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Introduction. Freitag and Kiehl [1] showed that Hilbert modular cusp singularities of dimensions greater than two are rigid. On the other hand, we saw in [7] that there are many other 3-dimensional cusp singularities. Ogata [2] recently showed that those 3 -dimensional cusp singularities are not rigid. The purpose of this paper is to obtain more precise information on deformations of 3-dimensional cusp singularities.

Let ( $V, p$ ) be a 3-dimensional cusp singularity which is not of the Hilbert modular type. In Section 1, we calculate certain cohomology groups, which are related to deformations of the singularity ( $V, p$ ). In Section 2, we first construct a family $(\mathscr{U}, \mathscr{X}) \rightarrow D$, over a polydisk $D$, of deformations of a resolution $(U, X)$ of the singularity $(V, p)$. Next, contracting $\mathscr{X}$ simultaneously, we obtain a family $\mathscr{V} \rightarrow D$ of deformations of the singularity $(V, p)$. Finally, we see that the family $\mathscr{V} \rightarrow D$ is a versal family. Hence the cusp singularity ( $V, p$ ) is neither taut nor smoothable.

1. Calculations of cohomology groups. We fix a 3-dimensional pair $(C, \Gamma)$ in $\mathscr{S}$ (see [7]), throughout this paper. Recall that $C$ is an open convex cone in $N_{R}$, that $\Gamma$ is a subgroup in $\operatorname{Aut}(N)$ preserving $C$ and that $S:=\left(C / \boldsymbol{R}_{>0}\right) / \Gamma$ is a compact topological surface, where $N=\boldsymbol{Z}^{3}$. Also recall that we obtain from ( $C, \Gamma$ ), a 3-dimensional cusp singularity ( $V, p$ ) with $V \backslash\{p\} \simeq\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{3}+\sqrt{-1} C\right) / N \cdot \Gamma$, where $N \cdot \Gamma$ is the semi-direct product of $N$ and $\Gamma$.

Assume first that $\chi(S)<0$ and that $S$ is orientable. Let $T=N \otimes C^{\times}$ and let $C T=N \otimes U(1)$, where $U(1)=\left\{z \in C^{\times}| | z \mid=1\right\}$. Then we have two $\Gamma$-equivariant exact sequences:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow N_{C} \rightarrow T \rightarrow 1 \\
& 0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow N_{R} \rightarrow C T \rightarrow 1
\end{aligned}
$$

where the third arrows are the maps induced by $\exp \left(2 \pi \sqrt{-1}\right.$ ? ): $\boldsymbol{C} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{C}^{\times}$. From these short exact sequences, we have the following long exact
sequences of the cohomology groups with respect to the $\Gamma$-actions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H^{0}(\Gamma, T) \rightarrow H^{1}(\Gamma, N) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(\Gamma, N_{C}\right) \rightarrow H^{1}(\Gamma, T) \rightarrow H^{2}(\Gamma, N), \\
& H^{0}(\Gamma, C T) \rightarrow H^{1}(\Gamma, N) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(\Gamma, N_{R}\right) \rightarrow H^{1}(\Gamma, C T) \rightarrow H^{2}(\Gamma, N)
\end{aligned}
$$

The first purpose of this section is to calculate $H^{1}(\Gamma, L)$ for $L=N, N_{R}$ and $N_{c}$. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z^{1}(\Gamma, L) & =\left\{\varphi: \Gamma \rightarrow L \mid \varphi\left(\gamma \gamma^{\prime}\right)=\varphi(\gamma)+\gamma \varphi\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right) \text { for } \gamma, \gamma^{\prime} \in \Gamma\right\} \\
B^{1}(\Gamma, L) & =\{\delta l: \Gamma \rightarrow L \mid l \in L\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\delta l$ is the map sending $\gamma$ to $\gamma l-l$. Then $Z^{1}(\Gamma, L)$ and $B^{1}(\Gamma, L)$ are $K$-modules and $H^{1}(\Gamma, L)=Z^{1}(\Gamma, L) / B^{1}(\Gamma, L)$, where $K=\boldsymbol{Z}$ (resp. $\boldsymbol{R}$, resp. $C$ ) if $L=N\left(\right.$ resp. $N_{\boldsymbol{R}}$, resp. $\left.N_{\boldsymbol{C}}\right)$.

