

ON THE CATEGORY OF THE DOUBLE MAPPING CYLINDER

K. A. HARDIE

(Received September 8, 1972)

Abstract. If $a: X \rightarrow A$ is a cofibration and if R is the adjunction space obtained by attaching A to B by means of $b: X \rightarrow B$ then $\text{cat } R \leq \min(1 + \text{cat } A + \text{cat } B, \text{cat } X + \max(\text{cat } A, \text{cat } B))$, where $\text{cat } Y$ denotes the Lusternik-Schnirelmann category of Y as redefined by G. W. Whitehead, renormalised to take the value 0 on contractible spaces.

Let $a: X \rightarrow A$, $b: X \rightarrow B$ be maps in the category of pointed connected CW-complexes and let Z be the associated (reduced) double mapping cylinder. If $\text{cat } Y$ denotes the Lusternik-Schnirelmann category of Y as redefined by G. W. Whitehead [10], renormalised to take the value 0 on contractible spaces, then Tsuchida [9; 3.4] has proved that

$$(1) \quad \text{cat } Z \leq \text{cat } A + \text{cat } B + 1.$$

The chief purpose of this paper is to show that the results of [6] combined with a simple-minded homotopy argument yield:

$$(2) \quad \text{cat } Z \leq \text{cat } X + \max(\text{cat } A, \text{cat } B).$$

If a is a cofibration then it is well-known [3; p. 247] that Z has the same homotopy type as the adjunction space R obtained by attaching A to B by means of b . Thus (1) and (2) together imply

$$(3) \quad \text{cat } R \leq \min(1 + \text{cat } A + \text{cat } B, \text{cat } X + \max(\text{cat } A, \text{cat } B)).$$

In the sequel we shall consider briefly what may be said in the presence of a "primitivity" condition [2; p. 441], [9; 3.7]. We recall that Z is the space obtained from the (pointed) sum $A + (X \times I) + B$ by factoring out by the relations

$$(x, 0) \sim a(x), (x, 1) \sim b(x), (*, t) \sim (*, t') \quad (x \in X; t, t' \in I).$$

Let $f: A \rightarrow W$, $g: B \rightarrow W$ and let $H: f \circ a \simeq g \circ b: X \rightarrow W$. (Homotopies

Grants for research from the University of Cape Town and the South African Council for Scientific and Industrial Research are acknowledged.

are of course required to respect base-points.) Then we say that the square

$$(4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{a} & A \\ b \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ B & \xrightarrow{g} & W \end{array}$$

commutes via the homotopy $\overline{f}H$. We remark that the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{a} & A \\ b \downarrow & & \downarrow b' \\ B & \xrightarrow{a'} & Z \end{array}$$

commutes via F , where $F(x, t) = \{(x, t)\}$ ($x \in X, t \in I$) and a' and b' are the obvious maps. If (4) commutes via H it is easy to see that there exists a unique map $\theta = \theta(f, H, g): Z \rightarrow W$ such that $\theta \circ b' = f$, $\theta \circ a' = g$ and $\theta \circ F = H$. Let $K: f \circ a \simeq g \circ b$ be another homotopy. Then the reverse homotopy $rK: g \circ b \simeq f \circ a$ and the conjunction $H \oplus rK: f \circ a \simeq f \circ a$ are defined. (For details see [5; p. 338].) The homotopy class $\{H \oplus rK\}$ is an element of the $(f \circ a)$ -based track group $\pi_1^X(W; f \circ a)$. (See [8], [1].) We omit the proof of the following.

LEMMA 1. $\theta(f, H, g) \simeq \theta(f, K, g)$ if and only if

$$\{H \oplus rK\} = 0 \in \pi_1^X(W; f \circ a).$$

Finally, given maps $\alpha: A \rightarrow V$, $\beta: B \rightarrow V$, $\gamma: W \rightarrow V$ and homotopies $G: \alpha \simeq \gamma \circ f$, $G': \gamma \circ g \simeq \beta$, it is clear (omitting unnecessary brackets) that

$$H' = G \circ (a \times id_I) \oplus \gamma \circ H \oplus G' \circ (b \times id_I): \alpha \circ a \simeq \beta \circ b.$$

The routine proof of the following lemma is also omitted.

