# Some Remarks on the Characterization of the Poisson Kernels for the Hyperbolic Spaces

## Shigeru WATANABE

Sophia University
(Communicated by T. Nagano)

#### Introduction.

Let G be a classical connected simple Lie group of real rank 1: i.e. G is one of the groups  $SO_0(1,n)$ , SU(1,n) and Sp(1,n) corresponding to the fields R, C and H respectively. Let G=KAN be an Iwasawa decomposition and M be the centralizer of A in K. Denoting by F the field corresponding to the group G, then G/K is the classical hyperbolic space, i.e. the unit ball in  $F^n$  (denoted by  $B(F^n)$ ) and it's Martin boundary K/M is the unit sphere in  $F^n$  (denoted by  $S(F^n)$ ). The action of G on  $B(F^n)$  and  $S(F^n)$  is concretely given as follows: for  $x={}^t(x_1,\dots,x_n)\in F^n$  and  $g=(g_{pq})_{0\leq p,q\leq n}\in G$ , we define

$$x'=gx$$
,

where  $x' = {}^{t}(x'_{1}, \dots, x'_{n})$ , with

$$x'_{p} = (g_{p0} + \sum_{q=1}^{n} g_{pq} x_{q})(g_{00} + \sum_{q=1}^{n} g_{0q} x_{q})^{-1}, \quad 1 \le p \le n.$$

And the identifications  $G/K \cong B(F^n)$  and  $K/M \cong S(F^n)$  are given by

$$G/K \cong B(F^n)$$
;  $gK \mapsto gO$ ,

$$K/M \cong S(F^n)$$
;  $kM \mapsto ke_1$ ,

where O is the origin of  $F^n$  and  $e_1 = {}^t(1, 0, \dots, 0) \in S(F^n)$ .

We now denote by D the Laplace-Beltrami operator on  $G/K \cong B(F^n)$ . The Poisson kernel  $P: G/K \times K/M \to R$  is given as follows:

$$P(gK, kM) = \left(\frac{1-|x|^2}{|1-t\bar{x}\cdot b|^2}\right)^{\rho},$$

where x = gK, b = kM and  $\rho = d - 1 + ((n-1)/2)d$ ,  $d = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} F$ . As is well known, for any complex number s, we have

$$DP^{s} = 4\rho^{2}s(s-1)P^{s}$$
.

In particular, we have the following differential equations for P

$$\begin{cases} DP=0 \\ DP^2=8\rho^2P^2 \end{cases}$$

Now we consider the following problem.

PROBLEM 1. Suppose that a real valued  $C^2$ -class function  $F \neq 0$  on G/K satisfies the following conditions:

- (a) DF=0
- (b)  $DF^2 = 8\rho^2 F^2$ .

Then there exist  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $kM \in K/M$  such that F(gK) = cP(gK, kM).

This problem is solved in the affirmative for F = R in [1]. For F = C also, a solution was given in [5] (the proof seems to have some gap, but we understand Kawazoe has another proof to be published soon). The purpose of this paper is to give a quick proof in the real case using the spherical functions on K/M. Also included is some preliminary results about this problem, which might be useful to solve the problem in other cases.

### 1. Some lemmas on the function F.

We shall use the following notations for  $x = {}^{t}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in B(F^n)$ .

$$F = R: \quad x_1 = x_{11}, \quad \cdots, \quad x_n = x_{n1},$$

$$F = C: \quad x_1 = x_{11} + ix_{12}, \quad \cdots, \quad x_n = x_{n1} + ix_{n2},$$

$$F = H: \quad x_1 = x_{11} + ix_{12} + jx_{13} + kx_{14}, \quad \cdots,$$

$$x_n = x_{n1} + ix_{n2} + jx_{n3} + kx_{n4},$$

where  $x_{pv}$   $(1 \le p \le n, 1 \le v \le d) \in \mathbb{R}$ .