Lemma 1.1. $\quad B^{1}(\Gamma, L) \simeq K^{3}$.
Proof. It is sufficient to show that the linear map $L \ni l \mapsto \delta l \in B^{1}(\Gamma, L)$ is injective, because $L=N \otimes K$ and $N \simeq Z^{3}$. Suppose not. Then there exists a nonzero element $n$ in $L$ such that $\gamma n=n$ for all $\gamma$ in $\Gamma$. Hence for any point $x_{0}$ in $C^{*}$, the orbit $\Gamma x_{o}:=\left\{\gamma x_{0} \mid \gamma \in \Gamma\right\}$ under $\Gamma$ must be contained in the plane $\left\{x \in N_{R}^{*} \mid\langle x, n\rangle=\left\langle x_{o}, n\right\rangle\right\}$, where $C^{*}:=\left\{x \in N_{R}^{*} \mid\langle x, y\rangle>0\right.$ for all $y \in \bar{C} \backslash\{0\}\}$ is the dual cone of $C$. However, $\left(C^{*}, \Gamma\right)$ is in $\mathscr{S}$ by [7, Lemma 1.6], a contradiction (see the proof of [7, Lemma 1.1]). q.e.d.

Let $\chi$ be the Euler number of the compact orientable surface $S=$ $\left(C / \boldsymbol{R}_{>0}\right) / \Gamma$ and let $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \cdots, \gamma_{s}$ be generators of $\Gamma$ with the relation $\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2} \gamma_{1}^{-1} \gamma_{2}^{-1} \cdots \gamma_{s-1}^{-1} \gamma_{s}^{-1}=1$, where $s=-\chi+2$.

Lemma 1.2. $Z^{1}(\Gamma, L) \simeq K^{38-3}$.
Proof. Let $\varphi$ be an element in $Z^{1}(\Gamma, L)$. Then by the cocycle condition, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\varphi\left(\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2} \gamma_{1}^{-1} \gamma_{2}^{-1} \cdots \gamma_{s-1}^{-1} \gamma_{s}^{-1}\right) \\
& =g_{1} \varphi\left(\gamma_{1}\right)+g_{2} \varphi\left(\gamma_{2}\right)+\cdots+g_{s} \varphi\left(\gamma_{s}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
g_{2 k+1}:=h_{2 k+1} \alpha_{k} & \text { with } & h_{2 k+1}:=\left(1-\alpha_{k+1} \gamma_{2 k+2} \alpha_{k}^{-1}\right), \\
g_{2 k+2}:=h_{2 k+2} \alpha_{k} \gamma_{2 k+1} & \text { with } & h_{2 k+2}:=\left(1-\alpha_{k+1} \gamma_{2 k+1}^{-1} \alpha_{k}^{-1}\right)
\end{array}
$$

for $k=0$ through $s / 2-1$ and $\alpha_{k}:=\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2} \gamma_{1}^{-1} \gamma_{2}^{-1} \cdots \gamma_{2 k-1} \gamma_{2 k} \gamma_{2 k-1}^{-1} \gamma_{2 k}^{-1} \in \Gamma$ for $k>0$ and $\alpha_{0}=1$. Hence we have the exact sequence:

