

AN EQUIVALENT CONDITION FOR CONTINUOUS MAPS OF A CLASS OF CONTINUA TO HAVE ZERO TOPOLOGICAL ENTROPY

By

Jie LÜ[†], Jincheng XIONG[‡] and Xiangdong YE^{*}

Abstract. Extending the famous Bowen-Franks-Misiurewicz's theorem concerning the topological entropy of continuous maps of an interval we prove that continuous maps of a class of continua have zero topological entropy if and only if the periods of all periodic points are powers of 2.

§1. Introduction

All maps considered in this paper are continuous. According to the well-known Bowen-Franks-Misiurewicz's theorem, a map of the unit interval has zero topological entropy if and only if the periods of all periodic points of the map are powers of 2. In [12], the authors shown that the above result is still true when replacing the unit interval by a Warsaw circle. Since Sarkovskii's theorem holds for maps of a hereditarily decomposable chainable continuum (HDCC) [3], it is natural to ask whether Bowen-Franks-Misiurewicz's theorem can be extended to maps of this kind of continua. In this paper, we show that maps of a class of HDCC have zero topological entropy if and only if the periods of all periodic points are powers of 2. To be more precise we introduce some notations.

By a *continuum* we mean a connected compact metric space. A *subcontinuum* is a subset of a continuum and it is a continuum itself. A continuum is *decomposable (indecomposable)* if it can (cannot) be written as the union of two of its proper subcontinua. A continuum is *hereditarily decomposable* if each of its nondegenerate subcontinuum is decomposable. X is said to be *chainable or arc-like* if for each given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a continuous map f_ε from X onto $[0, 1]$

Project supported by NNSF of China.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification* 58F20, 54F20, 54C20.

Received September 1, 1998

Revised June 4, 1999

such that $\text{diam}(f_\varepsilon^{-1}(t)) < \varepsilon$ for each $t \in [0, 1]$. A continuum is *Suslinean* if each collection of its pairwise disjoint nondegenerate subcontinua is countable.

Let X be a continuum and $A \subset X$ be closed. Then there is a subcontinuum X_0 of X containing A such that no proper subcontinuum of X_0 contains A ([6]), and X_0 will be called *irreducible* with respect to A . Particularly, if X is irreducible with respect to $\{a, b\}$ with $a \neq b \in X$, then X is called an *irreducible continuum*.

Let X be a continuum which is hereditarily decomposable irreducible with respect to $\{a, b\}$. Then there is a map $g : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $g(a) = 0$, $g(b) = 1$ and $g^{-1}(t)$ is a maximal nowhere dense subcontinuum for each $t \in [0, 1]$ ([2]). The map g is called the *Kuratowski function* of X . $g^{-1}(t)$ is called a *layer* of X for each $t \in [a, b]$; $g^{-1}(0)$ and $g^{-1}(1)$ are called *end layers* of X and the others are called *interior layers*. For any $x, y \in X$, by $[x, y]$ we denote the subcontinuum irreducible with respect to $\{x, y\}$; and by (x, y) we denote $[x, y]$ minus its end layers. When X is chainable, $[x, y]$ will be unique ([7]).

Let X be a HDCC and $\mathcal{D}_0 = \{X\}$. For an ordinal $\alpha = \beta + 1$, \mathcal{D}_α is the set consisting of degenerate elements of \mathcal{D}_β and the layers of the nondegenerate elements of \mathcal{D}_β , and for a limit ordinal α , \mathcal{D}_α is the set consisting of the intersections $\bigcap_{\beta < \alpha} D_\beta$, where $D_\beta \in \mathcal{D}_\beta$. \mathcal{D}_α will be called an α -th layer of X . By \mathcal{D}_α^{ND} we denote the set of nondegenerate elements of \mathcal{D}_α , and by $D_\alpha(x)$ we denote the element of \mathcal{D}_α containing x for each $x \in X$. It was proved in [5] that there is a countable ordinal τ such that $D_\tau(x) = \{x\}$ for each $x \in X$. The minimal such τ is said to be the *Order* of X and will be denoted by $\text{Order}(X)$. Note that we write $\mathcal{D}_\alpha(X)$ and $\mathcal{D}_\alpha^{ND}(X)$ instead of \mathcal{D}_α and \mathcal{D}_α^{ND} respectively when emphasizing the dependence of them on X .

Let $C(X, X)$ be the collections of all continuous maps on a compact metric space X and ω_0 be the first limit ordinal. Moreover, let

$$\mathcal{H}_{\omega_0+1} = \{X \mid X \text{ is a HDCC and satisfies } \text{Order}(X) = \omega_0+1, (a) \text{ and } (b)\}.$$

- (a) for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{D}_n^{ND}(X)$ is finite.
- (b) $\mathcal{D}_{\omega_0}^{ND}(X)$ is countable and each of its element is homeomorphic to the unit interval $[0, 1]$.

and for each ordinal $\alpha \leq \omega_0$ let

$$\mathcal{H}_\alpha = \{X \mid X \text{ is a HDCC and satisfies } \text{Order}(X) = \alpha \text{ and the above (a)}\}.$$

MAIN RESULT. (*Theorem 4.4*). For each $X \in \bigcup_{\alpha \leq \omega_0+1} \mathcal{H}_\alpha$ and $f \in C(X, X)$, f has zero topological entropy if and only if the periods of all periodic points of f are powers of 2.

