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#### Abstract

Let $X$ be a complex $n$-dimensional Fano manifold. Let $s(X)$ be the sum of $l(R)-1$ for all the extremal rays $R$ of $X$, the edges of the cone $\mathrm{NE}(X)$ of curves of $X$, where $l(R)$ denotes the minimum of $\left(-K_{X} \cdot C\right)$ for all rational curves $C$ whose classes [ $C$ ] belong to $R$. We show that $s(X) \leq n$ if $n \leq 4$. And for $n \leq 4$, we completely classify the case the equality holds. This is a refinement of the Mukai conjecture on Fano fourfolds.


## 1. Introduction

Let $X$ be an arbitrary $n$-dimensional Fano manifold with the Picard number $\rho_{X}$. In 1988, Mukai [16] made the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1.1. One has

$$
\rho_{X}\left(r_{X}-1\right) \leq n,
$$

and the equality holds if and only if $X \simeq\left(\mathbf{P}^{r_{X}-1}\right)^{\rho_{X}}$, where

$$
r_{X}:=\max \left\{m \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0} \mid-K_{X} \sim m L \text { for some Cartier divisor } L\right\} .
$$

There are several approaches and refinements of Conjecture 1.1. See for example [1, 4, 6, 17, 23]. Nowadays, the following conjecture due to Tsukioka [22] (cf. [21]) is the most generalized version of Conjecture 1.1.

Conjecture 1.2. One has

$$
\rho_{X}\left(l_{X}-1\right) \leq n,
$$

and the equality holds if and only if $X \simeq\left(\mathbf{P}^{l_{X}-1}\right)^{\rho_{X}}$, where $l_{X}$ denotes the minimum of the length $l(R)$ of all the extremal rays $R$ of $X$, and

$$
l(R):=\min \left\{\left(-K_{X} \cdot C\right) \mid C \subset X \text { is a rational curve with }[C] \in R\right\}
$$

We think that it is more natural to consider all the extremal rays to study a Fano manifold since each extremal ray has various geometric information. We set up the following question.

Question 1.3. Give a bound of

$$
s(X):=\sum_{R \subset \mathrm{NE}(X) \text { extremal ray }}(l(R)-1)
$$

for arbitrary $n$-dimensional Fano manifolds $X$.
This question is a refinement of Conjectures 1.1 and 1.2 since the invariant $s(X)$ satisfies the inequality $\rho_{X}\left(r_{X}-1\right) \leq \rho_{X}\left(l_{X}-1\right) \leq s(X)$. We note that the invariant $s(X)$ is a natural invariant. For example, let $X:=\prod_{i=1}^{m} \mathbf{P}^{d_{i}}$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{m} d_{i}=n$. Then $s(X)=n$ holds despite $\rho_{X}\left(l_{X}-1\right)=m \cdot \min \left\{d_{i}\right\}$ is less than $n$ unless $d_{1}=\cdots=d_{m}$.

In this paper, we identify the bound of $s(X)$ when $n \leq 4$.
Theorem 1.4 (Main Theorem). Let $X$ be an $n$-dimensional Fano manifold.
(i) If $n \leq 3$, then $s(X) \leq n$ holds. Moreover, the equality holds if and only if

$$
X \simeq \prod_{R \subset \mathrm{NE}(X) \text { extremal ray }} \mathbf{P}^{l(R)-1}
$$

(ii) If $n=4$, then $s(X) \leq n$ holds. Moreover, the equality holds if and only if

$$
X \simeq \prod_{R \subset \mathrm{NE}(X) \text { extremal ray }} \mathbf{P}^{l(R)-1}
$$

or

$$
X \simeq \mathrm{Bl}_{p, q}\left(\mathbf{Q}^{4}\right),
$$

the blowing up of $\mathbf{Q}^{4}$ along $p$ and $q$, where $\mathbf{Q}^{4} \subset \mathbf{P}^{5}$ is a smooth hyperquadric and $p, q$ are distinct points in $\mathbf{Q}^{4}$ with $\overline{p q} \not \subset \mathbf{Q}^{4}$, where $\overline{p q} \subset \mathbf{P}^{5}$ is the line through $p$ and $q$.

REmARK 1.5. If $n \geq 5$, then there exists an $n$-dimensional Fano manifold $X$ such that $s(X)$ is strictly larger than $n$ (see Remark 3.5 (iii)). However, such $X$ is very special as far as we know. We think that all such $X$ should be classified.

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.4, we can give the affirmative answer to Conjecture 1.2 in the case $n \leq 4$. (Tsukioka [22] proved the inequality in the case $n=4$ but did not settle the assertion on the equality case.)

Corollary 1.6 (cf. [22]). Conjecture 1.2 is true if $n \leq 4$.
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Notation and terminology. We always work over the complex number field C. For a proper variety $X$, let $\mathrm{N}_{1}(X)_{\mathbf{Q}}$ (rep. $\left.\mathrm{N}^{1}(X)_{\mathbf{Q}}\right)$ be the vector space of one-cycles (resp. Cartier divisors) on $X$, with rational coefficients, modulo numerical equivalence. Let $\mathrm{N}_{1}(X):=\mathrm{N}_{1}(X)_{\mathbf{Q}} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R}$ and $\mathrm{N}^{1}(X):=\mathrm{N}^{1}(X)_{\mathbf{Q}} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R}$. The Picard number of $X$, denoted by $\rho_{X}$, is defined to be the dimension of the vector space $\mathrm{N}_{1}(X)$.

For an $n$-dimensional normal projective variety $X$, we denote the normalization of the parameterizing space of irreducible and reduced rational curves on $X$ by $\operatorname{RatCurves}^{n}(X)$ (see [13, Definition II.2.11]). For the theory of extremal contraction, we refer the readers to [12]. A projective surjective morphism $f: X \rightarrow Z$ is called a contraction morphism if $Z$ is normal projective and any fiber of $f$ is connected. For an extremal ray $R \subset \overline{\mathrm{NE}}(X)$, we say that $R$ defines the contraction morphism $\operatorname{cont}_{R}: X \rightarrow Y$ if $\operatorname{cont}_{R}$ is a contraction morphism and the kernel of the surjection $\mathrm{N}_{1}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{N}_{1}(Y)$ is equal to $\mathbf{R} R(=R+(-R))$. The morphism $\operatorname{cont}_{R}$ is called the associated contraction morphism. For example, if $X$ is smooth and $R$ is $K_{X}$-negative, then $R$ defines the contraction morphism. For an extremal ray $R \subset \overline{\mathrm{NE}}(X)$, we say that $R$ is of fiber type (resp. divisorial, small) if $R$ defines the contraction morphism $\operatorname{cont}_{R}: X \rightarrow Y$ and the morphism is of fiber type (resp. divisorial, small). We define

$$
\operatorname{Exc}(R):=\left\{x \in X \mid \operatorname{cont}_{R}: X \rightarrow Y \text { is not isomorphism at } x\right\} .
$$

For example, if $R$ is of fiber type, then $\operatorname{Exc}(R)=X$. We say that $R$ is of type $(a, b)$ if $\operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{Exc}(R))=a$ and $\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{cont}_{R}(\operatorname{Exc}(R))\right)=b$, and we say that $R$ is of type $(n-1, b)^{\mathrm{sm}}$ if the associated contraction morphism is the blowing up morphism of a smooth projective variety along a smooth subvariety of dimension $b$ (in particular, $X$ must be smooth). For an extremal ray $R \subset \overline{\mathrm{NE}}(X)$ and a Cartier divisor $E$ on $X$, the notation $(E \cdot R)>0$ (resp. $(E \cdot R)<0,(E \cdot R)=0)$ means that the property $(E \cdot C)>0($ resp. $(E \cdot C)<0,(E \cdot C)=0)$ holds for a curve $C \subset X$ with $[C] \in R$.