$$
0 \rightarrow Z^{1}(\Gamma, L) \rightarrow L^{s} \xrightarrow{G} L
$$

where the second arrow sends $\varphi$ to ( $\varphi\left(\gamma_{1}\right), \varphi\left(\gamma_{2}\right), \cdots, \varphi\left(\gamma_{s}\right)$ ) and the third arrow $G$ sends $\left(l_{1}, l_{2}, \cdots, l_{s}\right)$ to $g_{1} l_{1}+g_{2} l_{2}+\cdots+g_{s} l_{s}$. Therefore, it is
sufficient to show that the rank of the image of $G$ is equal to 3 . Suppose not. Then $h_{1} L+h_{2} L+\cdots+h_{s} L$ must be contained in a submodule $M$ of rank 2. On the other hand $\beta_{2 k+1}:=\alpha_{k+1} \gamma_{2 k+2} \alpha_{k}^{-1}=\left(1-h_{2 k+1}\right)$ and $\beta_{2 k+2}:=\alpha_{k+1} \gamma_{2 k+1}^{-1} \alpha_{k}^{-1}=\left(1-h_{2 k+2}\right)$ with $k$ running from 0 through $s / 2-1$ are generators of $\Gamma$, because $\beta_{2 k+1}^{-1} \beta_{2 k+2}^{-1} \beta_{2 k+1}=\alpha_{k} \gamma_{2 k+1} \alpha_{k}^{-1}$ and $\beta_{2 k+1}^{-1} \beta_{2 k+2}$ $\beta_{2 k+1} \beta_{2 k+2}^{-1} \beta_{2 k+1}=\alpha_{k} \gamma_{2 k+2} \alpha_{k}^{-1}$. Hence the orbit $\Gamma y$ under $\Gamma$ of any point $y$ in $C \subset N_{R}$ must be contained in the plane $y+M^{\prime}$, where $M^{\prime}=M \otimes \boldsymbol{R}, M$ or $M \cap N_{\boldsymbol{R}}\left(\varsubsetneqq N_{\boldsymbol{R}}\right)$ according as $L=\boldsymbol{Z}, \boldsymbol{R}$ or $\boldsymbol{C}$. Thus we have the same contradiction as in the proof of Lemma 1.1. q.e.d.

By Lemmas 1.1 and 1.2, we have:
Proposition 1.3. $\quad H^{1}(\Gamma, N) \simeq \boldsymbol{Z}^{-3 X} \oplus$ torsion, $H^{1}\left(\Gamma, N_{R}\right) \simeq \boldsymbol{R}^{-3 X}$ and $H^{1}\left(\Gamma, N_{c}\right) \simeq C^{-3 x}$.

Proposition 1.4. The connected components of the unit elements in $H^{1}(\Gamma, T)$ and $H^{1}(\Gamma, C T)$ are an algebraic torus $\left(\boldsymbol{C}^{\times}\right)^{-3 x}$ and a compact real torus $U(1)^{-3 x}$, respectively, of dimensions $-3 \chi$.

Proof. The map $H^{1}(\Gamma, N) \rightarrow H^{1}(\Gamma, L)$ is induced by the injective $\operatorname{map} Z^{1}(\Gamma, N) \rightarrow Z^{1}(\Gamma, L)$ and $Z^{1}(\Gamma, N) \otimes K=Z^{1}(\Gamma, L)$, where $K=\boldsymbol{R}$ or $\boldsymbol{C}$ and $L=N \otimes K$. Hence $\operatorname{coker}\left(H^{1}(\Gamma, N) \rightarrow H^{1}(\Gamma, L)\right) \simeq(K / Z)^{-3 x} \quad$ q.e.d.

Now we consider the case where $S=\left(C / \boldsymbol{R}_{>0}\right) / \Gamma$ is not orientable with the Euler number $\chi$. Also in this case, Lemma 1.1 continues to hold, $\operatorname{dim}_{z} Z^{1}(\Gamma, N)=\operatorname{dim}_{R} Z^{1}\left(\Gamma, N_{R}\right)=\operatorname{dim}_{c} Z^{1}\left(\Gamma, N_{c}\right)$, by the proof of Lemma 1.2 and hence $\operatorname{dim}_{z} H^{1}(\Gamma, N)=\operatorname{dim}_{R} H^{1}\left(\Gamma, N_{R}\right)=\operatorname{dim}_{c} H^{1}\left(\Gamma, N_{c}\right)$. Therefore, we see as in the proof of the above proposition that the connected components of the unit elements in $H^{1}(\Gamma, T)$ and $H^{1}(\Gamma, C T)$ are an algebraic torus and a compact real torus, respectively. Moreover, the dimensions of the tori are not smaller than $-3 \chi$, by [2, Theorems 1 and 3]. Thus we conclude that 3 -dimensional cusp singularities are not taut, by [8, Proposition 3.2], if they are not Hilbert modular cusp singularities, because then $\chi<0$, by [7, Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.2].
2. Versal families of deformations of 3-dimensional cusp singularities. We keep the notations in the previous section. Recall that we have a resolution $(U, X) \rightarrow(V, p)$ of the cusp singularity ( $V, p$ ) such that the exceptional set $X$ is a toric divisor (see [7] and [8]). Here $U$ and $X$ are the quotient spaces under $\Gamma$ of an open set $\tilde{U}$ of a nonsingular torus embedding $T \mathrm{emb}(\Sigma)$ of $T$ and the union of its 2-dimensional orbits $\tilde{X}$, respectively, such that $\widetilde{U} \backslash \tilde{X}=\operatorname{ord}^{-1}(C)$ is the inverse image of the cone $C$ under the map ord: $T \rightarrow N_{\boldsymbol{R}}$ induced by $-\log | |: \boldsymbol{C}^{\times} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}$.

