

# CAUCHY PROBLEMS RELATED TO DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS WITH COEFFICIENTS OF GENERALIZED HERMITE OPERATORS

By

Xiaowei XU

## 1. Introduction

As an example, let us consider the Schrödinger equation:

$$\{D_t + D_x^2 + V(x)\}u(t, x) = 0,$$

where  $D_t = (1/i)(\partial/\partial t)$ ,  $D_x = (1/i)(\partial/\partial x)$ . In the harmonic oscillator case, where the potential energy function  $V(x)$  is equal to  $x^2$ , X. Feng ([1]) considered it as follows.

As is well known, the Hermite function

$$\Phi_\alpha(x) = (\alpha!2^\alpha)^{-1/2}(-1)^\alpha \pi^{-1/4} e^{x^2/2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^\alpha e^{-x^2}$$

is an eigenfunction of the Hermite operator  $H = D_x^2 + x^2$ , corresponding to an eigenvalue  $2\alpha + 1$ , that is,

$$H\Phi_\alpha(x) = (2\alpha + 1)\Phi_\alpha(x)$$

for any  $\alpha \in I_+ = \{0, 1, \dots\}$ . Moreover,  $\{\Phi_\alpha | \alpha \in I_+\}$  is a complete orthonormal system of  $L^2(R)$ , and  $\Phi_\alpha(x)$  belongs to  $S(R)$ , where  $S(R)$  is the L. Schwartz space of rapidly decreasing functions in  $R$  ([2]).

Suppose  $u(t, x) \in S'(R_x)$  for fixed  $t$ , where  $S'(R)$  is the conjugate space of  $S(R)$ , and set

$$u_\alpha(t) = \langle u(t, x), \Phi_\alpha(x) \rangle.$$

Then the Cauchy problem

$$(A) \begin{cases} (D_t + H)u(t, x) = 0 & (0 \leq t \leq T, x \in R), \\ u(0, x) = \delta(x) & (x \in R) \end{cases}$$

---

Received December 8, 1997.

Revised February 4, 1998.

is reduced to the Cauchy problems of ordinary differential equations

$$(a)_\alpha \begin{cases} \{D_t + (2\alpha + 1)\}u_\alpha(t) = 0 & (0 \leq t \leq T), \\ u_\alpha(0) = \Phi_\alpha(0). \end{cases}$$

Therefore, the solution  $u(t, x)$  of the problem (A) can be formally represented by making use of solutions  $\{u_\alpha(t)\}$  of the problems  $(a)_\alpha$ . More precisely,

$$u(t, x) = \sum_{\alpha \in I_+} u_\alpha(t) \Phi_\alpha(x) = \sum_{\alpha \in I_+} \Phi_\alpha(0) e^{-i(2\alpha+1)t} \Phi_\alpha(x).$$

X. Feng proved that  $u(t, x) \in S'(R_x)$ , owing to the Hermite expression theory in  $S'(R)$  (B. Simon [3]).

How about anharmonic oscillator cases? Suppose that  $\{\phi_\alpha(x)\}$  is a complete orthonormal system in  $L^2(R)$ , where  $\phi_\alpha(x)$  is an eigenfunction of the generalized Hermite operator  $L = D_x^2 + x^2 + x^4 + 1$ , corresponding to an eigenvalue  $\lambda_\alpha$ . Then the solution of the Cauchy problem of the Schrödinger equation

$$\begin{cases} (D_t + L)u(t, x) = 0 & (0 \leq t \leq T, x \in R), \\ u(0, x) = \delta(x) & (x \in R) \end{cases}$$

can be given by

$$u(t, x) = \sum_{\alpha \in I_+} \phi_\alpha(0) e^{-i\lambda_\alpha t} \phi_\alpha(x).$$

Our aim in this paper is to prove  $u(t, x) \in S'(R_x)$ . In the following, this problem will be considered in a more general situation.