LEMMA 2. $\theta(\alpha, H', \beta) \simeq \gamma \circ \theta(f, H, g): Z \rightarrow V$.

PROOF OF (2). Let $p = 1 + \text{cat } X$. We may assume that $n = p + \max(\text{cat } A, \text{cat } B)$ is finite. Let TY denote the product of n copies of Y and let $T^r Y = \{(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \in TY \mid \text{at least } r \text{ coordinates are at } *\}$.

Then, by [5; Theorem], there exist maps $\phi_A: A \rightarrow T^p A$, $\phi_B: B \rightarrow T^p B$ and homotopies $G_A: \Delta \simeq j \circ \phi_A$, $G_B: j \circ \phi_B \simeq \Delta$, where $\Delta: Y \rightarrow TY$ is the diagonal transformation and $j: T^p Y \rightarrow TY$ the injection. Let k denote the injection $T^p Y \rightarrow T^1 Y$. We postpone the proof of the following lemma which refers to the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{a} & A & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & TA \\
 b \downarrow & & k \circ T^p b' \circ \phi_A \downarrow & & Tb' \downarrow \\
 B & \xrightarrow{k \circ T^p a' \circ \phi_B} & T^1 Z & \xrightarrow{j} & TZ \\
 \Delta \downarrow & & & & \uparrow \\
 TB & \xrightarrow{Ta'} & & &
 \end{array}$$

LEMMA 3. *The top-left square commutes via a homotopy H .*

Thus $\theta = \theta(k \circ T^p b' \circ \phi_A, H, k \circ T^p a' \circ \phi_B): Z \rightarrow T^1 Z$ is well-defined and we must prove that $j \circ \theta \simeq \Delta: Z \rightarrow TZ$.

Let $H' = Tb' \circ G_A \circ (a \times id_I) \oplus j \circ H \oplus Ta' \circ G_B \circ (b \times id_I)$. Then Lemma 2 yields $\theta(Tb' \circ \Delta, H', Ta' \circ \Delta) \simeq j \circ \theta$. Hence it will suffice to prove, for each $s = 1, 2, \dots, n$, that $\pi \circ \theta(Tb' \circ \Delta, H', Ta' \circ \Delta) \simeq id_Z$, where $\pi = \pi_s$ is the projection given by $\pi_s(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = x_s$. But $\pi \circ \theta(Tb' \circ \Delta, H', Ta' \circ \Delta) = \theta(b', \pi \circ H', a')$ and, since $id_Z = \theta(b', F, a')$, it will be enough in view of Lemma 1 to prove that

$$(5) \quad \{\pi \circ H' \oplus rF\} = 0 \in \pi_1^X(Z; b' \circ a).$$

This is certainly the case if X is contractible, for then the group is trivial [8; p. 338]. If X is not contractible then $p > 1$. We shall show that we may change H so that (5) is satisfied. Let $M: b' \circ a \simeq b' \circ a$ be such that $\{M\} + \{\pi \circ H' \oplus rF\} = 0 \in \pi_1^X(Z; b' \circ a)$, and let $N: k \circ T^p b' \circ \phi_A \simeq k \circ T^p b' \circ \phi_A: X \rightarrow T^1 Z$ be such that

$$\begin{cases} \pi \circ j \circ N = r(b' \circ \pi \circ G_A \circ (a \times id_I)) \oplus M \oplus b' \circ \pi \circ G_B \circ (a \times id_I) \\ \pi_i \circ j \circ N = \text{constant homotopy at } b' \circ \pi_i \circ \phi_A (i \neq s) \end{cases}$$

Then, since $p > 1$, N is indeed a homotopy $X \rightarrow T^1 Z$. If we replace H by $N \oplus H$ an easy computation now shows that (5) is satisfied. We may thus add a correcting homotopy to H for each $s = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