To return to Problem 1, we have

$$P(gx, b) = P(x, g^{-1}b)P(gO, b)$$

for  $x \in B(F^n)$ ,  $b \in S(F^n)$  and  $g \in G$ . Since D is G-invariant, without loss of generality, we may assume that F(0) = 1. On the other hand, from (a), (b) and F(0) = 1, we see that

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \le p \le n \\ 1 \le \mu \le d}} \left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{p\mu}} (0) \right)^2 = 4\rho^2.$$

So there exists  $k \in K$  such that

$$\frac{\partial F_k}{\partial x_{11}}(0) = 2p, \qquad \frac{\partial F_k}{\partial x_{p\mu}}(0) = 0 \quad ((p, \mu) \neq (1, 1)),$$

where  $F_k(x) = F(kx)$ . Thus we can conclude that Problem 1 is equivalent to the following problem.

PROBLEM 2. Suppose that a real valued  $C^2$ -class function F on  $B(F^n)$  satisfies the following conditions:

- (a) DF = 0
- (b)  $DF^2 = 8\rho^2 F^2$
- (c) F(0) = 1
- (d)  $(\partial F/\partial x_{11})(0) = 2\rho$ ,  $(\partial F/\partial x_{p\mu})(0) = 0$   $((p, \mu) \neq (1, 1))$ .

Then  $F(x) = P(x, e_1)$  for  $x \in B(F^n)$ .

We remark that F is analytic, because D is elliptic.

The following lemma holds independent of the choice of F = R, C, H.

LEMMA 1. Let us suppose that F satisfies the assumptions of Problem 2. Then we have (1) For  $l \ge 2$ ,  $(\partial^l F/\partial x_{11}^l)(0)$  is expressed as a polynomial of  $(\partial^m F/\partial x_{11}^m)(0)$ 

(1) For  $l \ge 2$ , (c)  $\Gamma/\partial x_{11}$ )(d) is expressed as a polynomial by (c)  $\Gamma/\partial x_{11}$ )(d)  $(1 \le m \le l-1)$  and  $(\partial^m F/\partial x_{r\lambda} \partial x_{11}^{m-1})(0)$   $((r, \lambda) \ne (1, 1), 1 \le m \le l-1)$ , whose coefficients are independent of the choice of F.

(2)  $(\partial^{l}F/\partial x_{r\lambda}\partial x_{11}^{l-1})(0) = 0$  for  $(r, \lambda) \neq (1, 1)$  and  $l \geq 2$ . In particular,  $(\partial^{l}F/\partial x_{11}^{l})(0) = (\partial^{l}P/\partial x_{11}^{l})(0)$   $(l \geq 0)$  and moreover  $F(x_{11}, 0) = P(x_{11}, 0)$ , where  $P(x) = P(x, e_{1})$ .

PROOF. We use the following notations

$$\begin{split} F &= R: \ x_p x_q = A_{pq1}(x), \\ F &= C: \ x_p \bar{x}_q = A_{pq1}(x) + i A_{pq2}(x), \\ F &= H: \ x_p \bar{x}_q = A_{pq1}(x) + i A_{pq2}(x) + j A_{pq3}(x) + k A_{pq4}(x), \end{split}$$

where  $A_{pq\mu}(x)$   $(1 \le p, q \le n, 1 \le \mu \le d) \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then the Laplace-Beltrami operator D has the following expression:

$$\begin{split} D = & (1 - |x|^2) \sum_{p=1}^{n} (1 - |x_p|^2) \sum_{\mu=1}^{d} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_{p\mu}^2} \\ & + (1 - |x|^2) \sum_{\substack{p \neq q \\ 1 \leq \mu, \nu \leq d}} s(p, \mu, q, \nu) A_{pqm(p, \mu, q, \nu)} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_{p\mu} \partial x_{q\nu}} \\ & + 2(d-2)(1 - |x|^2) \sum_{\substack{1 \leq p \leq n \\ 1 \leq \mu \leq d}} x_{p\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{p\mu}} \,, \end{split}$$

where  $m(p, \mu, q, \nu) = 1, \dots, d$  and  $s(p, \mu, q, \nu) = 1, -1$ . Since F satisfies (a) and (b), we obtain

$$8\rho^{2}F^{2} = 2(1 - |x|^{2}) \sum_{p=1}^{n} (1 - |x_{p}|^{2}) \sum_{\mu=1}^{d} \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{p\mu}}\right)^{2} + 2(1 - |x|^{2}) \sum_{\substack{p \neq q \\ 1 \leq \mu, \nu \leq d}} s(p, \mu, q, \nu) A_{pqm(p, \mu, q, \nu)} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{p\mu}} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{q\nu}}.$$
 (1.1)