First, we construct a finite open covering of $X$. We note that ( $N, \Sigma$ ) is a $\Gamma$-invariant non-singular r.p.p. decomposition of $N_{R}$ with $|\Sigma|$ $\left(:=\cup_{\sigma \epsilon \Sigma} \sigma\right)=C \cup\{0\}$. For each 3-dimensional cone $\sigma=\boldsymbol{R}_{\geq 0} l^{1}+\boldsymbol{R}_{\geq 0} 0^{2}+\boldsymbol{R}_{\geq 0} l^{3}$ in $\Sigma$, let

$$
\sigma(\eta, \delta)=\left\{x^{1} l^{1}+x^{2} l^{2}+x^{3} l^{3} \mid x^{1}+x^{2}+x^{3}>\eta, x^{1}, x^{2}, x^{3}>-\delta\right\}
$$

and let $\widetilde{U}_{\sigma}(\eta, \delta)$ be the interior of the closure of $\operatorname{ord}^{-1}(\sigma(\eta, \delta))$ in $T \mathrm{emb}(\Sigma)$. Let $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \cdots, \sigma_{\mathrm{I}}$ be representatives of 3 -dimensional cones in $\Sigma$ modulo $\Gamma$, i.e., $\cup_{1 \leq j \leq 1, ~}^{1} \boldsymbol{\tau e} \Gamma\left(\sigma_{j}=C \cup\{0\}\right.$ and $\sigma_{i} \neq \gamma \sigma_{j}$ for any $\gamma$ in $\Gamma$, if $i \neq j$. Let

$$
U_{j}=q\left(\widetilde{U}_{\sigma_{j}}(\eta, \delta)\right), \quad U_{j}^{\prime}=q\left(\widetilde{U}_{\sigma_{j}}\left(\eta^{\prime}, \delta^{\prime}\right)\right),
$$

for large enough $\eta>\eta^{\prime}>0$ and for small enough $\delta^{\prime}>\delta>0$, where $q: \widetilde{U} \rightarrow U$ is the quotient map under $\Gamma$. Then $\bar{U}_{j} \subset U_{j}^{\prime}$ and $\left\{U_{j}\right\}$ is an open covering of $X$. Moreover, we may impose the following assumption, replacing $\Sigma$ by a non-singular subdivision of it, if necessary.

Assumption 1. For each pair $(i, j)$, the set $\left\{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid \sigma_{i} \cap \gamma \sigma_{j} \neq\{0\}\right\}$ is not empty if and only if $U_{i}^{\prime} \cap U_{j}^{\prime} \neq \varnothing$ and then it consists of only one element, which we denote by $\gamma_{i j}$. Then clearly $\gamma_{i i}=1$ and $\gamma_{j i}=\gamma_{i j}^{-1}$. Moreover, $\gamma_{k i}=\gamma_{k j} \gamma_{j i}$, if $U_{k}^{\prime} \cap U_{j}^{\prime} \cap U_{i}^{\prime} \neq \varnothing$, because then $\sigma_{k} \cap \gamma_{k j} \sigma_{j} \cap \gamma_{k i} \sigma_{i} \neq$ $\{0\}$ and $\sigma_{j} \cap \gamma_{j i} \sigma_{i} \neq\{0\}$.

By this assumption, the restriction $q_{i}: \widetilde{U}_{\sigma_{i}}\left(\eta^{\prime}, \delta^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow U_{i}^{\prime}$ to $\widetilde{U}_{\sigma_{i}}\left(\eta^{\prime}, \delta^{\prime}\right)$ of the quotient map $q: \widetilde{U} \rightarrow U$ is a biholomorphic map and $U_{i}^{\prime} \cap U_{j}^{\prime}$ is connected or empty.