REMARK. (i) If $\varphi \in C(I, I)$ is a piecewise monotone continuous map with zero topological entropy then the inverse limit space $\varprojlim \{I, \varphi\} \in \bigcup_{\alpha \leq \omega_0+1} \mathcal{H}_\alpha$ ([10]).

(ii) In fact, the “only if” part of the main result holds for any X which is a HDCC (see theorem 4.4).

§2. Preliminary

According to [3], a total order “ \prec ” can be defined on a HDCC X such that if $a, b, c \in X$ and $a \prec c \prec b$ then $c \in [a, b]$. The total order is not unique on X ([3]), but in the following we will assume that a total order \prec on X was given. Let $A, B \subset X$. We say $A \prec B (A \succ B)$ if $a \prec b (a \succ b)$ for any $a \in A$ and $b \in B$; say $A \preceq B$ if $a \prec B$ or $a \in B$ for any $a \in A$ ($A \succeq B$ is defined similarly).

For $f \in C(X, X)$ we define $f^0 = id$ and inductively $f^n = f \circ f^{n-1}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. An $x \in X$ is a *periodic point* of f of period n if $f^n(x) = x$ and $f^i(x) \neq x$ for $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$. An $x \in X$ is a *recurrent point* of f if for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d(f^n(x), x) < \varepsilon$, where d is a metric of X . An $x \in X$ is a *non-wandering point* of f if for any non-empty neighbourhood U of x there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f^n(U) \cap U \neq \emptyset$. The collections of periodic points, recurrent points and non-wandering points of f will be denoted by $P(f)$, $R(f)$ and $\Omega(f)$ respectively.

For $x \in X$, $O(x, f) = \{x, f(x), f^2(x), \dots\}$ is called the *orbit* of x under f . The set of accumulation points of $O(x, f)$, denoted by $\omega(x, f)$, is called *ω -limit set* of x under f . Note that we use $A \xrightarrow{f} B$ to denote $f(A) \supset B$, where $f \in C(X, X)$ and $A, B \subset X$.

We use $h(f)$ to denote the topological entropy of $f \in C(X, X)$ (for the definition and the basic properties of topological entropy see [1] or [8]). Let $\Sigma = \prod_{i=1}^\infty \{0, 1\}$. For $\alpha = (\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots), \beta = (\beta_1 \beta_2 \dots) \in \Sigma$, $d(\alpha, \beta) = \sum_{i=1}^\infty (2^{-i}) \cdot |\alpha_i - \beta_i|$ is a metric on Σ , and the sum $\alpha + \beta = (g_1 g_2 \dots)$ is defined by: if $\alpha_1 + \beta_1 < 2$ then $g_1 = \alpha_1 + \beta_1$; if $\alpha_1 + \beta_1 \geq 2$ then $g_1 = \alpha_1 + \beta_1 - 2$ and we carry 1 to the next position, and so on. Let $\delta : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ be defined by $\delta(\alpha) = \alpha + (100 \dots)$ for $\alpha \in \Sigma$. It is easy to prove that $\omega(\alpha, \delta) = \Sigma$ for any $\alpha \in \Sigma$ and δ has zero topological entropy. We shall call (Σ, δ) an *adding machine* (see [8]).

We need some known theorems and simple lemmas for the proof of the main result.

THEOREM A. *Let I be a closed interval and $f : I \rightarrow I$ be continuous. Then f has zero topological entropy if and only if the periods of all periodic points of f are powers of 2.*

See [1], [4], [11] and [13] for the proof of Theorem A.

THEOREM B. *Let Y be a hereditarily decomposable chainable continuum and let X be a subcontinuum of Y . If $m \triangleleft n$, f is a continuous map of X into Y and f has a periodic point of period n , then f has a periodic point of period m .*

Here, “ \triangleleft ” means Sarkovskii’s order on the set of all natural numbers. See [3] for the proof of Theorem B.

THEOREM C. *Let X be a compact metric space and $f \in C(X, X)$. Then $h(f) = \sup_{x \in R(f)} h(f|_{\omega(x, f)})$.*

Theorem C is a simple corollary of Variational Principle (see [8]). See Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.4 of [3] for the proofs of the Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2 respectively.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let X and Y be HDCC, $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous surjection, A, B be the end layers of X and C be an end layer of Y . If there is an $a \in A$ such that $f(a) \in C$ and $f(X - (A \cup B)) \cap C = \emptyset$, then $f(A) \supset C$.*

LEMMA 2.2. *Let X and Y be HDCC, $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous surjection, A, B be the end layers of X and $a \in A, b \in B, c \in Y$. If $c \in (f(a), f(b))$, then either there exists $t \in (a, b)$ such that $f(t) = c$ or $[f(a), f(b)] \subset f(A) \cap f(B)$.*

LEMMA 2.3 [9]. *Let X be a compact metric space, $T \in C(X, X)$ and (Σ, δ) be the adding machine. If there is a continuous surjection $\varphi : X \rightarrow \Sigma$, such that $\varphi \circ T = \delta \circ \varphi$ and $A = \{\alpha \in \Sigma : \text{Card}(\varphi^{-1}(\alpha)) \geq 2\}$ is countable, then $h(T) = 0$.*

LEMMA 2.4. *Let X be a HDCC and $f \in C(X, X)$. If there is a periodic point of f of period 3 then there exist disjoint nondegenerate subcontinua J_1, J_2 and $g \in \{f, f^2, f^3\}$ such that $g^2(J_1) \cap g^2(J_2) \supset J_1 \cup J_2$.*

See [3, p. 184] for the proof of Lemma 2.4.

