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## 0. Introduction.

This paper is concerned with hypersurfaces with harmonic curvature in a Riemannian manifold of constant curvature. The classification of curvatureliked tensor fields on a Riemannian manifold has been studied by K. Nomizu [10], in which the Codazzi equation for the curvature-liked tensor played an important role. The subject is also treated by S. Y. Cheng and S. T. Yau [3] from the different point of view. A Riemannian curvature tensor is said to be harmonic if the Ricci tensor $S$ satisfies the Codazzi equation $\delta S=0$, namely, in local coordinates

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{i j_{k}}=R_{i k j}, \tag{0.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R_{i j k}$ denotes the covariant derivative of the Ricci tensor $R_{i j}$. Although the concept is closely related to a parallel Ricci tensor, it was shown by A. Derdziński [5] and A. Gray [6] that it is essentially weaker than the latter one. In the Yang-Mills theory the harmonic curvature is also weighty, and some studies for these topics are made. In particular, J. P. Bourguignon conjectured that on a 4 -dimensional compact Riemannian manifold with harmonic curvature the Ricci tensor must be parallel. This is negatively answered by A. Derdziński [4], who gave an example of a 4 -dimensional compact Riemannian manifold with harmonic curvature and non-parallel Ricci tensor. Certain kinds of Riemannian manifolds with harmonic curvature are investigated by J.P. Bourguignon [1], A. Derdziński [5], T. Kashiwada [7], S. Tachibana [13] and so on. In particular, A. Derdziński [5] gave also other examples of higher dimensional Riemannian manifolds.

On the other hand, hypersurfaces with parallel Ricci tensor in a Riemannian manifold of constant curvature are studied by H. B. Lawson Jr. [8] and I. Mogi

[^0]and one of the present authors [9], and hypersurfaces with harmonic curvature in a Riemannian manifold of constant curvature are recently investigated by E. Ômachi [11] and one of the present authors [15], who determined the situation of the principal curvatures, provided that the mean curvature is constant. Especially, one of the present authors [15] treated also them without the assumption that the mean curvature is constant.

In this paper a class of hypersurfaces with harmonic curvature in a Riemannian manifold of constant curvature will be considered. The purpose is to classify completely hypersurfaces with harmonic curvature in the case where a multiplicity of each principal curvature is greater than one, and to show that there exist infinitely many hypersurfaces with harmonic curvature and nonparallel Ricci tensor.

## 1. Preliminaries.

In order to fix the notation, the theory of hypersurfaces in a Riemannian manifold of constant curvature is prepared for. Let $\bar{M}=M^{n+1}(c)$ be an $(n+1)$ dimensional Riemannian manifold of constant curvature $c$ and let $M$ be an $n$-dimensional connected Riemannian manifold. By $\phi$ the isometric immersion of $M$ into $\bar{M}$ is denoted. When the argument is local, $M$ need not be distinguished from $\phi(M)$ and therefore, to simplify the discussion a point $x$ in $M$ may be identified with the point $\phi(x)$ and a tangent vector $X$ at $x$ may be also identified with the tangent vector $d \phi(X)$ at $\phi(x)$ via the differential $d \phi$ of $\phi$.

To begin with, we choose an orthonormal local frame field $\left\{e_{1}, \cdots, e_{n}, e_{n+1}\right\}$ in $\bar{M}$ in such a way that, restricted to $M$, the vectors $e_{1}, \cdots, e_{n}$ are tangent to $M$ and hence the other $e_{n+1}$ is normal to $M$. With respect to this field of frames on $\bar{M}$, let $\left\{\bar{\omega}_{1}, \cdots, \bar{\omega}_{n}, \bar{\omega}_{n+1}\right\}$ be the dual field. Here and in the sequel, the following convention on the range of indices are adopted, unless otherwise stated:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A, B, \cdots=1, \cdots, n, n+1 \\
& i, j, \cdots=1, \cdots, n
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, associated with the frame field $\left\{e_{1}, \cdots, e_{n}, e_{n+1}\right\}$ there exist differential 1 -forms $\bar{\omega}_{A B}$ on $\bar{M}$, which are called connection forms on $\bar{M}$, so that they satisfy the following structure equations on $\bar{M}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& d \bar{\omega}_{A}+\Sigma_{B} \bar{\omega}_{A B} \wedge \bar{\omega}_{B}=0, \quad \bar{\omega}_{A B}+\bar{\omega}_{B A}=0,  \tag{1.1}\\
& d \bar{\omega}_{A B}+\Sigma_{C} \bar{\omega}_{A C} \wedge \bar{\omega}_{C B}=c \bar{\omega}_{A} \wedge \bar{\omega}_{B} . \tag{1.2}
\end{align*}
$$

By restricting these forms $\bar{\omega}_{A}$ and $\bar{\omega}_{A B}$ to $M$, they are denoted by $\omega_{A}$ and $\omega_{A B}$ without bar, respectively. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{n+1}=0 . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The metric on $M$ induced from the Riemannian metric $\bar{g}$ on the ambient space $\bar{M}$ under the immersion $\phi$ is given by $g=2 \sum_{i} \omega_{i} \omega_{i}$. Then $\left\{e_{1}, \cdots, e_{n}\right\}$ is an orthonormal local field with respect to the induced metric and $\left\{\omega_{1}, \cdots, \omega_{n}\right\}$ is the dual field, which consists of real valued, linearly independent 1 -forms on $M$. They are called canonical forms on the hypersurface $M$. It follows from (1.3) and the Cartan lemma that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{n+1, i}=\Sigma_{j} h_{i j} \omega_{j}, \quad h_{i j}=h_{j i} . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The quadratic form $\sum_{i, j} h_{i j} \omega_{i} \otimes \omega_{j}$ is called a second fundamental form of $M$. We call also a form $\sigma$ defined by

