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#### Abstract

Khoury solved Nowicki's conjecture on the kernel of an elementary derivation of a polynomial ring using Gröbner basis theory. In this paper, we give a simple new proof of the conjecture.


## 1. Introduction

Let $A[\mathbf{x}]=A\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ be the polynomial ring in $n$ variables over an integral domain $A$ for $n \in \mathbf{N}$, and $D$ an $A$-derivation of $A[\mathbf{x}]$, i.e., an $A$-linear map $D: A[\mathbf{x}] \rightarrow A[\mathbf{x}]$ satisfying $D(f g)=D(f) g+f D(g)$ for each $f, g \in A[\mathbf{x}]$. We say that $D$ is elementary if $D\left(x_{i}\right)$ belongs to $A$ for each $i$. Then, the kernel $\operatorname{ker} D$ of $D$ is an $A$-subalgebra of $A[\mathbf{x}]$ containing

$$
L_{i, j}^{D}:=D\left(x_{j}\right) x_{i}-D\left(x_{i}\right) x_{j} \quad \text { for each } i, j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}
$$

In general, it is difficult to determine the structure of $\operatorname{ker} D$. The problem of finite generation of ker $D$ is a special case of the Fourteenth Problem of Hilbert when $A$ is a polynomial ring over a field. This problem was settled in the negative by Nagata [11], while Roberts [13] gave a new type of counterexample obtained as the kernel of an elementary derivation (see [7] and [9] for generalizations of Roberts' counterexample). For a certain elementary derivation $D$, Kurano [8, Proposition 3.1] found a finite set of generators of ker $D$, which cannot be generated by $L_{i, j}^{D}$ 's (see also [3] and [5] for affirmative results).

Recently, Khoury [6] solved the following conjecture of Nowicki in the affirmative by calculating a Gröbner basis for some ideal.

Conjecture (Nowicki [12, Conjecture 6.9.10]). Assume that $k[\mathbf{y}]=k\left[y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right]$ is the polynomial ring in $n$ variables over a field $k$ of characteristic zero. If $\Delta_{n}$ is the $k[\mathbf{y}]-$ derivation of $k[\mathbf{y}][\mathbf{x}]$ defined by $\Delta_{n}\left(x_{i}\right)=y_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$, then $\operatorname{ker} \Delta_{n}$ is generated by $L_{i, j}^{\Delta n}$ for $1 \leq i<j \leq n$ over $k[\mathbf{y}]$.

[^0]Khoury's Gröbner basis consists of several families of polynomials, and he checked many cases to show that all the S-polynomials are reduced to zero. The aim of this paper is to give a simple new proof of Nowicki's conjecture by a method similar to that used in the proof of Kurano [8, Proposition 3.1].

For each $A$-domain $B$ and an elementary $A$-derivation $D$ of $A[\mathbf{x}]$, the $B$-derivation $D_{B}:=\operatorname{id}_{B} \otimes D$ of $B \otimes_{A} A[\mathbf{x}]=B\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ is elementary. Moreover, if $B$ is flat over $A$, then $\operatorname{ker} D_{B}=B \otimes_{A} \operatorname{ker} D$. Therefore, the result on ker $\Delta_{n}$ implies the following theorem.

ThEOREM. Let A be an integral domain containing a field $k$ of characteristic zero, and let $D$ be an elementary $A$-derivation of $A[\mathbf{x}]$ such that $A$ is flat over $k\left[D\left(x_{1}\right), \ldots, D\left(x_{n}\right)\right]$ and $D\left(x_{1}\right), \ldots, D\left(x_{n}\right)$ are algebraically independent over $k$. Then, $\operatorname{ker} D$ is generated by $L_{i, j}^{D}$ for $1 \leq i<j \leq n$ over $A$.

