# A CHARACTERIZATION OF ALMOST-EINSTEIN REAL HYPERSURFACES OF QUATERNIONIC PROJECTIVE SPACE

## By

Juan de Dios Pérez

Abstract. Almost-Einstein real hypersurfaces of quaternionic projective space, as defined in [3], can be characterized by a condition involving their curvature and Ricci tensors.

# 1. Introduction

Let M be a connected real hypersurface of a quaternionic projective space  $QP^m$ ,  $m \ge 3$ , with metric g of constant quaternionic sectional curvature 4. let  $\xi$  be the unit local normal vector field on M and  $\{J_1, J_2, J_3\}$  a local basis of the quaternionic structure of  $QP^m$ , [2]. Then  $U_i = -J_i\xi$ , i = 1, 2, 3, are tangent to M. Let us denote by  $D^{\perp} = \text{Span}\{U_1, U_2, U_3\}$  and by D its orthogonal complement in TM.

Let A be the Weingarten endomorphism of M and S its Ricci tensor. M is said to be almost-Einstein, [3], if

(1.1) 
$$SX = aX + b \sum_{i=1}^{3} g(AX, U_i) U_i$$

for any  $X \in TM$ , where a and b are constant. In [3] we studied such real hypersurfaces obtaining

THEOREM A. Let M be an almost-Einstein real hypersurface of  $QP^m$ ,  $m \ge 2$ . Then it is an open subset of one of the following:

i) a geodesic hypersphere.

ii) a tube of radius r over  $QP^k$ , 0 < k < m-1,  $0 < r < \pi/2$  and  $\cot^2(r) = (4k+2)/(4m-4k-2)$ .

iii) a tube of radius r over  $CP^m$ ,  $0 < r < \pi/4$  and  $\cot^2(2r) = 1/(m-1)$ .

Received March 22, 1995. Revised June 28, 1995. Among the real hypersurfaces appearing in Theorem A, only the geodesic hyperspheres of radius r,  $0 < r < \pi/2$  and  $\cot^2(r) = 1/(2m)$  are Einstein.

Recently, in [4] we studied real hypersurfaces of  $QP^m$ ,  $m \ge 2$ , such that  $\sigma(R(X, Y)SZ) = 0$ , for any X, Y, Z tangent to M, where  $\sigma$  denotes the cyclic sum and R the curvature tensor of M. Concretely we obtained

THEOREM B. A real hypersurface M of  $QP^m$ ,  $m \ge 2$ , satisfies  $\sigma(R(X, Y)SZ) = 0$ , for any X, Y, Z tangent to M if and only if it is Einstein.

In the present paper we propose to study a weaker condition than the one appearing in Theorem B. Concretely we shall consider real hypersurfaces M of  $QP^m$ ,  $m \ge 3$ , satisfying

(1.2) 
$$\sigma(R(X, Y)SZ) = 0 \quad \text{for any } X, Y, Z \in D$$

It is easy to see, bearing in mind the first identity of Bianchi, that all almost-Einstein real hypersurfaces of  $QP^m$  satisfy (1.2). Our purpose is to obtain the converse. That is, we shall prove the following

THEOREM. A real hypersurface M of  $QP^m$ ,  $m \ge 3$ , satisfies (1.2) if and only if it is almost-Einstein.

# 2. Preliminaries

Let X be a vector field tangent to M. We write  $J_i X = \Phi_i X + f_i(X)\xi$ , i = 1, 2, 3, where  $\Phi_i X$  denotes the tangential component of  $J_i X$  and  $f_i(X) = g(X, U_i)$ . From this, [3], we have

(2.1) 
$$g(\Phi_i X, Y) + g(X, \Phi_i Y) = 0, \quad \Phi_i U_i = 0, \quad \Phi_j U_k = -\Phi_k U_j = U_t$$

for any X, Y tangent to M, i = 1, 2, 3, (j, k, t) being a cyclic permutation of (1, 2, 3). We also obtain

(2.2) 
$$\Phi_i \Phi_j X = -\Phi_j \Phi_i X = \Phi_k X$$

for any  $X \in D$ , where (i, j, k) is a cyclic permutation of (1, 2, 3).

From the expression of the curvature tensor of  $QP^m$ , [2], the equation of Gauss is given by

(2.3) 
$$R(X, Y)Z = g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y + \sum_{i=1}^{3} \{g(\Phi_i Y, Z)\Phi_i X - g(\Phi_i X, Z)\Phi_i Y + 2g(X, \Phi_i Y)\Phi_i Z\} + g(AY, Z)AX - g(AX, Z)AY$$

for any X, Y, Z tangent to M. This implies that the Ricci tensor of M has the following expression:

(2.4) 
$$SX = (4m+7)X - 3\sum_{i=1}^{3} f_i(X)U_i + HX$$

for any X tangent to M, where  $H = (\text{trace } A)A - A^2$ .