For an algebraic variety $X$ and a closed subscheme $Y \subset X$, the morphism $\mathrm{Bl}_{Y}(X) \rightarrow X$ denotes the blowing up of $X$ along $Y$. The symbol $\mathbf{Q}^{n}$ denotes a smooth hyperquadric in $\mathbf{P}^{n+1}$. We say that $X$ is a Fano manifold if $X$ is a smooth projective variety such that the anticanonical divisor $-K_{X}$ is ample.

## 2. Preliminaries

2.1. A family of rational curves. We observe the definition and a property of a family of rational curves for a fixed normal projective variety.

Definition 2.1 (see for example [1]). Let $X$ be a normal projective variety. We define a family of rational curves to be an irreducible component $H \subset \operatorname{RatCurves}^{n}(X)$. For any $x \in X$, let $H_{x}$ be the subvariety of $H$ parameterizing rational curves passing through
$x$, and $\tilde{H}_{x}$ the normalization of the image of $H_{x}$ in the Chow variety Chow $(X)$. We define $\operatorname{Locus}(H)\left(\right.$ resp. Locus $\left.\left(H_{x}\right)\right)$ to be the union of rational curves parameterized by $H$ (resp. $H_{x}$ ). For a family $H$ of rational curves on $X$, the family $H$ is said to be dominating if the closure $\overline{\operatorname{Locus}(H)}$ is equal to $X$, unsplit if $H$ is projective, and locally unsplit if $H_{x}$ is projective for general $x \in \operatorname{Locus}(H)$.

The following proposition may be familiar.
Proposition 2.2 ([17, Proposition 2.5(b)]). Let $X$ be a smooth projective variety, $H$ be a family of rational curves on $X$, and $x \in \operatorname{Locus}(H)$ be a point such that $H_{x}$ is projective. Then one has

$$
\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Locus}(H)+\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Locus}\left(H_{x}\right) \geq \operatorname{dim} X+\left(-K_{X} \cdot \operatorname{Fam} H\right)-1,
$$

where Fam $H$ is the numerical class of the curves in $X$ parametrized by $H$.
2.2. Properties of extremal contractions. We show some properties of extremal contractions associate to extremal rays that we need to prove Theorem 1.4.

Proposition 2.3. Let $X$ be an n-dimensional smooth projective variety. Assume that there exist distinct $K_{X}$-negative extremal rays $R_{1}, R_{2} \subset \overline{\mathrm{NE}}(X)$ such that $R_{1}$ is of type ( $n-$ $1,0), l\left(R_{2}\right) \geq 2$ and $\operatorname{Exc}\left(R_{1}\right) \cap \operatorname{Exc}\left(R_{2}\right) \neq \emptyset$. Then $R_{2}$ is of fiber type and $\rho_{X}=2$.

Proof. Let $E_{i}:=\operatorname{Exc}\left(R_{i}\right)$ for $i=1,2$ and fix $x \in E_{1} \cap E_{2}$. Let $C \subset X$ be a rational curve such that
(1) $x \in C$ and $[C] \in R_{2}$,
(2) $\left(-K_{X} \cdot C\right)$ is minimal among satisfying (1)

Let $H$ be a family of rational curves containing $[C] \in \operatorname{RatCurves}^{n}(X)$. Then $H_{x}$ is projective by construction. If there exists an irreducible curve $l \subset E_{1} \cap \operatorname{Locus}\left(H_{x}\right)$ then $[l] \in R_{1} \cap R_{2}=$ $\{0\}$, which leads to a contradiction. Hence $\operatorname{dim}\left(E_{1} \cap \operatorname{Locus}\left(H_{x}\right)\right)=0$. Thus $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Locus}\left(H_{x}\right) \leq$ 1 since $\operatorname{dim} E_{1}=n-1$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 & \geq \operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Locus}\left(H_{x}\right) \geq(n-\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Locus}(H))+\left(-K_{X} \cdot \operatorname{Fam} H\right)-1 \\
& \geq l\left(R_{2}\right)-1 \geq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

by Proposition 2.2. Thus $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Locus}(H)=n$ and $l\left(R_{2}\right)=\left(-K_{X} \cdot\right.$ Fam $\left.H\right)=2$. In particular, $H$ is dominating and unsplit. Hence $R_{2}$ is of fiber type. Let $\varphi_{2}: X \rightarrow Y_{2}$ be the contraction morphism associated to $R_{2}$. Since the restriction $\left.\varphi_{2}\right|_{E_{1}}: E_{1} \rightarrow Y_{2}$ is a finite morphism, $\operatorname{dim} Y_{2}=n-1$. We note that all curves in $E_{1}$ are numerically proportional. Thus $\rho_{Y_{2}}=1$. This implies that $\rho_{X}=2$.

PROPOSITION 2.4. Let $X$ be an n-dimensional normal projective variety which satisfies that $\operatorname{Pic}(X) \otimes \mathbf{Q}=\mathrm{N}^{1}(X)_{\mathbf{Q}}$.
(1) Assume that $\rho_{X} \geq 3$. Pick any extremal ray $R \subset \overline{\mathrm{NE}}(X)$ which defines the contraction morphism $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$. Then the ray $R$ is neither of type ( $n, 0$ ) nor of type $(n, 1)$.
(2) Set $m \geq$ 2. Let $R_{i} \subset \overline{\mathrm{NE}}(X)$ be an extremal ray which defines the contraction morphism $\varphi_{i}: X \rightarrow Y_{i}, C_{i} \subset X$ be an irreducible curve with $\left[C_{i}\right] \in R_{i}$, and $E_{i}:=\operatorname{Exc}\left(R_{i}\right)$ for any $1 \leq i \leq m$. We assume that $E_{i} \cap E_{j}=\emptyset$ for any $1 \leq i<j \leq m$. Then we can construct the morphism $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$ contracting all of $E_{1}, \ldots, E_{m}$. (Glue $\varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{m}$ together. We note that $Y$ is a normal proper variety but not necessary projective.) Then there is an exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathbf{Q}\left[C_{i}\right] \longrightarrow \mathrm{N}_{1}(X)_{\mathbf{Q}} \xrightarrow{\varphi_{*}} \mathrm{~N}_{1}(Y)_{\mathbf{Q}} \longrightarrow 0
$$

Furthermore, if $X$ is $\mathbf{Q}$-factorial and $R_{i}$ is divisorial for any $1 \leq i \leq m$, then $Y$ is also $\mathbf{Q}$-factorial and hence $\rho_{Y} \geq 1$.