Actually, $D$ induces an elementary $R$-derivation $D^{\prime}$ of $R\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$, for which ker $D=$ $A \otimes_{R} \operatorname{ker} D^{\prime}$, where $R=k\left[D\left(x_{1}\right), \ldots, D\left(x_{n}\right)\right] \simeq k[\mathbf{y}]$. We note that Khoury [6, Theorem 1.1] showed that ker $D$ is generated by $L_{i, j}^{D}$ for $1 \leq i<j \leq n$ over $k[\mathbf{y}]$ for the $k[\mathbf{y}]$-derivation $D$ of $k[\mathbf{y}][\mathbf{x}]$ defined by $D\left(x_{i}\right)=y_{i}^{t_{i}}$ with $t_{i} \in \mathbf{N}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$. In this case, $y_{1}^{t_{1}}, \ldots, y_{n}^{t_{n}}$ are algebraically independent over $k$, and $k[\mathbf{y}]$ is free over $k\left[y_{1}^{t_{1}}, \ldots, y_{n}^{t_{n}}\right]$.

## 2. Proof of the conjecture

We prove the conjecture by induction on $n$. The assertion is clear when $n=1$. Assume that $n \geq 2$, and let $S_{l}$ be the set of $L_{i, j}:=L_{i, j}^{\Delta_{n}}$ for $1 \leq i<j \leq l$ for each $l \leq n$. By the assumption on induction, $\operatorname{ker} \Delta_{n-1}$ is generated by $S_{n-1}$ over $k\left[\mathbf{y}^{\prime}\right]:=k\left[y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n-1}\right]$, since $L_{i, j}^{\Delta_{n-1}}=L_{i, j}^{\Delta_{n}}$ for each $i, j$. As discussed in Section 1, the $k\left[\mathbf{y}^{\prime}\right]$-derivation $\Delta_{n-1}$ naturally extends to a $k[\mathbf{y}]$-derivation $\left(\Delta_{n-1}\right)_{k[\mathbf{y}]}$ of $k[\mathbf{y}]\left[\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right]:=k[\mathbf{y}]\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right]$. Then, $\left(\Delta_{n-1}\right)_{k[\mathbf{y}]}=\left.\Delta_{n}\right|_{k[\mathbf{y}]\left[\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right]}$, so we have $\operatorname{ker}\left(\Delta_{n-1}\right)_{k[\mathbf{y}]}=k[\mathbf{y}]\left[\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right] \cap \operatorname{ker} \Delta_{n}$. Moreover, $\operatorname{ker}\left(\Delta_{n-1}\right)_{k[\mathbf{y}]}=k[\mathbf{y}] \otimes_{k\left[\mathbf{y}^{\prime}\right]} \operatorname{ker} \Delta_{n-1}$, since $k[\mathbf{y}]$ is flat over $k\left[\mathbf{y}^{\prime}\right]$. Thus, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
k[\mathbf{y}]\left[\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right] \cap \operatorname{ker} \Delta_{n}=k[\mathbf{y}]\left[S_{n-1}\right] \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mathbf{e}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_{n}$ be the coordinate unit vectors of $\mathbf{R}^{n}, M$ the $\mathbf{Z}$-submodule of $\left(\mathbf{Z}^{n}\right)^{2}$ generated by $\left(\mathbf{e}_{j}-\mathbf{e}_{i}, \mathbf{e}_{i}-\mathbf{e}_{j}\right)$ for $1 \leq i<j \leq n$, and $\Gamma=\left(\mathbf{Z}^{n}\right)^{2} / M$. Then, $\Gamma$-gradings are defined on $k[\mathbf{y}][\mathbf{x}]$ and $k\left[\mathbf{y}^{ \pm 1}\right][\mathbf{x}]:=k[\mathbf{y}][\mathbf{x}]\left[\left(y_{1} \cdots y_{n}\right)^{-1}\right]$ as follows. Here, a $k$-algebra $R$ is said to be $\Gamma$-graded if there exists a $k$-vector subspace $R_{\gamma}$ of $R$ for each $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $R=\bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} R_{\gamma}$ and $R_{\gamma} R_{\mu} \subset R_{\gamma+\mu}$ for $\gamma, \mu \in \Gamma$. Let $\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$ denote the set of nonnegative integers, and $\mathbf{y}^{a}=y_{1}^{a_{1}} \cdots y_{n}^{a_{n}}$ and $\mathbf{x}^{b}=x_{1}^{b_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{b_{n}}$ for $a=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ and $b=\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)$. For each $\gamma \in \Gamma$, we define $k[\mathbf{y}][\mathbf{x}]_{\gamma}$ (resp. $k\left[\mathbf{y}^{ \pm 1}\right][\mathbf{x}]_{\gamma}$ ) to be the $k$-vector space generated by $\mathbf{y}^{a} \mathbf{x}^{b}$ for $a, b \in\left(\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}\right)^{n}$ (resp. $a \in \mathbf{Z}^{n}, b \in\left(\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}\right)^{n}$ ) such that the image of $(a, b)$ in $\Gamma$ is equal to $\gamma$. Then, $\Gamma$-gradings are defined on $k[\mathbf{y}][\mathbf{x}]$ and $k\left[\mathbf{y}^{ \pm 1}\right][\mathbf{x}]$. Note that $\Delta_{n}\left(k[\mathbf{y}][\mathbf{x}]_{\gamma}\right)$ is contained in $k[\mathbf{y}][\mathbf{x}]_{\gamma-\delta}$ for each $\gamma \in \Gamma$, where $\delta$ is the image of $\left(-\mathbf{e}_{n}, \mathbf{e}_{n}\right)$ in $\Gamma$. From this,
we know that