## 3. Proof of the Theorem

Along this paragraph M will denote a real hypersurface of  $QP^m$ ,  $m \ge 3$ , satisfying (1.2).

From (2.4) and the first identity of Bianchi, (1.2) is equivalent to have  $\sigma(R(X, Y)HZ) = 0$  for any  $X, Y, Z \in D$ .

Let  $\{E_1, \ldots, E_{4m-4}\}$  be a local orthonormal frame of D at any point of M. The following computations are made locally on a neighbourhood of any point of M.

If from (2.3) we develop  $\sigma(R(X, Y)HZ) = 0$  and take  $Z = E_j$ ,  $Y = \Phi_1 E_j$ ,  $j = 1, \ldots, 4m - 4$ , we have

$$(3.1) - (g(E_j, HE_j) + g(\Phi_1E_j, H\Phi_1E_j))\Phi_1X - (g(\Phi_3E_j, HE_j)) + g(\Phi_2E_j, H\Phi_1E_j))\Phi_2X + (g(\Phi_2E_j, HE_j) - g(\Phi_3E_j, H\Phi_1E_j))\Phi_3X + 2\Phi_1HX + (g(\Phi_1X, HE_j) - g(HX, \Phi_1E_j))E_j + (g(HX, E_j)) + g(\Phi_1X, H\Phi_1E_j))\Phi_1E_j + (2g(HX, \Phi_3E_j) + g(\Phi_2X, H\Phi_1E_j)) - g(\Phi_3X, HE_j))\Phi_2E_j + (g(\Phi_2X, HE_j) + g(\Phi_3X, H\Phi_1E_j)) - 2g(HX, \Phi_2E_j))\Phi_3E_j - 2g(X, E_j)\Phi_1HE_j - 2g(X, \Phi_3E_j)\Phi_2HE_j + 2g(X, \Phi_2E_j)\Phi_3HE_j + 2g(\Phi_1X, E_j)\Phi_1H\Phi_1E_j + 2g(\Phi_2X, E_j)\Phi_2H\Phi_1E_j + 2g(\Phi_3X, E_j)\Phi_3H\Phi_1E_j = 0$$

for any  $X \in \boldsymbol{D}$ .

Now we prepare the following Lemmas

LEMMA 1.  $g(HX, \Phi_i X) = 0$  for any  $X \in D$ , i = 1, 2, 3.

**PROOF.** We take the scalar product of (3.1) and X and take summation on *j*. Then we obtain

(3.2) 
$$(8m-16)g(\Phi_1HX,X) = 0$$

for any  $X \in D$ . As  $m \ge 3$ , (3.2) implies  $g(HX, \Phi_1 X) = 0$ .

If we develop  $\sigma(R(X, \Phi_i E_j) H E_j) = 0$ , i = 2, 3, we also obtain  $g(HX, \Phi_2 X) = g(HX, \Phi_3 X) = 0$ , finishing the proof.

Let us denote by  $Q(X) = \text{Span}\{X, \Phi_1 X, \Phi_2 X, \Phi_3 X\}$  for any  $X \in TM$ .

LEMMA 2. g(X, HZ) = 0 for any unit  $X, Z \in D$  such that  $Q(X) \perp Q(Z)$ .

**PROOF.** Let us consider  $X, Y \in D$ . From Lemma 1 and polarization we have

(3.3) 
$$g(H\Phi_i X, Y) = g(\Phi_i HX, Y) \quad i = 1, 2, 3$$

for any  $X, Y \in D$ . Taking in (3.3)  $Y = \Phi_i Z$ , i = 1, 2, 3 we obtain

(3.4) 
$$g(H\Phi_i X, \Phi_i Z) = g(HX, Z) \quad i = 1, 2, 3$$

Take the scalar product of (3.1) and Z and then summation on j. We have (3.5)

$$g(H\Phi_1X, Z) + (4m - 7)g(\Phi_1HX, Z) + g(\Phi_2X, H\Phi_3Z) - g(\Phi_3X, H\Phi_2Z) = 0$$

for any unit  $X, Z \in D$  such that  $Q(X) \perp Q(Z)$ . If in (3.5) we exchange Z by  $\Phi_1 Z$  and apply (3.4) we obtain

$$(3.6) (4m-4)g(HX,Z) = 0$$

Now as  $m \ge 3$  the result follows.

LEMMA 3. 
$$g(HX, X) = g(HY, Y)$$
 for any nonnull  $X, Y \in D$ .

