

**APPROXIMATION AND SATURATION OF FUNCTIONS BY
ARITHMETIC MEANS OF TRIGONOMETRIC
INTERPOLATING POLYNOMIALS**

GEN-ICHIRO SUNOUCHI

(Received January 23, 1963)

Let $f(x)$ be a continuous function with period 2π and E_n be the set of equidistant nodal points situated in the interval $0 \leq x < 2\pi$, that is

$$\xi_0 + 2\pi j / (2n + 1) \quad (j = 0, 1, \dots, 2n), \quad (\text{mod. } 2\pi)$$

where ξ_0 is any real number. Then the trigonometric polynomial of order n coinciding with $f(x)$ on E_n is

$$(1) \quad I_n(x, f) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(t) D_n(x - t) d\omega_{2n+1}(t),$$

where $D_n(x)$ is the Dirichlet kernel and $\omega_{2n+1}(t)$ is a step function which is associated with E_n . (We shall refer to A. Zygmund [4, Chap. X] these notations and fundamental properties of trigonometric interpolation.) We denote the Fourier expansions of (1) by

$$(2) \quad I_n(x, f) = \sum_{k=-n}^n c_k^{(n)} e^{ikx}$$

$$c_k^{(n)} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(t) e^{-ikt} d\omega_{2n+1}(t).$$

The $\{c_k^{(n)}\}$ are called the k -th Fourier-Lagrange coefficients and for a fixed k , $c_k^{(n)}$ is an approximate Riemann sum for the integral defining Fourier coefficient c_k of $f(x)$, that is

$$c_k = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(t) e^{-ikt} dt.$$

Let us denote the partial sums of (1) by

$$I_{n,m}(x, f) = \sum_{k=-m}^m c_k^{(n)} e^{ikx} \quad (m \leq n),$$

in particular

$$I_n(x, f) = I_{n,n}(x, f).$$

Let $B_{n, \nu}(x, f)$ denote the arithmetic means of $I_{n, m}(x, f)$; thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3) \quad B_{n, \nu}(x, f) &= \frac{1}{\nu + 1} \sum_{m=0}^{\nu} I_{n, m}(x, f) \quad (\nu \leq n) \\
 &= \sum_{k=-\nu}^{\nu} \left(1 - \frac{|k|}{\nu + 1} \right) c_k^{(n)} e^{ikx} \\
 &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(t) K_{\nu}(t - x) d\omega_{2n+1}(t),
 \end{aligned}$$

where $K_{\nu}(t)$ is the Fejér kernel, and set

$$B_n(x, f) = B_{n, n}(x, f).$$

In the present note, the author will investigate approximating properties of $B_{n, \nu}(x, f)$. These are analogous to the Fejér means of Fourier series. But the proofs are somewhat delicate.

THEOREM 1. *We have*

$$(1^0) \quad B_n(x, f) - f(x) = o(n^{-1})$$

uniformly as $n \rightarrow \infty$, if and only if $f(x)$ is a constant.

$$(2^0) \quad B_{n, \nu}(x, f) - f(x) = O(\nu^{-1})$$

uniformly as $\nu \rightarrow \infty$ (for all $\nu \leq n$), if and only if $\tilde{f}(x)$ satisfies the Lipschitz condition of order 1.

PROOF. (1⁰) From the formula (3),

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \{f(x) - B_n(x, f)\} e^{-ikx} dx &= c_k - \left(1 - \frac{|k|}{n + 1} \right) c_k^{(n)} \\
 &= c_k - c_k^{(n)} + \frac{|k|}{n + 1} c_k^{(n)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

If $B_n(x, f) - f(x) = o(n^{-1})$ uniformly, then

$$(4) \quad c_k - c_k^{(n)} + \frac{|k|}{n + 1} c_k^{(n)} = o(n^{-1}).$$

When a trigonometric polynomial of order n has approximating degree ε_n for $f(x)$, then the integral of $f(x)$ has the same degree of approximation by its Riemann sums, (Walsh-Sewell [3, Theorem 4]). Since $B_n(x, f)$ is a trigonometric polynomial of order n , and

$$f(x) - B_n(x, f) = o(n^{-1}), \quad f(x)e^{-ikx} - B_n(x, f)e^{-ikx} = o(n^{-1}) \quad (k \leq n),$$

we have

$$\int_0^{2\pi} f(x)e^{-ikx}dx - c_k^{(n)} = o(n^{-1}), \quad \text{for fixed } k;$$

that is

$$n(c_k - c_k^{(n)}) = o(1) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence from (4)

$$|k| c_k^{(n)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

and this means that $c_k = 0$, ($k = \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$). Thus we have $f(x) = c_0$. The converse is trivial.

