# THE INJECTIVITY RADIUS AND THE FUNDAMENTAL GROUP OF COMPACT HOMOGENEOUS RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS OF POSITIVE CURVATURE

By

## Ryosuke ICHIDA

# 1. Introduction

In this paper we characterize a homogeneous spherical space form whose fundamental group is a binary dihedral group by means of the injectivity radius of the exponential map. As an application of this result we show that the fundamental group of a non-simply connected homogeneous 1/4-pinched Riemannian manifold is isomorphic to a finite subgroup of the special unitary group SU(2) of degree 2. Moreover we show that the fundamental group of a non-simply connected homogeneous 1/4-pinched Riemannian manifold whose dimension is of 4j + 1 ( $j \ge 1$ ) is a cyclic group. As is well known, every nontrivial finite subgroup of SU(2) is a cyclic, binary dihedral or binary polyhedral group ([21]). Therefore the fundamental group of a non-simply connected homogeneous 1/4-pinched Riemannian manifold is isomorphic to one of the finite groups stated above.

Let M be an m-dimensional  $(m \ge 3)$  complete, connected Riemannian manifold and N an n-dimensional  $(0 \le n \le m-1)$  connected, compact submanifold (without boundary) embedded in M. Let  $Exp_N : v(N) \to M$  denote the normal exponential map of N. Here v(N) is the total space of the normal bundle of N in M. In the case where N is a point of M, v(N) stands for the tangent space to M at that point. We denote by i(N) the injectivity radius of  $Exp_N$ . It is defined as the supremum of the set of all r > 0 for which  $Exp_N : v_r(N) \to M$  is an embedding, where  $v_r(N)$  is the set of all normal vectors to N of length less than r. The injectivity radius Inj(M) of M is then defined as  $Inj(M) = \inf\{i(x) | x \in M\}$ . If M is compact, then Inj(M) is positive.

A binary dihedral group  $D_k^*$  is a finite group generated by two elements a

Partially supported by Grand-in-Aid for Scientific Research, Grant Number 09640210 Received January 20, 1999 Revised August 3, 1999

and b with fundamental relations  $a^{2k} = e$ ,  $a^k = b^2$  and aba = b, where 2k is the order of  $a \ (k \ge 2)$  and e denotes the unit element of  $D_k^*$ . In case of k = 2,  $D_2^*$  is isomorphic to the quaternion group Q8. As stated above, SU(2) contains binary dihedral groups.

There are homogeneous spherical space forms whose fundamental groups are binary dihedral groups. We can characterize such spherical space forms in terms of the injectivity radius of the exponential map.

Let M denote an *m*-dimensional  $(m \ge 3)$  connected, compact, non-simply connected Riemannian manifold with sectional curvature  $K_M \ge 1$ . An upper bound of Inj(M) is closely related to the fundamental group  $\pi_1(M)$  of M. The diameter sphere theorem due to Grove and Shiohama ([8]) shows that  $Inj(M) \le \pi/2$ . Toponogov's diameter theorem implies that if  $Inj(M) = \pi/2$ , then M is isometric to the *m*-dimensional real projective space  $RP^m$  with constant curvature 1. Shiohama showed in [18] the following result. If  $\pi_1(M) \cong Z_3$ , then  $Inj(M) \le \pi/3$  and equality holds if and only if M is isometric to the lens space of constant curvature 1. We showed in [11] that if the order of  $\pi_1(M)$  is not a prime, then  $Inj(M) \le \pi/4$  and equality holds if and only if M is a homogeneous Riemannian manifold of constant curvature 1 and  $\pi_1(M)$  is isometric to either  $Z_4$  or Q8. Here if  $\pi_1(M) \cong Q8$ , then we have m = 4j - 1  $(j \ge 1)$ .

Let M be as above, and let N be an n-dimensional  $(n \ge 1)$  connected, compact, totally geodesic submanifold embedded in M such that  $2n \le m - 1$ . We note here that if  $2n \ge m$ , then the first relative homotopy class  $\pi_1(M, N)$  is trivial, i.e., the homomorphism  $\iota_{\sharp} : \pi_1(N) \to \pi_1(M)$  induced from the inclusion  $\iota : N \to$ M is surjective ([6]). In the case where  $\pi_1(M, N)$  is nontrivial, i.e.,  $\iota_{\sharp}(\pi_1(N)) \ne$  $\pi_1(M)$ , an upper bound of i(N) is closely related to  $\pi_1(M)$  and  $\pi_1(M, N)$ . We now assume that M is a homogeneous Riemannian manifold. Then we showed in [11] that if N is a simple closed geodesic of M which is homotopically nontrivial and if  $\pi_1(M)$  is not a cyclic group, then  $i(N) \le \pi/4$ . Here if equality holds, then M is of constant curvature 1, m = 4j - 1 ( $j \ge 1$ ) and  $\pi_1(M)$  is a binary dihedral group. In this result we can eliminate the assumption that N is homotopically nontrivial. Moreover this result is also true for the case  $n \ge 2$ . In this paper we show the following.

THEOREM A. Let M be an m-dimensional  $(m \ge 3)$  connected, compact, nonsimply connected homogeneous Riemannian manifold with sectional curvature  $K_M \ge 1$ . Let N be an n-dimensional  $(n \ge 1)$  compact, connected, totally geodesic submanifold embedded in M such that  $2n \le m - 1$ . Assume that  $\pi_1(M)$  is not a cyclic group and that  $\pi_1(M, N)$  is nontrivial. Then  $i(N) \le \pi/4$ . Here if equality

140

holds, then M is of constant curvature 1, m = 4j - 1  $(j \ge 1)$  and  $\pi_1(M)$  is isomorphic to a binary dihedral group.

As applications of Theorem A we have the following two results.

THEOREM B. Let M be an m-dimensional  $(m \ge 2)$  connected, compact, nonsimply connected homogeneous Riemannian manifold whose sectional curvature  $K_M$ satisfies  $1 \le K_M \le 4$ . Then  $\pi_1(M)$  is isomorphic to a finite subgroup of SU(2).

THEOREM C. Let M be an m-dimensional connected, compact, non-simply connected homogeneous Riemannian manifold whose sectional curvature  $K_M$  satisfies  $1 \le K_M \le 4$ . If m = 4j + 1  $(j \ge 1)$ , then  $\pi_1(M)$  is a cyclic group.

In the case where M is a non-simply connected homogeneous spherical space form, Theorems B and C are classical results ([21]; p. 229). However, even if M is such a spherical space form, our proof for these theorems is different from one given in [21].

The proof of the theorems stated above will be given in Sections 3 and 4. We give in Section 5 examples of connected, compact, non-simply connected homogeneous Riemannian manifolds with  $1 \le K \le 4$ .

## 2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper we always assume that all geodesics on Riemannian manifolds are parameterized by arc-length, unless otherwise stated.

In this section we prepare lemmas which will be used in the proof of the theorems stated in Section 1.

Throughout this section let M be an m-dimensional  $(m \ge 3)$  connected, compact, non-simply connected Riemannian manifold whose sectional curvature  $K_M$  satisfies  $K_M \ge 1$  and let  $p: V \to M$  denote the universal Riemannian covering. V is a complete Riemannian manifold with sectional curvature  $K_V \ge 1$ . We will denote by d the distance function on V which is induced from the Riemannian metric of V. Let  $\Gamma$  denote the deck transformation group of Vcorresponding to the fundamental group  $\pi_1(M)$  of M.  $\Gamma$  acts freely on V.

By the theorem of Bonnet-Myers, the diameter d(V) of V is not greater than  $\pi$ . Hence V is compact and  $\Gamma$  is a finite group. Toponogov's diameter theorem shows that  $d(V) = \pi$  holds if and only if V is isometric to the Euclidean unit *m*-sphere  $S^m$ . By the diameter sphere theorem of Grove and Shiohama ([8]), the diameter d(M) of M is not greater than  $\pi/2$ . Rigidity theorem due to Gromoll

141

and Grove ([7]) implies that if m is odd and  $d(M) = \pi/2$ , then M is of constant curvature 1.

