On a Generalization of the Mukai Conjecture for Fano Fourfolds

Kento FUJITA

Kyoto University

(Communicated by N. Suwa)

Abstract. Let X be a complex *n*-dimensional Fano manifold. Let s(X) be the sum of l(R) - 1 for all the extremal rays R of X, the edges of the cone NE(X) of curves of X, where l(R) denotes the minimum of $(-K_X \cdot C)$ for all rational curves C whose classes [C] belong to R. We show that $s(X) \le n$ if $n \le 4$. And for $n \le 4$, we completely classify the case the equality holds. This is a refinement of the Mukai conjecture on Fano fourfolds.

1. Introduction

Let X be an arbitrary *n*-dimensional Fano manifold with the Picard number ρ_X . In 1988, Mukai [16] made the following conjecture.

CONJECTURE 1.1. One has

 $\rho_X(r_X-1) \le n \,,$

and the equality holds if and only if $X \simeq (\mathbf{P}^{r_X-1})^{\rho_X}$, where

 $r_X := \max\{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \mid -K_X \sim mL \text{ for some Cartier divisor } L\}.$

There are several approaches and refinements of Conjecture 1.1. See for example [1, 4, 6, 17, 23]. Nowadays, the following conjecture due to Tsukioka [22] (cf. [21]) is the most generalized version of Conjecture 1.1.

CONJECTURE 1.2. One has

$$\rho_X(l_X-1) \le n \,,$$

and the equality holds if and only if $X \simeq (\mathbf{P}^{l_X-1})^{\rho_X}$, where l_X denotes the minimum of the length l(R) of all the extremal rays R of X, and

 $l(R) := \min\{(-K_X \cdot C) \mid C \subset X \text{ is a rational curve with } [C] \in R\}.$

We think that it is more natural to consider *all* the extremal rays to study a Fano manifold since each extremal ray has various geometric information. We set up the following question.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 14J45, 14E30, 14J35

Key words and phrases: Fano manifold, Mukai conjecture, Extremal ray

Received June 21, 2013; revised September 17, 2013

QUESTION 1.3. Give a bound of

$$s(X) := \sum_{R \subset NE(X) \text{ extremal ray}} (l(R) - 1)$$

for arbitrary *n*-dimensional Fano manifolds X.

This question is a refinement of Conjectures 1.1 and 1.2 since the invariant s(X) satisfies the inequality $\rho_X(r_X - 1) \le \rho_X(l_X - 1) \le s(X)$. We note that the invariant s(X) is a natural invariant. For example, let $X := \prod_{i=1}^{m} \mathbf{P}^{d_i}$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{m} d_i = n$. Then s(X) = n holds despite $\rho_X(l_X - 1) = m \cdot \min\{d_i\}$ is less than n unless $d_1 = \cdots = d_m$.

In this paper, we identify the bound of s(X) when $n \le 4$.

THEOREM 1.4 (Main Theorem). Let X be an n-dimensional Fano manifold. (i) If $n \le 3$, then $s(X) \le n$ holds. Moreover, the equality holds if and only if

$$X \simeq \prod_{R \subset \operatorname{NE}(X) \text{ extremal ray}} \mathbf{P}^{l(R)-1}$$

(ii) If
$$n = 4$$
, then $s(X) \le n$ holds. Moreover, the equality holds if and only if

 $X \simeq \prod_{R \subset \operatorname{NE}(X) \text{ extremal ray}} \mathbf{P}^{l(R)-1}$

or

$$X \simeq \operatorname{Bl}_{p,q}(\mathbf{Q}^4),$$

the blowing up of \mathbf{Q}^4 along p and q, where $\mathbf{Q}^4 \subset \mathbf{P}^5$ is a smooth hyperquadric and p, q are distinct points in \mathbf{Q}^4 with $\overline{pq} \not\subset \mathbf{Q}^4$, where $\overline{pq} \subset \mathbf{P}^5$ is the line through p and q.

REMARK 1.5. If $n \ge 5$, then there exists an *n*-dimensional Fano manifold X such that s(X) is strictly larger than *n* (see Remark 3.5 (iii)). However, such X is very special as far as we know. We think that all such X should be classified.

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.4, we can give the affirmative answer to Conjecture 1.2 in the case $n \le 4$. (Tsukioka [22] proved the inequality in the case n = 4 but did not settle the assertion on the equality case.)

COROLLARY 1.6 (cf. [22]). Conjecture 1.2 is true if $n \le 4$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. The author would like to express his gratitude to Professor Shigefumi Mori for warm encouragements and valuable comments. He also thanks Professors Shigeru Mukai, Noboru Nakayama, Masayuki Kawakita and Stefan Helmke for valuable comments during the seminars in RIMS. The author thank the referee for useful comments.

320

Especially, the proof of Theorem 1.4 (ii) has been improved by referee's comments. The author is partially supported by a JSPS Fellowship for Young Scientists. This paper is a modified version of the author's master thesis submitted in January 2011, to RIMS, Kyoto University.

NOTATION AND TERMINOLOGY. We always work over the complex number field **C**. For a proper variety X, let $N_1(X)_{\mathbf{Q}}$ (rep. $N^1(X)_{\mathbf{Q}}$) be the vector space of one-cycles (resp. Cartier divisors) on X, with rational coefficients, modulo numerical equivalence. Let $N_1(X) := N_1(X)_{\mathbf{Q}} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R}$ and $N^1(X) := N^1(X)_{\mathbf{Q}} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R}$. The *Picard number* of X, denoted by ρ_X , is defined to be the dimension of the vector space $N_1(X)$.

