

SATURATION OF MULTIPLIER OPERATORS IN BANACH SPACES

TOSHIHIKO NISHISHIRAO

(Received February 21, 1981)

1. Introduction. Let X be a (real or complex) Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|_X$ and let $B[X]$ denote the Banach algebra of all bounded linear operators of X into itself with the usual operator norm $\|\cdot\|_{B[X]}$. A family $\{L_{n,\lambda}; n \in N, \lambda \in A\}$ of operators in $B[X]$ is called a linear approximation process on X if for every $f \in X$,

$$(1) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|L_{n,\lambda}(f) - f\|_X = 0 \quad \text{uniformly in } \lambda \in A,$$

where N denotes the set of all natural numbers and A is an arbitrary index set ([17]).

In [17] we studied the direct estimates of the rate of convergence of $L_{n,\lambda}(f)$ to f (in the sense of (1)) for linear approximation processes $\{L_{n,\lambda}; n \in N, \lambda \in A\}$ of convolution operators or multiplier operators in $B[X]$. Here we determine the optimal rate of this convergence.

For this purpose, we introduce the following definition.

DEFINITION 1. Let $\mathcal{L} = \{L_{n,\lambda}; n \in N, \lambda \in A\}$ be a linear approximation process on X . Suppose that there exists a family $\{\theta_{n,\lambda}; n \in N, \lambda \in A\}$ of positive real numbers with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \theta_{n,\lambda} = 0$ uniformly in $\lambda \in A$, such that every $f \in X$ for which $\|L_{n,\lambda}(f) - f\|_X = o(\theta_{n,\lambda})$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) uniformly in $\lambda \in A$ is an invariant element of \mathcal{L} , i.e., $L_{n,\lambda}(f) = f$ for all $n \in N, \lambda \in A$, and the set

$$S[X; \mathcal{L}] = \{f \in X; \|L_{n,\lambda}(f) - f\|_X = O(\theta_{n,\lambda}) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty) \\ \text{uniformly in } \lambda \in A\}$$

contains at least one noninvariant element of \mathcal{L} . Then \mathcal{L} is said to be saturated with order $(\theta_{n,\lambda})$, and $S[X; \mathcal{L}]$ is called its Favard class or saturation class.

REMARK 1. If, for a sequence $\{L_n\}_{n \in N}$ of operators in $B[X]$ converging strongly to the identity operator, $L_{n,\lambda} = L_n$ for all $n \in N, \lambda \in A$, then this concept coincides with the usual one ([4; p. 434], cf. [2; p. 25], [8], [15]), which was first introduced by Favard for summation methods of Fourier series in a lecture in 1947 (cf. [7]). Nowadays there is a vast

literature concerning saturation for various summation processes. Saturation theory for summation processes of abstract Fourier series in a Banach space is treated by Butzer, Nessel and Trebels [5] and by Gopalan [8], and saturation behavior of approximation processes of Voronovskaja-type operators in arbitrary Banach spaces is treated by the author [16] (for detailed bibliographical comments one may refer to [2], [3], [4], [6]).

The problem of saturation is to establish the existence of the saturation order $(\theta_{n,\lambda})$, and to characterize the saturation class $S[X; \mathcal{L}]$ of a given linear approximation process \mathcal{L} .

In this paper we study the problems of saturation for linear approximation processes $\mathcal{L} = \{L_{n,\lambda}; n \in N, \lambda \in A\}$ of multiplier operators in $B[X]$. These are discussed in the setting of asymptotic relations of Voronovskaja's type which characterize the saturation class $S[X; \mathcal{L}]$ in terms of relative completions of Banach subspaces of X (cf. [2; Sec. 2.2], [4; Sec. 10.4]).

Consequently, we have the saturation theorem for linear approximation processes on X of convolution operators considered in [17]. We also give applications to the approximation problem of various summation processes of multiplier operators, which are induced by a general method of summability in connection with families of infinite matrices of scalars. This method includes the usual matrix summability, the F -summability (the method of almost convergence) and the F_A -summability of Lorentz [11] (cf. [10], [14]), the A_B -summability of Mazhar and Siddiqi [13] and the \mathcal{A} -summability of Bell [1] (cf. [12]).

2. Regularization processes. Here we introduce the notion of a regularization process of operators, which may be an essential tool for characterizing the saturation class of linear approximation processes in question satisfying Voronovskaja-type conditions.

Let Z denote the set of all integers, and let \mathcal{S} denote the set of all sequences $\alpha = \{\alpha_j\}_{j \in Z}$ of scalars. With the terminology as in [17] (cf. [5]), let $\{P_j\}_{j \in Z}$ be a total, fundamental sequence of mutually orthogonal projections in $B[X]$. Then with each $f \in X$ one may associate its (formal) Fourier series expansion (with respect to $\{P_j\}$) $f \sim \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} P_j(f)$. An operator $A \in B[X]$ is called a multiplier operator if there exists a sequence $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}$ such that for every $f \in X$, $A(f) \sim \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha_j P_j(f)$, and the following notation is used:

$$A \sim \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha_j P_j.$$

Let $\{T_t; t \in R\}$, R being the real line, be a family of operators in

$B[X]$ such that $\sup \{\|T_t\|_{B[X]}; t \in \mathbf{R}\}$ is finite and

$$(2) \quad T_t \sim \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(\tau_j t) P_j \quad (t \in \mathbf{R}),$$

where $\tau = \{\tau_j\}$ is a sequence in \mathcal{S} . We observe that in [17; Proposition 2] it is shown that the family $\{T_t\}$ is a strongly continuous group of operators in $B[X]$ with the infinitesimal generator G with domain $D(G)$ satisfying $G(f) \sim \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \tau_j P_j(f)$ for every $f \in D(G)$ and that if, with the Cesàro mean operator $\sigma_n = \sum_{j=-n}^n \{1 - |j|/(n+1)\} P_j$ (of order 1), the sequence $\{\sigma_n\}$ is uniformly bounded, i.e.,

$$(3) \quad \sup_n \|\sigma_n\|_{B[X]} < \infty,$$

then $D(G) = \{f \in X; g \sim \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \tau_j P_j(f) \text{ for some } g \in X\}$. Moreover, with each function $k \in L_{2\pi}^1$ (the Banach space of all 2π -periodic, Lebesgue integrable functions k with the norm $\|k\|_1 = (1/2\pi) \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |k(t)| dt$) and the identity operator $I \in B[X]$, the convolution operator $k * I \in B[X]$ defined by

$$(4) \quad k * I(f) = k * f = (1/2\pi) \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} k(t) T_t(f) dt \quad (f \in X),$$

the integral being a Bochner integral, is a multiplier operator such that

$$(5) \quad k * I \sim \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \kappa_j P_j, \quad \kappa_j = (1/2\pi) \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} k(t) \exp(\tau_j t) dt.$$

DEFINITION 2. Let M be a linear subspace of X and let \mathcal{A} be a family of operators in $B[X]$. A sequence $\{U_n\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ of operators in $B[X]$ which commute with all operators in \mathcal{A} is called a regularization process on M for \mathcal{A} if $U_n(X) \subset M$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|U_n(f) - f\|_X = 0$ for every $f \in X$.

REMARK 2. Let M be a linear subspace of X which contains $P_j(X)$ for each $j \in \mathbf{Z}$, and let \mathcal{A} be a family of multiplier operators or convolution operators of the form (4) under the assumptions that $\{T_t\}$ is strongly continuous and $P_j T_t = T_t P_j$ for all $j \in \mathbf{Z}$, $t \in \mathbf{R}$ instead of (2). Let $\{U_n\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ be a uniformly bounded sequence of multiplier operators having the expansions $U_n \sim \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \xi_n(j) P_j$ with $\xi_n(j) = 0$ whenever $|j| > n$, and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \xi_n(j) = 1$ for each $j \in \mathbf{Z}$. Then the sequence $\{U_n\}$ is a regularization process on M for \mathcal{A} . Thus if (3) is satisfied, then $\{\sigma_n\}$ is a regularization process on M for \mathcal{A} .

