \cup \cdots \cup E_n) \cap Gp(E_{n+1}) = \{O_G\}$$
.

Finally choose a  $\chi_{n+1} \in \widehat{G} \setminus \widehat{K}_{n+1}$  so that

$$|\chi_{n+1}-1| < (n+1)^{-1}$$
 on  $\sum_{1}^{n+1} E_k$ .

This completes the induction.

By (3) and (6),  $\tilde{E} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E_{i}$  converges. We now want to prove that  $\tilde{E}$  has the required properties. Notice that (3) assures that

(8) 
$$\sum_{j=n}^{\infty} E_j \subset \operatorname{int} V_n \quad (n = 1, 2, \cdots).$$

PROOF OF (a). We must prove that  $P_E$  is an isometric (onto) isomorphism.

Let  $M \in N$  and  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_M \in \hat{G}$  be given. Define  $f \in A(\sum_{i=1}^{M} E_j + V_{M+1})$  by setting

(9) 
$$f(x_1 + \cdots + x_M + V_{M+1}) = \prod_{j=1}^M \gamma_j(x_j) \quad \forall (x_j \in E_j)_1^M$$
,

which is well-defined by (4) and (7). Then we claim that

$$(9.1) ||f||_{A(\Sigma_1^M E_j + V_{M+1})} < 1 + M^{-1}, \text{ and}$$

 $(9.2) P_E f = \gamma_1 \odot \gamma_2 \odot \cdots \odot \gamma_M .$ 

Indeed,  $Gp(E_1 \cup \cdots \cup E_M)$  is the direct sum of  $Gp(E_1), \cdots, Gp(E_M)$  by (7). Therefore

$$\chi(y_1 + \cdots + y_M) = \prod_{j=1}^M \gamma_j(y_j) \quad \forall (y_j \in Gp(E_j))_1^M$$

is a character of  $Gp(E_1 \cup \cdots \cup E_M)$ , and therefore it can be extended to a character of  $G_d$ . But then  $f = f_{\chi}^M$ , and so (5) yields (9.1). Also, for every  $x = (x_j)_1^{\infty} \in E = \prod_1^{\infty} E_j$ , we have by (8) and (9)

$$egin{aligned} &(P_Ef)(x)=f(x_1+x_2+\cdots+x_M+\cdots)\ &=f(x_1+x_2+\cdots+x_M+V_{M+1})\ &=\prod_1^M\,\gamma_j(x_j)=(\gamma_1\circledcirc\cdots\circledcirc\gamma_M)(x) \ , \end{aligned}$$

which establishes (9.2).

We now prove that the function f defined by (9) also satisfies (9.3)  $||f||_{\mathcal{A}(\widetilde{E})} = 1$ .

In fact, take any natural number N > M, and put  $\gamma_j = 1$  for all j with  $M < j \leq N$ . If we define  $g \in A(E_1 + \cdots + E_N + V_{N+1})$  by the right-hand side of (9) with M replaced by N, then f = g on the domain of g, and so

$$\| f \|_{{}_{A}(\widetilde{{}_{E})}} \leq \| g \|_{{}_{A}(\sum_{1}^{N}{}_{i}+{}^{V}{}_{N+1})} < 1 + N^{-1}$$

by (9.1). Since N may be arbitrarily large, this establishes  $||f||_{A(\widetilde{E})} \leq 1$  and hence (9.3).

Notice now that the absolute convex hull of elements of the form

$$\gamma_1 \odot \gamma_2 \odot \cdots \odot \gamma_M \qquad (\gamma_j \in G, M \in N)$$

is dense in the unit ball of the Banach algebra  $A_E$  (see the proof of Theorem 3 in [8]). It follows from (9.2), (9.3), and Lemma 3 in [8] that  $P_E$  is an isometric isomorphism. This establishes part (a).

PROOF OF (b). For each  $M \in N$ , we define a homomorphism  $L_M$  from  $A(\tilde{E})$  into  $A(\sum_{i=1}^{M} E_j + V_{M+1})$  by setting

(10) 
$$(L_M f)(x_1 + \cdots + x_M + V_{M+1}) = f(x_1 + \cdots + x_M)$$

for  $f \in A(\widetilde{E})$  and  $x_j \in E_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq M$ . Notice then

(10.1) 
$$||L_M f||_{A(\sum_{i=1}^M E_i + V_{M+1})} \leq (1 + M^{-1}) ||f||_{A(\widetilde{E})}$$

for all  $f \in A(\tilde{E})$ . In fact, since  $\tilde{E}$  is compact, it suffices to prove this for  $f = \gamma|_{\tilde{E}}$  with  $\gamma \in \hat{G}$  (cf. Lemma 2 in [8]). But then (10.1) is a special case of (9.1). We now claim

(10.2) 
$$\lim_{M\to\infty} ||L_M\gamma - \gamma||_{A(\Sigma_1^M E_j + V_M + 1)} = 0 \quad (\gamma \in \widehat{G}).$$

To see this, fix any  $\gamma \in \hat{G}$ . By (6) and the definition of  $L_{M}$ , we have

(10.3) 
$$\lim_{K \to \infty} ||L_{M}\gamma - \gamma||_{C(\sum_{1}^{M} E_{j} + V_{M+1})} = 0$$

On the other hand, (10.1) yields

$$(10.4) \quad \|(L_{\scriptscriptstyle M}\gamma)^n\|_{\scriptscriptstyle A} = \|L_{\scriptscriptstyle M}(\gamma^n)\|_{\scriptscriptstyle A} \leq 1 + M^{-1} \quad (n = 0, \, \pm 1, \, \pm 2, \, \cdots) \; .$$

Thus (10.2) follows from (10.3), (10.4), and Lemma 1 in [8].

Notice now that (8) implies

(11) 
$$\widetilde{E} \subset \sum_{j=1}^{M} E_{j} + \operatorname{int} V_{M+1} \quad (M = 1, 2, \cdots),$$

and so  $PM(\widetilde{E}) \subset A(\sum_{i}^{M} E_{j} + V_{M+1})'$ . To complete the proof of (b), take any  $S \in PM(\widetilde{E})$ . Then, the definition of  $L_{M}$  shows

$$ext{supp} \left( L_{\scriptscriptstyle M}^{\, *}S 
ight) \subset \sum_{j=1}^{\scriptscriptstyle M} E_j \subset \widetilde{E}$$
 .

Since each  $E_j$  is a finite set, this implies that  $L_M^*S$  is a finitely supported measure in  $M(\tilde{E})$  for each  $M = 1, 2, \cdots$ . Also, we have

$$\|L_{M}^{*}S\|_{_{PM}} \leq (1 + M^{-1})\|S\|_{_{PM}} \quad (M = 1, 2, \cdots)$$

by (10.1); and (10.2) and (11) assure that for all  $\gamma \in \hat{G}$ 

$$egin{aligned} |(L_{\mathtt{M}}^*S)^{\wedge}(\gamma^{-1})-\widehat{S}(\gamma^{-1})| &= |\langle \gamma,\,L_{\mathtt{M}}^*S
angle-\langle \gamma,\,S
angle| \ &= |\langle L_{\mathtt{M}}\gamma-\gamma,\,S
angle| \ &\leq ||L_{\mathtt{M}}\gamma-\gamma||_{{\scriptscriptstyle A}(\Sigma_{1}^{M}E_{j}+V_{M+1})}||S||_{{\scriptscriptstyle PM}} = o(1) \;. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Lemma 2 in [8] that the sequence  $(L_{M}^{*}S)_{1}^{\infty}$  of measures in  $M(\tilde{E})$  converges to S in the weak-\* topology of PM(G). Since this is true for every  $S \in PM(\tilde{E})$ , we conclude  $\tilde{E}$  is an S-set (actually a strong S-set).

PROOF OF (c) follows from (2) and (11).

REMARKS. (a) If F is a compact Dirichlet set in G, then we have (c)'  $\limsup_{\chi \to \infty} |\hat{S}(\chi)| = ||S||_{PM} \quad (S \in PM(F)) .$ 

To see this, take any  $S \in PM(F)$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\gamma \in \hat{G}$  and a compact subset  $\hat{K}$  of  $\hat{G}$  be given. Since F is a Dirichlet set, there exists a  $\chi = \chi_{\varepsilon} \in \hat{G} \setminus \gamma^{-1} \hat{K}$  such that  $|\chi - 1| < \varepsilon$  on F. But then  $|\gamma \chi - \gamma| = |\chi - 1| < \varepsilon$  on some compact neighborhood V of F by the continuity of  $\chi$ . Thus  $||\gamma \chi - \gamma||_{A(V)} \leq M\varepsilon$  by Lemma 1 in [8], where M is an absolute constant. Since  $S \in PM(F) \subset A(V)'$ , it follows that

$$\sup\left\{ |\hat{S}(lpha)| \colon lpha \in \widehat{G} ackslash \widehat{K} 
ight\} \geqq |\hat{S}(\gamma\chi)| \ \geqq |\hat{S}(\gamma)| - |\hat{S}(\gamma) - \hat{S}(\gamma\chi)| \geqq |\hat{S}(\gamma)| - M arepsilon \, \|S\|_{_{PM}} \; .$$

Since  $\gamma \in \widehat{G}$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  are arbitrary, this shows

$$\sup \{ |\widehat{S}(\alpha)| : \alpha \in \widehat{G} \setminus \widehat{K} \} = \sup \{ |\widehat{S}(\gamma)| : \gamma \in \widehat{G} \} = ||S||_{PM} ,$$

which establishes (c)'.

