

## Surfaces with Constant Kaehler Angle All of Whose Geodesics Are Circles in a Complex Space Form

Sadahiro MAEDA and Seiichi UDAGAWA

*Kumamoto Institute of Technology and Nihon University*

(Communicated by K. Ogiue)

Dedicated to Professor Tadashi Nagano on his sixtieth birthday

### § 0. Introduction.

Let  $f: M \rightarrow \tilde{M}$  be an isometric immersion of a connected complete Riemannian manifold  $M$  into a Riemannian manifold  $\tilde{M}$ . We call  $M$  a *circular geodesic* submanifold of  $\tilde{M}$  provided that for every geodesic  $\gamma$  of  $M$  the curve  $f \circ \gamma$  is a circle in  $\tilde{M}$ . The following problem is still open: Classify circular geodesic submanifolds  $M$  in a complex space form (for details, see [7]).

The purpose of this paper is to consider this problem in the case of  $\dim M = 2$ .

### § 1. Preliminaries.

A Riemannian manifold of constant curvature is called a *real space form*. Let  $M$  be an  $n$ -dimensional submanifold of  $\tilde{M}^{n+p}$  with the metric  $g$ . We denote by  $\nabla$  and  $\tilde{\nabla}$  the covariant differentiations on  $M$  and  $\tilde{M}$ , respectively. Then, the second fundamental form  $\sigma$  of the immersion is defined by  $\sigma(X, Y) = \tilde{\nabla}_X Y - \nabla_X Y$ , where  $X$  and  $Y$  are the vector fields tangent to  $M$ . We call  $\mu = (1/n)(\text{trace } \sigma)$  the *mean curvature vector* of  $M$  in  $\tilde{M}$ . The *mean curvature*  $H$  of  $M$  in  $\tilde{M}$  is the length of  $\mu$ . If  $\mu$  is identically zero, the submanifold is said to be *minimal*. The submanifold  $M$  is *totally umbilic* provided that  $\sigma(X, Y) = g(X, Y)\mu$  for all vector fields  $X$  and  $Y$  on  $M$ . In particular, if  $\sigma$  vanishes identically, then  $M$  is said to be a *totally geodesic* submanifold of  $\tilde{M}$ . For a vector field  $\xi$  normal to  $M$ , we write  $\tilde{\nabla}_X \xi = -A_\xi X + D_X \xi$ , where  $-A_\xi X$  (resp.  $D_X \xi$ ) denotes the tangential (resp. the normal) component of  $\tilde{\nabla}_X \xi$ . We call  $D$

the normal connection on the normal bundle  $T^\perp M$  of  $M$ . A normal vector field  $\xi$  is said to be *parallel* if  $D_x \xi = 0$  for each vector field  $X$  tangent to  $M$ . We define the covariant differentiation  $\bar{\nabla}$  of the second fundamental form  $\sigma$  with respect to the connections in the tangent bundle and normal bundle as:

$$(\bar{\nabla}_x \sigma)(Y, Z) = D_x(\sigma(Y, Z)) - \sigma(\nabla_x Y, Z) - \sigma(Y, \nabla_x Z).$$