$$
\sigma(X, Y)=\sum_{i, j} h_{i j} \omega_{i}(X) \omega_{j}(Y) e_{n+1}
$$

for any vector fields $X$ and $Y$ a second fundamental form on $M$. A linear transformation $A$ on the tangent bundle $T M$ is defined by $g(A X, Y)=g\left(\sigma(X, Y), e_{n+1}\right)$. Then $A$ is called a shape operator of $M$. By the structure equations (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3), the following structure equations on the hypersurface $M$ are given:

$$
\begin{align*}
& d \omega_{i}+\Sigma_{j} \omega_{i j} \wedge \omega_{j}=0, \quad \omega_{i j}+\omega_{j i}=0,  \tag{1.5}\\
& d \omega_{i j}+\Sigma_{k} \omega_{i k} \wedge \omega_{k j}=\Omega_{i j}, \quad \Omega_{i j}=-\frac{1}{2} \Sigma_{k, l} R_{i j k l} \omega_{k} \wedge \omega_{l}, \tag{1.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\omega_{i j}$ (resp. $\Omega_{i j}$ and $R_{i j k l}$ ) denotes a connection form (resp. a curvature form and a curvature tensor) on $M$. From (1.2) and (1.6) the Gauss equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{i j k l}=c\left(\delta_{i l} \delta_{j k}-\delta_{i k} \delta_{j l}\right)+h_{i l} h_{j k}-h_{i k} h_{j l} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

is obtained, and the Ricci tensor $R_{i j}$ and the scalar curvature $R$ can be expressed as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{i j}=(n-1) c \delta_{i j}+h h_{i j}-\Sigma_{k} h_{i k} h_{k j},  \tag{1.8}\\
& R=n(n-1) c+h^{2}-\Sigma_{i, j} h_{i j} h_{i j}, \tag{1.9}
\end{align*}
$$

where $h$ is a function defined by $h=\sum_{i} h_{i i}$, namely, for the mean curvature $H$ it satisfies $h=n H$.

Now, the covariant derivative $h_{i j k}$ and $R_{i j k}$ of $h_{i j}$ and $R_{i j}$ are respectively defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Sigma_{k} h_{i j k} \omega_{k}=d h_{i j}-\sum_{k} h_{k j} \omega_{k i}-\Sigma_{k} h_{i k} \omega_{k j},  \tag{1.10}\\
& \Sigma_{k} R_{i j k} \omega_{k}=d R_{i j}-\sum_{k} R_{k j} \omega_{k i}-\Sigma_{k} R_{i k} \omega_{k j} .
\end{align*}
$$

Differentiating (1.4) exteriorly, we have the Codazzi equation on the hypersurface $M$

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{i j k}-h_{i k j}=0, \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

since the ambient space $\bar{M}$ is of constant curvature, and by differentiating (1.8) exteriorly the covariant derivative $R_{i j k}$ satisfies

$$
\sum_{k} R_{i j k} \omega_{k}=\sum_{k}\left(h_{k} h_{i j}+h h_{i j k}-\sum_{l} h_{i l k} h_{l j}-\sum_{l} h_{i l} h_{l j k}\right) \omega_{k},
$$

where $d h=\sum_{k} h_{k} \omega_{k}$, and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j, k} R_{i j k} \omega_{k} \wedge \omega_{j}=\sum_{j, k}\left(h_{k} h_{i j}-\sum_{l} h_{i l k} h_{l j}\right) \omega_{k} \wedge \omega_{j} . \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

A Riemannian curvature tensor is said to be harmonic if the Ricci tensor satisfies the Codazzi equation (0.1), namely, $R_{i j k}$ is symmetric with respect to all indices $i, j$ and $k$. It follows from (1.12) that it is necessary and sufficient for $M$ to be of harmonic curvature that it satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{k} h_{i j}-h_{j} h_{k i}-\sum_{l} h_{i l k} h_{l j}+\sum_{l} h_{i l j} h_{l k}=0 \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any indices.

## 2. The gradient of the mean curvature.

Let $M$ be an $n$-dimensional hypersurface with harmonic curvature in $M^{n+1}(c)$ and let $H$ be the mean curvature on $M$. In this section, assume that the gradient of $H$ is an eigenvector associated with an eigenvalue 0 of the shape operator $A$. In other words, we shall assume that it satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \operatorname{grad} H=0, \quad \text { namely } \sum_{j} h_{i j} h_{j}=0 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds true. In this assumption the case where grad $H=0$ is included, that is, the situation that the mean curvature $H$ has critical points is admitted. For simplification, a tensor $h_{i j}{ }^{m}$ and a function $h_{m}$ on $M$ for any integer $m$ are introduced as follows;

$$
\begin{align*}
& h_{i j}^{m}=\sum_{i_{1}, \cdots, i_{m-1}} h_{i i_{1}} h_{i_{1} i_{2}} \cdots h_{i_{m-1} j}  \tag{2.2}\\
& h_{m}=\sum_{i} h_{i i}{ }^{m}
\end{align*}
$$

where $h_{1}=h=n H$. By taking account of the second Bianchi identity, it is easily seen that the scalar curvature is constant, and therefore the function $h^{2}-h_{2}$ is constant. This implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
d h_{2}=2 h d h . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

