

## HYPERSURFACES SATISFYING A CERTAIN CONDITION ON THE RICCI TENSOR

SHÛKICHI TANNO

(Received December 10, 1968)

**1. Introduction.** The Riemannian curvature tensor  $R$  of a locally symmetric Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$  satisfies

$$(*) \quad R(X, Y) \cdot R = 0 \quad \text{for any tangent vectors } X \text{ and } Y,$$

where the endomorphism  $R(X, Y)$  operates on  $R$  as a derivation of the tensor algebra at each point of  $M$ . A result of K. Nomizu [2] tells us that the converse is affirmative in the case where  $M$  is a certain hypersurface in a Euclidean space. That is:

*Let  $M$  be an  $m$ -dimensional, connected and complete Riemannian manifold which is isometrically immersed in a Euclidean space  $E^{m+1}$  so that the type number  $k(x) \geq 3$  at least at one point  $x$ . If  $M$  satisfies condition  $(*)$ , then it is of the form  $M = S^k \times E^{m-k}$ , where  $S^k$  is a hypersphere in a Euclidean subspace  $E^{k+1}$  of  $E^{m+1}$  and  $E^{m-k}$  is a Euclidean subspace orthogonal to  $E^{k+1}$ .*

Let  $R_1$  be the Ricci tensor of  $(M, g)$ . Then condition  $(*)$  implies in particular

$$(**) \quad R(X, Y) \cdot R_1 = 0 \quad \text{for any tangent vectors } X \text{ and } Y.$$

First we have

**THEOREM A.** *Let  $M$  be an  $m$ -dimensional, connected and complete Riemannian manifold which is isometrically immersed in a Euclidean space  $E^{m+1}$  so that the type number  $k(x) \geq 3$  at least at one point  $x$ . If  $M$  satisfies condition  $(**)$  and has the positive scalar curvature, then it is of the form  $M = S^k \times E^{m-k}$ .*

This theorem says that, under the circumstance, condition (\*\*\*) implies that  $R_1$  is parallel and, in fact,  $M$  is symmetric.

If  $M$  is compact, then  $k(x) \geq 3$  is replaced by  $m \geq 3$ , and we have

**THEOREM B.** *Let  $M$  be an  $m$ -dimensional, connected and compact Riemannian manifold which is isometrically immersed in  $E^{m+1}$ , where  $m \geq 3$ . If  $M$  satisfies condition (\*\*\*) and has the positive scalar curvature, then it is a hypersphere.*

For the case where  $k(x) = 2$  we have

**THEOREM C.** *Let  $M$  be an  $m$ -dimensional, connected and complete Riemannian manifold which is isometrically immersed in  $E^{m+1}$  so that the type number  $k(x) = 2$  at least at one point  $x$ . If  $M$  satisfies condition (\*\*\*) and the scalar curvature is a positive constant, then  $M = S^2 \times E^{m-2}$ .*

If the Ricci tensor  $R_1$  is parallel, then  $M$  satisfies condition (\*\*\*). Hence, we can show

**THEOREM D.** *Let  $M$  be an  $m$ -dimensional, connected and complete Riemannian manifold which is isometrically immersed in  $E^{m+1}$ . If the Ricci tensor is parallel and the scalar curvature is positive, then it is of the form  $M = S^k \times E^{m-k}$ .*

The condition on the type number  $k(x)$  at a point  $x$  is replaced by the rank  $r(x)$  of the Ricci tensor at the point, provided that  $r(x)$  is greater than 1. Namely we have

**COROLLARY.** *Let  $M$  be an  $m$ -dimensional, connected and complete Riemannian manifold which is isometrically immersed in  $E^{m+1}$ . Assume that  $M$  satisfies condition (\*\*\*) and the scalar curvature  $S$  is positive. And suppose one of the following conditions is satisfied:*

- (i) *the Ricci tensor has the rank  $r(x) \geq 3$  at some point  $x$ ,*
- (ii) *the Ricci tensor has the rank  $r(x) = 2$  at some point  $x$  and  $S$  is constant.*

*Then  $M$  is of the form  $S^k \times E^{m-k}$ ,  $k = r(x)$ .*

Proofs are given by modifications of the arguments in [2] and by applying results of P. Hartman [1] and T. Y. Thomas [3].