Applying the differential operator  $\partial^{l-1}/\partial x_{11}^{l-1}$  to (1.1), we put x=0. Then we see that

$$8\rho^{2} \sum_{k=0}^{l-1} {l-1 \choose k} \frac{\partial^{l-1-k}F}{\partial x_{11}^{l-1-k}}(0) \frac{\partial^{k}F}{\partial x_{11}^{k}}(0)$$

$$= 2 \sum_{\substack{1 \leq p \leq n \\ 1 \leq \mu \leq d}} \sum_{\substack{\alpha+\beta+\gamma \\ =l-1}} \frac{(l-1)!}{\alpha!\beta!\gamma!} \left[ \frac{\partial^{\alpha}}{\partial x_{11}^{\alpha}} (1-|x|^{2}) \frac{\partial^{\beta}}{\partial x_{11}^{\beta}} (1-|x_{p}|^{2}) \right]_{x=0}$$

$$\cdot \left( \sum_{q=0}^{\gamma} {\gamma \choose q} \frac{\partial^{\gamma+1-q}F}{\partial x_{p\mu}\partial x_{11}^{\gamma-q}}(0) \frac{\partial^{q+1}F}{\partial x_{p\mu}\partial x_{11}^{q}}(0) \right).$$

This implies our first assertion (1).

We now prove (2) by the induction with respect to l. Applying the differential operator  $\partial/\partial x_{r\lambda}$   $((r,\lambda)\neq(1,1))$  to (1.1), we put x=0. Then we have

$$16\rho^2 F(0) \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{r\lambda}}(0) = 4\sum_{p,\mu} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{p\mu}}(0) \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_{r\lambda}\partial x_{p\mu}}(0) = 4\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_{r\lambda}\partial x_{11}}(0).$$

Therefore

$$\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_{r\lambda} \partial x_{11}}(0) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad (r, \lambda) \neq (1, 1).$$

Let  $l \ge 3$  and we suppose that

$$\frac{\partial^m F}{\partial x_{r\lambda} \partial x_{11}^{m-1}}(0) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad (r, \lambda) \neq (1, 1), \quad 2 \leq m \leq l-1.$$

Applying the differential operator  $\partial^{l-1}/\partial x_{r\lambda}\partial x_{11}^{l-2}$  ((r,  $\lambda$ )  $\neq$  (1, 1)) to (1.1), we put x=0. Then we have

$$\frac{\partial^l F}{\partial x_{r\lambda} \partial x_{11}^{l-1}}(0) = 0.$$

Thus our assertion (2) is true. Finally, it follows from the analyticity of F that  $F(x_{11}, 0) = P(x_{11}, 0)$ .

Let  $\hat{K}$  denote the set of equivalence classes of finite dimensional unitary irreducible representations of K. For  $(\tau, V_{\tau}) \in \hat{K}$   $(V_{\tau}$  is the representation space of  $\tau$ ), we denote by  $V_{\tau}^{M}$  the space of M-fixed vectors in  $V_{\tau}$ . And we set  $\bar{A}_{+} = \{a_{t} \mid t \geq 0\}$  with

$$a_{t} = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh t & \sinh t & 0 \\ \sinh t & \cosh t & \\ 0 & I_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then we have the Cartan decomposition  $G = K\overline{A}_+K$ .

LEMMA 2. Suppose that F satisfies the assumptions of Problem 2.

(1) (Helgason) The following equality holds for  $t \ge 0$ :

$$\int_{K} F^{2}(ka_{t}O)dk = \int_{K} P^{2}(ka_{t}O, e_{1})dk,$$

where dk is the normalized Haar measure on K.

