

# MULTIPLICITY OF HELICES OF A SPECIAL FLOW

TAKASHI SHIMANO

(Received October 28, 1977, revised September 12, 1978)

0. The purpose of this note is to show that the multiplicity of helices of a special flow is equal to that of helices of the basic automorphism.

1. Throughout this note  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  denotes a complete and separable probability space. An automorphism  $T$  of  $\Omega$  is a one-to-one transformation of  $\Omega$  onto itself which is bimeasurable and measure-preserving. A flow  $\{T_t, -\infty < t < +\infty\}$  on  $\Omega$  is a one-parameter group of automorphisms of  $\Omega$ ;  $T_t T_s = T_{t+s}$ ,  $-\infty < t, s < +\infty$ .

As a special type of flows, which we deal with later, we define the following: Let  $\theta$  be an integrable function on  $\Omega$ , bounded below by some positive constant. Define a new probability space  $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{P})$  by

$$\tilde{\Omega} = \{\tilde{\omega} = (\omega, u); \omega \in \Omega, 0 \leq u < \theta(\omega)\},$$

$$d\tilde{P}(\tilde{\omega}) = \frac{1}{E(\theta)} du dP(\omega),$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}} = \text{the completion of } \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{B}^1|_{\tilde{\Omega}}$$

where  $\mathcal{B}^1$  is the  $\sigma$ -field of Lebesgue measurable sets of  $\mathcal{R}^1$  and  $du$  is the Lebesgue measure. It is also a complete and separable probability space. For an automorphism  $T$  of  $\Omega$ , a flow  $\{S_t, -\infty < t < +\infty\}$  on  $\tilde{\Omega}$  is defined by

$$S_t(\omega, u) = \begin{cases} (\omega, u + t) & \text{for } 0 \leq t < \theta(\omega) - u \\ (T\omega, 0) & \text{for } t = \theta(\omega) - u \end{cases}$$

and for other value of  $t$ , the automorphism  $S_t$  is defined by the group property. The flow  $\{S_t\}$  is called a *special flow* with the ceiling function  $\theta$ , the basic space  $\Omega$  and the basic automorphism  $T$ .

In this note, we deal with a pair  $(\{T_t\}, \mathcal{F}_0)$  of a flow  $\{T_t\}$  on  $\Omega$  and a complete sub- $\sigma$ -field  $\mathcal{F}_0$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  which satisfies

$$(a) \quad \mathcal{F}_0 \subset T_t \mathcal{F}_0 \text{ for all } t > 0,$$

$$(b) \quad \bigvee_{-\infty < t < +\infty} T_t \mathcal{F}_0 = \mathcal{F}.$$

The pair is called a *system* on  $\Omega$ . If  $\mathcal{F}_0$  is a proper sub- $\sigma$ -field, the system is said to be non-trivial. It is well-known that there is always

a proper sub- $\sigma$ -field  $\mathcal{F}_0$  with (a) and (b) for a flow with a positive entropy (cf. [1], [2]).

Also for an automorphism  $T$  of  $\Omega$ , a system  $(T, \mathcal{F}_0)$  is similarly defined.

Let  $(T, \mathcal{F}_0)$  be a non-trivial system and  $\{S_i\}$  a special flow with the basic automorphism  $T$  and the ceiling function  $\theta$  which is measurable with respect to  $\mathcal{F}_0$ . Let  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_0$  denote the completion of  $\mathcal{F}_0 \times \mathcal{B}^1|_{\tilde{\Omega}}$ . Then  $(\{S_i\}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_0)$  is obviously a non-trivial system. We denote it by  $(\{S_i\}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_0, T, \mathcal{F}_0, \theta)$  and call it a *special system* and  $(T, \mathcal{F}_0)$  the *basic system*.

2. Let  $(\{T_i\}, \mathcal{F}_0)$  be a non-trivial system on  $\Omega$ . Let us denote by  $\mathcal{H} = L_0^2(\Omega)$  a Hilbert space of all squarely integrable real random variables with zero-expectations. For each  $t$ ,  $-\infty < t < +\infty$ , let  $\mathcal{H}_t$  be the subspace of  $\mathcal{H}$  consisting of all elements measurable with respect to  $T_t\mathcal{F}_0$ . We assume that the unitary operators of  $\mathcal{H}$  defined by  $x \mapsto x \circ T_t^{-1}$  for  $x \in \mathcal{H}$  are strongly continuous.