**2. Reduction of condition (\*\*).** Let  $M$  be a connected hypersurface in a Euclidean space  $E^{m+1}$  and let  $g$  be the induced metric on  $M$ . Let  $U$  be a neighborhood of a point  $x_0$  of  $M$  on which we can choose a unit vector field  $\xi$  normal to  $M$ . For local vector fields  $X$  and  $Y$  on  $U$  tangent to  $M$ , we have the formulas of Gauss and Weingarten :

$$(2.1) \quad D_x Y = \nabla_x Y + h(X, Y) \xi,$$

$$(2.2) \quad D_x \xi = -AX,$$

where  $D_x$  and  $\nabla_x$  denote covariant differentiations for the Euclidean connection of  $E^{m+1}$  and the Riemannian connection on  $M$ , respectively.  $h$  is the second fundamental form and  $A$  is a symmetric endomorphism satisfying  $h(X, Y) = g(AX, Y)$ . Then the equation of Gauss is

$$(2.3) \quad R(X, Y) = AX \wedge AY,$$

where, in general,  $X \wedge Y$  denotes the endomorphism which maps  $Z$  upon  $g(Z, Y)X - g(Z, X)Y$ . The type number  $k(x)$  at a point  $x$  is, by definition, the rank of  $A$  at  $x$ . For a point  $x$  of  $M$ , take an orthonormal basis  $(e_1, \dots, e_m)$  of the tangent space  $T_x(M)$  such that  $Ae_h = \lambda_h e_h$ ,  $1 \leq h \leq m$ . Then (2.3) is written as

$$(2.4) \quad R(e_i, e_j) = \lambda_i \lambda_j e_i \wedge e_j.$$

Now by condition (\*\*\*) and

$$[R(e_i, e_j) \cdot R_1](e_k, e_h) = -R_1(R(e_i, e_j)e_k, e_h) - R_1(e_k, R(e_i, e_j)e_h),$$

we have

$$\lambda_i \lambda_j (\delta_{jk} R_{ih} - \delta_{ik} R_{jh} + \delta_{jh} R_{ik} - \delta_{ih} R_{jk}) = 0,$$

where  $R_{jk}$  are the components of  $R_1$  with respect to the frame  $\{e_h\}$ . If we put  $h = i \neq j = k$ , then we get

$$(2.5) \quad \lambda_i \lambda_j (R_{ii} - R_{jj}) = 0.$$

Next, since  $R(e_i, e_j)e_k = \lambda_i \lambda_j (\delta_{jk} e_i - \delta_{ik} e_j)$ ,  $R$  has the following components

$$(2.6) \quad R^h_{kij} = \lambda_i \lambda_j (\delta_{jk} \delta_i^h - \delta_{ik} \delta_j^h).$$

Contracting in  $h$  and  $i$ , we have

$$(2.7) \quad R_{jk} = \delta_{jk} \lambda_j (\sum_{i=j} \lambda_i).$$

Therefore  $R_1$  is diagonal, and by (2.5),  $R_1$  has at most two eigenvalues 0 and  $\gamma$ . If  $\gamma \neq 0$ , then the multiplicity of  $\gamma$  is equal to the type number  $k(x)$ . And the scalar curvature  $S$  of  $M$  is given by  $S = k(x)\gamma$ . We denote the mean curvature of  $M$  in  $E^{m+1}$  by  $K = m^{-1}\Sigma\lambda_h$ . Then, putting  $j = k$  in (2.7), we see that  $\lambda_h$  is a solution of the equation

$$(2.8) \quad \lambda_h^2 - mK\lambda_h + \gamma = 0.$$

Consequently, we have a number  $s$  ( $0 \leq s \leq k(x)$ ) such that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 &= \lambda_2 = \cdots = \lambda_s = \lambda, \\ \lambda_{s+1} &= \cdots = \lambda_{k(x)} = mK - \lambda = \mu, \\ \lambda_{k(x)+1} &= \cdots = \lambda_m = 0, \end{aligned}$$

by interchanging the order in  $\{e_h\}$ .