First of all, the generalization of (2.3) is requested. Namely, the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
d h_{m}=m h_{m-1} d h \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

is true for any integer $m(\geqq 2)$. In fact, the relation (2.4) is proved by induction on $m$. At first, (2.3) shows that the case where $m=2$ in (2.4) holds. By the property of derivations for the exterior differential, it is easily seen that the following equation

$$
d h_{m}=\Sigma_{i, j} m h_{i j}{ }^{m-1} d h_{i j} .
$$

The definition (1.10) of the covariant derivative $h_{i j k}$ and the above equation imply

$$
\begin{aligned}
d h_{m} & =m \Sigma_{i, j, k}\left(h_{i j k} \omega_{k}+h_{k j} \omega_{k i}+h_{i k} \omega_{k j}\right) h_{i j}^{m-1} \\
& =m \Sigma_{i, j, k} h_{i j k} h_{i j}{ }^{m-1} \omega_{k}+2 m \Sigma_{i, j} h_{i j}{ }^{m} \omega_{i j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
d h_{m}=m \sum_{i, j, k} h_{i j k} h_{i j}{ }^{m-1} \omega_{k}, \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

because $h_{i j}{ }^{m}$ is symmetric with respect to $i$ and $j$ and the connection form $\omega_{i j}$ is skew-symmetric with respect to $i$ and $j$. This yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
d h_{m} & =m \sum_{i, j, k, l} h_{i j k} h_{j l} h_{l i}{ }^{m-2} \omega_{k} \\
& =m \Sigma_{i, k, l}\left(\Sigma_{j} h_{i j l} h_{j k}+h_{k} h_{i l}-h_{l} h_{i k}\right) h_{l i}{ }^{m-2} \omega_{k} \\
& =m\left(\sum_{i, j, k, l} h_{i j l} h_{l i}{ }^{m-2} h_{j k} \omega_{k}+h_{m-1} d h-\Sigma_{k, l} h_{k l}^{m-1} h_{l} \omega_{k}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used (1.10) and (1.13). By the assumption (2.1) the last term in the right hand side vanishes identically. It follows from the case where $m-1$ in (2.5) and the supposition of the induction that we get

$$
\Sigma_{i, j, l} h_{i j l} h_{i l}{ }^{m-2} \omega_{j}=\frac{1}{m-1} d h_{m-1}=h_{m-2} d h
$$

which yields

$$
\sum_{i, h} h_{i j l} h_{i l}{ }^{m-2}=h_{m-2} d h\left(e_{j}\right) .
$$

This means that the first term in the right hand side of the above equation vanishes also identically, which completes the proof.

A function $H_{m}$ for any integer $m(\geqq 2)$ is next defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{m}=\sum_{k=0}^{m}(-1)^{k}\binom{m}{k} h^{m-k} h_{k}, \quad h_{0}=1 . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

By making use of (2.4) it follows from the straightforward calculation that

$$
\begin{aligned}
d H_{m} & =\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}(-1)^{k}\binom{m}{k}(m-k) h^{m-k-1} h_{k} d h+\sum_{k=1}^{m}(-1)^{k} k\binom{m}{k} h^{m-k} h_{k-1} d h \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}(-1)^{k}\left\{(m-k)\binom{m}{k}-(k+1)\binom{m}{k+1}\right\} h^{m-k-1} h_{k} d h
\end{aligned}
$$

which shows that $H_{m}$ is constant on $M$. Thus we have
Lemma 2.1. Let $M$ be a hypersurface with harmonic curvature in $M^{n+1}(c)$. If the shape operator $A$ of $M$ satisfies $A$ grad $H=0$, then $H_{m}$ is constant on $M$ for any integer $m(\geqq 2)$.

By rewritting (2.6), the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{m}=h^{m}+\sum_{k=2}^{m}(-1)^{k}\binom{m}{k} H_{k} h^{m-k} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

is true for any integer $m \geqq 2$. In fact, the equation is also verified by induction on $m$. At first, the case where $m=2$ in (2.6) is considered. Then it shows that (2.7) holds for $m=2$. Next, suppose that (2.7) holds for integers less than $m$. Since the constant $H_{m}$ is expressed as

$$
H_{m}=\binom{m}{0} h^{m}-\binom{m}{1} h^{m-1} h+\sum_{k=2}^{m-1}(-1)^{k}\binom{m}{k} h^{m-k} h_{k}+(-1)^{m} h_{m}
$$

the supposition of the induction is applied to the third term in the right hand side, so it is reduced to

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{m}= & (-1)^{m} h_{m}+\left\{\binom{m}{0}-\binom{m}{1}\right\} h^{m} \\
& +\sum_{k=2}^{m-1}(-1)^{k}\binom{m}{k} h^{m-k}\left\{h^{k}+\sum_{l=2}^{k}(-1)^{l}\binom{k}{l} H_{l} h^{k-l}\right\} \\
= & (-1)^{m} h_{m}+\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}(-1)^{k}\binom{m}{k} h^{m} \\
& +\sum_{l=2}^{m-1}(-1)^{l}\left\{\sum_{k=l}^{m-1}(-1)^{k}\binom{m}{k}\binom{k}{l}\right\} H_{l} h^{m-l} .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, the binomial theorem $(1-x)^{m}=\sum_{k=0}^{m}(-1)^{k}\binom{m}{k} x^{k}$ and the derivative of $l$-order for variable $x$ yield the following relation for the binomial coefficients:

$$
\sum_{k=l}^{m}(-1)^{k}\binom{m}{k}\binom{k}{l}=0 .
$$

Accordingly we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{m}= & (-1)^{m} h_{m}+\left\{\sum_{k=0}^{m}(-1)^{k}\binom{m}{k}-(-1)^{m}\right\} h_{m} \\
& +\sum_{l=2}^{m-1}(-1)^{l}\left\{\sum_{k=l}^{m}(-1)^{k}\binom{m}{k}\binom{k}{l}-(-1)^{m}\binom{m}{l}\right\} H_{l} h^{m-l} \\
= & (-1)^{m} h_{m}-(-1)^{m} h^{m}-(-1)^{m} \sum_{l=2}^{m-1}(-1)^{l}\binom{m}{l} H_{l} h^{m-l},
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that (2.7) holds for any integer $m \geqq 2$.