**PROOF.** Let us take a unit  $X \in D$  and consider the scalar product of (3.1) and  $\Phi_1 X$ . After taking summation on j we have

(3.12) 
$$(8m - 14)g(HX, X) + 2g(H\Phi_1 X, \Phi_1 X) + 2g(H\Phi_2 X, \Phi_2 X) + 2g(H\Phi_3 X, \Phi_3 X) - \sum_j \{g(E_j, HE_j) + g(\Phi_1 E_j, H\Phi_1 E_j)\} = 0$$

If in (3.12) we change X by  $\Phi_1 X$  and substract we have

(3.13) 
$$(8m-16)g(HX,X) = (8m-16)g(H\Phi_1X,\Phi_1X)$$

As  $m \ge 3$ , we obtain  $g(HX, X) = g(H\Phi_1X, \Phi_1X)$ . Similarly we can obtain

(3.14) 
$$g(HX, X) = g(H\Phi_i X, \Phi_i X)$$
  $i = 1, 2, 3$ 

Now from (3.12) and (3.14) we get

(3.15) 
$$(4m-4)g(HX,X) = \sum_{j} g(HE_{j},E_{j})$$

and this finishes the proof.

LEMMA 4. 
$$g(HU_i, X) = 0, i = 1, 2, 3, for any X \in D$$
.

**PROOF.** Let us take the scalar product of (3.1) and  $U_1$  and sum on *j*. Thus we have

(3.16) 
$$g(\Phi_2 X, HU_2) + g(\Phi_3 X, HU_3) = 0$$

Similarly we can obtain

(3.17) 
$$g(\Phi_1 X, HU_1) + g(\Phi_3 X, HU_3) = 0$$

and

(3.18) 
$$g(\Phi_1 X, HU_1) + g(\Phi_2 X, HU_2) = 0$$

From (3.16), (3.17) and (3.18) we get

(3.19) 
$$g(\Phi_i X, HU_i) = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, 3$$

and changing X by  $\Phi_i X$  we obtain the result.

Now we have that any  $X \in D$  is principal for H and has the same eigenvalue. Moreover  $g(HD, D^{\perp}) = \{0\}$ . But HA = AH. Thus we can find an orthonormal basis of  $T_xM$ , for any  $x \in M$ , such that it diagonalizes simultaneously both H and A. But from the above Lemmas we must have  $g(AD, D^{\perp}) = \{0\}$ . Thus M, [1], must be congruent to an open subset of either a geodesic hypersphere or a tube of radius r,  $0 < r < \pi/2$ , over  $QP^k$ ,  $k \in \{1, \ldots, m-2\}$  or a tube of radius r,  $0 < r < \pi/4$ , over  $CP^m$ .

All geodesic hyperspheres only have a principal curvature on D, [3]. Thus from the first identity of Bianchi they satisfy (1.2).

A tube of radius r,  $0 < r < \pi/2$ , over  $QP^k$ ,  $k \in \{1, \ldots, m-2\}$ , has two distinct principal curvatures on D,  $\cot(r)$  with multiplicity 4(m - k - 1) and  $-\tan(r)$  with multiplicity 4k, and a unique principal curvature on  $D^{\perp}$ ,  $2 \cot(2r)$ , [3]. Let us suppose that it satisfies (1.2). Thus from Lemma 3 every vector

field of **D** must have the same eigenvalue for *H*. Take  $X \in D$  such that  $AX = \cot(r)X$  and  $Z \in D$  such that  $AZ = -\tan(r)Z$ . Then  $HX = ((4m - 4k - 2) \cot^2 r - (4k + 3))X$  and  $HZ = ((4k + 2) \tan^2 r - (4m - 4k - 1))Z$ . This implies that  $\cot^2(r) = (4k + 2)/(4m - 4k - 2)$ .

A similar argument applied to a tube of radius r,  $0 < r < \pi/4$ , over  $CP^m$ , whose principal curvatures are  $\cot(r)$  and  $-\tan(r)$  on **D** both with multiplicity 2(m-1) and  $2\cot(2r)$  with multiplicity 1 and  $-2\tan(2r)$  with multiplicity 2 on  $D^{\perp}$  implies that (1.2) is satisfied only if  $\cot^2(2r) = 1/(m-1)$ .

Thus we have proved that a real hypersurface of  $QP^m$ ,  $m \ge 3$ , satisfies (1.2) if and only if it is one appearing in Theorem A. This finishes the proof.

#### References

- [1] J. Berndt, "Real hypersurfaces in quaternion space forms", J. reine angew. Math., 419 (1991), 9-26.
- [2] S. Ishihara, "Quaternion Kählerian manifolds", J. Diff. Geom., 9 (1974), 483–500.
- [3] A. Martinez and J. D. Perez, "Real hypersurfaces in quaternionic projective space", Ann. di Mat., 145 (1986), 355–384.
- [4] J. D. Perez, "On certain real hypersurfaces of quaternionic projective space II", Alg. Groups and Geom., 10 (1993), 13-24.

Departamento de Geometría y Topología Facultad de Ciencias Universidad de Granada 18071-Granada SPAIN