LEMMA 2.5. *Let I be a connected subset of the real line and $f : I \rightarrow I$ be continuous. Then (i) $\overline{R(f)} = \overline{P(f)}$; and (ii) If the periods of all periodic points of f are powers of 2 then $\omega(x, f)$ is a compact set for any $x \in \overline{P(f)}$.*

The claim (i) in the above Lemma is a known result (see [1] for a proof), and (ii) was proved in [12] when $I = (0, 1]$ and the method can be applied to prove the Lemma when $I = (0, 1)$.

§3. Some Elementary Properties

To prove the main result, we will supply several lemmas in this section.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let X be a HDCC and $g : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a Kuratowski function of X . If there are $a, b \in [0, 1]$ such that for any $t \in (a, b)$, $g^{-1}(t)$ is a degenerate element of $\mathcal{D}_1(X)$, then $g|_{g^{-1}((a,b))} : g^{-1}((a,b)) \rightarrow (a,b)$ is a homeomorphism. Moreover, if L is a path connected component of X then L is homeomorphic to a connected subset of the real line.*

PROOF. It is easy to check that $g|_{g^{-1}((a,b))}$ is a continuous bijection and an open map. Hence $g|_{g^{-1}((a,b))} : g^{-1}((a,b)) \rightarrow (a,b)$ is a homeomorphism.

Let L be a path connected component of X , then the subcontinuum \bar{L} of X is a HDCC ([6]). Assume $g : \bar{L} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a Kuratowski function of \bar{L} . Then for each $t \in (0, 1)$, $g^{-1}(t)$ is a degenerate element of \bar{L} by the path connectivity of L . Thus $\bar{L} - (g^{-1}(0) \cup g^{-1}(1))$ is homeomorphic to $(0, 1)$. Therefore, L is homeomorphic to one of $(0, 1]$, $[0, 1]$ and $(0, 1)$. □

LEMMA 3.2. *Let $X \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha$ ($\alpha \leq \omega_0 + 1$) and \mathcal{L}_k be the collection of path connected components of $\bigcup \mathcal{D}_k^{ND} - \bigcup \mathcal{D}_{k+1}^{ND}$, ($k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$). Then for any $C \in \mathcal{L}_{k+1}$, $\bigcup_{i=0}^k (\bigcup \mathcal{L}_i) \cup C$ is an open subset of X .*

PROOF. It is clear that $\bigcup \mathcal{L}_0 = X - \bigcup \mathcal{D}_1^{ND}$ is open in X . For any $C_1 \in \mathcal{L}_1$, there is a $D_1 \in \mathcal{D}_1^{ND}$ such that $C_1 \subset D_1$. By considering the Kuratowski function of D_1 , we have that $B_1 = D_1 - C_1$ is closed in D_1 , and thus B_1 is closed in X .

Since $\bigcup \mathcal{D}_1^{ND}$ is the union of finitely many of pairwise disjoint subcontinua, there is an open neighbourhood W of D_1 in X such that $W \cap (\bigcup \mathcal{D}_1^{ND} - D_1) = \emptyset$. Hence $(\bigcup \mathcal{L}_0) \cup D_1 = (\bigcup \mathcal{L}_0) \cup W$ is open in X , and

$$(\bigcup \mathcal{L}_0) \cup C_1 = ((\bigcup \mathcal{L}_0) \cup D_1) - B_1$$

is open in X .

Suppose $\bigcup_{i=0}^k (\bigcup \mathcal{L}_i) \cup C_{k+1}$ is open in X for any $C_{k+1} \in \mathcal{L}_{k+1}$. By a discussion similar to the above, it is easy to check that $\bigcup_{i=0}^{k+1} (\bigcup \mathcal{L}_i) \cup C_{k+2}$ is open in X for any $C_{k+2} \in \mathcal{L}_{k+2}$. □

LEMMA 3.3. *Suppose that $X \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha$ ($\alpha \leq \omega_0 + 1$). Then (i) X is the union of finitely many of nondegenerate path connected components of X when $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$; (ii) X is the union of countably many of nondegenerate path connected components of X and a totally disconnected set when $\alpha \in \{\omega_0, \omega_0 + 1\}$.*

PROOF. It follows directly from the definition of \mathcal{H}_α ($\alpha \leq \omega_0 + 1$). \square

LEMMA 3.4. *Assume $X \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha$ ($\alpha \leq \omega_0 + 1$), $f \in C(X, X)$ and the periods of all periodic points of f are powers 2. Let W be a subcontinuum of X , $D_0 \prec D_1 \prec \dots \prec D_n$ be all nondegenerate layers of W , $C_1 \prec C_2 \prec \dots \prec C_n$ be all path connected components of $W - \bigcup_{i=0}^n D_i$ and G_i be the path connected components of W with $G_i \supset C_i$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$). If there exist $a \in D_0$ and $b \in D_n$ such that $[f(a), f(b)] = W$, then*

$$p : \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \quad (p(i) = j \Leftrightarrow f(C_i) \subset G_j)$$

is a permutation.