## 2. Preparations

Let us define a generalized Hermite operator  $L$  by

$$L = (L_1, \dots, L_n),$$

$$L_j = D_{x_j}^2 + V_j(x_j) \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, n),$$

where  $V_j(s)$  is a  $C^\infty(R)$ -function satisfying the following conditions: there exist  $\delta_j > 0$ ,  $c_0 > 0$ , and  $C_k > 0$  ( $k \in I_+$ ) such that

$$\begin{cases} V_j(s) \geq c_0(1 + |s|)^{2\delta_j} & (\forall s \in R), \\ |D_s^k V_j(s)| \leq C_k(1 + |s|)^{2\delta_j} & (\forall s \in R). \end{cases}$$

**LEMMA 1.** *There exist  $\{\phi_{jk}(s)\}_{k \in I_+}$  satisfying the following conditions, where  $\phi_{jk}(s)$  is an eigenfunction of  $L_j$ , corresponding to an eigenvalue  $\lambda_{jk}$ .*

1)  $0 < \lambda_{j0} \leq \lambda_{j1} \leq \dots \leq \lambda_{jk} \leq \dots$ , and there exists  $p_0 > 0$  such that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{jk}^{-p_0} < +\infty.$$

2)  $\phi_{jk}(s)$  is real valued, and  $\{\phi_{jk}(s)\}_{k \in I_+}$  is a complete orthonormal system of  $L^2(R)$ .

3)  $\phi_{jk}(s) \in S(R)$ , and there exist  $C(l) > 0$  and  $p(l) > 0$  for any  $l \in I_+$  such that

$$\|\phi_{jk}\|_l := \sum_{\alpha+\beta \leq l} \sup_{x \in R} |s^\alpha D_s^\beta \phi_{jk}(s)| \leq C(l) \lambda_{jk}^{p(l)} \quad (\forall k \in I_+)$$

holds.

Lemma 1 is proved in [4] under assumptions slightly different to ours, but it is proved similarly.

Now, for any  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ ,  $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) \in I_+^n$ , we put

$$\phi_\alpha(x) = \prod_{j=1}^n \phi_{j, \alpha_j}(x_j), \quad \lambda_\alpha = (\lambda_{1, \alpha_1}, \dots, \lambda_{n, \alpha_n}),$$

$$L^\beta = \prod_{j=1}^n L_j^{\beta_j} \quad \lambda_\alpha^\beta = \prod_{j=1}^n \lambda_{j, \alpha_j}^{\beta_j}$$

and denote

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda &= \{\lambda_\alpha \mid \alpha \in I_+^n\} \\ &= \{(\lambda_{10}, \lambda_{20}, \dots, \lambda_{n0}), (\lambda_{11}, \lambda_{20}, \dots, \lambda_{n0}), (\lambda_{10}, \lambda_{21}, \dots, \lambda_{n0}), \dots\}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 1, it is easy to prove

LEMMA 2.  $\phi_\alpha(x)$  is an eigenfunction of  $L^\beta$  corresponding to an eigenvalue  $\lambda_\alpha^\beta$ , and they satisfy

1) there exists  $p_0 > 0$  such that

$$\sum_{\alpha \in I_+^n} |\lambda_\alpha|^{-p_0} < +\infty,$$

2)  $\{\phi_\alpha(x)\}_{\alpha \in I_+^n}$  is a complete orthonormal system of  $L^2(R^n)$ ,

3)  $\phi_\alpha(x) \in S(R^n)$ , and there exist  $C(l) > 0$  and  $p(l) > 0$  for any  $l \in I_+$  such that

$$\|\phi_\alpha\|_l \leq C(l) |\lambda_\alpha|^{p(l)} \quad (\forall \alpha \in I_+^n).$$

Here we call  $\{\phi_\alpha(x)\}_{\alpha \in I_+^n}$  a family of generalized Hermite functions.

Let  $s$  be a space, whose element

$$a = (a_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I_+^n} = (a_{0,\dots,0}, a_{1,0,\dots,0}, a_{0,1,0,\dots,0}, \dots) \quad (a_\alpha \in C)$$

satisfies

$$|a|_h := \sup_{\alpha \in I_+^n} |a_\alpha| |\lambda_\alpha|^{h/2} < +\infty \quad (\forall h \in I_+).$$