Proof. (1) is obvious. We prove (2). For $1 \leq i \leq m$, let $\psi_{i}: X \rightarrow Z_{i}$ be the morphism contracting $E_{1}, \ldots, E_{i}$ obtained by gluing $\varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{i}$ together (for construction, see [10, Exercise 2.12]). We note that $Z_{i}$ is a normal proper variety, $Y=Z_{m}$ and $\varphi=\psi_{m}$. Set $Z_{0}:=X$ and $\psi_{0}:=i d_{X}$ (the identity morphism). For $1 \leq i \leq m$, let $\pi_{i}: Z_{i-1} \rightarrow Z_{i}$ be the morphism contracting (the image of) $E_{i}$ such that $\pi_{i} \circ \psi_{i-1}=\psi_{i}$. We remark that $\varphi_{1}=\psi_{1}=\pi_{1}$. Note that $\operatorname{Pic}\left(Z_{i}\right) \otimes \mathbf{Q}=\mathbf{N}^{1}\left(Z_{i}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}$ by Remark 2.5. It is enough to show the exactness of

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{~N}^{1}\left(Z_{i}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}} \xrightarrow{\pi_{i}^{*}} \mathrm{~N}^{1}\left(Z_{i-1}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}} \xrightarrow{\left(\cdot \cdot C_{i}\right)} \mathbf{Q}
$$

for any $1 \leq i \leq m$ to prove the exactness of the sequence in (2). We can assume that $2 \leq i \leq m$ since the case $i=1$ follows from the definition of the contraction morphism. The injectivity of $\pi_{i}^{*}: \mathrm{N}^{1}\left(Z_{i}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{N}^{1}\left(Z_{i-1}\right)_{\mathbf{Q}}$ is obvious. Let $\tau_{i}: Y_{i} \rightarrow Z_{i}$ be the morphism contracting $E_{1}, \ldots, E_{i-1}$ which satisfies that the diagram commutes:


Let $V_{i}:=Z_{i} \backslash\left(\tau_{i} \circ \varphi_{i}\left(E_{1} \sqcup \ldots \sqcup E_{i-1}\right)\right)$ and $U_{i}:=Z_{i} \backslash\left(\tau_{i} \circ \varphi_{i}\left(E_{i}\right)\right)$. Pick any invertible sheaf $M \in \operatorname{Pic}\left(Z_{i-1}\right)$ satisfying $\left(M \cdot C_{i}\right)=0$. Then $0=\left(M \cdot C_{i}\right)=\left(\psi_{i-1}{ }^{*} M \cdot C_{i}\right)$. There exists an invertible sheaf $L_{1} \in \operatorname{Pic}\left(Y_{i}\right)$ and a positive integer $t$ such that $\varphi_{i}{ }^{*} L_{1} \simeq \psi_{i-1}^{*} M^{\otimes t}$ by the property of the ray $R_{i}$ and the fact $\operatorname{Pic}(X) \otimes \mathbf{Q}=\mathrm{N}^{1}(X)_{\mathbf{Q}}$. Thus

$$
M^{\otimes t} \simeq \psi_{i-1 *} \psi_{i-1}^{*} M^{\otimes t} \simeq \psi_{i-1 *} \varphi_{i}^{*} L_{1} \simeq \pi_{i}^{*} \tau_{i *} L_{1}
$$

Indeed, $\varphi_{i}$ and $\pi_{i}$ are isomorphisms over $U_{i}$, and $\psi_{i-1}$ and $\tau_{i}$ are isomorphisms over $V_{i}$, respectively. We note that $\tau_{i *} L_{1}$ is an invertible sheaf since $\left.\left.\tau_{i *} L_{1}\right|_{U_{i}} \simeq M^{\otimes t}\right|_{\pi_{i}-1\left(U_{i}\right)}$ and $\left.\left.\tau_{i *} L_{1}\right|_{V_{i}} \simeq L_{1}\right|_{\tau_{i}-1}\left(V_{i}\right)$. Therefore we have $M^{\otimes t} \in \pi_{i}{ }^{*}\left(\operatorname{Pic}\left(Z_{i}\right)\right)$. For the remaining part, see [12, Corollary 3.18] for example.

REMARK 2.5. For a surjective morphism $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$ between normal proper varieties with connected fibers, if $\operatorname{Pic}(X) \otimes \mathbf{Q}=\mathrm{N}^{1}(X)_{\mathbf{Q}}$ then $\operatorname{Pic}(Y) \otimes \mathbf{Q}=\mathrm{N}^{1}(Y)_{\mathbf{Q}}$. Indeed, for a numerically trivial invertible sheaf $L \in \operatorname{Pic}(Y)$, since $\varphi^{*} L$ is numerically trivial, there exists a positive integer $t$ such that $\varphi^{*} L^{\otimes t} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{X}$. Thus $L^{\otimes t} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{Y}$.

Corollary 2.6. Let $X$ be an n-dimensional normal $\mathbf{Q}$-factorial projective variety such that $\operatorname{Pic}(X) \otimes \mathbf{Q}=\mathrm{N}^{1}(X)_{\mathbf{Q}}$. Assume that there exist distinct divisorial extremal rays $R_{1}, \ldots, R_{m} \subset \overline{\mathrm{NE}}(X)$ which define the contraction morphisms $\varphi_{i}: X \rightarrow Y_{i}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $\operatorname{Exc}\left(R_{i}\right) \cap \operatorname{Exc}\left(R_{j}\right)=\emptyset$ for any $1 \leq i<j \leq m$.
(1) If $m \geq 3$, then $\rho_{X} \geq 4$.
(2) If $X$ is smooth and $R_{i}$ is of type $\left(n-1, b_{i}\right)^{\text {sm }}$ (for some $\left.b_{i} \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}\right)$ for any $1 \leq i \leq$ $m$, then $\rho_{X} \geq m+1$.
Proof. Let $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$ be the morphism which is the gluing morphism of $\varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{m}$ contracting $\operatorname{Exc}\left(R_{1}\right), \ldots, \operatorname{Exc}\left(R_{m}\right)$ as in Proposition 2.4 (2). Let $C_{i} \subset X$ be an irreducible and reduced curve with $\left[C_{i}\right] \in R_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$.
(1) We can assume that the classes $\left[C_{1}\right],\left[C_{2}\right],\left[C_{3}\right]$ are linearly independent in $\mathrm{N}_{1}(X)$. By Proposition 2.4 (2), $Y$ is $\mathbf{Q}$-factorial and $1 \leq \rho_{Y} \leq \rho_{X}-3$.
(2) In this case, $Y$ is a smooth proper variety and $\rho_{X}=m+\rho_{Y} \geq m+1$.

