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1. Introduction. Let $M^{2 n}$ be a differentiable manifold. If there exists a tensor field $\phi$ of type $(1,1)$ over $M^{2 n}$ such that

$$
\phi_{b}^{a} \phi_{c}^{b}=-\delta_{c}^{a}, \quad(a, b, c=1,2, \ldots \ldots, 2 n)
$$

then $M^{2 n}$ is said to be a differentiable manifold with almost complex structure. (Tensor fields of the form given above may exist only for some manifolds with even dimension.) We shall call $\phi$ the fundamental collineation of the almost complex structure. The set of differentiable manifolds with almost complex structure is wider than the set of complex manifolds.

Every differentiable manifold with almost complex structure $\phi$ admits a poistive definite Riemannian metric $g$ such that

$$
g_{a b} \phi_{c}^{\prime \prime} \phi_{d}^{b}=g_{c d},
$$

and the manifold is said to have Hermitian structure and to be a Hermitian manifold. Making use of the metric $g$ and a skew symmetric tensor

$$
\phi_{a b}=g_{a e} \phi_{b}^{e}
$$

we can reduce the structural group of the tangent bundle of any manifold with almost complex structure to the unitary group $U(n)$. The converse is also true.

Differentiable manifolds with almost complex structure or almost Hermitian structure were investigated by C. Ehresmann [1], B. Eckmann, A. Frölicher [2] and others and were interesting topics on differential geometry and topology in these fifteen years.

On the other hand, let $M^{2 n+1}$ be a $(2 n+1)$-dimensional differentiable manifold. If there exists a tensor field $\phi_{i}^{i}$, contravariant and covariant vector fields $\xi^{i}$ and $\eta_{j}$ over $M^{2 n+1}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi^{i} \eta_{t}=1, \quad(i, j, k=1,2, \ldots \ldots, 2 n+1) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{rank}\left|\phi_{j}^{i}\right|=2 n, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{j}^{i} \xi^{j}=0, \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi_{j}^{i} \eta_{t} & =0  \tag{1.4}\\
\phi_{j}^{\prime} \phi_{k}^{\prime} & =-\delta_{k}^{l}+\xi^{i} \eta_{k} \tag{1.5}
\end{align*}
$$

then we say that $M^{2 n+1}$ has $(\phi, \xi, \eta)$-structure. $(\phi, \xi, \eta)$-structure may be regarded as an analogue of almost complex structure for odd dimensional manifolds.

In the same way as almost complex manifolds we can prove that every differentiable manifold $M^{2 n+1}$ with ( $\phi, \xi, \eta$ )-structure admits a positive definite Riemannian metric $g$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
g_{i j} \xi^{j} & =\eta_{i}  \tag{1.6}\\
g_{i,} \phi_{h}^{i} \phi_{k}^{j} & =g_{n k}-\eta_{h} \eta_{k} \tag{1.7}
\end{align*}
$$

and $M^{2 n+1}$ is said to have $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$-structure. It is an analogue of the almost Hermitian structure in almost complex manifold.

Now, an odd dimensional differentiable manifold $M^{2 n+1}$ is said to have contact structure if there exists a 1 -form $\eta$ over $M^{2 n+1}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta \wedge(d \eta)^{n} \neq 0 \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The structural group of the tangent bundle of differentiable manifold with contact structure is reducible to $U(n) \times 1$. The set of differentiable manifolds such that the structural groups of their tangent bundles reduce to $U(n) \times 1$ is wider than the set of differentiable manifolds with contact structure and any one of the set is called differentiable manifold with almost contact structure. Differentiable manifolds with contact or almost contact structure were investigated by W. Gray [4] and W. M. Boothby-H. C. Wang [3] rather from topological point of view.

I got the idea of $(\phi, \xi, \eta)$-structure and $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$-structure in studying manifolds with contact structure. However, after I talked some results about these structures to Y. Hatakeyama, he proved that "The structural group of any differentiable manifold $M^{2 n+1}$ with $(\phi, \xi, \eta)$-structure is reducible to $U(n) \times 1$, so the $M^{2 n+1}$ in consideration is a manifold with almost contact structure. Conversely, if $M^{2 n+1}$ is a differentiable manifold with almost contact structure, then we can endow to $M^{2 n+1}$ a $(\phi, \xi, \eta)$-structure". (The author's proof is given in section 5 of the present paper). Therefore, our $(\phi, \xi, \eta)$-structure is closely related to almost contact structure.

In the present paper, we shall study some algebraic properties on differentiable manifolds with $(\phi, \xi, \eta)$-structure and $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$-structure. Differential geometric properties will be studied in later papers.
2. Linear map $\phi$ and $\phi+\xi \eta$. Let $M^{2 n+1}$ be a differentiable manifold with $(\phi, \xi, \eta)$-structure and suppose tensor fields $\phi_{j}^{i}, \xi^{i}$ and $\eta_{j}$ satisfy the relations (1.1) to (1.5). First we remark that these five relations are not independent.
(1.2) shows that there exist (at least locally) vector fieds $\xi^{i}$ and $\eta_{j}$ which satisfy (1.3) and (1.4). In the second place, putting (1.5) into the associative law

$$
\left(\phi_{j}^{i} \phi_{k}^{i}\right) \phi_{l}^{k}=\phi_{j}^{i}\left(\phi_{k}^{\prime} \phi_{l}^{k}\right),
$$

we see that

$$
\xi^{\prime} \phi_{l}^{k} \eta_{k}=\phi_{j}^{i} \xi^{j} \eta_{l}
$$

Hence (1.4) follows from (1.3) and (1.5), and (1.3) follows from (1.4) and (1.5). In the third place, the existence of solutions of (1.3) and (1.4) shows that the rank of $\left|\phi_{j}^{i}\right|$ is smaller than $2 n+1$. However, if $\overline{\xi^{i}}$ is another solution of (1.3), then (1.5) multiplied by $\overline{\xi^{\boldsymbol{c}}}$ shows us that $\overline{\xi^{i}}$ is proportional to $\xi^{\boldsymbol{i}}$. Hence the rank of $\left|\phi_{j}^{i}\right|$ is equal to $2 n$. Therefore, if we only assume that the rank of $\left|\phi_{j}^{i}\right|$ is smaller than $2 n+1$, then (1.2) follows from (1.3), (1.4) and (1.5).

Let $P$ be a point of $M^{2 n+1}$ and $M_{P}$ be the tangent space of $M^{2 n+1}$ at $P$. In $M_{P}$, the set of vectors $v^{t}$ such that

$$
v^{\boldsymbol{t}} \eta_{\boldsymbol{t}}=0
$$

spans a $2 n$-dimensional vector subspace $V_{P}$ of $M_{P}$. If we vary $P$ over $M^{2 n+1}$, the set of such vector subspaces determines a distribution in $M^{2 n+1}$.