$$
\operatorname{ker} \Delta_{n}=\bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma}\left(k[\mathbf{y}][\mathbf{x}]_{\gamma} \cap \operatorname{ker} \Delta_{n}\right)
$$

Hence, we are reduced to showing that each $0 \neq \Phi \in k[\mathbf{y}][\mathbf{x}]_{\gamma} \cap$ ker $\Delta_{n}$ belongs to $k[\mathbf{y}]\left[S_{n}\right]$ for $\gamma \in \Gamma$. We may find $a=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \in \mathbf{Z}^{n}$ and $l \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that the image of $\left(a, l \mathbf{e}_{n}\right)$ in $\Gamma$ is equal to $\gamma$. Let $m$ be the $x_{n}$-degree of $\Phi$, where $0 \leq m \leq l$, and $\phi \in k[\mathbf{y}]\left[\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right]$ the coefficient of $x_{n}^{m}$ in $\Phi$. Then, $\phi$ belongs to $k[\mathbf{y}][\mathbf{x}]_{\mu}$, where $\mu$ is the image of $\left(a,(l-m) \mathbf{e}_{n}\right)$ in $\Gamma$. Furthermore, $0=\Delta_{n}(\Phi)=\Delta_{n}(\phi) x_{n}^{m}+m \phi y_{n} x_{n}^{m-1}+\Delta_{n}\left(\Phi-\phi x_{n}^{m}\right)$, and the $x_{n}$-degrees of $m \phi y_{n} x_{n}^{m-1}$ and $\Delta_{n}\left(\Phi-\phi x_{n}^{m}\right)$ are at most $m-1$. Hence, $\Delta_{n}(\phi)=0$. Thus, $\phi$ belongs to $k[\mathbf{y}]\left[S_{n-1}\right]$ by (1). Write $\phi=\sum_{b, \mathbf{u}} r_{b, \mathbf{u}}^{\prime} \mathbf{y}^{b} \hat{\mathbf{y}}^{-\mathbf{u}} L^{\mathbf{u}}$, where the sum is taken over $b \in\left(\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}\right)^{n}$ and $\mathbf{u}=\left(u_{i, j}\right)_{i, j}$ with $u_{i, j} \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$ for $1 \leq i<j \leq n-1, r_{b, \mathbf{u}}^{\prime} \in k$ for each $b$ and $\mathbf{u}$, and

$$
\hat{\mathbf{y}}^{-\mathbf{u}}=\prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq n-1}\left(y_{i} y_{j}\right)^{-u_{i, j}}, \quad L^{\mathbf{u}}=\prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq n-1} L_{i, j}^{u_{i, j}} \quad \text { for each } \mathbf{u} .
$$