We recall Wiśniewski's theorem on the bounds of the length of extremal rays.
THEOREM 2.7 ([24, Theorem 1.1]). Let $X$ be a smooth projective variety, $R \in$ $\overline{\mathrm{NE}}(X)$ be a $K_{X}$-negative extremal ray and $\operatorname{cont}_{R}: X \rightarrow Y$ be the associated contraction morphism. Then for every irreducible component $E \subset \operatorname{Exc}(R)$, we have

$$
l(R) \leq \operatorname{dim} X+1-2 \operatorname{codim}_{X} E-\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{cont}_{R}(E)\right)
$$

2.3. Characterizations of the products of projective spaces. We give several criteria so that a given smooth projective variety is isomorphic to the products of projective spaces.

Theorem 2.8 ([11, Theorem 2.16]). Let $X$ be a normal projective variety and $H$ be a dominating and locally unsplit family of rational curves on $X$. For general $x \in X$, consider the rational map

$$
\tau_{x}: \tilde{H}_{x} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}\left(\left.T_{X}\right|_{x} ^{\vee}\right)
$$

defined by

$$
[l] \mapsto \mathbf{P}\left(\left.T_{l}\right|_{x} ^{\vee}\right) .
$$

Then the rational map $\tau_{x}$ is a finite morphism.
Definition 2.9 (Variety of Minimal Rational Tangents). Under the assumption in Theorem 2.8, the finite morphism $\tau_{x}$ is called the tangent morphism; its image $\mathcal{C}_{x}:=$ $\tau_{x}\left(\tilde{H}_{x}\right) \subset \mathbf{P}\left(\left.T_{X}\right|_{x} ^{\vee}\right)$ is called the variety of minimal rational tangents, or shortly VMRT, of $H$ at $x$.

Araujo [3] showed a criterion for varieties being isomorphic to the products of projective spaces in terms of VMRT.

THEOREM 2.10 ([3, Theorem 1.3]). Let $X$ be an $n$-dimensional smooth projective variety with $k$ distinct dominating and unsplit family of rational curves $H_{1}, \ldots, H_{k}$ on $X$. Suppose that, for a general $x \in X$, the associated VMRT of $H_{i}$ at $x$ are linear subspaces of dimension $d_{i}-1$ in $\mathbf{P}\left(\left.T_{X}\right|_{x} ^{\vee}\right)$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{k} d_{i}=n$. Then $X \simeq \prod_{i=1}^{k} \mathbf{P}^{d_{i}}$.

We give another criterion for varieties being isomorphic to the products of projective spaces in terms of length of extremal rays.

THEOREM 2.11. Let $X$ be an $n$-dimensional smooth projective variety with $n=$ $\sum_{i=1}^{k} d_{i}$, where $d_{1}, \ldots, d_{k} \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$. Assume that there exist distinct $K_{X}$-negative extremal rays $R_{1}, \ldots, R_{k} \subset \overline{\mathrm{NE}}(X)$ such that $R_{i}$ are of fiber type and $l\left(R_{i}\right) \geq d_{i}+1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$. Then $X \simeq \prod_{i=1}^{k} \mathbf{P}^{d_{i}}$.

Proof. Let $\varphi_{i}: X \rightarrow Y_{i}$ be the contraction morphism associated to $R_{i}$ and $e_{i}:=$ $\operatorname{dim} X-\operatorname{dim} Y_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$. We have $\sum_{i=1}^{k} e_{i} \leq n$ and $e_{i} \geq l\left(R_{i}\right)-1$ for any $i$ by [24, Theorem 2.2] and Theorem 2.7. Hence we obtain the inequality

$$
n \geq \sum_{i=1}^{k} e_{i} \geq \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(l\left(R_{i}\right)-1\right) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{k} d_{i}=n
$$

Therefore $e_{i}=l\left(R_{i}\right)-1=d_{i}$ for any $i$. Let $F_{i}$ be a general fiber of $\varphi_{i}$. Then $F_{i}$ is a $d_{i}$-dimensional Fano manifold such that any rational curve $l_{i}$ in $F_{i}$ satisfies that $\left(-K_{F_{i}} \cdot l_{i}\right) \geq$ $d_{i}+1$. Hence $F_{i} \simeq \mathbf{P}^{d_{i}}$ by [9]. Let $H_{i}$ be the family of rational curves on $X$ containing points parameterizing lines in $F_{i} \simeq \mathbf{P}^{d_{i}}$. Then $H_{i}$ is a dominating and unsplit family since $\left(-K_{X} \cdot \operatorname{Fam} H_{i}\right)=d_{i}+1=l\left(R_{i}\right)$. We consider $\mathcal{C}_{x}^{i} \subset \mathbf{P}\left(\left.T_{X}\right|_{x} ^{\vee}\right)$ for $x \in F_{i}$, which is a VMRT of $H_{i}$ at $x$. We have $\mathcal{C}_{x}^{i}=\mathbf{P}\left(\left.T_{F_{i}}\right|_{x} ^{\vee}\right) \subset \mathbf{P}\left(\left.T_{X}\right|_{x} ^{\vee}\right)$; a linear subspace of dimension $d_{i}-1$. By Theorem 2.10, $X \simeq \prod_{i=1}^{k} \mathbf{P}^{d_{i}}$.

We also give a criterion for varieties being isomorphic to the product of two projective spaces in terms of extremal rays.

Proposition 2.12. Let $X$ be an $n$-dimensional smooth projective variety. If there exist distinct $K_{X}$-negative extremal rays $R_{1}, R_{2} \subset \overline{\mathrm{NE}}(X)$ such that the intersection $\operatorname{Exc}\left(R_{1}\right) \cap$ $\operatorname{Exc}\left(R_{2}\right)$ is not empty. Then we have

$$
\left(l\left(R_{1}\right)-1\right)+\left(l\left(R_{2}\right)-1\right) \leq n,
$$

and the equality holds if and only if $X \simeq \mathbf{P}^{l\left(R_{1}\right)-1} \times \mathbf{P}^{l\left(R_{2}\right)-1}$.
Proof. We fix an arbitrary point $x \in \operatorname{Exc}\left(R_{1}\right) \cap \operatorname{Exc}\left(R_{2}\right)$. For $i=1,2$, let $\varphi_{i}: X \rightarrow Y_{i}$ be the contraction morphism associated to $R_{i}$ and set $y_{i}:=\varphi_{i}(x) \in Y_{i}$. Let $C_{i} \subset X$ be a rational curve which satisfies that
(1) $x \in C_{i}$ and $\left[C_{i}\right] \in R_{i}$,
(2) $\left(-K_{X} \cdot C_{i}\right)$ is minimal among satisfying (1).