For an *n*-dimensional normal projective variety *X*, we denote the normalization of the parameterizing space of irreducible and reduced rational curves on *X* by RatCurves^{*n*}(*X*) (see [13, Definition II.2.11]). For the theory of extremal contraction, we refer the readers to [12]. A projective surjective morphism $f: X \to Z$ is called a *contraction morphism* if *Z* is normal projective and any fiber of *f* is connected. For an extremal ray $R \subset \overline{NE}(X)$, we say that *R defines the contraction morphism* $\operatorname{cont}_R: X \to Y$ if cont_R is a contraction morphism and the kernel of the surjection $N_1(X) \to N_1(Y)$ is equal to $\mathbf{R}R (= R + (-R))$. The morphism cont_R is called the *associated contraction morphism*. For example, if *X* is smooth and *R* is K_X -negative, then *R* defines the contraction morphism. For an extremal ray $R \subset \overline{NE}(X)$, we say that *R* is *of fiber type* (resp. *divisorial, small*) if *R* defines the contraction morphism is of fiber type (resp. divisorial, small). We define

$$\operatorname{Exc}(R) := \{x \in X \mid \operatorname{cont}_R \colon X \to Y \text{ is not isomorphism at } x\}$$

For example, if *R* is of fiber type, then Exc(R) = X. We say that *R* is of type (a, b) if $\dim(\text{Exc}(R)) = a$ and $\dim(\text{cont}_R(\text{Exc}(R))) = b$, and we say that *R* is of type $(n - 1, b)^{\text{sm}}$ if the associated contraction morphism is the blowing up morphism of a smooth projective variety along a smooth subvariety of dimension *b* (in particular, *X* must be smooth). For an extremal ray $R \subset \overline{\text{NE}}(X)$ and a Cartier divisor *E* on *X*, the notation $(E \cdot R) > 0$ (resp. $(E \cdot R) < 0, (E \cdot R) = 0$) means that the property $(E \cdot C) > 0$ (resp. $(E \cdot C) < 0, (E \cdot C) = 0$) holds for a curve $C \subset X$ with $[C] \in R$.

For an algebraic variety X and a closed subscheme $Y \subset X$, the morphism $Bl_Y(X) \to X$ denotes the blowing up of X along Y. The symbol \mathbb{Q}^n denotes a smooth hyperquadric in \mathbb{P}^{n+1} . We say that X is a *Fano manifold* if X is a smooth projective variety such that the anticanonical divisor $-K_X$ is ample.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. A family of rational curves. We observe the definition and a property of a family of rational curves for a fixed normal projective variety.

DEFINITION 2.1 (see for example [1]). Let X be a normal projective variety. We define a *family of rational curves* to be an irreducible component $H \subset \text{RatCurves}^n(X)$. For any $x \in X$, let H_x be the subvariety of H parameterizing rational curves passing through

x, and \hat{H}_x the normalization of the image of H_x in the Chow variety Chow(X). We define Locus(H) (resp. $Locus(H_x)$) to be the union of rational curves parameterized by H (resp. H_x). For a family H of rational curves on X, the family H is said to be *dominating* if the closure $\overline{Locus(H)}$ is equal to X, *unsplit* if H is projective, and *locally unsplit* if H_x is projective for general $x \in Locus(H)$.

The following proposition may be familiar.

PROPOSITION 2.2 ([17, Proposition 2.5(b)]). Let X be a smooth projective variety, H be a family of rational curves on X, and $x \in \text{Locus}(H)$ be a point such that H_x is projective. Then one has

 $\dim \operatorname{Locus}(H) + \dim \operatorname{Locus}(H_{\chi}) \ge \dim X + (-K_X \cdot \operatorname{Fam} H) - 1,$

where Fam H is the numerical class of the curves in X parametrized by H.

2.2. Properties of extremal contractions. We show some properties of extremal contractions associate to extremal rays that we need to prove Theorem 1.4.

PROPOSITION 2.3. Let X be an n-dimensional smooth projective variety. Assume that there exist distinct K_X -negative extremal rays $R_1, R_2 \subset \overline{NE}(X)$ such that R_1 is of type $(n - 1, 0), l(R_2) \ge 2$ and $Exc(R_1) \cap Exc(R_2) \ne \emptyset$. Then R_2 is of fiber type and $\rho_X = 2$.

PROOF. Let $E_i := \text{Exc}(R_i)$ for i = 1, 2 and fix $x \in E_1 \cap E_2$. Let $C \subset X$ be a rational curve such that

(1) $x \in C$ and $[C] \in R_2$,

(2) $(-K_X \cdot C)$ is minimal among satisfying (1)

Let *H* be a family of rational curves containing $[C] \in \text{RatCurves}^n(X)$. Then H_x is projective by construction. If there exists an irreducible curve $l \subset E_1 \cap \text{Locus}(H_x)$ then $[l] \in R_1 \cap R_2 =$ {0}, which leads to a contradiction. Hence $\dim(E_1 \cap \text{Locus}(H_x)) = 0$. Thus $\dim \text{Locus}(H_x) \leq$ 1 since $\dim E_1 = n - 1$. Therefore,

 $1 \ge \dim \operatorname{Locus}(H_X) \ge (n - \dim \operatorname{Locus}(H)) + (-K_X \cdot \operatorname{Fam} H) - 1$ $\ge l(R_2) - 1 \ge 1$

by Proposition 2.2. Thus dim Locus(H) = n and $l(R_2) = (-K_X \cdot \text{Fam } H) = 2$. In particular, H is dominating and unsplit. Hence R_2 is of fiber type. Let $\varphi_2 \colon X \to Y_2$ be the contraction morphism associated to R_2 . Since the restriction $\varphi_2|_{E_1} \colon E_1 \to Y_2$ is a finite morphism, dim $Y_2 = n - 1$. We note that all curves in E_1 are numerically proportional. Thus $\varphi_{Y_2} = 1$. This implies that $\varphi_X = 2$.

PROPOSITION 2.4. Let X be an n-dimensional normal projective variety which satisfies that $Pic(X) \otimes \mathbf{Q} = N^1(X)_{\mathbf{Q}}$.

(1) Assume that $\rho_X \ge 3$. Pick any extremal ray $R \subset \overline{NE}(X)$ which defines the contraction morphism $\varphi \colon X \to Y$. Then the ray R is neither of type (n, 0) nor of type (n, 1).

(2) Set $m \ge 2$. Let $R_i \subset \overline{NE}(X)$ be an extremal ray which defines the contraction morphism $\varphi_i \colon X \to Y_i, C_i \subset X$ be an irreducible curve with $[C_i] \in R_i$, and $E_i := \operatorname{Exc}(R_i)$ for any $1 \le i \le m$. We assume that $E_i \cap E_j = \emptyset$ for any $1 \le i < j \le m$. Then we can construct the morphism $\varphi \colon X \to Y$ contracting all of E_1, \ldots, E_m . (Glue $\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_m$ together. We note that Y is a normal proper variety but not necessary projective.) Then there is an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathbf{Q}[C_i] \longrightarrow \mathrm{N}_1(X)_{\mathbf{Q}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{N}_1(Y)_{\mathbf{Q}} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Furthermore, if X is **Q**-factorial and R_i is divisorial for any $1 \le i \le m$, then Y is also **Q**-factorial and hence $\rho_Y \ge 1$.