We may assume that $r_{b, \mathbf{u}}^{\prime}=0$ if $\mathbf{y}^{b} \hat{\mathbf{y}}^{-\mathbf{u}}$ is not in $k[\mathbf{y}]$. Let $\eta(b, \mathbf{u})$ be the image of $(b-$ $\left.|\mathbf{u}| \mathbf{e}_{n},|\mathbf{u}| \mathbf{e}_{n}\right)$ in $\Gamma$, where $|\mathbf{u}|=\sum_{i, j} u_{i, j}$. Then, $\mathbf{y}^{b} \hat{\mathbf{y}}^{-\mathbf{u}} L^{\mathbf{u}}$ belongs to $k\left[\mathbf{y}^{ \pm 1}\right][\mathbf{x}]_{\eta(b, \mathbf{u})}$ for each $b$ and $\mathbf{u}$, since $\left(y_{i} y_{j}\right)^{-1} L_{i, j}$ belongs to $k\left[\mathbf{y}^{ \pm 1}\right][\mathbf{x}]_{\delta}$ for each $i, j$. Since $\phi$ is in $k[\mathbf{y}][\mathbf{x}]_{\mu}$, and $\mu$ is the image of $\left(a,(l-m) \mathbf{e}_{n}\right)$, we may assume that $r_{b, \mathbf{u}}^{\prime}=0$ unless $|\mathbf{u}|=l-m$ and $b=a+(l-m) \mathbf{e}_{n}$. For each $\mathbf{u}$ with $r_{\mathbf{u}}:=r_{a+(l-m) \mathbf{e}_{n}, \mathbf{u}}^{\prime} \neq 0$, write $\mathbf{y}^{a} y_{n}^{l-m} \hat{\mathbf{y}}^{-\mathbf{u}}=$ $y_{1}^{\rho_{1}(\mathbf{u})} \cdots y_{n-1}^{\rho_{n-1}(\mathbf{u})} y_{n}^{s}$, where $\rho_{i}(\mathbf{u}) \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n-1$, and $s=a_{n}+l-m$. Then, we have $\phi=y_{n}^{s} \sum_{\mathbf{u}} r_{\mathbf{u}} y_{1}^{\rho_{1}(\mathbf{u})} \cdots y_{n-1}^{\rho_{n-1}(\mathbf{u})} L^{\mathbf{u}}$. Since $|\mathbf{u}|=l-m$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \rho_{i}(\mathbf{u})=\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_{i}-2(l-m) \quad \text { for each } \mathbf{u} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we show that $\Phi$ belongs to $k[\mathbf{y}]\left[S_{n}\right]$ by contradiction. By replacing $\Phi$ if necessary, we may assume that $m$ is the minimum among the $x_{n}$-degrees of elements of ker $\Delta_{n} \backslash k[\mathbf{y}]\left[S_{n}\right]$. To obtain a contradiction, it suffices to deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
m \geq 2 l-\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_{i} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, (3) implies that $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \rho_{i}(\mathbf{u}) \geq m$ by (2), so we have $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \rho_{i}^{\prime}(\mathbf{u})=m$ for some integers $0 \leq \rho_{i}^{\prime}(\mathbf{u}) \leq \rho_{i}(\mathbf{u})$ for $i=1, \ldots, n-1$ for each $\mathbf{u}$. Then,

$$
\Phi^{\prime}:=y_{n}^{s} \sum_{\mathbf{u}} r_{\mathbf{u}} L^{\mathbf{u}} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} y_{i}^{\rho_{i}(\mathbf{u})-\rho_{i}^{\prime}(\mathbf{u})} L_{n, i}^{\rho_{i}^{\prime}(\mathbf{u})}=y_{n}^{s} \sum_{\mathbf{u}} r_{\mathbf{u}} L^{\mathbf{u}} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} y_{i}^{\rho_{i}(\mathbf{u})-\rho_{i}^{\prime}(\mathbf{u})}\left(y_{i} x_{n}-y_{n} x_{i}\right)^{\rho_{i}^{\prime}(\mathbf{u})}
$$

is an element of $k[\mathbf{y}]\left[S_{n}\right]$ having $x_{n}$-degree $m$, in which the coefficient of $x_{n}^{m}$ is equal to $\phi$. Hence, the $x_{n}$-degree of $\Phi-\Phi^{\prime}$ is less than $m$. Since $\Phi-\Phi^{\prime}$ is an element of ker $\Delta_{n} \backslash k[\mathbf{y}]\left[S_{n}\right]$, this contradicts the minimality of $m$.