Let $H_{i}$ be a family of rational curves on $X$ containing $\left[C_{i}\right] \in \operatorname{RatCurves}^{n}(X)$. Then $\left(H_{i}\right)_{x}$ is projective by construction. Hence we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{dim} \varphi_{i}^{-1}\left(y_{i}\right) & \geq \operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Locus}\left(\left(H_{i}\right)_{x}\right) \\
& \geq\left(n-\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Locus}\left(H_{i}\right)\right)+\left(-K_{X} \cdot \operatorname{Fam} H_{i}\right)-1 \\
& \geq\left(-K_{X} \cdot \operatorname{Fam} H_{i}\right)-1 \geq l\left(R_{i}\right)-1
\end{aligned}
$$

by Proposition 2.2. We note that the intersection $\varphi_{1}^{-1}\left(y_{1}\right) \cap \varphi_{2}^{-1}\left(y_{2}\right)$ does not contain curves since the rays $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ are distinct. Hence $\operatorname{dim}\left(\varphi_{1}^{-1}\left(y_{1}\right) \cap \varphi_{2}^{-1}\left(y_{2}\right)\right)=0$. Thus $n \geq$ $\operatorname{dim} \varphi_{1}^{-1}\left(y_{1}\right)+\operatorname{dim} \varphi_{2}^{-1}\left(y_{2}\right)$. Hence $n \geq\left(l\left(R_{1}\right)-1\right)+\left(l\left(R_{2}\right)-1\right)$. If $n=\left(l\left(R_{1}\right)-1\right)+\left(l\left(R_{2}\right)-\right.$ 1), then $H_{i}$ is dominating and unsplit for each $i=1,2$ since $\left(-K_{X} \cdot \operatorname{Fam} H_{i}\right)=l\left(R_{i}\right)$ and $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Locus}\left(H_{i}\right)=n$. Therefore one has $X \simeq \mathbf{P}^{l\left(R_{1}\right)-1} \times \mathbf{P}^{l\left(R_{2}\right)-1}$ by [18, Theorem 1.1].

Corollary 2.13. Let $X$ be an n-dimensional Fano manifold with $\rho_{X}=2$. Then $\mathrm{NE}(X)$ is spanned by two extremal rays, say $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$. If, at least, one of $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ is not small, then we have

$$
\left(l\left(R_{1}\right)-1\right)+\left(l\left(R_{2}\right)-1\right) \leq n,
$$

and the equality holds if and only if $X \simeq \mathbf{P}^{l\left(R_{1}\right)-1} \times \mathbf{P}^{l\left(R_{2}\right)-1}$.
Proof. For $i=1,2$, let $\varphi_{i}: X \rightarrow Y_{i}$ be the contraction morphism associated to $R_{i}$ and $E_{i}:=\operatorname{Exc}\left(R_{i}\right)$. It is enough to show that $E_{1} \cap E_{2} \neq \emptyset$ by Proposition 2.12. We can assume that $R_{1}$ is divisorial. Then we have $\left(E_{1} \cdot R_{1}\right)<0$. Thus $\left(E_{1} \cdot R_{2}\right)>0$ holds since $E_{1}$ is a prime divisor and since $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ span the cone $\operatorname{NE}(X)$. Hence $E_{1} \cap E_{2} \neq \emptyset$.

## 3. Fano manifolds having special extremal rays

In this section, we see several classification results of Fano manifolds having special extremal rays and calculate $s(X)$ for such Fano manifolds $X$.

Theorem 3.1 ([8, Proposition 3.1, Theorem 1.1]). Let $X$ be an n-dimensional Fano manifold and $R \subset \mathrm{NE}(X)$ be an extremal ray.
(1) If $n \geq 3$ and $R$ is of type $(n-1,0)$, then $\rho_{X} \leq 3$.
(2) If $n \geq 4$ and $R$ is of type $(n-1,1)$, then $\rho_{X} \leq 5$.

Theorem 3.2 ([2, Theorem 5.1]). Let $X$ be an n-dimensional smooth projective variety and $R \subset \overline{\mathrm{NE}}(X)$ be a $K_{X}$-negative extremal ray of type $(n-1, m)$ which satisfies that $l(R)=n-1-m$ and all nontrivial fibers of the associated contraction morphism of $R$ are of equi-dimensional. Then $R$ is of type $(n-1, m)^{\mathrm{sm}}$.

Proposition 3.3 ([20, Proposition 5] (and [2, Theorem 5.1])). Let $X$ be an $n$ dimensional Fano manifold with $n \geq 4$. Assume that there exist distinct extremal rays $R_{1}, R_{2} \subset \mathrm{NE}(X)$ such that $R_{i}$ is of type $(n-1,1)$ and $l\left(R_{i}\right)=n-2$ for each $i=1$, 2. Then $\operatorname{Exc}\left(R_{1}\right) \cap \operatorname{Exc}\left(R_{2}\right)=\emptyset$.

ThEOREM 3.4 ([5, Theorem 1.1]). Let $Y$ be an n-dimensional smooth projective $v a$ riety with $n \geq 3$ and $a \in Y$ be a (closed) point. Then $X:=\mathrm{Bl}_{a}(Y)$ is a Fano manifold if and only if one of the following holds:
(i) $Y \simeq \mathbf{P}^{n}$ and $a \in Y$ is an arbitrary point.
(ii) $Y \simeq \mathbf{Q}^{n}$ and $a \in Y$ is an arbitrary point.
(iii) $\quad Y \simeq V_{d}$ with $1 \leq d \leq n$ and $a \notin H^{\prime}$ (the strict transform of $H$ ) with $V_{d}:=$ $\mathrm{Bl}_{Z}\left(\mathbf{P}^{n}\right)$, where $H \subset \mathbf{P}^{n}$ is a hyperplane and $Z \subset H$ is a smooth subvariety of dimension $n-2$ and degree $d$.