Next, we define a local coordinate on each $U_{i}^{\prime}$. Fix a basis ( $n^{1}, n^{2}, n^{3}$ ) of $N$. Let $\sigma_{i}=\boldsymbol{R}_{\geq 0} l_{i}^{1}+\boldsymbol{R}_{\geq 0} l_{i}^{2}+\boldsymbol{R}_{\geq} 0_{i}^{3}$, let $\left(l_{i}^{1}, l_{i}^{2}, l_{i}^{3}\right)=\left(n^{1}, n^{2}, n^{3}\right) A_{i}\left(A_{i} \in G L(N)\right)$ and let ( $m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}$ ) be the basis of $\operatorname{Hom}(N, \boldsymbol{Z})$ dual to ( $\left(l_{i}^{2}, l_{i}^{2}, l_{i}^{3}\right)$. Then we have the holomorphic immersion:

$$
\psi_{i}^{\prime}: U_{i}^{\prime} \hookrightarrow T \mathrm{emb}\left(\left\{\text { faces of } \sigma_{i}\right\}\right) \simeq C^{3}
$$

sending $z$ to $\left(e\left(m_{1}\right)\left(q_{i}^{-1}(z)\right), e\left(m_{2}\right)\left(q_{i}^{-1}(z)\right), e\left(m_{3}\right)\left(q_{i}^{-1}(z)\right)\right)$, where $e(m)$ : $T \mathrm{emb}($ ffaces of $\left.\left.\sigma_{i}\right\}\right) \rightarrow \boldsymbol{C}$ is the natural extension of the character $m \otimes \boldsymbol{C}^{\times}: T \rightarrow \boldsymbol{C}^{\times}$of $m \in \operatorname{Hom}(N, \boldsymbol{Z})$. For each pair $(i, j)$ with $U_{i}^{\prime} \cap U_{i}^{\prime} \neq \varnothing$, let $f_{j i}: \psi_{i}\left(U_{i}^{\prime} \cap U_{j}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow$ $\psi_{j}\left(U_{i}^{\prime} \cap U_{j}^{\prime}\right)$ be the composite of the restriction of $\psi_{i}^{-1}$ to $\psi_{i}\left(U_{i}^{\prime} \cap U_{j}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\psi_{j}$. Then $f_{j i}$ is written in terms of monomials, i.e.,

$$
f_{j_{i}}\left(w^{1}, w^{2}, w^{3}\right)=\left(\prod_{\alpha=1}^{3}\left(w^{\alpha}\right)^{\alpha_{\alpha 1}}, \prod_{\alpha=1}^{3}\left(w^{\alpha}\right)^{\alpha_{\alpha 2}}, \prod_{\alpha=1}^{3}\left(w^{\alpha}\right)^{\alpha_{\alpha 3}}\right),
$$

where $\left(a_{\alpha \beta}\right)={ }^{t}\left(A_{j}^{-1} \gamma_{j i} A_{i}\right)$. Hence we have the maximal set $W_{j i}$ among open sets in $\boldsymbol{C}^{8}$ on which the analytic continuations of $f_{j i}$ are holomorphic. Clearly $W_{j t}$ is defined by $w^{\alpha} \neq 0$ or $w^{\alpha} w^{\beta} \neq 0$ according as $\sigma_{i} \cap \gamma_{i j} \sigma_{j}$ is a

2-dimensional cone or a 1-dimensional cone and $W_{i i}=\boldsymbol{C}^{3}$. We denote by $\bar{f}_{j i}$, the analytic continuation of $f_{j i}$ to $W_{j i}$. Then we easily see that $\bar{f}_{j i}\left(W_{j i}\right)=W_{i j}$ and that $\left\{w \in \psi_{i}\left(U_{i}\right) \cap W_{j i} \mid \bar{f}_{j i}(w) \in \psi_{j}\left(U_{j}\right)\right\}=\psi_{i}\left(U_{i} \cap U_{j}\right)$.