A nonempty subset C of V is called *totally r-convex* in V (r > 0) if for every geodesic  $\gamma : [0, a] \to V$  with  $\gamma(0), \gamma(a) \in C$  and 0 < a < r we have  $\gamma([0, a]) \subset C$ .

Let C be a connected, compact, totally r-convex set in V whose boundary  $\partial C$ is nonempty. The interior of C is a totally geodesic submanifold embedded in V. We set  $C^a = \{x \in C \mid d(x, \partial C) \ge a\}$   $(a \ge 0)$  and  $\rho = \max\{d(x, \partial C) \mid x \in C\}$ . Then the set  $\bigcap_{0 \le a \le \rho} C^a$  consists of one point  $s_C$ , which is called the soul of C ([3]). If C is invariant under an isometry  $\varphi$  of V, then  $s_C$  is a fixed point of  $\varphi$  because  $\varphi$ leaves  $\partial C$  invariant. Hence we have

LEMMA 2.1. Let C be a connected, compact, totally r-convex proper subset in V. If C is invariant under a fixed point free isometry of V, then dim  $C \ge 1$  and  $\partial C = \emptyset$ .

Let C be a compact totally  $\pi$ -convex proper subset in V. If C is not arcwise connected, then there exist two points  $x, y \in C$  such that  $d(x, y) \ge \pi$ . Then by the theorem due to Bonnet-Myers we get  $d(x, y) = \pi$ . Hence V is isometric to  $S^m$  and C consists of exactly two points. Thus we have

LEMMA 2.2. Let C be a compact totally  $\pi$ -convex proper subset in V. If C contains at least three points, then C is arcwise connected and dim  $C \ge 1$ .

Let C be a connected, compact, totally  $\pi$ -convex proper subset in V with dim  $C \ge 1$ . Then any two points of C can be connected by a minimizing geodesic in V which is contained in C.

Let A be a nonempty compact proper subset in V. We set

$$B = \{x \in V \mid d(x, A) \ge \pi/2\}, \quad C = \{x \in V \mid d(x, B) \ge \pi/2\}$$

Then we shall show the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.3. Let A, B and C be as above. Let  $\Gamma_1$  be a subgroup of  $\Gamma$  such that  $\Gamma_1 \neq \{I_V\}$ . Assume that  $m(\geq 3)$  is odd. Suppose that A is invariant under  $\Gamma_1$  and that C and B contain connected, compact submanifolds  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  with  $1 \leq \dim N_1$ ,  $\dim N_2 \leq m - 2$ , respectively. Then we have

(1) B and C are totally  $\pi$ -convex in V and  $\partial B = \partial C = \emptyset$ .

- (2) If  $x \in B$  and  $y \in C$ , then  $d(x, y) = \pi/2$ .
- (3) V is isometric to  $S^m$ .

PROOF. By using the comparison theorem of Toponogov, we can show that both *B* and *C* are totally  $\pi$ -convex in *V* ([9], [10]). Since  $N_1 \subset C$  and  $N_2 \subset B$ , Lemma 2.2 shows that both *B* and *C* are arcwise connected. Since *A* is invariant under  $\Gamma_1$ , *B* and *C* are also invariant under  $\Gamma_1$ . Then Lemma 2.1 implies that  $\partial B = \partial C = \emptyset$ . By using again the comparison theorem of Toponogov, we obtain that  $d(x, y) = \pi/2$  for any  $x \in B$  and  $y \in C$ . Let  $p_1 : V \to V/\Gamma_1$  be the Riemannian covering of the quotient Riemannian manifold  $V/\Gamma_1$ . Since *B* and *C* are invariant under  $\Gamma_1$ , the distance between  $p_1(B)$  and  $p_1(C)$  in  $V/\Gamma_1$  is equal to  $\pi/2$ . Hence we have  $d(V/\Gamma_1) = \pi/2$ . Since *m* is odd, by the rigidity theorem ([7])  $V/\Gamma_1$  is of constant curvature 1, and hence *V* is isometric to  $S^m$ .

LEMMA 2.4. Let  $N_0$  be an n-dimensional connected, compact, totally geodesic submanifold (without boundary) embedded in V with  $1 \le n \le m-2$ . Let  $\Gamma_1$  be a subgroup of  $\Gamma$  such that  $\Gamma_1 \ne \{I_V\}$ . Assume that  $m \ (\ge 3)$  is odd and that  $N_0$  is invariant under  $\Gamma_1$ . If there exists a point  $x_0 \in V$  such that  $d(x_0, N_0) \ge \pi/2$ , then V is isometric to  $S^m$ .

PROOF. We set

$$A_1 = N_0, \quad B_1 = \{x \in V \mid d(x, A_1) \ge \pi/2\}, \quad C_1 = \{x \in V \mid d(x, B_1) \ge \pi/2\}.$$

Then  $x_0 \in B_1$  and  $A_1 \subset C_1$ . Both  $B_1$  and  $C_1$  are invariant under  $\Gamma_1$  because  $\Gamma_1$ leaves  $A_1$  invariant. By using the comparison theorem of Toponogov, we conclude that both  $B_1$  and  $C_1$  are totally  $\pi$ -convex in V([9], [10]). We shall show that  $B_1$  is arcwise connected. To do that, we assume that  $B_1$  is not arcwise connected. Since  $B_1$  is totally  $\pi$ -convex, V is isometric to  $S^m$  and  $B_1$  consists of exactly two points. Hence  $C_1$  is isometric to a great (m-1)-sphere  $S_1$  in  $S^m$ . Then  $A_1$  is isometric to a great *n*-sphere in  $S^m$  which is contained in  $S_1$  because  $A_1$  is totally geodesic in V and is contained in  $C_1$ . Since  $n \leq m-2$ , there exists a point  $x \in C_1$ such that  $d(x, A_1) = \pi/2$ , which shows  $x \in B_1 \cap C_1$ . This is a contradiction. Thus  $B_1$  is arcwise connected. Since  $B_1$  is invariant under  $\Gamma_1$ , by Lemma 2.1  $B_1$  has no boundary and dim  $B_1 \geq 1$ . Similarly  $C_1$  has no boundary. By Frankel's theorem ([5]), we have dim  $B_1 + \dim C_1 \leq m-1$ . Since dim  $B_1$ , dim  $C_1 \geq 1$ , we obtain that dim  $B_1$ , dim  $C_1 \leq m-2$ . Applying Lemma 2.3 to the present situation, we conclude that V is isometric to  $S^m$ .

For each  $\varphi \in \Gamma$  we set  $T(\varphi) = \min\{d(x,\varphi(x)) \mid x \in V\}$ . Let  $\varphi \in \Gamma \setminus \{I_V\}$ . Suppose that the displacement function  $d(\cdot,\varphi(\cdot)) : V \to R$  takes the minimum at  $x_0 \in V$ . Let  $\sigma$  be a minimizing geodesic segment from  $x_0$  to  $\varphi(x_0)$  and  $\tilde{\sigma} : R \to V$  the

geodesic extension of  $\sigma$  in the both directions. Then  $\varphi$  translates  $\tilde{\sigma}$ , i.e.,  $\varphi(\tilde{\sigma}(t)) = \tilde{\sigma}(t + T(\varphi))$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Furthermore  $\tilde{\sigma} : [0, kT(\varphi)] \to V$  is a closed geodesic where k is the order of  $\varphi$ .

Let  $Z(\Gamma)$  be the centralizer of  $\Gamma$  in the full isometry group of V. M is a homogeneous Riemannian manifold if and only if  $Z(\Gamma)$  acts transitively on V([21]; p. 73). We now assume that M is a homogeneous Riemannian manifold. Then V is also a homogeneous Riemannian manifold. Each  $\varphi \in \Gamma$  is a Clifford transformation of V, i.e., the displacement function  $d(\cdot, \varphi(\cdot)) : V \to R$  is a constant function ([21]). Hence for any  $\varphi \in \Gamma$  we have  $T(\varphi) = d(y, \varphi(y)), y \in V$ . Therefore for any  $x \in M$  and for any  $[\gamma] \in \pi_1(M, x) \setminus \{e\}$  we can choose a closed geodesic as a representation of  $[\gamma]$ .