REMARK 3.5. We have the following properties by easy calculations.
(i) If $X=\mathrm{Bl}_{a}(Y)$ is in Theorem 3.4 (i), then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{NE}(X) & =\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}[f]+\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}[g], \\
\left(-K_{X} \cdot f\right) & =2, \\
\left(-K_{X} \cdot g\right) & =n-1
\end{aligned}
$$

hold, where $f$ is the strict transform of a line on $Y=\mathbf{P}^{n}$ passing through $a$ and $g$ is a line in the exceptional divisor $\left(\simeq \mathbf{P}^{n-1}\right)$ of $X \rightarrow Y$. Thus $s(X)=n-1$.
(ii) If $X=\mathrm{Bl}_{a}(Y)$ is in Theorem 3.4 (ii), then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{NE}(X) & =\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}[f]+\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}[g], \\
\left(-K_{X} \cdot f\right) & =1, \\
\left(-K_{X} \cdot g\right) & =n-1
\end{aligned}
$$

hold, where $f$ is the strict transform of a line on $Y=\mathbf{Q}^{n}$ passing through $a$ and $g$ is a line in the exceptional divisor $\left(\simeq \mathbf{P}^{n-1}\right)$ of $X \rightarrow Y$. Thus $s(X)=n-2$.
(iii) If $X=\mathrm{Bl}_{a}(Y)$ is in Theorem 3.4 (iii), then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{NE}(X) & =\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}[f]+\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}[g]+\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}[l]+\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}[m], \\
l & \equiv m+g+(1-d) f \text { in } \mathrm{N}_{1}(X), \\
\left(-K_{X} \cdot f\right) & =1, \quad\left(-K_{X} \cdot g\right)=1, \\
\left(-K_{X} \cdot l\right) & =n+1-d, \quad\left(-K_{X} \cdot m\right)=1
\end{aligned}
$$

hold, where $f \subset X$ is a fiber over $Z, g \subset X$ is a line in a fiber over $a, l \subset X$ is a line in $H^{\prime}$, and $m \subset X$ is a strict transform of a line passing through $a$ and a point in $Z$. Thus if $d=1$ then $s(X)=n-2$, but if $d>1$ then $s(X)=2 n-2-d$. We note that if $d=2$, then $X$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Bl}_{p, q}\left(\mathbf{Q}^{n}\right)$ with $\overline{p q} \not \subset \mathbf{Q}^{n}\left(\subset \mathbf{P}^{n+1}\right)$ (see [5, Corollaire 1.2]) and $s(X)=2 n-4$.

THEOREM 3.6 ([7, 19, 22]). Let $Y$ be an $n$-dimensional smooth projective variety with $n \geq 4, C \subset Y$ be a smooth curve, $X:=\mathrm{Bl}_{C}(Y)$, and $E$ be the exceptional divisor of the morphism $X \rightarrow Y$. We assume that $X$ is a Fano manifold.
(1) If $\rho_{X}=5$, then one of the following holds:
(i) $Y \simeq \mathrm{Bl}_{\{p\} \cup\{q\} \cup \mathbf{P}^{n-2}}\left(\mathbf{P}^{n}\right)$ with $\mathbf{P}^{n-2} \cap \overline{p q}=\emptyset$ and $C$ is the strict transform of $\overline{p q}$.
(ii) $Y \simeq \mathrm{Bl}_{\{p\} \cup\{q\} \cup \mathbf{Q}^{n-2}}\left(\mathbf{P}^{n}\right)$ with $\mathbf{Q}^{n-2} \cap \overline{p q}=\emptyset$ and $C$ is the strict transform of $\overline{p q}$.
(2) Assume that there exists an extremal ray $R \subset \mathrm{NE}(X)$ of fiber type with $l(R) \geq 2$ and $(E \cdot R)>0$.

- If $R$ is of type $(n, n-2)$, then $\rho_{X}=2$.
- If $R$ is of type ( $n, n-1$ ), then the pair of $(Y, C)$ is one of the following:
(i) $Y \simeq \mathbf{Q}^{n}$ and $C$ is a line in $\mathbf{Q}^{n} \subset \mathbf{P}^{n+1}$.
(ii) $Y \simeq \mathbf{P}^{1} \times \mathbf{P}^{n-1}$ and $C$ is a fiber of the second projection.
(iii) $Y \simeq \mathrm{Bl}_{\mathbf{P}^{n-2}}\left(\mathbf{P}^{n}\right)$ and $C$ is the strict transform of a line in $\mathbf{P}^{n}$ disjoint from $\mathbf{P}^{n-2}$.
(iv) $\quad Y \simeq \mathrm{Bl}_{\mathbf{P}_{n-2}}\left(\mathbf{P}^{n}\right)$ and $C$ is a fiber of the blowing $u p$.
(v) $\quad Y \simeq \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{P}^{1}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{1}} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\left.\mathbf{P}^{1}(1)^{\oplus n-1}\right)}\right)$ and $C$ is the section of $\mathbf{P}^{n-1}$-bundle over $\mathbf{P}^{1}$ whose normal bundle $\mathcal{N}_{C / Y}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{1}(-1)^{\oplus n-1}}$.
(3) Assume that there exists an extremal ray $R \subset \mathrm{NE}(X)$ of fiber type with $(E \cdot R)=$ 0 . Let $\varphi: X \rightarrow Z$ be the contraction morphism associated to $R$. Then $R$ is of type ( $n, n-1$ ), $C \simeq \mathbf{P}^{1}, E \simeq \mathbf{P}^{1} \times \mathbf{P}^{n-2}, E=\varphi^{*} D$ and $Z$ is factorial, where $D:=\varphi(E)$ with the reduced structure. Furthermore, if $n=4$, then there exists an extremal ray $R_{Z} \subset \mathrm{NE}(Z)$ with the associated contraction morphism $\varphi_{Z}: Z \rightarrow W$ such that $\varphi_{Z}$ maps $D$ to a point.

Proof. (1) and (2) follow from [19, Theorem 1] and [22, Propositions 3, 4]. We prove (3). The ray $R$ is of type $(n, n-1), E=\varphi^{*} D$ and $Z$ is factorial by the fact $\operatorname{dim} D \geq n-2$ and by [7, Lemmas 3.9 (i), 3.10 (i)]. Moreover, $C \simeq \mathbf{P}^{1}$ since a one-dimensional fiber of $\varphi$ in $E$ maps $X \rightarrow Y$ onto $C$. We know that $E \simeq \mathbf{P}^{1} \times \mathbf{P}^{n-2}$ since $E \simeq \mathbf{P}_{C}\left(\mathcal{N}_{C / Y}^{\vee}\right)$ and $\operatorname{dim} E>\operatorname{dim} D$, where $\mathcal{N}_{C / Y}$ is the normal bundle of $C$ in $Y$. The cone $\operatorname{NE}(Z)$ is closed since $\operatorname{NE}(X)$ is so. Assume that $n=4$. Then the existence of the ray $R_{Z} \subset \mathrm{NE}(Z)$ follows from [7, Theorem 4.1 (ii)].