(b) In Theorem 1, we can replace R by any torsion-free group.

(c) The technique in the proof of Theorem 1 can be used to improve Example 4 in [8] as follows. Let  $(E_j)_1^{\infty}$  be a sequence of finite subset of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , N being a fixed natural number. Then there exists a sequence  $(t_j)_1^{\infty}$  of positive real numbers which satisfies the following conditions. (i) The series  $\tilde{K} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_j E_j$  converges; (ii)  $A(\tilde{K})$  is isometrically isomorphic to  $A_E = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} A(E_j)$ ; (iii)  $\tilde{K}$  is an S-set and a Dirichlet set.

THEOREM 2 (cf. Theorem 4 in [8]). Every locally compact I-group G contains a multi-symmetric set  $\tilde{K} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} K_{i}$ , each  $K_{i}$  being a compact

perfect Kronecker set in G, which satisfies the following conditions: (i) The natural map  $P_{\kappa}: A(\tilde{K}) \to S(K) = \bigoplus_{1}^{\infty} C(K_{j})$  induced by  $p_{\kappa}: K = \prod_{1}^{\infty} K_{j} \to \tilde{K}$  is an isometric isomorphism. (ii)  $\tilde{K}$  is an S-set and a Dirichlet set.

**PROOF.** Without loss of generality, we may assume that G has a translation-invariant metric d compatible with its topology. Then Theorem 1 and its proof show that there exists a countable subset  $\{r_{jk}: j, k \in N\}$  of G which is independent over Z and has the following properties:

$$(1) d(0, r_{jk}) < 2^{-j-k} (j, k = 1, 2, \cdots).$$

(2) 
$$\widetilde{E} = \sum_{jk} E_{jk}$$
 satisfies the conclusions of Theorem 1.

Here  $E_{jk} = \{0, r_{jk}\}$  for all j and k.

Put 
$$E = \prod_{jk} E_{jk}$$
,  $\tilde{E}_j = \sum_k E_{jk}$ ,  $E' = \prod_j \tilde{E}_j$ , and define a map $q = p_{E'}: E' \rightarrow \tilde{E} = \sum_{jk} E_{jk} = \sum_j \tilde{E}_j$ 

in the natural way. Then, by part (a) of Theorem 1, the natural map 
$$Q$$
 induced by  $q$  is an isometric isomorphism of  $A(\tilde{E})$  onto

$$A_{E'} = \overset{\circ}{\underbrace{\bullet}}_1 A(\widetilde{E}_j) \cong \underset{j}{\underbrace{\bullet}} [\underset{k}{\underbrace{\bullet}} A(E_{jk})] \cong \underset{jk}{\underbrace{\bullet}} A(E_{jk}) \; .$$

(Notice that  $p_E$  is a homeomorphism from E onto  $\tilde{E}$  since  $P_E$  is an isomorphism.)

We now claim that each  $\tilde{E}_j$  contains a perfect Kronecker set. In fact, since  $\{r_{jk}\}_k$  is independent over Z,  $\tilde{E}_j$  has the following property: for any natural number n, any  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \tilde{E}_j$ , and any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exist distinct  $y_1, \dots, y_n \in \tilde{E}_j$  such that  $d(x_l, y_l) < \varepsilon$  for all l and  $\{y_l\}_l$  is independent over Z. This property assures that  $\tilde{E}_j$  contains a perfect Kronecker set (cf. 5.2.3 and 5.2.4 in [4]).

We now choose and fix a perfect Kronecker set  $K_j$  in  $E_j$  for each  $j = 1, 2, \cdots$ , and first prove that  $K_1 \times \cdots \times K_N$  is an S-set for the algebra  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{N} A(\tilde{E}_j)$ . In fact, every Kronecker set is an S-set (see [11], [5], and [7]). Since  $A(G^N)$  is the N-fold projective tensor product of A(G), it follows that  $K_1 \times \cdots \times K_N$  is an S-set in  $G^N$  (see Theorem 1.5.1 in [12] and Theorem 2.2 in [6]). Since

$$\overset{\scriptscriptstyle N}{{oldsymbol{\odot}}} A(\widetilde{E}_j) = A(\widetilde{E}_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} imes \cdots imes \widetilde{E}_{\scriptscriptstyle N})$$

algebraically and isometrically, this assures that  $K_1 \times \cdots \times K_N$  is an S-set for the algebra  $\textcircled{O}_1^N A(\widetilde{E}_j)$ .

Next we prove that  $K = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} K_{j}$  is an S-set for the algebra  $A_{E'}$ . To do this, choose and fix any point  $y = (y_{j})_{i}^{\infty} \in K$ , and define a sequence of homomorphisms

$$J_{\scriptscriptstyle N} : A_{\scriptscriptstyle E'} 
ightarrow igoplus_1^{\scriptscriptstyle N} A(\widetilde{E}_j) \subset A_{\scriptscriptstyle E'}$$

by setting

 $(J_N f)(x_1, \dots, x_N) = f(x_1, \dots, x_N, y_{N+1}, y_{N+2}, \dots)$ 

for  $f \in A_{E'}$  and  $x_j \in \widetilde{E}_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq N = 1, 2, \cdots$ . Then we have (3)  $\lim_{N \neq 0} ||J_N f - f||_{A_{E'}} = 0$   $(f \in A_{E'})$ 

(cf. [8: p. 283]). If  $f \in A_{E'}$  vanishes on K, then each  $J_N f$  vanishes on  $K_1 \times \cdots \times K_N$ . Since each  $K_1 \times \cdots \times K_N$  is an S-set, it follows that

$$egin{aligned} &J_{N}f \in \mathrm{cl}\left\{g \in igodot_{1}^{^{N}}A(\widetilde{E}_{j}) ext{: supp }g \,\cap\, (K_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} imes \,\cdots \, imes \,K_{\scriptscriptstyle N}) = arnothing
ight\} \ & \subset \mathrm{cl}\left\{h \in igodot_{1}^{^{^{N}}}A(\widetilde{E}_{j}) ext{: supp }h \,\cap\, K = arnothing
ight\} \end{aligned}$$

for all N, which combined with (3) implies that K is an S-set for  $A_{E'}$ .

Finally  $\widetilde{K} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} K_{j} = q(K)$  is an S-set for  $A(\widetilde{E})$  since  $Q: A(\widetilde{E}) \to A_{E'}$  is an isomorphism. Therefore  $\widetilde{K}$  is an S-set for A(G) since so is  $\widetilde{E}$  by part (b) of Theorem 1. That  $\widetilde{K}$  is a Dirichlet set follows from part (c) of Theorem 1. Also we have

$$egin{aligned} A(\widetilde{K}) &= A(\widetilde{E})|_{\widetilde{\kappa}} = A_{E'}|_{K} \ &= \overset{\circ\circ}{\underbrace{0}}_{1} A(\widetilde{E}_{j})|_{K_{j}} = \overset{\circ\circ}{\underbrace{0}}_{1} C(K_{j}) = S(K) \end{aligned}$$

with natural identification, which completes the proof.

It is an interesting problem to find an explicit example of a multisymmetric set  $\tilde{E} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i$  for which we have  $A(\tilde{E}) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} A(E_i)$  algebraically and topologically. If G is an infinite product of compact groups, then this is very easy (Theorem 3 in [8]). Since every non-discrete non Igroup contains such a group as a closed subgroup, it is reasonable to consider the problem only for I-groups. However, to obtain an explicit example of a set of a certain type, we much know the group under consideration. Consequently we will consider the above problem only for G = the group of a-adic integers and for  $G = \mathbb{R}^N$ . Of course, then the problem will turn out trivial for any groups which contain, as a closed subgroup, one of the following groups: an infinite product of non-trivial compact groups; the group of a-adic integers for some  $a; \mathbb{R}^N$  or  $\mathbb{T}^N$  for some natural number N.

Let  $a = (a_0, a_1, a_2, \cdots)$  be a sequence of positive integers  $\geq 2$ , and  $\Delta(a)$  the compact group of the *a*-adic integers (cf. [1: (10.2)]). Topologically we will identity  $\Delta(a)$  with the product space of all  $\{0, 1, \dots, a_n - 1\}$ ,  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Let  $u_n$  be the element of  $\Delta(a)$  whose *n*-th coordinate is one and other coordinates are all zero. Thus we have

$$u_n = a_{n-1}u_{n-1} = a_{n-1}a_{n-2}\cdots a_0u_0$$
 (n = 1, 2, ...)

and each element  $x \in \Delta(a)$  can be uniquely written in the form

$$x = (x_n)_0^\infty = \sum_{n=0}^\infty x_n u_n$$

where  $x_n \in \{0, 1, \dots, a_n - 1\}$  for all  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . We also set

$$a(l, m) = a_l a_{l+1} \cdots a_m \qquad (l < m) .$$

THEOREM 3. Let a be as above, and let  $(n_1, n_2, \cdots)$  and  $(k_1, k_2, \cdots)$ be two sequences of natural numbers such that

$$n_j < n_{j+1} \text{ and } k_j < a_{n_j}$$
  $(j = 1, 2, \dots)$ .