$s$  is a Fréchet space with a countable set of seminorms  $\{|a|_h\}_{h \in I_+}$ . Let  $s'$  be the conjugate space of  $s$ . Namely,  $s'$  is a set of all linear continuous mappings from  $s$  to  $C$ . More precisely, let  $b \in s'$ , that is,  $b : s \ni a \rightarrow \langle b, a \rangle \in C$ . Then there exist  $h > 0$  and  $C > 0$  such that it holds

$$|\langle b, a \rangle| \leq C|a|_h \quad (\forall a \in s).$$

LEMMA 3. 1) Let  $f(x) \in S(R^n)$  and set

$$a(f) = \{a_\alpha(f)\}_{\alpha \in I_+^n}, \quad a_\alpha(f) = \langle f, \phi_\alpha \rangle.$$

Then

$$S(R^n) \ni f(x) \rightarrow a(f) \in s$$

is linear continuous. More precisely, there exists  $C_h > 0$  for any  $h$  such that

$$|a(f)|_h \leq C_h \|f\|_{2n+(\delta+1)h} \quad \left( \delta = \max_j \delta_j \right).$$

2) Conversely, let  $a \in s$  and set

$$f(x) = \sum_{\alpha} a_\alpha \phi_\alpha(x).$$

Then

$$s \ni a \rightarrow f \in S(R^n)$$

is linear and continuous. More precisely, there exists  $C_l > 0$  for any  $l$  such that

$$\|f\|_l \leq C_l |a|_{2p(l)+2p_0}.$$

Moreover,  $a(f) = a$  holds.

PROOF. 1) For any  $h \in I_+$ , it holds

$$\begin{aligned} |a(f)|_{2h} &= \sup_{\alpha \in I_+^n} |a_\alpha(f)| |\lambda_\alpha|^h \\ &\leq C_h \sup_{\alpha \in I_+^n} (\lambda_{1,\alpha_1}^h + \dots + \lambda_{n,\alpha_n}^h) |\langle f, \phi_\alpha \rangle| \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\lambda_{j,\alpha_j}^h |\langle f, \phi_\alpha \rangle| = |\langle f, L_j^h \phi_\alpha \rangle| = |\langle L_j^h f, \phi_\alpha \rangle| \leq \|L_j^h f\|_{L^2}.$$

Hence

$$|a(f)|_{2h} \leq C_h \sum_{j=1}^n \|L_j^h f\|_{L^2}.$$

On the other hand, since

$$|D_{x_j}^k V_j(x_j)| \leq C_k (1 + |x_j|)^{2\delta_j},$$

we have

$$\|L_j^h f\|_{L^2} = \|(D_{x_j}^2 + V(x_j))^h f\|_{L^2} \leq C_h \sum_{\substack{r \leq 2\delta h \\ \beta \leq 2h}} \|x_j^r D_{x_j}^\beta f\|_{L^2} \leq C'_h \|f\|_{2n+2(\delta+1)h}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$|a(f)|_{2h} \leq C_h \|f\|_{2n+2(\delta+1)h}.$$

2) Conversely, let  $a = \{a_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I_+^n}$ , then we have from 1) and 3) of Lemma 2,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\alpha \in I_+^n} \|a_\alpha \phi_\alpha\|_l &= \sum_{\alpha \in I_+^n} |a_\alpha| \|\phi_\alpha\|_l \\ &\leq \sum_{\alpha \in I_+^n} |a_\alpha| C(l) |\lambda_\alpha|^{p(l)} \\ &\leq C(l) \sup_{\alpha} |a_\alpha| |\lambda_\alpha|^{p(l)+p_0} \sum_{\alpha \in I_+^n} |\lambda_\alpha|^{-p_0} \\ &= C'(l) |a|_{2p(l)+2p_0} \end{aligned}$$

for any  $l$ . Therefore,  $\sum_{\alpha \in I_+^n} a_\alpha \phi_\alpha(x)$  is a convergent sequence in  $S(R)$ . Hence, set

$$f(x) = \sum_{\alpha \in I_+^n} a_\alpha \phi_\alpha(x).$$

Then it holds that

$$\|f\|_l \leq C'(l) |a|_{2p(l)+2p_0},$$

and

$$a_\beta(f) = \langle f, \phi_\beta \rangle = \sum_{\alpha \in I_+^n} a_\alpha \langle \phi_\alpha, \phi_\beta \rangle = a_\beta.$$