The second fundamental form  $\sigma$  is said to be *parallel* if  $(\bar{\nabla}_x \sigma)(Y, Z) = 0$  for all tangent vector fields  $X, Y$  and  $Z$  on  $M$ . The manifold  $M$  is said to be a  $(\lambda)$ -*isotropic* submanifold of  $\tilde{M}$  provided that  $\|\sigma(X, X)\|$  is equal to a constant ( $=\lambda$ ) for all unit tangent vectors  $X$  at each point. In particular, if the function  $\lambda$  is constant on  $M$  then the immersion is said to be  $(\lambda)$ -*constant isotropic*. A *planar geodesic* immersion is an isometric immersion such that every geodesic of  $M$  is locally contained in a 2-dimensional totally geodesic submanifold in  $\tilde{M}$ . We here explain the Frenet formula for a curve  $x: I \rightarrow M$  parametrized by arc length  $t$ . Let  $V_1 = \dot{x}$  be the unit tangent vector and put  $\lambda_1 = \|\tilde{\nabla}_z V_1\|$ . If  $\lambda_1$  vanishes on  $I$ , then  $x$  is said to be of order 1. If  $\lambda_1$  is not identically zero, then we define  $V_2$  by  $\tilde{\nabla}_z V_1 = \lambda_1 V_2$  on the set  $I_1 = \{t \in I: \lambda_1(t) \neq 0\}$ . Put  $\lambda_2 = \|\tilde{\nabla}_z V_2 + \lambda_1 V_1\|$ . If  $\lambda_2 = 0$  on  $I_1$ , then  $x$  is said to be of order 2 on  $I_1$ . If  $\lambda_2$  is not identically zero on  $I_1$ , then we define  $V_3$  by  $\tilde{\nabla}_z V_2 = -\lambda_1 V_1 + \lambda_2 V_3$  on the set  $I_2 = \{t \in I_1: \lambda_2(t) \neq 0\}$ . Inductively, we put  $\lambda_d = \|\tilde{\nabla}_z V_d + \lambda_{d-1} V_{d-1}\|$  and if  $\lambda_d = 0$  on  $I_{d-1} = \{t \in I_{d-2}: \lambda_{d-1}(t) \neq 0\}$ , then  $x$  is said to be of order  $d$  on  $I_{d-1}$ . It follows that if the curve  $x$  is of order  $d$ , then we have a matrix equation on  $I_{d-1}$

$$(1.1) \quad \tilde{\nabla}_z(V_1, V_2, \dots, V_d) = (V_1, V_2, \dots, V_d)A,$$

where  $A$  is a  $(d, d)$ -matrix defined by

$$(1.2) \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\lambda_1 & & & & & 0 \\ \lambda_1 & 0 & \cdot & & & & \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & & & \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & & \\ 0 & \cdot & \cdot & 0 & -\lambda_{d-1} & & \\ & & & \lambda_{d-1} & 0 & & \end{pmatrix}.$$

Equation (1.1) is known as the *Frenet formula*. When each  $\lambda_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq d-1$ ) is constant, the curve  $x$  is called a *helix* of order  $d$ . In particular, when  $d=2$ , the curve  $x$  is called a *circle*.

Now, let  $M$  be an oriented surface in a Kaehler manifold  $\tilde{M}$  with the complex structure  $J$ . We define  $\cos \theta = g(e_1, Je_2)$ , where  $\{e_1, e_2\}$  is a local field of orthonormal frames on  $M$ . We call  $\theta$  the *Kaehler angle* of  $M$  in  $\tilde{M}$ . Let  $M$  be a Riemannian submanifold of a Kaehler manifold  $\tilde{M}$  with the complex structure  $J$ . The submanifold  $M$  is called a *Kaehler submanifold* (resp. a *totally real submanifold*) of  $\tilde{M}$  if each tangent space of  $M$  is mapped into the tangent space of  $M$  (resp. the normal space of  $M$ ) by the complex structure  $J$ . A Kaehler manifold of constant holomorphic sectional curvature is called a *complex space form*. Let  $\tilde{M}^N(c)$  be an  $N$ -dimensional complex space form (with complex structure  $J$ ) of constant holomorphic sectional curvature  $c$ . Let  $M$  be an  $n$ -dimensional submanifold of  $\tilde{M}^N(c)$ . For later use, we write the following fundamental equations which are called the equations of Gauss and Codazzi, respectively:

$$(1.3) \quad g(R(X, Y)Z, W) \\ = (c/4)\{g(Y, Z)g(X, W) - g(X, Z)g(Y, W) \\ + g(JY, Z)g(JX, W) - g(JX, Z)g(JY, W) + 2g(X, JY)g(JZ, W)\} \\ + g(\sigma(Y, Z), \sigma(X, W)) - g(\sigma(X, Z), \sigma(Y, W))$$

$$(1.4) \quad (c/4)\{g(JY, Z)JX - g(JX, Z)JY + 2g(X, JY)JZ\}^\perp \\ = (\bar{\nabla}_X \sigma)(Y, Z) - (\bar{\nabla}_Y \sigma)(X, Z),$$

where  $R$  is the curvature tensor of  $M$  and  $\{*\}^\perp$  means the normal component of  $\{*\}$ .