We establish that (3) holds for any nonzero homogeneous element $\Phi$ of ker $\Delta_{n}$ by contradiction. Take $\Phi$ which does not satisfy (3) so that $m$ would be the minimum among the $x_{n}$-degrees of such polynomials. Then, $t:=2 l-\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_{i}-m$ is positive, and $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \rho_{i}(\mathbf{u})=m-t$ for each $\mathbf{u}$ by (2). Hence, the $x_{n}$-degree of

$$
\Phi_{1}:=\sum_{\mathbf{u}} r_{\mathbf{u}} L^{\mathbf{u}} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} L_{n, i}^{\rho_{i}(\mathbf{u})}=\sum_{u} r_{\mathbf{u}} L^{\mathbf{u}} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1}\left(y_{i} x_{n}-y_{n} x_{i}\right)^{\rho_{i}(\mathbf{u})}
$$

is $m-t$. The coefficient of $x_{n}^{m-t}$ in $y_{n}^{s} \Phi_{1}$ is equal to $\phi$, so the coefficient of $x_{n}^{m}$ in $y_{n}^{s} \Phi_{1} L_{n, 1}^{t}$ is equal to that in $y_{1}^{t} \Phi$. Consequently, the $x_{n}$-degree $m^{\prime}$ of $\Phi_{2}:=y_{1}^{t} \Phi-y_{n}^{s} \Phi_{1} L_{n, 1}^{t}$ is less than $m$. We claim that $\Phi_{2}=0$. In fact, if $\gamma^{\prime}$ is the image of $\left(a+t \mathbf{e}_{1}, l \mathbf{e}_{n}\right)$ in $\Gamma$, and $\left(a_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, a_{n}^{\prime}\right):=a+t \mathbf{e}_{1}$, then $\Phi_{2}$ belongs to $k[\mathbf{y}][\mathbf{x}]_{\gamma^{\prime}} \cap \operatorname{ker} \Delta_{n}$, and

$$
2 l-\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_{i}^{\prime}=2 l-\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_{i}-t=m>m^{\prime}
$$

This implies that $\Phi_{2}=0$ by the minimality of $m$. Hence, $y_{1}^{t} \Phi=y_{n}^{s} \Phi_{1} L_{n, 1}^{t}$. Thus, $\Phi_{1}$ is divisible by $y_{1}$, since neither are $y_{n}$ and $L_{n, 1}$. Recall that the kernel of a locally nilpotent derivation $D$ of an integral domain $R$ containing $\mathbf{Q}$ is factorially closed in $R$, that is, $D(f g)=$ 0 implies $D(f)=D(g)=0$ for each $f, g \in R \backslash\{0\}$ (cf. [2, Proposition 1.3.32 (iii)]). Note that $\Delta_{n}$ is locally nilpotent, $\Delta_{n}\left(\Phi_{1}\right)=0, \Phi_{1} \neq 0$ and $\Delta_{n}\left(x_{n}\right) \neq 0$. Hence, $\Phi_{1}$ is not divisible by $x_{n}$. By substituting zero for $x_{n}$, we obtain from $\Phi_{1}$ a nonzero polynomial

$$
\sum_{\mathbf{u}} r_{\mathbf{u}} L^{\mathbf{u}} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1}\left(-y_{n} x_{i}\right)^{\rho_{i}(\mathbf{u})}=\left(-y_{n}\right)^{m-t} \Psi, \quad \text { where } \Psi=\sum_{\mathbf{u}} r_{\mathbf{u}} L^{\mathbf{u}} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} x_{i}^{\rho_{i}(\mathbf{u})}
$$