If

(\*) 
$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} jk_j/a(n_j, n_{j+1}-1) < \infty$$
 ,

then  $A(\tilde{E})$  is topologically isomorphic to  $A_E = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} A(E_i)$ , where

$$E_j = \{ au u_{n_j} : au = 0, 1, \cdots, k_j \}$$
 and  $\widetilde{E} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j$ .

**PROOF.** For each m, put

$$arDelta_m = arDelta(a, m) = \{(x_n)_0^\infty \in arDelta(a): x_n = 0 \quad ext{for} \quad ext{all} \quad n < m\}$$
,

which is an open-and-compact subgroup of  $\Delta(a)$ . Thus, if l < m, the coset  $u_l + \Delta_m$  has order  $a_l a_{l+1} \cdots a_{m-1} = a(l, m-1)$  as an element of the quotient group  $\Delta(a)/\Delta_m$ . Notice that the subgroup of  $T = \{z: |z| = 1\}$  consisting of p elements is  $\eta_p$ -dense in T, where  $\eta_p = |1 - \exp(\pi i/p)| = 2 \sin(\pi/2p)$ . It follows that for each pair l < m of non-negative integers and each character  $\gamma$  of  $\Delta(a)$ , there exists a character  $\chi \in \Delta_m^{\perp}$  such that

(1) 
$$|\gamma(u_i) - \chi(u_i)| < \pi/a(l, m-1)$$
,

where  $\Delta_m^{\perp}$  denotes the annihilator of  $\Delta_m$  in  $\widehat{\Delta(a)}$ . Obviously (1) implies

(2) 
$$|\gamma(\tau u_i) - \chi(\tau u_i)| \leq \tau \pi/a(l, m-1)$$
  $(\tau = 0, 1, 2, \cdots)$ .

If the sets  $E_j$  are defined as in the theorem, then  $\vec{E} = \sum_{i}^{\infty} E_j$  converges, and

(3) 
$$\sum_{j=k}^{\infty} E_j \subset A_{n_k} \qquad (k=1, 2, \cdots).$$

Notice that (\*) implies

(4) 
$$\sum_{j=N}^{\infty} \pi(j-N+1)k_j/a(n_j, n_{j+1}-1) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } N \rightarrow \infty$$

We apply the arguments in [8: pp. 294-295] with  $\Gamma_j = \Delta_{n_{j+1}}^{\perp}$  and  $\varepsilon_j = \pi k_j/a(n_j, n_{j+1} - 1)$ , and infer from (2), (3) and (4) that  $A(\sum_{N}^{\infty} E_j)$  is topologically isomorphic to  $\bigoplus_{N}^{\infty} A(E_j)$  for all sufficiently large N. Since each  $E_j$  is a finite set and the natural map  $p_E$  associated with  $(E_j)_1^{\infty}$  is injective, it follows that  $A(\tilde{E})$  is topologically isomorphic to  $A_E$ . This completes the proof.

We now prove an analog of Theorem 3 for G = Z. For each natural number  $j \in N$ , let  $A_j$  be a semi-simple commutative Banach algebra with spectrum  $E_j$ . We identify  $A_j$  with a subalgebra of  $C_0(E_j)$  in the usual way, and assume that  $A_j$  contains an idempotent  $\xi_j$  of norm one. If  $f_1, \dots, f_N$  are functions in  $A_1, \dots, A_N$ , we define a function

$$\widetilde{f} = f_1 \odot \cdots \odot f_N \odot \xi_{N+1} \odot \cdots$$

on the set

$$E_{\scriptscriptstyle 0} = igcup_{k=1}^{\scriptscriptstyle igcup} E_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} imes \, \cdots \, imes \, E_{\scriptscriptstyle k} imes \xi_{\scriptscriptstyle k+1}^{\scriptscriptstyle -1}(1) imes \, \cdots$$

by setting

We denote by  $S = S(A_1, A_2, \dots)$  the algebra of all functions f on  $E_0$  which have expansions of the form

$$f = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_1^{(k)} \textcircled{\bullet} \cdots \textcircled{\bullet} f_{N_k}^{(k)} \textcircled{\bullet} \xi_{N_k+1} \textcircled{\bullet} \xi_{N_k+2} \textcircled{\bullet} \cdots,$$

where  $f_j^{(k)} \in A_j$ ,  $N_k \in N$ , and

$$M = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} ||f_1^{(k)}||_{A_1} \cdots ||f_{N_k}^{(k)}||_{A_{N_k}} < \infty$$
.

For  $f \in S$ , the norm  $||f||_s$  of f is defined to be the infimum of the numbers M taken over all expansions of f of the above form. We call S with norm  $||\cdot||_s$  the S-tensor product of  $A_1, A_2, \cdots$  relative to  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \cdots$  (or, relative to  $0_1, 0_2, \cdots$  if each  $\xi_j^{-1}(1)$  is a singleton  $\{0_j\}$ ). Therefore S is a semi-simple commutative Banach algebra. Notice that if  $\xi_j = 1$  for all j, then S is the algebra  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$  defined in [8].

THEOREM 4. Let  $(a_1, a_2, \cdots)$  and  $(k_1, k_2, \cdots)$  be two sequences of natural numbers such that

(\*) 
$$k_j < a_j \; \forall j \quad and \;\; \sum_{j=1}^\infty jk_j/a_j < \infty \;.$$

Let also  $\tilde{E}_0$  be the subset of Z consisting of all elements of the form

$$au_1+ au_2a_1+\cdots+ au_na_1a_2\cdots a_{n-1}+\cdots$$
 ,

where  $\tau_j \in \{0, 1, \dots, k_j\}$  for all j and  $\tau_j = 0$  for all but except finitely many j. Then  $A(\tilde{E}_0)$  is topologically isomorphic to the S-tensor product S of

$$A_j = A(\{0, 1, \dots, k_j\})$$
  $(j = 1, 2, \dots)$ 

relative to  $0, 0, \cdots$ .

**PROOF.** Let  $a = (a_1, a_2, \dots)$ , and let  $\Delta(a)$  be the compact group of the *a*-adic integers. Put

$$egin{aligned} &E_{j} = \{ au _{j} \colon au = 0, \, 1, \, \cdots , \, k_{j} \} & (j = 1, \, 2, \, \cdots ) \; , \ &E = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} E_{j} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} E_{j} = \widetilde{E} \subset arDelta(a) \; . \end{aligned}$$

Then the natural homomorphism  $P_E$  of A(E) into  $A_E = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} A(E_i)$  is normdecreasing by Lemma 3 in [8], and is actually an (onto) isomorphism by Theorem 3 and (\*).

For each  $N \in N$ , we define a norm-decreasing homomorphism  $J_N: A_E \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^N A(E_i) \subset A_E$  by setting

(1) 
$$(J_N f)(x) = f(x_1, \dots, x_N, 0, 0, \dots)$$
  $(x \in E)$ .

Notice that if we regard  $J_N$  as an operator on A(E) then  $J_N$  has norm  $\leq ||P_E^{-1}||$ , and that

(2) 
$$\lim_{N\to\infty} ||J_N f - f||_{A(E)} = 0$$
  $(f \in A(E))$ .

(See [8: p. 283].) Put

$$E_0 = igcup_{N=1}^{\infty} E_1 imes \cdots imes E_N imes \{0\} imes \{0\} imes \cdots$$
 ,

which is a dense subset of E. Let  $B(E_0)$  be the restriction algebra of  $B(\Delta_d)$  to  $E_0$ . Here  $\Delta_d$  denotes the group  $\Delta(a)$  with the discrete topology, and  $B(\Delta_d)$  denotes the Banach algebra of Fourier-Stieltjes transforms of measures on  $\widehat{\Delta_d}$  = the Bohr compactification of  $\widehat{\Delta(a)}$ . Let also  $M_F(E_0)$  be the space of finitely supported measures on  $E_0$ . Then  $\mu \in M_F(E_0)$  implies

## INFINITE TENSOR PRODUCTS

$$||\mu||_{_{PM}} = \sup \{|\hat{\mu}(\gamma)| \colon \gamma \in \widehat{\varDelta(a)}\} = \sup \{|\hat{\mu}(\chi)| \colon \chi \in \widehat{\varDelta_d}\}$$
,

since  $\hat{\mu}$  is continuous on  $\widehat{\Delta}_a$  and  $\widehat{\Delta}(a)$  is dense in  $\widehat{\Delta}_a$ . The space  $B(E_0)$  may be identified with the conjugate space of  $M_F(E_0)$ :  $f \in B(E_0)$  if and only if

$$||f||_{_{B(E_0)}} = \sup \left\{ \left| \int_{_{E_0}} f d\mu \right| : \mu \in M_{_F}(E_0), \, ||\mu||_{_{PM}} \leq 1 \right\} < \infty \; .$$