Finally, we prepare the following without proof in order to prove our theorems:

**PROPOSITION 1** ([5]). *Let  $M$  be a submanifold in a Riemannian manifold  $\tilde{M}$ . Then, the following two conditions are equivalent:*

(i) *The submanifold  $M$  is nonzero constant ( $\lambda$ -)isotropic and the second fundamental form  $\sigma$  of  $M$  in  $\tilde{M}$  satisfies  $(\bar{\nabla}_X \sigma)(X, X) = 0$  for all vector fields  $X$  tangent to  $M$ .*

(ii)  *$M$  is a circular geodesic submanifold of  $\tilde{M}$ .*

**PROPOSITION 2** ([5]). *Let  $M$  be a submanifold in a complex space form  $\tilde{M}(c)$  of constant holomorphic sectional curvature  $c$  with the complex structure  $J$ . Then, the following are equivalent:*

(i)  *$(\bar{\nabla}_X \sigma)(X, X) = 0$  for all vector fields  $X$  tangent to  $M$ .*

(ii)  *$(\bar{\nabla}_X \sigma)(Y, Z) = (c/4)\{g(X, JY)JZ + g(X, JZ)JY\}^\perp$  for all vector fields  $X, Y$  and  $Z$  tangent to  $M$ .*

## § 2. Results.

First of all, we prove the following:

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $M$  be a circular geodesic surface in a complex space form  $\tilde{M}(c)$  with  $c \neq 0$ . If the Kaehler angle  $\theta$  of  $M$  (in  $\tilde{M}(c)$ ) is constant, then the second fundamental form of  $M$  is parallel.*

**PROOF.** We choose a local field of orthonormal frames  $e_1, e_2$  around an arbitrary fixed point  $p \in M$  in such a way that  $\nabla e_1 = \nabla e_2 = 0$  at  $p$ . Here and in the following we suppose that  $M$  is not a totally real surface in  $\tilde{M}(c)$ , that is,  $g(e_1, Je_2) \neq 0$ . Our aim here is to prove that the surface  $M$  must be Kaehler: From Proposition 1, we see that

$$g(\sigma(X, X), \sigma(X, X)) = \lambda^2 g(X, X)g(X, X) \quad \text{for any } X \in TM,$$

which is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} &g(\sigma(X, Y), \sigma(Z, W)) + g(\sigma(X, Z), \sigma(Y, W)) + g(\sigma(X, W), \sigma(Y, Z)) \\ &= \lambda^2 (g(X, Y)g(Z, W) + g(X, Z)g(Y, W) + g(X, W)g(Y, Z)) \end{aligned}$$

for any  $X, Y, Z, W \in TM$ . Therefore, in particular, we have

$$g(\sigma(e_1, e_1), \sigma(e_2, e_2)) + 2g(\sigma(e_1, e_2), \sigma(e_1, e_2)) = \lambda^2.$$

Since  $\lambda$  is constant, the following holds:

$$e_1(g(\sigma(e_1, e_1), \sigma(e_2, e_2))) + 2e_1(g(\sigma(e_1, e_2), \sigma(e_1, e_2))) = 0,$$

which, together with Proposition 2, yields

$$(c/2)g(e_1, Je_2)g(\sigma(e_1, e_1), Je_2) + c \cdot g(e_1, Je_2)g(Je_1, \sigma(e_1, e_2)) = 0$$

so that

$$(2.1) \quad g(\sigma(e_1, e_1), Je_2) + 2g(\sigma(e_1, e_2), Je_1) = 0 \quad \text{at } p.$$