## 3. No simple roots.

This section is devoted to the study the case where the hypersurfaces with harmonic curvature in $M^{n+1}(c)$ has principal curvatures all of whose multiplicities are greater than one. The second fundamental form may be diagonalized so that $\sum_{i, j} h_{i j} \omega_{i} \otimes \omega_{j}=\sum_{i} \lambda_{i} \omega_{i} \otimes \omega_{i}$. A principal curvature $\lambda_{i}$ is called a simple root at $x$ if the multiplicity at $x$ is equal to 1 .

First of all, we prove
Lemma 3.1. Let $M$ be a hypersurface of $M^{n+1}(c)$ with harmonic curvature. If the shape operator has no simple roots on $M$, then $A \operatorname{grad} H=0$.

Proof. Since we have $h_{i j}=\lambda_{i} \delta_{i j}$ at a point $x$ on $M$, then equation (1.13) says that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{j} h_{k} \delta_{i j}-\lambda_{k} h_{j} \delta_{k i}+\left(\lambda_{k}-\lambda_{j}\right) h_{i j k}=0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

at $x$, where we have used

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i} h_{i} h_{i j}=\lambda_{j} h_{j} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because of the assumption that the second fundamental form $h_{i j}$ has no simple roots, for any fixed index $j$ there is an index $k$ different from $j$ such that $\lambda_{j}=\lambda_{k}$, and therefore (3.1) reduces to

$$
\lambda_{j}\left(h_{k} \delta_{i j}-h_{j} \delta_{k i}\right)=0
$$

at the point $x$, which implies that if $x$ is not a zero point of the principal curvature $\lambda_{j}$, then we have $h_{j}=0$ at $x$. From these data, we conclude, using (3.2), that $\sum_{i} h_{i} h_{i j}=0$. This completes the proof of the lemma.

In the next place, using Lemma 3.1 we are going to prove that the mean curvature $H$ of $M$ is constant.

By taking account of (2.7), it is easily seen that

$$
\begin{align*}
h_{n+1}-h h_{n} & =\sum_{k=2}^{n}(-1)^{k}\left\{\binom{n+1}{k}-\binom{n}{k}\right\} H_{k} h^{n+1-k}+(-1)^{n+1} H_{n+1}  \tag{3.3}\\
& =\sum_{k=2}^{n+1}(-1)^{k}\binom{n}{k-1} H_{k} h^{n+1-k},
\end{align*}
$$

which is a polynomial of degree $n-1$ with respect to $h$ with constant coefficient, because of Lemma 2.1. Since $\lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{n}$ are the principal curvatures of the second fundamental form $h_{i j}, h_{m}$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{0}=1, \quad h_{1}=h=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}, \quad h_{m}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}{ }^{m}, \quad m=2,3, \cdots \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, let $f_{1}(\lambda), \cdots, f_{n}(\lambda)$ be elementary symmetric functions of $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{n}\right)$, namely,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
f_{1}=f_{1}(\lambda)=(-1) \sum_{i} \lambda_{i},  \tag{3.5}\\
f_{2}=f_{2}(\lambda)=(-1)^{2} \Sigma_{i<j} \lambda_{i} \lambda_{j}, \\
\vdots \\
f_{n}=f_{n}(\lambda)=(-1)^{n} \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} \cdots \lambda_{n} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then it is well known that $f_{1}, \cdots, f_{n}$ and $h_{1}, \cdots, h_{n}, h_{n+1}$ are related by the Newton formulas (cf. [14]) as follows:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
h_{1}+f_{1}=0  \tag{3.6}\\
h_{2}+f_{1} h_{1}+2 f_{2}=0, \\
\vdots \\
h_{n}+f_{1} h_{n-1}+\cdots f_{n-1} h_{1}+n f_{n}=0 \\
h_{n+1}+f_{1} h_{n}+\cdots f_{n-1} h_{2}+h_{1} f_{n}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

When these formulas are regarded as the linear homogeneous simultaneous equations with respect to ( $1, f_{1}, \cdots, f_{n}$ ), we see, using the principle of elimination, that the determinant of coefficients vanishes identically. If we take account of (3.3) and the Laplace expansion to this determinant, we can get

$$
\begin{equation*}
((n+1)!/ 2) H_{2} h^{n-1}-((n-1)(n+1)!/ 3) H_{3} h^{n-2}+\cdots=0 \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, it follows from (3.7) that $h_{1}$ is the root of the algebraic equation with constant coefficients unless all $H_{m}$ vanishes. According to Lemma 2.1, we have

Lemma 3.2. Let $M$ be a hypersurface with harmonic curvature in $M^{n+1}(c)$. If the shape operator $A$ of $M$ satisfies $A$ grad $H=0$, then the mean curvature of $M$ is constant, provided that there exists a nonzero $H_{m}$ defined by (2.6).

On the other hand, if all $H_{m}$ 's are zero and the shape operator of $M$ has no simple roots, then it is easily derived, by using (2.7), (3.5) and (3.6), that $h$ is also constant.