Now, we define a linear map

$$
\phi: M_{P} \longrightarrow M_{P}
$$

by $v^{i} \rightarrow{ }^{\prime} v^{t}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
' v^{t}=\phi_{j}^{i} v^{j}, \quad\left(v^{t} \in M_{P}\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we see that

$$
' v^{i} \eta_{i}=\left(\phi_{j}^{i} v^{j}\right) \eta_{i}=0
$$

Hence the map $\phi$ is a singular map and

$$
\phi: M_{P} \longrightarrow V_{P}
$$

However, if $v^{t} \in V_{P}$, we see that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\phi_{j}^{i}\left(\phi_{k}^{i} v^{k}\right)=\left(-\delta_{k}^{i}+\xi^{i} \eta_{k}\right) v^{k}, \\
\therefore \quad{ }^{\prime \prime} v^{i}=-v^{i} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Accordingly, the map $\phi$ restricted to $V_{P}$ behaves just like the fundamental collineation of an almost complex structure. We shall call $\phi$ the fundamental singular collineation of the $(\phi, \xi, \eta)$-structure.

Contrary to the map $\phi$, the map

$$
\phi+\xi_{\eta}: M_{P} \longrightarrow M_{P}
$$

defined by $v^{i} \rightarrow \bar{v}^{i}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{v}^{i}=\left(\phi_{j}^{i}+\xi^{i} \eta_{j}\right) v^{j}, \quad\left(v^{i} \in M_{P}\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a non-singular transformation. For,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\phi_{j}^{i}+\xi^{i} \eta_{j}\right)\left(-\phi_{k}^{j}+\xi^{j} \eta_{k}\right)=\delta_{k}^{i}, \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

as we can easily verify it by (1.1) $\sim(1.5)$. The two matrices $\phi+\xi \eta$ and $-\phi$ $+\xi \eta$ are inverse to each other.

In order to clarify the geometrical meaning of the map $\phi+\xi \eta$, we put

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
v_{\xi}^{i}=\left(v^{h} \eta_{h}\right) \xi^{i},  \tag{2.4}\\
v_{\eta}^{i}=v^{i}-\left(v^{h} \eta_{h}\right) \xi^{i} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
v^{i}=v_{\xi}^{i}+v_{\eta}^{i} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{\eta}^{i} \eta_{t}=0 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We call that $v_{\xi}^{\prime}$ is the $\xi$-component of the vector $v^{i}$ and $v_{\eta}^{i}$ is the $\eta$-component of the vector $v^{i}$.

Now, we can easily see that

$$
v_{\xi}^{i}+\phi_{j}^{i} v_{\eta}^{j}=\left(\phi_{j}^{i}+\xi^{i} \eta_{j}\right) v^{j}
$$

hence the linear manp $\phi+\xi \eta$ may also be defined as $v^{i} \rightarrow \bar{v}^{i}$, where

$$
\bar{v}^{i}=v_{\xi}^{\prime}+\phi_{j}^{j} v_{\eta}^{j} .
$$

It has the following properties:

1) Any vector with the direction $\xi^{t}$ is fixed under the map.
2) Any vector which is contained in the distribution $\eta$ is transformed in the same way as by the fundamental collineation of an almost complex structure.

More generally, we see that

$$
\begin{align*}
\overline{\bar{v}}^{i} & =\left(\phi_{j}^{i}+\xi^{i} \eta_{j}\right)\left(\phi_{k}^{i}+\xi^{j} \eta_{k}\right) v^{k} \\
& =\left(-\delta_{k}^{k}+\xi^{i} \eta_{k}+\xi^{i} \eta_{k}\right) v^{k} \\
\overline{\bar{v}}^{i} & =v_{\xi}^{i}-v_{\eta}^{i} . \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Accordingly, $\overline{\bar{v}}^{i}$ is the difference of the $\xi$-component and the $\eta$-component of the original vector $v^{i}$.
3. Associated Riemannian metric $g$. Let $M^{9 n+1}$ be a differentiable mani-
fold with ( $\phi, \xi, \eta$ )-structure. We shall show that $M^{2 n+1}$ admits Riemannian metric which stands analogous situation to almost Hermitian metric for any differentiable manifold with almost complex structure. We begin with a lemma.

Lemma. Suppose $\xi$ and $\eta$ be contravariant and covariant vector field on a differentiable manifold $M^{2 n+1}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi^{t} \eta_{t}=1 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $M^{2 n+1}$ admits a positive definite Riemannian metric $h$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{t}=h_{i j} \xi^{j} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

N. B. (3.1) and (3.2) imply that $\xi^{t}$ is a unit vector field over $M^{2 n+1}$ with respect to the metric $h$.

PROOF. First we take an arbitrary positive definite Riemannian metric on $M^{2 n+1}$. Let $\left\{U_{\alpha}\right\}$ be an open covering of $M^{2 n+1}$ by coordinate neighborhoods $U_{\alpha}$. In every $U_{\alpha}$ we take $2 n$ unit vector fields $\boldsymbol{\xi}_{(a)}^{i}$ with respect to the metric in consideration so that they are orthogonal to each other and contained in the distribution $\eta$. Then $2 n+1$ vector fields $\boldsymbol{\xi}_{(a)}^{i}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{(\Delta)}^{t} \equiv \xi^{t} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

constitute frames over $U_{\alpha}$, where $\Delta$ is an abbreviation of $2 n+1$. We put

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{i s}=\sum_{a=1}^{2 n} \xi_{(a)}^{\prime} \xi_{(a)}^{\prime}+\xi_{(\Delta)}^{t_{1}} \xi_{(\Delta)}^{\prime} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $h^{i j}$ is a new positive definite contravariant metric tensor of $U_{\alpha}$.
Now, assume $U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}$ is not empty. We denote $2 n$ vector fields over $U_{\beta}$ constructed in the same way as $\boldsymbol{\xi}_{(a)}^{i}$ over $U_{\alpha}$ by $\bar{\xi}_{(a)}^{( }$. Then, over $U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}$ there exist relations

$$
\overline{\bar{\xi}_{(a)}}=\sum_{v=1}^{2 n} c_{a b} \xi_{(0)}^{i}
$$

where $\left(c_{a b}\right)$ is an orthogonal martix, because $\overline{\xi_{(x)}^{i}}$,s and $\boldsymbol{\xi}_{(a)}^{( }$'s are both orthonormal vector fields. Hence, we see that

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{2 n} \overline{\boldsymbol{\xi}_{(a)}} \overline{\xi_{(a)}^{\prime}}=\sum_{a=1}^{2 n} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{(a)}^{i} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{(a)}^{\prime} .
$$

Accordingly, the metric tensors above over $U_{\alpha}$ and $U_{\beta}$ coincide over $U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}$. Consequently, the metric tensor defined above over every $U_{\star}$ of $\left\{U_{\alpha}\right\}$ constitutes a single metric tensor $h$ of $M^{2 n+1}$.