Let $H$ be a complementary subspace of $B^{1}\left(\Gamma, N_{c}\right)$ in $Z^{1}\left(\Gamma, N_{c}\right)$ and let $D$ be a polydisc in $H$. In the following, we construct a family over $D$ of deformations of the pair $(U, X)$ by patching up $\left\{\psi_{i}\left(U_{i}\right) \times D\right\}_{1 \leq i \leq 1}$. For each pair ( $i, j$ ) with $U_{i}^{\prime} \cap U_{j}^{\prime} \neq \varnothing$, let

$$
F_{j i}(w, \varphi)=\left(\bar{\varphi} \bar{f}_{j i}(w), \varphi\right) \quad(w, \varphi) \in W_{j i} \times D
$$

where $\bar{\varphi}=\exp \left(2 \pi \sqrt{-1}{ }^{t}\left\{A_{j}^{-1} \varphi\left(\gamma_{j i}\right)\right\}\right)$ and $\left(\varphi^{1}, \varphi^{2}, \varphi^{3}\right)\left(z^{1}, z^{2}, z^{3}\right)=\left(\varphi^{1} z^{1}, \varphi^{2} z^{2}, \varphi^{3} z^{3}\right)$. Then $F_{j i}$ is a biholomorphic map from $W_{j i} \times D$ to $W_{i j} \times D$. If $U_{i}^{\prime} \cap U_{j}^{\prime} \cap U_{k}^{\prime} \neq$ $\varnothing$, then $F_{k i}=F_{k j} \circ F_{j i}$ on $\left(W_{k i} \cap W_{j i}\right) \times D \neq \varnothing$, because $\gamma_{k i}=\gamma_{k j} \gamma_{j i}$. If $D$ is small enough, then we may assume the following:

Assumption 2. The closures of $\left\{(w, \varphi) \in\left(\psi_{i}\left(U_{i}\right) \cap W_{j i}\right) \times D \mid F_{j i}(w, \varphi) \in\right.$ $\left.\psi_{j}\left(U_{j}\right) \times D\right\}$ and $F_{j_{i}}\left(\left(\psi_{i}\left(U_{i}\right) \cap W_{j i}\right) \times D\right) \cap \psi_{j}\left(U_{j}\right) \times D$ are contained in $\psi_{i}\left(U_{i}^{\prime} \cap\right.$ $\left.U_{j}^{\prime}\right) \times D$ and $\psi_{j}\left(U_{i}^{\prime} \cap U_{j}^{\prime}\right) \times D$, respectively, for each pair ( $i, j$ ) with $U_{i}^{\prime} \cap$ $U_{j}^{\prime} \neq \varnothing$.

Definition 2.1. $p \sim q$ for two points $p$ and $q$ in $\psi_{i}\left(U_{i}\right) \times D$ and $\psi_{j}\left(U_{j}\right) \times D$, respectively, if $U_{i}^{\prime} \cap U_{j}^{\prime} \neq \varnothing$, if $p \in W_{j i} \times D$ and if $F_{j i}(p)=q$.

Lemma 2.2. The relation in Definition 2.1 is an equivalence relation in the disjoint union of $\left\{\psi_{i}\left(U_{i}\right) \times D\right\}_{1 \leq i \leq 1}$.

Proof. Since the reflexive law and the symmetric law are trivial, we only prove the transitive law. Let $p, q$ and $r$ be points in $\psi_{i}\left(U_{i}\right) \times D$, $\psi_{j}\left(U_{j}\right) \times D$ and $\psi_{k}\left(U_{k}\right) \times D$, respectively, and assume that $p \sim q$ and that $q \sim r$. Then by Assumption 2, $q$ is contained in both $\psi_{j}\left(U_{i}^{\prime} \cap U_{j}^{\prime}\right) \times D$ and $\psi_{j}\left(U_{j}^{\prime} \cap U_{k}^{\prime}\right) \times D$. Hence $U_{i}^{\prime} \cap U_{j}^{\prime} \cap U_{k}^{\prime} \neq \varnothing$ and $F_{k i}(p)=F_{k j}\left(F_{j i}(p)\right)=F_{k j}(q)=r$. Thus we have $p \sim r$.
q.e.d.

Let $\mathscr{U}=\left(\coprod_{i=1}^{\mathrm{I}} \psi_{i}\left(U_{i}\right) \times D\right) / \sim$ be the quotient space of $\coprod_{i=1}^{\mathrm{I}} \psi_{i}\left(U_{i}\right) \times D$ by the above equivalence relation.