Combining this fact, Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2, we have
Proposition 3.3. Let $M$ be a hypersurface with harmonic curvature in $M^{n+1}(c)$. If the shape operator of $M$ has no simple roots, then the mean curvature of $M$ is constant.

Under the property of Proposition 3.3, (2.4) means that each principal curvature of $M$ is constant and hence, by means of Umehara's theorem [15] the number of distinct principal curvatures is at most two, say $\lambda$ and $\mu$, such that $c+\lambda \mu=0$, which is applied to the situation where the ambient space is a sphere, a Euclidean one or a hyperbolic one. So, in the case, the above result for the number of distinct principal curvatures is simply proved from a different point of view. In fact, $M$ is an isoparametric hypersurface in the sense of E . Cartan and the basic identity for principal curvatures shows that the above is true, provided that $c \leqq 0$ [2]. If $c>0$, then it is evident in [11]. Moreover, the second fundamental form of $M$ is parallel.

By the way, we shall here give a model of hypersurfaces with parallel Ricci tensor in a hyperbolic space $H^{n+1}(c)$ (cf. Lawson [8]). $H^{n+1}(c)$ is covered by a coordinate system $\left\{x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n+1}\right\}$ such that the Riemannian metric $d s^{2}$ of $H^{n+1}(c)$ is given by

$$
d s^{2}=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1} d x_{\alpha}^{2}-\left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1} x_{\alpha} d x_{\alpha}\right)^{2} /\left(r^{2}+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1} x_{\alpha}{ }^{2}\right),
$$

where $r^{2}=-1 / c$. The space $H^{n+1}(c)$ is a complete and simply connected Riemannian manifold of constant negative curvature $c$. A family of hypersurfaces $M(s)$ in $H^{n+1}(c)$ is defined by

$$
M(s)=\left\{x \in H^{n+1}(c): \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1} x_{\alpha}^{2}=s^{2}-r^{2}\right\}
$$

for $s>r$. Then a hypersurface $M(s)$ for a fixed $s$ is a space of constant curvature $c_{1}=1 /\left(s^{2}-r^{2}\right)$ in $H^{n+1}(c)$, which is totally umbilic. As another family of hypersurfaces $M(t)$, the following subject is defined:

$$
M(t)=\left\{x \in H^{n+1}(c): x_{1}=t \geqq 0\right\} .
$$

The hypersurface $M(t)$ for an arbitrary fixed $t$ is totally umbilic and hence it is a hyperbolic space of constant curvature $c_{1}=-1 /\left(r^{2}+t^{2}\right)$. A flat hypersurface $F^{n}$ is constructed as follows :

$$
F^{n}=\left\{x \in H^{n+1}(c): \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}{ }^{2}=2 r x_{n+1}\right\} .
$$

Then $F^{n}$ is covered by one coordinate system $\left\{x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right\}$ such that the Riemannian metric induced from the Riemannian metric in $H^{n+1}(c)$ is given by $d s^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} d x_{i}{ }^{2}$. Accordingly, $F^{n}$ is flat. Lastly, a family of product hypersurfaces $S^{k}\left(c_{1}\right) \times H^{n-k}\left(c_{2}\right)$ in $H^{n+1}(c)$ is considered. They are defined by

$$
S^{k}\left(c_{1}\right) \times H^{n-k}\left(c_{2}\right)=\left\{x \in H^{n+1}(c): \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} x_{i}{ }^{2}=1 / c_{1}\right\},
$$

where $c_{1}$ is positive constant and $1 / c_{1}+1 / c_{2}=1 / c$, and $1 \leqq k \leqq n-1$. Any hypersurface of the family is the product manifold of a sphere of constant curvature
$c_{1}$ and a hyperbolic space of constant curvature $c_{2}$ and consequently it has exactly two distinct principal curvatures $\left(c_{1}-c\right)^{1 / 2}$ and $\left(c_{2}-c\right)^{1 / 2}$ of multiplicity $k$ and $n-k$, respectively.

Combining Proposition 3.3 together with Umehara's theorem, we can see the following

Theorem 3.4. Let $M$ be an $n(\geqq 3$ )-dimensional complete and simply connected Riemannian manifold with harmonic curvature and let $\phi$ be an isometric immersion of $M$ into an ( $n+1$ )-dimensional complete and simply connected Riemannian manifold of constant curvature c. If the multiplicity of each principal curvature is greater than one, then $\phi(M)$ is isometric to one of the following spaces:
(1) The case where $c>0$. The great sphere, the small sphere and $S^{k}\left(c_{1}\right) \times S^{n-k}\left(c_{2}\right)$, where $2 \leqq k \leqq n-2$ and $1 / c_{1}+1 / c_{2}=1 / c$. In particular, $\phi$ is an imbedding.
(2) The case where $c=0$. The sphere, the Euclidean space and $S^{k} \times R^{n-k}$.
(3) The case where $c<0$. The sphere, the hyperbolic space, the flat space $F^{n}$ and $S^{k}\left(c_{1}\right) \times H^{n-k}\left(c_{2}\right)$, where $2 \leqq k \leqq n-2$ and $1 / c_{1}+1 / c_{2}=1 / c$. In particular, $\phi$ is an imbedding.

## 4. Hypersurfaces with harmonic curvature and non-parallel Ricci tensor.

This section is devoted to the investigation of examples of hypersurfaces with harmonic curvature and non-parallel Ricci tensor in $M^{n+1}(c)$. By taking account of Theorem 3.4, it is seen that at least one principal curvatures ought to be of multiplicity 1.