DEFINITION 2.1 ([3]). A process  $X = (x_t)$ ,  $-\infty < t < +\infty$ , is called a *helix with orthogonal increments*, or simply an *HOI*, if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (a)  $x_0 = 0$  and trajectories are right-continuous,
- (b)  $x_t - x_s \in \mathcal{H}_t$  for any  $s, t$ ,  $-\infty < s < t < +\infty$ ,
- (c)  $x_t - x_s \in \mathcal{H}_s^\perp$  for any  $s, t$ ,  $-\infty < s < t < +\infty$  where  $\perp$  indicates the orthogonal complement in  $\mathcal{H}$ ,
- (d)  $(x_t - x_s) \circ T_u^{-1} = x_{t+u} - x_{s+u}$  for any  $s, t, u$ ,  $-\infty < s, t, u < +\infty$ .

Note that any HOI  $X = (x_t)$  has the property of a martingale, namely,  $(x_{t+s} - x_s, T_{t+s}\mathcal{F}_0)$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , is a squarely integrable martingale for fixed  $s$ ,  $-\infty < s < +\infty$ . Thus by Doob-Meyer decomposition theorem for martingales, there is a unique adapted process  $\langle X \rangle = (\langle X \rangle_t)$ ,  $-\infty < t < +\infty$ , so that  $(\langle X \rangle_t)$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , is previsible with respect to  $(T_t\mathcal{F}_0)$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , and  $(x_t^2 - \langle X \rangle_t, T_t\mathcal{F}_0)$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , is a martingale. We call  $\langle X \rangle$  an *increasing helix* of  $X$ . It has the following properties:

- (a)  $\langle X \rangle_0 = 0$  and trajectories are right-continuous and increasing,
- (b)  $\langle X \rangle_t - \langle X \rangle_s$  is measurable with respect to  $T_t\mathcal{F}_0$  for any  $s, t$ ,  $-\infty < s < t < +\infty$ , and integrable,
- (c)  $(\langle X \rangle_t - \langle X \rangle_s) \circ T_u^{-1} = \langle X \rangle_{t+u} - \langle X \rangle_{s+u}$  for any  $s, t, u$ ,  $-\infty < s, t, u < +\infty$ .

For HOI's  $X$  and  $X'$ , we put

$$\langle X, X' \rangle_t = \frac{1}{2}(\langle X + X' \rangle_t - \langle X \rangle_t - \langle X' \rangle_t).$$

If  $X = X'$ , we have clearly  $\langle X, X \rangle = \langle X \rangle$ .

**DEFINITION 2.2.** Two HOI's  $X$  and  $X'$  are said to be strictly orthogonal if  $\langle X, X' \rangle = (\langle X, X' \rangle_t)$  vanishes.

Also for a non-trivial system  $(T, \mathcal{F}_0)$  of discrete time, the HOI and others are similarly defined. They are considerably simplified as follows. Any HOI  $X = (x_i)$  can be written as

$$x_i = \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} x \circ T^{-k} \quad (i > 0)$$

for some  $x \in \mathcal{H}_1 \cap \mathcal{H}_0^\perp$  and the increasing helix of  $X$  is

$$\langle X \rangle_i = \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} E[x^2 | \mathcal{F}_0] \circ T^{-k} \quad (i > 0).$$

Thus two HOI's  $X$  and  $X'$  for  $(T, \mathcal{F}_0)$  are strictly orthogonal if  $\langle X, X' \rangle_1 = (\langle X + X' \rangle_1 - \langle X \rangle_1 - \langle X' \rangle_1)/2$  vanishes, where  $\langle X \rangle_1 = E[x^2 | \mathcal{F}_0]$ .

For a special flow, the following result was obtained by J. de Sam Lazaro. Any HOI  $\tilde{X} = (\tilde{x}_t)$  for a special system  $(\{S_t\}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_0, T, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_0, \theta)$  can be written in the form:

$$\tilde{x}_t(\omega, u) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x(T^{-k}\omega) 1_{\{R_k \leq t\}}(\omega, u) \quad (t > 0)$$

for some  $x \in \mathcal{H}_1 \cap \mathcal{H}_0^\perp$  in the basis, where

$$R_k(\omega, u) = \begin{cases} u & (k = 0) \\ \sum_{j=1}^k \theta(T^{-j}\omega) + u & (k > 0) \end{cases}.$$

We note that any HOI  $\tilde{X}$  corresponds uniquely to an HOI  $X$  for the basic system, associated to  $x$ . When another HOI  $\tilde{X}'$  is given similarly with  $x'$  in the place of  $x$ , then  $\tilde{X}$  and  $\tilde{X}'$  are strictly orthogonal if and only if  $E[xx' | \mathcal{F}_0] = 0$ . Further, the increasing helix  $\langle \tilde{X} \rangle$  of  $\tilde{X}$  is given by

$$\langle \tilde{X} \rangle_t(\omega, u) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} E[x^2 | \mathcal{F}_0](T^{-k}\omega) 1_{\{R_k \leq t\}}(\omega, u) \quad (t > 0).$$

**3.** We now define the multiplicity of helices for a system and show that the multiplicity of a special system coincides with that of the basic system.