PROOF. (1) is obvious. We prove (2). For $1 \le i \le m$, let $\psi_i : X \to Z_i$ be the morphism contracting E_1, \ldots, E_i obtained by gluing $\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_i$ together (for construction, see [10, Exercise 2.12]). We note that Z_i is a normal proper variety, $Y = Z_m$ and $\varphi = \psi_m$. Set $Z_0 := X$ and $\psi_0 := id_X$ (the identity morphism). For $1 \le i \le m$, let $\pi_i : Z_{i-1} \to Z_i$ be the morphism contracting (the image of) E_i such that $\pi_i \circ \psi_{i-1} = \psi_i$. We remark that $\varphi_1 = \psi_1 = \pi_1$. Note that $\text{Pic}(Z_i) \otimes \mathbf{Q} = N^1(Z_i)\mathbf{Q}$ by Remark 2.5. It is enough to show the exactness of

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{N}^{1}(Z_{i})_{\mathbf{Q}} \xrightarrow{\pi_{i}^{*}} \mathrm{N}^{1}(Z_{i-1})_{\mathbf{Q}} \xrightarrow{(\bullet \cdot C_{i})} \mathbf{Q}$$

for any $1 \le i \le m$ to prove the exactness of the sequence in (2). We can assume that $2 \le i \le m$ since the case i = 1 follows from the definition of the contraction morphism. The injectivity of π_i^* : $N^1(Z_i)_{\mathbf{Q}} \to N^1(Z_{i-1})_{\mathbf{Q}}$ is obvious. Let $\tau_i : Y_i \to Z_i$ be the morphism contracting E_1, \ldots, E_{i-1} which satisfies that the diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\psi_{i-1}} & Z_{i-1} \\ \varphi_i & & & \downarrow \\ \varphi_i & & & \downarrow \\ Y_i & \xrightarrow{\tau_i} & Z_i \ . \end{array}$$

Let $V_i := Z_i \setminus (\tau_i \circ \varphi_i(E_1 \sqcup ... \sqcup E_{i-1}))$ and $U_i := Z_i \setminus (\tau_i \circ \varphi_i(E_i))$. Pick any invertible sheaf $M \in \text{Pic}(Z_{i-1})$ satisfying $(M \cdot C_i) = 0$. Then $0 = (M \cdot C_i) = (\psi_{i-1} M \cdot C_i)$. There exists an invertible sheaf $L_1 \in \text{Pic}(Y_i)$ and a positive integer *t* such that $\varphi_i L_1 \simeq \psi_{i-1} M^{\otimes t}$ by the property of the ray R_i and the fact $\text{Pic}(X) \otimes \mathbf{Q} = N^1(X)_{\mathbf{O}}$. Thus

$$M^{\otimes t} \simeq \psi_{i-1*} \psi_{i-1}^* M^{\otimes t} \simeq \psi_{i-1*} \varphi_i^* L_1 \simeq \pi_i^* \tau_{i*} L_1.$$

Indeed, φ_i and π_i are isomorphisms over U_i , and ψ_{i-1} and τ_i are isomorphisms over V_i , respectively. We note that $\tau_{i*}L_1$ is an invertible sheaf since $\tau_{i*}L_1|_{U_i} \simeq M^{\otimes t}|_{\pi_i^{-1}(U_i)}$ and $\tau_{i*}L_1|_{V_i} \simeq L_1|_{\tau_i^{-1}(V_i)}$. Therefore we have $M^{\otimes t} \in \pi_i^*(\operatorname{Pic}(Z_i))$. For the remaining part, see [12, Corollary 3.18] for example.

REMARK 2.5. For a surjective morphism $\varphi \colon X \to Y$ between normal proper varieties with connected fibers, if $\operatorname{Pic}(X) \otimes \mathbf{Q} = \operatorname{N}^1(X)_{\mathbf{Q}}$ then $\operatorname{Pic}(Y) \otimes \mathbf{Q} = \operatorname{N}^1(Y)_{\mathbf{Q}}$. Indeed, for a numerically trivial invertible sheaf $L \in \operatorname{Pic}(Y)$, since φ^*L is numerically trivial, there exists a positive integer t such that $\varphi^*L^{\otimes t} \simeq \mathcal{O}_X$. Thus $L^{\otimes t} \simeq \mathcal{O}_Y$.

COROLLARY 2.6. Let X be an n-dimensional normal **Q**-factorial projective variety such that $\operatorname{Pic}(X) \otimes \mathbf{Q} = \operatorname{N}^1(X)_{\mathbf{Q}}$. Assume that there exist distinct divisorial extremal rays $R_1, \ldots, R_m \subset \overline{\operatorname{NE}}(X)$ which define the contraction morphisms $\varphi_i \colon X \to Y_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $\operatorname{Exc}(R_i) \cap \operatorname{Exc}(R_j) = \emptyset$ for any $1 \leq i < j \leq m$.

- (1) If $m \ge 3$, then $\rho_X \ge 4$.
- (2) If X is smooth and R_i is of type $(n 1, b_i)^{\text{sm}}$ (for some $b_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$) for any $1 \leq i \leq m$, then $\rho_X \geq m + 1$.

PROOF. Let $\varphi: X \to Y$ be the morphism which is the gluing morphism of $\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_m$ contracting $\text{Exc}(R_1), \ldots, \text{Exc}(R_m)$ as in Proposition 2.4 (2). Let $C_i \subset X$ be an irreducible and reduced curve with $[C_i] \in R_i$ for $1 \le i \le m$.

(1) We can assume that the classes $[C_1]$, $[C_2]$, $[C_3]$ are linearly independent in N₁(X). By Proposition 2.4 (2), Y is **Q**-factorial and $1 \le \rho_Y \le \rho_X - 3$.

(2) In this case, Y is a smooth proper variety and $\rho_X = m + \rho_Y \ge m + 1$.

We recall Wiśniewski's theorem on the bounds of the length of extremal rays.

THEOREM 2.7 ([24, Theorem 1.1]). Let X be a smooth projective variety, $R \in \overline{NE}(X)$ be a K_X -negative extremal ray and $\operatorname{cont}_R \colon X \to Y$ be the associated contraction morphism. Then for every irreducible component $E \subset \operatorname{Exc}(R)$, we have

$$l(R) \leq \dim X + 1 - 2\operatorname{codim}_X E - \dim(\operatorname{cont}_R(E)).$$

2.3. Characterizations of the products of projective spaces. We give several criteria so that a given smooth projective variety is isomorphic to the products of projective spaces.