In the next place, we define $\xi_{j}^{(n)}$ over $U_{a}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{(l)}^{\prime}=h^{i j} \xi_{j}^{(h)} . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, we get

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{2 n+1} \xi_{(k)}^{i}\left(\delta_{k}^{l}-\xi_{(k)}^{j_{1}} \xi_{j}^{(l)}\right)=0 .
$$

Hence, we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{(k)}^{\prime} \xi_{j}^{(h)}=\delta_{k}^{h} . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

By virtue of the last relation, we can easily verify that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{i j} \xi_{i}^{(h)} \xi_{l}^{(k)}=\delta^{h k}, \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

so $\xi_{j}^{(h)}$ 's are covariant orthonormal vector fields over $U_{\alpha}$ with respect to the metric $h$. Therefore, by virtue of (3.5), $\boldsymbol{\xi}_{(h)}^{\prime}$ 's are contravariant orthonormal vector fields over $U_{\alpha}$.

From (3.6) we get especially

$$
\xi_{(a)}^{i} \xi_{i}^{(\Delta)}=0, \xi^{\prime} \xi_{i}^{(\Delta)}=1
$$

However, by assumption there exist the relations

$$
\boldsymbol{\xi}_{(\alpha)}^{i} \boldsymbol{\eta}_{\boldsymbol{i}}=0, \boldsymbol{\xi}^{i} \eta_{\boldsymbol{t}}=1 .
$$

Comparing these equations we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\eta_{i}=\xi_{i}^{(\Delta)} & =h_{i j} \xi_{(\Delta)}^{\prime} \\
\therefore \quad \eta_{i} & =h_{i j} \xi^{j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, the metric $h$ is the required Riemannian metric.
THEOREM 1. Let $M^{2 n+1}$ be a differentiable manifold with $(\phi, \xi, \eta)$-structure. Then there exists a positive definite Riemannian metric $g$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\eta_{i} & =g_{i t} \xi^{j}  \tag{3.8}\\
g_{i j} \phi_{l}^{\prime} \phi_{k}^{\prime} & =g_{l k}-\eta_{l} \eta_{k} . \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

N. B. (3.1) and (3.8) imply that $\xi$ is a unit vector field with respect to the metric $g$.

PROOF. Let $h$ be a Riemannian metric over $M^{2 n+1}$ which has the properties stated in the last Lemma and put

$$
g_{i j}=\frac{1}{2}\left(h_{i j}+h_{l m} \phi_{i}^{l} \phi_{j}^{m}+\eta_{i} \eta_{j}\right) .
$$

Then we can easily verify that

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{i} \xi^{j} & =\eta_{i} \\
g_{i j} \xi^{i} \xi^{j} & =\eta_{i} \xi^{i}=1
\end{aligned}
$$

In the next place we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2}\left(h_{t j}+h_{l m} \phi_{l}^{\prime} \phi_{j}^{m}+\eta_{i} \eta_{j}\right) \phi_{h}^{\prime} \phi_{k}^{j} \\
= & \frac{1}{2}\left\{h_{i j} \phi_{h}^{\prime} \phi_{k}^{j}+h_{l m}\left(-\delta_{h}^{l}+\xi^{l} \eta_{h}\right)\left(-\delta_{k}^{m}+\xi^{m} \eta_{k}\right)\right\} \\
= & \frac{1}{2}\left\{h_{h k}+h_{t j} \phi_{l}^{i} \phi_{k}^{j}-\eta_{h} \eta_{k}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

that is

$$
g_{i j} \phi_{h}^{i} \phi_{k}^{j}=g_{h k}-\eta_{h} \eta_{k} .
$$

Hence, the theorem is proved.
We shall say that the metric which has the property stated in the last theorem an associated Riemannian metric to the given ( $\phi, \xi, \eta$ )-structure. If a differentiable manifold $M^{2 n+1}$ admits tensor fields ( $\phi, \xi, \eta, g$ ) such that $g$ is an associated Riemannian metric of the $(\phi, \xi, \eta)$-structure, then we say that $M^{2 n+1}$ has ( $\phi, \xi, \eta, g$ )-structure. In this case $\xi^{i}$ is nothing but contravariant components of $\eta_{j}$, so we may denote it also ( $\phi, \eta, g$ )-structure for brevity.

The following theorem gives another analogue of the Hermitian condition for almost complex manifold.

THEOREM 2. Let $M^{2 n+1}$ be a differentiable manifold with $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ structure, then the relations

$$
\begin{align*}
& g_{i j}\left(\phi_{h}^{i}+\xi^{t} \eta_{k}\right)\left(\phi_{k}^{j}+\xi^{j} \eta_{k}\right)=g_{h k},  \tag{3.10}\\
& g_{i j}\left(-\phi_{l k}^{i}+\xi^{i} \eta_{n}\right)\left(-\phi_{k}^{\prime}+\xi^{j} \eta_{k}\right)=g_{n k} \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

hold good.
PROOF. By virtue of (1.1), (1.4), (3.8) and (3.9) we can easily verify the first relation. As $\phi+\xi \eta$ and $-\phi+\xi \eta$ are inverse matrices, (3.11) follows immediately from (3.10).

The relation (3.10) shows that the linear map

$$
\bar{v}^{t}=\left(\phi_{j}^{i}+\xi^{i} \eta_{j}\right) v^{s}
$$

on any tangent space of $M^{2 n+1}$ is an orthogonal transformation with respect to the Euclidean metric induced on the tangent space by $g$.
4. Associated 2 -forms. Let $M^{2 n+1}$ be a differentiable manifold with ( $\phi, \xi$, $\eta, g$ )-structure. We put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{t j}=g_{t h} \phi_{j .}^{h} . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, the tensor $\phi_{i j}$ is skew-symmetric with respect to $i$ and $j$. To prove it, we notice the associative law

$$
\left(g_{i j} \phi_{l}^{\prime} \phi_{k}^{\prime}\right) \phi_{l}^{k}=g_{i j} \phi_{k}^{\prime}\left(\phi_{k}^{\prime} \phi_{l}^{k}\right) .
$$

Putting (3.9) and (1.5) into the last equation, we get

$$
\left(g_{h k}-\eta_{h} \eta_{k}\right) \phi_{l}^{k}=g_{i t} \phi_{l}^{i}\left(-\delta_{l}^{j}+\xi^{j} \eta_{l}\right),
$$

which, by virtue of (1.4) and (3.8), reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{h l}=-\phi_{l l} . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Of course, the rank of the matrix $\left(\phi_{i j}\right)$ is $2 n$. We call $\phi_{i j}$ the associated skew symmetric tensor of the $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$-structure, and the exterior 2 -form $\frac{1}{2} \phi_{i j} d x^{i} \wedge d x^{j}$ over $M^{2 n+1}$ the associated 2 -form of the ( $\phi, \xi, \eta, g$ )-structure.