Let  $(\{T_t\}, \mathcal{F}_0)$  be a non-trivial system and  $\mathcal{G}_0$  a sub- $\sigma$ -field of  $\mathcal{F}_0$  consisting of all  $A \in \mathcal{F}_0$  such that the process  $(1_A \circ T_t^{-1})$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , is previsible with respect to  $(T_t, \mathcal{F}_0)$ ,  $t \geq 0$ .

**DEFINITION 3.1.** For HOI's  $X$  and  $X'$  for  $(\{T_t\}, \mathcal{F}_0)$ , let  $\mu_{\langle X, X' \rangle}$  be a

measure on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{G}_0)$  such that

$$\mu_{\langle X, X' \rangle}(A) = E \left[ \int_0^1 1_A \circ T_t^{-1} d\langle X, X' \rangle_t \right] \quad \text{for } A \in \mathcal{G}_0.$$

Clearly,  $\mu_{\langle X, X' \rangle}$  is a finite measure. If  $X$  and  $X'$  are strictly orthogonal,  $\mu_{\langle X, X' \rangle}$  is a null measure, that is,  $\mu_{\langle X, X' \rangle}(A) = 0$  for any  $A \in \mathcal{G}_0$ .

LEMMA 3.1. *For any positive number  $\alpha$  and  $A \in \mathcal{G}_0$ , we have*

$$\mu_{\langle X \rangle}(A) = \frac{1}{\alpha} E \left[ \int_0^\alpha 1_A \circ T_t^{-1} d\langle X \rangle_t \right].$$

PROOF. If we put

$$f(\alpha) = E \left[ \int_0^\alpha 1_A \circ T_t^{-1} d\langle X \rangle_t \right],$$

then  $f(\alpha)$  is an increasing function and for  $\alpha, \beta > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} f(\alpha + \beta) &= E \left[ \int_0^{\alpha+\beta} 1_A \circ T_t^{-1} d\langle X \rangle_t \right] \\ &= E \left[ \int_0^\alpha 1_A \circ T_t^{-1} d\langle X \rangle_t \right] + E \left[ \int_\alpha^{\alpha+\beta} 1_A \circ T_t^{-1} d\langle X \rangle_t \right] \\ &= f(\alpha) + f(\beta) \end{aligned}$$

by the stationarity of the increments of  $\langle X \rangle$ . Thus we obtain

$$f(\alpha) = \alpha f(1).$$

For an HOI, we can define a concept similar to the stochastic integral by the martingale.

DEFINITION 3.1. For any HOI  $X = (x_t)$  for  $(\{T_t\}, \mathcal{F}_0)$  and a squarely integrable random variable  $\nu$  on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{G}_0, \mu_{\langle X \rangle})$ , we set a new HOI  $Y = (y_t)$  by

$$y_t = \int_0^t \nu \circ T_s^{-1} dx_s \quad (t > 0),$$

where this integral means the stochastic integral by the martingale. Denote  $Y$  by  $\nu * X$  and call it a stochastic integral by an HOI  $X$ .

By the definition, for any HOI  $X'$ ,

$$\langle \nu * X, X' \rangle_t = \int_0^t \nu \circ T_s^{-1} d\langle X, X' \rangle_s.$$

Thus we see easily that

$$d\mu_{\nu * X, X'} = \nu d\mu_{\langle X, X' \rangle}$$

and

$$d\mu_{\langle \nu * X \rangle} = \nu^2 d\mu_{\langle X \rangle}$$

on the sub- $\sigma$ -field  $\mathcal{G}_0$ .

Conversely, applying a theorem of projection for martingales, we have the following.