3. A saturation theorem. From now on let $\mathcal{L} = \{L_{n,\lambda}; n \in \mathbf{N}, \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ be a linear approximation process on X of multiplier operators having the expansions

$$L_{n,\lambda} \sim \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \omega_{n,\lambda}(j) P_j \quad (n \in N, \lambda \in A).$$

We set

$$Z' = \{j \in Z; \omega_{n,\lambda}(j) = 1 \text{ for all } n \in N, \lambda \in A\}$$

and always suppose $Z' \neq Z$. Then the following criterion will be useful in deciding whether the saturation behavior occurs for \mathcal{L} .

(S-1) There exists a family $\{\theta_{n,\lambda}; n \in N, \lambda \in A\}$ of positive real numbers with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \theta_{n,\lambda} = 0$ uniformly in $\lambda \in A$ and a sequence $\phi = \{\phi_j\}_{j \in Z} \in \mathcal{S}$ with $\phi_j \neq 0$ whenever $j \notin Z'$ such that for each $j \in Z$,

$$(6) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \theta_{n,\lambda}^{-1}(\omega_{n,\lambda}(j) - 1) = \phi_j \quad \text{uniformly in } \lambda \in A.$$

PROPOSITION 1. Suppose \mathcal{L} satisfies (S-1).

(i) If f and g are elements in X such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\theta_{n,\lambda}^{-1}(L_{n,\lambda}(f) - f) - g\|_X = 0$ uniformly in $\lambda \in A$, then the Fourier series expansion of g is given by $g \sim \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_j P_j(f)$. In case $g = 0$ we have $L_{n,\lambda}(f) = f$ for all $n \in N, \lambda \in A$, i.e., f is an invariant element of \mathcal{L} .

(ii) There exists a noninvariant element $f_0 \in X$ of \mathcal{L} such that $\|L_{n,\lambda}(f_0) - f_0\|_X = O(\theta_{n,\lambda})$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) uniformly in $\lambda \in A$.

PROOF. The proof is essentially similar to that of Theorem 6.1 of [5], and so we omit the details.

In view of Part (i) of Proposition 1, we introduce the following subspaces of X associated with sequences in \mathcal{S} :

Given a sequence $\psi = \{\psi_j\}_{j \in Z} \in \mathcal{S}$, let $W[X; \psi]$ denote the linear subspace of X consisting of all $f \in X$ for which there exists an element $f_\psi \in X$ such that $f_\psi \sim \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_j P_j(f)$. Note that f_ψ is uniquely determined by f , since $\{P_j\}$ is total, and so the map $V_\psi: f \rightarrow f_\psi$ defines a closed linear operator of $W[X; \psi]$ into X . Furthermore, since $P_j(X) \subset W[X; \psi]$ for each $j \in Z$ and $\{P_j\}$ is fundamental, $W[X; \psi]$ is dense in X . Obviously, (6) implies that for each $f \in P_j(X)$, $j \in Z$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\theta_{n,\lambda}^{-1}(L_{n,\lambda}(f) - f) - V_\psi(f)\|_X = 0 \quad \text{uniformly in } \lambda \in A.$$

This relation suggests the introduction of the following definition.

DEFINITION 3. A family $\{A_{n,\lambda}; n \in N, \lambda \in A\}$ of operators in $B[X]$ is said to satisfy the Voronovskaja condition of type $(\alpha_{n,\lambda}; L)$ if there exists a family $\{\alpha_{n,\lambda}; n \in N, \lambda \in A\}$ of positive real numbers with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n,\lambda} = 0$ uniformly in $\lambda \in A$ and a linear operator L with domain $D(L)$ and range in X such that for every $f \in D(L)$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\alpha_{n,\lambda}^{-1}(A_{n,\lambda}(f) - f) - L(f)\|_X = 0 \quad \text{uniformly in } \lambda \in A.$$

REMARK 3. If, for a sequence $\{A_n\}_{n \in N}$ of operators in $B[X]$, $A_{n,\lambda} = A_n$ for all $n \in N, \lambda \in A$, then this concept reduces to that due to the author [16].

If M is a Banach subspace of X with norm $\|\cdot\|_M$, then its relative completion, denoted by \tilde{M} , is the set of all $f \in X$ for which there exists a sequence $\{f_n\}_{n \in N}$ of elements in M such that $\sup_n \|f_n\|_M < \infty$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n - f\|_X = 0$. For the basic properties of such spaces, see [2; p. 14 ff.] and [4; Propositions 10.4.2 and 10.4.3]. Note that if V is a closed linear operator with domain $D(V)$ and range in X , then $D(V)$ becomes a Banach subspace of X under the norm $\|\cdot\|_{D(V)}$ defined by $\|f\|_{D(V)} = \|f\|_X + \|V(f)\|_X$ for all $f \in D(V)$.

PROPOSITION 2. Let $\mathcal{A} = \{A_{n,\lambda}; n \in N, \lambda \in A\}$ be a family of operators in $B[X]$ satisfying the Voronovskaja condition of type $(\alpha_{n,\lambda}; L)$, and let $f \in X$. Then we have:

(i) If L is closed and $f \in \widetilde{D(L)}$, then $\|A_{n,\lambda}(f) - f\|_X = O(\alpha_{n,\lambda})$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) uniformly in $\lambda \in A$.

(ii) If there exists a regularization process $\{U_n\}_{n \in N}$ on $D(L)$ for \mathcal{A} , then the fact that $\|A_{n,\lambda}(f) - f\|_X = O(\alpha_{n,\lambda})$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) uniformly in $\lambda \in A$ implies $\sup_n \|U_n(f)\|_{D(L)} < \infty$, thus $f \in \widetilde{D(L)}$ if L is closed.

PROOF. (i) Since \mathcal{A} satisfies the Voronovskaja condition of type $(\alpha_{n,\lambda}; L)$, for each $g \in D(L)$ there exists a natural number n_0 such that $\sup \{\|\alpha_{n,\lambda}^{-1}(A_{n,\lambda}(g) - g)\|_X; n \geq n_0, \lambda \in A\}$ is finite. Thus by the uniform boundedness principle, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$(7) \quad \alpha_{n,\lambda}^{-1} \|A_{n,\lambda}(g) - g\|_X \leq C \|g\|_{D(L)}$$

for all $n \geq n_0, \lambda \in A$ and $g \in D(L)$. We now assume that f belongs to $\widetilde{D(L)}$. Then there exists a sequence $\{f_m\}_{m \in N}$ of elements in $D(L)$ and a constant $C' > 0$ such that $\|f_m\|_{D(L)} \leq C'$ for all $m \in N$ and $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|f_m - f\|_X = 0$. Replacing g by f_m in (7), and letting m tend to infinity, we have $\|A_{n,\lambda}(f) - f\|_X \leq CC'\alpha_{n,\lambda}$ for all $n \geq n_0, \lambda \in A$ and so the assertion (i) is proved.

(ii) Suppose that there exist a constant $K > 0$ and a natural number m_0 such that $\|A_{m,\lambda}(f) - f\|_X \leq K\alpha_{m,\lambda}$ for all $m \geq m_0$ and all $\lambda \in A$. Thus, since $U_n A_{m,\lambda} = A_{m,\lambda} U_n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\alpha_{m,\lambda}^{-1}\{A_{m,\lambda}(U_n(f)) - U_n(f)\}\|_X &\leq \|U_n\|_{B[X]} \|\alpha_{m,\lambda}^{-1}(A_{m,\lambda}(f) - f)\|_X \\ &\leq K \|U_n\|_{B[X]}, \end{aligned}$$

which yields $\|L(U_n(f))\|_X \leq K \|U_n\|_{B[X]}$, since $U_n(f)$ belongs to $D(L)$ and \mathcal{L} satisfies the Voronovskaja condition of type $(\alpha_{n,\lambda}; L)$. Consequently, for all $n \in N$ we have

$$\|U_n(f)\|_{D(L)} = \|U_n(f)\|_X + \|L(U_n(f))\|_X \leq (\|f\|_X + K) \|U_n\|_{B[X]},$$

and so $\sup_n \|U_n(f)\|_{D(L)}$ is finite since the sequence $\{U_n\}$ is uniformly bounded. Also, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|U_n(f) - f\|_X = 0$. Hence f belongs to $\widetilde{D(L)}$ if L is closed. The proof is complete.