Then, $\Psi \neq 0$, and $\Psi$ is divisible by $y_{1}$, since so is $\Phi_{1}$. Define $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}_{k} k[\mathbf{y}][\mathbf{x}]$ by $\sigma\left(x_{i}\right)=$ $y_{i}$ and $\sigma\left(y_{i}\right)=x_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$. Then, $\sigma(\Psi)$ is divisible by $x_{1}$. On the other hand, $\sigma\left(L_{i, j}\right)=L_{j, i}$ and $\sigma\left(x_{i}\right)=y_{i}$ are in ker $\Delta_{n}$ for each $i, j$, so $\sigma(\Psi)$ belongs to ker $\Delta_{n}$. Thus, we have $\sigma(\Psi)=0$, because $x_{1}$ is not in $\operatorname{ker} \Delta_{n}$ and $\operatorname{ker} \Delta_{n}$ is factorially closed in $k[\mathbf{y}][\mathbf{x}]$. This contradicts that $\Psi \neq 0$. Therefore, (3) holds true. Thereby, we have proved that $\Phi$ belongs to $k[\mathbf{y}]\left[S_{n}\right]$. This completes the proof of the conjecture.
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Note. Recently, Drensky-Makar-Limanov [1] independently gave a simple proof of Nowicki's conjecture. Very recently, Professor Mitsuyasu Hashimoto informed the author
that Goto-Hayasaka-Kurano-Nakamura [4, Theorem 3.2] and Miyazaki [10, Theorem 3.7] also gave results which imply that Nowicki's conjecture is true. Actually, ker $\Delta$ is equal to the invariant subring for the $G_{a}$-action on $k[\mathbf{y}][\mathbf{x}]$ defined by $y_{i} \mapsto y_{i}$ and $x_{i} \mapsto x_{i}+t y_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$ for each $t \in G_{a}$. On the other hand, Goto-Hayasaka-Kurano-Nakamura and Miyazaki determined sets of generators for certain invariant rings where ker $\Delta$ is included.

The author would like to thank Professor Hashimoto for the information.

## References

[ 1] V. Drensky and L. Makar-Limanov, The conjecture of Nowicki on Weitzenboeck derivations of polynomial algebras, arXiv:AC/0804.2933.
[2] A. VAN DEN EsSEn, Polynomial automorphisms and the Jacobian conjecture, Progress in Mathematics, Vol. 190, Birkhäuser, Basel, Boston, Berlin, 2000.
[3] A. VAN DEN ESSEN and T. JANSSEN, The kernels of elementary derivations, University of Nijmegen, Report No. 9548, Nijmegen, The Netherlands, 1995.
[4] S. Goto, F. Hayasaka, K. Kurano and Y. Nakamura, Rees algebra of the second syzygy module of the residue field of a regular local ring, Contemp. Math. Vol. 390 (2005), 97-108.
[5] J. Khoury, On some properties of elementary monomial derivations in dimension six, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 156 (2001), 69-79.
[6] J. Khoury, On a Conjecture of Nowicki, preprint 2006.
[ 7 ] H. Kojima and M. Miyanishi, On Roberts' counterexample to the fourteenth problem of Hilbert, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 122 (1997), 277-292.
[8] K. Kurano, Positive characteristic finite generation of symbolic Rees algebra and Roberts' counterexamples to the fourteenth problem of Hilbert, Tokyo J. Math. 16 (1993), 473-496.
[9] S. KURODA, A generalization of Roberts' counterexample to the fourteenth problem of Hilbert, Tohoku Math. J. 56 (2004), 501-522.
[10] M. Miyazaki, Invariants of the unipotent radical of a Borel subgroup, Proceedings of the 29th Symposium on Commutative Algebra in Japan, Nagoya, Japan November 19-22, 2007, 43-50.
[11] M. Nagata, On the fourteenth problem of Hilbert, in Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, 1958, Cambridge Univ. Press, London, New York, 1960, 459-462.
[12] A. Nowicki, Polynomial derivations and their rings of constants, Uniwersytet Mikolaja Kopernika, Torun, 1994.
[13] P. Roberts, An infinitely generated symbolic blow-up in a power series ring and a new counterexample to Hilbert's fourteenth problem, J. Algebra 132 (1990), 461-473.

Present Address:
Department of Mathematics and Information Sciences,
Tokyo Metropolitan University,
Minami-Osawa, Hachioji, Tokyo, 192-0397 Japan.
e-mail: kuroda@tmu.ac.jp


[^0]:    Received April 18, 2008
    2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 13N15 (Primary), 13E15, 13A50 (Secondary)
    Key words and phrases: Derivations, The Fourteenth Problem of Hilbert
    Partly supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (Start-up) 19840041, The Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, Japan.

