

THE DEFICIENCIES OF A COMPOSITE FUNCTION OF INTEGRAL FUNCTIONS

SEIKI MORI

(Received May 31, 1971)

1. Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two integral functions. It is assumed that the reader is familiar with the following symbols of frequent use in Nevanlinna's theory:

$m(r, f)$, $n(r, f)$, $N(r, f)$, $T(r, f)$, $\delta(a, f)$, etc..

Valiron [4] proved the following theorem.

If $f(z)$ is a meromorphic function of finite order μ and of lower order λ , and if $\mu - \lambda < 1$, then all deficiencies of $f(z)$ are invariant under a change of origin.

Gol'dberg and Belinsky [3] showed that the condition $\mu - \lambda < 1$ in the theorem can not be dropped.

These theorems can be considered as results on relation between deficiencies of a composite function $f(g(z))$ and those of the integral function $f(z)$, where $g(z)$ is a polynomial of degree 1.

From this point of view we consider the following two problems:

- (A) Is $f(a_0)$ also a deficient value of $f(g(z))$, when a_0 is a deficient value of $g(z)$?
- (B) Is w_0 also a deficient value of $f(g(z))$, when w_0 is a deficient value of $f(z)$?

2. We first deal with the problem (A).

THEOREM 1. *Let $g(z)$ be a transcendental integral function and $f(z)$ a polynomial of degree n . Then, for any w_0 , it holds that*

$$(1) \quad \delta(w_0, f(g(z))) \geq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \delta(\gamma_i, g(z)),$$

where $\{\gamma_i\}_{i=0}^{n-1}$ are zeros of $f(z) - w_0$.

PROOF. Clearly we see

$$N\left(r, \frac{1}{f(g(z)) - w_0}\right) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} N\left(r, \frac{1}{g(z) - \gamma_i}\right).$$

It is known that if $g(z)$ is a non-constant integral function and if $f(z)$ a polynomial of degree n , then

$$\frac{T(r, f(g(z)))}{T(r, g(z))} \longrightarrow n \quad \text{as } r \longrightarrow \infty,$$

(see Hayman [2], p. 54). Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N\left(r, \frac{1}{f(g) - w_0}\right)}{T(r, f(g))} &\leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} N\left(r, \frac{1}{g - \gamma_i}\right)}{\{1 - o(1)\} n \cdot T(r, g)} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (1 - \delta(\gamma_i, g)), \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\delta(w_0, f(g(z))) \geq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \delta(\gamma_i, g(z)),$$

which proves the theorem.

REMARK. Given any transcendental integral function $g(z)$, there exists a polynomial $f(z)$ such that the equality in (1) holds.

From Theorem 1 the following is easily obtained.

COROLLARY 1. *Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be as in Theorem 1. If a_0 is a deficient value of $g(z)$, then $f(a_0)$ is also a deficient value of $f(g(z))$.*

3. We shall next show that if $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ are both transcendental integral functions, then the conclusion of Corollary 1 does not always hold.

THEOREM 2. *Let $g(z)$ be a transcendental integral function of finite order μ and of a positive lower order λ . Then, for any $a_0 (\neq \infty)$, there exists a transcendental integral function $f(z)$ such that $f(a_0)$ is not a deficient value of $f(g(z))$.*

PROOF. We can choose a sequence $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of complex numbers and two sequences $\{r_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$, $\{K_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of positive numbers which satisfy the following conditions (i) and (ii):

$$(i) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|a_i|} < +\infty, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r_i^{\lambda/2}} < +\infty, \quad r_i \longrightarrow +\infty (i \longrightarrow +\infty)$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \log \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{K_i}} < +\infty, \quad K_i > 1,$$

$$(ii) \quad T(r_{i+1}, g) \geq r_{i+1}^{1/2} \log^+ |a_i|,$$

$$|a_{i+1}| > K_{i+1} \cdot M(r_{i+1}, g)$$

and

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h(r) \cdot \log r}{T(r, g)} = 0,$$

where $M(r, g) = \max_{|z|=r} |g(z)|$ and $h(r)$ denotes the number of a_k such that $|a_k| < K_i \cdot M(r_i, g)$ for $r_i = \min_{1 \leq n \leq \infty} \{r_n \in \{r_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} : r \leq r_n\}$.