Since  $E_0$  is dense in E and  $A(E) \subset C(E)$ , we can and will identify A(E) with its restriction to  $E_0$ . Then the embedding  $A(E) \subset B(E_0)$  is a norm-decreasing homomorphism. We claim that A(E) is indeed closed in  $B(E_0)$ . To see this, take any  $f \in A(E)$ . Then there exists a  $\lambda \in M(\widehat{A}_d)$  such that  $\widehat{\lambda} = f$  on  $E_0$  and  $||\lambda||_{\mathfrak{M}} = ||f||_{B(E_0)}$ . Since  $E_0$  is countable there exists a sequence  $(f_n)_1^{\infty}$  in  $A(\mathcal{A}(a))$  such that  $||f_n||_{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A}(a))} \leq ||\lambda||_{\mathfrak{M}}$  for all n and  $f_n \to \widehat{\lambda}$  on  $E_0$  pointwise. Then we have

(3) 
$$\begin{cases} ||J_N f||_{A(E)} \leq ||J_N f| - J_N f_n||_{A(E)} + ||J_N f_n||_{A(E)} \\ \leq ||J_N (f - f_n)||_{A(E)} + ||J_N|| \cdot ||f||_{B(E_0)} \end{cases}$$

for all  $N, n = 1, 2, \cdots$ . Notice that the range of  $J_N$  is finite-dimensional and  $J_N f_n$  converges to  $J_N f$  pointwise by (1), for each  $N = 1, 2, \cdots$ . Thus (3) yields

$$||J_N f||_{A(E)} \leq ||J_N|| \cdot ||f||_{B(E_0)} \leq ||P_E^{-1}|| \cdot ||f||_{B(E_0)} \quad (N = 1, 2, \cdots),$$

and hence

$$(4) ||f||_{B(E_0)} \leq ||f||_{A(E)} \leq ||P_E^{-1}|| \cdot ||f||_{B(E_0)}$$

by (2). Since (4) holds for every  $f \in A(E)$ , we conclude that A(E) is closed in  $B(E_0)$ .

We now prove that the S-tensor product  $S_E$  of the  $A(E_i)$  relative to 0, 0,  $\cdots$  can be naturally identified with  $A(E_0)$ —the restriction algebra of  $A(\Delta_d)$  to  $E_0$ . To do this, we introduce two maps

$$S_E \xrightarrow{K_N} \bigoplus_{i=1}^N A(E_i) \xrightarrow{L_N} S_E$$

for each N:

$$(K_N f)(x) = f(x_1, \dots, x_N, 0, 0, \dots) \quad (x \in E_1 \times \dots \times E_N),$$
  
$$L_N f = f \odot \xi_{N+1} \odot \xi_{N+2} \odot \dots$$

It follows from the definition of  $S_E$  that  $K_N$  is norm-decreasing, that  $L_N$  is an isometry, and that the sequence  $(L_N \circ K_N)_1^{\infty}$  converges to the identity operator on  $S_E$  in the strong operator topology. Take now any  $f \in S_E$ . Then, by the first inequality in (4), we have

$$(5) ||K_N f||_{B(E_0)} \le ||K_N f||_{A(E)} \le ||P_E^{-1}|| ||K_N f||_{A_E} \le ||P_E^{-1}|| \cdot ||f||_{S_E}$$

for all N. Here we regard  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{N} A(E_i) \subset A_E = A(E)$  in the usual way. Since  $K_N f \to f$  pointwise on  $E_0$ , (5) assures

(6) 
$$f \in B(E_0)$$
 and  $||f||_{B(E_0)} \leq ||P_E^{-1}|| \cdot ||f||_{S_E}$ .

To prove the converse inequality, choose a sequence  $(f_n)_1^{\infty}$  in A(E) so that  $||f_n||_{A(E)} \leq ||f||_{B(E_0)}$  and  $f_n \to f$  pointwise on  $E_0$ . Then we have

$$||L_N J_N f_n||_{S_E} = ||J_N f_n||_{A_E} \le ||f_n||_{A_E}$$
$$\le ||f_n||_{A(E)} \le ||f||_{B(E_0)}$$

But it is clear that  $J_N f_n \to K_N f$  pointwise on E as  $n \to \infty$  for each fixed N. Since  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{N} A(E_i)$  is a finite-dimensional linear space, this implies

$$||J_N f_n - K_N f||_{A_E} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \rightarrow \infty \qquad (N = 1, 2, \cdots).$$

Therefore we have

$$||L_N K_N f||_{s_E} = \lim_{n \to \infty} ||L_N J_N f_n||_{s_E} \le ||f||_{B(E_0)}$$
 (N = 1, 2, ...).

Since  $L_N K_N$  converges to the identity operator, we have  $||f||_{s_E} \leq ||f||_{B(E_0)}$ , and hence

$$(7) ||f||_{s_E} \le ||f||_{B(E_0)} \le ||P_E^{-1}|| ||f||_{s_E} (f \in S_E).$$

Now it is easy to see that all the functions on  $E_0$  with finite support are contained in  $A(E_0) \cap S_E$  and are dense in both  $A(E_0)$  and  $S_E$ . Therefore (7) assures  $A(E_0) = S_E$ .

Finally, there exists a unique group isomorphism  $\phi: \mathbb{Z} \to Gp(E_0) \subset \Delta_d$ such that  $\phi(1) = u_1$ , and we have  $\phi(\widetilde{E}_0) = E_0$ . The adjoint map  $\phi^*$  induces an isometric isomorphism  $\Phi: B(E_0) \to B(\widetilde{E}_0)$  which maps  $A(E_0)$  onto  $A(\widetilde{E}_0)$ . The composite of the maps

$$A(\widetilde{E}_0) \xrightarrow{\Phi^{-1}} A(E_0) \xrightarrow{id} S_E$$

is therefore a norm-decreasing topological isomorphism. Since  $A(\{0, 1, \dots, k_j\}) = A(E_j)$  algebraically and isometrically for all j, this completes the proof.

REMARK. The above proof shows that  $B(\tilde{E}_0)$  contains a closed subalgebra which is topologically isomorphic to  $A_E$ .

We now fix a natural number N. For each  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ , let  $\{v_{kj}\}_{k=1}^{N}$  be an orthogonal basis in  $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ , and  $E_{j}$  a finite set such that

$$[0] \subseteq E_j \subset Gp(\{v_{1j}, \cdots, v_{Nj}\}).$$

1

We put

 $R_{j} = \sup \{ ||x|| \colon x \in E_{j} \}, \, r_{j} = \inf \{ ||v_{kj}|| \colon 1 \leq k \leq N \}$  ,

and assume that

(UTMS) 
$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (R_{j+1}/r_j)^2 < \infty$$
.

Under these conditions, we call  $\widetilde{E} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i$  a UTMS set (ultra thin multi-symmetric set).

The following theorem is a generalization of the Meyer-Schneider theorem (cf. [3], [10], and [2: Chapter XIV]).

THEOREM 5. Let  $\tilde{E} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E_{i}$  be a UTMS set in  $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ , and define a map  $p_{E}: E = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} E_{i} \to \tilde{E}$  as usual. Assume that  $p_{E}$  is one-to-one. Then we have:

(a) The map  $P_E: A(\widetilde{E}) \to A_E = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} A(E_i)$  induced by  $p_E$  is a topological isomorphism.

(b)  $\tilde{E}$  is an S-set.

(c)  $\tilde{E}$  is a set of uniqueness, i.e.,  $PF(\tilde{E}) = \{0\}$ .

To prove this, we need several lemmas. Although the first two of these lemmas are well-known, we give a complete proof to make the paper self-contained.

For  $\gamma = (\gamma_k)_1^N$  and  $x = (x_k)_1^N \in \mathbb{R}^N$ , write

$$\gamma(x) = e_{\gamma}(x) = e^{i\gamma x} = \exp\left[i(\gamma_1 x_1 + \cdots + \gamma_N x_N)\right].$$

If u is a unit vector in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  and  $\phi \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , we define

$$(D_u\phi)(\gamma) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} u_j \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \gamma_j}(\gamma) \qquad (\gamma \in \mathbf{R}^N)$$

which is the derivative of  $\phi$  in the direction of u. We also write  $S_l = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^N : ||x|| \leq l\}$  for l > 0.

LEMMA 5.1. (Bernstein's inequality). If  $P \in PM(S_i)$ , then we have

$$||D_{u}^{k}P||_{C(\mathbb{R}^{N})} \leq l^{k}||P||_{PM}$$
 (k = 1, 2, ...)

for every unit vector u in  $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ .

**PROOF.** Let  $f_i$  be the 4*l*-periodic odd function on  $\mathbf{R}^1$  defined by

$${f}_l(t) = egin{cases} t & (0 \leq t \leq l) \ 2l-t & (l \leq t \leq 2l) \ . \end{cases}$$

Then we have

(1) 
$$f_{l}(t) = l \sum_{n \neq 0} \left\{ \left( \sin \frac{n\pi}{2} \right) \middle/ \left( \frac{n\pi}{2} \right) \right\}^{2} (-i)^{n} \exp\left( i \ n\pi t/2l \right),$$

(2) 
$$||f||_{B(R)} = l \sum_{n \neq 0} \left\{ \left( \sin \frac{n\pi}{2} \right) / \left( \frac{n\pi}{2} \right) \right\}^2 = l$$

To prove (1), we identify [-2l, 2l) with T in the usual way and compute the Fourier coefficients of  $f_l(t-l)+l$ . (2) follows from  $||f_l||_{B(R)} = f_l(l) = l$ .

Let now  $P \in PM(S_i)$  be given. Since

$$\widetilde{P}(\gamma) = \langle e^{-i\gamma x}, P_x \rangle$$
  $(\gamma \in \mathbf{R}^N)$ ,

we have  $\widehat{P} \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and

(3) 
$$(D_u^k \hat{P})(\gamma) = \langle (-\mathrm{iux})^k e^{-i\gamma x}, P_x \rangle$$
  $(\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^N; k = 1, 2, \cdots)$ 

for any unit vector u in  $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ . Notice that  $|ux| \leq ||x||$  by Schwarz' inequality, and so

$$(4) f_i(ux) = ux (x \in S_i).$$

Since  $S_i$  is an S-set [4: Theorem 7.5.4], we have by (2), (3), and (4)

$$\begin{split} |(D_{u}^{k}\hat{P})(\gamma)| &= |\langle f_{l}(ux)^{k}e^{-i\gamma x}, P_{x}\rangle| \\ &\leq ||f_{l}(ux)^{k}e^{-i\gamma x}||_{B(\mathbb{R}^{N})} \cdot ||P||_{PM} \\ &\leq \{||f_{l}||_{B(\mathbb{R}^{1})}\}^{k} ||P||_{PM} = l^{k} ||P||_{PM} \;. \end{split}$$

This completes the proof.

LEMMA 5.2. (Schneider's inequality [10]). Let  $P \in PM(S_i)$ , l > 0, and  $\eta > 0$  be given. Let also K be any  $\eta$ -dense subset of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . Then we have

$$\sup_{\gamma \in K} |\widehat{P}(\gamma)| \geq \{1 - 2^{-\imath} (l \eta)^{\imath}\} ||P||_{_{PM}} \; .$$

**PROOF.** We first prove this assuming  $P \in PF(S_i)$ , i.e.,  $\hat{P} \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . Then there exists a  $\gamma_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$  such that

$$|\hat{P}({\gamma}_{\scriptscriptstyle 0})| = ||\hat{P}||_{{}_{C({m R}^N)}} = ||P||_{{}_{PM}}$$
 .

Without loss of generality, we may assure  $\hat{P}(\gamma_0) \ge 0$ . Choose any  $\gamma_1 \in K$  so that  $||\gamma_0 - \gamma_1|| \le \eta$ . Let u be the unit vector in the direction of  $\gamma_1 - \gamma_0$ . Thus

$$\gamma_1 = \gamma_0 + tu$$
, where  $t = ||\gamma_1 - \gamma_0|| \leq \eta$ .

By the Taylor formula, we then have

$$egin{aligned} &\operatorname{Re}\,\hat{P}(\gamma_{_1}) = \operatorname{Re}\,\hat{P}(\gamma_{_0} + tu) \ &= \operatorname{Re}\left[\hat{P}(\gamma_{_0}) + t(D_u\hat{P})(\gamma_{_0}) + rac{t^2}{2}(D_u^2\hat{P})(\gamma')
ight] \ &= ||P||_{_{PM}} + 0 + rac{t^2}{2}\operatorname{Re}\,(D_u^2\hat{P})(\gamma') \end{aligned}$$

for some  $\gamma' \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ . It follows from Bernstein's inequality that

$$egin{aligned} \sup_{\gamma \in K} |\hat{P}(\gamma)| &\geq |\operatorname{Re} \hat{P}(\gamma_{\scriptscriptstyle 1})| \ &\geq (1 - 2^{-\imath} t^2 l^2) ||P||_{\scriptscriptstyle PM} \geq (1 - 2^{-\imath} \eta^2 l^2) ||P||_{\scriptscriptstyle PM} \;. \end{aligned}$$

Let now  $P \in PM(S_i)$  be arbitrary. Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , take any probability measure  $\mu_{\varepsilon} \in M(S_{\varepsilon}) \cap PF(S_{\varepsilon})$ . Then we have

$$P * \mu_{\epsilon} \in PM(S_{l+\epsilon}) \text{ and } \hat{P * \mu_{\epsilon}} = \hat{P}\hat{\mu}_{\epsilon} \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

It follows from the first case that

$$\sup_{\gamma \in K} |\hat{P}(\gamma)| \ge \sup_{\gamma \in K} |\widehat{P*\mu_{\epsilon}}(\gamma)| \ \ge \{1 - 2^{-1} (\eta(l+\varepsilon))^2\} ||P*\mu_{\epsilon}||_{PM} \;.$$

Since  $\lim_{\epsilon} \hat{\mu}_{\epsilon}(\gamma) = 1 \quad \forall \gamma \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ , this yields the desired inequality.

LEMMA 5.3. Let  $\{v_k\}_1^N$  be an orthogonal basis in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  and E any subset of  $Gp(\{v_k\}_1^N)$ . Then the set

$$E^{\perp} = \{ \gamma \in {I\!\!R}^{\scriptscriptstyle N} : e^{{m i} \gamma x} = 1 \quad orall x \in E \}$$

is  $\eta$ -dense in  $\mathbf{R}^{\scriptscriptstyle N}$ , where  $\eta = \pi(\sum_{i=1}^{\scriptscriptstyle N} ||v_k||^{-2})^{1/2}$ .

**PROOF.** It suffices to note that  $E^{\perp}$  contains

$$Gp(\{v_k\}_1^{\scriptscriptstyle N})^{\scriptscriptstyle \perp} = \left\{\sum_{k=1}^{\scriptscriptstyle N} n_k 2\pi \, ||\, v_k\, ||^{-2} v_k {:}\, n \in Z^{\scriptscriptstyle N}
ight\}\,.$$

LEMMA 5.4. Let E be a finite set in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , and  $0 < l < \infty$ . Suppose that  $E^{\perp}$  is  $\eta$ -dense in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  for some  $0 < \eta < 2^{1/2}/l$ . Then

$$\sup_{\boldsymbol{\gamma},\boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathbf{R}^{N}} \left| \sum_{\boldsymbol{x} \in E} \hat{Q}_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\boldsymbol{\gamma}) e^{-\boldsymbol{i}\boldsymbol{\beta}\boldsymbol{x}} \right| \leq \left\{ 1 - \frac{(l\eta)^{2}}{2} \right\}^{-1} \left\| \sum_{\boldsymbol{x} \in E} Q_{\boldsymbol{x}} \ast \delta_{\boldsymbol{x}} \right\|_{PM}$$

holds for every finite subset  $\{Q_x : x \in E\}$  of  $PM(S_i)$ . Here  $\delta_x$  is the unit mass at x.

**PROOF.** Let  $\{Q_x : x \in E\} \subset PM(S_i)$  and  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^N$  be given. Then we have

(1) 
$$\left\|\sum_{x \in E} Q_x * \delta_x\right\|_{PM} = \sup_{\gamma \in R^N} \left|\sum_{x \in E} \hat{Q}_x(\gamma) e^{-i\gamma x}\right|$$
$$\geq \sup_{\lambda \in E^{\perp}} \left|\sum_{x \in E} \hat{Q}_x(\lambda + \beta) e^{-i\beta x}\right|.$$

Let  $Q \in PM(S_l)$  be the sum of all  $e^{-i\beta x}Q_x$ ,  $x \in E$ . Since  $E^{\perp} + \beta$  is  $\eta$ -dense in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , it follows from Schneider's inequality that

$$\sup_{\lambda \in E^{\perp}} \left| \widehat{Q}(\lambda + eta) 
ight| \geq \left\{ 1 - rac{(l\eta)^2}{2} 
ight\} ||Q||_{\scriptscriptstyle PM}$$
 ,

or, equivalently, that the last term in (1) is larger than or equal to

$$\left\{1-rac{(l\eta)^2}{2}
ight\} \sup_{\scriptscriptstyle \gamma \ \in \ R^N} \left| \ \sum_{x \ \in \ E} \widehat{Q}_x(\gamma) e^{-i eta x} 
ight| \ .$$

Since  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$  is arbitrary, this gives the desired inequality.

LEMMA 5.5. Let  $\alpha = (2\pi N)^{-1}$ , and let

$$l_{j} = \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} R_{k}$$
 and  $\eta_{j} = \pi \Big( \sum_{k=1}^{N} ||v_{kj}||^{-2} \Big)^{1/2}$ .

To prove Theorem 5, we can assume the following:

(i)  $r_j > 4\pi N l_j$  and  $(1 + \alpha) l_j \eta_j < 1$   $(j = 1, 2, \dots)$ .

(ii) The sets  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_j + S_{\alpha r_n}$ ,  $x_j \in E_j$   $(1 \le j \le n)$ , are disjoint for each  $n = 1, 2, \cdots$ .