Thus  $a(f) = a$  holds. □

LEMMA 4. 1) Let  $T \in S'(R^n)$ , and put

$$b = \{b_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I_+^n}, \quad b_\alpha = \langle T, \phi_\alpha \rangle.$$

Then

- i) there exists  $h > 0$  such that  $|b|_{-h} := \sup_{\alpha} |b_\alpha| |\lambda_\alpha|^{-h/2} < \infty$ ,
- ii)  $b : s \ni \forall a \rightarrow \sum_{\alpha} a_\alpha b_\alpha \in C$  belongs to  $s'$ ,
- iii) for any  $f \in S(R^n)$ , it holds

$$\langle T, f \rangle = \sum_{\alpha} b_\alpha a_\alpha(f), \quad a_\alpha(f) = \langle f, \phi_\alpha \rangle.$$

2) Conversely, let  $b \in s'$ . Then  $T : S(R^n) \ni f \rightarrow \langle b, a(f) \rangle$  belongs to  $S'(R^n)$ .

PROOF. 1) i) Since  $T : S(R^n) \ni \phi \rightarrow \langle T, \phi \rangle \in C$  is continuous, there exist  $C > 0$  and  $l > 0$  such that

$$|b_\alpha| = |\langle T, \phi_\alpha \rangle| \leq C \|\phi_\alpha\|_l \leq CC(l) |\lambda_\alpha|^{p(l)},$$

using 3) of Lemma 2. Hence we have  $|b|_{-2p(l)} < +\infty$ .

ii) Let  $h$  be the number in i). Then we have

$$|b_\alpha| |\lambda_\alpha|^{-h/2} \leq C \quad (\forall \alpha \in I_+^n).$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\alpha} |a_\alpha| |b_\alpha| &\leq C \sum_{\alpha} |a_\alpha| |\lambda_\alpha|^{h/2} \\ &\leq C \sum_{\alpha} |\lambda_\alpha|^{-p_0} \sup_{\alpha} |a_\alpha| |\lambda_\alpha|^{h/2+p_0} \\ &= C' |a|_{h+2p_0}, \end{aligned}$$

for any  $a = \{a_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I_+^n} \in s$ , where we used 1) of Lemma 2. Hence

$$b : s \ni a \rightarrow \langle b, a \rangle = \sum_{\alpha} a_\alpha b_\alpha \in C$$

is a linear continuous mapping, that is,  $b$  belong to  $s'$ .

iii) Let  $f(x) \in S(R^n)$ . Then we have

$$f(x) = \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha}(f) \phi_{\alpha}(x) \quad \text{in } S(R^n),$$

where  $a_{\alpha}(f) = \langle f, \phi_{\alpha} \rangle$  ( $\alpha \in I_+^n$ ) from 2) of Lemma 3. Hence we have

$$\langle T, f \rangle = \left\langle T, \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha}(f) \phi_{\alpha} \right\rangle = \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha}(f) \langle T, \phi_{\alpha} \rangle = \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha}(f) b_{\alpha}.$$

2) Conversely, for any  $f(x) \in S(R^n)$ , we have  $a(f) = \{a_{\alpha}(f)\} \in s$ , and there exists  $C_h > 0$  for any  $h \in I_+$  such that

$$|a(f)|_h \leq C_h \|f\|_{2n+(\delta+1)h},$$

from 1) of Lemma 3. Let  $b \in s'$ . Then there exist  $C > 0$  and  $h > 0$  such that

$$|\langle b, a(f) \rangle| \leq C |a(f)|_h.$$

Therefore, we have

$$|\langle b, a(f) \rangle| \leq C \|f\|_{2n+(\delta+1)h}.$$

Hence

$$T : S(R^n) \ni f \rightarrow \langle b, a(f) \rangle \in C$$

is a linear continuous mapping, namely  $T \in S'(R^n)$ . □

We say that  $u(t, x) \in B^h([0, T], S'(R^n))$ , iff

$$u : [0, T] \ni t \rightarrow u(t, x) \in S'(R^n)$$

is continuously differentiable up to order  $h$  in the sense of simple topology of  $S'(R^n)$ .