(2) (Helgason) For  $(\tau, V_{\tau}) \in \hat{K}$  which satisfies dim  $V_{\tau}^{M} = 1$ , there exists a constant  $\alpha \in C$  such that

$$\int_{K} \overline{\varphi_{\tau}(k)} F(ka_{t}O) dk = \alpha_{\tau} \int_{K} \overline{\varphi_{\tau}(k)} P(ka_{t}O, e_{1}) dk \qquad (t \ge 0)$$

where  $\varphi_{\tau}(k) = \overline{(\tau(k)e_{M}, e_{M})}$  and  $e_{M}$  is the normalized vector in  $V_{\tau}^{M}$ .

(3) For  $0 \le r < 1$ ,

$$\sum_{\tau \in \hat{R}_{M}} \alpha_{\tau} d_{\tau} \int_{K} \overline{\varphi_{\tau}(k)} P(rke_{1}, e_{1}) dk$$

$$= \sum_{\tau \in \hat{R}_{M}} d_{\tau} \int_{K} \overline{\varphi_{\tau}(k)} P(rke_{1}, e_{1}) dk ,$$

where  $\hat{K}_{\mathbf{M}}$  is the set of elements  $(\tau, V_{\tau}) \in \hat{K}$  which satisfy  $\dim V_{\tau}^{\mathbf{M}} = 1$  and  $d_{\tau} = \dim V_{\tau}$ . And the series' of both sides absolutely converge.

PROOF. (1) and (2) are proved in [3], [4] and [5].

Proof of (3). We set

$$G(x) = \int_{M} F(mx)dm ,$$

where dm is the normalized Haar measure on M. Since the function  $k \mapsto G(ka_tO)$  is M bi-invariant and

$$\int_{K} \overline{\varphi_{\tau}(k)} F(ka_{t}O) dk = \int_{K} \overline{\varphi_{\tau}(k)} G(ka_{t}O) dk ,$$

we have the following Fourier expansion for  $k \mapsto G(ka_tO)$ :

$$G(ka_tO) = \sum_{\tau \in \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_M} d_{\tau} \left[ \int_K \overline{\varphi_{\tau}(k')} G(k'a_tO) dk' \right] \varphi_{\tau}(k)$$

$$\begin{split} &= \sum_{\tau \in \hat{\mathbf{R}}_{M}} d_{\tau} \left[ \int_{K} \overline{\varphi_{\tau}(k')} F(k'a_{t}O) dk' \right] \varphi_{\tau}(k) \\ &= \sum_{\tau \in \hat{\mathbf{R}}_{M}} \alpha_{\tau} d_{\tau} \left[ \int_{K} \overline{\varphi_{\tau}(k')} P(k'a_{t}O, e_{1}) dk' \right] \varphi_{\tau}(k) . \end{split}$$

In particular,

$$G(a_tO) = \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{R}_M} \alpha_{\tau} d_{\tau} \int_K \overline{\varphi_{\tau}(k)} P(ka_tO, e_1) dk.$$

Similarly, we see that

$$P(a_tO, e_1) = \sum_{\tau \in \hat{R}_M} d_{\tau} \int_K \overline{\varphi_{\tau}(k)} P(ka_tO, e_1) dk .$$

On the other hand, it follows from Lemma 1 and  $a_tO = \tanh te_1$  that  $G(a_tO) = P(a_tO, e_1)$ . Thus we can obtain our assertion.

## 2. A new proof for the real case of Problem 2.

Let F = R. We define the functions  $c_l$  by

$$P(rb, e_1) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} c_l(b)r^l, \quad 0 \le r < 1, \quad b \in S(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Then we see that

$$\begin{split} c_{l}(b) &= \frac{1}{l!} \left[ \frac{\partial^{l}}{\partial r^{l}} P(rb, e_{1}) \right]_{r=0} \\ &= \frac{1}{l!} \left[ \frac{\partial^{l}}{\partial r^{l}} H(rb_{1}, r) \right]_{r=0} \\ &= \frac{1}{l!} \sum_{q=0}^{\lfloor l/2 \rfloor} {l \choose 2q} \frac{\partial^{l} H}{\partial \xi^{l-2q} \partial \eta^{2q}} (0) b_{1}^{l-2q}, \end{split}$$

where

$$H(\xi,\eta) = \left(\frac{1-\eta^2}{|1-\xi|^2}\right)^{\rho}$$
.