PROOF. Since the periods of all periodic points of f are powers of 2, $f(D_0) \cap f(D_n) \neq W$. By Lemma 2.2, for any $x \in W - (D_0 \cup D_n)$ there exists $t \in W - (D_0 \cup D_n)$ such that $f(t) = x$. Let $x_1 \in C_1$ and $t_1 \in W - (D_0 \cup D_n)$ with $f(t_1) = x_1$. Then there exists an ε -neighborhood $U_\varepsilon(x_1)$ of x_1 in W with $U_\varepsilon(x_1) \subset C_1$ and a δ -neighborhood $V_\delta(t_1)$ of t_1 in W such that $f(V_\delta(t_1)) \subset U_\varepsilon(x_1)$. Since $\bigcup_{i=1}^n D_i$ is nowhere dense in W , there exists $t'_1 \in V_\delta(t_1) \cap (\bigcup_{i=1}^n C_i)$ such that $f(t'_1) \in U_\varepsilon(x_1) \subset C_1$. Assume $t'_1 \in C_{j(1)}$. Then $f(C_{j(1)}) \subset G_1$. By the same argument we get that there are $j(i)$ such that $f(C_{j(i)}) \subset G_i$ for $i = 2, 3, \dots, n$.

If there are $j(i) \neq j'(i)$ such that $f(C_{j(i)}) \cup f(C_{j'(i)}) \subset G_i$, then $f(W) = f(\overline{\bigcup_i C_i}) \subsetneq \overline{\bigcup_i G_i} = W$, as $f(C_i)$ is path connected and $G_k \cup G_l$ is not if $k \neq l$. This contradicts the assumption that $f([a, b]) \supset W$. Thus if $f(C_{j(i)}) \cup f(C_{j'(i)}) \subset G_i$ then $j(i) = j'(i)$. That is, p^{-1} is a permutation, so is p . \square

In the rest of the paper, for each ordinal $\alpha \leq \omega_0 + 1$ and each $X \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha$ let

$$\mathcal{L}_i = \mathcal{L}_i(X) = \{L : L \text{ is a path connected component of } \bigcup \mathcal{D}_i^{ND} - \bigcup \mathcal{D}_{i+1}^{ND}\}, \quad (3.1)$$

where $0 \leq i < \min\{\alpha, \omega_0\}$ and \mathcal{D}_i^{ND} is the set consisting of all nondegenerate i -th layers of X . Furthermore, let

$$\mathcal{L} = \bigcup_{i < \omega_0} \mathcal{L}_i \quad (3.2)$$

LEMMA 3.5. Assume $X \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha$ ($\alpha \in \{\omega_0, \omega_0 + 1\}$), $f \in C(X, X)$ and the periods of all periodic points of f are powers of 2. If $x \in R(f)$ such that (i) $\omega(x, f)$ is infinite; (ii) $\omega(x, f) \cap (\bigcup \mathcal{L}) = \emptyset$; (iii) $D \not\subset \omega(x, f)$ for each $D \in \mathcal{D}_{\omega_0}^{ND}$, then $f(W) = W$, where $W \subset X$ is the subcontinuum irreducible with respect to $\omega(x, f)$.

PROOF. It is obvious that $f(W) \supset W$, so we need only to prove that $f(W) \subset W$. Let $D_0 \prec D_1 \prec \dots \prec D_n$ be all nondegenerate layers of W , $C_1 \prec C_2 \prec \dots \prec C_n$ be all path connected components of $W - \bigcup_{i=0}^n D_i$ and G_i be the path connected components of W with $G_i \supset C_i$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$). Thus $\bigcup_{i=0}^n D_i \supset \omega(x, f)$ since $\omega(x, f) \cap (\bigcup \mathcal{L}) = \emptyset$.

CLAIM. There are $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $a \in D_0$ and $b \in D_n$ such that $f^m(a) \in D_0$ and $f^m(b) \in D_n$.

Since D_i ($0 \leq i \leq n$) are disjoint and closed subset in X and $x \in R(f)$, for any given $a_0 \in D_0 \cap \omega(x, f)$ there is an $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f^{m_0}(a_0) \in D_0$. Furthermore, for any $b \in D_n \cap O(x, f)$ there are $m, r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m = rm_0$ and $f^m(b) \in D_n$ as $b \in R(f) = R(f^{m_0})$. If $f^m(a_0) \in D_0$, then obviously the Claim is true. If $f^m(a_0) \notin D_0$, then there exists $2 \leq s \leq r$ such that $f^{sm_0}(a_0) \in W - D_0$. Let s be the minimum integer with $f^{sm_0}(a_0) \in W - D_0$. As D_0 is an end layer of W , $f^{m_0}(D_0) \supset [f^{m_0}(a_0), f^{sm_0}(a_0)] \supset D_0$, and hence $f^m(D_0) = f^{rm_0}(D_0) \supset D_0$. Thus, there is an $a \in D_0$ such that $f^m(a) \in D_0$. This ends the proof of Claim.