THEOREM 2.8 ([11, Theorem 2.16]). Let X be a normal projective variety and H be a dominating and locally unsplit family of rational curves on X. For general $x \in X$, consider the rational map

$$\tau_x \colon \tilde{H}_x \dashrightarrow \mathbf{P}(T_X|_x^{\vee})$$

defined by

$$[l] \mapsto \mathbf{P}(T_l|_r^{\vee})$$

Then the rational map τ_x is a finite morphism.

DEFINITION 2.9 (Variety of Minimal Rational Tangents). Under the assumption in Theorem 2.8, the finite morphism τ_x is called the *tangent morphism*; its image $C_x := \tau_x(\tilde{H}_x) \subset \mathbf{P}(T_X|_x^{\vee})$ is called the *variety of minimal rational tangents*, or shortly *VMRT*, of *H* at *x*.

Araujo [3] showed a criterion for varieties being isomorphic to the products of projective spaces in terms of VMRT.

THEOREM 2.10 ([3, Theorem 1.3]). Let X be an n-dimensional smooth projective variety with k distinct dominating and unsplit family of rational curves H_1, \ldots, H_k on X. Suppose that, for a general $x \in X$, the associated VMRT of H_i at x are linear subspaces of dimension $d_i - 1$ in $\mathbf{P}(T_X|_x^{\vee})$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^k d_i = n$. Then $X \simeq \prod_{i=1}^k \mathbf{P}^{d_i}$.

We give another criterion for varieties being isomorphic to the products of projective spaces in terms of length of extremal rays.

THEOREM 2.11. Let X be an n-dimensional smooth projective variety with $n = \sum_{i=1}^{k} d_i$, where $d_1, \ldots, d_k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Assume that there exist distinct K_X -negative extremal rays $R_1, \ldots, R_k \subset \overline{NE}(X)$ such that R_i are of fiber type and $l(R_i) \ge d_i + 1$ for all $1 \le i \le k$. Then $X \simeq \prod_{i=1}^{k} \mathbb{P}^{d_i}$.

PROOF. Let $\varphi_i : X \to Y_i$ be the contraction morphism associated to R_i and $e_i := \dim X - \dim Y_i$ for $1 \le i \le k$. We have $\sum_{i=1}^k e_i \le n$ and $e_i \ge l(R_i) - 1$ for any *i* by [24, Theorem 2.2] and Theorem 2.7. Hence we obtain the inequality

$$n \ge \sum_{i=1}^{k} e_i \ge \sum_{i=1}^{k} (l(R_i) - 1) \ge \sum_{i=1}^{k} d_i = n.$$

Therefore $e_i = l(R_i) - 1 = d_i$ for any *i*. Let F_i be a general fiber of φ_i . Then F_i is a d_i -dimensional Fano manifold such that any rational curve l_i in F_i satisfies that $(-K_{F_i} \cdot l_i) \ge d_i + 1$. Hence $F_i \simeq \mathbf{P}^{d_i}$ by [9]. Let H_i be the family of rational curves on X containing points parameterizing *lines* in $F_i \simeq \mathbf{P}^{d_i}$. Then H_i is a dominating and unsplit family since $(-K_X \cdot \operatorname{Fam} H_i) = d_i + 1 = l(R_i)$. We consider $C_X^i \subset \mathbf{P}(T_X|_X^{\vee})$ for $x \in F_i$, which is a VMRT of H_i at x. We have $C_x^i = \mathbf{P}(T_{F_i}|_X^{\vee}) \subset \mathbf{P}(T_X|_x^{\vee})$; a linear subspace of dimension $d_i - 1$. By Theorem 2.10, $X \simeq \prod_{i=1}^k \mathbf{P}^{d_i}$.

We also give a criterion for varieties being isomorphic to the product of two projective spaces in terms of extremal rays.

PROPOSITION 2.12. Let X be an n-dimensional smooth projective variety. If there exist distinct K_X -negative extremal rays $R_1, R_2 \subset \overline{NE}(X)$ such that the intersection $Exc(R_1) \cap Exc(R_2)$ is not empty. Then we have

$$(l(R_1) - 1) + (l(R_2) - 1) \le n$$
,

and the equality holds if and only if $X \simeq \mathbf{P}^{l(R_1)-1} \times \mathbf{P}^{l(R_2)-1}$.

PROOF. We fix an arbitrary point $x \in \text{Exc}(R_1) \cap \text{Exc}(R_2)$. For i = 1, 2, let $\varphi_i : X \to Y_i$ be the contraction morphism associated to R_i and set $y_i := \varphi_i(x) \in Y_i$. Let $C_i \subset X$ be a rational curve which satisfies that

- (1) $x \in C_i$ and $[C_i] \in R_i$,
- (2) $(-K_X \cdot C_i)$ is minimal among satisfying (1).

Let H_i be a family of rational curves on X containing $[C_i] \in \text{RatCurves}^n(X)$. Then $(H_i)_x$ is projective by construction. Hence we have

$$\dim \varphi_i^{-1}(y_i) \ge \dim \operatorname{Locus}((H_i)_X)$$
$$\ge (n - \dim \operatorname{Locus}(H_i)) + (-K_X \cdot \operatorname{Fam} H_i) - 1$$
$$\ge (-K_X \cdot \operatorname{Fam} H_i) - 1 \ge l(R_i) - 1$$

by Proposition 2.2. We note that the intersection $\varphi_1^{-1}(y_1) \cap \varphi_2^{-1}(y_2)$ does not contain curves since the rays R_1 and R_2 are distinct. Hence $\dim(\varphi_1^{-1}(y_1) \cap \varphi_2^{-1}(y_2)) = 0$. Thus $n \ge \dim \varphi_1^{-1}(y_1) + \dim \varphi_2^{-1}(y_2)$. Hence $n \ge (l(R_1)-1) + (l(R_2)-1)$. If $n = (l(R_1)-1) + (l(R_2)-1)$, then H_i is dominating and unsplit for each i = 1, 2 since $(-K_X \cdot \operatorname{Fam} H_i) = l(R_i)$ and $\dim \operatorname{Locus}(H_i) = n$. Therefore one has $X \simeq \mathbf{P}^{l(R_1)-1} \times \mathbf{P}^{l(R_2)-1}$ by [18, Theorem 1.1]. \Box

COROLLARY 2.13. Let X be an n-dimensional Fano manifold with $\rho_X = 2$. Then NE(X) is spanned by two extremal rays, say R_1 and R_2 . If, at least, one of R_1 and R_2 is not small, then we have

$$(l(R_1) - 1) + (l(R_2) - 1) \le n$$
,

and the equality holds if and only if $X \simeq \mathbf{P}^{l(R_1)-1} \times \mathbf{P}^{l(R_2)-1}$.