In the next place we shall study the converse problem. Let $M^{2 n+1}$ be a differentiable manifold which admits a 2 -form $\frac{1}{2} \phi_{i j} d x^{i} \wedge d x^{j}$ such that the rank of the matrix $\left(\phi_{i g}\right)$ is everywhere $2 n$ over $M^{2 n+1}$. We shall show, under the assumption that $M^{2 n+1}$ is simply connected, that it admits $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$-structure. (We can remove the assumption of simply-connectedness by a slight modification of ( $\phi, \xi$, $\eta, g$ )-structure so that $\xi^{i}$ and $\eta_{j}$ are not globally defined vector fields. However, we do not want to digress in such direction.)

We introduce first an arbitrary positive definite Riemannian metric $h$ over $M^{2 n+1}$. As $M^{2 n+1}$ is simply connected the tensor field $\phi_{i j}$ admits a vector field $\xi^{i}$ over $M^{2 n+1}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{i} \xi^{j}=0 \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Although $\xi^{i}$ is determined only within scalar factor, we take $\xi^{i}$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{i} \xi^{\prime} \xi^{j}=1 \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{i}=h_{i,} \xi^{j} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we take the symmetric tensor $\phi_{i h} h^{h k} \phi_{k j}$ and consider its characteristic equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\phi_{i h} h^{n k} \phi_{k j}+\rho h_{i j}\right|=0, \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho$ is an unknown variable. As $h$ is positive definite, all characteristic roots are real. We see that 0 is a simple characteristic root and the corresponding characteristic vector is $\xi^{i}$. Moreover, all non-zero characteristic roots are positive. To see it we assume that $\rho_{1}$ is a non-zero characteristic root and $X^{t}$ is a characteristic vector corresponding to $\rho_{1}$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\phi_{t h} h^{n k} \phi_{k j}+\rho_{1} h_{i j}\right) X^{j}=0 \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we contract $X^{i}$ with the last equation we see that

$$
\left(h_{i j} X^{i} X^{j}\right) \cdot \rho_{1}=h^{n k}\left(\phi_{h i} X^{i}\right)\left(\phi_{k j} X^{j}\right),
$$

so $\rho_{1}$ is positive.
We denote all different non-zero characteristic roots by $\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}, \ldots \ldots, \rho_{l}$, their multiplicities by $\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}, \ldots \ldots, \nu_{l}$ and the characteristic spaces corresponding to 0 , $\rho_{1}, \ldots \ldots, \rho_{l}$ by $V_{0}, V_{1}, \ldots \ldots, V_{l}$, then

$$
\operatorname{dim} V_{\lambda}=\nu_{\lambda}, \quad \lambda=0,1, \ldots \ldots, l
$$

where we have put $\nu_{0}=1$.
Now, we wish to change the metric $h$ over $M^{2 n+1}$ so that the new metric $g$ and the tensor

$$
\boldsymbol{\phi}_{j}^{i}=g^{i n} \boldsymbol{\phi}_{h g}
$$

defined by the new metric $g$ play the roles of $g$ and $\phi$ of $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$-structure. To this purpose in mind we consider linear map of the tangent space $M_{P}$ of $M^{2 n+1}$ at an arbitrary point $P$ into itself defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{X}^{t}=h^{i n} \phi_{h f} X^{j}, \quad X^{t} \in M_{P} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $X^{i} \in V_{1}$, then

$$
\phi_{t h} h^{n k} \phi_{k j} X^{j}=-\rho_{1} h_{i j} X^{j}
$$

holds good. So, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\phi_{t h} h^{h k} \phi_{k j}+\rho_{1} h_{i j} h^{j l} \phi_{l m} X^{m}\right. \\
= & \phi_{t h} h^{h k}\left(-\rho_{1} h_{k m} X^{m}\right)+\rho_{1} \phi_{i m} X^{m} \\
= & 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, we see that if $X^{i} \in V_{1}$, then $\widetilde{X}^{i} \in V_{1}$ too. Moreover, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\widetilde{X}}^{i} & =h^{i h} \phi_{h s}\left(h^{j} \boldsymbol{\phi}_{l m} X^{m}\right) \\
& =h^{i h}\left(-\rho_{1} h_{l m} X^{m}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we see that if $X^{i} \in V_{1}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{X^{i}}=-\rho_{1} X^{i} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Analogous facts hold good also for vectors of $V_{2}, V_{3}, \ldots \ldots, V_{l}$ too.
Now, let $\left\{U_{a}\right\}$ be sufficiently fine open covering of $M^{2 n+1}$. We take, over any one of $U_{\alpha}$, frames $e_{1}, \ldots \ldots, e_{2 n}, e_{\Delta}$ so that $e_{1}, \ldots \ldots, e_{\nu_{1}}$ span $V_{1}, e_{\nu_{1}+1}, \ldots \ldots, e_{\nu_{1}+\nu_{2}}$ span $V_{2}, \ldots \cdots, e_{\nu_{1}+\cdots+\nu_{l-1}+1}, \cdots \cdots, e_{\nu_{1}+\cdots+\nu_{l}}$ span $V_{l}$ and $e_{\Delta}=\xi$. We call such frame an adapted frame. Then we can easily see that the two matrices $h_{t j}$ and $\phi_{i j}$ have the form

$$
h=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
h_{1} & & & 0  \tag{4.10}\\
h_{2} & & & \\
& \cdot & \cdot & \\
& & & h_{l} \\
0 & & & \\
\hline
\end{array}\right), \phi=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
\phi_{1} & & & 0 \\
\phi_{2} & & & \\
& & \cdot & \\
& & & \phi_{l} \\
0 & & & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $h_{\lambda}, \phi_{\lambda}(\lambda=1,2, \ldots \ldots, l)$ are matricens of $\nu_{\lambda}$ columns and $\nu_{\lambda}$ rows. Therefore, if we refer to adapted frames, the linear map (4.8) decomposes into