Replacing f in Lemma 3.4 by f^m , we have that

$$p : \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \quad (p(i) = j \Leftrightarrow f^m(C_i) \subset G_j)$$

is a permutation, i.e., $\bigcup_{i=1}^n f^m(C_i) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n G_i$. Hence $f^m(W) = f^m\left(\overline{\bigcup_{i=1}^n C_i}\right) = \overline{\bigcup_{i=1}^n f^m(C_i)} \subset \overline{\bigcup_{i=1}^n G_i} \subset W$ since f^m is a closed map. Thus, we have that $W \subset f(W) \subset f^2(W) \subset \dots \subset f^m(W) \subset W$. That is, $f(W) = W$. \square

§4. The Proof of Main Result

In this section we will prove the main result of the paper. In order to show that for any $x \in R(f)$ $h(f|_{\omega(x, f)}) = 0$ providing $X \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha$ ($\alpha \leq \omega_0 + 1$), $f \in C(X, X)$ and the periods of all periodic points of f are powers 2, we will consider two cases:

CASE 1. $x \in R(f)$, $O(x, f) \cap (\bigcup \mathcal{L}) \neq \emptyset$, where \mathcal{L} is defined by (3.2).

CASE 2. $x \in R(f)$, $O(x, f) \cap (\bigcup \mathcal{L}) = \emptyset$.

LEMMA 4.1. Assume that $X \in \bigcup_{x \leq \omega_0 + 1} \mathcal{H}_x$, $f \in C(X, X)$ and the periods of all periodic points of f are powers of 2. Then for each $x \in R(f)$ with $O(x, f) \cap (\bigcup \mathcal{L}) \neq \emptyset$, $h(f|_{\omega(x, f)}) = 0$.

PROOF. If $O(x, f)$ is finite, it is clear that $\omega(x, f)$ is periodic orbit and $h(f|_{\omega(x, f)}) = 0$. Hence we assume that $O(x, f)$ is infinite. Let $k = \min\{n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} : O(x, f) \cap (\bigcup \mathcal{L}_n) \neq \emptyset\}$ and $C_0 \in \mathcal{L}_k$ with $O(x, f) \cap C_0 \neq \emptyset$. Let C be the path connected component of X containing C_0 . As $x \in R(f)$ and $\bigcup_{i=0}^{k-1} (\bigcup \mathcal{L}_i) \cup C_0$ is open in X (Lemma 3.2), there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f^m(C) \subset C$.

Since C is homeomorphic to a connected subset of the real line (Lemma 3.1), the periods of all periodic points of $f^m|_C$ are powers of 2 and $O(x, f) \cap C_0 \subset R(f^m|_C) \subset \overline{P(f^m|_C)}$ (Lemma 2.5). Then for any $y \in O(x, f) \cap C_0$ we have that $\omega(y, f^m)$ is a compact subset of C by Lemma 2.5. Let $J = [a, b]$ be the subcontinuum of X irreducible with respect to $\omega(y, f^m)$. Then J is a compact subset of C . Let $r : C \rightarrow J$ be the retraction defined by: $r|_{[a, b]} = id$; $r(x) = a$ when $x \in C$ and $x \prec a$; $r(x) = b$ when $x \in C$ and $x \succ b$. It is clear that $r \circ f^m|_J \in C(J, J)$ and that $P(r \circ f^m|_J) \subset P(f)$. Thus, the periods of all periodic points of $r \circ f^m|_J$ are powers of 2. By Theorem A we have that $h(r \circ f^m|_J) = 0$. Hence $h(f^m|_{\omega(y, f^m)}) = h(r \circ f^m|_{J \cap \omega(y, r \circ f^m|_J)}) \leq h(r \circ f^m|_J) = 0$.

As $f^m(f^i(C)) \subset f^i(C)$, by a similar argument we can show that $h(f^m|_{\omega(f^i(y), f^m)}) = 0$ for each $1 \leq i \leq m - 1$. Hence

$$h(f|_{\omega(x, f)}) = \frac{1}{m} h(f^m|_{\omega(x, f)}) = \frac{1}{m} \max_{0 \leq i \leq m-1} h(f^m|_{\omega(f^i(y), f^m)}) = 0. \quad \square$$

LEMMA 4.2. Let $X \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha$ ($\alpha \in \{\omega_0, \omega_0 + 1\}$), $f \in C(X, X)$ and the periods of all periodic points of f be powers of 2. For any given $x \in R(f)$, if $O(x, f) \cap (\bigcup \mathcal{L}) = \emptyset$ and $x \prec f(x)$, then there are closed subsets M_0 and M_1 of X such that: (i) $M_0 \prec M_1$; (ii) $M_0 \supset \omega(x, f^2)$ and $M_1 \supset \omega(f(x), f^2)$.