On the other hand, from the hypothesis that the Kaehler angle  $\theta$  is constant, we get

$$0 = e_1(g(e_1, Je_2)) = g(\sigma(e_1, e_1), Je_2) + g(e_1, J\sigma(e_1, e_2)) \quad \text{at } p,$$

that is,

$$(2.2) \quad g(\sigma(e_1, e_1), Je_2) - g(\sigma(e_1, e_2), Je_1) = 0 \quad \text{at } p.$$

From (2.1) and (2.2), we find

$$(2.3) \quad g(\sigma(e_1, e_1), Je_2) = g(\sigma(e_1, e_2), Je_1) = 0 \quad \text{at } p .$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$(2.4) \quad g(\sigma(e_2, e_2), Je_1) = g(\sigma(e_1, e_2), Je_2) = 0 \quad \text{at } p .$$

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= e_2 \lambda^2 = e_2 (g(\sigma(e_1, e_1), \sigma(e_1, e_1))) \\ &= 2g((\bar{\nabla}_{e_2} \sigma)(e_1, e_1), \sigma(e_1, e_1)) \\ &= cg(e_2, Je_1)g(Je_1, \sigma(e_1, e_1)) \quad \text{at } p , \end{aligned}$$

that is

$$(2.5) \quad g(\sigma(e_1, e_1), Je_1) = 0 \quad \text{at } p .$$

Similarly, we see that

$$(2.6) \quad g(\sigma(e_2, e_2), Je_2) = 0 \quad \text{at } p .$$

Hence the equations (2.3)~(2.6) yield the following

$$(2.7) \quad g(\sigma(X, Y), JZ) = 0 \quad \text{for any } X, Y, Z \in TM .$$

For simplicity, in the following we put  $\sigma_{ij} = \sigma(e_i, e_j)$  ( $1 \leq i, j \leq 2$ ) and  $a = g(e_1, Je_2)$ . Differentiating (2.7) with respect to  $W \in TM$ , we see that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= g(\tilde{\nabla}_W(\sigma(X, Y)), JZ) + g(\sigma(X, Y), J\tilde{\nabla}_W Z) \\ &= g(-A_{\sigma(X, Y)}W + D_W(\sigma(X, Y)), JZ) + g(\sigma(X, Y), J(\nabla_W Z + \sigma(W, Z))) . \end{aligned}$$

Here, again by (2.7) we find

$$(2.8) \quad -g(A_{\sigma(X, Y)}W, JZ) + g((\bar{\nabla}_W \sigma)(X, Y), JZ) + g(\sigma(X, Y), J\sigma(Z, W)) = 0$$

for any  $X, Y, Z, W \in TM$ . Now, Setting  $X=Y=Z=e_1$  and  $W=e_2$  in (2.8), from Propositions 1 and 2 we have

$$(2.9) \quad g(\sigma_{11}, \sigma_{22})a + (c/2)(-a + a^3) + g(\sigma_{11}, J\sigma_{12}) = 0 .$$

Similarly, from (2.8) we get the following:

$$(2.10) \quad g(\sigma_{11}, J\sigma_{22}) = 0 ,$$

$$(2.11) \quad \lambda^2 a + g(\sigma_{22}, J\sigma_{12}) = 0 ,$$

$$(2.12) \quad -\lambda^2 a + g(\sigma_{11}, J\sigma_{12}) = 0 ,$$

$$(2.13) \quad g(\sigma_{12}, \sigma_{12})a + (c/4)(a - a^3) - g(\sigma_{11}, J\sigma_{12}) = 0 .$$

Thus, from (2.9)~(2.13) we obtain the following:

$$(2.14) \quad \begin{cases} g(\sigma_{12}, \sigma_{12}) = \lambda^2 - (c/4)(1 - a^2) , \\ g(\sigma_{11}, \sigma_{22}) = (c/2)(1 - a^2) - \lambda^2 , \\ g(\sigma_{11}, J\sigma_{22}) = 0 , \\ g(\sigma_{11}, J\sigma_{12}) = \lambda^2 a , \\ g(\sigma_{22}, J\sigma_{12}) = -\lambda^2 a . \end{cases}$$