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widetilde{X}^{\alpha_{\lambda}}=h^{\alpha_{\lambda} \beta_{\lambda}} \phi_{\beta_{\lambda} \gamma_{\lambda}} X^{\gamma_{\lambda}}, \\
& \widetilde{X}^{\Delta}=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\alpha_{\lambda}, \beta_{\lambda}, \gamma_{\lambda}$ run the range of values $\nu_{1}+\cdots \cdots+\nu_{\lambda-1}+1, \ldots \ldots, \nu_{1}+\cdots \cdots+\nu_{\lambda}$, $\lambda=1,2, \ldots \ldots, l$, and

$$
\widetilde{\widetilde{X}}^{\alpha_{\lambda}}=-\rho_{\lambda} X^{\alpha_{\lambda}}
$$

Now, we introduce a new Riemannian metric $g$ over $U_{\alpha}$ such that

$$
g=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
g_{1} & & & & 0  \tag{4.11}\\
& g_{2} & & & \\
& & \cdot & & \\
& & & \cdot & \\
0 & & & & g_{l} \\
0 & & &
\end{array}\right)
$$

with respect to the adapted frames, where we have put

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\lambda}=\sqrt{\overline{\rho_{\lambda}}} h_{\lambda}, \quad \lambda=1, \ldots \ldots, l . \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $\rho_{\lambda}$ 's are scalar functions over $M^{2 n+1}$ and do not depend upon the choice of adapted frames, we see that $g$ for each $U_{\alpha}$ defines globally a Riemannian metric over $M^{2 n+1}$.

If we define a linear map of the tangent space $M_{P}$ of $M^{2 n+1}$ at any point $P$ of $U_{\alpha}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
' X^{i}=g^{i l h} \phi_{h g} X^{j} \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

then it decomposes to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\prime X^{\alpha_{\lambda}}=g^{\alpha_{\lambda} \beta_{\lambda}} \phi_{\beta_{\lambda} \gamma_{\lambda}} X^{\gamma_{\lambda}},  \tag{4.14}\\
X^{\Delta}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

with respect to adapted frames. Hence, we can easily see that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
{ }^{\prime \prime} X^{a_{\lambda}}=-X^{a_{\lambda}},  \tag{4.15}\\
{ }^{\prime} X^{\Delta}=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Consequently, the linear map (4.13) induces an almost complex structure on $V_{1}$, $V_{2}, \ldots \ldots, V_{l}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{j}^{i}=g^{t h} \phi_{h j} \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a globally defined tensor field over $M^{2 n+1}$. Denoting the components of $\phi$ with respect to an adapted frame by $\phi_{\beta}^{\alpha}$ we see, by virtue of (4.15), that

$$
\left(\phi_{\beta}^{\alpha}\right)\left(\phi_{\gamma}^{\beta}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\delta_{b}^{a} & 0  \tag{4.17}\\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Now, let us denote the transformation of components $X^{\alpha}$ of a vector with respect to the adapted frame on $U_{\alpha}$ and those $X^{i}$ of the same vector with respect to a natural frame on $U_{\alpha}$ by

$$
X^{i}=A_{\alpha}^{i} X^{\alpha}, \quad X^{\alpha}=B_{i}^{\alpha} X^{i} .
$$

Of course, $A_{\alpha}^{i}$ and $B_{\ell}^{\alpha}$ are inverse matrices. Then, for components $\phi_{j}^{\prime} \phi_{k}^{j}$ and $\phi_{\beta}^{\alpha} \phi_{y}^{\beta}$ of the tensor $\phi \phi$ there exists the relation

$$
\phi_{j}^{\prime} \phi_{k}^{j}=A_{\alpha}^{i} \phi_{\beta}^{\alpha} \phi_{\gamma}^{\beta} B_{k}^{\beta} .
$$

Hence we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\phi_{j}^{\prime}\right)\left(\phi_{k}^{\prime}\right) & =\left(\begin{array}{ll}
A_{b}^{a} & A_{\Delta}^{a} \\
A_{\Delta}^{\Delta} & A_{\Delta}^{\Delta}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\delta_{e}^{b} & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
B_{c}^{e} & B_{\Delta}^{e} \\
B_{c}^{\Delta} & B_{\Delta}^{\Delta}
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ll}
-A_{e}^{a} B_{c}^{e} & -A_{e}^{a} B_{\Delta}^{e} \\
-A_{e}^{\Delta} B_{c}^{e} & -A_{e}^{\Delta} B_{\Delta}^{e}
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ll}
-\delta_{c}^{a}+A_{\Delta}^{a} B_{c}^{\Delta} & -\delta_{\Delta}^{a}+A_{\Delta}^{a} B_{\Delta}^{\Delta} \\
-\delta_{\Delta}^{c}+A_{\Delta}^{\Delta} B_{c}^{\Delta} & -\delta_{\Delta}^{\Delta}+A_{\Delta}^{\Delta} B_{\Delta}^{\Delta}
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, there exists a relation

$$
\phi_{j}^{i} \phi_{k}^{j}=-\delta_{k}^{i}+A_{\Delta}^{i} B_{j}^{\Delta}
$$

over every $U_{\alpha}$. However, the vector which has components $A_{\Delta}^{i}$ with respect to the natural frame has $(0, \ldots \ldots, 0,1)$ as its components with respect to the adapted frames, so it is nothing but the vector $\xi^{i}$. In the same way the vector which has components $B_{i}^{ゝ}$ with respect to the natural frame is nothing but the vector $\eta_{i}$. We can easily verify that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{i}=g_{i j} \xi^{j} \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds good. Hence we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{j}^{i} \phi_{k}^{j}=-\delta_{k}^{i}+\xi^{\prime} \eta_{k} \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds globally over $M^{2 n+1}$. We can also see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{j}^{i} \xi^{j}=0, \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{j}^{i} \eta_{i}=0, \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi^{t} \eta_{i}=1 \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

are true, because they hold good with respect to adapted frames.
From (4.16) we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{i h} \phi_{j}^{h}=-g_{j h} \phi_{i}^{h}=\phi_{i j} \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds good. So we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{i j} \phi_{l}^{\prime} \phi_{k}^{j}=\phi_{j l} \phi_{k}^{j} & =-\phi_{h j} \phi_{k}^{j} \\
& =-g_{k i} \phi^{\prime} \phi_{k}^{j} \\
& =-g_{n t}\left(-\delta_{k}^{i}+\xi^{i} \eta_{k}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, we see, by virtue of (4.18), that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{i g} \phi_{l}^{\prime} \phi_{k}^{j}=g_{h k}-\eta_{l} \eta_{k} \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

is true. Accordingly, the four tensor fields $\phi_{j}^{i}, \xi^{i}, \eta_{j}, g_{i j}$ constitute a $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ structure of the given manifold $M^{2 n+1}$.