**3. Proofs of theorems.** Let  $f: M \rightarrow E^{m+1}$  be an isometric immersion of an  $m$ -dimensional, connected and complete Riemannian manifold  $M$  with property (\*\*). The scalar curvature  $S$  of  $M$  is assumed to be positive. Since the conclusion of our theorem is  $M = S^k \times E^{m-k}$ , in the proofs we can assume that  $M$  is oriented, and hence  $k$  is globally defined.

LEMMA 3.1. *The scalar curvature  $S > 0$  implies that the type number is a constant  $k \geq 2$ .*

PROOF. By  $S = k(x)\gamma$  at  $x$ , we have  $\gamma > 0$  on  $M$ . Since  $R_1$  has at most two different eigenvalues 0 and  $\gamma$ , the inequality  $\gamma > 0$  on  $M$  tells us that the multiplicity of  $\gamma$  is constant on  $M$ . On the other hand,  $\gamma \neq 0$  at  $x$  implies that  $k(x)$  is the multiplicity of  $\gamma$ , and hence  $k(x) = k$  a constant on  $M$ . Suppose that  $k = 1$ . Then we have  $mK = \lambda$  and  $\gamma = 0$  by (2.8). This is a contradiction, and we have  $k \geq 2$ .

LEMMA 3.2. *Every sectional curvature is non-negative.*

PROOF. Let  $x$  be an arbitrary point of  $M$  and let  $\{e_h\}$  be an orthonormal basis of  $T_x(M)$  such that  $Ae_i = \lambda e_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq s$ ,  $Ae_u = \mu e_u$  for  $s+1 \leq u \leq k$ , and  $Ae_t = 0$  for  $k+1 \leq t \leq m$ . Take an arbitrary 2-plane in  $T_x(M)$ . Then

we have two vectors  $X$  and  $Y$  which span the 2-plane :

$$X = \sum_{i=1}^s a_i e_i + \sum_{u=s+1}^k b_u e_u + \sum_{l=k+1}^m c_l e_l,$$

$$Y = \sum_{j=1}^s a'_j e_j + \sum_{v=s+1}^k b'_v e_v + \sum_{l=k+1}^m c'_l e_l,$$

where we can assume that  $a_i, b_u, c_l, a'_j, b'_v,$  and  $c'_l$  are non-negative (by changing some  $e_n \rightarrow -e_n,$  if necessary). By (2.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} R(X, Y) &= \lambda^2 \sum_{i,j} a_i a'_j e_i \wedge e_j + \lambda \mu \sum_{i,v} a_i b'_v e_i \wedge e_v \\ &\quad + \lambda \mu \sum_{u,j} b_u a'_j e_u \wedge e_j + \mu^2 \sum_{u,v} b_u b'_v e_u \wedge e_v. \end{aligned}$$

After a simple calculation we have

$$\begin{aligned} -g(R(X, Y)X, Y) &= [(\sum a_i^2)(\sum a'_j{}^2) - (\sum a_i a'_i)^2] \lambda^2 \\ &\quad + [(\sum a_i^2)(\sum b'_v{}^2) + (\sum b'_v{}^2)(\sum a'_j{}^2) - 2(\sum a_i a'_i)(\sum b_u b'_u)] \lambda \mu \\ &\quad + [(\sum b'_u{}^2)(\sum b'_v{}^2) - (\sum b_u b'_u)^2] \mu^2. \end{aligned}$$

The right hand side of the above equation is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} &[(\sum a_i^2)(\sum a'_j{}^2)]^{1/2} \lambda + [(\sum b'_u{}^2)(\sum b'_v{}^2)]^{1/2} \mu \\ &\quad - ((\sum a_i a'_i) \lambda + (\sum b_u b'_u) \mu)^2 \\ &\quad + ((\sum a_i^2)(\sum b'_v{}^2) + (\sum b'_u{}^2)(\sum a'_j{}^2) \\ &\quad - 2[(\sum a_i^2)(\sum a'_j{}^2)(\sum b'_u{}^2)(\sum b'_v{}^2)]^{1/2}) \lambda \mu. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\gamma = \lambda \mu$  and  $k\gamma = S,$  we can assume that  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  are positive. Then, by well known inequalities we have  $-g(R(X, Y)X, Y) \geq 0$  and thereby every sectional curvature is non-negative.