LEMMA 5. 1) Suppose  $u(t, x) \in B^h([0, T], S'(R_x^n))$ , and set

$$u_{\alpha}(t) = \langle u(t, x), \phi_{\alpha}(x) \rangle.$$

Then there exist  $C > 0$  and  $p > 0$  such that

$$|D_t^j u_{\alpha}(t)| \leq C |\lambda_{\alpha}|^p \quad (\alpha \in I_+^n, 0 \leq j \leq h).$$

2) Conversely, suppose

$$|D_t^j u_{\alpha}(t)| \leq C |\lambda_{\alpha}|^p \quad (\alpha \in I_+^n, 0 \leq j \leq h),$$

and set

$$u(t, x) = \sum_{\alpha} u_{\alpha}(t) \phi_{\alpha}(x),$$

that is,

$$u : S(R^n) \ni f \rightarrow \langle u(t, x), f \rangle = \sum_{\alpha} u_{\alpha}(t) \langle \phi_{\alpha}(x), f(x) \rangle = \sum_{\alpha} u_{\alpha}(t) a_{\alpha}(f) \in C$$

for  $t \in [0, T]$ . Then  $u(t, x) \in B^h([0, T], S'(R^n))$ .

**PROOF.** 1) Suppose  $u(t, x) \in B^h([0, T], S'(R^n))$ , then  $H = \{u(t, x) \mid t \in [0, T]\}$  is a bounded set in  $S'(R^n)$  in the sense of simple topology. By using the fundamental lemma of Fréchet space ([5]), there exist  $C > 0$  and  $l_0 > 0$  such that

$$|\langle u(t, x), \phi(x) \rangle| \leq C \|\phi\|_{l_0} \quad (\forall t \in [0, T], \forall \phi \in S(R^n)).$$

Therefore, it holds

$$|u_{\alpha}(t)| = |\langle u(t, x), \phi_{\alpha}(x) \rangle| \leq C \|\phi_{\alpha}\|_{l_0} \quad (\alpha \in I_+^n).$$

Besides, since

$$\|\phi_{\alpha}\|_{l_0} \leq C(l_0) |\lambda_{\alpha}|^{p(l_0)}$$

from 3) of Lemma 2, we have

$$|u_{\alpha}(t)| \leq CC(l_0) |\lambda_{\alpha}|^{p(l_0)}.$$

In the same way, we have

$$|D_t^j u_{\alpha}(t)| \leq C |\lambda_{\alpha}|^p \quad (\alpha \in I_+^n, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, h).$$

2) Conversely, suppose

$$|D_t^j u_{\alpha}(t)| \leq C |\lambda_{\alpha}|^p. \quad (\alpha \in I_+^n, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, h),$$

and set

$$u : S(R^n) \ni f \rightarrow \langle u(t, x), f(x) \rangle = \sum_{\alpha \in I_+^n} u_{\alpha}(t) a_{\alpha}(f) \in C, \quad a_{\alpha}(f) = \langle f, \phi_{\alpha} \rangle.$$

Then  $u(t, x)$  belongs to  $S'(R^n)$ , from 2) of Lemma 4. Since



$$\sum_{\alpha \in I_+^n} D_t^j u_\alpha(t) a_\alpha(f) \quad (j = 0, 1, \dots, h)$$

are uniformly convergent sequences in  $[0, T]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} D_t^j \langle u(t, x), f(x) \rangle &= D_t^j \sum_{\alpha} u_\alpha(t) a_\alpha(f) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha} D_t^j u_\alpha(t) a_\alpha(f). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |D_t^j \langle u(t, x), f(x) \rangle| &\leq \sum_{\alpha} |a_\alpha(f)| |D_t^j u_\alpha(t)| \\ &\leq C \sum_{\alpha} |a_\alpha(f)| |\lambda_\alpha|^p. \end{aligned}$$