Let $M$ be a hypersurface immersed in $M^{n+1}(c)$, and assume that the principal curvatures $\lambda_{i}$ on $M$ satisfy

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\lambda_{1}=\cdots=\lambda_{n-1}=\lambda \neq 0,  \tag{4.1}\\
\lambda_{n}=\mu
\end{array}\right.
$$

such that $\lambda \neq \mu$. Without loss of generality, we may suppose that $\lambda>0$. As is well known, the distribution of the space of eigenvectors corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda$ is completely integrable, because the multiplicity of each principal curvature is constant. Now, since $\lambda$ and $\mu$ are smooth functions on $M$, we have, using the covariant derivative $h_{i j k}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \lambda=d \lambda_{a}=h_{a a a} \omega_{a}+\Sigma_{b \neq a} h_{a a b} \omega_{b}+h_{a a n} \omega_{n} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where indices $a, b, \cdots$ run over the range $\{1, \cdots, n-1\}$. Because of $\omega_{n+1 a}=$ $\lambda_{a} \omega_{a}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
d \omega_{n+1} a & =d \lambda_{a} \wedge \omega_{a}+\lambda_{a} d \omega_{a} \\
& =d \lambda \wedge \omega_{a}+\lambda\left(-\Sigma_{b} \omega_{a b} \wedge \omega_{b}-\omega_{a n} \wedge \omega_{n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, the structure equation (1.2) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
d \omega_{n+1} a & =-\Sigma_{k} \omega_{n+1} \wedge \omega_{k a} \\
& =-\lambda \Sigma_{b} \omega_{b} \wedge \omega_{b a}-\mu \omega_{n} \wedge \omega_{n a} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining with above two equations, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma_{b} \lambda_{, b} \omega_{b} \wedge \omega_{a}+\left\{(\mu-\lambda) \omega_{a n}-\lambda_{n} \omega_{\alpha}\right\} \wedge \omega_{n}=0 \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a fixed index $a$, where $d \lambda=\sum_{b} \lambda_{,} \omega_{b}+\lambda_{n} \omega_{n}$. This implies

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\lambda, a=0,  \tag{4.4}\\
(\mu-\lambda) \omega_{a n}-\lambda,{ }_{n} \omega_{a}=\sigma_{a} \omega_{n}
\end{array}\right.
$$

for any index $a$, where $\sigma_{a}$ is a function on $M$. From (4.2) and ilthe first equation of (4.4) it follows that we have

$$
h_{a a a} \omega_{a}+\sum_{b \neq a} h_{a a b} \omega_{b}+h_{a a n} \omega_{n}=\lambda_{n} \omega_{n},
$$

and hence

$$
h_{a a a}=0, \quad h_{a a b}=0(b \neq a), \quad h_{a a n}=\lambda_{n} .
$$

Similarly, for the other $\mu$ we have

$$
d \mu=\Sigma_{b} h_{n n b} \omega_{b}+h_{n n n} \omega_{n} .
$$

Because of $\omega_{n+1 n}=\mu \omega_{n}$, by the same argument as that of $\lambda$ we have

$$
d \omega_{n+1 n}=-\lambda \Sigma_{b} \omega_{n b} \wedge \omega_{b}=d \mu \wedge \omega_{n}-\mu \Sigma_{b} \omega_{n b} \wedge \omega_{b},
$$

and hence

$$
d \mu \wedge \omega_{n}+(\lambda-\mu) \Sigma_{b} \omega_{n b} \wedge \omega_{b}=0
$$

This together with (4.4) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{a}=\sigma_{a} \text { for any index } a . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, for distinct indices $a$ and $b$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{a b k}=0 . \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case where $M$ is with harmonic curvature, principal curvatures $\lambda_{j}$ satisfy (3.1) and because of $h=(n-1) \lambda+\mu$ and $d h=\sum_{k} h_{k} \omega_{k}$, we see

$$
h_{k}=(n-1) \lambda_{k}+\mu,_{k}
$$

for any index $k$. Considering the case where $j=a$ and $k=n$ in (3.1), one gets

$$
\lambda h_{n} \delta_{a i}-\mu h_{a} \delta_{n i}-(\lambda-\mu) h_{a n i}=0
$$

for any indices $a$ and $i$. This means that it follows from the above equation and (4.5) that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\{(n-2) \lambda+\mu\} \lambda \lambda_{n}+\lambda \mu,_{n}=0  \tag{4.7}\\
\mu h_{a}+(\lambda-\mu) h_{a n n}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Consequently, making use of the above relations, we have $h_{a}=\mu, \mu_{a}=h_{a n n}$ and $\lambda h_{a n n}=0$, namely

$$
h_{a}=0, \quad \sigma_{a}=0
$$

Thus, by (4.4) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& h_{n n n}=\mu, n \\
& \omega_{n a}=\frac{\lambda, n}{\lambda-\mu} \omega_{a} \tag{4.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Accordingly, in order for $M$ to be with harmonic curvature, principal curvatures $\lambda$ and $\mu$ must satisfy (4.7) and (4.8). Moreover we have $d \omega_{n}=0$, which shows that we may put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{n}=d v \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{n a}=\frac{\lambda^{\prime}}{\lambda-\mu} \omega_{a} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the prime denotes the derivative with respect to $v$. This means that the integral submanifold $M^{n-1}(v)$ corresponding to $\lambda$ and $v$ is umbilic in $M$ and hence in $M^{n+1}(c)$.