LEMMA 3.3. (P.Hartman [1]) *Let  $M$  be an  $m$ -dimensional, connected and complete Riemannian manifold such that all 2-dimensional sections have non-negative curvatures. If  $f: M \rightarrow E^{m+\delta}, \delta > 0,$  is an isometric immersion such that the relative nullity function  $\nu$  is a positive constant, then  $fM$  is  $\nu$ -cylindrical.*

By Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, we can apply Lemma 3.3 for  $\delta = 1, \nu = m - k$  and we get the Riemannian product  $M = M^k \times E^{m-k},$  where  $M^k$  is a  $k$ -dimensional, connected and complete Riemannian manifold and  $E^{m-k}$  is an  $(m - k)$ -dimensional Euclidean space. Furthermore the restriction  $f'$  of  $f$  to

$M^k$  is an isometric immersion of  $M^k$  into a  $(k+1)$ -dimensional Euclidean subspace  $E^{k+1}$  which is orthogonal to a Euclidean subspace  $E^{m-k}$  in  $E^{m+1}$ .

Let  $\{e_h\}$  be an orthonormal basis at a point  $(x, y)$  of  $M$ ,  $x \in M^k$  and  $y \in E^{m-k}$ , such that the first  $e_1, \dots, e_k$  are tangent to  $M^k$  at  $x$  and  $e_{k+1}, \dots, e_m$  are tangent to  $E^{m-k}$  at  $y$ . Then the Ricci tensor  $R'_i$  of  $M^k$  and the Ricci tensor  $R_i$  of  $M$  have the same value  $R'_i(e_i, e_j) = R_i(e_i, e_j)$  for  $1 \leq i, j \leq k$ . On the other hand, we see that  $R_i(e_i, e_j) = \gamma g(e_i, e_j)$  for  $1 \leq i, j \leq k$ . Hence,  $M^k$  is an Einstein space. By a theorem of (E. Cartan and) T. Y. Thomas [3],  $fM^k$  is a hypersphere in  $E^{k+1}$ . This completes the proofs of Theorems A and C.

If  $M$  is compact, then the type number  $k(x)$  at some point  $x$  is equal to  $m$  (cf. [2], p.57). So we see that  $S = m\gamma$ , namely,  $M$  is an Einstein space. Hence,  $fM$  is a hypersphere and we have Theorem B.

If the Ricci tensor is parallel, then the scalar curvature is constant. Therefore to prove Theorem D it suffices to notice the Ricci identity

$$(3.1) \quad (\nabla \nabla R_i)(Z, W; X, Y) - (\nabla \nabla R_i)(Z, W; Y, X) = (R(X, Y) \cdot R_i)(Z, W).$$

#### 4. Remarks.

REMARK 1. *If  $\dim M = 2$ , then condition (\*\*) is trivial.*

In fact, we have  $R_i = ag$  for some differentiable function  $a$  on  $M$ . Then the Ricci identity (3.1) shows that condition (\*\*) is satisfied always.

REMARK 2. *If  $\dim M = 3$ , then condition (\*\*) is equivalent to condition (\*).*

In fact, if  $\dim M = 3$ , then we have

$$(4.1) \quad R(X, Y) = R^1 X \wedge Y + X \wedge R^1 Y - (1/2)SX \wedge Y,$$

where  $S$  is the scalar curvature and  $R^1$  is defined by  $R_1(X, Y) = g(R^1 X, Y)$ . If we take an orthonormal basis  $\{e_h\}$  such that  $R^1 e_h = \gamma_h e_h$ ,  $1 \leq h \leq 2$ , then condition (\*) is equivalent to

$$(4.2) \quad (\gamma_i - \gamma_j)(2(\gamma_i + \gamma_j) - S) = 0,$$

which is also equivalent to condition (\*\*).

## REFERENCES

- [ 1 ] P.HARTMAN, On isometric immersions in Euclidean space of manifolds with non-negative sectional curvatures, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 115(1965), 94-109.
- [ 2 ] K.NOMIZU, On hypersurfaces satisfying a certain condition on the curvature tensor, Tôhoku Math. J., 20(1968), 46-59.
- [ 3 ] T.Y.THOMAS, Extract from a letter by E.Cartan concerning my note ; On closed spaces of constant mean curvature, Amer. J. Math., 59(1937), 793-794.

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE  
TÔHOKU UNIVERSITY  
SENDAI, JAPAN