Summarizing the above results, we get the following
THEOREM 3. If a differentiable manifold $M^{2 n+1}$ admits $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$-structure, then $M^{2 n+1}$ admits a skew symmetric tensor $\phi_{i j}$ (in other words, 2-form $\left.\frac{1}{2} \phi_{i j} d x^{i} \wedge d x^{j}\right)$ whose rank is $2 n$. If $M^{2 n+1}$ is simply connected, the converse is also true.
5. Contact structure and almost contact structure. Let $M^{2 n+1}$ be a differentiable manifold with contact structure and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta=\eta_{i} d x^{t} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the 1 -form which defines the contact structure. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta \wedge(d \eta)^{n} \neq 0 \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d \eta$ means the exterior derivative of $\eta$ and the symbol $\wedge$ means the exterior multiplication. $d \eta$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \eta=\frac{1}{2} \phi_{i j} d x^{i} \wedge d x^{j} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{i j}=\partial_{i} \eta_{j}-\partial_{j} \eta_{i} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided that $\partial_{i}$ means $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}$.

By virtue of the condition (5.2), it follows that $d \eta$ is a 2 -form of rank $2 n$ everywhere over $M^{2 n+1}$ and $\phi_{i j}$ is a matrix whose rank is everywhere $2 n$ over $M^{2 n+1}$. We can easily verify that (5.2) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{[1} \phi_{23} \phi_{45} \ldots \ldots \phi_{2 n}{ }_{2 n+1]} \neq 0 \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where [ ] means a determinant divided by the factorial of the number of indices.

As the rank of $\phi_{i j}$ is $2 n$, there exists at least locally a vector field $\xi^{i}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{i j} \xi^{j}=0 \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, as $\xi^{i}$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\xi^{1}= & \frac{1}{\lambda} \phi_{[23} \phi_{45} \ldots \ldots . \phi_{2 n}{ }_{2 n+1]}, \\
\xi^{2}= & \frac{1}{\lambda} \phi_{[34} \phi_{56} \ldots \ldots \phi_{2 n+11]},  \tag{5.7}\\
& \cdots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \\
\xi^{2 n+1}= & \frac{1}{\lambda} \phi_{[12} \phi_{34} \ldots \ldots \phi_{2 n-1 ~ 2 n]},
\end{align*}
$$

where we have put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=(2 n+1) \eta_{[1} \phi_{23} \phi_{45} \ldots \ldots . \phi_{2 n}{ }_{2 n+1]} \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\xi^{i}$ is a vector field globally defined over $M^{2 n+1}$.
To prove $\xi^{i}$ given by (5.7) satisfies (5.6), it is sufficient to verify the case $i=1$. We see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi_{1,} \xi^{j}= & \frac{1}{\lambda}\left\{\phi_{12} \phi_{134} \phi_{56} \ldots \ldots \phi_{2 n+1} 1\right] \\
& +\phi_{13} \phi_{[45} \phi_{57} \ldots \ldots \phi_{12]} \\
& +\ldots \ldots \\
& \left.+\phi_{12 n+1} \phi_{[12} \phi_{34} \ldots \ldots \phi_{2 n-1} 2 n\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

On the right hand side of the last equation, the terms which contain $\phi_{12} \phi_{13}$ as a factor are contained only in the first and second terms and their sum is easily seen to be

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (-1)^{2 n-1} \phi_{12} \phi_{13} \phi_{[45} \ldots \ldots . \phi_{2 n}{ }_{2 n+1]} \\
+ & (-1)^{2(2 n-2)} \phi_{13} \phi_{12} \phi_{[45} \ldots \ldots . \phi_{2 n 2_{n+1]}}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the same way all the other terms cancel to each other. Hence

$$
\phi_{1} \xi^{j}=0
$$

Consequently

$$
\phi_{i s} \xi^{j}=0
$$

We can easily see also that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi^{i} \eta_{t}=1 \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds good.
Now, by the Lemma of §3, there exists a positive definite Riemannian metric $h$ over $M^{2 n+1}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{i}=h_{i} \xi^{\prime} \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking this metric $h$ and $\xi^{i}, \eta_{j}, \phi_{i j}$ as those of $\S 4$, we get the following
THEOREM 4. Let $M^{2 n+1}$ be a differentiable manifold with contact structure. If $\eta=\eta_{i} d x^{i}$ is the 1-form which defines the contact structure, then we can find $a(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$-structure in $M^{2 n+1}$ such that the vector field $\eta$, is the one given by the coefficients of the 1-form $\eta$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{i l} \phi_{j}^{h}=\phi_{i j}=\partial_{i} \eta_{j}-\partial_{j} \eta_{i} \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, a differentiable manifold $M^{3 n+1}$ is said to have almost contact structure if the structural group of the tangent bundle of the manifold is reducible to $U(n) \times 1$, where $U^{\prime}(n)$ is the unitary group of $n$ complex variables. We shall prove the following

THEOREM 5. Let $M^{2 n+1}$ be a differentiable manifold with ( $\phi, \xi, \eta, g$ )structure, then the structure induces an almost contact structure to $M^{2 n+1}$. The converse is also true.

PROOF. Let $\left\{U_{\alpha}\right\}$ be an open covering of $M^{2 n+1}$ by coordinate neighborhoods. We shall determine orthogonal frames in every $U_{\alpha}$ in the following way. First we put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{(\Delta)}^{i}=\xi^{i}, \quad(\Delta=2 n+1) \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and take a unit vector field $\xi_{(1)}^{t}$ over $U_{\alpha}$ so that it is orthogonal to $\xi_{(\Delta)}^{( }$. We define $\xi_{\left(1^{*}\right)}^{i}$ by

$$
\xi_{\left({ }^{*}\right)}^{i}=\phi_{j}^{i} \xi_{(1)}^{j} . \quad\left(1^{*}=n+1\right)
$$

Then, we can easily verify that $\xi_{(1)}^{( }, \xi_{\left({ }^{*}\right)}^{i}$, $\boldsymbol{\xi}_{(\Delta)}^{( }$are unit vector fields over $U_{\alpha}$ orthogonal to each other.

Next, we take a unit vector field $\xi_{(2)}^{( }$over $U_{\alpha}$ so that it is orthogonal to three vector field $\boldsymbol{\xi}_{(1)}^{i}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\left({ }^{*}\right)}^{i}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{(\Delta)}^{i}$, and put

$$
\xi_{\left(z^{*}\right)}^{\prime}=\phi_{j}^{i} \xi_{(2)}^{j} .
$$

$$
\left(2^{*}=n+2\right)
$$

Then, we can easily verify that $\xi_{(1)}^{i}, \xi_{(2)}^{i}, \xi_{\left(1^{*}\right)}^{i}, \xi_{\left(2^{* *}\right)}^{i}, \xi_{(\Delta)}^{i}$ are unit vector fields over $U_{a}$ orthogonal to each other.