We are now in a position to establish the saturation theorem for \mathcal{L} .

THEOREM 1. *Suppose that \mathcal{L} satisfies the Voronovskaja condition of type $(\theta_{n,\lambda}; V_\phi)$ for some $\phi = \{\phi_j\}_{j \in Z} \in \mathcal{S}$ with $\phi_j \neq 0$ whenever $j \notin Z'$. Then \mathcal{L} is saturated with order $(\theta_{n,\lambda})$, and $W[X; \phi]^\sim \subset S[X; \mathcal{L}]$. If, furthermore, there exists a regularization process $\{U_n\}_{n \in N}$ on $W[X; \phi]$ for \mathcal{L} , then $S[X; \mathcal{L}] = W[X; \phi]^\sim = \{f \in X; \|U_n(f)\|_{W[X; \phi]} = O(1)\}$.*

PROOF. This follows from Propositions 1 and 2.

The following condition ensures that \mathcal{L} will satisfy the Voronovskaja condition:

(S-2) There exists a family $\{\theta_{n,\lambda}; n \in N, \lambda \in A\}$ of positive real numbers with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \theta_{n,\lambda} = 0$ uniformly in $\lambda \in A$, a sequence $\phi = \{\phi_j\}_{j \in Z} \in \mathcal{S}$ and a linear approximation process $\{Q_{n,\lambda}; n \in N, \lambda \in A\}$ on X of multiplier operators having the expansions

$$(8) \quad Q_{n,\lambda} \sim \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \gamma_{n,\lambda}(j) P_j \quad (n \in N, \lambda \in A)$$

such that

$$(9) \quad \theta_{n,\lambda}^{-1}(\omega_{n,\lambda}(j) - 1) = \phi_j \gamma_{n,\lambda}(j)$$

for all $n \in N, j \in Z, \lambda \in A$.

PROPOSITION 3. *Condition (S-2) implies that \mathcal{L} satisfies the Voronovskaja condition of type $(\theta_{n,\lambda}; V_\phi)$.*

PROOF. Let $f \in W[X; \phi]$. Then by (8) and (9) we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_j(\theta_{n,\lambda}^{-1}(L_{n,\lambda}(f) - f)) &= \theta_{n,\lambda}^{-1}(\omega_{n,\lambda}(j) - 1) P_j(f) = \phi_j \gamma_{n,\lambda}(j) P_j(f) \\ &= \phi_j P_j(Q_{n,\lambda}(f)) = P_j(V_\phi(Q_{n,\lambda}(f))), \end{aligned}$$

and consequently,

$$(10) \quad \theta_{n,\lambda}^{-1}(L_{n,\lambda}(f) - f) = Q_{n,\lambda}(V_\phi(f))$$

for all $n \in N, \lambda \in A$, since $\{P_j\}$ is total and V_ϕ commutes with all multiplier operators on $W[X; \phi]$. Thus, since $\{Q_{n,\lambda}\}$ is a linear approximation

process on X , (10) implies $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\theta_{n,\lambda}^{-1}(L_{n,\lambda}(f) - f) - V_\phi(f)\|_X = 0$ uniformly in $\lambda \in A$, and the proposition is proved.

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 1 and Proposition 3, we have the following.

COROLLARY 1. *Suppose that \mathcal{L} satisfies (S-2) with $\phi_j \neq 0$ whenever $j \notin Z'$. Then \mathcal{L} is saturated with order $(\theta_{n,\lambda})$, and $W[X; \phi]^\sim \subset S[X; \mathcal{L}]$. If, in addition, there exists a regularization process $\{U_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ on $W[X; \phi]$ for \mathcal{L} , then $S[X; \mathcal{L}] = W[X; \phi]^\sim = \{f \in X; \|U_n(f)\|_{W[X; \phi]} = O(1)\}$.*

We need the following proposition in order to derive another characterization of the saturation class.

PROPOSITION 4. *Let $\mathcal{A} = \{A_{n,\lambda}; n \in \mathbb{N}, \lambda \in A\}$ be a family of operators in $B[X]$ which commute with P_j for each $j \in Z$, and let $\{U_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a uniformly bounded sequence of multiplier operators having the expansions $U_n \sim \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \xi_n(j)P_j$ with $\xi_n(j) = 0$ whenever $|j| > n$. Suppose that \mathcal{A} satisfies the Voronovskaja condition of type $(\alpha_{n,\lambda}; L)$ and that $P_j(X) \subset D(L)$ for each $j \in Z$. Then the implications (a) \Rightarrow (b) \Rightarrow (c) hold for an element $f \in X$:*

$$(a) \quad \|A_{n,\lambda}(f) - f\|_X = O(\alpha_{n,\lambda}) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

uniformly in $\lambda \in A$;

$$(b) \quad \left\| \sum_{j=-n}^n \xi_n(j) L(P_j(f)) \right\|_X = O(1);$$

$$(c) \quad \|U_n(f)\|_{D(L)} = O(1).$$

If, in addition, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \xi_n(j) = 1$ for each $j \in Z$, and L is closed, then (c) implies (a).

PROOF. Since P_j and $A_{m,\lambda}$ commute, we have

$$\begin{aligned} U_n(A_{m,\lambda}(f) - f) &= \sum_{j=-n}^n \xi_n(j) P_j(A_{m,\lambda}(f) - f) \\ &= \sum_{j=-n}^n \xi_n(j) \{A_{m,\lambda}(P_j(f)) - P_j(f)\}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\left\| \sum_{j=-n}^n \xi_n(j) \{A_{m,\lambda}(P_j(f)) - P_j(f)\} \right\|_X \leq \|U_n\|_{B[X]} \|A_{m,\lambda}(f) - f\|_X.$$

From this inequality we conclude that (a) implies (b), since $\{U_n\}$ is uniformly bounded and \mathcal{A} satisfies the Voronovskaja condition of type $(\alpha_{n,\lambda}; L)$ with $P_j(f) \in D(L)$, $j \in Z$.

Next we have $L(U_n(f)) = \sum_{j=-n}^n \xi_n(j) L(P_j(f))$, and so

$$\|U_n(f)\|_{D(L)} \leq \|U_n\|_{B[X]} \|f\|_X + \left\| \sum_{j=-n}^n \xi_n(j) L(P_j(f)) \right\|_X,$$

which proves that (b) implies (c), since $\{U_n\}$ is uniformly bounded.

Suppose now that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \xi_n(j) = 1$ for each $j \in Z$. Then $\{U_n\}$ becomes a regularization process on $D(L)$ for \mathcal{A} . Thus, if L is closed, then by Proposition 2 (c) implies (a), and the proof is completed.

Proposition 4 yields the following additional characterization of the saturation class of \mathcal{L} .

THEOREM 2. *Suppose that \mathcal{L} satisfies the Voronovskaja condition of type $(\theta_{n,\lambda}; V_\phi)$ for some $\phi = \{\phi_j\}_{j \in Z} \in \mathcal{S}$ with $\phi_j \neq 0$ whenever $j \notin Z'$, and let $\{U_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be as in Proposition 4 with the additional assumption that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \xi_n(j) = 1$ for each $j \in Z$. Then \mathcal{L} is saturated with order $(\theta_{n,\lambda})$, and $S[X; \mathcal{L}] = W[X; \phi]^\sim = V[X; \{U_n\}, \phi]$, where*

$$V[X; \{U_n\}, \phi] = \left\{ f \in X; \left\| \sum_{j=-n}^n \xi_n(j) \phi_j P_j(f) \right\|_X = O(1) \right\}.$$

PROOF. This follows from Theorem 1 and Proposition 4.

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 2 and Proposition 3, we have the following.

COROLLARY 2. *Suppose that \mathcal{L} satisfies (S-2) with $\phi_j \neq 0$ whenever $j \notin Z'$, and let $\{U_n\}$ be as in Theorem 2. Then the conclusion of Theorem 2 holds.*

In particular, the uniform boundedness of the Cesàro mean operators σ_n gives the following.