**LEMMA 3.2.** *Let  $X$  be an HOI. For any HOI  $Y$ , there exists a squarely integrable random variable  $\nu$  on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{G}_0, \mu_{\langle X \rangle})$  such that*

$$\langle Y, X \rangle = \langle \nu * X, X \rangle ,$$

and so we have

$$d\mu_{\langle Y, X \rangle} = \nu d\mu_{\langle X \rangle} .$$

Thus for HOI's  $X$  and  $Y$ , the measure  $\mu_{\langle Y, X \rangle}$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu_{\langle X \rangle}$  and  $\nu$  is the Radon-Nikodym derivative.

Now we can state a representation theorem for HOI's of a system.

**THEOREM 3.1.** *For any non-trivial system  $(\{T_i\}, \mathcal{F}_0)$ , there exists a finite or countable sequence of strictly orthogonal HOI's  $\mathcal{X} = (X^{(n)})$  such that for any HOI  $X$ , there exist stochastic integrals  $\nu^{(n)} * X^{(n)}$  with*

$$X = \sum_n \nu^{(n)} * X^{(n)}$$

where

$$\mu_{\langle X \rangle}(\Omega) = \sum_n \int_{\Omega} \nu^{(n)2} d\mu_{\langle X^{(n)} \rangle} < +\infty$$

and  $\mu_{\langle X^{(n)} \rangle} \succ \mu_{\langle X^{(n+1)} \rangle}$  for all  $n$ , where  $\succ$  denotes the relation of absolute continuity of measures. If another sequence  $\mathcal{Y} = (Y^{(n)})$  is also one stated above, then  $\mu_{\langle X^{(n)} \rangle} \sim \mu_{\langle Y^{(n)} \rangle}$  for all  $n$ , where  $\sim$  denotes the relation of equivalence of measures.

**DEFINITION 3.3.** The length of such a sequence as in Theorem 3.1 is called the multiplicity of the system  $(\{T_i\}, \mathcal{F}_0)$  and is denoted by  $M(\{T_i\}, \mathcal{F}_0)$ .

For an HOI  $X$  for a system  $(T, \mathcal{F}_0)$ , we can also define a helix-transform  $\nu * X$  of  $X$ , which corresponds to a martingale-transform, by a random variable  $\nu \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_0, \mu_{\langle X \rangle})$  and so a projection of HOI. A theorem of the same type as Theorem 3.1 for  $(T, \mathcal{F}_0)$  was given in [4]. Theorem 3.1 can be proved by the same method as in [4].

Now we are in the position to state the main theorem in this note.

**THEOREM 3.2.** *The multiplicity of a special system is equal to that of the basic system.*

PROOF. Let  $(\{S_t\}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_0, T, \mathcal{F}_0, \theta)$  be a special system. We consider the sets in  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_0$  of the following type:

$$\tilde{A} = A \times \mathcal{R}^1|_{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}} \quad \text{for some } A \in \mathcal{F}_0.$$

Since the process  $(1_{\tilde{A}} \circ S_t^{-1}), t \geq 0$ , has left-continuous paths, we have  $\tilde{A} \in \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_0$ . Let  $X$  be an HOI for the special system

$$\tilde{X}_t(\omega, u) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x(T^{-k}\omega)1_{\{R_k \leq t\}}(\omega, u) \quad (t > 0).$$

Then, for any  $\tilde{A}$  of the above type,

$$\mu_{\langle \tilde{X} \rangle}(\tilde{A}) = \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{\Omega} dP(\omega) \int_0^{\theta(\omega)} \left[ \int_0^{\alpha} 1_{\tilde{A}} \circ S_t^{-1}(\omega, u) d\langle \tilde{X} \rangle_t \right] du$$

by Lemma 3.1. Let  $\alpha$  be sufficiently small. If  $0 \leq t \leq \alpha$ , then

$$\langle \tilde{X} \rangle_t = E[x^2 | \mathcal{F}_0] 1_{\{R_0 \leq t\}}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\alpha} 1_{\tilde{A}} \circ S_t^{-1}(\omega, u) d\langle \tilde{X} \rangle_t(\omega, u) &= 1_{\tilde{A}} \circ S_{R_0}^{-1}(\omega, u) (E[x^2 | \mathcal{F}_0] 1_{\{R_0 \leq \alpha\}})(\omega, u) \\ &= 1_{\tilde{A}} \circ S_u^{-1}(\omega, u) (E[x^2 | \mathcal{F}_0] 1_{\Omega \times [0, \alpha]})(\omega, u) \\ &= 1_{\tilde{A}}(\omega, 0) (E[x^2 | \mathcal{F}_0](\omega) 1_{\Omega \times [0, \alpha]})(\omega, u) \\ &= E[x^2 | \mathcal{F}_0](\omega) 1_{A \times [0, \alpha]}(\omega, u). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\mu_{\langle \tilde{X} \rangle}(\tilde{A}) = \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_A dP \int_0^{\alpha} E[x^2 | \mathcal{F}_0] du = \int_A x^2 dP.$$