By the way, for  $(\tau, V_{\tau}) \in \hat{K}$  which satisfies dim  $V_{\tau}^{M} = 1$ , there uniquely exists a non-negative integer p such that

$$\varphi_{\mathbf{r}}(k) = C_p^{(n-2)/2}(b_1)/C_p^{(n-2)/2}(1)$$
,  $b = ke_1$ .

And we have

$$\int_{S(\mathbb{R}^n)} C_p^{(n-2)/2}(b_1) c_m(b) d\sigma_n(b) = 0 \qquad (m < p) ,$$

where  $d\sigma_n$  is the normalized element of surface area on  $S(\mathbf{R}^n)$ . So we obtain that

$$\int_{K} \varphi_{\tau}(k) P(rke_{1}, e_{1}) dk = \left[ C_{p}^{(n-2)/2}(1) \right]^{-1} \sum_{l=p}^{\infty} r^{l} \int_{S(\mathbb{R}^{n})} C_{p}^{(n-2)/2}(b_{1}) c_{l}(b) d\sigma_{n}(b) .$$

We remark that

$$\int_{S(\mathbb{R}^n)} C_p^{(n-2)/2}(b_1) c_p(b) d\sigma_n(b) \neq 0.$$

Putting  $\alpha_{\tau} = \alpha_{p}$  and  $d_{\tau} = d_{p}$ , Lemma 2 (3) can be stated as follows.

$$\begin{split} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \alpha_p d_p \big[ C_p^{(n-2)/2}(1) \big]^{-1} \sum_{l=p}^{\infty} r^l \int_{S(\mathbb{R}^n)} C_p^{(n-2)/2}(b_1) c_l(b) d\sigma_n(b) \\ &= \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} d_p \big[ C_p^{(n-2)/2}(1) \big]^{-1} \sum_{l=p}^{\infty} r^l \int_{S(\mathbb{R}^n)} C_p^{(n-2)/2}(b_1) c_l(b) d\sigma_n(b) \; . \end{split}$$

Comparing the coefficients of  $r^{l}$  ( $l \ge 0$ ) in both sides, we can conclude that  $\alpha_{p} = 1$  for all  $p \ge 0$ . Thus we have

$$\int_{K} \varphi_{\tau}(k) F(ka_{t}O) dk = \int_{K} \varphi_{\tau}(k) P(ka_{t}O, e_{1}) dk ,$$

for  $(\tau, V_{\tau}) \in \hat{K}$  which satisfies dim  $V_{\tau}^{M} = 1$ . On the other hand, by Lemma 2 (1),

$$\int_{K} F^{2}(ka_{t}O)dk = \int_{K} P^{2}(ka_{t}O, e_{1})dk.$$

These facts imply that  $F(ka_tO) = P(ka_tO, e_1)$  for  $k \in K$  and  $t \ge 0$ , that is to say  $F(x) = P(x, e_1)$ . This completes the proof for the real case of Problem 2.

#### References

- [1] M. CHIPOT, P. EYMARD and T. TAHANI, Sur les fonctions propres de l'opérateur de Laplace-Beltrami dont le carré est fonction propre, Symposia Math. 29 (1988), 111-129.
- [2] S. HELGASON, Differential Geometry and Symmetric Spaces, Academic Press (1962).
- [3] S. Helgason, A duality for symmetric spaces with applications to group representations, Adv. Math. 5 (1970), 1-154.
- [4] S. Helgason, Eigenspaces of the Laplacian, integral representations and irreducibility, J. Funct. Anal. 17 (1974), 328-353.
- [5] T. KAWAZOE and T. TAHANI, A characterization of the Poisson kernel associated with SU(1, n), Tokyo J. Math. 11 (1988), 37-55.

Present Address:

CENTER FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, THE UNIVERSITY OF AIZU, TSURUGA, IKKI-MACHI, AIZU-WAKAMATSU CITY, FUKUSHIMA, 965–80 JAPAN.