THEOREM 3. *Suppose that \mathcal{L} satisfies the Voronovskaja condition of type $(\theta_{n,\lambda}; V_\phi)$ for some $\phi = \{\phi_j\}_{j \in Z} \in \mathcal{S}$ with $\phi_j \neq 0$ whenever $j \notin Z'$, and (3) is satisfied. Then \mathcal{L} is saturated with order $(\theta_{n,\lambda})$, and*

$$S[X; \mathcal{L}] = W[X; \phi]^\sim = V[X; \{\sigma_n\}, \phi].$$

COROLLARY 3. *Suppose that \mathcal{L} satisfies (S-2) with $\phi_j \neq 0$ whenever $j \notin Z'$, and (3) is satisfied. Then the conclusion of Theorem 3 holds.*

4. Applications. Let $\{T_t; t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ and G be as in Section 2. For $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, the operator G^r is defined inductively by the relations $G^0 = I$, $G^1 = G$,

$$D(G^r) = \{f; f \in D(G^{r-1}) \text{ and } G^{r-1}(f) \in D(G)\}$$

and

$$G^r(f) = G(G^{r-1}(f)), \quad f \in D(G^r), \quad r = 1, 2, \dots$$

In view of (4) and (5) all the results obtained in Section 3 are applicable to linear approximation processes $\mathcal{K} = \{k_{n,\lambda} * I; n \in N, \lambda \in A\}$ on X , with $k_{n,\lambda} \in L_{2\pi}^1$, having the expansions

$$k_{n,\lambda} * I \sim \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \kappa_{n,\lambda}(j) P_j, \quad \kappa_{n,\lambda}(j) = (1/2\pi) \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} k_{n,\lambda}(t) \exp(\tau_j t) dt.$$

In particular, we have the following.

THEOREM 4. *Let $\{k_{n,\lambda}; n \in N, \lambda \in A\}$ be a family of functions in $L_{2\pi}^1$ such that*

$$(11) \quad \sup \{\|k_{n,\lambda}\|_1; n \in N, \lambda \in A\} < \infty.$$

Suppose that for the family \mathcal{K} the condition (S-2) holds with $\phi = \{\tau_j^r\}_{j \in Z}$ for some $r \in N$ and $\tau_j \neq 0$ whenever $j \notin Z'$, and that (3) is satisfied. Then \mathcal{K} is saturated with order $(\theta_{n,\lambda})$, and $S[X; \mathcal{K}] = \widetilde{D(G^r)} = V[X; \{\sigma_n\}, \{\tau_j^r\}]$.

PROOF. Since $\{P_j\}$ is fundamental, the conditions (11) and (S-2) imply that \mathcal{K} is a linear approximation process on X . By Proposition 2 of [17] and by induction on r we have $G^r(f) \sim \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \tau_j^r P_j(f)$ for every $f \in D(G^r)$, and

$$D(G^r) = \left\{ f \in X; g \sim \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \tau_j^r P_j(f) \text{ for some } g \in X \right\},$$

and so $W[X; \phi] = D(G^r)$ and $V_\phi = G^r$, where $\phi = \{\tau_j^r\}$. Thus the desired result follows from Corollary 3.

COROLLARY 4. *Let $\{k_{n,\lambda}\}$ be as in Theorem 4 with the additional assumptions that each $k_{n,\lambda}$ is non-negative and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{\hat{k}_{n,\lambda}(0) - \text{Re}(\hat{k}_{n,\lambda}(1))\} = 0$ uniformly in $\lambda \in A$, where*

$$\hat{k}_{n,\lambda}(j) = (1/2\pi) \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} k_{n,\lambda}(t) e^{-ij t} dt \quad (n \in N, j \in Z, \lambda \in A)$$

and $\text{Re}(\hat{k}_{n,\lambda}(1))$ denotes the real part of $\hat{k}_{n,\lambda}(1)$. Suppose that for the family \mathcal{K} the condition (S-2) holds with $\theta_{n,\lambda} = \hat{k}_{n,\lambda}(0) - \text{Re}(\hat{k}_{n,\lambda}(1))$ and $\phi = \{\tau_j^r\}_{j \in Z}$ for some $r \in N$ and $\tau_j \neq 0$ whenever $j \notin Z'$, and that (3) is satisfied. Then \mathcal{K} is saturated with order $(\hat{k}_{n,\lambda}(0) - \text{Re}(\hat{k}_{n,\lambda}(1)))$, and $S[X; \mathcal{K}] = \widetilde{D(G^r)} = V[X; \{\sigma_n\}, \{\tau_j^r\}]$.

In view of the particular cases $\tau_j = -ij$ and $r = 2$, we make the following remark:

REMARK 4. Let $\{k_{n,\lambda}; n \in N, \lambda \in A\}$ be a family of non-negative, even

functions in $L^1_{2\pi}$ satisfying $\hat{k}_{n,\lambda}(0) = 1$ for all $n \in N, \lambda \in A$, and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 - \hat{k}_{n,\lambda}(1)) = 0$ uniformly in $\lambda \in A$, and let $\tau_j = -ij, j \in Z$. Then for the family \mathcal{K} , one has several conditions equivalent to (S-1) with $\theta_{n,\lambda} = 1 - \hat{k}_{n,\lambda}(1)$ and $\phi_j = -j^2$. That is, the following are equivalent:

(i) For each $j \in Z$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\hat{k}_{n,\lambda}(j) - 1)/(1 - \hat{k}_{n,\lambda}(1)) = -j^2 \quad \text{uniformly in } \lambda \in A;$$

(ii) (i) holds for $j = 2$;

(iii) $\int_0^\pi k_{n,\lambda}(t) \sin^4(t/2) dt = o(1 - \hat{k}_{n,\lambda}(1))$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) uniformly in $\lambda \in A$;

(iv) For any fixed δ satisfying $0 < \delta < \pi$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\delta \leq |t| \leq \pi} k_{n,\lambda}(t) dt = o(1 - k_{n,\lambda}(1)) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

uniformly in $\lambda \in A$.

The proof of these equivalences is essentially similar to that of Theorem 3.8 in [6], and so we omit the details.

DEFINITION 4. Let $B = \{A^{(\lambda)}; \lambda \in A\}$ be a family of infinite matrices $A^{(\lambda)} = (a_{nm}^{(\lambda)})_{n,m \geq 0}$ of scalars. A sequence $\{f_n\}$ of elements in X is said to be B -summable to f if

$$(12) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_{nm}^{(\lambda)} f_m = f \quad \text{uniformly in } \lambda \in A,$$

where it is assumed that the series in (12) converge for each n and λ .

We shall now mention some examples.

(1°) If, for some matrix A , $A^{(\lambda)} = A$ for all $\lambda \in A$, then B -summability is just matrix summability by A . In particular, if for every $\lambda \in A$, $A^{(\lambda)}$ is the unit matrix, then $\{f_n\}$ is B -summable to f if and only if it converges to f .

(2°) Let $\{\{q_n^{(\lambda)}\}_{n \geq 0}; \lambda \in A\}$ be a family of sequences of scalars such that $Q_n^{(\lambda)} = \sum_{j=0}^n q_j^{(\lambda)} \neq 0$ for all n, λ . Let

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} a_{nm}^{(\lambda)} &= q_{n-m}^{(\lambda)} / Q_n^{(\lambda)} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq m \leq n \\ &= 0 \quad \text{for } m > n. \end{aligned}$$

Then we call the B -summability $(N, q_m^{(\lambda)})$ -summability.

(3°) Let A be a subset of R . If each entry $a_{nm}^{(\lambda)}$ is a non-negative continuous function on A such that $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_{nj}^{(\lambda)} = 1$ for each n and λ , then we call the B -summability $(W, a_{nm}^{(\lambda)})$ -summability. The concrete examples of this type are the following:

$$(14) \quad A \subset [0, 1], \quad a_{nm}^{(\lambda)} = \binom{n}{m} \lambda^m (1 - \lambda)^{n-m} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq m \leq n \\ = 0 \quad \text{for } m > n.$$

$$(15) \quad A \subset [0, \infty), \quad a_{nm}^{(\lambda)} = \exp(-n\lambda)(n\lambda)^m/m!.$$

(4°) If A is the set of all non-negative integers and X is the Banach space of all real or complex numbers, then B -summability reduces to the method of summability considered by Bell [1] (cf. [12]), which not only includes the F -summability (method of almost convergence) and the F_A -summability of Lorentz [11] but also includes the A_B -summability of Mazhar and Siddiqi [13].