PROOF OF LEMMA 3. The assertion clearly holds if X is contractible. If not then, since $\text{cat } X = p - 1$ is finite, it follows [4] that X is dominated by a space of the form $\sum \Omega X \cup C(\Omega X * \Omega X) \dots \cup C(\text{join of } p - 1 \text{ copies of } \Omega X)$. Hence we can assume without loss of generality that $X = X_{p-1}$, where X_0 is the base-point and X_r is obtained by attaching a reduced cone $C \sum Y_r$ by means of a map $\sum Y_r \rightarrow X_{r-1}$ ($1 \leq r \leq p - 1$). Let $h: T^p Z \rightarrow T^{p-r+1} Z$ be the inclusion and suppose (inductively) that a homotopy

$$H_{r-1}: h \circ T^p a' \circ \phi_B \circ b|_{X_{r-1}} \simeq h \circ T^p b' \circ \phi_A \circ a|_{X_{r-1}}: X_{r-1} \times I \rightarrow T^{p-r+1} Z$$

exists. The obstruction to extending H_{r-1} over X_r is a class $\sigma \in [\sum Y_r,$

$T^{p-r+1}Z]$ and, since the outside of the diagram and the remaining rectangles are homotopy commutative, H_{r-1} can be corrected so that σ vanishes after injection into $[\sum Y_r, TZ]$. But if F_q is the fibre of $j: T^q Z \rightarrow TZ$ then Porter [7] has shown that F_q is contractible in F_{q-1} . It follows that σ vanishes after injection into $[\sum Y_r, T^{p-r}Z]$ and thus $H_r: X_r \times I \rightarrow T^{p-r}Z$ may be defined ($1 \leq r \leq p-1$). This completes the proof of Lemma 3 and of (2). It is clear that the homotopy commutativity of the square referred to in Lemma 3 is a kind of primitivity condition. We offer the following tentative formulation. Suppose that $\text{cat } Y < n-1$. A map $\phi: Y \rightarrow T^r Y$ is a *structure map* if $r > 0$ and $j \circ \phi \simeq \Delta$. Let $\phi_A: A \rightarrow T^r A$, $\phi_B: B \rightarrow T^s B$ be structure maps. The cotriad (a, b) is *primitive* if $k \circ T^r b' \circ \phi_A \circ a \simeq k' \circ T^s a' \circ \phi_B \circ b: X \rightarrow T^1 Z$, where $k: T^r Z \rightarrow T^1 Z$, $k': T^s Z \rightarrow T^1 Z$ denote inclusions (cf. [9; 3.7].) A proof analysis yields without difficulty the following corollary.

COROLLARY. *If (a, b) is primitive (relative to ϕ_A, ϕ_B) and if $\max(r, s) > 1$ then $\text{cat } Z < n-1$.*

REFERENCES

- [1] M. G. BARRATT, Track Groups I, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 5 (1955), 71-106.
- [2] I. BERSTEIN AND P. J. HILTON, Category and generalized Hopf invariants, Illinois J. Math. 4 (1960), 437-541.
- [3] R. BROWN, Elements of Homotopy Theory, McGraw-Hill, 1968.
- [4] T. GANEA, Lusternik-Schnirelmann category and strong category, Illinois J. Math. 11 (1967), 417-427.
- [5] K. A. HARDIE, Homotopy of natural transformations, Canadian J. of Math. 22 (1970), 332-341.
- [6] K. A. HARDIE, On $\text{cat } {}^i X$, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 3 (1971), 91-92.
- [7] G. J. PORTER, The homotopy groups of wedges of suspensions, Amer. J. Math. 88 (1966), 655-663.
- [8] J. W. RUTTER, Secondary operations and the square construction, Quart. J. Math. Oxford (2) 19 (1968), 337-350.
- [9] K. TSUCHIDA, Cotriad and triad, Tôhoku Math. J., 1 (1966), 1-12.
- [10] G. W. WHITEHEAD, The homology suspension, Colloque de Topologie Algébrique Louvain, 1956, 89-95.

THE TOPOLOGY RESEARCH GROUP,
UNIVERSITY OF CAPE TOWN
SOUTH AFRICA