By the simple calculation the following properties for the Ricci tensor are obtained:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{a b c}=0, \quad R_{a n n}=0, \quad R_{a b n}=\left[\{2(n-2) \lambda+\mu\} \lambda,_{n}+\lambda \mu,_{n}\right] \delta_{a b}, \\
& R_{n n n}=(n-1)\left(\lambda \mu,,_{n}+\mu \lambda,{ }_{n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, in order for $M$ to be with parallel Ricci tensor, it is necessary and sufficient that $\lambda$ and $\mu$ are both constant.

Example. $\quad M=S^{n-1}\left(c_{1}\right) \times S^{1}\left(c_{2}\right) \subset \boldsymbol{R}^{n} \times \boldsymbol{R}^{2}$ such that $1 / c_{1}+1 / c_{2}=1$. The principal curvatures $\lambda_{j}$ are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda_{1}=\cdots=\lambda_{n-1}=\lambda= \pm\left(c_{1}-1\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \lambda_{n}=\mu=\mp\left(c_{2}-1\right)^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Actually $M$ is with harmonic curvature and parallel Ricci tensor. In particular, when $c_{1}=n /(n-2)$ and $c_{2}=n / 2, \lambda$ and $\mu$ are given by $\lambda= \pm(2 /(n-2))^{1 / 2}$ and
$\mu=\mp((n-2) / 2)^{1 / 2}$ and moreover they satisfy $(n-2) \lambda+\mu=0$. In the latter case, the scalar curvature $R$ is equal to $n(n-1)$.

Now, substituting (4.10) into the structure equation

$$
d \omega_{n a}+\sum_{b} \omega_{n b} \wedge \omega_{b a}=(c+\lambda \mu) \omega_{n} \wedge \omega_{a}
$$

we have

$$
d\left(\frac{\lambda^{\prime}}{\lambda-\mu} \omega_{a}\right)=-\frac{\lambda^{\prime}}{\lambda-\mu} \Sigma_{b} \omega_{b} \wedge \omega_{b a}+(c+\lambda \mu) \omega_{n} \wedge \omega_{a}
$$

Since the left hand side is reduced to

$$
\left(\frac{\lambda^{\prime}}{\lambda-\mu}\right)^{\prime} \omega_{n} \wedge \omega_{a}+\frac{\lambda^{\prime}}{\lambda-\mu}\left(-\Sigma_{b} \omega_{a b} \wedge \omega_{b}-\omega_{a n} \wedge \omega_{n}\right)
$$

the following equation is obtained:

$$
\left(\frac{\lambda^{\prime}}{\lambda-\mu}\right)^{\prime}-\left(\frac{\lambda^{\prime}}{\lambda-\mu}\right)^{2}-(c+\lambda \mu)=0,
$$

and hence we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{\prime \prime}(\lambda-\mu)-\lambda^{\prime}\left(\lambda^{\prime}-\mu^{\prime}\right)-\lambda^{\prime 2}-(c+\lambda \mu)(\lambda-\mu)^{2}=0 \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, under the condition (4.7) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{(n-2) \lambda+\mu\} \lambda^{\prime}+\lambda \mu^{\prime}=0 \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus the distinct principal curvatures $\lambda$ and $\mu$ satisfy a system of ordinary differential equations (4.11) and (4.12) of order 2. (4.12) is however equivalent to

$$
\left\{(n-2) \lambda^{2}+2 \lambda \mu\right\}^{\prime}=0,
$$

which yields

$$
(n-2) \lambda^{2}+2 \lambda \mu=c_{1},
$$

where $c_{1}$ is the integral constant. Then the scalar curvature $R$ is given by $R=n(n-1) c+(n-1) c_{1}$, and by taking account of (4.12), the ordinary differential equation of order 2 for $\lambda$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& 4 \lambda\left(n \lambda^{2}-c_{1}\right) \lambda^{\prime \prime}-4\left\{(n+2) \lambda^{2}+c_{1}\right\} \lambda^{\prime 2} \\
& -\left(n \lambda^{2}-c_{1}\right)^{2}\left\{2 c+c_{1}-(n-2) \lambda^{2}\right\}=0, \tag{4.13}
\end{align*}
$$

where $n \lambda^{2}-c_{1} \neq 0$. Putting $\omega=\lambda^{-2 / n}$, (4.13) can be replaced by

$$
\frac{d^{2} \omega}{d v^{2}}+\frac{(n+1) c_{1} \omega^{n-1}}{n-c_{1} \omega^{n}} \omega^{\prime 2}+\frac{\omega}{2 n}\left(n-c_{1} \omega^{n}\right)\left(2 c+c_{1}-\frac{n-2}{\omega^{n}}\right)=0 .
$$

Integrating the differential equation of degree 2, we obtain

$$
\left(\frac{d \omega}{d v}\right)^{2}=\left(n-c_{1} \omega^{n}\right)^{2(n+1) / n}\left\{c_{2}-\frac{1}{n} \int \omega\left(n-c_{1} \omega^{n}\right)^{-(n+2) / n}\left(2 c+c_{1}-\frac{n-2}{\omega^{n}}\right) d \omega\right\}
$$

where $c_{2}$ is the integral constant. In the case where $c_{1}=0$, this is reduced to

$$
\left(\frac{d \omega}{d v}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{\omega^{n-2}}+c \omega^{2}=c_{2}
$$

which is the differential equation similar to that treated by T. Otsuki [12]. Thus there exist infinitely many hypersurfaces with harmonic curvature in $M^{n+1}(c)$ corresponding to the constants $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$, and the hypersurfaces have non-parallel Ricci tensor and the scalar curvatures are equal to $n(n-1) c+(n-1) c_{1}$.