REMARK 3.7. We have the following properties by easy calculations.
(1) (i) If $X=\mathrm{Bl}_{C}(Y)$ is in Theorem 3.6 (1) (i), then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{NE}(X)= & \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}[e]+\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}[f]+\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}[g]+\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}[h] \\
& +\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}[k]+\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}[l]+\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}[m],
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(-K_{X} \cdot e\right)=n-2, \quad\left(-K_{X} \cdot f\right)=1, \quad\left(-K_{X} \cdot g\right)=1, \\
& \left(-K_{X} \cdot h\right)=1, \quad\left(-K_{X} \cdot k\right)=1, \quad\left(-K_{X} \cdot l\right)=1, \quad\left(-K_{X} \cdot m\right)=1,
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\mathrm{NE}(X)$ is exactly spanned by the above seven rays, where

- $e$ is a nontrivial fiber of the morphism $X \rightarrow Y$,
- $f$ is the strict transform of a line in the exceptional divisor over $p$,
- $g$ is the strict transform of a line in the exceptional divisor over $q$,
- $h$ is a fiber over $\mathbf{P}^{n-2}$,
- $k$ is a fiber of $E \simeq C \times \mathbf{P}^{n-2} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{n-2}$, where $E$ is the exceptional divisor of $X \rightarrow Y$,
- $l$ is the strict transform of a line in $\mathbf{P}^{n}$ passing through $p$ and $\mathbf{P}^{n-2}$,
- $m$ is the strict transform of a line in $\mathbf{P}^{n}$ passing through $q$ and $\mathbf{P}^{n-2}$.

Thus $s(X)=n-3$.
(ii) If $X=\mathrm{Bl}_{C}(Y)$ is in Theorem 3.6 (1) (ii), then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{NE}(X)= & \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}[e]+\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}[f]+\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}[g]+\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}[h] \\
& +\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}[j]+\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}[k]+\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}[l]+\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}[m], \\
\left(-K_{X} \cdot e\right)= & n-2,\left(-K_{X} \cdot f\right)=1,\left(-K_{X} \cdot g\right)=1,\left(-K_{X} \cdot h\right)=1, \\
\left(-K_{X} \cdot j\right)= & 1, \quad\left(-K_{X} \cdot k\right)=1, \quad\left(-K_{X} \cdot l\right)=1, \quad\left(-K_{X} \cdot m\right)=1,
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\mathrm{NE}(X)$ is exactly spanned by the above eight rays, where

- $e$ is a nontrivial fiber of the morphism $X \rightarrow Y$,
- $f$ is the strict transform of a line in the exceptional divisor over $p$,
- $g$ is the strict transform of a line in the exceptional divisor over $q$,
- $h$ is a fiber over $\mathbf{Q}^{n-2}$,
- $\quad j$ is the strict transform of a line in $\mathbf{P}^{n}$ intersects $\overline{p q}$ with each other and is contained in a unique hyperplane in $\mathbf{P}^{n}$ which contains $\mathbf{Q}^{n-2}$,
- $\quad k$ is a fiber of $E \simeq C \times \mathbf{P}^{n-2} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{n-2}$, where $E$ is the exceptional divisor of $X \rightarrow Y$,
- $l$ is the strict transform of a line in $\mathbf{P}^{n}$ passing through $p$ and $\mathbf{Q}^{n-2}$,
- $m$ is the strict transform of a line in $\mathbf{P}^{n}$ passing through $q$ and $\mathbf{Q}^{n-2}$.

Thus $s(X)=n-3$.
(2) (i) If $X=\mathrm{Bl}_{C}(Y)$ is in Theorem 3.6 (2) (i), then $\rho_{X}=2$. Thus $s(X)<n$ by Corollary 2.13.
(ii) If $X=\mathrm{Bl}_{C}(Y)$ is in Theorem 3.6 (2) (ii), then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{NE}(X) & =\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}[f]+\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}[g]+\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}[h], \\
\left(-K_{X} \cdot f\right) & =n-2, \quad\left(-K_{X} \cdot g\right)=2, \quad\left(-K_{X} \cdot h\right)=2
\end{aligned}
$$

hold, where $f$ is a nontrivial fiber of $X \rightarrow Y, g$ is the strict transform of a general fiber of the first projection $Y=\mathbf{P}^{1} \times \mathbf{P}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{n-1}$ and $h$ is the strict transform of a line in the second projection $Y=\mathbf{P}^{1} \times \mathbf{P}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{1}$ passing through $C$. Thus $s(X)=n-1$.
(iii) If $X=\mathrm{Bl}_{C}(Y)$ is in Theorem 3.6 (2) (iii), then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{NE}(X) & =\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}[f]+\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}[g]+\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}[h], \\
\left(-K_{X} \cdot f\right) & =n-2, \quad\left(-K_{X} \cdot g\right)=1, \quad\left(-K_{X} \cdot h\right)=2
\end{aligned}
$$

hold, where $f$ is a nontrivial fiber of $X \rightarrow Y, g$ is a fiber over $\mathbf{P}^{n-2}$ and $h$ is the strict transform of a line in $\mathbf{P}^{n}$ passing through $C$ and $\mathbf{P}^{n-2}$. Thus $s(X)=n-2$.
(iv) If $X=\mathrm{Bl}_{C}(Y)$ is in Theorem 3.6 (2) (iv), then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{NE}(X) & =\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}[f]+\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}[g]+\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}[h], \\
\left(-K_{X} \cdot f\right) & =n-2, \quad\left(-K_{X} \cdot g\right)=1, \quad\left(-K_{X} \cdot h\right)=2
\end{aligned}
$$

hold, where $f$ is a nontrivial fiber of $X \rightarrow Y, g$ is a general fiber over $\mathbf{P}^{n-2}$ and $h$ is the strict transform of a line in $\mathbf{P}^{n}$ passing through $\mathbf{P}^{n-2}$ and the image of $C$ in $\mathbf{P}^{n}$. Thus $s(X)=n-2$.
(v) If $X=\mathrm{Bl}_{C}(Y)$ is in Theorem 3.6 (2) (v), then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{NE}(X) & =\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}[f]+\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}[g]+\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}[h], \\
\left(-K_{X} \cdot f\right) & =n-2, \quad\left(-K_{X} \cdot g\right)=1, \quad\left(-K_{X} \cdot h\right)=2
\end{aligned}
$$

hold, where $f$ is a nontrivial fiber of $X \rightarrow Y, g$ is a fiber of $E \simeq C \times \mathbf{P}^{n-2} \rightarrow$ $\mathbf{P}^{n-2}$, where $E$ is the exceptional divisor of $X \rightarrow Y$, and $h$ is the strict transform of a line in a fiber of $Y \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{1}$ passing through $C$. Thus $s(X)=n-2$.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1.4