Now, we denote by  $K$  the Gaussian curvature of the surface  $M$ . It follows from (2.14) and the Gauss equation (1.3) that

$$(2.15) \quad K = c - 2\lambda^2 ,$$

which implies that  $K$  is constant. Next, we shall compute  $\tilde{R}(e_1, e_2)\sigma_{11}$  and  $\tilde{R}(e_1, e_2)\sigma_{12}$ , where  $\tilde{R}$  is the curvature tensor of  $\tilde{M}(c)$ . From Propositions 1, 2 and (2.14) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\nabla}_{e_1}\sigma_{11} &= -A_{\sigma_{11}}e_1 + D_{e_1}\sigma_{11} \\ &= -\lambda^2 e_1 + (\bar{\nabla}_{e_1}\sigma)(e_1, e_1) + 2\sigma(\nabla_{e_1}e_1, e_1) \\ &= -\lambda^2 e_1 + 2\sigma(\nabla_{e_1}e_1, e_1) \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$(2.16) \quad \tilde{\nabla}_{e_2}(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_1}\sigma_{11}) = -\lambda^2\sigma_{12} + 2\sigma(\nabla_{e_2}(\nabla_{e_1}e_1), e_1) \quad \text{at } p .$$

Similarly, from Propositions 1, 2 and (2.14) we obtain

$$(2.17) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{\nabla}_{e_1}(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_2}\sigma_{11}) \\ = (\lambda^2 - (c/2))\sigma_{12} - (c/2)aJ\sigma_{11} + 2\sigma(\nabla_{e_1}(\nabla_{e_2}e_1), e_1) \quad \text{at } p . \end{aligned}$$

Hence, from (2.16) and (2.17), we find

$$(2.18) \quad \tilde{R}(e_1, e_2)\sigma_{11} = (6\lambda^2 - (5c/2))\sigma_{12} - (c/2)aJ\sigma_{11} .$$

Similarly, we have

$$(2.19) \quad \tilde{R}(e_1, e_2)\sigma_{12} = (5c/4 - 3\lambda^2)(\sigma_{11} - \sigma_{22}) - (c/2)aJ\sigma_{12} .$$

On the other hand, since the curvature tensor  $\tilde{R}$  of  $\tilde{M}(c)$  has a nice form, we get the following:

$$(2.20) \quad \tilde{R}(e_1, e_2)\sigma_{11} = (c/2)aJ\sigma_{11} ,$$

$$(2.21) \quad \tilde{R}(e_1, e_2)\sigma_{12} = (c/2)aJ\sigma_{12} .$$

Therefore, the equations (2.18) and (2.20) yield

$$caJ\sigma_{11} = (6\lambda^2 - (5/2)c)\sigma_{12}$$

so that

$$(2.22) \quad cag(J\sigma_{11}, J\sigma_{11}) = (6\lambda^2 - (5/2)c)g(\sigma_{12}, J\sigma_{11})$$

which, combined with (2.14) and  $a \neq 0$ , implies that

$$(2.23) \quad c = 4\lambda^2.$$

On the other hand, the equations (2.19) and (2.21) give

$$(2.24) \quad caJ\sigma_{12} = ((5/4)c - 3\lambda^2)(\sigma_{11} - \sigma_{22})$$

so that

$$(2.25) \quad cag(J\sigma_{12}, J\sigma_{12}) = ((5/4)c - 3\lambda^2)g(\sigma_{11} - \sigma_{22}, J\sigma_{12})$$

which, together with (2.14) and  $a \neq 0$ , yields

$$(2.26) \quad 24\lambda^4 - 6c\lambda^2 - c^2(1 - a^2) = 0.$$

As an immediate consequence of (2.23), (2.26) and  $c \neq 0$ , we see that  $a^2 = 1$ , that is, the surface  $M$  is Kaehler. Namely, the surface  $M$  which satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 1 must be Kaehler or totally real. Therefore, by virtue of the Codazzi equation (1.4) and Propositions 1, 2 we conclude that the second fundamental form of  $M$  is parallel. Q.E.D.