By using 1) of Lemma 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\alpha} |a_\alpha(f)| |\lambda_\alpha|^p &= \sup_{\alpha \in I_+^n} |a_\alpha(f)| |\lambda_\alpha|^{p+p_0} \sum_{\alpha \in I_+^n} |\lambda_\alpha|^{-p_0} \\ &\leq |a(f)|_{2p+2p_0} \sum_{\alpha \in I_+^n} |\lambda_\alpha|^{-p_0} \\ &= C |a(f)|_{2p+2p_0}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by using 1) of Lemma 3, we have

$$|a(f)|_{2p+2p_0} \leq C \|f\|_{2n+2(\delta+1)(p+p_0)}.$$

Hence

$$|D_t^j \langle u(t, x), f(x) \rangle| \leq C \|f\|_{2n+2(\delta+1)(p+p_0)} < +\infty \quad (t \in [0, T], j = 0, 1, \dots, h),$$

that is,  $u(t, x) \in B^h([0, T], S'(R_x^n))$ . □

### 3. Cauchy problems

Let us consider Cauchy problems related to differential operators with coefficients of generalized Hermite operators

$$P(D_t, L) = P_m(L)D_t^m + \cdots + P_0(L),$$

$$P_j(L) = \sum_{|\beta| \leq m_j} a_{j,\beta} L^\beta = \sum_{\beta_1 + \cdots + \beta_n \leq m_j} a_{j,\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n} L_1^{\beta_1} \cdots L_n^{\beta_n},$$

where  $a_{j,\alpha}$  are constants and  $m_j$  are non-negative integers.  $P(D_t, L)$  is called an evolution differential operator with coefficients of generalized Hermite operators, iff

(I) there exist  $C_1 > 0$  and  $p_1 > 0$  such that

$$|P_m(\lambda)| \geq C_1 |\lambda|^{-p_1} \quad (\forall \lambda \in \Lambda),$$

(II) there exists  $k > 0$  such that

$$I_m \tau_j(\lambda) \geq -k. \quad (\forall \lambda \in \Lambda, 1 \leq j \leq m),$$

where

$$P(\tau, \lambda) = P_m(\lambda)(\tau - \tau_1(\lambda)) \cdots (\tau - \tau_m(\lambda)).$$

**THEOREM 1.** Suppose  $P(D_t, L)$  is an evolution differential operator with coefficients of generalized Hermite operators. Let

$$f(t, x) \in B^h([0, T], S'(R_x^n)), \quad g_j(x) \in S'(R_x^n) \quad (0 \leq j \leq m-1).$$

Then there exists unique solution  $u(t, x)$ , belonging to  $B^{h+m}([0, T], S'(R^n))$ , of the Cauchy problem:

$$(A) \begin{cases} P(D_t, L)u(t, x) = f(t, x) & (0 \leq t \leq T, x \in R^n), \\ D_t^j u(t, x)|_{t=0} = g_j(x) & (x \in R^n, 0 \leq j \leq m-1). \end{cases}$$

**PROOF.** Let

$$u_\alpha(t) = \langle u(t, x), \phi_\alpha(x) \rangle,$$

$$f_\alpha(t) = \langle f(t, x), \phi_\alpha(x) \rangle, \quad g_{j,\alpha} = \langle g_j(x), \phi_\alpha(x) \rangle.$$

Then the problem (A) is reduced to the Cauchy problems of ordinary differential equations:

$$(a)_\alpha \begin{cases} \{P_m(\lambda_\alpha)D_t^m + \cdots + P_0(\lambda_\alpha)\}u_\alpha(t) = f_\alpha(t) & (0 \leq t \leq T), \\ D_t^j u_\alpha(t)|_{t=0} = g_{j,\alpha} & (j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m-1). \end{cases}$$

The solutions of  $(a)_\alpha$  can be represented as

$$u_\alpha(t) = \sum_{j=1}^m b_{j-1,\alpha} D_t^{m-j} W(t, \lambda_\alpha) + i P_m(\lambda_\alpha)^{-1} \int_0^t f_\alpha(s) W(t-s, \lambda_\alpha) ds,$$

where

$$W(t, \lambda_\alpha) = \frac{P_m(\lambda_\alpha)}{2\pi i} \oint_\gamma \frac{e^{itz}}{P(z, \lambda_\alpha)} dz,$$

$$b_{0,\alpha} = g_{0,\alpha}, \quad b_{j,\alpha} = g_{j,\alpha} - \sum_{i=1}^j b_{i-1,\alpha} D_t^{m+j-i} W(0, \lambda_\alpha) \quad (1 \leq j \leq m-1),$$

and  $\gamma$  is a closed curve inside of which all zeros of  $P(z, \lambda_\alpha)$  with respect to  $z$  are contained. By evaluating the above representation, there exist  $C > 0$  and  $p > 0$  such that

$$|D_t^k u_\alpha(t)| \leq C |\lambda_\alpha|^p \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} |g_{j,\alpha}| + \sum_{j=0}^{\max(k-m, 0)} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |D_s^j f_\alpha(s)| \right\} \\ (0 \leq t \leq T, \quad 0 \leq k \leq m+h).$$