Proceeding in the same way, we finally get orthonormal frames $\left(\xi_{(\lambda)}^{i}, \xi_{\left(\lambda^{*}\right)}^{i}\right.$, $\left.\xi_{(\Delta)}^{( }\right)$such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{\left(\lambda^{*}\right)}^{i}=\phi_{j}^{\prime} \xi_{(\lambda)}^{j} \quad\left(\lambda^{*}=n+\lambda\right) \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can easily verify that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{(\lambda)}^{i}=-\phi_{j}^{\prime} \xi_{\left(\lambda^{*}\right)}^{i}, \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

by virtue of (1.5). We call such frame an adapted frame.
From our construction, we see easily that the matrix whose elements are components of the fundamental tensor $g$ with respect to any adapted frame takes the following form :

$$
g=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\delta_{\mu}^{\lambda} & 0 & 0  \tag{5.15}\\
0 & \delta_{\mu}^{\lambda} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

By virtue of (5.13) and (5.14), we see also that the matrix whose elements are components of the tensor $\phi$ with respect to the same adapted frame is

$$
\phi=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & -\delta_{\mu}^{\lambda} & 0  \tag{5.16}\\
\delta_{\mu}^{\lambda} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Now, let $U_{\alpha}, U_{\beta}$ be coordinate neighborhoods such that their intersection $U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}$ is not empty. At every point of $U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}$ we can take an adapted frame ( $\left.\xi_{(\lambda)}^{i}, \xi_{\left(\lambda^{*}\right)}^{i}, \xi_{(\Delta)}^{i}\right)$ of $U_{\alpha}$ and an adapted frame ( $\left.\bar{\xi}_{(\lambda)}^{i}, \bar{\xi}_{\left(\lambda^{*}\right)}^{\overline{( }}, \overline{\xi_{(\Delta)}}\right)$ of $U_{\beta}$. If we denote by ( $v^{\lambda}, v^{\lambda^{*}}, v^{\Delta}$ ), ( $\bar{v}^{\lambda}, \bar{v}^{\lambda^{*}}, \bar{v}^{\Delta}$ ) and $\phi_{j}^{i}, \bar{\phi}_{j}^{i}$ the components of the same vector and the fundamental singular collineation respectively with respect to the two adapted frames, we see that the relations

$$
\bar{v}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{\mu}^{\lambda} & b_{\mu}^{\lambda} & 0  \tag{5.17}\\
c_{\mu}^{\lambda} & d_{\mu}^{\lambda} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
v^{\lambda} \\
v^{\lambda^{*}} \\
v^{\Delta}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\phi_{j}^{i} v^{j}}=\overline{\phi_{j}^{i} v^{j}} \tag{5.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

hold good. Of course the first matrix of the right hand side of (5.17) is an orthogonal matrix. As $\phi$ and $\bar{\phi}$ have the same form (5.16) with respect to the two adapted frames, we can easily see from (5.18) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{\mu}^{\lambda}=d_{\mu}^{\lambda}, \quad b_{\mu}^{\lambda}=-c_{\mu}^{\lambda} \tag{5.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

hold good. Hence we see that our matrix belongs to $U(n) \times 1$. Consequently, the ( $\phi, \xi, \eta, g$ )-structure induces uniquely an almost contact structure to $M^{2 n+1}$.

Conversely, let $M^{2 n+1}$ be a differentiable manifold with almost contact structure and let $\left\{U_{a}\right\}$ be an open covering of $M^{2 n+1}$ by coordinate neighborhoods. Then, by definition, we can take frames over every $U_{\alpha}$ so that, if $U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}$ is not empty, the transformation of components of the same vector with respect to frames of $U_{\alpha}$ and $U_{\beta}$ is given by a matrix which is a real representation of $U(n) \times 1$, i. e. an orthogonal matrix of the form

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{\mu}^{\lambda} & b_{\mu}^{\lambda} & 0 \\
-b_{\mu}^{\lambda} & a_{\mu}^{\lambda} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

We call such frames adapted frames.
If we take a symmetric tensor field $g$ over $U_{\alpha}$ whose components are given by

$$
\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\delta_{\mu}^{\lambda} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \delta_{\mu}^{\lambda} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

with respect to adapted frames, all such tensor fields $g$ over $U_{\alpha}$ 's are unified to a single positive definite tensor field over $M^{2 n+1}$. We take it as the tensor field which defines a Riemannian metric over $M^{2 n+1}$. In the same way, all tensor fields over $U_{\alpha}$ 's of type $(1,1)$ whose components with respect to adapted frames are given by

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & -\delta_{\mu}^{\lambda} & 0 \\
\delta_{\mu}^{\lambda} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

constitute a single tensor field $\phi$ over $M^{2 n+1}$.
The same is true for a contravariant vector field $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ with components ( $0, \ldots$ $\ldots, 0,1$ ) and covariant vector field $\eta$ with components ( $0, \ldots \ldots, 0,1$ ) with respect to adapted frames. We can easily verify that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi_{j}^{i} \xi^{j}=0, \quad \phi_{j}^{i} \eta_{i}=0, \\
& \xi^{i} \eta_{i}=1, \quad \eta_{i}=g_{i,} \xi^{\prime}, \\
& \phi_{j}^{i} \phi_{k}^{\prime}=-\delta_{k}^{i}+\xi^{i} \eta_{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

hold good with respect to adapted frames.