Thus, if we denote by  $X$  the corresponding HOI for the basic system  $(T, \mathcal{F}_0)$  associated to  $x$ , we have

$$\mu_{\langle \tilde{X} \rangle}(\tilde{A}) = \mu_{\langle X \rangle}(A).$$

Consequently, if  $\tilde{X}$  and  $\tilde{X}'$  are HOI's for the special system such that  $\mu_{\langle \tilde{X} \rangle} > \mu_{\langle \tilde{X}' \rangle}$ , then we have  $\mu_{\langle X \rangle} > \mu_{\langle X' \rangle}$ , where  $X$  and  $X'$  are the corresponding HOI's for the basic system.

Let  $\tilde{\mathcal{X}} = (\tilde{X}^{(n)})$  be a sequence of HOI's for a special system  $(\{S_t\}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_0, T, \mathcal{F}_0, \theta)$  in the Theorem 3.1 and  $\mathcal{X} = (X^{(n)})$  the corresponding HOI's for the basic system  $(T, \mathcal{F}_0)$ . We have seen that  $\mu_{\langle \tilde{X}^{(n)} \rangle} > \mu_{\langle \tilde{X}^{(n+1)} \rangle}$  for all  $n$ . By the result of Sam Lazaro stated in Section 2,  $\mathcal{X}$  is maximal and so any HOI for the basic system is represented by  $\mathcal{X}$ . Thus we have

$$M(\{S_t\}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_0, T, \mathcal{F}_0, \theta) = M(T, \mathcal{F}_0).$$

4. We now apply the preceding result to a class of special flows. Let  $(T, \mathcal{A})$  be a  $B$ -system, *i.e.*, (a)  $\bigvee_i T^i \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{F}$  and (b)  $\{T^i \mathcal{A}; -\infty < i < +\infty\}$  is an independent sequence. Putting  $\mathcal{A}_0 = \bigvee_{i < 0} T^i \mathcal{A}$ , we obtain a  $K$ -system  $(T, \mathcal{A}_0)$ , *i.e.*,  $\bigcap_i T^i \mathcal{A}_0 = \text{trivial}$ .

Let  $(\{S_i\}, \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0, T, \mathcal{A}_0, \theta)$  be a special system constructed by the basic system  $(T, \mathcal{A}_0)$  whose ceiling function  $\theta$  is measurable with respect to  $\mathcal{A}$ . If  $\theta$  is not lattice-distributed, then the special system is a  $K$ -system, *i.e.*,  $\bigcap_i S_i \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0 = \text{trivial}$  ([5]).

In [4] we proved that the multiplicity of the system  $(T, \mathcal{A}_0)$  is equal to the dimension of the subspace of  $\mathcal{H}$  consisting of all elements measurable with respect to  $\mathcal{A}$ . Thus the multiplicity of the special  $K$ -system  $(\{S_i\}, \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0, T, \mathcal{A}_0, \theta)$  is equal to the dimension of the subspace of  $\mathcal{H}$  mentioned above.

The author wishes to thank the referees for their help in revising the manuscript.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] V. A. ROHLIN AND JA. G. SINAI, Construction and properties of invariant measurable partitions, Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR, 141 (1962), 1038-1041.
- [2] M. S. PINSKER, Dynamical systems with completely positive or zero entropy, Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR, 133 (1960), 1025-1026.
- [3] J. DE SAM LAZARO AND P. A. MEYER, Méthodes de martingales et théorie de flots, Z. Wahrsch. verw. Geb., 18 (1971), 116-140.
- [4] T. SHIMANO, An invariant of systems in the ergodic theory, Tohoku Math. Journ., 30 (1978), 337-350.
- [5] H. TOTOKI, On a class of special flows, Z. Wahrsch. verw. Geb., 15 (1970), 157-167.
- [6] J. DE SAM LAZARO, Sur les helices du flot special sous une fonction, Z. Wahrsch. verw. Geb., 30 (1974), 279-302.

MATHEMATICAL LABORATORY  
NATIONAL DEFENSE MEDICAL COLLEGE  
TOKOROZAWA, SAITAMA, 359 JAPAN