PROOF. For i = 1, 2, let $\varphi_i : X \to Y_i$ be the contraction morphism associated to R_i and $E_i := \text{Exc}(R_i)$. It is enough to show that $E_1 \cap E_2 \neq \emptyset$ by Proposition 2.12. We can assume that R_1 is divisorial. Then we have $(E_1 \cdot R_1) < 0$. Thus $(E_1 \cdot R_2) > 0$ holds since E_1 is a prime divisor and since R_1 and R_2 span the cone NE(X). Hence $E_1 \cap E_2 \neq \emptyset$.

3. Fano manifolds having special extremal rays

In this section, we see several classification results of Fano manifolds having special extremal rays and calculate s(X) for such Fano manifolds X.

THEOREM 3.1 ([8, Proposition 3.1, Theorem 1.1]). Let X be an n-dimensional Fano manifold and $R \subset NE(X)$ be an extremal ray.

- (1) If $n \ge 3$ and R is of type (n 1, 0), then $\rho_X \le 3$.
- (2) If $n \ge 4$ and R is of type (n 1, 1), then $\rho_X \le 5$.

THEOREM 3.2 ([2, Theorem 5.1]). Let X be an n-dimensional smooth projective variety and $R \subset \overline{NE}(X)$ be a K_X -negative extremal ray of type (n - 1, m) which satisfies that l(R) = n - 1 - m and all nontrivial fibers of the associated contraction morphism of R are of equi-dimensional. Then R is of type $(n - 1, m)^{\text{sm}}$.

326

PROPOSITION 3.3 ([20, Proposition 5] (and [2, Theorem 5.1])). Let X be an ndimensional Fano manifold with $n \ge 4$. Assume that there exist distinct extremal rays $R_1, R_2 \subset NE(X)$ such that R_i is of type (n - 1, 1) and $l(R_i) = n - 2$ for each i = 1, 2. Then $Exc(R_1) \cap Exc(R_2) = \emptyset$.

THEOREM 3.4 ([5, Theorem 1.1]). Let Y be an n-dimensional smooth projective variety with $n \ge 3$ and $a \in Y$ be a (closed) point. Then $X := Bl_a(Y)$ is a Fano manifold if and only if one of the following holds:

- (i) $Y \simeq \mathbf{P}^n$ and $a \in Y$ is an arbitrary point.
- (ii) $Y \simeq \mathbf{Q}^n$ and $a \in Y$ is an arbitrary point.
- (iii) $Y \simeq V_d$ with $1 \le d \le n$ and $a \notin H'$ (the strict transform of H) with $V_d := Bl_Z(\mathbf{P}^n)$, where $H \subset \mathbf{P}^n$ is a hyperplane and $Z \subset H$ is a smooth subvariety of dimension n 2 and degree d.

REMARK 3.5. We have the following properties by easy calculations.

(i) If $X = Bl_a(Y)$ is in Theorem 3.4 (i), then

$$NE(X) = \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}[f] + \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}[g],$$

$$(-K_X \cdot f) = 2,$$

$$(-K_X \cdot g) = n - 1$$

hold, where *f* is the strict transform of a line on $Y = \mathbf{P}^n$ passing through *a* and *g* is a line in the exceptional divisor ($\simeq \mathbf{P}^{n-1}$) of $X \to Y$. Thus s(X) = n - 1.

(ii) If $X = Bl_a(Y)$ is in Theorem 3.4 (ii), then

$$NE(X) = \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}[f] + \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}[g],$$

$$(-K_X \cdot f) = 1,$$

$$(-K_X \cdot g) = n - 1$$

hold, where *f* is the strict transform of a line on $Y = \mathbf{Q}^n$ passing through *a* and *g* is a line in the exceptional divisor ($\simeq \mathbf{P}^{n-1}$) of $X \to Y$. Thus s(X) = n - 2.

(iii) If $X = Bl_a(Y)$ is in Theorem 3.4 (iii), then

$$NE(X) = \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}[f] + \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}[g] + \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}[l] + \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}[m],$$

$$l \equiv m + g + (1 - d) f \text{ in } N_1(X),$$

$$(-K_X \cdot f) = 1, \quad (-K_X \cdot g) = 1,$$

$$(-K_X \cdot l) = n + 1 - d, \quad (-K_X \cdot m) = 1$$

hold, where $f \subset X$ is a fiber over Z, $g \subset X$ is a line in a fiber over $a, l \subset X$ is a line in H', and $m \subset X$ is a strict transform of a line passing through a and a point in Z. Thus if d = 1 then s(X) = n - 2, but if d > 1 then s(X) = 2n - 2 - d. We note that if d = 2, then X is isomorphic to $Bl_{p,q}(\mathbf{Q}^n)$ with $\overline{pq} \not\subset \mathbf{Q}^n (\subset \mathbf{P}^{n+1})$ (see [5, Corollaire 1.2]) and s(X) = 2n - 4.

THEOREM 3.6 ([7, 19, 22]). Let Y be an n-dimensional smooth projective variety with $n \ge 4$, $C \subset Y$ be a smooth curve, $X := Bl_C(Y)$, and E be the exceptional divisor of the morphism $X \to Y$. We assume that X is a Fano manifold.