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.4. If an $n$-dimensional Fano manifold $X$ satisfies that $s(X) \geq n$ and $\rho_{X}=1$, then $s(X)=n$ and $X \simeq \mathbf{P}^{n}$ by [9]. Hence we can consider only the Fano manifolds $X$ with $\rho_{X} \geq 2$.
4.1. Proof of Theorem 1.4 (i). We can assume that $n=3$ since the case $n \leq 2$ is trivial. We prove the assertion without using the result [14] of complete classification of 3-dimensional Fano manifolds $X$ with $\rho_{X} \geq 2$. Let $X$ be a 3-dimensional Fano manifold with $s(X) \geq 3$. We can assume that $\rho_{X} \geq 3$ by Corollary 2.13. By Theorem 2.7, Proposition 2.4 (1) and Theorem 3.2, any extremal ray $R \subset \mathrm{NE}(X)$ with $l(R) \geq 2$ satisfies one of the following:
(A) $\quad R$ is of type $(2,0)^{\mathrm{sm}}$ and $l(R)=2$.
(B) $\quad R$ is of type $(3,2)$ and $l(R)=2$.
(We note that this result directly follows from [15, Theorems 3.3, 3.5].) If there exists an extremal ray $R \subset \mathrm{NE}(X)$ of type $(\mathrm{A})$, then $X \simeq \mathrm{Bl}_{a}\left(V_{d}\right)$ with $1 \leq d \leq 3$ by Theorem 3.4, thus $s(X)<3$ by Remark 3.5 (iii). If there exist distinct extremal rays $R_{1}, R_{2}$ and $R_{3} \subset \mathrm{NE}(X)$ such that all of them are of type $(\mathrm{B})$, then $X \simeq \mathbf{P}^{1} \times \mathbf{P}^{1} \times \mathbf{P}^{1}$ by Theorem 2.11. Therefore we have completed the proof of Theorem 1.4 (i).
4.2. Proof of Theorem 1.4 (ii). Let $X$ be a 4-dimensional Fano manifold with $s(X) \geq 4$. We can assume that $\rho_{X} \geq 3$ by Corollary 2.13. (We note that if $\rho_{X}=2$ and both extremal rays are small, then $s(X)=0$.) By Theorem 2.7, Proposition 2.4 (1) and Theorem 3.2, any extremal ray $R \subset \mathrm{NE}(X)$ with $l(R) \geq 2$ satisfies one of the following:
(A) $\quad R$ is of type $(3,0)^{\mathrm{sm}}$ and $l(R)=3$.
(B) $\quad R$ is of type $(3,0)$ and $l(R)=2$.
(C) $\quad R$ is of type $(3,1)^{\mathrm{sm}}$ and $l(R)=2$.
(D) $\quad R$ is of type $(4,3)$ and $l(R)=2$.
(E) $\quad R$ is of type $(4,2)$ and $l(R)=3$.
(F) $\quad R$ is of type $(4,2)$ and $l(R)=2$.

We note that all two distinct divisorial extremal rays $R_{1}, R_{2}$ with $l\left(R_{1}\right), l\left(R_{2}\right) \geq 2$ satisfy that $\operatorname{Exc}\left(R_{1}\right) \cap \operatorname{Exc}\left(R_{2}\right)=\emptyset$ by Propositions 2.3 and 3.3.

Assume that there exists an extremal ray $R$ of type (A). Then $X \simeq \operatorname{Bl}_{a}\left(V_{2}\right) \simeq \operatorname{Bl}_{p, q}\left(\mathbf{Q}^{4}\right)$ and $s(X)=4$ by Theorem 3.4 and Remark 3.5 (iii). Assume that there exists an extremal ray $R$ of type (B) and there is no extremal ray of type (A). Then $\rho_{X}=3$ and any other extremal ray $R^{\prime}$ with $l\left(R^{\prime}\right) \geq 2$ is of type (B) or (C) by Proposition 2.3 and Theorem 3.1 (1). Since $s(X) \geq 4$, there exist distinct extremal rays $R_{1}, R_{2}, R_{3}$ apart from $R$ such that each of them is of type (B) or (C). This contradicts to Corollary 2.6 (1). Hence we can assume that any extremal ray $R$ with $l(R) \geq 2$ is of type (C), (D), (E), or (F).

Assume that there exists an extremal ray $R_{1}$ of type (C). We have $\rho_{X} \leq 4$ by Theorems 3.1 (2), 3.6 (1) and Remark 3.7 (1). By Corollary 2.6 (1), the number of extremal rays of type (C) is at most three. Since $s(X) \geq 4$, there exists an extremal ray $R_{0}$ of fiber type and $l\left(R_{0}\right) \geq 2$. Then $\left(\operatorname{Exc}\left(R_{1}\right) \cdot R_{0}\right)=0$ and $R_{0}$ is of type $(\mathrm{D})$ by Theorem 3.6 (2), (3) and Remark 3.7 (2). Moreover, any extremal ray $R^{\prime}$ of fiber type apart from $R_{0}$ satisfies that $\left(\operatorname{Exc}\left(R_{1}\right) \cdot R^{\prime}\right)>0$. Indeed, by Theorem $3.6(3)$, if $\left(\operatorname{Exc}\left(R_{1}\right) \cdot R^{\prime}\right)=0$ then $R^{\prime}$ contains the class of a fiber of the morphism $\operatorname{Exc}\left(R_{1}\right) \simeq \mathbf{P}^{1} \times \mathbf{P}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{2}$. This implies that $R^{\prime}=R_{0}$, which leads to a contradiction. Thus $l\left(R^{\prime}\right)=1$ by Theorem 3.6 (2) and Remark 3.7 (2). Since $s(X) \geq 4$, there exist distinct extremal rays $R_{2}, R_{3}$ apart from $R_{1}$ such that $R_{2}, R_{3}$ are of type (C). We note that $\rho_{X}=4$ by Corollary 2.6. Let $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$ be the contraction morphism associated to $R_{0}$ and set $D_{i}:=\varphi\left(\operatorname{Exc}\left(R_{i}\right)\right)$ for $1 \leq i \leq 3$. Since $\operatorname{Exc}\left(R_{i}\right)=\varphi^{*} D_{i}$, $D_{i} \cap D_{j}=\emptyset$ for $1 \leq i<j \leq 3$. By Theorem 3.6 (3), for any $1 \leq i \leq 3$, there exists a contraction morphism $\psi_{i}: Y \rightarrow Z_{i}$ associated to an extremal ray $R_{Z}^{i} \subset \mathrm{NE}(Y)$ such that $\psi_{i}\left(D_{i}\right)$ is a point. Since $\rho_{Y}=3$, each ray $R_{Z}^{i}$ is divisorial by Proposition 2.4 (1). However,
this contradicts to Corollary 2.6 (1).
Therefore, we can assume that any extremal ray $R$ with $l(R) \geq 2$ is of fiber type. Since $s(X) \geq 4$, there exist distinct extremal rays $R_{1}, \ldots, R_{m}$ of fiber type such that $\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(l\left(R_{i}\right)-\right.$ 1) $\geq 4$. By Theorem 2.11, $\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(l\left(R_{i}\right)-1\right)=4$ and $X \simeq \prod_{i=1}^{m} \mathbf{P}^{l\left(R_{i}\right)-1}$.

As a consequence, we have completed the proof of Theorem 1.4 (ii).
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