DEFINITION 5. Let B be as in Definition 4. B is said to be regular if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (A-1) For each $m = 0, 1, \dots$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{nm}^{(\lambda)} = 0$ uniformly in $\lambda \in A$.
- (A-2) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_{nm}^{(\lambda)} = 1$ uniformly in $\lambda \in A$.
- (A-3) For each $n \in N$, $\lambda \in A$, $a_n^{(\lambda)} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} |a_{nm}^{(\lambda)}| < \infty$, and there exists a natural number n_0 such that $\sup \{a_n^{(\lambda)}; n \geq n_0, \lambda \in A\} < \infty$.

Note that if B is positive, i.e., $a_{nm}^{(\lambda)} \geq 0$ for all n, m, λ and $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_{nj}^{(\lambda)} = 1$ for every n, λ , then conditions (A-2) and (A-3) already hold. For instance, the matrices B defined by (13), (14) and (15), respectively, have these properties.

The basic relationship between the regularity of B and B -summability is the following result which is a generalization of Theorem 2 of [1] to an arbitrary Banach space setting.

PROPOSITION 5. A family of infinite matrices of scalars, $B = \{(a_{nm}^{(\lambda)}; \lambda \in A)\}$, is regular if and only if it satisfies the following condition:

- (A-4) Each convergent sequence in X is B -summable to its limit.

PROOF. It is straightforward that if B is regular, then it satisfies (A-4). Suppose now that (A-4) holds. Let $c(X)$ denote the Banach space of all convergent sequences $\{f_m\}$ of elements in X with norm $\|\{f_m\}\|_{c(X)} = \sup_m \|f_m\|_X$. Let f be a fixed non-zero element in X . For each $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, define the sequence $\{f_m^{(j)}\}$ by $f_m^{(j)} = f$ for $m = j$, and $f_m^{(j)} = 0$ for $m \neq j$. Then $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} f_m^{(j)} = 0$, and so (A-4) implies $0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_{nm}^{(\lambda)} f_m^{(j)} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{nj}^{(\lambda)} f$ uniformly in $\lambda \in A$. Consequently, for each $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{nj}^{(\lambda)} = 0$ uniformly in $\lambda \in A$. Next we define the sequence $\{f_m\}$ by $f_m = f$ for all m , and so $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} f_m = f$. Thus (A-4) implies $f = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_{nj}^{(\lambda)} f_j = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_{nj}^{(\lambda)} f$, uniformly in $\lambda \in A$, and so $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_{nj}^{(\lambda)} = 1$ uniformly in $\lambda \in A$. Thus conditions (A-1) and (A-2)

are proved.

Finally, we show (A-3). We first prove that for each $n \in N, \lambda \in \Lambda$, $a_n^{(\lambda)} < \infty$. Indeed, if $a_n^{(\lambda)} = \infty$ for some n and λ , then there exists a natural number p and a sequence $\{\varepsilon_j\}$ of positive real numbers such that $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_j = 0$ and $\sum_{j=p}^{\infty} \varepsilon_j |a_{nj}^{(\lambda)}| = \infty$. Now, define the sequence $\{g_j\}$ by $g_j = 0$ for $j = 0, 1, \dots, p-1$, and $g_j = \varepsilon_j \operatorname{sgn} a_{nj}^{(\lambda)} f$ for $j = p, p+1, \dots$, where $\operatorname{sgn} z = |z|/z$ for every scalar $z \neq 0$, and $\operatorname{sgn} 0 = 0$. Then we have $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} g_j = 0$ and $\|\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_{nj}^{(\lambda)} g_j\|_X = \|f\|_X \sum_{j=p}^{\infty} |\varepsilon_j a_{nj}^{(\lambda)}| = \infty$. This contradicts the convergence of $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_{nj}^{(\lambda)} g_j$. Now, for each $n \in N, \lambda \in \Lambda$ we define the transformation $\Psi_{n,\lambda}: c(X) \rightarrow X$ by $\Psi_{n,\lambda}(\{f_j\}) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_{nj}^{(\lambda)} f_j$. $\Psi_{n,\lambda}$ is clearly linear. Since

$$\|\Psi_{n,\lambda}(\{f_j\})\|_X \leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |a_{nj}^{(\lambda)}| \|f_j\|_X \leq a_n^{(\lambda)} \|\{f_j\}\|_{c(X)}$$

for all $\{f_j\} \in c(X)$, $\Psi_{n,\lambda}$ is bounded and $\|\Psi_{n,\lambda}\| \leq a_n^{(\lambda)}$. Actually this inequality is an equality. Indeed, let h be an element in X with $\|h\|_X = 1$. For each $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, we define the sequence $\{h_j^{(m)}\}$ by $h_j^{(m)} = \operatorname{sgn} a_{nj}^{(\lambda)} h$ for $j = 0, 1, \dots, m$, and $h_j^{(m)} = 0$ for $j = m+1, m+2, \dots$. Then we have $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} h_j^{(m)} = 0$ and $\|\{h_j^{(m)}\}\|_{c(X)} = 1$. Thus

$$\|\Psi_{n,\lambda}\| \geq \left\| \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_{nj}^{(\lambda)} h_j^{(m)} \right\|_X = \sum_{j=0}^m |a_{nj}^{(\lambda)}|,$$

which yields the desired result. Since B satisfies (A-4), for every $\{f_j\} \in c(X)$ we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Psi_{n,\lambda}(\{f_j\}) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} f_j$, and by the uniform boundedness principle there exists a natural number n_0 such that

$$\sup \{a_n^{(\lambda)}; n \geq n_0, \lambda \in \Lambda\} = \sup \{\|\Psi_{n,\lambda}\|; n \geq n_0, \lambda \in \Lambda\} < \infty,$$

and (A-3) is proved. Therefore (A-4) implies (A-1), (A-2) and (A-3), and the proof is complete.

If, for an infinite real or complex matrix $A = (a_{nm})$, $(a_{nm}^{(\lambda)}) = (a_{nm})$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$, then from Proposition 5 we obtain a generalization of the classical theorem of Silverman-Toeplitz on the regularity of the method of summability by A to an arbitrary Banach space setting. Let $0 < a < b \leq 1$. Then $B = \{(a_{nm}^{(\lambda)}); a \leq \lambda \leq b\}$, $a_{nm}^{(\lambda)}$ being defined by (14), is regular, and so by Proposition 5 it satisfies (A-4). Let $0 \leq c < d < \infty$. Then $B = \{(a_{nm}^{(\lambda)}); c \leq \lambda \leq d\}$, $a_{nm}^{(\lambda)}$ being defined by (15), is regular and thus it satisfies (A-4).

Let $\{L_n\}$ be a uniformly bounded sequence of multiplier operators in $B[X]$ having the expansions

$$(16) \quad L_n \sim \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \zeta_n(j) P_j,$$

and let $B = \{(a_{nm}^{(\lambda)}; \lambda \in A)\}$ be a family of infinite matrices of scalars such that for each n, λ , $\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} |a_{nm}^{(\lambda)}| < \infty$. For each n, λ we define the operator $A_{n,\lambda}$ of X into itself by

$$(17) \quad A_{n,\lambda} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_{nm}^{(\lambda)} L_m,$$

which is a multiplier operator such that

$$(18) \quad A_{n,\lambda} \sim \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \zeta_{n,\lambda}(j) P_j, \quad \zeta_{n,\lambda}(j) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_{nm}^{(\lambda)} \zeta_m(j).$$

Thus all the results obtained in Section 3 are applicable to linear approximation processes $\mathcal{A} = \{A_{n,\lambda}; n \in N, \lambda \in A\}$ of multiplier operators defined by (17), having the expansions (18) with (16). In particular, we have the following.