Since  $g_j(x) \in S'(R^n)$  ( $0 \leq j \leq m-1$ ), there exists  $q_1 > 0$  such that

$$\sup_{\alpha \in I_+^n} |g_{j,\alpha}| |\lambda_\alpha|^{-q_1} < +\infty$$

from 1) of Lemma 4, and since  $f(t, x) \in B^h([0, T], S'(R^n))$ , there exists  $q_2 > 0$  such that

$$\sup_{\alpha \in I_+^n} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |D_t^j f_\alpha(t)| |\lambda_\alpha|^{-q_2} < +\infty,$$

from 1) of Lemma 5. Therefore, we have

$$|D_t^k u_\alpha(t)| \leq C' |\lambda_\alpha|^{p+q} \quad (t \in [0, T]), \quad \alpha \in I_+^n, \quad 0 \leq k \leq m+h,$$

where  $q = \max(q_1, q_2)$ . Finally, set

$$u(t, x) = \sum_\alpha u_\alpha(t) \phi_\alpha(x).$$

Then  $u(t, x)$  belongs to  $B^{h+m}([0, T], S'(R_x^n))$ , from 2) of Lemma 5, and becomes a solution of the problem (A). The uniqueness of the problem (A) follows from the uniqueness of the problems  $(a)_\alpha$ .

REMARK 1. Let

$$P(D_t, L) = D_t - \sum_{|\beta| \leq N} a_\beta L^\beta.$$

Then  $P$  is an evolution operator, iff there exists  $k > 0$  such that

$$I_m \sum_{|\beta| \leq N} a_\beta \lambda_\alpha^\beta \geq -k \quad (\forall \lambda_\alpha \in \Lambda).$$

REMARK 2. Let

$$P(D_t, L) = D_t^2 - \sum_{|\beta| \leq N} a_\beta L^\beta.$$

Then  $P$  is an evolution operator, iff there exists  $k > 0$  such that

$$\left( \sum_{|\beta| \leq N} R_e a_\beta \lambda_\alpha^\beta, \sum_{|\beta| \leq N} I_m a_\beta \lambda_\alpha^\beta \right) \in \Omega_k \quad (\forall \lambda_\alpha \in \Lambda),$$

where

$$\Omega_k = \{(X, Y) \mid Y^2 \leq kX \text{ or } X^2 + Y^2 \leq k\}.$$

For example,

$$D_t^2 - \{L_1^2 + \cdots + L_n^2 + i(L_1 - L_2)\},$$

$$D_t^2 - \{L_1^3 + \cdots + L_n^3 + i(L_1 - L_2)\},$$

$$D_t^2 - \{L_1^2 L_2^2 + i(L_1 - L_2)\}$$

are evolution operators.

The paper has finished under the kind guidance of Prof. Reiko Sakamoto and Prof. Sadao Miyatake. I am deeply grateful to them.

### References

- [1] X. Feng, Solutions to the Cauchy Problems for a Number of Linear Partial Differential equations in the Space of Tempered Distributions, Master's thesis of Lanzhou University, 1986, 1-47.
- [2] L. Schwartz, Theorie des Distributions, Hermann Paris, 1966.
- [3] B. Simon, Distributions and Their Hermite Expressions, J. Math. Phys. **12**, 1971, 140-148.

- [4] D. Robert, Propriétés spectrales d'opérateurs pseudo-différentiels, Comm. in partial differential equations, **3** (9), 1978, 755–826.
- [5] S. Mizohata, The Theory of Partial Differential Equations, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1973.

Graduate School of Human Culture,  
Nara Woman's University