**PROOF.** We first prove that (i) implies (ii). Fix any  $n \in N$ , and take two distinct points  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{j}$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{j}$  of  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} E_{j}$ . If  $1 \leq k \leq n$  is the first number such that  $x_{k} \neq y_{k}$ , then we have

$$\left\|\sum\limits_{1}^{n}x_{j}-\sum\limits_{1}^{n}y_{j}
ight\|\geq r_{k}-2l_{k}$$
 .

But (i) assures that  $r_j - 2l_j > r_{j+1} - 2l_{j+1}$  for all j, and so

$$\left\|\sum_{1}^{n} x_{j} - \sum_{1}^{n} y_{j}\right\| \geq r_{n} - 2l_{n}$$
 .

Moreover, we have

$$egin{aligned} &(r_n-2l_n)-2lpha r_n=(1-2lpha)r_n-2l_n\ &>\{1-2lpha-(2\pi N)^{-1}\}r_n>0 \end{aligned}$$

by (i) and the definition of  $\alpha$ . Thus (i) implies (ii).

Take now any real a so large that

(1) 
$$a > 4\pi N$$
 and  $(1 + \alpha)\pi N^{1/2}/a < 1$ .

By (UTMS), there exists a natural number  $j_0$  such that  $r_j > (a + 1)R_{j+1}$ for all  $j > j_0$ . Since  $R_j \ge r_j$ , it follows that  $j > j_0$  implies

Notice now that  $\eta_j \leq \pi N^{1/2}/r_j$ . It follows from (1) and (2) that  $j > j_0$  implies

$$(1+lpha) l_j \eta_j < (1+lpha) a^{-_1} r_j \!\cdot\! \pi N^{_{1/2}} \!/ r_j < 1$$
 .

In other words, (i) is the case for every  $j > j_0$ .

Let now  $t_1, \dots, t_{j_0}$  be any real positive numbers. Put  $E'_j = E_j$  if  $j > j_0, E'_j = t_j E_j$  if  $j \leq j_0$ , and let  $(r'_j)^{\infty}_1, (\eta'_j)^{\infty}_1$  and  $(l'_j)^{\infty}_1$  be the numerical sequences corresponding to  $(E'_j)^{\infty}_1$ . We choose successively  $t_{j_0}, \dots, t_2, t_1$  so that the above three sequences satisfy (i).

Then both  $\tilde{E} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E_{j}$  and  $\tilde{E}' = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E'_{j}$  are disjoint unions of the same number of translates of  $\sum_{j>j_0} E_{j}$ . Therefore it is trivial that if  $\tilde{E}'$  has the required properties in Theorem 5, then so does  $\tilde{E}$ . This completes the proof.

LEMMA 5.6. Suppose that the UTMS set  $\tilde{E}$  satisfies condition (i) in Lemma 5.5. Let  $\{Q_{x_1\cdots x_n}; x_j \in E_j, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$  be a finite subset of  $PM(S_{ar_n})$ , n being a natural number. Then we have

$$egin{aligned} \sup \left\{ \left| \sum\limits_{x_j \in E_j, 1 \leq j \leq n} \hat{Q}_{x_1 \cdots x_n}(\gamma) \exp \left( -i \sum\limits_{j=1}^n \gamma_j x_j 
ight) 
ight| \colon \gamma, \ \gamma_j \in {oldsymbol R}^N 
ight\} \ &\leq (2/C_n) \sup \left\{ \left| \sum\limits_{x_j} \hat{Q}_{x_1 \cdots x_n}(\gamma) \exp \left( -i \gamma \sum\limits_{j=1}^n x_j 
ight) 
ight| \colon \gamma \in {oldsymbol R}^N 
ight\}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $C_n = \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \{1 - (\eta_j l_j)^2\}.$ 

**PROOF.** Write

$$egin{aligned} &s_n = lpha r_n; \, s_{n-1} = s_n + R_n = lpha r_n + R_n; \, \cdots; \ &s_1 = s_2 + R_2 = lpha r_n + R_n + \, \cdots + R_2 \; . \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n \in \mathbf{R}^N$  be fixed. In the expression

$$\phi(\gamma) = \sum_{\substack{x_j \in E_n \\ 1 \leq j < n}} \left\{ \sum_{\substack{x_j \in E_j \\ 1 \leq j < n}} \widehat{Q}_{x_1 \cdots x_n}(\gamma) \exp\left(-i \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \gamma_j x_j\right) \right\} e^{-i\gamma_n x_n} ,$$

the functions of  $\gamma$  in the brackets are Fourier transforms of pseudomeasures in  $PM(S_{s_n})$ . Since  $E_n^{\perp}$  is  $\eta_n$ -dense in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  by Lemma 5.3, and since  $\eta_n s_n \leq \pi N^{1/2} \alpha < 2^{1/2}$ , it follows from Lemma 5.4 that

$$egin{aligned} \sup_{\gamma} |\phi(\gamma)| &\leq A_n^{-1} \sup_{\gamma} \left| \sum\limits_{\substack{x_n \in E_n \ x_n \in E_n}} \left\{ \sum\limits_{\substack{x_j \in E_j, 1 \leq j < n}} 
ight\} e^{-oldsymbol{i}\gamma x_n} 
ight| \ &= A_n^{-1} \sup_{\gamma} \left| \sum\limits_{\substack{x_j \in E_j \ 1 \leq j < n}} \left\{ \sum\limits_{\substack{x_n \in E_n \ x_n \in E_n}} (Q_{x_1 \cdots x_n} * \delta_{x_n})^{m{a}}(\gamma) 
ight\} \exp\left( - i \sum\limits_{j=1}^{n-1} \gamma_j x_j 
ight) 
ight| \,, \end{aligned}$$

where  $A_n = 1 - (\eta_n s_n)^2/2$ . Notice that

$$\operatorname{supp}\left\{\sum_{x_n \in E_n} (Q_{x_1 \cdots x_n} * \delta_{x_n})\right\} \subset S_{s_n} + S_{R_n} = S_{s_{n-1}}$$

for all  $x_j \in E_j$ ,  $1 \leq j < n$ . Therefore an inductive argument applies, and we have

$$egin{aligned} &\sup_{\gamma} \left| \sum\limits_{x_j \in E_j, 1 \leq j \leq n} \hat{Q}_{x_1 \cdots x_n}(\gamma) \exp\left(-i \sum\limits_{j=1}^n \gamma_j x_j
ight) 
ight| \ &\leq (\mathrm{A}_n \cdots A_2 A_1)^{-1} \sup_{\gamma} \left| \sum\limits_{x_j} \left( Q_{x_1 \cdots x_n} * \delta_{x_n} * \cdots * \delta_{x_1} 
ight)^{\wedge}(\gamma) 
ight| \ &\leq 2 C_n^{-1} \sup_{\gamma} \left| \sum\limits_{x_j} \hat{Q}_{x_1 \cdots x_n}(\gamma) \exp\left(-i \gamma \sum\limits_{j=1}^n x_j
ight) 
ight| \,. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n \in \mathbf{R}^N$  are arbitrary, this yields the required inequality.

PROOF OF THEOREM 5. We will assume the two additional conditions (i) and (ii) given in Lemma 5.5. Notice that then

$$C_{\scriptscriptstyle 0} = 2 \lim_{\scriptscriptstyle n} \, C_{\scriptscriptstyle n}^{\scriptscriptstyle -1} = 2 \prod_{\scriptscriptstyle j=1}^{\infty} \{1 - (\eta_j l_j)^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}\}^{\scriptscriptstyle -1} < \infty$$
 ,

since  $\eta_j l_j \leq (\pi N^{1/2}/r_j) \cdot (R_{j+1} + l_{j+1}) \leq 2\pi N R_{j+1}/r_j$  and so  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\eta_j l_j)^2 < \infty$  by condition (UTMS). Notice also that (i) implies

$$\sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} E_j \subset S_{l_n} \subset S_{\alpha r_n} \qquad (n = 1, 2, \cdots).$$

To prove part (a), take any  $n \in N$  and any n vectors  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . We define a function  $f = f_{\gamma_1 \dots \gamma_n} \in A(\sum_{i=1}^n E_j + S_{\alpha r_n})$  by setting

(1) 
$$f\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{j} + S_{\alpha r_{n}}\right) = \exp\left(i\sum_{j=1}^{n} \gamma_{j} x_{j}\right) \qquad \forall (x_{j} \in E_{j})_{1}^{n},$$

which is well-defined by (ii).