REMARK 1. Sakamoto ([12]) classified planar geodesic submanifolds in a real space form. Due to his work, we find that a planar geodesic submanifold  $M$  in a Euclidean sphere  $S^n(k)$  of constant curvature  $k$  is locally congruent to one of compact symmetric spaces of rank one and the immersion is locally equivalent to the second or the first standard immersion according as  $M$  is a sphere or not (see also [13]). For later use, we give the examples of full planar geodesic surface  $M$  in a real hyperbolic space  $RH^n(c)$  of constant curvature  $c (< 0)$ : We denote by  $M^n(k)$  an  $n$ -dimensional space form of constant curvature  $k$ .

$$\text{EXAMPLE 1. } f_1: M = M^2(k) \xrightarrow{\text{totally umbilic}} RH^3(c), \quad k > c.$$

$$\text{EXAMPLE 2. } f_2: M = S^2(k/3) \xrightarrow{\text{minimal}} S^4(k) \xrightarrow{\text{totally umbilic}} RH^5(c).$$

REMARK 2. Naitoh ([8]) and Nomizu ([10]) classified circular geodesic submanifolds with parallel second fundamental form in a complex projective space  $CP^n(c)$  of constant holomorphic sectional curvature  $c$ . Due

to their works, we find that the surface  $M$  (in  $CP^n(c)$ ) which satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 1 is locally congruent to one of the following examples (a)~(e):

We denote by  $RP^n(k)$  an  $n$ -dimensional real projective space of constant curvature  $k$ .

$$(a) \quad M = S^2(k) \xrightarrow{\text{totally umbilic}} RP^3(c/4) \xrightarrow{\text{totally geodesic}} CP^3(c).$$

$M$  is a totally real surface with constant mean curvature  $H = \sqrt{k - (c/4)}$  ( $\neq 0$ ) in  $CP^3(c)$ .

$$(b) \quad M = S^2(k/3) \xrightarrow{\text{minimal}} S^4(k) \xrightarrow{\text{totally umbilic}} RP^5(c/4) \xrightarrow{\text{totally geodesic}} CP^5(c).$$

$M$  is a totally real surface with constant mean curvature  $H = \sqrt{k - (c/4)}$  ( $\neq 0$ ) in  $CP^5(c)$ .

$$(c) \quad M = S^2(c/12) \xrightarrow{\text{minimal}} S^4(c/4) \xrightarrow{\text{covering map}} RP^4(c/4) \xrightarrow{\text{totally geodesic}} CP^4(c).$$

$M$  is a totally real minimal surface in  $CP^4(c)$ .

$$(d) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} S^1(2/\sqrt{3c}) \times S^1(2/\sqrt{3c}) \times S^1(2/\sqrt{3c}) & \xrightarrow{\text{minimal}} & S^5(c/4) \\ \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \pi \\ M = \pi(S^1(2/\sqrt{3c}) \times S^1(2/\sqrt{3c}) \times S^1(2/\sqrt{3c})) & \longrightarrow & CP^2(c), \end{array}$$

where  $\pi$  is the Hopf fibration and  $S^1(2/\sqrt{3c})$  is a circle with radius  $2/\sqrt{3c}$ .  $M$  is a totally real minimal surface in  $CP^2(c)$ . Note that  $M$  is a flat torus.

$$(e) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} M = S^2(c/2) (= CP^1(c/2)) & \xrightarrow{f} & CP^2(c) \\ \omega & & \omega \\ f : (Z_0, Z_1) & \longrightarrow & (Z_0^2, \sqrt{2} Z_0 Z_1, Z_1^2). \end{array}$$

Of course, the immersion  $f$  is Kaehler so that  $M$  is a minimal surface in  $CP^2(c)$ .

When the ambient space is a complex hyperbolic space  $CH^n(c)$  of constant holomorphic sectional curvature  $c$  ( $< 0$ ), it follows from [9] that a surface  $M$  with parallel second fundamental form in  $CH^n(c)$  is either of the following (i)~(iii):

(i)  $M$  is a totally real surface with parallel second fundamental form in  $CH^2(c)$ .



$$(ii) \quad M \xrightarrow{f} RH^s(c/4) \xrightarrow{\text{totally geodesic}} CH^n(c),$$

where  $f$  is a full immersion with parallel second fundamental form.