(2°) We suppose that

$$f(x) - B_{n,\nu}(x, f) = O(\nu^{-1})$$

uniformly as $n \geq \nu \rightarrow \infty$. Since the unit ball of L^∞ space is weak* compact, there exist a bounded function $g(x)$ and a subsequence $\{\nu_p\}$ of ν such as

$$\begin{aligned} (5) \quad \lim_{n_p \geq \nu_p \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \nu_p \{ f(x) - B_{n_p, \nu_p}(x, f) \} e^{-ikx} dx \\ = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} g(x) e^{-ikx} dx \end{aligned}$$

for all integral k . The first term is

$$(6) \quad \lim_{\nu_p \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \nu_p (c_k - c_k^{(n_p)}) + \frac{\nu_p |k|}{\nu_p + 1} c_k^{(n_p)} \right\} \quad (\nu_p \leq n_p).$$

On the other hand if we take $\nu = n$, then the above Walsh-Sewell result yields

$$(7) \quad n(c_k - c_k^{(n)}) = O(1) \quad (k \leq n).$$

When we set $\nu = [n^{1-\delta}]$ ($0 < \delta < 1$) and select a subsequence $\{n_p\}$ and we set $\nu_p = [n_p^{1-\delta}]$,

then

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_p (c_k - c_k^{(n_p)}) &= n_p^{1-\delta} (c_k - c_k^{(n_p)}) \\ &= (n_p)^{-\delta} n_p (c_k - c_k^{(n_p)}) = o(1) \end{aligned}$$

from (7). Since $c_k^{(n_p)} \rightarrow c_k$, from (5) and (6) we conclude

$$|k| c_k = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} g(x) e^{-ikx} dx, \quad g(x) \in L^\infty(0, 2\pi),$$

for all integral k . This means that $|k|c_k$ are the Fourier coefficients of $g(x)$ which belongs to the class $L^\infty(0, 2\pi)$. Since $\{c_k\}$ are Fourier coefficients of $f(x)$, it is easy to see that $f'(x) \in L^\infty(0, 2\pi)$. This is equivalent to that $\tilde{f}(x)$ satisfies the Lipschitz condition of order 1.

Conversely if $\tilde{f}(x) \in L^\infty(0, 2\pi)$, then the Fejér means $\sigma_n(x, f)$ of $f(x)$ are the best approximation (A. Zygmund [4, I, p. 123]), that is

$$(8) \quad f(x) - \sigma_\nu(x, f) = O(\nu^{-1}).$$

$B_{n,\nu}(x, f)$ is a linear method of approximation, and

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} B_{n,\nu}(x, f) - f(x) &= B_{n,\nu}(x, f - \sigma_\nu) - (f - \sigma_\nu) + \{B_{n,\nu}(x, \sigma_\nu) - \sigma_\nu\} \\ &= P_{n,\nu}(x) + Q_{n,\nu}(x), \end{aligned}$$

say. $B_{n,\nu}(x)$ transforms any bounded function to some bounded function, so from (8)

$$P_{n,\nu}(x) = O(\nu^{-1}) \quad (\nu \leq n).$$

On the other hand $\sigma_\nu(x)$ is a ν -th order polynomial and $\nu \leq n$,

$$I_n(x, \sigma_\nu(x)) \equiv \sigma_\nu(x) = \sum_{k=-\nu}^{\nu} \left(1 - \frac{|k|}{\nu+1}\right) c_k e^{ikx},$$

and

$$B_{n,\nu}(x, \sigma_\nu(x)) = \sum_{k=-\nu}^{\nu} \left(1 - \frac{|k|}{\nu+1}\right)^2 c_k e^{ikx}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{n,\nu}(x) &= B_{n,\nu}(x, \sigma_\nu(x)) - \sigma_\nu(x) \\ &= \sum_{k=-\nu}^{\nu} \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{|k|}{\nu+1}\right)^2 \right\} c_k e^{ikx} - \sum_{k=-\nu}^{\nu} \left(1 - \frac{|k|}{\nu+1}\right) c_k e^{ikx} \\ &= -\frac{1}{\nu+1} \sum_{k=-\nu}^{\nu} \left(1 - \frac{|k|}{\nu+1}\right) |k| c_k e^{ikx}. \end{aligned}$$

From the assumption $\tilde{f}'(x) \in L^\infty(0, 2\pi)$, the arithmetic means of Fourier series of $\tilde{f}(x)$ is bounded. Consequently

$$Q_{n,\nu}(x) = O(\nu^{-1}).$$

Collecting the estimates of $P_{n,\nu}(x)$ and $Q_{n,\nu}(x)$, we have the desired result.

THEOREM 2. *If $f(x)$ belongs to the Lipschitz class of order α ($0 < \alpha < 1$)*

then

$$B_{n,\nu}(x, f) - f(x) = O(\nu^{-\alpha}) \quad (\nu \leq n)$$

and if $f(x)$ belongs to the Lipschitz class of order 1, then

$$B_{n,\nu}(x, f) - f(x) = O(\nu^{-1} \log \nu) \quad (\nu \leq n).$$

More generally if $f(x)$ belongs to the class $\Lambda_{\frac{1}{2}}^1$, then

$$B_{n,\nu}(x, f) - f(x) = O(\nu^{-1} \log \nu).$$

PROOF. $B_{n,\nu}(x, f)$ maps any bounded function to some bounded function. Hence applying author's another result (G. Sunouchi [2, Theorem 1]) to Theorem 1, we get Theorem 2.

Theorem 2 has been proved by Ruban and Krasilinikoff [1] with another method.

LITERATURE

- [1] P. I. RUBAN AND K. V. KRASILNIKOFF, A method of approximating by trigonometric polynomials functions satisfying a Lipschitz condition, *Izv. Vyss. Uceb. Zaved, Matematika*, 14(1960), 194-197, (Russian).
- [2] G. SUNOUCHI, On the saturation and best approximation, *Tôhoku Math. Journ.*, 14(1962), 212-216.
- [3] J. L. WALSH AND W. E. SEWELL, Note on degree of approximation to an integral by Riemann sums, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 44(1937), 155-160.
- [4] A. ZYGMUND, *Trigonometric series I, II*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1959.

TÔHOKU UNIVERSITY.