PROOF. Let W be the subcontinuum irreducible with respect to $\omega(x, f)$, $D_0 \prec D_1 \prec \dots \prec D_n$ be all nondegenerate layers of W , $C_1 \prec C_2 \prec \dots \prec C_n$ be all path connected components of $W - \bigcup_{i=0}^n D_i$ and G_i be the path connected components of W with $G_i \supset C_i$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$). It is easy to check that $\overline{G_i} \subset (D_{i-1} \cup C_i \cup D_i)$. By Lemma 3.5,

$$p : \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \quad (p(i) = j \Leftrightarrow f(C_i) \subset G_j)$$

is a permutation. We complete the proof by considering the following two cases.

CASE 1. $n = 1$. Let $M_0 = D_0$ and $M_1 = D_1$. Then (i) holds. Since $\omega(x, f) \cap C_1 = \emptyset$, $f(M_i \cap \omega(x, f)) \subset M_i \cup M_j$ ($i \neq j \in \{0, 1\}$). In order to show (ii), we need only to prove that $f(M_i \cap \omega(x, f)) \cap M_i = \emptyset$ for $i = 0, 1$. Assume that $f(M_0 \cap \omega(x, f)) \cap M_0 \neq \emptyset$. Note that $f(C_1) \subset C_1$ and $f(W) = W$. Then, by Lemma 2.1, $f^2(M_0) \cap f^2(M_1) \supset M_0 \cup M_1$. It contradicts to our assumption that the periods of all periodic points of f are powers of 2. This proves that $f(M_0 \cap \omega(x, f)) \cap M_0 = \emptyset$. By the same reasoning $f(M_1 \cap \omega(x, f)) \cap M_1 = \emptyset$. Hence the Lemma is true if $n = 1$.

CASE 2. $n > 1$. By the minimum property of $\omega(x, f)$, $p(1) > 1$ and $p(n) < n$. Let $l = \max\{i | p(k) > k \text{ when } k \leq i\}$ and $r = \min\{i | p(k) < k \text{ when } k \geq i\}$. It is obvious that either $l + 1 = r$ or $l + 1 < r$.

SUBCASE 2.1. $l + 1 = r$. Let $A_{l,l+1} = \bar{C}_l \cap \bar{C}_{l+1}$. It is obvious that $D_l \supset A_{l,l+1} \neq \emptyset$. Firstly, we show that $f(A_{l,l+1}) \subset A_{l,l+1}$ and $A_{l,l+1} \cap \omega(x, f) = \emptyset$. If there exists $x \in A_{l,l+1}$ such that $f(x) \prec A_{l,l+1}$, then there exists an open neighborhood U of x in W such that $f(U) \prec A_{l,l+1}$. Hence, by the nowhere density of $A_{l,l+1}$ in W , there exists $x' \in C_l$ such that $f(x') \prec A_{l,l+1}$. It implies that $p(l) \leq l$, a contradiction. Similarly, $f(x) \succ A_{l,l+1}$ dose not hold for any $x \in A_{l,l+1}$. By the minimum property of $\omega(x, f)$, $\omega(x, f) \cap A_{l,l+1} = \emptyset$.

Secondly, we show that $p(l - i) = r + i$ and $p(r + i) = l - i$ ($0 \leq i < l$) and $n = 2l$. Let $A_{i,i+1} = \bar{C}_i \cap \bar{C}_{i+1}$ ($0 < i < n - 1$). Since $f(A_{l,l+1}) \subset \bar{G}_{p(l)} \cap \bar{G}_{p(l+1)}$, we have $l \leq p(r) < p(l) \leq r$, i.e., $p(r) = l$ and $p(l) = r$. Suppose that for $0 \leq i \leq k < l$ we have $p(l - i) = r + i$ and $p(r + i) = l - i$. Then, on one hand, $r + k < p(l - k - 1)$ by p being a permutation; on the other hand, $p(l - k - 1) \leq r + k + 1$ by the fact that $f(\overline{C_{l-k-1}}) \cap f(\overline{C_{l-k}}) \supset f(A_{l-k-1,l-k}) \neq \emptyset$. Hence $p(l - k - 1) = r + k + 1$. Similarly, we have that $p(r + k + 1) = r - k - 1$. Note the facts that p is a permutation, $l = \text{Card}\{C_i | p(i) > l\}$ and $n - l = \text{Card}\{C_i | p(i) < r\}$. Then $l \leq n - l \leq l$, that is, $n = 2l$.

Finally, we give the structure of M_0 and M_1 . If $A_{l,l+1} = D_l$, let $M_0 = \bigcup_{i < l} D_i$ and $M_1 = \bigcup_{i > l} D_i$. Then it is easy to check that (i) and (ii) hold. If $A_{l,l+1} \neq D_l$, since $\omega(x, f)$ and $A_{l,l+1}$ are disjoint closed subsets, there exists an open set U in W such that $U \supset A_{l,l+1}$ and $U \cap \omega(x, f) = \emptyset$. Set $D'_l = D_l - (U \cup \bar{C}_{l+1})$ and $D''_l = D_l - (U \cup \bar{C}_l)$. Then $M_0 := (\bigcup_{i < l} D_i) \cup D'_l$ and $M_1 := (\bigcup_{i > l} D_i) \cup D''_l$ are the subsets we need.