By the same method as that of Otsuki's theory, we have the following construction theorem concerning for hypersurfaces with harmonic curvature.

Theorem 4.1. Let $M$ be an $n$ ( $\geqq 3$ )-dimensional hypersurface with scalar curvature $n(n-1) c$ and the harmonic curvature immersed in $M^{n+1}(c)$. If it has exactly two distinct principal curvatures, one's multiplicity of which is equal to 1 , and the other has no zero points, then the following assertions are true:
(1) $M$ is a locus of moving ( $n-1$ )-dimensional submanifold $M^{n-1}(v)$ along which the principal curvature $\lambda$ of multiplicity $n-1$ is constant and which is umbilic in $M$ and of constant curvature $\left(d / d v\left(\log \left(n \lambda^{2}-c_{1}\right)^{1 / n}\right)\right)^{2}+\lambda^{2}+c$, where $v$ is the arc length of an orthogonal trajectory of the family $M^{n-1}(v)$, and $\lambda=\lambda(v)$ satisfies the ordinary differential equation (4.13) of order 2.
(2) If $\bar{M}=S^{n+1}(c) \subset \boldsymbol{R}^{n+2}$, then $M^{n-1}(v)$ is contained in an ( $n-1$ )-dimensional sphere $S^{n-1}(v)=E^{n}(v) \cap S^{n+1}$ of the intersection of $S^{n+1}$ and an $n$-dimensional linear subspace $E^{n}(v)$ in $\boldsymbol{R}^{n+2}$ which is parallel to a fixed $E^{n}$. The center $q$ moves on a plane curve in a plane $\boldsymbol{R}^{2}$ through the origin of $\boldsymbol{R}^{n+2}$ and orthogonal to $E^{n}$.

Corollary. There exist infinitely many hypersurfaces with harmonic curvature and non-parallel Ricci tensor in $M^{n+1}(c)$, which is not congruent to each other in it.

In the next place, the condition under which the plane curve figured with the center $q$ is controlled will be required. Since the matter discussed in [12, section 4] can be completely applied to this case, the necessary subjects for the explanation of the statement of the theorem are only quoted from [12], and the precise argument is omitted. The sphere $S^{n+1}$ is regarded as $S^{n+1} \subset \boldsymbol{R}^{n+2}$ $=\boldsymbol{R}^{n} \times \boldsymbol{R}^{2}$, and $\left\{\bar{e}_{1}, \cdots, \bar{e}_{n}\right\}$ denotes the orthonormal frame in $\boldsymbol{R}^{n}$ at the origin. Let $C$ be a plane curve in $\boldsymbol{R}^{2}$ with a given surporting function $h(\theta)$, then the generic point $q(\theta)$ of $C$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(\theta)=e^{i(\theta-\pi / 2)}\left(h(\theta)+i h^{\prime}(\theta)\right) \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

by considering $\boldsymbol{R}^{2}$ as the complex plane. The Frenet formula of $C$ at $q(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ is given by $\bar{e}_{n+1}=e^{i \theta}$ and $\bar{e}_{n+2}=e^{i(\theta+\pi / 2)}$. Suppose that the curve $C$ is contained in the unit circle. Then a positive function $\rho$ can be defined by $\rho^{2}=1-\|q\|^{2}$, and a hypersurface $M$ is defined in $S^{n+1}(1)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=q+\rho \bar{e}_{n} . \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

A unit vector $e_{n}$ is defined by

$$
e_{n}=\left(\rho^{\prime} \bar{e}_{n}+\left(h+h^{\prime \prime}\right) \bar{e}_{n+1}\right) /\left(\left(\rho^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(h+h^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} .
$$

If the hypersurface $M$ in $S^{n+1}(1)$ is with harmonic curvature and $R=n(n-1)$, then the function $h$ satisfies the following ordinary differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
n h\left(1-h^{2}\right) \frac{d^{2} h}{d \theta^{2}}+2\left(\frac{d h}{d \theta}\right)^{2}+\left(1-h^{2}\right)\left(n h^{2}-2\right)=0 . \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conversely, if a function $h(\theta)$ satisfying (4.16) gives a plane curve by the equation (4.14) in $\boldsymbol{R}^{2}$ contained in the unit circle, then a hypersurface $M$ with harmonic curvature and $R=n(n-1)$ is obtained by (4.15). The hypersurfaces depend completely on properties of $h(\theta)$.

ThEOREM 4.2. Any complete hypersurface $M$ with harmonic curvature and $R=n(n-1)$ in $S^{n+1}(1)$ of the type of Theorem 4.1 is given by the following method.
(1) $C$ is a plane curve in $\boldsymbol{R}^{2}$ given by

$$
q(\theta)=e^{i(\theta-\pi / 2)}\left(h(\theta)+i h^{\prime}(\theta)\right),
$$

where $h(\theta)$ is a solution of the differential equation (4.16) with $0<h(0) \leqq(2 / n)^{1 / 2}$ and $h^{\prime}(0)=0$.
(2) $\quad M \ni p=\left(1-h(\theta)^{2}-h^{\prime}(\theta)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \bar{e}_{n}+q(\theta)$, where $\bar{e}_{n} \in \boldsymbol{R}^{n},\left\|\bar{e}_{n}\right\|=1$ and $S^{n+1} \subset \boldsymbol{R}^{n} \times \boldsymbol{R}^{2}$.

There exist countable number of compact hypersurfaces of this type, and the special case $S^{n-1}(n /(n-2)) \times S^{1}(n / 2)$ corresponds to $h(0)=(2 / n)^{1 / 2}$ and $h^{\prime}(0)=0$.
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