We then claim that

(1.1) 
$$||f_{r_1\cdots r_n}||_{A(\sum_{i=1}^{n}E_j+S_{\alpha r_n})} \leq C_0$$
, and

(1.2) 
$$P_{E}(f_{\tau_{1}\cdots\tau_{n}}) = e_{\tau_{1}} \odot \cdots \odot e_{\tau_{n}}.$$

In fact, (1.2) is trivial. To prove (1.1), take any  $Q \in A(\sum_{i=1}^{n} E_j + S_{\alpha r_n})' = PM(\sum_{i=1}^{n} E_j + S_{\alpha r_n})$  (notice that  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} E_j + S_{\alpha r_n}$  is a finite disjoint union of translates of the S-set  $S_{\alpha r_n}$ ). Write

$$Q = \sum_{x_j \in E_j, 1 \leq j \leq n} Q_{x_1 \cdots x_n} * \delta_{x_1 + \cdots + x_n}$$

with  $Q_{x_1\cdots x_n} \in PM(S_{\alpha r_n})$ . Then we have

$$egin{aligned} \langle f, \, Q 
angle &= \sum\limits_{x_j} \langle f, \, Q_{x_1 \cdots x_n} * \delta_{x_1 + \cdots + x_n} 
angle \ &= \sum\limits_{x_j} \hat{Q}_{x_1 \cdots x_n}(0) \exp\left(i \sum\limits_{j=1}^n \gamma_j x_j\right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Lemma 5.6, we have

$$|\langle f, Q \rangle| \leq C_0 ||Q||_{PM} \qquad \forall Q \in A \Big(\sum_{1}^n E_j + S_{\alpha r_n}\Big)'.$$

It is now easy to see that  $P_E$  is a topological isomorphism of  $A(\widetilde{E})$  onto  $A_E$  and satisfies

$$\|P_{\scriptscriptstyle E}f\|_{\scriptscriptstyle A_{\scriptscriptstyle E}} \leq \|f\|_{\scriptscriptstyle A(\widetilde{E})} \leq C_{\scriptscriptstyle 0} \|P_{\scriptscriptstyle E}f\|_{\scriptscriptstyle A_{\scriptscriptstyle E}} \qquad \forall f \in A(\widetilde{E}) \;.$$

 $||P_E f||_{A_E} \leq ||f||_{A(\widetilde{E})} \leq$ (cf. the proof of part (a) of Theorem 1).

To prove part (b), fix a natural number n, and define an algebra homomorphism

$$L_n: A(\widetilde{E}) \mapsto A\left(\sum_{j=1}^n E_j + S_{\alpha r_n}\right)$$

by setting

(2) 
$$(L_n f) \Big(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j + S_{\alpha r_n}\Big) = f \Big(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j\Big) \qquad \forall (x_j \in E_j)_1^n.$$

We then claim that

$$(2.1) || L_n f ||_{A(\Sigma_1^n E_j + S_{\alpha r_n})} \leq C_0 || f ||_{A(\widetilde{E})} \forall f \in A(\widetilde{E}) .$$

In fact, it suffices to prove this for  $f = e_{\gamma}$  with  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ . But then  $f = f_{\tau_{1} \dots \tau_{n}}$ , where  $\gamma_{1} = \dots = \gamma_{n} = \gamma$ . Thus (2.1) is a special case of (1.1). We next prove

(2.2) 
$$||L_n e_{\gamma} - e_{\gamma}||_{A(\sum_{i=1}^n E_j + S_{l_n})} \leq MC_0 ||\gamma|| \cdot l_n$$

for every  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ , where M is an absolute constant. Fix  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ , and set  $l = l_{n}$ . We have by (2.1)

$$egin{aligned} &\|(L_n e_7)^k\|_{A(\Sigma_1^n E_j + S_l)} &= \|L_n e_{kT}\|_{A(\Sigma_1^n E_j + S_l)} \ &\leq C_0 \|e_{kT}\|_{A(\widetilde{E})} = C_0 \ &(k = 0, \, \pm 1, \, \pm 2, \, \cdots) \ . \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, (2) shows

$$|\arg[(\overline{L_n e_{\gamma}})e_{\gamma}]| \leq ||\gamma|| \cdot l$$
 on  $\sum_{i}^{n} E_{j} + S_{l}$ .

Thus (2.2) follows from Lemma 1 in [8]. Notice now  $\sum_{n+1}^{\infty} E_j \subset S_{l_n}$  and so

$$PM(\widetilde{E}) \subset A(\sum_{i=1}^{n} E_{i} + S_{l_{n}})'$$
.

Given any  $Q \in PM(\tilde{E})$ , we prove

(2.3) 
$$L_n^*Q \in M\left(\sum_{j=1}^n E_j\right) \subset M(\widetilde{E})$$
 , and

(2.4) 
$$|(L_n^*Q)^{\widehat{\gamma}}(\gamma) - \widehat{Q}(\gamma)| \leq MC_0 ||\gamma|| l_n ||Q||_{PM} \qquad \forall \gamma \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

The definition (2) of  $L_n$  shows supp  $L_n^*Q$  is contained in the finite set

 $\sum_{i=1}^{n} E_{j}$ , and hence (2.3). If  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ , we have by (2.2)

$$\begin{split} |(L_n^*Q)^{\widehat{}}(\gamma) - \widehat{Q}(\gamma)| &= |\langle L_n e_{-\gamma} - e_{-\gamma}, Q \rangle| \\ &\leq ||L_n e_{-\gamma} - e_{-\gamma}||_{A(\Sigma_1^n E_j + S_{l_n})} \cdot ||Q||_{PM} \\ &\leq M C_0 ||\gamma|| \cdot l_n \cdot ||Q||_{PM} , \end{split}$$

which establishes (2.4).

We infer from (2.1), (2.3), and (2.4) that  $M(\tilde{E})$  is weak-\* dense in  $PM(\tilde{E})$  and  $\tilde{E}$  is therefore an S-set.

To prove part (c), let f be the characteristic function of the unit ball  $S_1$  divided by its volume (hence  $||f||_1 = \hat{f}(0) = 1$ ). Set  $f_n(x) = (\alpha r_n)^{-N} f(\alpha r_n)^{-1} x$ ) for  $n = 1, 2, \cdots$ , so that each  $f_n$  is supported by  $S_{\alpha r_n}$ and has Fourier transform  $\hat{f}_n(\gamma) = \hat{f}(\alpha r_n \gamma), \gamma \in \mathbb{R}^N$ . We can choose a positive real number  $B_0$  so that  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^N$  and  $||\gamma|| \ge B_0$  imply  $|\bar{f}(\alpha \gamma)| < (2C_0)^{-1}$ . Notice then

(3) 
$$||\gamma|| \ge B_0/r_n \Rightarrow |\hat{f}_n(\gamma)| < (2C_0)^{-1}$$
  $(n = 1, 2, \cdots).$ 

Given  $n \ge 1$ ,  $\mu \in M(\sum_{i=1}^{n} E_{j})$ , and  $\gamma_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ , we now prove

$$(3.1) ||\mu||_{PM} \leq C_0 \sup \{|\mu(\gamma)| \colon \gamma \in \mathbb{R}^N, ||\gamma - \gamma_0|| \leq B_0/r_n\}.$$

First notice that  $\operatorname{supp}(f_n * \mu) \subset \sum_{i=1}^{n} E_j + S_{\alpha \tau_n}$ . Regarding  $L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  as a subspace of  $PM(\mathbb{R}^N)$  in the usual way, we have for every  $g \in A(\widetilde{E})$ 

$$egin{aligned} &\langle g,\, L_n^*(f_n*\mu)
angle &= \langle L_ng,\, f_n*\mu
angle \ &= \int_{\Sigma_1^n E_j+S_{lpha r_n}} (L_ng)(x)\cdot(f_n*\mu)(x)dx \ &= \sum \left\{ \int_{\Sigma_1^n x_j+S_{lpha r_n}} g\Big( \sum\limits_1^n x_j \Big) f_n\Big(x-\sum\limits_1^n x_j\Big)dx 
ight\} \mu\Big( \left\{ \sum\limits_1^n x_j 
ight\} \Big) \ &= \sum g\Big( \sum\limits_1^n x_j \Big)\cdot \mu\Big( \left\{ \sum\limits_1^n x_j 
ight\} \Big) = \langle g,\, \mu 
angle \end{aligned}$$

where the sum  $\sum$  is taken over all  $x_j \in E_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . This shows  $L_n^*(f_n * \mu) = \mu$ . It follows from (2.1) and (3) that

$$\begin{split} ||\mu||_{PM} &= ||L_n^*(f_n * \mu)||_{PM} \leq C_0 ||f_n * \mu||_{PM} \\ &= C_0 \sup_{\gamma} |\hat{f}_n(\gamma) \hat{\mu}(\gamma)| \\ &\leq C_0 \max \{ \sup \{ |\hat{\mu}(\gamma)| : ||\gamma|| \leq B_0 / r_n \}, ||\mu||_{PM} / (2C_0) \} \end{split}$$

and so

$$\|\mu\|_{PM} \leq C_0 \sup \{|\hat{\mu}(\gamma)| \colon \|\gamma\| \leq B_0/r_n\}$$

Replacing  $\mu$  by  $e_{-\gamma_0}\mu$ , we thus have (3.1).