SUBCASE 2.2. $l + 1 < r$. Let $V = \bigcup_{i=l+1}^{r-1} \bar{C}_i$. We will first show that $f(V) \subset V$ and $\omega(x, f) \cap V = \emptyset$. In fact, since V is connected, $p(l + 1) \leq l + 1$ and

$p(r-1) \geq r-1$, we have $p(\{l+1, l+2, \dots, r-1\}) \supset \{l+1, l+2, \dots, r-1\}$. As p is a permutation, $p(\{l+1, l+2, \dots, r-1\}) = \{l+1, l+2, \dots, r-1\}$, and hence $f(V) \subset V$. By the minimum property of $\omega(x, f)$, $\omega(x, f) \cap V = \emptyset$. Let $M_0 = \bigcup_{i \leq l} D_i$ and $M_1 = \bigcup_{i \geq r} D_i$. Then (i) holds. In order to show (ii), it is sufficient to prove that:

$$\{1, 2, \dots, l\} \xrightleftharpoons[p]{p} \{r, r+1, \dots, n\}. \tag{4.1}$$

Since p is a permutation and $p(l) > l$, then $p(l) \geq r$. As $f(\overline{C}_l) \cap f(V) \supset f(A_{l, l+1}) \neq \emptyset$, we have $p(l) \leq r$, and hence $p(l) = r$. Similarly, $p(r) = l$. By an induction argument similar to paragraph 2 in Subcase 2.1, we can show that $p(l-i) = r+i$ and $p(r+i) = l-i$ ($0 \leq i < l$), that is, (4.1) holds. \square

LEMMA 4.3. *Let $X \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha$ ($\alpha \in \{\omega_0, \omega_0 + 1\}$), $f \in C(X, X)$ and the periods of all periodic points of f be powers of 2. If $x \in R(f)$ and $O(x, f) \cap (\bigcup \mathcal{L}) = \emptyset$, then for each $s \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_s \in \{0, 1\}$ there exist closed subset $M_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_s}$ of X such that*

- (i) $\omega(f^k(x), f^{2^s}) \subset M_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_s}$, where $k = i_1 + i_2 2 + \dots + i_s 2^{s-1}$.
- (ii) $M_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_s} \prec M_{i_1 i_2 \dots \bar{i}_s}$ or $M_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_s} \succ M_{i_1 i_2 \dots \bar{i}_s}$, where $i_s + \bar{i}_s = 1$.
- (iii) $M_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_s} \supset M_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_{s+1}} \cup M_{i_1 i_2 \dots \bar{i}_{s+1}}$.
- (iv) For any $\gamma = (i_1 i_2 \dots) \in \Sigma$, $\bigcap_{s \geq 1} M_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_s}$ is contained in some element of $th\text{-}\omega_0$ layer of X , that is, there exists $A \in \mathcal{D}_{\omega_0}$ such that $\bigcap_{s \geq 1} M_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_s} \subset A$.

PROOF. As for each $s \in \mathbb{N}$, $\omega(x, f) = \bigcup_{k=0}^{2^s-1} \omega(f^k(x), f^{2^s})$, (i)–(iii) are direct consequence of Lemma 4.2. In order to prove (iv), it is sufficient to show that if for an $m \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $D \in \mathcal{D}_m^{ND}$ such that $\bigcap_{s \geq 1} M_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_s} \subset D$ then there exists $D' \in \mathcal{D}_{m+1}^{ND}$ such that $\bigcap_{s \geq 1} M_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_s} \subset D'$. Suppose, for some $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $M_{i_1} \subset D \in \mathcal{D}_m^{ND}$ and $M_{i_1} \not\subset D'$ for any $D' \in \mathcal{D}_{m+1}^{ND}$. Then there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the number of nondegenerate layers of D is less than 2^k . By the way that $M_{i_1 i_2}$ is obtained (see Lemma 4.2), we know that the number of nondegenerate layers of D which intersect $M_{i_1 i_2}$ is less than 2^{k-1} . Inductively, for each $1 \leq j \leq k$ the number of nondegenerate layers of D which intersect $M_{i_1 \dots i_j}$ is less than 2^{k+1-j} . Hence $M_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k}$ intersects only one nondegenerate layer of D , i.e., there exists $D' \in \mathcal{D}_{m+1}^{ND}$ such that $M_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k} \subset D'$. Hence $\bigcap_{s \geq 1} M_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_s} \subset D'$. \square

THEOREM 4.4. *For each $X \in \bigcup_{\alpha \leq \omega_0 + 1} \mathcal{H}_\alpha$ and $f \in C(X, X)$, $h(f) = 0$ if and only if the periods of all periodic points of f are powers of 2.*

PROOF. Suppose f has a periodic point whose period is not a power of 2. By theorem B, there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$, such that f^m has a periodic point of period 3. By Lemma 2.4, there are disjoint nondegenerate subcontinua J_1 and J_2 of X , and $g \in \{f^m, f^{2m}, f^{3m}\}$ such that $J_1 \cup J_2 \subset g^2(J_1) \cap g^2(J_2)$, and topological entropy $h(g^2) \geq \log 2$, hence $h(f) > 0$. Thus, if $h(f) = 0$ then the periods of all periodic points of f are powers of 2.

Now we suppose that the periods of all periodic points of f are powers 2 and want to prove that $h(f) = 0$. By theorem C, we need only to prove that for any $x \in R(f)$, $h(f|_{\omega(x,f)}) = 0$. If $O(x, f) \cap (\bigcup \mathcal{L}) \neq \emptyset$, then $h(f|_{\omega(x,f)}) = 0$ by Lemma 4.1. Hence we assume $O(x, f) \cap (\bigcup \mathcal{L}) = \emptyset$ and $\omega(x, f)$ is an infinite set. By Lemma 4.3, for each $s \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_s \in \{0, 1\}$ there exists a closed subset $M_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_s}$ of X with properties listed in the Lemma. Define $\varphi : \omega(x, f) \rightarrow \Sigma$ such that $\varphi(y) = \gamma$ if $y \in \bigcap_{s \geq 1} M_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_s}$ and $\gamma = (i_1 i_2 \dots)$.

It is easy to check that φ is a continuous surjection and satisfies that $\varphi(f(y)) = \delta(\varphi(y))$. By (iv) of Lemma 4.3, $(\omega(x, f), f|_{\omega(x,f)})$ is topologically conjugate to the adding machine (Σ, δ) if $Order(X) = \omega_0$, or $(\omega(x, f), f|_{\omega(x,f)})$ is semi-conjugate to the adding machine (Σ, δ) if $Order(X) = \omega_0 + 1$. As $\mathcal{D}_{\omega_0}^{ND}$ is countable, by lemma 2.3, $h(f_{\omega(x,f)}) = 0$. □

Let $I = [0, 1]$ and $\varphi \in C(I, I)$. The *inverse limit space* $\varprojlim \{I, \varphi\}$ is the subspace of $\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} I$ defined by

$$\varprojlim \{I, \varphi\} = \{x = (x_1 x_2 \dots) \in \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} I : \varphi(x_{i+1}) = x_i, i \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

The following corollary shows that the class of HDCC is a larger class in some sense.

COROLLARY 4.5. *Let $\varphi \in C(I, I)$ be a piecewise monotone continuous map with zero topological entropy and $M = \varprojlim \{I, \varphi\}$. If $f \in C(M, M)$ then $h(f) = 0$ if and only if the periods of all periodic points of f are powers of 2.*

PROOF. By [10], $M \in \bigcup_{\alpha \leq \omega_0 + 1} \mathcal{H}_\alpha$. □

In the end, we would like to ask the following question: on which hereditarily decomposable chainable continua the Bowen-Franks-Misiurewicz's theorem holds? Our conjecture is:

CONJECTURE. Assume that X is a Suslinean chainable continuum and $f \in C(X, X)$. Then $h(f) = 0$ if and only if the periods of all periodic points of f are powers of 2.

References

- [1] L. Block and W. A. Coppel, Dynamics in One Dimension, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1513** Springer-Verlag, 1992.
- [2] K. Kuratowski, Topology II Academic Press, New York; PWN, Warsaw, 1968.
- [3] P. Minc and W. R. R. Transue, Sarkovskii's Theorem for hereditarily decomposable chainable continua, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **315** (1989), 173–188.
- [4] M. Misiurewicz, Horseshoes for mappings of the interval, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Ser. Sci. Math. **27** (1979), 167–169.
- [5] L. Mohler, A depth of tranches in λ -dendroida, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **96** (1986), 715–720.
- [6] S. B. Nadler Jr., Continuum Theory, Pure and Appl. Math. **158** (1992).
- [7] W. S. Tomas Jr., Monotone decomposition of irreducible continua, Rozprawy Mat, **50** (1960).
- [8] P. Walters, An Introduction to Ergodic Theory, Springer, New York, 1982.
- [9] X. D. Ye, The dynamics of homeomorphisms of hereditarily decomposable chainable continua, Topology Appl., **64** (1995), 85–93.
- [10] X. D. Ye, On inverse limit spaces of maps of an interval with zero entropy, Topology Appl., **91** (1999), 105–118.
- [11] J. C. Xiong, A brief proof of Misiurewicz's Theorem (Chinese), Journal USTC **19** (1989), 21–24.
- [12] J. C. Xiong, X. D. Ye, Z. Q. Zhang and J. Huan, Some dynamics of the continuous maps on Warsaw-circle (Chinese), Acta. math. Sinica, **39** (1996), 294–299.
- [13] Z. L. Zhou, A proof of the conjecture on small entropy (Chinese), Science of China(A) **10** (1985), 882–889.

† Department of Mathematics,
South China Normal University,
Guangzhou, Guangdong,
510631, P.R. China

‡ Department of Mathematics,
Suzhou University, Suzhou,
Jiangsu, 215006, P.R. China

* Department of Mathematics,
University of Science
and Technology of China,
Hefei, Anhui, 230026, P.R. China