(iii)  $M$  is a complex curve in  $CH^n(c)$ .

Assume that  $M$  is a circular geodesic surface with parallel second fundamental form in  $CH^n(c)$ . In the case (i),  $M$  is minimal by Ejiri's result ([3]), which states that  $(\lambda)$ -isotropic totally real surface in  $CH^2(c)$  is minimal. Then, by Ejiri's result ([4]), we see that  $M$  is totally geodesic in  $CH^2(c)$ . In the case (ii),  $M$  is a planar geodesic surface with parallel second fundamental form in  $RH^s(c/4)$  for some  $s \in N$ . Then, we have the following two examples:

$$(f) \quad M = M^2(k) \xrightarrow{f_1} RH^s(c/4) \xrightarrow{\text{totally geodesic}} CH^s(c),$$

where  $k > c/4$  and  $f_1$  is given in Example 1.

$$(g) \quad M = S^2(k/3) \xrightarrow{f_2} RH^s(c/4) \xrightarrow{\text{totally geodesic}} CH^s(c),$$

where  $f_2$  is given in Example 2.

In the case (iii), it is known that  $M$  is totally geodesic.

Therefore, we see that circular geodesic surface with constant Kaehler angle in a non-flat complex space form must be of constant curvature. Then, motivated by Remark 2, we now prove the following:

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $M$  be a surface of constant curvature. Assume that  $M$  is a circular geodesic surface fully and isometrically immersed in a non-flat complex space form. Then,  $M$  is locally congruent to one of the examples (a), (b), (c), (d), (e), (f) and (g).*

**PROOF.** We denote by  $K$  the constant Gaussian curvature of  $M$ . It follows from the Gauss equation (1.3) that

$$(2.27) \quad K = (c/4)\{1 + 3(g(e_1, Je_2))^2\} - g(\sigma_{12}, \sigma_{12}) + g(\sigma_{11}, \sigma_{22}).$$

Differentiating (2.27) with respect to  $e_1$ , from Propositions 1 and 2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 K &= (3c/4)2g(e_1, Je_2)\{g(\sigma_{11}, Je_2) + g(e_1, J\sigma_{12})\} \\ &\quad - 2g((\bar{\nabla}_{e_1}\sigma)(e_1, e_2), \sigma_{12}) + g(\sigma_{11}, (\bar{\nabla}_{e_1}\sigma)(e_2, e_2)) \\ &= (3c/2)g(e_1, Je_2)\{g(\sigma_{11}, Je_2) + g(e_1, J\sigma_{12})\} \\ &\quad - (c/2)g(e_1, Je_2)g(Je_1, \sigma_{12}) + (c/2)g(e_1, Je_2)g(\sigma_{11}, Je_2) \\ &= 2cg(e_1, Je_2)\{g(\sigma_{11}, Je_2) + g(e_1, J\sigma_{12})\} \\ &= c\{e_1((g(e_1, Je_2))^2)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$e_2 K = c \{e_2((g(e_1, J e_2))^2)\}.$$

Hence, the Kaehler angle  $\theta$  of  $M$  is constant. Therefore, from Theorem 1 and Remark 2, we get our conclusion. Q.E.D.

**REMARK 3.** In the case where the ambient manifold  $M$  is a real space form, "circular geodesic" always implies "planar geodesic". However, in general this is not true. In fact, the example (d) is not planar geodesic (for details see [8]).