Lemma 2.3. $\mathscr{U}$ is a Hausdorff space.
Proof. Let $p$ and $q$ be points in $\psi_{i}\left(U_{i}\right) \times D$ and $\psi_{j}\left(U_{j}\right) \times D$, respectively, and suppose that $U_{p} \cap U_{q} \neq \varnothing$ for any neighborhoods $U_{p}$ and $U_{q}$ of $p$ and $q$, respectively. Then there exist sequences $\left\{p_{a}\right\}$ and $\left\{q_{a}\right\}$ of points in $\left(\psi_{i}\left(U_{i}\right) \cap W_{j i}\right) \times D$ and $\left(\psi_{j}\left(U_{j}\right) \cap W_{i j}\right) \times D$ converging to $p$ and $q$, respectively, with $F_{j i}\left(p_{a}\right)=q_{a}$. By Assumption 2, $p \in \psi_{i}\left(U_{i}^{\prime} \cap U_{j}^{\prime}\right) \times D \subset$ $W_{j i} \times D$ and $F_{j i}(p)=q$. Hence $p \sim q$. q.e.d.

By this lemma, $\mathscr{U}$ is a complex manifold. Let $\pi: \mathscr{U} \rightarrow D$ be the
natural projection and let $\mathscr{X}=\cup_{i=1}^{\mathrm{I}}\left\{\left(w^{1}, w^{2}, w^{3}, \varphi\right) \in \psi_{i}\left(U_{i}\right) \times D \mid w^{1} w^{2} w^{3}=0\right\}$. Then $\pi$ is a smooth holomorphic map and $X_{\varphi}:=\mathscr{X} \cap \pi^{-1}(\varphi)$ are compact divisors in $U_{\varphi}:=\pi^{-1}(\phi)$ for all $\varphi$ in $D$, if $D$ is small enough. Clearly, there is an immersion $U_{0} \hookrightarrow U$ mapping $X_{0}$ onto $X$. Hence $X_{0}$ is contractible to a point.

Proposition 2.4. $X_{\varphi} \simeq X_{[\theta]}(:=\tilde{X} /\{\theta(\gamma) \gamma \mid \gamma \in \Gamma\})$ for any $\rho$ in $D$, where $\theta$ is the image of $\varphi$ under the map $Z^{1}\left(\Gamma, N_{c}\right) \rightarrow Z^{1}(\Gamma, T)$ induced by $\exp (2 \pi \sqrt{-1}$ ? $): N_{c} \rightarrow T$. Hence $X_{\varphi}$ is a toric divisor. (See [8, §3].)

Proof. Let $X_{i}:=\left\{\psi_{i}\left(U_{i}\right) \times D\right\} \cap X_{\varphi}$ and let $r_{i}$ be the restriction to $X_{i}$ of the composite $q_{i}^{-1} \circ \psi_{i}^{-1} \circ p_{i}$ of the maps $p_{i}: \psi_{i}\left(U_{i}\right) \times D \rightarrow \psi_{i}\left(U_{i}\right), \psi_{i}^{-1}: \psi_{i}\left(U_{i}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} U_{i}$ and $q_{i}^{-1}: U_{i}^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\sim} \widetilde{U}_{\sigma_{i}}\left(\eta^{\prime}, \delta^{\prime}\right) \subset \tilde{U}$, where $p_{i}$ is the natural projection. Then $\cup_{i=1}^{\mathrm{I}} X_{i}=X_{\varphi}$ and the image $r_{i}\left(X_{i}\right)$ under $r_{i}$ is contained in $\tilde{X}$. Let $s_{i}$ be the composite of $r_{i}$ and the quotient $\operatorname{map} \widetilde{X} \rightarrow X_{[\theta]}$ under $\{\theta(\gamma) \gamma \mid \gamma \in \Gamma\}$. Then $s_{i}: X_{i} \hookrightarrow X_{[\theta]}$ is a holomorphic immersion. Moreover, we see by an easy calculation that $s_{i}\left(p_{i}\right)=s_{j}\left(p_{j}\right)$ for any points $p_{i}$ and $p_{j}$ in $X_{i}$ and $X_{j}$, respectively, if and only if $F_{j i}\left(p_{i}\right)=p_{j}$. Hence we have a holomorphic immersion $s: X_{\varphi} \rightarrow X_{[\theta]}$. Since $X_{\varphi}$ is compact, $s$ is an isomorphism. q.e.d.