- (1) If $\rho_X = 5$, then one of the following holds:
 - (i) $Y \simeq \operatorname{Bl}_{\{p\} \cup \{q\} \cup \mathbf{P}^{n-2}}(\mathbf{P}^n)$ with $\mathbf{P}^{n-2} \cap \overline{pq} = \emptyset$ and *C* is the strict transform of \overline{pq} .
 - (ii) $Y \simeq \operatorname{Bl}_{\{p\}\cup\{q\}\cup\mathbf{Q}^{n-2}}(\mathbf{P}^n)$ with $\mathbf{Q}^{n-2} \cap \overline{pq} = \emptyset$ and *C* is the strict transform of \overline{pq} .
- (2) Assume that there exists an extremal ray $R \subset NE(X)$ of fiber type with $l(R) \ge 2$ and $(E \cdot R) > 0$.
 - If R is of type (n, n-2), then $\rho_X = 2$.
 - If R is of type (n, n 1), then the pair of (Y, C) is one of the following:
 - (i) $Y \simeq \mathbf{Q}^n$ and *C* is a line in $\mathbf{Q}^n \subset \mathbf{P}^{n+1}$.
 - (ii) $Y \simeq \mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^{n-1}$ and *C* is a fiber of the second projection.
 - (iii) $Y \simeq \operatorname{Bl}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-2}}(\mathbf{P}^n)$ and *C* is the strict transform of a line in \mathbf{P}^n disjoint from \mathbf{P}^{n-2} .
 - (iv) $Y \simeq \operatorname{Bl}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-2}}(\mathbf{P}^n)$ and *C* is a fiber of the blowing up.
 - (v) $Y \simeq \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(1)^{\oplus n-1})$ and *C* is the section of \mathbf{P}^{n-1} -bundle over \mathbf{P}^1 whose normal bundle $\mathcal{N}_{C/Y}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(-1)^{\oplus n-1}$.
 - (3) Assume that there exists an extremal ray $R \subset NE(X)$ of fiber type with $(E \cdot R) = 0$. Let $\varphi: X \to Z$ be the contraction morphism associated to R. Then R is of type (n, n 1), $C \simeq \mathbf{P}^1$, $E \simeq \mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^{n-2}$, $E = \varphi^* D$ and Z is factorial, where $D := \varphi(E)$ with the reduced structure. Furthermore, if n = 4, then there exists an extremal ray $R_Z \subset NE(Z)$ with the associated contraction morphism $\varphi_Z: Z \to W$ such that φ_Z maps D to a point.

PROOF. (1) and (2) follow from [19, Theorem 1] and [22, Propositions 3, 4]. We prove (3). The ray *R* is of type (n, n - 1), $E = \varphi^* D$ and *Z* is factorial by the fact dim $D \ge n - 2$ and by [7, Lemmas 3.9 (i), 3.10 (i)]. Moreover, $C \simeq \mathbf{P}^1$ since a one-dimensional fiber of φ in *E* maps $X \to Y$ onto *C*. We know that $E \simeq \mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^{n-2}$ since $E \simeq \mathbf{P}_C(\mathcal{N}_{C/Y}^{\vee})$ and dim $E > \dim D$, where $\mathcal{N}_{C/Y}$ is the normal bundle of *C* in *Y*. The cone NE(*Z*) is closed since NE(*X*) is so. Assume that n = 4. Then the existence of the ray $R_Z \subset$ NE(*Z*) follows from [7, Theorem 4.1 (ii)].

REMARK 3.7. We have the following properties by easy calculations. (1) (i) If $X = Bl_C(Y)$ is in Theorem 3.6 (1) (i), then

$$NE(X) = \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}[e] + \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}[f] + \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}[g] + \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}[h] + \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}[k] + \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}[l] + \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}[m],$$

$$(-K_X \cdot e) = n - 2, \quad (-K_X \cdot f) = 1, \quad (-K_X \cdot g) = 1,$$

 $(-K_X \cdot h) = 1, \quad (-K_X \cdot k) = 1, \quad (-K_X \cdot l) = 1, \quad (-K_X \cdot m) = 1$

and NE(X) is exactly spanned by the above seven rays, where

- *e* is a nontrivial fiber of the morphism $X \to Y$,
- *f* is the strict transform of a line in the exceptional divisor over *p*,
- g is the strict transform of a line in the exceptional divisor over q,
- *h* is a fiber over \mathbf{P}^{n-2} ,
- *k* is a fiber of $E \simeq C \times \mathbf{P}^{n-2} \to \mathbf{P}^{n-2}$, where *E* is the exceptional divisor of $X \to Y$,
- *l* is the strict transform of a line in \mathbf{P}^n passing through *p* and \mathbf{P}^{n-2} ,
- *m* is the strict transform of a line in \mathbf{P}^n passing through *q* and \mathbf{P}^{n-2} .

Thus s(X) = n - 3.

(ii) If $X = Bl_C(Y)$ is in Theorem 3.6 (1) (ii), then

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{NE}(X) &= \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}[e] + \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}[f] + \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}[g] + \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}[h] \\ &+ \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}[j] + \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}[k] + \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}[l] + \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}[m] \,, \\ (-K_X \cdot e) &= n - 2, \ (-K_X \cdot f) = 1, \ (-K_X \cdot g) = 1, \ (-K_X \cdot h) = 1 \,, \\ (-K_X \cdot j) &= 1, \ (-K_X \cdot k) = 1, \ (-K_X \cdot l) = 1, \ (-K_X \cdot m) = 1 \,, \end{split}$$

and NE(X) is exactly spanned by the above eight rays, where

- *e* is a nontrivial fiber of the morphism $X \to Y$,
- f is the strict transform of a line in the exceptional divisor over p,
- g is the strict transform of a line in the exceptional divisor over q,
- *h* is a fiber over \mathbf{Q}^{n-2} ,
- *j* is the strict transform of a line in \mathbf{P}^n intersects \overline{pq} with each other and is contained in a unique hyperplane in \mathbf{P}^n which contains \mathbf{Q}^{n-2} ,
- *k* is a fiber of $E \simeq C \times \mathbf{P}^{n-2} \to \mathbf{P}^{n-2}$, where *E* is the exceptional divisor of $X \to Y$,
- *l* is the strict transform of a line in \mathbf{P}^n passing through *p* and \mathbf{Q}^{n-2} ,
- *m* is the strict transform of a line in \mathbf{P}^n passing through *q* and \mathbf{Q}^{n-2} .

Thus s(X) = n - 3.

(2) (i) If $X = Bl_C(Y)$ is in Theorem 3.6 (2) (i), then $\rho_X = 2$. Thus s(X) < n by Corollary 2.13.

(ii) If
$$X = Bl_C(Y)$$
 is in Theorem 3.6 (2) (ii), then

$$NE(X) = \mathbf{R}_{\ge 0}[f] + \mathbf{R}_{\ge 0}[g] + \mathbf{R}_{\ge 0}[h],$$

(-K_X · f) = n - 2, (-K_X · g) = 2, (-K_X · h) = 2

hold, where *f* is a nontrivial fiber of $X \to Y$, *g* is the strict transform of a general fiber of the first projection $Y = \mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^{n-1} \to \mathbf{P}^{n-1}$ and *h* is the strict transform of a line in the second projection $Y = \mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^{n-1} \to \mathbf{P}^1$ passing through *C*. Thus s(X) = n - 1.