Take now any  $Q \in PM(\tilde{E})$ . By (2.3) and (2.4), we have  $L_n^*Q \in M(\sum_{i=1}^n E_j)$ 

and

$$(3.2) \qquad |(L_n^*Q)^{\widehat{\gamma}}| \leq |\widehat{Q}(\gamma)| + MC_0 ||\gamma|| l_n ||Q||_{PM} \qquad (\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^N)$$

for all 
$$n \geq 1$$
. We apply (3.1) to  $\mu = L_n^*Q$  and have

$$(3.3) \qquad C_0^{-1}||L_n^*Q||_{PM} \leq \sup \left\{ |(L_n^*Q)^{\widehat{}}(\gamma)| \colon \gamma \in I\!\!R^N, \, ||\gamma - \gamma_0|| \leq B_0/r_n \right\}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{for every } n \geq 1 \ \text{and} \ \gamma_{_{0}} \in {\pmb{R}}^{\scriptscriptstyle N}. & \text{It follows from (3.2) and (3.3) that} \\ (3.4) & C_{_{0}}^{_{-1}} || \, L_{_{n}}^{*}Q \,||_{_{PM}} \leq \sup \left\{ |\hat{Q}(\gamma)| \colon \gamma \in {\pmb{R}}^{\scriptscriptstyle N}, \, || \, \gamma - \gamma_{_{0}} || \leq B_{_{0}}/r_{_{n}} \right\} \\ & + M C_{_{0}}(|| \, \gamma_{_{0}} || + B_{_{0}}/r_{_{n}}) l_{_{n}} || \, Q \,||_{_{PM}} \,. \end{array}$$

Since  $\gamma_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$  is arbitrary, we can replace it by any vector  $\gamma_n$  with  $||\gamma_n|| = 2B_0/r_n$  for each *n*. Then (3.4) yields

$$egin{aligned} & C_0^{-1} || \, L_n^* Q \, ||_{_{PM}} &\leq \sup \left\{ | \, \widehat{Q}(\gamma) \, | \colon \gamma \in {oldsymbol R}^{\scriptscriptstyle N}, \, || \, \gamma \, || &\geq B_0 / r_n 
ight\} \ &+ \, 3M C_0 B_0 (l_n / r_n) || \, Q \, ||_{_{PM}} \, , \end{aligned}$$

which shows

$$C_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}^{\scriptscriptstyle -1} || \, Q \, ||_{\scriptscriptstyle PM} \leq \overline{\lim_{\gamma 
ightarrow \infty}} \, | \, \widehat{Q}(\gamma) |$$
 ,

since  $L_n^*Q \to Q$  in the weak-\* topology of  $PM(\tilde{E})$ ,  $r_n \to 0$  and  $l_n/r_n \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

This completes the proof of part (c) and Theorem 5 was established.

We now give four examples of "explicit" non S-sets in certain groups, although the first two of them are essentially contained in [8].

EXAMPLES OF NON S-SETS. Let U be the union of the two open intervals  $(0, \pi^2/6 - 1)$  and  $(1, \pi^2/6)$ . Then the following sets, denoted by the same notation  $\tilde{E}_a$ , are non S-sets.

(1) Let G be the product group of any non-trivial compact abelian groups  $G_n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \cdots$ . Choose and fix a non-zero element  $x_n \in G_n$  for each  $n \ge 1$ . Put

$$\widetilde{E}_a = \left\{ (\varepsilon_n x_n)_1^{\infty} \in G: \varepsilon_n \in \{0, 1\} \ \forall n \ , \ \text{ and } \ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-2} \varepsilon_{2n-1} \varepsilon_{2n} = a 
ight\}$$

for  $a \in U$ .

(2) Let 
$$G = T$$
 or  $R$ , and  $p \ge 3$  any natural number. Define

$$\widetilde{E}_a = \left\{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_n p^{-n} : \varepsilon_n \in \{0, 1\} \ \forall n \ , \ \text{ and } \ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-2} \varepsilon_{2n-1} \varepsilon_{2n} = a 
ight\}$$

for  $a \in U$ .

(3) Let  $G = \mathbb{R}^N$ , and  $(x_n)_1^{\infty}$  any sequence of non-zero vectors such that  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (||x_{n+1}||/||x||_n)^2 < 1/2$ . For each  $a \in U$ , put

$$\widetilde{E}_a=\left\{\sum\limits_{n=1}^\infty arepsilon_n x_n \colon arepsilon_n\in\{0,\,1\}\;\; orall\;n\;\;,\;\; ext{ and }\;\; \sum\limits_{n=1}^\infty n^{-2}arepsilon_{2n-1}arepsilon_{2n}=\;a\;
ight\}\;.$$

(4) Let  $a = (a_0, a_1, \cdots)$  be any sequence of natural numbers  $\geq 2$ ,  $G = \varDelta(a)$  the group of the *a*-adic integers, and  $u_0, u_1, u_2, \cdots$  the elements of  $\varDelta(a)$  defined as before. Choose any increasing sequence  $(n_j)_1^{\infty}$  of natural numbers so that  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} j/a(n_j, n_{j+1} - 1) < \infty$ , where  $a(m, n) = a_m a_{m+1} \cdots a_n$  for m < n. Put

$$\widetilde{E}_a = \left\{\sum_{j=1}^\infty arepsilon_j u_{n_j} : arepsilon_j \in \{0,\,1\} \; \forall j \;, \; ext{ and } \; \sum_{j=1}^\infty j^{-2} arepsilon_{2j-1} arepsilon_{2j} = a 
ight\}$$

for  $a \in U$ .

The proof that these sets are non S-sets mainly follows from Remark (a) in [8: p. 288]. We omit the details.

REMARKS. (a) The set  $\tilde{E}$  given in Theorem 3 is an S-set. The proof is similar to that of part (a) of Theorem 1, although we need a more subtle argument.

(b) We can use Bernstein's and Schneider's inequalities to improve the estimate of  $\eta(d)$  given in Lemma 1 of [8]. Let  $0 < d < 2\sqrt{2}$ , and A(d) the restriction algebra of A(T) to [-d, d]. Then we have

 $\eta(d) = ||e^{ilpha x} - 1||_{{}_{A(d)}} \le |lpha| d/(1 - 8^{_1}d^2) \qquad orall lpha \in {oldsymbol R}$  .

In fact, fix any  $\alpha > 0$ . If  $P \in PM([-d, d])$ , then

$$egin{array}{lll} \langle e^{ilpha x}-1,\,P_x
angle &= \left\langle \int_0^lpha ix\,e^{itx}dt,\,P_x
ight
angle \ &= \int_0^lpha \langle ix\,e^{itx},\,P_x
angle dt = -\int_0^lpha \widehat{P}'(-t)dt \end{array}$$

It follows from Bernstein's and Schneider's inequalities that

$$egin{aligned} | < e^{ilpha x} - 1, \, P_x 
angle | &\leq lpha \| P' \|_{_{\mathcal{C}(R)}} \leq lpha d \| \hat{P} \|_{_{\mathcal{C}(R)}} \ &\leq lpha d (1 - 8^{-1} d^2)^{-1} \| \hat{P} \|_{_{\mathcal{C}(Z)}} \,. \end{aligned}$$

This, combined with the Hahn-Banach Theorem, yields the desired inequality.

(c) Most of the results in this paper is part of the author's lecture notes [9].

### REFERENCES

- E. HEWITT AND K. A. Ross, Abstract harmonic analysis, Vol. I. Structure of topological groups. Integration theory, group representations, Die Grundlehran der. math. Wissenschaften, Band 115, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1963. MR 28 #158.
- [2] L. -Å. LINDAHL AND F. POULSEN, Thin sets in harmonic analysis, Marcel-Dekker, Inc. New York, 1971.

- [3] Y. MEYER, Isomorphisms entre certain algèbres de restrictions, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 265 (1967), Ser. A., 18-20. MR 37 #6672.
- W. RUDIN, Fourier analysis on groups, Interscience Tracts in Pure and Appl. Math., no. 12, Interscience, New York, 1962. MR 27 #2808.
- [5] S. SAEKI, Spectral synthesis for the Kronecker sets, J. Math. Soc. Japan 21 (1969), 549-563. MR 40 #7733.
- [6] S. SAEKI, The ranges of certain isometries of tensor products of Banach spaces, J. Math. Soc. Japan 23 (1971), 27-39.
- [[7] S. SAEKI, A characterization of SH-sets, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 30 (1971), 497-503. MR 44 #731.
- [8] S. SAEKI, Tensor products of Banach algebras and harmonic analysis, Tôhoku Math. J. 24 (1972), 281-299.
- [9] S. SAEKI, Tensor products in harmonic analysis (hand-written lecture notes), Kansas State University, 1973.
- [10] R. SCHNEIDER, Some theorems in Fourier analysis on symmetric sets, Pacific J. Math. 31 (1969), 175-196.
- [11] N. Th. VAROPOULOS, Sur les ensembles parfaits et les séries trigonométriques, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 260 (1965), 4668-4670; ibid. 260 (1965), 5165-5168; ibid. 260 (1965), 5997-6000. MR 31 #2567.
- [12] N. Th. VAROPOULOS, Tensor algebras and harmonic analysis, Acta Math. 119 (1967), 51-112. MR 39 #1911.

Kansas State University, Manhattan, Kansas 66506, U.S.A. and

TOKYO METROPOLITAN UNIVERSITY, FUKAZAWA-CHO, SETAGAYA, TOKYO, JAPAN.