Lemma 2.5. For each positive integer $i, \operatorname{dim} H^{i}\left(U_{\varphi}, \mathcal{O}_{U_{\varphi}}\right)$ are constant for 9 small enough.

Proof. Consiser the exact sequences:

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{U_{\varphi}}\left(-X_{\varphi}\right) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{U_{\varphi}} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X_{\varphi}} \rightarrow 0
$$

Let $f:\left(U_{0}, X_{0}\right) \rightarrow\left(V_{0}, p_{0}\right)$ be the contraction map. If we choose an open set in $\mathscr{U}$ so that $f\left(U_{0}\right)=V_{0}$ is a Stein space, then $H^{i}\left(U_{0}, \mathcal{O}_{U_{0}}\left(-X_{0}\right)\right)=$ $R^{i} f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{V_{0}}\left(-X_{0}\right)=0$ for $i>0$, by [7, Theorem 2.3]. Then by [5, Satz 1] and [4, Theorem 1.6], we have $H^{i}\left(U_{\varphi}, \mathcal{O}_{U_{\varphi}}\left(-X_{\varphi}\right)\right)=0$ for $i>0$ and for $\rho$ small enough. Hence we have $H^{i}\left(U_{\varphi}, \mathcal{O}_{U_{\varphi}}\right) \simeq H^{i}\left(X_{\varphi}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{\varphi}}\right)$ for $i>0$. On the other hand, $\operatorname{dim} H^{i}\left(X_{\varphi}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{\varphi}}\right)=\operatorname{dim} H^{i}\left(X_{0}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{0}}\right)\left(=\operatorname{dim} H^{i}(S, C)\right)$ for $i>0$, because $X_{\varphi}$ are toric divisors whose dual graphs are equal to that of $X$ (see the proof of [7, Proposition 2.7]). Hence $\operatorname{dim} H^{i}\left(U_{\varphi}, \mathcal{O}_{U_{\varphi}}\right)=$ $\operatorname{dim} H^{i}\left(U_{0}, \mathcal{O}_{U_{0}}\right)$. q.e.d.

By this lemma and [3], for $D$ small enough, $\mathscr{X}$ can be simultaneously blown-down in $\mathscr{\mathscr { C }}$. Hence we obtain a family $\mathscr{V} \rightarrow D$ over $D$ of deformations of the isolated 3-dimensional singularity ( $V_{0}, p_{0}$ ), which is isomorphic to some open set of ( $V, p$ ).

Theorem 2.6. The family $\mathscr{V} \rightarrow D$ is versal, i.e., the infinitesimal deformation map (the Kodaira-Spencer map) $\rho: T_{0}(D) \rightarrow T_{V_{0}}^{1}$ is bijective.

Proof. Since $\mathscr{U} \backslash \mathscr{O} \rightarrow D$ is a family of deformations of the complex manifold $U_{0} \backslash X_{0}$, we have the infinitesimal deformation map $\rho^{\prime}: T_{0}(D) \rightarrow$ $H^{1}\left(U_{0} \backslash X_{0}, \Theta\right)$, where $\Theta$ is the sheaf of germs of vector fields on $U_{0}$. Since $D$ is a polydisc in $H^{1}\left(\Gamma, N_{c}\right)$ and since there is a canonical isomorphism $H^{1}\left(\Gamma, N_{c}\right) \simeq H^{1}\left(U_{0} \backslash X_{0}, \Theta\right)$ ([2, Theorem 1]), the map $\rho^{\prime}$ is bijective, by the construction of $\mathscr{U}$. Hence the map $\rho$ must be bijective, because a canonical injection $T_{V_{0}}^{1} \rightarrow H^{1}\left(U_{0} \backslash X_{0}, \Theta\right)$ is bijective, by [6] and [2, Theorem $1]$.
q.e.d.

Corollary 2.7. The cusp singularity ( $V, p$ ) is not smoothable.
Remark. Also for any higher dimensional pair ( $C, \Gamma$ ) in $\mathscr{S}$, we can construct a versal family, over a small polydise in $H^{1}\left(\Gamma, N_{c}\right)$, of deformations of the cusp singularity $(V, p)=\operatorname{Cusp}(C, \Gamma)$, in the same way.
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