(iii) If $X = Bl_C(Y)$ is in Theorem 3.6 (2) (iii), then

$$NE(X) = \mathbf{R}_{\ge 0}[f] + \mathbf{R}_{\ge 0}[g] + \mathbf{R}_{\ge 0}[h],$$

(-K_X · f) = n - 2, (-K_X · g) = 1, (-K_X · h) = 2

hold, where f is a nontrivial fiber of $X \to Y$, g is a fiber over \mathbf{P}^{n-2} and h is the strict transform of a line in \mathbf{P}^n passing through C and \mathbf{P}^{n-2} . Thus s(X) = n - 2. If $X = \operatorname{Bl}_{C}(Y)$ is in Theorem 3.6 (2) (iv) then

(iv) If $X = Bl_C(Y)$ is in Theorem 3.6 (2) (iv), then

$$NE(X) = \mathbf{R}_{\ge 0}[f] + \mathbf{R}_{\ge 0}[g] + \mathbf{R}_{\ge 0}[h],$$

(-K_X · f) = n - 2, (-K_X · g) = 1, (-K_X · h) = 2

hold, where *f* is a nontrivial fiber of $X \to Y$, *g* is a general fiber over \mathbf{P}^{n-2} and *h* is the strict transform of a line in \mathbf{P}^n passing through \mathbf{P}^{n-2} and the image of *C* in \mathbf{P}^n . Thus s(X) = n - 2.

(v) If $X = Bl_C(Y)$ is in Theorem 3.6 (2) (v), then

$$NE(X) = \mathbf{R}_{\ge 0}[f] + \mathbf{R}_{\ge 0}[g] + \mathbf{R}_{\ge 0}[h],$$

(-K_X · f) = n - 2, (-K_X · g) = 1, (-K_X · h) = 2

hold, where *f* is a nontrivial fiber of $X \to Y$, *g* is a fiber of $E \simeq C \times \mathbf{P}^{n-2} \to \mathbf{P}^{n-2}$, where *E* is the exceptional divisor of $X \to Y$, and *h* is the strict transform of a line in a fiber of $Y \to \mathbf{P}^1$ passing through *C*. Thus s(X) = n - 2.

4. Proof of Theorem 1.4

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.4. If an *n*-dimensional Fano manifold X satisfies that $s(X) \ge n$ and $\rho_X = 1$, then s(X) = n and $X \simeq \mathbf{P}^n$ by [9]. Hence we can consider only the Fano manifolds X with $\rho_X \ge 2$.

4.1. Proof of Theorem 1.4 (i). We can assume that n = 3 since the case $n \le 2$ is trivial. We prove the assertion without using the result [14] of complete classification of 3-dimensional Fano manifolds X with $\rho_X \ge 2$. Let X be a 3-dimensional Fano manifold with $s(X) \ge 3$. We can assume that $\rho_X \ge 3$ by Corollary 2.13. By Theorem 2.7, Proposition 2.4 (1) and Theorem 3.2, any extremal ray $R \subset NE(X)$ with $l(R) \ge 2$ satisfies one of the following:

330

- (A) *R* is of type $(2, 0)^{\text{sm}}$ and l(R) = 2.
- (B) *R* is of type (3, 2) and l(R) = 2.

(We note that this result directly follows from [15, Theorems 3.3, 3.5].) If there exists an extremal ray $R \subset NE(X)$ of type (A), then $X \simeq Bl_a(V_d)$ with $1 \le d \le 3$ by Theorem 3.4, thus s(X) < 3 by Remark 3.5 (iii). If there exist distinct extremal rays R_1 , R_2 and $R_3 \subset NE(X)$ such that all of them are of type (B), then $X \simeq \mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1$ by Theorem 2.11. Therefore we have completed the proof of Theorem 1.4 (i).

4.2. Proof of Theorem 1.4 (ii). Let X be a 4-dimensional Fano manifold with $s(X) \ge 4$. We can assume that $\rho_X \ge 3$ by Corollary 2.13. (We note that if $\rho_X = 2$ and both extremal rays are small, then s(X) = 0.) By Theorem 2.7, Proposition 2.4 (1) and Theorem 3.2, any extremal ray $R \subset NE(X)$ with $l(R) \ge 2$ satisfies one of the following:

- (A) *R* is of type $(3, 0)^{\text{sm}}$ and l(R) = 3.
- (B) *R* is of type (3, 0) and l(R) = 2.
- (C) *R* is of type $(3, 1)^{sm}$ and l(R) = 2.
- (D) *R* is of type (4, 3) and l(R) = 2.
- (E) *R* is of type (4, 2) and l(R) = 3.
- (F) *R* is of type (4, 2) and l(R) = 2.

We note that all two distinct divisorial extremal rays R_1 , R_2 with $l(R_1)$, $l(R_2) \ge 2$ satisfy that $Exc(R_1) \cap Exc(R_2) = \emptyset$ by Propositions 2.3 and 3.3.

Assume that there exists an extremal ray R of type (A). Then $X \simeq \text{Bl}_a(V_2) \simeq \text{Bl}_{p,q}(\mathbf{Q}^4)$ and s(X) = 4 by Theorem 3.4 and Remark 3.5 (iii). Assume that there exists an extremal ray R of type (B) and there is no extremal ray of type (A). Then $\rho_X = 3$ and any other extremal ray R' with $l(R') \ge 2$ is of type (B) or (C) by Proposition 2.3 and Theorem 3.1 (1). Since $s(X) \ge 4$, there exist distinct extremal rays R_1 , R_2 , R_3 apart from R such that each of them is of type (B) or (C). This contradicts to Corollary 2.6 (1). Hence we can assume that any extremal ray R with $l(R) \ge 2$ is of type (C), (D), (E), or (F).