LEMMA 2.5. Let M and V be as above. Assume that M is a homogeneous Riemannian manifold. Let  $\varphi \in \Gamma \setminus \{I_V\}$ . Let  $\sigma : [0, a] \to V$  be a simple closed geodesic satisfying the conditions: (1)  $\sigma(0) = \sigma(a)$ ,  $T(\varphi) < a$ ; (2)  $\sigma$  is invariant under  $\varphi$ ; (3)  $\sigma : [0, T(\varphi)] \to V$  is a minimizing geodesic segment between  $\sigma(0)$ and  $\varphi(\sigma(0))$ . Let  $\Gamma_{\sigma}$  be the subgroup of  $\Gamma$  whose any element leaves  $\sigma$  invariant. If  $T(\varphi) \leq T(\psi)$  for any  $\psi \in \Gamma_{\sigma} \setminus \{I_V\}$ , then  $\Gamma_{\sigma}$  is the cyclic group generated by  $\varphi$ .

PROOF. Let  $\Gamma_1$  be the cyclic group generated by  $\varphi$  and k its order where  $k \ge 2$ . We put  $x = \sigma(0)$ . Then  $\varphi$  translates  $\sigma$  and we have  $\varphi^j(x) = \sigma(jT(\varphi))$  for each j  $(0 \le j \le k - 1)$  where  $\varphi^j = \varphi \circ \cdots \circ \varphi$  (j times). Hence we have  $a = kT(\varphi)$ . For each j  $(0 \le j \le k - 1)$   $\sigma : [jT(\varphi), (j+1)T(\varphi)] \to V$  is a minimizing geodesic segment between  $\varphi^j(x)$  and  $\varphi^{j+1}(x)$ . Suppose that there is a  $\psi \in \Gamma_{\sigma} \setminus \Gamma_1$ . Since  $\sigma$  is invariant under  $\psi$  and  $\Gamma$  acts freely on V, we have  $\psi(x) \in \sigma((jT(\varphi), (j+1)T(\varphi)))$  for some j  $(0 \le j \le k - 1)$ . Since  $\varphi_1 = \varphi^{-j} \circ \psi$  leaves  $\sigma$  invariant and  $\varphi_1(x) \in \sigma((0, T(\varphi)))$ , we get  $T(\varphi_1) < T(\varphi)$ . This is a contradiction. Hence we have  $\Gamma_{\sigma} = \Gamma_1$ .

The following lemmas are well known results (for the proof, see [1], [2]).

LEMMA 2.6. Let W be an m-dimensional  $(m \ge 3)$  connected, complete Riemannian manifold with sectional curvature  $K_W \le \lambda^2$   $(\lambda > 0)$  and N a connected, compact, totally geodesic submanifold embedded in W such that  $1 \le \dim N \le m -$ 2. Let  $\gamma : [0, \infty) \to W$  be a geodesic. Then we have

(1) If  $\gamma(a)$  is the first conjugate point to  $\gamma(0)$  along  $\gamma$ , then  $a\lambda \ge \pi$ .

(2) If  $\gamma(0) \in N$  and the tangent vector  $\gamma'(0)$  is orthogonal to N and if  $\gamma(a)$  is the first focal point of N along  $\gamma$ , then  $2a\lambda \geq \pi$ .

LEMMA 2.7. Let W be as in Lemma 2.6. Let x and y be distinct points of W. Suppose that there exist distinct minimizing geodesics  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 : [0, a] \to W$  from x to y. If a = i(x) and  $a\lambda < \pi$ , then  $\sigma_1'(a) = -\sigma_2'(a)$ .

LEMMA 2.8. Let W and N be as in Lemma 2.6. Let  $x \in W \setminus N$ . Suppose that there exist distinct minimizing geodesics  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 : [0, a] \to W$  from x to N. If a = i(N) and  $2a\lambda < \pi$ , then  $\sigma_1'(0) = -\sigma_2'(0)$ .

The following theorem will be used in the proof of Theorems B and C.

THEOREM 2.1 ([2], [4], [12]). Let W be an m-dimensional  $(m \ge 2)$  connected, complete, simply connected Riemannian manifold with  $1 \le K_W \le 4$ . Then we have (1)  $Inj(W) \ge \pi/2$ .

(2) If  $d(W) = \pi/2$  and  $m \geq 3$  is odd, then W is isometric to the Euclidean *m*-sphere  $S^{m}(4)$  with constant curvature 4.

## 3. Proof of Theorem A

Throughout this section let M be an m-dimensional  $(m \ge 3)$  connected, compact, non-simply connected homogeneous Riemannian manifold whose sectional curvature  $K_M$  satisfies  $K_M \ge 1$  and N an n-dimensional  $(n \ge 1)$  connected, compact, totally geodesic submanifold (without boundary) embedded in M.

Let  $\iota_{\sharp} : \pi_1(N) \to \pi_1(M)$  be the homomorphism which is induced from the inclusion  $\iota : N \to M$ . Let  $\pi_1(M, N)$  denote the first relative homotopy class. For the sake of convenience we write  $\pi_1(M, N) = 0$  if  $\iota_{\sharp}$  is surjective and  $\pi_1(M, N) \neq 0$  otherwise. As stated in Section 1, if  $2n \ge m$ , then we have  $\pi_1(M, N) = 0$ .

Let  $p: V \to M$  denote the universal Riemannian covering. V is also compact homogeneous Riemannian manifold with  $K_V \ge 1$ . We denote by  $\Gamma$  the deck transformation group of V corresponding to  $\pi_1(M)$ . Let  $\Gamma_0$  be the subgroup of  $\Gamma$ which corresponds to  $\iota_{\sharp}(\pi_1(N))$ . If  $\pi_1(M, N) \ne 0$ , then  $p^{-1}(N)$  has at least two connected components and we have  $2i(N) \le d(N_1, N_2)$  for any distinct connected components  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  of  $p^{-1}(N)$ . Let  $N_0$  be a connected component of  $p^{-1}(N)$ . Let  $\varphi \in \Gamma$ . Then  $\varphi$  is contained in  $\Gamma_0$  if and only if  $N_0$  is invariant under  $\varphi$ .

For  $\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_k \in \Gamma$  we will denote by  $\Gamma(\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_k)$  the subgroup of  $\Gamma$  generated by  $\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_k$ .

In order to prove Theorem A, we prepare several lemmas.

LEMMA 3.1. Assume that  $\Gamma$  is not cyclic and  $\Gamma_0 = \{I_V\}$ . Then  $i(N) \le \pi/4$ . Here if equality holds, then M is of constant curvature 1 and  $\Gamma \cong Q8$ .

PROOF. Assuming that  $i(N) \ge \pi/4$ , we shall show that  $i(N) = \pi/4$  and  $K_M \equiv 1$ . Let  $N_0$  be a connected component of  $p^{-1}(N)$  and fix it. By the assumption on  $\Gamma_0$ , we have  $\varphi(N_0) \cap N_0 = \emptyset$  for all  $\varphi \in \Gamma \setminus \{I_V\}$ . Since  $\Gamma$  is not cyclic, *m* is odd by Synge's theorem and  $\Gamma$  contains a proper subgroup. The assumption that  $i(N) \ge \pi/4$  implies that  $d(N_0, \psi(N_0)) \ge \pi/2$  for all  $\psi \in \Gamma \setminus \{I_V\}$ . Let  $\Gamma_1$  be an arbitrary proper subgroup of  $\Gamma$ . We set

$$A = \bigcup_{\varphi \in \Gamma_1} \varphi(N_0), \quad B = \{ x \in V \, | \, d(x, A) \ge \pi/2 \}, \quad C = \{ x \in V \, | \, d(x, B) \ge \pi/2 \}.$$

Then A is invariant under  $\Gamma_1$  and we have  $\psi(N_0) \subset B$  for all  $\psi \in \Gamma \setminus \Gamma_1$ . We can apply Lemma 2.3 to the present situation. Hence the assertions (1), (2) and (3) in Lemma 2.3 hold for the present situation. Thus M is of constant curvature 1. Let  $\psi \in \Gamma \setminus \Gamma_1$ . By Lemma 2.3 (2), we have  $d(x, y) = \pi/2$  for any  $x \in N_0$  and  $y \in$  $\psi(N_0)$ . This shows that  $T(\psi) = \pi/2$  and  $d(N_0, \psi(N_0)) = \pi/2$ . Hence we have  $i(N) = \pi/4$ .

In the following we assume that  $i(N) = \pi/4$ . We shall show that  $\Gamma \cong Q8$ . It follows from the argument above that there exists a  $\varphi \in \Gamma$  with  $T(\varphi) = \pi/2$  and  $T(\psi) = \pi/2$  holds for all  $\psi \in \Gamma \setminus \Gamma(\varphi)$ . From now on we identify V with S<sup>m</sup> and view  $\Gamma$  as a finite subgroup of the orthogonal group O(m+1). By homogeneity of V,  $\Gamma$  is a Clifford transformation group of  $S^m$ . We take a  $\varphi_1 \in \Gamma$  with  $T(\varphi_1) =$  $\pi/2$  and fix it. For each  $x \in S^m$ ,  $\varphi_1$  translates the great circle in  $S^m$  passing through x and  $\varphi_1(x)$ . Hence  $\varphi_1$  has the properties that  $\varphi_1^2 = -I$  and  $\Gamma(\varphi_1) \cong Z_4$ , where I denotes the unit (m+1)-matrix. Each  $\psi \in \Gamma \setminus \Gamma(\varphi_1)$  has the properties that  $T(\psi) = \pi/2$ ,  $\psi^2 = -I$  and  $\Gamma(\psi) \cong Z_4$ . Let  $\varphi_2 \in \Gamma \setminus \Gamma(\varphi_1)$  and fix it. We have the relations  $(\varphi_1 \varphi_2)^2 = \varphi_1^2 = \varphi_2^2 = -I$  since  $\varphi_1 \varphi_2 \notin \Gamma(\varphi_1)$ . By using these relations, we obtain that  $\varphi_1 \varphi_2 \varphi_1 = \varphi_2$  and  $\varphi_2 \varphi_1 \varphi_2 = \varphi_1$ . This shows that  $\Gamma(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \cong Q8$ . We put  $\Gamma_2 = \Gamma(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)$ . We now assume that  $\Gamma \neq \Gamma_2$ . Take a  $\varphi \in \Gamma \setminus \Gamma_2$ . Since  $\varphi_1\varphi,\varphi_2\varphi$  and  $\varphi_1\varphi_2\varphi$  are not contained in  $\Gamma_2$ , we obtain that  $(\varphi_1\varphi_2\varphi)^2 = (\varphi_1\varphi)^2 =$  $(\varphi_2 \varphi)^2 = \varphi_1^2 = \varphi_1^2 = -I$ . The relation  $(\varphi_1 \varphi)^2 = \varphi^2$  implies  $\varphi_1 \varphi \varphi_1 = \varphi$ . By using the relations that  $(\varphi_1 \varphi_2 \varphi)^2 = \varphi_1^2$ ,  $\varphi_1 \varphi \varphi_1 = \varphi$  and  $\varphi_1 \varphi_2 \varphi_1 = \varphi_2$ , we get  $(\varphi_2 \varphi)^2 = I$ . This is a contradiction. Hence we have  $\Gamma = \Gamma_2$ , which shows that  $\Gamma \cong Q8$ .

LEMMA 3.2. Suppose that  $\Gamma$  is not cyclic and that  $\Gamma_0$  is a proper subgroup of  $\Gamma$ . Then  $i(N) \leq \pi/4$ . Here if equality holds, then M is of constant curvature 1 and furthermore, identifying V with  $S^m$  and viewing  $\Gamma$  as a finite subgroup of O(m+1), we have

- (1) If  $\psi \in \Gamma \setminus \Gamma_0$ , then  $\psi^2 = -I \in \Gamma_0$  and  $\Gamma(\psi) \cong Z_4$ .
- (2) If  $\psi \in \Gamma \setminus \Gamma_0$  and  $\varphi \in \Gamma_0$ , then  $\varphi \psi \varphi = \psi$ .

**PROOF.** As in the proof of Lemma 3.1 we fix a connected component  $N_0$  of  $p^{-1}(N)$ . Then  $N_0$  is invariant under  $\Gamma_0$ . Suppose that  $i(N) \ge \pi/4$ . We shall show that  $i(N) = \pi/4$  and  $K_M \equiv 1$ . We set

$$A = N_0, \quad B = \{ x \in V \, | \, d(x, A) \ge \pi/2 \}, \quad C = \{ x \in V \, | \, d(x, B) \ge \pi/2 \}.$$

Let  $\psi \in \Gamma \setminus \Gamma_0$ . We have  $d(N_0, \psi(N_0)) \ge \pi/2$  because  $i(N) \ge \pi/4$ . Thus we have  $\psi(N_0) \subset B$  for all  $\psi \in \Gamma \setminus \Gamma_0$ . The order of  $\Gamma$  is greater than 2 since  $\Gamma_0$  is a proper subgroup of  $\Gamma$ . Hence  $m (\ge 3)$  is odd by Synge's theorem. By applying Lemma 2.3 to the present situation, we conclude that  $i(N) = \pi/4$  and  $K_M \equiv 1$ . From now on we assume that  $i(N) = \pi/4$ . By Lemma 2.3, both B and C are totally geodesic submanifolds of V without boundary and we obtain  $d(x, y) = \pi/2$  for any  $x \in B$  and  $y \in C$ . We identify V with  $S^m$  and view  $\Gamma$  as a finite subgroup of O(m+1). Then  $N_0$  is a great n-sphere in  $S^m$  and B is a great (m - n - 1)-sphere in  $S^m$ . Hence we have  $N_0 = C$  by the definition of C. Let  $\psi \in \Gamma \setminus \Gamma_0$ . Since  $\psi(N_0) \subset B$  and  $\psi$  is a Clifford transformation, we have  $T(\psi) = \pi/2$ . Let  $x \in N_0$ . Then  $\psi$  translates the great circle in  $S^m$  and  $\psi^2$  leaves  $N_0$  invariant, we obtain that  $\psi^2 = -I \in \Gamma_0$  and  $\Gamma(\psi) \cong Z_4$ . This shows (1). Next we shall show (2). Let  $\psi \in \Gamma \setminus \Gamma_0$  and  $\varphi \in \Gamma_0$ . We may assume that  $\varphi \neq \pm I$ . Since  $\psi \varphi \in \Gamma \setminus \Gamma_0$ , we have  $(\psi \varphi)^2 = \psi^2 = -I$ . From the relation  $(\psi \varphi)^2 = \psi^2$ , we get  $\varphi \psi \varphi = \psi$ .

LEMMA 3.3. Suppose that  $\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma_0$  satisfy the same hypotheses as in Lemma 3.2 and that  $i(N) = \pi/4$ . Then  $\Gamma_0$  is a cyclic group of order 2k  $(k \ge 1)$ .

PROOF. By Lemma 3.2 we can identify V with  $S^m$ . Then  $\Gamma$  can be viewed as a finite subgroup of O(m + 1). Let  $N_0$  be a connected component of  $p^{-1}(N)$  and fix it. Then  $N_0$  is a great *n*-sphere in  $S^m$  and is invariant under  $\Gamma_0$ . We may assume that  $N_0 = S^n = S^m \cap R^{n+1}$ . We take a  $\psi_1 \in \Gamma_0 \setminus \{I\}$  such that  $T(\psi_1) \leq$  $T(\varphi)$  for all  $\varphi \in \Gamma_0 \setminus \{I\}$ . We shall show that  $\Gamma_0 = \Gamma(\psi_1)$ . We first assume that  $\psi_1 = -I$ . Since  $T(\psi_1) = \pi$ , we have  $T(\varphi) = \pi$  for all  $\varphi \in \Gamma_0 \setminus \{I\}$ . This implies that  $\Gamma_0 = \{I, \psi_1\} = \Gamma(\psi_1)$ . We next assume that  $\psi_1 \neq -I$ . In case of n = 1, by Lemma 2.5  $\Gamma_0$  is the cyclic group generated by  $\psi_1$ . From now on, let  $n \ge 2$ . Let  $C_1$  be the great circle in  $S^n$  which contains  $x_0$  and  $\psi_1(x_0)$ . Then  $C_1$  is invariant under  $\psi_1$ . Since  $T(\psi_1) < \pi$ , the order of  $\Gamma_0$  is greater than 2. Hence  $n (\ge 3)$  is odd by Synge's theorem. Let n = 2q + 1,  $q \ge 1$ . Since  $-I \in \Gamma_0$  and  $(-I)(C_1) = C_1$ , by Lemma 2.5 there exists the smallest positive integer  $k \ge 2$  such that  $(\psi_1)^k = -I$ . Hence the order of  $\Gamma(\psi_1)$  is equal to 2k. Let  $x_0 \in N_0$  and  $\psi_2 \in \Gamma \setminus \Gamma_0$ , and fix them. Lemma 3.2 shows that  $(\psi_2)^2 = -I \in \Gamma_0$  and  $\varphi \psi_2 \varphi = \psi_2$  for all  $\varphi \in \Gamma_0$ . Let  $\varphi \in \Gamma_0 \setminus \{\pm I, \psi_1\}$ . Since  $\psi_1 \psi_2 \varphi$  and  $\psi_1 \psi_2$  are not contained in  $\Gamma_0$ , by Lemma 3.2 (1) we have the relation  $(\psi_1 \psi_2 \varphi)^2 = (\psi_1 \psi_2)^2$ . By combining this with the relation  $\varphi \psi_2 \varphi = \psi_2$ , we obtain  $\varphi \psi_1 = \psi_1 \varphi$ . Then there exists a complex vector  $\xi \in S^n \subset C^{q+1}$  which is a common eigenvector of  $\varphi$  and  $\psi_1$ . Let  $C_2$  be the great circle in  $S^n$  determined by  $\xi$  and  $\overline{\xi}$  where  $\overline{\xi}$  is the conjugate vector of  $\xi$  in  $C^{q+1}$ . Then  $C_2$ is invariant under  $\varphi$  and  $\psi_1$ . By Lemma 2.5 we have  $\varphi \in \Gamma(\psi_1)$ . Hence we have  $\Gamma_0 = \Gamma(\psi_1)$ . Thus  $\Gamma_0$  is the cyclic group of order 2k generated by  $\psi_1$  ( $k \ge 1$ ).

LEMMA 3.4. Suppose that  $\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma_0$  satisfy the same hypotheses as in Lemma 3.2 and that  $i(N) = \pi/4$ . Then  $\Gamma \cong D_s^*$   $(s \ge 2)$ .

PROOF. By Lemma 3.2 we may identify V with  $S^m$  and view  $\Gamma$  as a finite subgroup of O(m+1). We take a  $\psi_1 \in \Gamma_0 \setminus \{I_V\}$  such that  $T(\psi_1) \leq T(\varphi)$  for all  $\varphi \in \Gamma_0 \setminus \{I_V\}$ . As we have shown in Lemma 3.3,  $\Gamma_0$  is a cyclic group generated by  $\psi_1$  with order 2k  $(k \geq 1)$  and  $(\psi_1)^k = -I$ . Let  $\psi_2 \in \Gamma \setminus \Gamma_0$ . It follows from Lemma 3.2 that  $(\psi_2)^2 = -I$ ,  $\psi_1 \psi_2 \psi_1 = \psi_2$  and  $\Gamma(\psi_2) \cong Z_4$ . We first consider the case  $k \geq 2$ . Then we have  $\Gamma(\psi_1, \psi_2) \cong D_k^*$ . We shall show that  $\Gamma = \Gamma(\psi_1, \psi_2)$ . To do that, we assume that there exists a  $\varphi \in \Gamma \setminus \Gamma(\psi_1, \psi_2)$ . Since  $\psi_2 \notin \Gamma(\psi_1)$  and  $\psi_2 \varphi \notin \Gamma(\psi_1, \psi_2)$ , by Lemma 3.2 we obtain that  $\psi_1 \psi_2 \psi_1 = \psi_2$ ,  $\psi_1 \varphi \psi_1 = \varphi$  and  $\psi_1 \psi_2 \varphi \psi_1 = \psi_2 \varphi$ . By using these relations, we get  $(\psi_1)^2 = I$ . This is a contradiction because  $(\psi_1)^{2k} = I$  and  $k \geq 2$ . Thus we have  $\Gamma = \Gamma(\psi_1, \psi_2)$ . Next let us consider the case k = 1. Then  $\psi_1 = -I$  and  $\Gamma_0 \subset \Gamma(\psi_2)$ . We take a  $\psi_3 \in \Gamma \setminus \Gamma(\psi_2)$ . By Lemma 3.2 (1) we obtain that  $(\psi_2 \psi_3)^2 = (\psi_2)^2 = (\psi_3)^2 = -I$ . These relations yield that  $\psi_2 \psi_3 \psi_2 = \psi_3$  and  $\psi_3 \psi_2 \psi_3 = \psi_2$ . Hence we have  $\Gamma(\psi_2, \psi_3) \cong Q$ 8. By the same way as in the proof of Lemma 3.1, we can show that  $\Gamma = \Gamma(\psi_2, \psi_3)$ . Thus we have  $\Gamma \cong D_s^*$  ( $s \geq 2$ ).

LEMMA 3.5. Suppose that  $\Gamma$  is not a cyclic group and that  $\Gamma_0 \neq \Gamma$ . If  $i(N) = \pi/4$ , then m = 4j - 1  $(j \ge 1)$ .

**PROOF.** By Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, V is isometric to  $S^m$  and  $\Gamma$  is isomorphic to  $D_s^*$  ( $s \ge 2$ ). In the case where  $\Gamma_0$  is trivial,  $\Gamma$  is isomorphic to Q8. If  $\Gamma_0$  is nontrivial, then  $\Gamma_0$  is a cyclic group of order 2k ( $k \ge 1$ ) by Lemma 3.3. We identify V with  $S^m$  and view  $\Gamma$  as a finite subgroup of O(m+1). As we have shown in the proofs of Lemmas 3.1 and 3.4, we can choose a generator  $\{\varphi_1, \varphi_2\}$  of  $\Gamma$  as follows. In the case where  $\Gamma_0 = \{I\}$  or  $\Gamma_0 = \{I, -I\}$ ,  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  have the properties that  $T(\varphi_1) = T(\varphi_2) = \pi/2$  and  $\varphi_1 \varphi_2 \varphi_1 = \varphi_2$ ,  $\varphi_2 \varphi_1 \varphi_2 = \varphi_1$ . If the order of  $\Gamma_0$  is greater that 2, then  $\varphi_1$  is a generator of  $\Gamma_0$  and  $T(\varphi_1) = \pi/k$   $(k \ge 2)$ ,  $T(\varphi_2) = \pi/2$ . In this case  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  satisfy the relations  $\varphi_1 \varphi_2 \varphi_1 = \varphi_2$ ,  $(\varphi_1)^k =$  $(\varphi_2)^2 = -I$ . Let  $x \in S^m$ . For  $\varphi_i$  (i = 1, 2) let  $C_i$  be the great circle in  $S^m$  passing through x and  $\varphi_i(x)$ . Then  $C_i$  is invariant under  $\varphi_i$ , i = 1, 2. Let  $C_3 = \varphi_2(C_1)$ . Since  $T(\varphi_2) = \pi/2$  and  $\varphi_2 \notin \Gamma(\varphi_1)$ , we have  $C_1 \cap C_3 = \emptyset$ . The relations  $\varphi_1 \varphi_2 \varphi_1 =$  $\varphi_2$  and  $(\varphi_2)^2 = -I$  imply that  $\varphi_1(C_3) = C_3$  and  $\varphi_2(C_3) = (-I)(C_1) = C_1$ . Let  $W_i$ be the 2-dimensional subspace in  $R^{m+1}$  such that  $C_i = W_i \cap S^m$  (i = 1, 2, 3). We set  $W_4 = W_1 \oplus W_3$ . Then  $W_2$  is contained in  $W_4$  and both  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  leave  $W_4$ invariant. Since  $\Gamma$  is generated by  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$ ,  $W_4$  is  $\Gamma$ -invariant. Hence for any  $x \in S^m$  there exists a  $\Gamma$ -invariant 4-dimensional subspace of  $R^{m+1}$  containing x. Thus  $R^{m+1}$  can be expressed as a direct sum of  $\Gamma$ -invariant 4-dimensional subspaces, which implies that m = 4j - 1  $(j \ge 1)$ .

PROOF OF THEOREM A. Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 show that  $i(N) \le \pi/4$ . Suppose  $i(N) = \pi/4$ . Then M is of constant curvature 1. Moreover Lemmas 3.1, 3.4 and 3.5 imply that  $\pi_1(M) \cong D_s^*$   $(s \ge 2)$  and m = 4j - 1  $(j \ge 1)$ .

## 4. Proof of Theorems B and C

First of all we state a theorem which will be used in the proof of Theorem C.

THEOREM 4.1 ([11]). Let M be an m-dimensional  $(m \ge 3)$  connected, compact, non-simply connected Riemannian manifold with sectional curvature  $K_M \ge 1$ . Suppose that the order of  $\pi_1(M)$  is not a prime. Then  $Inj(M) \le \pi/4$ . If equality holds, then M is of constant curvature 1 and  $\pi_1(M)$  is isomorphic to either  $Z_4$  or Q8. Here if  $\pi_1(M) \cong Q8$ , then m = 4j - 1  $(j \ge 1)$ .

Throughout this section let M denote an m-dimensional  $(m \ge 3)$  connected, compact, non-simply connected homogeneous Riemannian manifold whose sectional curvature  $K_M$  satisfies  $1 \le K_M \le 4$ . If m is even, then  $\pi_1(M)$  is isomorphic to  $Z_2$  by Synge's theorem. In the following we assume that  $m (\ge 3)$  is odd, unless otherwise stated. Then M is orientable. Let  $p: V \to M$  be the universal Riemannian covering and  $\Gamma$  the deck transformation group corresponding to  $\pi_1(M)$ . Let G denote the identity connected component of the full isometry group of M. G is a compact Lie group with respect to the compact open topology. G also acts on M transitively. We take an  $x_0 \in M$  and fix it in the following. Let *H* be the isotropy subgroup of *G* at  $x_0$ . The action  $\Psi : G \times M \to M$   $((\varphi, x) \mapsto \varphi(x))$  on *M* on the left induces a diffeomorphism  $\hat{\Psi} : G/H \to M$   $(\varphi H \mapsto \varphi(x_0))$ .

LEMMA 4.1. Let G and H be as above. If dim H = 0, then  $S^3$  is a covering space of M and  $\Gamma$  is isomorphic to a finite subgroup of SU(2).

PROOF. We identify M with G/H. By assumption, H is a finite subgroup of G. Hence the natural projection  $p_1: G \to G/H$  is a covering map. Let  $\hat{G}$  be the universal covering Lie group of G with covering homomorphism  $p_2$ . Then  $\hat{p} := p_1 \circ p_2: \hat{G} \to G/H$  is a universal covering map and  $\Gamma$  is isomorphic to  $p_2^{-1}(H)$ . Hence  $\hat{G}$  is compact. Let  $\hat{g}$  be the Riemannian metric on  $\hat{G}$  induced from that of G/H by  $\hat{p}$ . Then  $\hat{g}$  is a left invariant metric on  $\hat{G}$  and each sectional curvature K of  $(\hat{G}, \hat{g})$  satisfies  $1 \le K \le 4$ . By a theorem due to Wallach ([20]; Theorem 2.1),  $\hat{G}$  is isomorphic to SU(2) as a Lie group. This completes the proof.

In what follows we assume that dim  $H \ge 1$ . Any nontrivial one-parameter subgroup of H induces a nontrivial Killing vector field on M which vanishes at  $x_0$ . Let X be a nontrivial Killing vector field on M vanishing at  $x_0$ . Let L be the set of all points of M at which X vanishes. Each connected component of L is a compact totally geodesic submanifold (without boundary) embedded in M whose codimension is even ([13]; p. 59). Hence the dimension of each connected component of L is odd since m is odd.

Under the condition that  $1 \le K_M \le 4$ , L has the following properties.

LEMMA 4.2. Let M and L be as above. Then (1) L is connected. (2) L is totally  $\pi/2$ -convex in M. (3)  $i(L) \ge \pi/4$ .

PROOF. Suppose that L is disconnected. Let  $L_1, \ldots, L_s$   $(s \ge 2)$  be the distinct connected components of L. By exchanging indices if necessary, we may assume that  $d(L_1, L_2) \le d(L_i, L_j)$ ,  $1 \le i < j \le s$ . Let  $\sigma : [0, a] \to M$  be a minimizing geodesic segment between  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  such that  $\sigma(0) \in L_1$  and  $\sigma(a) \in L_2$  where  $a = d(L_1, L_2)$ . Then X is a Jacobi field along  $\sigma$  which vanishes at  $\sigma(0)$  and  $\sigma(a)$ . We note here that X does not vanish at  $\sigma(t)$ , 0 < t < a. Since  $K_M \le 4$ , by Lemma 2.6 (1) we get  $a \ge \pi/2$ . Hence we have  $d(M) = a = \pi/2$  because  $d(M) \le \pi/2$ . By the rigidity theorem ([7], [11]), M is of constant curvature 1 because  $K_M \ge 1$  and m is odd. Since  $\sigma(a)$  is the first conjugate point to  $\sigma(0)$  along  $\sigma$ , it must be  $a = \pi$ . This is a contradiction, which implies (1).

Let  $\gamma: [0,b] \to M$  be a geodesic segment such that  $\gamma(0), \gamma(b) \in L$  and  $\gamma([0,b]) \not\subset L$ . Since X is a nontrivial Jacobi field along  $\gamma$ , we have  $b \ge \pi/2$  by Lemma 2.6 (1). This proves (2).

To show (3), we suppose that  $r := i(L) < \pi/4$ . Let x be a cut point of L with d(x, L) = r. It follows from Lemma 2.6 (2) that for each geodesic  $\gamma : [0, \infty) \to M$  emanating orthogonally from L  $\gamma(r)$  is not a focal point of L along  $\gamma$ . Hence there exist distinct minimizing geodesics  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 : [0, r] \to M$  from x to L. By Lemma 2.8 we have  $\sigma_2'(0) = -\sigma_1'(0)$ . Thus there exists a geodesic  $\sigma : [0, 2r] \to M$  such that  $\sigma(0), \sigma(2r) \in L$  and  $\sigma((0, 2r)) \cap L = \emptyset$ . Since L is totally  $\pi/2$ -convex in M, we have  $2r \ge \pi/2$ , which is a contradiction. This shows (3).

Let L be as above. By homogeneity of M, L is a homogeneous Riemannian manifold ([14]; p. 60).

LEMMA 4.3. M contains an embedded, connected, compact, totally geodesic submanifold N (without boundary) with the following properties:

(1) dim N is either 1 or 3.

(2) It is totally  $\pi/2$ -convex in M.

(3)  $i(N) \ge \pi/4$ .

(4) If dim N = 3, then any nontrivial Killing vector field on N nowhere vanishes.

PROOF. Let L be as above. As stated above, dim L is odd and codim L is even. In the case where dim L = 1, we let N = L. Then the claim follows from Lemma 4.2. In the following we assume that dim  $L \ge 3$ . We first consider the case where any nontrivial Killing field on L nowhere vanishes. Then the isotropy subgroup of the isometry group of L at  $x_0$  is a discrete group. Lemma 4.1 shows dim L = 3. Setting N = L, we obtain a submanifold with the required properties. Next let us consider the case where there exists a nontrivial Killing vector field  $X_1$ on L vanishing at some point. Let  $L_1$  be the set of all points of L at which  $X_1$ vanishes. Then dim  $L_1$  is odd and dim  $L_1 \ge 1$ .  $L_1$  has the properties (1), (2) and (3) in Lemma 4.2 as a submanifold of L. Since L is totally  $\pi/2$ -convex in M, so is  $L_1$ . Moreover  $L_1$  is a connected, compact, totally geodesic submanifold (without boundary) embedded in M. By the same way as in the proof of Lemma 4.2 (3), we obtain  $i(L_1) \ge \pi/4$  as a submanifold of M. If dim  $L_1 \ge 3$ , then in  $L_1$  we can

151

carry out the same argument as above. By repeating the argument above, we obtain a submanifold N of M which has the required properties.