THEOREM 5. *Let $\{U_n\}$ be as in Theorem 2. Let $\{L_n\}$ be a uniformly bounded sequence of multiplier operators in $B[X]$ having the expansions (16), and let $B = \{(a_{nm}^{(\lambda)}; \lambda \in A)\}$ be a family of infinite matrices of non-negative real numbers such that for each n, λ , $\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_{nm}^{(\lambda)} = 1$. Assume that $P \neq Z$, where $P = \{j \in Z; \zeta_n(j) = 1 \text{ for all } n \in N\}$. Suppose that there exists a sequence $\{\theta_n\}$ of positive real numbers which is B -summable to zero and a sequence $\phi = \{\phi_j\}_{j \in Z} \in \mathcal{S}$ with $\phi_j \neq 0$ whenever $j \notin P$ such that $\zeta_n(j) - 1 = \theta_n \phi_j$ for all $n \in N, j \in Z$. Then the family \mathcal{A} is saturated with order $(\theta_{n,\lambda})$, where $\theta_{n,\lambda} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_{nm}^{(\lambda)} \theta_m$, and*

$$S[X; \mathcal{A}] = W[X; \phi] \sim V[X; \{U_n\}, \phi].$$

PROOF. For all $n \in N, \lambda \in A$ and all $j \in Z$, we have

$$(19) \quad \zeta_{n,\lambda}(j) - 1 = \theta_{n,\lambda} \phi_j,$$

from which it follows that \mathcal{A} is a linear approximation process on X , since $\{P_j\}$ is fundamental and

$$\sup \{\|A_{n,\lambda}\|_{B[X]}; n \in N, \lambda \in A\} \leq \sup_n \|L_n\|_{B[X]} < \infty.$$

Also, (19) implies that \mathcal{A} satisfies (S-2) with $Q_{n,\lambda} = I$. Thus the desired result follows from Corollary 2.

COROLLARY 5. *Let $\{L_n\}$ be a uniformly bounded sequence of multiplier operators in $B[X]$ having the expansions (16) with the additional assumption that $\zeta_n(j) = 0$ whenever $|j| > n$, and let B be as in Theorem 5 with the additional assumption that it satisfies (A-1). Suppose that there exists a sequence $\{\theta_n\}$ of positive real numbers converging to zero and a sequence $\phi = \{\phi_j\}_{j \in Z} \in \mathcal{S}$ with $\phi_j \neq 0$ whenever $j \notin P$, P being as in Theorem 5, such that $\zeta_n(j) - 1 = \theta_n \phi_j$ for all $n \in N$ and all $j \in Z$.*

Then \mathcal{A} is saturated with order $(\theta_{n,\lambda})$, where $\theta_{n,\lambda} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_{nm}^{(\lambda)} \theta_m$, and $S[X; \mathcal{A}] = W[X; \phi]^\sim = V[X; \{L_n\}, \phi]$.

PROOF. Since B is regular, by Proposition 5 for $X = R$, $\{\theta_n\}$ is B -summable to zero. Therefore the claim of the corollary follows from Theorem 5.

Let $\{b_n\}$ be a sequence of functions in $L_{2\pi}^1$ such that $\sup_n \|b_n\|_1 < \infty$. Then, for each n, λ we have

$$(20) \quad B_{n,\lambda} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_{nj}^{(\lambda)} (b_j * I) = \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_{nj}^{(\lambda)} b_j \right) * I,$$

which is a multiplier operator in $B[X]$, and so all the results obtained are applicable to linear approximation processes $\mathcal{B} = \{B_{n,\lambda}; n \in N, \lambda \in A\}$, where each operator $B_{n,\lambda}$ is defined by (20). In particular, in view of Theorems 4 and 5, we have the following.

THEOREM 6. Suppose that (3) is satisfied and $\tau_j \neq 0$ whenever $j \notin Q$, where

$$Q = \{j \in Z; \beta_n(j) = 1 \text{ for all } n \in N\}, \quad Q \neq Z$$

and

$$\beta_n(j) = (1/2\pi) \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} b_n(t) \exp(\tau_j t) dt \quad (n \in N, j \in Z).$$

Let B be as in Theorem 5. Suppose that there exists a sequence $\{\rho_n\}$ of positive real numbers which is B -summable to zero such that for some $r \in N$, $\beta_n(j) - 1 = \rho_n \tau_j^r$ for all $n \in N$ and all $j \in Z$. Then \mathcal{B} is saturated with order $(\rho_{n,\lambda})$, where $\rho_{n,\lambda} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_{nm}^{(\lambda)} \rho_m$, and

$$S[X; \mathcal{B}] = D(\widetilde{G^r}) = V[X; \{\sigma_n\}, \{\tau_j^r\}].$$

COROLLARY 6. Let $\{b_n\}$ be as above with the additional assumption that each b_n is non-negative. Suppose that (3) is satisfied and $\tau_j \neq 0$ whenever $j \notin Q$, Q being as in Theorem 6.

(i) Let B as in Theorem 5. If the hypothesis of Theorem 6 is satisfied with $\rho_n = \hat{b}_n(0) - \text{Re}(\hat{b}_n(1))$, then the conclusion of Theorem 6 holds.

(ii) Let B as in Corollary 5. If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho_n = 0$, where $\rho_n = \hat{b}_n(0) - \text{Re}(\hat{b}_n(1))$ and for some $r \in N$, $\beta_n(j) - 1 = \rho_n \tau_j^r$ for all $n \in N$ and all $j \in Z$, then the conclusion of Theorem 6 holds.

REMARK 5. For each $n \in N, \lambda \in A$ let $b_{n,\lambda} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_{nm}^{(\lambda)} b_m$. Then, applying Proposition 1 and Corollary 1 of [17], we have the following statements (i) and (ii), which include the corresponding results of Remark 2

of [17] for the almost convergence.

(i) If $\{b_{n,\lambda}; n \in N, \lambda \in A\}$ is an approximate identity ([17; Definition 2]), then $\mathcal{B} = \{b_{n,\lambda} * I; n \in N, \lambda \in A\}$ is a linear approximation process on X .

(ii) Suppose that B is positive and each b_n is non-negative. If $\{\hat{b}_n(0)\}$ and $\{\hat{b}_n(0) - \operatorname{Re}(\hat{b}_n(1))\}$ are B -summable to one and zero, respectively, then \mathcal{B} is a linear approximation process on X . Furthermore, applying Theorem 4 of [17] we have a quantitative version of (ii) which estimates the rate of convergence for the methods of B -summability.

These results are applicable to the methods of B -summability of the above-mentioned examples (1°), (2°) and (3°), respectively.

Now as examples of multiplier operators considered in Corollary 5, let us mention the following:

(5°) The typical mean operator R_n^κ of order $\kappa > 0$ is defined by

$$R_n^\kappa = \sum_{j=-n}^n \{1 - (|j|/(n+1))^\kappa\} P_j$$

(cf. [5]). Suppose that $\{R_n^\kappa\}$ is uniformly bounded and let $A_{n,\lambda}$ be defined by (17) with $L_m = R_m^\kappa$. Then we have:

(i) Let B as in Theorem 5. If $\{1/(n+1)^\kappa\}$ is B -summable to zero, then the family $\mathcal{A} = \{A_{n,\lambda}; n \in N, \lambda \in A\}$ is saturated with order $(\sum_{m=0}^\infty a_{nm}^{(\lambda)}/(m+1)^\kappa)$, and $S[X; \mathcal{A}] = W[X; \{-|j|^\kappa\}]^\sim = V[X; \{R_n^\kappa\}, \{-|j|^\kappa\}]$.

(ii) Let B as in Corollary 5. Then the conclusion of (i) holds.

(6°) Let $\delta = \{\delta_n\}$ be a sequence of positive real numbers and let $\kappa > 0$. We define the operator $S_n^{(\delta; \kappa)}$ by

$$S_n^{(\delta; \kappa)} = (1/(\delta_n + 1))(\delta_n S_n + R_n^\kappa),$$

where S_n denotes the n -th partial sum operator, i.e., $S_n = \sum_{j=-n}^n P_j$. It is easily seen that

$$S_n^{(\delta; \kappa)} = \sum_{j=-n}^n \{1 - |j|^\kappa / ((\delta_n + 1)(n+1)^\kappa)\} P_j,$$

which reduces to the arithmetic mean operator $(S_n + \sigma_n)/2$ of S_n and σ_n for $\delta = \{1\}$ and $\kappa = 1$. Statements analogous to parts (i) and (ii) of (5°) may be derived for the sequences $\{S_n^{(\delta; \kappa)}\}$.

REMARK 6. The Cesàro mean operator σ_n^κ of order $\kappa > -1$ is defined by

$$\sigma_n^\kappa = (1/A_n^{(\kappa)}) \sum_{j=-n}^n A_{n-|j|}^{(\kappa)} P_j, \quad A_n^{(\kappa)} = \binom{n+\kappa}{n}$$

(cf. [5]). Obviously, $\sigma_n^0 = S_n$ and $\sigma_n^1 = \sigma_n$. Note that $\{\sigma_n^\kappa\}$ converges strongly to I if and only if it is uniformly bounded.

In view of Proposition 5, we make the following remark on Example (2°).

REMARK 7. Let $\{q_n^{(\lambda)}\}_{n \geq 0}$; $\lambda \in A$ be a family of sequences of non-negative real numbers such that $q_0^{(\lambda)} > 0$ for all $\lambda \in A$, and let $B = \{(a_{nm}^{(\lambda)}); \lambda \in A\}$, where each entry $a_{nm}^{(\lambda)}$ is defined by (13). Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) B is regular;
- (ii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_n^{(\lambda)} / Q_n^{(\lambda)} = 0$ uniformly in $\lambda \in A$;
- (iii) B satisfies (A-4).

By this result we see that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n - f\|_X = 0$ implies

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \left(1/A_n^{(\kappa)} \right) \sum_{j=0}^n A_{n-j}^{(\kappa-1)} f_j - f \right\|_X = 0$$

uniformly in $\kappa \in (0, a]$, $0 < a < \infty$.

As another example of the application of Proposition 5, we consider a modification of the Cesàro mean operators for sequences in X . Let $\{f_n\}$ be a sequence of elements in X , and let

$$C_n^\kappa = (1/A_n^{(\kappa)}) \sum_{j=0}^n A_{n-j}^{(\kappa)} f_j, \quad \kappa > -1, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Then, by Proposition 5, we conclude that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|C_n^\kappa - f\|_X = 0$ implies $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|C_n^{\kappa+\rho} - f\|_X = 0$ uniformly in $\rho \in [a, b]$, $0 < a < b < \infty$. In particular, if $\sum_{n=0}^\infty f_n = f$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C_n^\rho = f$ uniformly in $\rho \in [a, b]$.

Next we shall consider the case where X is a homogeneous Banach subspace of $L_{2\pi}^1$. For the definition and examples of such spaces, see [17] (cf. [9; p. 14], [18; p. 206]). Defining the sequence $\{P_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ by $P_j(f)(t) = \hat{f}(j)e^{ijt}$, it is obvious that $\{P_j\}$ is a total, fundamental sequence of mutually orthogonal projections in $B[X]$, since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\sigma_n(g) - g\|_X = 0$ whenever g belongs to X by [9; Theorems 2.11 and 2.12]. Consequently, under this setting all the results obtained in this paper are applicable to homogeneous Banach spaces X .

Besides, in connection with the methods of B -summability in homogeneous Banach subspaces X of $L_{2\pi}^1$ we recast Part (ii) of Remark 5 by the test functions as follows:

Let B and $\{b_n\}$ be as in Part (ii) of Remark 5 and let $u_0(t) = 1$, $u_1(t) = \sin t$ and $u_2(t) = \cos t$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) $\{b_n * f\}$ is B -summable to f for every $f \in X$;
- (ii) $\{b_n * u_j\}$ is B -summable to u_j for $j = 0, 1, 2$;
- (iii) $\{\hat{b}_n(0)\}$ and $\{\hat{b}_n(0) - \operatorname{Re}(\hat{b}_n(1))\}$ are B -summable to one and zero,

respectively.

This immediately follows from [17; Theorem 5] and the equivalence of (i) and (ii) extends King and Swetits [10; Theorem 5] on the almost convergence for sequences of positive convolution integral operators on $C_{2\pi}$, the Banach space of all 2π -periodic, real-valued continuous functions on \mathbf{R} , to the more general methods of B -summability in homogeneous Banach subspaces X of $L^1_{2\pi}$.

Finally, we shall consider the case where X is a Hilbert space with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Let $\{e_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ be a closed orthonormal system in X , that is, a sequence of elements in X such that the linear subspace of X spanned by $\{e_n\}$ is dense in X and $\langle e_n, e_m \rangle = \delta_{n,m}$ for all $n, m \geq 0$, where $\delta_{n,m}$ is Kronecker's symbol. Defining the sequence $\{P_j\}_{j \in \mathbf{Z}}$ by $P_j(f) = \langle f, e_j \rangle e_j$ for $j \geq 0$ and $P_j(f) = 0$ for $j < 0$, it is seen that $\{P_j\}$ is a total, fundamental sequence of mutually orthogonal projections in $B[X]$ (cf. [5; Remark in Sec. 2], [17; Remark 8], [19; Sec. 4 of Chapter I]). Consequently, under this setting all the results obtained in this paper are applicable to the saturation problems in Hilbert spaces X .

We now consider the Hilbert space $L^2(E)$ of all measurable, square integrable functions on E , where E is a subset of \mathbf{R} . Recall that the inner product in this space is defined by

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_E f(t) \overline{g(t)} dt \quad (f, g \in L^2(E)).$$

We close with the following concrete examples of closed orthonormal systems $\{e_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ in $L^2(E)$.

(I) *Jacobi system.* Let $E = [-1, 1]$ and $\alpha > -1$, $\beta > -1$. Let

$$e_n(t) = e_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(t) = h_n^{(\alpha, \beta)} P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(t), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

where

$$h_n^{(\alpha, \beta)} = \left\{ \frac{(2n + \alpha + \beta + 1) \Gamma(n + 1) \Gamma(n + \alpha + \beta + 1)}{2^{\alpha + \beta + 1} \Gamma(n + \alpha + 1) \Gamma(n + \beta + 1)} \right\}^{1/2}$$

and $P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(t)$ is the Jacobi polynomial (cf. [20; Chapter IV]):

$$\begin{aligned} P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(t) &= \frac{(-1)^n}{2^n n!} (1 - t)^{-\alpha} (1 + t)^{-\beta} \frac{d^n}{dt^n} \{(1 - t)^{n+\alpha} (1 + t)^{n+\beta}\} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n + \alpha}{j} \binom{n + \beta}{n - j} \{(t - 1)/2\}^{n-j} \{(t + 1)/2\}^j. \end{aligned}$$

The following particular selections α and β carry special names.

$\alpha = 0$, $\beta = 0$: Legendre system.

$\alpha = -1/2$, $\beta = -1/2$: Chebyshev system of the first kind.

$\alpha = 1/2, \beta = 1/2$: Chebyshev system of the second kind.

$\alpha = \beta$: Ultraspherical (Gegenbauer) system.

(II) *Laguerre system*. Let $E = [0, \infty)$ and $\alpha > -1$. Let

$$e_n(t) = e_n^{(\alpha)}(t) = \{n!/\Gamma(\alpha + n + 1)\}^{1/2} \exp(-t/2) t^{\alpha/2} L_n^{(\alpha)}(t),$$

where $L_n^{(\alpha)}(t)$ is the Laguerre polynomial (cf. [20; Chapter V]):

$$\begin{aligned} L_n^{(\alpha)}(t) &= (1/n!) \exp(t) t^{-\alpha} \frac{d^n}{dt^n} \{\exp(-t) t^{n+\alpha}\} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n+\alpha}{n-j} (-t)^j / j!. \end{aligned}$$

(III) *Hermite system*. Let $E = \mathbf{R}$, and let

$$e_n(t) = (2^n n!)^{-1/2} \pi^{-1/4} \exp(-t^2/2) H_n(t),$$

where $H_n(t)$ is the Hermite polynomial (cf. [20; Chapter V]):

$$\begin{aligned} H_n(t) &= (-1)^n \exp(t^2) \frac{d^n}{dt^n} \exp(-t^2) \\ &= n! \sum_{j=0}^{[n/2]} \{(-1)^j / (j!(n-2j)!)\} (2t)^{n-2j}. \end{aligned}$$

REMARK 8. The ultraspherical, Laguerre and Hermite systems in $L^p(E)$ are similarly considered for various values of p , $1 \leq p < \infty$ and we omit the details (cf. [5], [8], [21]).

(IV) *Bessel system*. Let $E = (0, 1)$ and $\nu > -1$. Let

$$e_n(t) = e_n^{(\nu)}(t) = (2t)^{1/2} J_\nu(\mu_n t) / J_{\nu+1}(\mu_n),$$

where $J_\nu(t)$ is the Bessel function (of the first kind), i.e.,

$$J_\nu(t) = (t/2)^\nu \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \{(-1)^j / (j! \Gamma(\nu + j + 1))\} (t/2)^{2j}$$

and $\{\mu_n\}$ is the sequence of positive zeros of $J_\nu(t)$, arranged in ascending order of magnitude (cf. [20; Sec. 1.7.1], [21]). It should be noted that the Bessel series converge in $L^p(0, 1)$ whenever $\nu \geq -1/2$ and $1 < p < \infty$ ([21; Theorem 4.1]), which establishes the convergence of Dini series in the same spaces ([21; Theorem 7.1]).

(V) *Haar system*. Let $E = [0, 1]$ and let $\{e_n\}$ be the sequence of Haar functions on E defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} e_1(t) &= \chi_E(t), \\ e_n(t) &= 2^{m/2} \{\chi_{[0,1)}(2^{m+1}t - 2n + 2) - \chi_{[0,1)}(2^{m+1}t - 2n + 1)\}, \\ &\quad (n = 2^m + j, m = 0, 1, 2, \dots; j = 1, 2, \dots, 2^m), \end{aligned}$$

where $\chi_F(t)$ denotes the characteristic function of the interval F . It should be noted that the Haar series converge in $L^p(0, 1)$ whenever $1 \leq p < \infty$ (cf. [19; pp. 13-16]).

(VI) *Walsh system.* Let $E = [0, 1]$. The Rademacher functions are defined by

$$r_0(t) = \chi_{[0, 1/2)}(t) - \chi_{[1/2, 1)}(t), \quad r_0(t+1) = r_0(t), \quad r_n(t) = r_0(2^n t) \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Let $\{e_n\}$ be the sequence of Walsh functions on E defined as follows:

$$e_0(t) = 1, \quad e_n(t) = r_{n_1}(t)r_{n_2}(t) \cdots r_{n_m}(t), \\ n = 2^{n_1} + 2^{n_2} + \cdots + 2^{n_m}, \quad n_1 > n_2 > \cdots > n_m \geq 0.$$

It should be noted that the Walsh system is orthogonal, fundamental and total in $L^p(0, 1)$ whenever $1 \leq p < \infty$ (cf. [19; pp. 396-406]).

We make the following final remark.

REMARK 9. Let \mathbf{R}^d denote the d -dimensional Euclidean space with elements $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d)$ and inner product

$$x \cdot y = x_1 y_1 + x_2 y_2 + \cdots + x_d y_d.$$

Let T^d be the d -dimensional torus and Z^d the set of all lattice points in \mathbf{R}^d , i.e., the d -fold Cartesian product of Z . Let $L^p(T^d)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $C(T^d)$ be the Banach spaces of all p -th power Lebesgue integrable functions and continuous functions on T^d which are 2π -periodic in each coordinate variable with standard norms $\|\cdot\|_p$ and $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ defined by

$$\left\{ (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{T^d} |f(x)|^p dx \right\}^{1/p} \quad \text{and} \quad \max \{|f(x)|; x \in T^d\},$$

respectively. Now it is easy to state a strict d -dimensional analogue of homogeneous Banach subspaces of $L^1_{2\pi} (= L^1(T^1))$ and $L^p(T^d)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $C(T^d)$ are such spaces, respectively (cf. [18; p. 206]). Let X be a homogeneous Banach space of $L^1(T^d)$. Then the total, fundamental sequence $\{P_j\}_{j \in Z}$ of mutually orthogonal projections in $B[X]$ is naturally induced from the Fourier coefficients of $f \in X$ defined by

$$\hat{f}(m) = (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{T^d} f(x) \exp(-im \cdot x) dx, \quad m \in Z^d,$$

and we omit the details. Concerning the Fourier series expansions in association with spherical harmonics in the spaces $L^p(S^d)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $C(S^d)$, where S^d denotes the surface of the unit sphere in \mathbf{R}^d , one may consult [5; Sec. 8.4].

REFERENCES

- [1] H. BELL, Order summability and almost convergence, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 38 (1973), 548-552.
- [2] H. BERENS, Interpolationsmethoden zur Behandlung von Approximationsprozessen auf Banachräumen, *Lecture Notes in Math.* 64, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/Heidelberg/New York, 1968.
- [3] P. L. BUTZER AND H. BERENS, *Semi-Groups of Operators and Approximation*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/Heidelberg/New York, 1967.
- [4] P. L. BUTZER AND R. J. NESSEL, *Fourier Analysis and Approximation*, Vol. I, Birkhäuser, Basel, and Academic Press, New York, 1971.
- [5] P. L. BUTZER, R. J. NESSEL AND W. TREBELS, On summation processes of Fourier expansions in Banach spaces. I. Comparison theorems, *Tôhoku Math. J.* 24 (1972), 127-140; II. Saturation theorems, *ibid.*, 551-569.
- [6] R. A. DEVORE, The Approximation of Continuous Functions by Positive Linear Operators, *Lecture Notes in Math.* 293, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/Heidelberg/New York, 1971.
- [7] J. FAVARD, Sur l'approximation des fonctions d'une variable réelle. In: *Analyse Harmonique (Colloques Internat. Centre Nat. Rech. Sci. 15)*. Éditions Centre Nat. Rech. Sci. 1949; pp. 97-110.
- [8] R. GOPALAN, Approximation operators on Banach spaces of distributions, *Tôhoku Math. J.* 26 (1974), 285-303.
- [9] Y. KATZNELSON, *An Introduction to Harmonic Analysis*, John Wiley, New York, 1968.
- [10] J. P. KING AND J. J. SWETITS, Positive linear operators and summability, *Austral. J. Math.* 11 (1970), 281-290.
- [11] G. G. LORENTZ, A contribution to the theory of divergent sequences, *Acta Math.* 80 (1948), 167-190.
- [12] I. J. MADDOX, On strong almost convergence, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* 85 (1979), 345-350.
- [13] S. M. MAZHAR AND A. H. SIDDIQI, On F_A -summability and A_B -summability of a trigonometric sequence, *Indian J. Math.* 9 (1967), 461-466.
- [14] R. N. MOHAPATRA, Quantitative results on almost convergence of a sequence of positive linear operators, *J. Approximation Theory* 20 (1977), 239-250.
- [15] T. NISHISHIRAHO, Saturation of positive linear operators, *Tôhoku Math. J.* 28 (1976), 239-243.
- [16] T. NISHISHIRAHO, Saturation of bounded linear operators, *Tôhoku Math. J.* 30 (1978), 69-81.
- [17] T. NISHISHIRAHO, Quantitative theorems on linear approximation processes of convolution operators in Banach spaces, *Tôhoku Math. J.* 33 (1981), 109-126.
- [18] H. S. SHAPIRO, *Topics in Approximation Theory*, *Lecture Notes in Math.* 187, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/Heidelberg/New York, 1971.
- [19] I. SINGER, *Bases in Banach Spaces I*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/Heidelberg/New York, 1970.
- [20] G. SZEGÖ, *Orthogonal Polynomials*, *Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ.* Vol. 23, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1959.
- [21] G. M. WING, The mean convergence of orthogonal series, *Amer. J. Math.* 72 (1950), 792-807.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
 RYUKYU UNIVERSITY
 NAHA, OKINAWA 903
 JAPAN