In fact, we fix $r_1 > 1$ and choose a_1 such that $|a_1| > M(r_1, g)$. We next take $r_2 (> r_1)$ such that

$$T(r_2, g) \geq r_2^{1/2} \log^+ |a_1| \quad \text{and} \quad r_2 \geq 2^{3/2}.$$

Further, for this r_2 , we choose a_2 such that

$$|a_2| > K_2 \cdot M(r_2, g),$$

where

$$K_2 = \frac{1}{1 - e^{-1/2^2}}.$$

If a_i has already been determined such that $|a_i| > K_i \cdot M(r_i, g)$, then we determine $r_{i+1} (> r_i)$ satisfying

$$T(r_{i+1}, g) \geq r_{i+1}^{1/2} \log^+ |a_i| \quad \text{and} \quad r_{i+1} \geq (i+1)^{3/2}$$

and we choose a_{i+1} such that $|a_{i+1}| > K_{i+1} \cdot M(r_{i+1}, g)$ where

$$K_{i+1} = \frac{1}{1 - e^{-1/(i+1)^2}}.$$

By constructing sequences $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$, $\{r_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{K_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ in this way, we see easily that these sequences satisfy the conditions (i) and (ii). We now consider the infinite product

$$f(z) = \begin{cases} \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{z}{a_i}\right), & a_0 \neq 0 \\ \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} z \cdot \left(1 - \frac{z}{a_i}\right), & a_0 = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then $f(z)$ is an integral function with simple zeros at a_i . Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} m\left(r, \frac{1}{f(g)}\right) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log^+ \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{1 - \frac{g(re^{i\theta})}{a_i}} \right| d\theta + O(\log r) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log^+ \prod_{i=0}^{h(r)-1} \left| \frac{1}{1 - \frac{g(re^{i\theta})}{a_i}} \right| d\theta + \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log^+ \prod_{i=h(r)}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{1 - \frac{g(re^{i\theta})}{a_i}} \right| d\theta + O(\log r) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\prod_{i=h(r)}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{1 - \frac{g(re^{i\theta})}{a_i}} \right| \leq \prod_{i=h(r)}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - \left| \frac{g(re^{i\theta})}{a_i} \right|} \leq \prod_{i=h(r)}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{M(r, g)}{K_i M(r, g)}} = \prod_{i=h(r)}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{K_i}},$$

so we see

$$\log^+ \prod_{i=h(r)}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{1 - \frac{g(re^{i\theta})}{a_i}} \right| \leq \log^+ \prod_{i=h(r)}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{K_i}} = \sum_{i=h(r)}^{\infty} \log \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{K_i}} < 2.$$

Thus it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} m\left(r, \frac{1}{f(g)}\right) &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log^+ \prod_{i=0}^{h(r)-1} \left| \frac{a_i}{g(re^{i\theta}) - a_i} \right| d\theta + O(\log r) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log^+ \prod_{i=0}^{h(r)-1} \left| \frac{1}{g(re^{i\theta}) - a_i} \right| d\theta + \log^+ \prod_{i=0}^{h(r)-1} |a_i| + O(\log r) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{h(r)-1} m\left(r, \frac{1}{g - a_i}\right) + \sum_{i=0}^{h(r)-1} \log^+ |a_i| + O(\log r). \end{aligned}$$

By the second fundamental theorem, we obtain

$$\sum_{i=0}^{h(r)-1} m\left(r, \frac{1}{g - a_i}\right) \leq 2 T(r, g) + m\left(r, \frac{g'}{g}\right) + m\left(r, \sum_{i=0}^{h(r)-1} \frac{g'}{g - a_i}\right) + O(\log r).$$

It is easy to see that

$$m\left(r, \frac{g'}{g}\right) = O(\log r)$$

and

$$m\left(r, \sum_{i=0}^{h(r)-1} \frac{g'}{g-a_i}\right) = O(h(r) \cdot \log r).$$

On the other hand, a result of Clunie (see Hayman [2] p. 54) implies that if $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ are two transcendental integral functions, then

$$(2) \quad \frac{T(r, f(g))}{T(r, g)} \longrightarrow \infty \text{ as } r \longrightarrow \infty.$$