From now on let N denote a connected, compact, totally geodesic submanifold (without boundary) embedded in M with the properties stated in Lemma 4.3. Since M is homogeneous, we may assume that  $x_0 \in N$ . N is also a homogeneous Riemannian manifold. Let  $G_1$  be the identity connected component of the isometry group of N and  $H_1$  the isotropy subgroup of  $G_1$  at  $x_0$ .  $G_1$  is a compact Lie group and acts transitively on N.

With the notations stated above, we have

LEMMA 4.4. Assume that dim N = 3. Then (1)  $H_1$  is a finite group. (2) N is covered by  $S^3$ . (3)  $\pi_1(N)$  is isomorphic to a finite subgroup of SU(2).

PROOF. If dim  $H_1 \ge 1$ , then each nontrivial one-parameter subgroup of H induces a nontrivial Killing vector field which vanishes at  $x_0$ . This contradicts Lemma 4.3 (4). Hence dim  $H_1 = 0$  and  $H_1$  is a finite group. Then (2) and (3) follow from (1) and Lemma 4.1.

The following is evident.

LEMMA 4.5. If dim N = 1 and  $\pi_1(M, N) = 0$ , then  $\Gamma$  is a cyclic group.

From Theorem A and Lemma 4.3 we have

LEMMA 4.6. If  $\pi_1(M, N) \neq 0$ , then  $\Gamma$  is isomorphic to either a cyclic group or a binary dihedral group. Moreover if  $\pi_1(M, N) \neq 0$  and  $\Gamma$  is a binary dihedral group, then m = 4j - 1  $(j \ge 1)$ .

**PROOF.** Suppose that  $\Gamma$  is not cyclic. Theorem A and Lemma 4.3 (3) imply that  $i(N) = \pi/4$ . Then Theorem A shows that  $\Gamma \cong D_s^*$   $(s \ge 2)$  and m = 4j - 1  $(j \ge 1)$ .

LEMMA 4.7. Suppose that dim N = 3 and  $\pi_1(M, N) = 0$ . Then  $\pi_1(M) \cong \pi_1(N)$ .

**PROOF.** Let  $\iota_{\sharp} : \pi_1(N, x_0) \to \pi_1(M, x_0)$  be the homomorphism induced from the inclusion  $\iota : N \to M$ . We take an  $x_1 \in p^{-1}(x_0)$  and fix it. By assumption, it suffices to show that  $\iota_{\sharp}$  is injective. To do that, we suppose that ker  $\iota_{\sharp} \neq \{e\}$ . Let  $[\gamma] \in \ker \iota_{\sharp} \setminus \{e\}$ . By assumption,  $\hat{N} := p^{-1}(N)$  is connected and  $\Gamma$ -invariant. Let  $\hat{\gamma}$ :

 $[0, a] \rightarrow V$  be the lift of  $\gamma$  emanating from  $x_1$ . Since  $\gamma$  is homotopic to the point curve  $x_0$  in M,  $\hat{\gamma}$  is a loop in  $\hat{N}$ . Hence  $\hat{N}$  is not simply connected since  $\hat{\gamma}$  is homotopically nontrivial in  $\hat{N}$ . Thus the intrinsic diameter of  $\hat{N}$  is not greater than  $\pi/2$  ([8]). Therefore we have  $d(z, w) \leq \pi/2$  for any  $z, w \in \hat{N}$ . Let x, y be points of V such that d(x, y) = d(V). Then we have  $d(x, y) \ge \pi/2$  because  $1 \le 1$  $K_M \leq 4$  (Theorem 2.1 (1)). We shall show that  $d(x, y) = \pi/2$ . By homogeneity of V we may assume that  $x \in \hat{N}$ . If  $y \in \hat{N}$ , then  $d(x, y) = \pi/2$ . Let  $y \notin \hat{N}$ . If  $d(v, \hat{N}) \ge \pi/2$ , then by applying Lemma 2.4 to the present situation we conclude that V is isometric to  $S^m$ . Since  $\hat{N}$  is totally geodesic, it is isometric to  $S^3$ , which contradicts that  $\hat{N}$  is non-simply connected. Thus we have  $d(y, \hat{N}) < \pi/2$ . Let  $\sigma_1: [0,a] \to V$  be a minimizing geodesic between  $\hat{N}$  and y such that  $\sigma_1(0) \in \hat{N}$ and  $\sigma_1(a) = y$  where  $0 < a < \pi/2$ . Let  $\sigma_2 : [0, b] \to \hat{N}$  be a minimizing geodesic in  $\hat{N}$  from  $\sigma_1(0)$  to x where  $0 < b \le \pi/2$ . Then  $\sigma_1'(0)$  is orthogonal to  $\sigma_2'(0)$ . By applying Toponogov's comparison theorem to the hinge  $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \pi/2)$ , we obtain  $d(x, y) \le \pi/2$ . Hence it must be  $d(x, y) = \pi/2$ . Thus we have  $d(V) = \pi/2$ . By Berger's minimal diameter theorem (Theorem 2.1 (2)), V is isometric to *m*-sphere  $S^{m}(4)$  with constant curvature 4. Then  $\hat{N}$  is isometric to 3-sphere  $S^{3}(4)$  with constant curvature 4 because  $\hat{N}$  is totally geodesic in V. This is a contradiction. Thus we have ker  $\iota_{\sharp} = \{e\}$ , which shows that  $\pi_1(M) \cong \pi_1(N)$ .

LEMMA 4.8. Suppose that dim N = 3 and that there exists a  $\varphi \in G$  such that  $\varphi(N) \neq N$  and  $\varphi(N) \cap N \neq \emptyset$ . Moreover assume that  $\Gamma$  is not cyclic. Then m = 4j-1  $(j \geq 2)$ .

PROOF. Let  $N_1$  be a connected component of  $\varphi(N) \cap N$ . From the property of N (Lemma 4.3 (2)),  $N_1$  is totally  $\pi/2$ -convex in M. Moreover  $N_1$  is a compact, totally geodesic submanifold (without boundary) embedded in M. Since dim N =3 and  $\varphi(N) \neq N$ , we have dim  $N_1 \leq 2$ . By the same way as in the proof of Lemma 4.2 (3), the inequality  $i(N_1) \geq \pi/4$  holds as a submanifold of M. We first assume that dim  $N_1 = 0$ . By homogeneity of M we obtain that  $Inj(M) \geq \pi/4$ . Since  $\Gamma$  is not cyclic, Theorem 4.1 shows that  $Inj(M) = \pi/4$  and m = 4j - 1 $(j \geq 2)$ . If dim  $N_1 = 1$ , then  $\pi_1(M, N_1) \neq 0$  because  $\Gamma$  is not cyclic. If dim  $N_1 = 2$ , then the order of  $\pi_1(N_1)$  is at most two, which implies  $\pi_1(M, N_1) \neq 0$ . Hence we have  $\pi_1(M, N_1) \neq 0$  if  $1 \leq \dim N_1 \leq 2$ . Then Theorem A shows that m = 4j - 1 $(j \geq 2)$ .

As a consequence of Lemma 4.8, we have

LEMMA 4.9. Assume that m = 5 and dim N = 3. Then  $\Gamma$  is a cyclic group.

## Ryosuke ICHIDA

PROOF. Suppose that  $\Gamma$  is not cyclic. Let  $x \in M \setminus N$ . By homogeneity of M, there exists a  $\varphi \in G$  such that  $\varphi(x_0) = x$ . Clearly, we have  $\varphi(N) \neq N$ . It follows from our assumption and Frankel's theorem ([5]) that  $\varphi(N) \cap N \neq \emptyset$ . Then Lemma 4.8 shows that m = 4j - 1  $(j \ge 2)$ , which is a contradiction. Thus  $\Gamma$  is a cyclic group.