**REMARK 4.** The following examples are worth mentioning.

(A) For any non-negative integers  $n$  and  $k$  with  $0 \leq k \leq n$ , there exists an  $SU(2)$ -equivariant minimal immersion  $\psi_{n,k}: S^2(K) \rightarrow CP^n(c)$  such that  $K = c/(2k(n-k) + n)$  and  $\cos \theta = K(n-2k)/c$ , where  $\theta$  is the Kaehler angle of  $S^2(K)$  (for details, see [1], [2] and [11]). We here note that  $\psi_{n,k}$  is neither a Kaehler immersion nor a totally real immersion in the case where  $n$  is odd or  $n$  is even but  $k \neq n/2$  with  $0 < k < n$  (so that  $n \geq 3$ ). Moreover, for any nonnegative integers  $n$  and  $k$  with  $0 \leq k \leq n$ , for every geodesic  $\gamma$  of  $S^2(K)$  the curve  $\psi_{n,k} \circ \gamma$  is a helix of order  $n$  (see, Proposition 3.1 in [6]).

(B) For any nonnegative integers  $p$  and  $q$ , there exists an  $SU(2)$ -equivariant immersion (with constant mean curvature  $H = \sqrt{pqc}/(p+q)$ )  $f_{p,q}^1: S^2(K) \rightarrow CP^N(c)$  with  $N = pq + p + q$  such that  $K = c/(p+q)$  and  $\cos \theta = (p-q)/(p+q)$ , where  $\theta$  is the Kaehler angle of  $S^2(K)$  (for details, see [6]). We here note that  $f_{p,q}^1$  is neither a Kaehler immersion nor a totally real immersion in the case where  $pq \neq 0$  and  $p \neq q$ . Moreover, for any non-negative integers  $p$  and  $q$  and for every geodesic  $\gamma$  of  $S^2(K)$ , the curve  $f_{p,q}^1 \circ \gamma$  is a helix of order  $(p+q)$  (see, Theorem 3.1 in [6]).

### References

- [1] S. BANDO and Y. OHNITA, Minimal 2-spheres with constant curvature in  $P_n(C)$ , J. Math. Soc. Japan, **39** (1987), 477-487.
- [2] J. BOLTON, G. R. JENSEN, M. RIGOLI and L. M. WOODWARD, On conformal minimal immersion of  $S^2$  into  $CP^n$ , Math. Ann., **279** (1988), 599-620.
- [3] N. EJIRI, Totally real isotropic submanifolds in a complex projective space, preprint (unpublished).
- [4] N. EJIRI, Totally real minimal immersions of  $n$ -dimensional real space forms into  $n$ -dimensional complex space forms, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **84** (1982), 243-246.
- [5] S. MAEDA and N. SATO, On submanifolds all of whose geodesics are circles in a complex space form, Kodai Math. J., **6** (1983), 157-166.
- [6] S. MAEDA and Y. OHNITA, Helical geodesic immersions into complex space forms, Geom. Dedicata, **30** (1989), 93-114.

- [7] S. MAEDA, Differential geometry of constant mean curvature submanifolds, Mem. Fac. Gen. Ed. Kumamoto Univ. Nat. Sci., **24** (1989), 7-39.
- [8] H. NAITOH, Isotropic submanifolds with parallel second fundamental form in  $P^n(C)$ , Osaka J. Math., **18** (1981), 427-464.
- [9] H. NAITOH, Parallel submanifolds of complex space forms I, Nagoya Math. J., **90** (1983), 85-117.
- [10] K. NOMIZU, A characterization of the Veronese varieties, Nagoya Math. J., **60** (1976), 181-188.
- [11] Y. OHNITA, Minimal surfaces with constant curvature and Kaehler angle in complex space forms, Tsukuba J. Math., **13** (1989), 191-207.
- [12] K. SAKAMOTO, Planar geodesic immersions, Tôhoku Math. J., **29** (1977), 25-56.
- [13] M. TAKEUCHI, Parallel submanifolds of space forms, *Manifolds and Lie Groups, in Honor of Yozô Matsushima*, ed. by J. Hano et al., Birkhäuser, 1981, 429-447.

*Present Address:*

SADAHIRO MAEDA  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KUMAMOTO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
IKEDA 4-22-1, KUMAMOTO 860, JAPAN

SEIICHI UDAGAWA  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SCHOOL OF MEDICINE, NIHON UNIVERSITY  
ITABASHI, TOKYO 173, JAPAN