Hence, for the sequence $\{r_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ chosen above, we have

$$\frac{m\left(r_n, \frac{1}{f(g)}\right)}{T(r_n, f(g))} \leq \frac{2 T(r_n, g) + \sum_{i=0}^{h(r_n)-1} \frac{T(r_n, g)}{r_n^{1/2}} + O(h(r_n) \log r_n)}{T(r_n, g)} \cdot \frac{T(r_n, g)}{T(r_n, f(g))}$$

which gives us

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m\left(r, \frac{1}{f(g)}\right)}{T(r, f(g))} = 0,$$

by the conditions (i), (ii) and (2). Thus we obtain

$$\delta(0, f(g)) = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m\left(r, \frac{1}{f(g)}\right)}{T(r, f(g))} = 0.$$

This proves Theorem 2.

COROLLARY 2. *Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be transcendental integral functions. Even if $a_0 (\neq \infty)$ is a deficient value of $g(z)$, $f(a_0)$ is not always a deficient value of $f(g(z))$.*

For, by a result of Edrei and Fuchs [1], the function $g(z)$ constructed in the proof of Theorem 2 is of positive lower order, since $g(z)$ has a finite deficient value. Hence Theorem 2 implies this corollary.

4. Concerning the problem (B), we can prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 3. *Let $g(z)$ be a polynomial of degree n and $f(z)$ a transcen-*

dental meromorphic function of order μ_f and of lower order λ_f . Assume that $\mu_f - \lambda_f < 1/n$. Then, for any w_0 , it holds that

$$\delta(w_0, f(g)) = \delta(w_0, f).$$

PROOF. Using the method due to Valiron [4], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \varepsilon) N\left(s', \frac{1}{f - w_0}\right) - O(\log r) &\leq N\left(r, \frac{1}{f(g) - w_0}\right) \\ &\leq (1 + \varepsilon) N\left(s, \frac{1}{f - w_0}\right) + O(\log r) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(1 - \varepsilon)T(s', f) - O(\log r) \leq T(r, f(g)) \leq (1 + \varepsilon)T(s, f) + O(\log r)$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and for all sufficiently large values of r and we have

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(s, f)}{T(s', f)} = 1$$

provided that $\mu_f - \lambda_f < 1/n$, where $g(z) = a_0 z^n + \dots + a_n$, $|z| = r$, $|a_i| = \alpha_i$ ($i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$), $s = \alpha_0 r^n + \dots + \alpha_n$ and $s' = \alpha_0 r^n - \alpha_1 r^{n-1} - \dots - \alpha_n$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N\left(r, \frac{1}{f(g) - w_0}\right)}{T(r, f(g))} &\leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(1 + \varepsilon) N\left(s, \frac{1}{f - w_0}\right)}{(1 - \varepsilon)T(s, f)} \cdot \frac{T(s, f)}{T(s', f)} \\ &= 1 - \delta(w_0, f). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we have

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N\left(r, \frac{1}{f(g) - w_0}\right)}{T(r, f(g))} \geq 1 - \delta(w_0, f).$$

Thus we obtain

$$\delta(w_0, f(g)) = \delta(w_0, f).$$

As a direct consequence of Theorem 3, we have

COROLLARY 3. Under the same condition as in Theorem 3, if w_0 is a deficient value of $f(z)$, then w_0 is also a deficient value of $f(g(z))$.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. EDREI AND W. H. J. FUCHS, On the growth of meromorphic functions with several deficient values, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 93(1959), 293-328.
- [2] W. K. HAYMAN, *Meromorphic functions*, Oxford Univ. press, 1964.
- [3] A. A. GOL'DBERG AND P. P. BELINSKY, Application d'un théorème sur les représentations conformes aux questions d'invariance des fonctions méromorphes, *Ukrain. Mat. Zhurnal*, 3(1954), 263-269, (Russian).
- [4] G. VALIRON, Valeurs exceptionnelles et valeurs déficientes des fonctions méromorphes, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 225(1947), 556-558.

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE
TÔHOKU UNIVERSITY
SENDAI, JAPAN