We shall prove Theorems B and C. We use the same notations as above.

**PROOF OF THEOREM B.** Let N be as above. By Lemmas 4.5 and 4.6 it suffices to consider the case where dim N = 3 and  $\pi_1(M, N) = 0$ . It follows from Lemmas 4.4 (3) and 4.7 that  $\Gamma$  is isomorphic to a finite subgroup of SU(2).

PROOF OF THEOREM C. We suppose that  $\Gamma$  is not cyclic. Let N be as above. Since m = 4j + 1  $(j \ge 1)$ , Lemmas 4.5 and 4.6 imply that dim N = 3 and  $\pi_1(M, N) = 0$ . By Lemma 4.9 we may assume that  $m = 4j + 1 \ge 9$ . It follows from Lemma 4.8 that  $\varphi(N) \cap N = \emptyset$  or  $\varphi(N) = N$  for all  $\varphi \in G$ . Thus we have  $\varphi(N) = N$  for all  $\varphi \in H$ . Let  $T (\subset T_{x_0} M)$  be the tangent space to N at  $x_0$ . Let  $\varphi, \psi \in G$  be such that  $\varphi(x_0) = \psi(x_0)$ . Since  $\varphi(N) = \psi(N)$ , we have  $(d\varphi)_{x_0}(T) = (d\psi)_{x_0}(T)$ . Hence the action  $\Psi : G \times M \to M$   $((\varphi, x) \mapsto \varphi(x))$  induces a smooth field of 3-planes on M. This field of 3-planes can be lifted to V. Since V is homeomorphic to  $S^{4j+1}$  by the sphere theorem ([2], [8]), there exists a continuous field of 3-planes on  $S^{4j+1}$ . But this is a contradiction because  $S^{4j+1}$  does not admit a continuous field of 3-planes ([19]; p. 144). Therefore  $\Gamma$  is a cyclic group.

## 5. Examples

We give examples of connected, compact, non-simply connected homogeneous Riemannian manifolds whose sectional curvature K satisfies  $\delta A \leq K \leq A$ , where A and  $\delta$  are positive constants and  $1/4 \leq \delta < 1$ . These manifolds are obtained as quotient spaces of Berger spheres.

5.1. By using the formula given in [15] we see that SU(2) admits a left invariant Riemannian metric whose sectional curvature K satisfies  $\delta A \leq K \leq A$ . Let  $\Gamma$  be a nontrivial finite subgroup of SU(2). Then the quotient space M := $SU(2)/\Gamma$  is a homogeneous Riemannian manifold with sectional curvature  $\delta A \leq K_M \leq A$ .

5.2. Let  $HP^m$  be the quaternion projective space with the standard Riemannian metric whose sectional curvature K satisfies  $1 \le K \le 4$  where  $m \ge 2$ .

The symplectic group Sp(m + 1) acts transitively on  $HP^m$  as an isometry group. Fix an  $x \in HP^m$ . The isotropy subgroup of Sp(m + 1) at x is  $Sp(m) \times Sp(1)$ . Let  $V_r$  denote the geodesic hypersphere in  $HP^m$  with radius r and center x,  $0 < r < \pi/2$ .  $V_r$  is diffeomorphic to  $S^{4m-1}$ . The principal curvatures of  $V_r$  with respect to the inner unit normal are  $2 \cot 2r$  and  $\cot r$  whose multiplicity are 3 and 4m - 4 respectively. Let  $K_{\sigma}$  be an arbitrary sectional curvature of  $V_r$  with the metric induced from  $HP^m$ . By using the equation of Gauss, we obtain  $1 + 4 \cot^2 2r \le K_{\sigma} \le 4 + \cot^2 r$ . Thus there exists an r such that  $0 < r < \pi/2$  and  $4(1 + 4 \cot^2 r) \ge 4 + \cot^2 r$ . Let r be such a positive. Since  $HP^m$  is a two point homogeneous Riemannian manifold,  $Sp(m) \times Sp(1)$  acts transitively on  $V_r$  as an isometry group. Let  $\Gamma_0$  be a nontrivial finite subgroup of Sp(1). Then  $\Gamma := \{I\} \times \Gamma_0$  acts freely on  $V_r$ . Since  $Sp(m) \times \{I\}$  acts on  $V_r$  transitively and  $Sp(m) \times \{I\} \subset Z(\Gamma)$ , the quotient space  $M = V_r/\Gamma$  is a homogeneous Riemannian manifold ([21]; p. 73). Then all sectional curvature  $K_M$  of M satisfy  $\delta A \le K_M \le A$ , where  $A = 4 + \cot^2 r$  and  $\delta = (1 + 4 \cot^2 2r)/(4 + \cot^2 r)$ .

5.3. For the complex projective space  $CP^m$  with  $1 \le K \le 4$  the same method as in 5.2 gives us non-simply connected homogeneous Riemannian manifolds M with  $\delta A \le K_M \le A$  whose fundamental groups are cyclic groups.

#### Acknowledgement

The author would like to express his thanks to the referee for useful comments on the first manuscript.

#### References

- [1] Bishop, R. L. and Crittenden, R. J., Geometry of Manifolds, Academic Press, 1964.
- [2] Cheeger, J. and Ebin, D. G., Comparison Theorems in Riemannian Geometry, vol. 9, North Holland Mathematical Library, 1975.
- [3] Cheeger, J. and Gromoll, D., On the structure of complete manifolds of nonnegative curvature, Ann. Math. 96 (1972), 413-443.
- [4] —, On the lower bound for the injectivity radius of 1/4-pinched manifolds, J. Diff. Geo. 15 (1980), 437-442.
- [5] Frankel, T., Manifolds with positive curvature, Pacific J. Math. 11 (1961), 165-174.
- [6] —, On the fundamental group of a compact minimal submanifold, Ann. Math. 83 (1966), 68-73.
- [7] Gromoll, D. and Grove, K., A generalization of Berger's rigidity theorem for positively curved manifolds, Ann. Scient. Éc. Norm. Sup. 20 (1987), 227-239.
- [8] Grove, K. and Shiohama, K., A generalized sphere theorem, Ann. Math. 106 (1977), 201-211.
- [9] Ichida, R., A certain inequality on Riemannian manifolds of positive curvature, Memoirs of the Faculty of Sci. Kyushu Univ. 46 (1992), 105-114.
- [10] ——, A certain inequality on Riemannian manifolds of positive curvature II, Memoirs of the Faculty of Sci. Kyushu Univ. 47 (1993), 41–57.

## Ryosuke ICHIDA

- [11] ——, An inequality for injectivity radii of Riemannian manifolds with positive curvature, Kyushu J. Math. 48 (1994), 233-248.
- [12] Klingenberg, W. and Sakai, T., Injectivity radius estimate for 1/4-pinched manifolds, Archiv der Math. 39 (1980), 371-376.
- [13] Kobayashi, S., Transformation groups in Differential Geometry, vol. 70, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, 1972.
- [14] Kobayashi, S and Nomizu, K., Foundations of Differential Geometry, vol. 2, John Wiley and Sons, 1969.
- [15] Milnor, J., Curvature of left invariant metrics on Lie groups, Advances in Math. 21 (1976), 293– 329.
- [16] Sakai, T., On the diameter of some Riemannian manifold, Archiv der Math. 30 (1978), 427-434.
- [17] Sakai, T. and Shiohama, K., On the structure of positively curved manifolds with certain diameter, Math. Z. 127 (1972), 75-82.
- [18] Shiohama, K., The diameter of  $\delta$ -pinched manifolds, J. Diff. Geo. 5 (1971), 61–74.
- [19] Steenrod, N. E., Topology of Fibre Bundles, Princeton Mathematical Series, Princeton University Press, 1951.
- [20] Wallach, N., Compact homogeneous Riemannian manifolds with positive curvature, Ann. Math. 96 (1972), 277-295.
- [21] Wolf, J. A., Spaces of Constant Curvature, Publish or Perish, 1972.

Department of Mathematics, Yokohama City University 22-2, Seto, Kanazawa-ku, Yokohama, 236-0027 Japan