However, these equations are all tensor equations. So, they hold good for every natural frames too. Consequently, any differentiable manifold with almost contact structure is a differentiable manifold with $(\phi, \xi, \eta)$-structure.
N.B. Hatakeyama's theorem stated in the introduction follows immediately from Theorem 1 of $\S 3$ and Theorem 5 of this section.
6. The form $\eta \wedge(d \eta)^{n}$ of differentiable manifold with contact structure. Let $M^{2 n+1}$. be a differentiable manifold with contact structure $\eta$. Ther, by virtue of Theorem 4, we can find a $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$-structure such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{i h} \phi_{j}^{h}=\phi_{i j}=\partial_{i} \eta_{s}-\partial_{j} \eta_{i} . \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we take an open covering $\left\{U_{\alpha}\right\}$ of $M^{2 n+1}$ by coordinate neighborhoods and let $\xi_{(\lambda)}^{( }, \xi_{\left(\lambda^{*}\right)}^{l^{*}}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{(\Delta)}^{l}$ be a field of orthonormal frames over $U_{\alpha}$. We put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{i}^{(n)}=g_{i j} \xi_{(n)}^{\prime}, \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\boldsymbol{\eta}_{i}^{(n)}$ is the inverse matrix of $\boldsymbol{\xi}_{(n)}^{i}$.
As $\boldsymbol{\xi}_{(n)}^{( }$'s and $\boldsymbol{\eta}_{j}^{(h)}$ 's constitute bases of contravariant vector fields and covariant vector fields over $U_{\alpha}$ respectively, we can easily verify, by virtue of (1.1), (1.3), (1.4), (5.13) and (5.14), that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{j}^{i}=\xi_{\left(\mu^{\mu}\right)}^{l} \eta_{j}^{(\mu)}-\xi_{(\mu)}^{i} \eta_{j}^{\left(\mu^{*}\right)} . \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{i j}=\sum_{\mu=1}^{n}\left(\boldsymbol{\eta}_{i}^{\left(\mu^{*}\right)} \boldsymbol{\eta}_{j}^{(\mu)}-\boldsymbol{\eta}_{i}^{(\mu)} \boldsymbol{\eta}_{j}^{\left(\mu^{*}\right)}\right) . \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting (6.4) into $\eta_{[1} \boldsymbol{\phi}_{23} \boldsymbol{\phi}_{45} \ldots \ldots . \boldsymbol{\phi}_{2 n}{ }_{2 n+1]}$ we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \eta_{[1} \phi_{23} \phi_{45} \ldots \ldots \phi_{2 n 2 n+1]} \\
& =\phi_{[12} \phi_{34} \ldots \ldots \phi_{2 n-12 n} \eta_{2 n+1]} \\
& =\sum_{\mu, \nu, \ldots, \rho=1}^{n}\left(\eta_{11}^{\left(\mu^{*}\right)} \eta_{2}^{(\mu)}-\eta_{11}^{(\mu)} \boldsymbol{\eta}_{2}^{\left(\mu^{*}\right)}\right)\left(\eta_{3}^{\left(\nu^{* *}\right)} \eta_{1}^{(\nu)}-\eta_{3}^{(\nu)} \eta_{4}^{\left(\mu^{* *}\right)}\right) \ldots \ldots \\
& \cdots \cdots\left(\eta_{2 n-1}^{\left(\rho^{*}\right)} \eta_{2 n}^{(\rho)}-\eta_{2 n-1}^{(\rho)} \eta_{2 n}^{\left(\rho_{2}^{*}\right)}\right) \eta_{2 n+1]}^{(\Delta)} \\
& =\sum_{\mu, \nu, \ldots, \rho=1}^{n} 2^{n} \boldsymbol{\eta}_{11}^{\left(\mu^{*}\right)} \boldsymbol{\eta}_{2}^{(\mu)} \boldsymbol{\eta}_{3}^{\left(\nu_{3}^{*}\right)} \boldsymbol{\eta}_{t}^{(\nu)} \ldots \ldots \boldsymbol{\eta}_{2 n}^{\left(\rho_{n}^{*}-1\right.} \boldsymbol{\eta}_{2 n}^{(\rho)} \boldsymbol{\eta}_{2 n+1]}^{(\Delta)} \\
& =\sum_{\mu, \nu, \ldots, \rho=1}^{n}(-1)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} 2^{n} \eta_{11}^{(\mu)} \eta_{2}^{(\nu)} \ldots \ldots \eta_{n}^{(\rho)} \eta_{n+1}^{\left(\mu_{1}^{(\alpha)} \ldots \ldots \boldsymbol{\eta}_{2 n}^{\left(\rho^{*}\right)} \boldsymbol{\eta}_{2 n+1]}^{(\Delta)} .\right.}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \eta_{[1} \phi_{23} \phi_{45} \ldots \ldots \phi_{2 n} \phi_{2 n+1]} \\
& \quad=(-1)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} 2^{n} n!\eta_{[1}^{(1)} \eta_{2}^{(2)} \ldots \ldots \eta_{n}^{(n)} \eta_{n+1}^{(1 *)} \ldots \ldots \eta_{2 n}^{(n *)} \eta_{2 n+1]}^{(\Delta)} . \tag{6.5}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, if we consider $2 n+11$-forms $\eta^{(h)}=\eta_{i}^{(h)} d x^{i}$ and denote the volume element in $U_{a}$ of our Riemannian manifold $M^{2 n+1}$ by $d V$, then over $U_{\alpha}$ we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
d V & =\eta^{(1)} \wedge \eta^{(2)} \wedge \ldots \ldots \wedge \eta^{(n)} \wedge \eta^{\left(1^{*}\right)} \wedge \ldots \ldots \wedge \eta^{\left(n^{*}\right)} \wedge \eta^{(\Delta)} \\
& =(2 n+1)!\eta_{1}^{(1)} \eta_{2}^{(2)} \ldots \ldots \boldsymbol{\eta}_{n}^{(n)} \eta_{n+1}^{(1 *)} \ldots \ldots \eta_{2 n}^{(n)} \eta_{2 n+1)}^{(\Delta)} d x^{1} \wedge \ldots \ldots \wedge d x^{2 n+1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Threfore we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
d V=(-1)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \frac{(2 n+1)!}{2^{n} n!} \eta_{[1} \phi_{23} \phi_{45} \ldots \ldots \phi_{2 n 2 n+1]} d x^{1} \wedge \ldots \ldots \wedge d x^{2 n+1} \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing the last equation with
(6.7) $\eta \wedge(d \eta)^{n} \doteq \frac{(2 n+1)!}{2^{n}} \eta_{1} \phi_{23} \phi_{45} \ldots \ldots \phi_{2 n}{ }_{2 n+1]} d x^{1} \wedge d x^{2} \wedge \ldots \ldots \wedge d x^{2 n+1}$, we get finally

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta \wedge(d \eta)^{n}=(-1)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} n!d V \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, we get the following
THEOREM 6. Let $M^{2 n+1}$ be a differentiable manifold with contact structure and $\eta$ be the 1-form which defines the structure. Then $\eta \wedge(d \eta)^{n}$ coincides, within a numerical factor, with the volume element of the Riemannian metric $g$, where $g$ is the metric of the associated $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$-structure to the given contact structure of $M^{2 n+1}$.
N. B. As $\eta \wedge(d \eta)^{n} \neq 0$ everwhyere over $M^{2 n+1}$, we see from (6.5) that $\boldsymbol{\eta}_{11}^{(1)} \boldsymbol{\eta}_{2}^{(2)} \ldots \ldots . \boldsymbol{\eta}_{n}^{(n)} \eta_{n+1}^{\prime(4)} \ldots \ldots \eta_{2 n}^{(n+)} \eta_{2 n+1]}^{(\Delta)}$ has the same sign everywhere over $M^{2 n+1}$. This fact gives a proof that every contact manifold is orientable.
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