Assume that there exists an extremal ray R_1 of type (C). We have $\rho_X \leq 4$ by Theorems 3.1 (2), 3.6 (1) and Remark 3.7 (1). By Corollary 2.6 (1), the number of extremal rays of type (C) is at most three. Since $s(X) \geq 4$, there exists an extremal ray R_0 of fiber type and $l(R_0) \geq 2$. Then $(\text{Exc}(R_1) \cdot R_0) = 0$ and R_0 is of type (D) by Theorem 3.6 (2), (3) and Remark 3.7 (2). Moreover, any extremal ray R' of fiber type apart from R_0 satisfies that $(\text{Exc}(R_1) \cdot R') > 0$. Indeed, by Theorem 3.6 (3), if $(\text{Exc}(R_1) \cdot R') = 0$ then R' contains the class of a fiber of the morphism $\text{Exc}(R_1) \simeq \mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^2$. This implies that $R' = R_0$, which leads to a contradiction. Thus l(R') = 1 by Theorem 3.6 (2) and Remark 3.7 (2). Since $s(X) \geq 4$, there exist distinct extremal rays R_2 , R_3 apart from R_1 such that R_2 , R_3 are of type (C). We note that $\rho_X = 4$ by Corollary 2.6. Let $\varphi \colon X \to Y$ be the contraction morphism associated to R_0 and set $D_i := \varphi(\text{Exc}(R_i))$ for $1 \leq i \leq 3$. Since $\text{Exc}(R_i) = \varphi^* D_i$, $D_i \cap D_j = \emptyset$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq 3$. By Theorem 3.6 (3), for any $1 \leq i \leq 3$, there exists a contraction morphism $\psi_i \colon Y \to Z_i$ associated to an extremal ray $R_Z^i \subset \text{NE}(Y)$ such that $\psi_i(D_i)$ is a point. Since $\rho_Y = 3$, each ray R_Z^i is divisorial by Proposition 2.4 (1). However,

this contradicts to Corollary 2.6 (1).

Therefore, we can assume that any extremal ray R with $l(R) \ge 2$ is of fiber type. Since $s(X) \ge 4$, there exist distinct extremal rays R_1, \ldots, R_m of fiber type such that $\sum_{i=1}^m (l(R_i) - 1) \ge 4$. By Theorem 2.11, $\sum_{i=1}^m (l(R_i) - 1) = 4$ and $X \simeq \prod_{i=1}^m \mathbf{P}^{l(R_i)-1}$.

As a consequence, we have completed the proof of Theorem 1.4 (ii).

References

- M. ANDREATTA, E. CHIERICI and G. OCCHETTA, Generalized Mukai conjecture for special Fano varieties, Cent. Eur. J. Math. 2 (2004), no. 2, 272–293.
- [2] M. ANDREATTA and G. OCCHETTA, Special rays in the Mori cone of a projective variety, Nagoya Math. J. 168 (2002), 127–137.
- [3] C. ARAUJO, Rational curves of minimal degree and characterizations of projective spaces, Math. Ann. 335 (2006), no. 4, 937–951.
- [4] L. BONAVERO, C. CASAGRANDE, O. DEBARRE and S. DRUEL, Surune conjecture de Mukai, Comment. Math. Helv. 78 (2003), no. 3, 616–626.
- [5] L. BONAVERO, F. CAMPANA and J. A. WIŚNIEWSKI, Variétés projectives complexes dont l'éclatée en un point est de Fano, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 334 (2002), no. 6, 463–468.
- [6] C. CASAGRANDE, The number of vertices of a Fano polytope, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 56 (2006), no. 1, 121–130.
- [7] C. CASAGRANDE, Quasi-elementary contractions of Fano manifolds, Compos. Math. 144 (2008), no. 6, 1429– 1460.
- [8] C. CASAGRANDE, On Fano manifolds with a birational contraction sending a divisor to a curve, Michigan Math. J. 58 (2009), no. 3, 783–805.
- [9] K. CHO, Y. MIYAOKA and N. I. SHEPHERD-BARRON, Characterizations of projective spaces and applications to complex symplectic manifolds, Higher dimensional birational geometry (Kyoto, 1997), 1–88, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. 35, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2002.
- [10] R. HARTSHORNE, Algebraic geometry, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heigelberg, 1977.
- [11] S. KEBEKUS, Families of singular rational curves, J. Algebraic Geom. 11 (2002), no. 2, 245–256.
- [12] J. KOLLÁR and S. MORI, Birational geometry of algebraic varieties, Cambridge Tracts in Math, vol. 134, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- J. KOLLÁR, *Rational curves on algebraic varieties*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, vol. 32, Springer-Verlag, 1996.
- [14] S. MORI and S. MUKAI, Classification of Fano 3-folds with $B_2 \ge 2$, Manuscripta Math. **36** (1981), no. 2, 147–162. Erratum: **110** (2003), no. 3, 407.
- [15] S. MORI, Threefolds whose canonical bundles are not numerically effective, Ann. of Math. (2) 116 (1982), no. 1, 133–176.
- [16] S. MUKAI, Problems on characterization of the complex projective space, Birational Geometry of Algebraic Varieties, Open Problems, Proceedings of the 23rd Symposium of the Taniguchi Foundation at Katata, Japan, 1988, pp. 57–60.
- [17] C. NOVELLI and G. OCCHETTA, Rational curves and bounds on the Picard number of Fano manifolds, Geom. Dedicata 147 (2010), 207–217.
- [18] G. OCCHETTA, A characterization of products of projective spaces, Canad. Math. Bull. 49 (2006), no. 2, 270–280.
- [19] T. TSUKIOKA, Fano manifolds obtained by blowing up along curves with maximal Picard number, Manuscripta Math. 132 (2010), no. 1–2, 247–255.

- [20] T. TSUKIOKA, A remark on Fano 4-folds having (3, 1)-type extremal contractions, Math. Ann. 348 (2010), no. 3, 737–747.
- [21] T. TSUKIOKA, Pseudo-index and the length of extremal rays of Fano manifolds (in Japanese), WebProceedings of Mini-Conference on Algebraic Geometry in Saitama University, March 1–2, 2010. See http://www.rimath.saitama-u.ac.jp/lab.jp/fsakai/proc2010e.html/
- [22] T. TSUKIOKA, On the minimal length of extremal rays for Fano four-folds, Math. Z. 271 (2012), no. 1–2, 555–564.
- [23] J. A. WIŚNIEWSKI, On a conjecture of Mukai, Manuscripta Math. 68 (1990), no. 2, 135–141.
- [24] J. A. WIŚNIEWSKI, On contractions of extremal rays of Fano manifolds, J. Reine Angew. Math. 417 (1991), 141–157.

Present Address: RESEARCH INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES (RIMS), KYOTO UNIVERSITY, OIWAKE-CHO, KITASHIRAKAWA, SAKYO-KU, KYOTO 606–8502, JAPAN. *e-mail*: fujita@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp