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## Introduction

In this paper, we investigate how to construct imaginary cyclic fields of degree $p-1$ whose Sylow $p$-subgroups of the ideal class groups are not cyclic. This paper supplements our previous paper [6].

For a given integer $n$, Yamamoto [10] proved that there exist infinitely many imaginary quadratic fields whose ideal class groups contain $(\mathbf{Z} / n \mathbf{Z})^{2}$ as a subgroup. Moreover, Nakano [7] proved that there exist infinitely many algebraic number fields $K$ of fixed degree $m=$ $r_{1}+2 r_{2}$ whose ideal class groups contain $(\mathbf{Z} / n \mathbf{Z})^{r_{2}+1}$ as a subgroup, where $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ denote the number of real and imaginary embeddings of $K$ into $\mathbf{C}$, respectively. (Other related papers are those by Ishida [5] and Azuhata and Ichimura [1].) In the present paper, we give another way to construct imaginary cyclic fields of degree $p-1$ whose ideal class groups contain $(\mathbf{Z} / p \mathbf{Z})^{2}$ as a subgroup for a given prime $p$ with $p \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$.

Let $p$ be a fixed odd prime. Let $\zeta$ be a primitive $p$-th root of unity and put $\omega:=\zeta+\zeta^{-1}$. In Section 1, we give a sufficient condition for an imaginary cyclic field of degree $p-1$ containing $\mathbf{Q}(\omega)$ to have class number divisible by $p$ (Theorem 1.1). We can easily verify whether our condition holds for given imaginary cyclic fields of degree $p-1$ or not (see Proposition 3.2). The condition which was given in our previous paper [6] is included in the present one, as we will see in (2) of Remark 1.2. In Section 2, there are two goals. The first is to prove Theorem 1.1. The second is to give infinitely many imaginary quadratic fields of degree $p-1$ containing $\mathbf{Q}(\omega)$ whose class numbers are divisible by $p$. This gives another proof of [6, Theorem 2]. In Section 3, we construct imaginary cyclic fields of degree $p-1$ which have ideal class groups of $p$-ranks greater than one in the case of $p \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$. Moreover we give a parametric family of such fields (Example 3.5). Unfortunately, the author has not yet determined whether this family is infinite.

[^0]
## 1. The main theorem

Let $p$ be a fixed odd prime. Let $\zeta$ be a primitive $p$-th root of unity and put $\omega:=\zeta+\zeta^{-1}$. Let $M(\neq \mathbf{Q}(\zeta))$ be an imaginary cyclic field of degree $p-1$ which contains $\mathbf{Q}(\omega)$. Assume that $p$ satisfies $p \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$. Then $M(\zeta)$ has exactly two real quadratic subfields which are not contained in $M$. We denote them by $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$. Assume, on the contrary, that $p$ satisfies $p \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$. Then there is only one real quadratic subfield of $M(\zeta)$ which is not contained in $M$. We denote it by $k_{3}$. (See Figure 1.)

Conversely, for a real quadratic field $k$ with $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta) \cap k=\mathbf{Q}$, the imaginary cyclic subfield $M$ of degree $p-1$ in $k(\zeta)$ is uniquely determined; then we can express $M=\mathbf{Q}\left(\sqrt{d}\left(\zeta-\zeta^{-1}\right)\right)$, where $d$ is the discriminant of $k$.

For a number field $K$, let denote the norm map and the trace map of $K / \mathbf{Q}$ by $N_{K}$ and $\operatorname{Tr}_{K}$, respectively. For an element $\gamma$ of $k=k_{l}(l=1,2$, or 3$) \subset M(\zeta)$, we define a polynomial $f(X ; \gamma)$ by

$$
f(X ; \gamma):=\sum_{i=0}^{(p-1) / 2}\left(-N_{k}(\gamma)\right)^{i} \frac{p}{p-2 i}\binom{p-i-1}{i} X^{p-2 i}-N_{k}(\gamma)^{(p-1) / 2} \operatorname{Tr}_{k}(\gamma)
$$

We denote the minimal splitting field of $f(X ; \gamma)$ over $\mathbf{Q}$ by $K_{\gamma}$. Then $K_{\gamma}$ contains $M$ if $f(X ; \gamma)$ is irreducible over $\mathbf{Q}$ (cf. [4, Corollary 2.6]).

THEOREM 1.1. Let the notation be as above. Assume that there exists a unit $\varepsilon$ of $k=k_{l}(l=1,2$, or 3$)$ which satisfies the condition

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
N_{k}(\varepsilon)=1  \tag{1.1}\\
\operatorname{Tr}_{k}(\varepsilon) \equiv \pm 2\left(\bmod p^{3}\right) \\
\varepsilon \notin k^{p}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then $K_{\varepsilon}$ is an unramified cyclic extension of $M$ of degree $p$. Hence the class number of $M$ is divisible by $p$.


Figure 1

REMARK 1.2. (1) We may replace the condition (1.1) by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\operatorname{Tr}_{k}(\varepsilon)^{2} \equiv 4 N_{k}(\varepsilon)\left(\bmod p^{3}\right)  \tag{1.2}\\
\varepsilon \notin k^{p}
\end{array}\right.
$$

in the statement of Theorem 1.1. Indeed, a unit satisfying (1.1) also satisfies (1.2), and the square of a unit satisfying (1.2) satisfies (1.1).
(2) In [6], we gave another sufficient condition

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\operatorname{Tr}_{k}(\varepsilon) \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{2}\right), \\
\varepsilon \notin k^{p}
\end{array}\right.
$$

for $p$ to divide the class number of $M$. This result is included in Theorem 1.1; indeed, if a unit $\varepsilon$ satisfies (1.3), then the square $\varepsilon^{2}$ satisfies (1.1).

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Before proving Theorem 1.1, we prepare some propositions. First we extract a result from Sase [9, Proposition 2]. For a prime number $p$ and an integer $m$, we denote the greatest exponent $\mu$ of $p$ such that $p^{\mu} \mid m$ by $v_{p}(m)$.

Proposition 2.1 (Sase). Let $p$ be an odd prime number. Let $\theta$ be a root of the polynomial

$$
g(X)=X^{p}+\sum_{j=0}^{p-2} a_{j} X^{j}, \quad a_{j} \in \mathbf{Z}
$$

Suppose that $g(X)$ is irreducible over $\mathbf{Q}$ and the condition $v_{p}\left(a_{j}\right)<p-j$ holds for some $j, 0 \leq j \leq p-2$. Then $p$ is totally ramified in $\mathbf{Q}(\theta) / \mathbf{Q}$ if and only if one of the following conditions (S-i), (S-ii) holds:
(S-i) $0<\frac{v_{p}\left(a_{0}\right)}{p} \leq \frac{v_{p}\left(a_{j}\right)}{p-j} \quad$ for every $j, 1 \leq j \leq p-2$;
(S-ii) (S-ii-1) $\quad v_{p}\left(a_{0}\right)=0$,
(S-ii-2) $\quad v_{p}\left(a_{j}\right)>0 \quad$ for every $j, 1 \leq j \leq p-2$,
(S-ii-3) $\frac{v_{p}\left(g\left(-a_{0}\right)\right)}{p} \leq \frac{v_{p}\left(g^{(j)}\left(-a_{0}\right)\right)}{p-j}$ for every $j, 1 \leq j \leq p-2$,
(S-ii-4) $\quad v_{p}\left(g^{(j)}\left(-a_{0}\right)\right)<p-j \quad$ for some $j, \quad 0 \leq j \leq p-1$,
where $g^{(j)}(X)$ is the $j$-th differential of $g(X)$.
Remark 2.2. In [9], Sase also determined the conditions for a general prime $q$ with $q \neq \operatorname{deg}(g)$ to be totally ramified in $\mathbf{Q}(\theta) / \mathbf{Q}$.

For an integer $n \geq 0$, we define two functions $T_{n}(x)$ and $U_{n}(x)$ by

$$
T_{n}(x):=\cos n \theta, \quad U_{n}(x):=\frac{\sin (n+1) \theta}{\sin \theta}
$$

respectively, where $x=\cos \theta$. (The former is called the Chebyshev polynomial while the latter is called the Chebyshev polynomial of second kind.) Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{n}(x) & =\frac{\left(x+\sqrt{x^{2}-1}\right)^{n}+\left(x-\sqrt{x^{2}-1}\right)^{n}}{2} \\
U_{n-1}(x) & =\frac{\left(x+\sqrt{x^{2}-1}\right)^{n}-\left(x-\sqrt{x^{2}-1}\right)^{n}}{2 \sqrt{x^{2}-1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

(cf. [8, Chapter 1, pp. 5 and 10]). Then we have

$$
T_{p}\left(\frac{\varepsilon+\bar{\varepsilon}}{2}\right)=\frac{\varepsilon^{p}+\bar{\varepsilon}^{p}}{2}, \quad U_{p-1}\left(\frac{\varepsilon+\bar{\varepsilon}}{2}\right)=\frac{\varepsilon^{p}-\bar{\varepsilon}^{p}}{\varepsilon-\bar{\varepsilon}}
$$

Moreover, each function has another expression:

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 T_{n}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) & =\sum_{i=0}^{[n / 2]}(-1)^{i} \frac{n}{n-2 i}\binom{n-i-1}{i} x^{n-2 i} \\
U_{n}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) & =\sum_{i=0}^{[n / 2]}(-1)^{i}\binom{n-i}{i} x^{n-2 i}
\end{aligned}
$$

(cf. [8, Chapter 1, p. 60]). By putting $x=(\varepsilon+\bar{\varepsilon}) / 2$, therefore, we obtain
LEmMA 2.3. For a unit $\varepsilon$ of $k$ with $N_{k}(\varepsilon)=1$ and for an odd integer $p$, the following equations holds:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\varepsilon^{p}+\bar{\varepsilon}^{p}}{\varepsilon+\bar{\varepsilon}}=\sum_{i=0}^{(p-1) / 2}(-1)^{i} \frac{p}{p-2 i}\binom{p-i-1}{i} \operatorname{Tr}_{k}(\varepsilon)^{p-2 i-1}  \tag{2.1}\\
& \frac{\varepsilon^{p}-\bar{\varepsilon}^{p}}{\varepsilon-\bar{\varepsilon}}=\sum_{i=0}^{(p-1) / 2}(-1)^{i}\binom{p-i-1}{i} \operatorname{Tr}_{k}(\varepsilon)^{p-2 i-1} \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\bar{\varepsilon}$ is the conjugate of $\varepsilon$ in $k$.
Proof of Theorem 1.1. Assume that a real quadratic field $k$ has a unit $\varepsilon$ satisfying the condition (1.1). Then $f(X ; \varepsilon)$ is irreducible over $\mathbf{Q}$ and $K_{\varepsilon}$ is a cyclic extension of $M$ of degree $p$ (see [2, Chapter 5], [4, Proposition 1.10]). Moreover, $K_{\varepsilon}$ is normal over $\mathbf{Q}$ and its Galois group $\operatorname{Gal}\left(K_{\varepsilon} / \mathbf{Q}\right)$ is isomorphic to the Frobenius group of order $p(p-1)$ (see [4, Theorem 2.1]). Furthermore, $K_{\varepsilon} / M$ is unramified outside $p$ (see [6, Proof of Theorem 1]). By class field theory, therefore, it is sufficient to show that prime divisors of $p$ in $M$ is unramified in $K_{\varepsilon}$.

Let $\theta$ be a root of $f(X ; \varepsilon)$. Let $q$ be a prime number in general. A prime divisor of $q$ in $M$ is ramified in $K_{\varepsilon}$ if and only if $q$ is totally ramified in $\mathbf{Q}(\theta)$ because [ $K_{\varepsilon}: M$ ] and [ $M: \mathbf{Q}$ ] are relatively prime. Hence we have only to verify whether the prime $p$ is totally ramified in $\mathbf{Q}(\theta)$ or not.

Express $\varepsilon=(a+b \sqrt{d}) / 2(a, b \in \mathbf{Z})$. Since $\varepsilon$ satisfies (1.1), we have $p \mid b$ and $p \nmid a$. Then by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon^{p}=\frac{1}{2^{p}}\left(a^{p}+p a^{p-1} b \sqrt{d}+\cdots+p a b^{p-1} d^{\frac{p-1}{2}}+b^{p} d^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \sqrt{d}\right), \\
& \bar{\varepsilon}^{p}=\frac{1}{2^{p}}\left(a^{p}-p a^{p-1} b \sqrt{d}+\cdots+p a b^{p-1} d^{\frac{p-1}{2}}-b^{p} d^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \sqrt{d}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

we have

$$
\varepsilon^{p}+\bar{\varepsilon}^{p} \equiv \frac{1}{2^{p-1}} a^{p}\left(\bmod p^{3}\right) .
$$

Thus we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\varepsilon^{p}+\bar{\varepsilon}^{p}}{\varepsilon+\bar{\varepsilon}}-1 \equiv\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{p-1}-1 \equiv\left(\frac{ \pm 2}{2}\right)^{p-1}-1=0\left(\bmod p^{3}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

by using $\varepsilon+\bar{\varepsilon}=a$. On the other hand, we have

$$
\varepsilon^{p}-\bar{\varepsilon}^{p}=\frac{1}{2^{p-1}}\left(p a^{p-1} b \sqrt{d}+p^{2} b t \sqrt{d}\right)
$$

for some $t \in \mathbf{Z}$ and

$$
\varepsilon-\bar{\varepsilon}=b \sqrt{d}
$$

Then we have

$$
\frac{\varepsilon^{p}-\bar{\varepsilon}^{p}}{\varepsilon-\bar{\varepsilon}}=\frac{1}{2^{p-1}}\left(p a^{p-1}+p^{2} t\right)
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{p}\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{p}-\bar{\varepsilon}^{p}}{\varepsilon-\bar{\varepsilon}}\right)=1 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover we have

$$
\begin{align*}
f\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{k}(\varepsilon) ; \varepsilon\right) & =\sum_{i=0}^{(p-1) / 2}\left(-N_{k}(\varepsilon)\right)^{i} \frac{p}{p-2 i}\binom{p-i-1}{i} \operatorname{Tr}_{k}(\varepsilon)^{p-2 i}-N_{k}(\varepsilon)^{(p-1) / 2} \operatorname{Tr}_{k}(\varepsilon)  \tag{2.5}\\
& =\operatorname{Tr}_{k}(\varepsilon)\left(\sum_{i=0}^{(p-1) / 2}(-1)^{i} \frac{p}{p-2 i}\binom{p-i-1}{i} \operatorname{Tr}_{k}(\varepsilon)^{p-2 i-1}-1\right)
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{k}(\varepsilon) ; \varepsilon\right)=p\left(\sum_{i=0}^{(p-1) / 2}(-1)^{i}\binom{p-i-1}{i} \operatorname{Tr}_{k}(\varepsilon)^{p-2 i-1}\right) . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, by (2.1), (2.3), (2.5) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{p}\left(f\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{k}(\varepsilon) ; \varepsilon\right)\right) \geq 3, \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and by (2.2), (2.4), (2.6) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{p}\left(f^{\prime}\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{k}(\varepsilon) ; \varepsilon\right)\right)=2 \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let us apply Proposition 2.1 to $f(X ; \varepsilon)$. Since the constant term of $f(X ; \varepsilon)$ is not divisible by $p$, the condition (S-i) does not hold. Moreover by (2.7) and (2.8), the condition (S-ii-3) does not hold for $j=1$ when $p \geq 5$. In the case $p=3$, the equation (2.8) assures that (S-ii-4) does not hold for $j=1$. Therefore $p$ is not totally ramified in $\mathbf{Q}(\theta)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

In the following example, we shall give an infinite family of quadratic fields which have units satisfying the condition (1.1).

EXAMPLE 2.4. Take a prime $q$ so that we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
q \equiv 4\left(\bmod p^{5}\right),  \tag{2.9}\\
q \not \equiv 4\left(\bmod p^{6}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Express

$$
q=a p^{5}+4, \quad a=b c^{2} \quad(b, c \in \mathbf{Z}, b: \text { square-free })
$$

and put $D:=b p q$. Then it is clear that $D$ is square-free. Since

$$
D=b p\left(a p^{5}+4\right)=a b p^{6}+4 b p=\left(b c p^{3}\right)^{2}+4 b p
$$

$\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ is a real quadratic field of Richaud-Degert type. It is well-known that

$$
\varepsilon_{0}:=\frac{b c^{2} p^{5}+2+c p^{2} \sqrt{D}}{2}
$$

is the fundamental unit of $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ (cf. [3], [11, Lemma 2]). Since

$$
N_{\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{D})}\left(\varepsilon_{0}\right)=\frac{\left(b c^{2} p^{5}+2\right)^{2}-c^{2} p^{4}\left\{\left(b c p^{3}\right)^{2}+4 b p\right\}}{4}=1
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{D})}\left(\varepsilon_{0}\right)^{2}=\left(b c^{2} p^{5}+2\right)^{2} \equiv 4\left(\bmod p^{3}\right)
$$

the unit $\varepsilon_{0}$ satisfies (1.1).
For each prime $p$, the existence of infinitely many primes $q$ satisfying the above condition (2.9) is assured by Dirichlet's Theorem on primes in arithmetic progressions.

## 3. Imaginary cyclic fields of degree $p-1$ with $p$-ranks $\geq 2$

In this section, we study a way to construct imaginary cyclic fields of degree $p-1$ which have ideal class groups of $p$-ranks greater than one in the case of $p \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$.

From now on, we assume that $p \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$. As we have seen in Section 1, for an imaginary cyclic field $M(\neq \mathbf{Q}(\zeta))$ of degree $p-1$ which contains $\mathbf{Q}(\omega)$, there are two real quadratic subfields $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ of $M(\zeta)$ which are not contained in $M$. Then, as is observed in [4, Remarks 2.4 (2)], we have

Proposition 3.1. For $\gamma_{1} \in k_{1} \backslash k_{1}^{p}$ and $\gamma_{2} \in k_{2} \backslash k_{2}^{p}$, we have $K_{\gamma_{1}} \neq K_{\gamma_{2}}$.
By this Proposition, if $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ have units $\varepsilon_{1}$ and $\varepsilon_{2}$, respectively, both of which satisfy the condition (1.1), then there exist two unramified cyclic extensions $K_{\varepsilon_{1}}$ and $K_{\varepsilon_{2}}$ of $M$ of degree $p$; hence the $p$-rank of $M$ is greater than one.

Now we give a criterion for a real quadratic field to have a unit satisfying (1.1).
PRoposition 3.2. Let d be a square-free positive integer and put $k=\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{d})$. Let $\varepsilon_{0}$ be the fundamental unit of $k$.
(1) When $p \mid d$, there exists $a$ unit of $k$ which satisfies the condition (1.1) if and only if $\varepsilon_{0}$ satisfies the condition (1.2).
(2) When $p \nmid d$, there exists a unit of $k$ which satisfies the condition (1.1) if and only if $\varepsilon_{0}^{m}$ satisfies the condition (1.2) for some integer $m$ with $m \mid \varphi_{k}(p)$ and $p \nmid m$, where $\varphi_{k}$ is the Euler function in $k$.

To prove this proposition, we need the following lemma.
Lemma 3.3. Let $\varepsilon$ be a fixed unit of $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{d})$. For a positive integer $m$, we put

$$
\varepsilon^{m}=a_{m}+b_{m} \sqrt{d}, \quad a_{m}, b_{m} \in \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{Z}
$$

For an integer $i$, moreover, we defined an integer $n_{i}$ by

$$
n_{i}:=\min \left\{m(>0) \in \mathbf{Z} \mid b_{m} \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{i}\right)\right\}
$$

Then we have

$$
b_{n} \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{i}\right) \Leftrightarrow n \equiv 0\left(\bmod n_{i}\right) .
$$

Proof. The " $\Leftarrow$ " part is easily verified.
Let us prove the " $\Rightarrow$ " part. Assume that $b_{n} \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{i}\right)$ and put $n=n_{i} s+t(s, t \in$ $\left.\mathbf{Z}, 0 \leq t<n_{i}\right)$. By the definition of $n_{i}$, we have

$$
\varepsilon^{n_{i}} \equiv a_{n_{i}}\left(\bmod p^{i}\right)
$$

Then we have

$$
\varepsilon^{n}=\left(\varepsilon^{n_{i}}\right)^{s} \varepsilon^{t} \equiv a_{n_{i}}^{s}\left(a_{t}+b_{t} \sqrt{d}\right)\left(\bmod p^{i}\right)
$$

and hence

$$
b_{t} \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{i}\right)
$$

By the minimality of $n_{i}$, therefore, we have $t=0$.
Proof of Proposition 3.2. For a positive integer $m$, we put

$$
\varepsilon_{0}^{m}=a_{m}+b_{m} \sqrt{d}, \quad a_{m}, b_{m} \in \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{Z}
$$

For an integer $i$, we defined an integer $n_{i}$ by

$$
n_{i}:=\min \left\{m(>0) \in \mathbf{Z} \mid b_{m} \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{i}\right)\right\}
$$

(1) Assume that $p \mid d$. We note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{0}^{m} \text { satisfies }(1.1) \Rightarrow \varepsilon_{0}^{m} \text { satisfies }(1.2) \Leftrightarrow b_{m} \equiv 0(\bmod p) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We see that $b_{p} \equiv 0(\bmod p)$. Then by applying Lemma 3.3 to $i=1$, we have $p \equiv 0\left(\bmod n_{1}\right)$, hence $n_{1}=1$ or $p$. Assume now that there exists a unit $\varepsilon_{0}^{m}(p \nmid m)$ satisfying (1.1). Then by (3.1), we have $b_{m} \equiv 0(\bmod p)$. By Lemma 3.3, therefore, we have $m \equiv 0\left(\bmod n_{1}\right)$. From this, together with $p \nmid m$, we have $p \nmid n_{1}$. Therefore, $n_{1}$ must be equal to 1 . Then we have $b_{1} \equiv 0(\bmod p)$, and hence by (3.1) $\varepsilon_{0}$ satisfies the condition (1.2).

Conversely, if $\varepsilon_{0}$ satisfies (1.2), as we have stated in Remark 1.2 (1), $\varepsilon_{0}^{2}$ satisfies (1.1).
(2) Assume that $p \nmid d$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{0}^{m} \text { satisfies }(1.1) \Rightarrow \varepsilon_{0}^{m} \text { satisfies }(1.2) \Leftrightarrow b_{m} \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{2}\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We see that $b_{p n_{1}} \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{2}\right)$. Then by applying Lemma 3.3 to $i=2$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
p n_{1} \equiv 0\left(\bmod n_{2}\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now assume that there exists a unit satisfying (1.1). By a similar argument as in (1), we get $p \nmid n_{2}$. From this, together with (3.3) and the fact that $n_{1} \leq n_{2}$, we have $n_{2}=n_{1}$. Furthermore, we have $n_{1} \mid \varphi_{k}(p)$ because of $\varepsilon^{\varphi_{k}(p)} \equiv 1(\bmod p)$. Therefore, we see that $n_{2}$ satisfies $n_{2} \mid \varphi_{k}(p), p \nmid n_{2}$, and $\varepsilon_{0}^{n_{2}}$ satisfies (1.2) by (3.2).

Conversely, if $\varepsilon_{0}^{m}$ satisfies (1.2) for some $m \in \mathbf{Z}$, then $\varepsilon_{0}^{2 m}$ satisfies (1.2).
In the following Tables 1 and 2, for $p=5,13$, we list all of $d$ 's with

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
0<d \leq 2000, & 5 \nmid d, & d: \text { square-free }
\end{array} \text { if } p=5, ~ \begin{array}{ll}
0<d \leq 4000, & 13 \nmid d, \\
0: \text { square-free } & \text { if } p=13
\end{array}
$$

for which both $k_{1}=\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ and $k_{2}=\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{p d})$ have units satisfying (1.1). Moreover, for each $d$, we list the minimal exponent $m$ of the unit $\varepsilon_{0}^{m}$ which satisfies the condition (1.2) and the structure of the ideal class group of $M$, where $\varepsilon_{0}$ is the fundamental unit of $k_{1}$. The cases where $N_{k_{1}}\left(\varepsilon_{0}\right)=-1$ are marked with an asterisk in these tables; then $\varepsilon_{0}^{2 m}$ satisfies (1.1) in these cases. We denote an abelian group $\mathbf{Z} / n_{1} \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z} / n_{2} \mathbf{Z} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{Z} / n_{r} \mathbf{Z}$ by $\left[n_{1}, n_{2}, \cdots, n_{r}\right]$.

TABLE $1 \quad p=5(0<d \leq 2000)$

| $d$ | exponent <br> of $\varepsilon(m)$ | structure of the ideal class group of $M$ | $d$ | exponent <br> of $\varepsilon(m)$ | structure of the ideal class group of $M$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 127 | 1 | [ 10, 10] | 1231 | 2 | [ 50, 10, 2] |
| 191 | 2 | [ 10, 10, 2] | 1238 | 1 | [ 50, 10, 2] |
| *257 | 3 | [ 10, 5] | 1366 | 2 | [ 30, 30] |
| *298 | 1 | [ 20, 10, 2] | 1389 | 2 | [ 50, 10] |
| 426 | 1 | [ 10, 10, 2, 2] | 1434 | 1 | [ 20, 20, 2, 2] |
| 427 | 3 | [ 10, 10, 2, 2] | *1493 | 3 | [ 50, 5] |
| *457 | 3 | [ 10, 5] | 1518 | 3 | [ 20, 10, 2, 2, 2] |
| 501 | 2 | [ 10, 10] | 1531 | 2 | [ 50, 10, 2] |
| 502 | 3 | [ 20, 10, 2] | *1597 | 1 | [ 50, 5] |
| 509 | 2 | [ 10, 10] | 1631 | 1 | [ 30, 30, 2] |
| 574 | 2 | [ 10, 10, 2, 2] | 1738 | 3 | [ 20, 10, 2, 2, 2] |
| 581 | 2 | [ 10, 10] | 1758 | 3 | [ 130, 10, 2] |
| 587 | 3 | [ 50, 10] | 1829 | 1 | [ 10, 10, 2, 2] |
| 626 | 2 | [ 50, 10] | 1834 | 2 | [ 20, 20, 2, 2] |
| 629 | 2 | [ 10, 10] | *1853 | 3 | [ 20, 10, 2] |
| 734 | 2 | [ 50, 10] | 1907 | 3 | [ 170, 10] |
| 753 | 3 | [ 10, 10, 2] | *1913 | 3 | [ 50, 5] |
| *881 | 1 | [ 20, 10] | 1914 | 2 | [ 10, 10, 2, 2, 2, 2] |
| *922 | 1 | [ 50, 10, 2] | 1938 | 3 | [ 20, 10, 2, 2, 2] |
| 1113 | 3 | [ 10, 10, 2] | 1999 | 2 | [ 40, 20, 2] |
| 1137 | 3 | [ 10, 10, 2] |  |  |  |

REMARK 3.4. We use computer manipulations with GP/PARI (Version 2.1.0) and KASH (Version 2.0). In the case $p=13$, the author cannot compute the structure of the ideal class group of $M$ except for $d=489$ because of the computational complexity.

Finally, we give an example of a family of positive integers $d$ for which both $k_{1}=$ $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ and $k_{2}=\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{p d})$ have units satisfying the condition (1.1).

Example 3.5. For a pair $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}$ with

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\alpha^{2}-p^{3} \beta^{2}=4 \\
\alpha+\beta \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{2}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

we define an integer $d$ by

$$
d:=(\alpha+\beta)^{2}-4
$$

Moreover, we put

$$
\varepsilon_{1}:=\frac{\alpha+\beta+\sqrt{d}}{2} \in \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{d})
$$

TABLE $2 p=13(0<d \leq 4000)$

| $d$ | exponent <br> of $\varepsilon(m)$ | structure of the ideal <br> class group of $M$ | $d$ | exponent <br> of $\varepsilon(m)$ | structure of the ideal <br> class group of $M$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 489 | 7 | $[117650,26,2]$ | $* 3074$ | 7 | - |
| 1381 | 6 | - | 3739 | 7 | - |
| 1615 | 6 | - | 3766 | 1 | - |
| 1639 | 3 | - | 3847 | 6 | - |
| 2003 | 6 | - | 6 | - |  |
| 2038 | 6 | - |  |  |  |

$$
\varepsilon_{2}:=\frac{\alpha+p^{3} \beta+p \sqrt{p d}}{2} \in \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{p d}) .
$$

Then we can easily verify that

$$
\begin{gathered}
N_{\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{d})}\left(\varepsilon_{1}^{2}\right)=1=N_{\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{p d})}\left(\varepsilon_{2}\right), \\
\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{d})}\left(\varepsilon_{1}^{2}\right) \equiv \pm 2 \equiv \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{p d})}\left(\varepsilon_{2}\right)\left(\bmod p^{3}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence the $p$-rank of $\mathbf{Q}\left(\sqrt{d}\left(\zeta-\zeta^{-1}\right)\right)$ is greater than one, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{1}^{2} \notin \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{d})^{p} \quad \text { and } \quad \varepsilon_{2} \notin \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{p d})^{p} . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

REMARK 3.6. If $d$ is square-free, then the condition (3.4) holds. However, the author has not yet verified when $d$ is square-free, nor whether the condition (3.4) holds more generally.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The author would like to thank the referee for his careful reading and various comments.

## References

[1] T. Azuhata and H. Ichimura, On the divisibility problem of the class numbers of algebraic number fields, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. 30 (1984), 579-585.
[2] H. Cohen, Advanced topics in computational number theory, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 193 (2000) Springer.
[3] G. Degert, Über die Bestimmung der Grundeinheit gewisser reell-quadratischer Zahlkörper, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg 22 (1958), 92-97.
[4] M. Imaoka and Y. Kishi, On dihedral extensions and Frobenius extensions, Galois theory and Modular forms, Developments in Mathematics 11 (2003), Kluwer Acad. Publ. 195-220.
[ 5 ] M. Ishida, A note on class numbers of algebraic number fields, J. Number Theory 1 (1969), 65-69.
[6] Y. KISHI, Imaginary cyclic fields of degree $p-1$ whose relative class numbers are divisible by $p$, Proc. Japan Acad. 77A (2001), 55-58.
[7] S. NAKANO, On ideal class groups of algebraic number fields, J. Reine Angew. Math. 358 (1985), 61-75.
[8] T. J. Rivlin, Chebyshev polynomials. From approximation theory to algebra and number theory. Second edition, Pure and Applied Mathematics (1990), John Wiley.
[9] M. SASE, On a family of quadratic fields whose class numbers are divisible by five, Proc. Japan Acad. 74A (1998), 120-123.
[10] Y. Yamamoto, On unramified Galois extensions of quadratic number fields, Osaka J. Math. 7 (1970), 57-76.
[11] H. YoKOI, On real quadratic fields containing units with norm - 1, Nagoya Math. J. 33 (1968), 139-152.

## Address:

Department of Mathematics, Tokyo Metropolitan University, Minami-Ohsawa, Hachioji-shi, Tokyo, 192-0397 Japan.
e-mail: ykishi@comp.metro-u.ac.jp
Present Address:
Department of Mathematics, Fukuoka Univrsity of Education, Bunkyoumachi Akama, Munakata-shi, Fukuoka, 811-4192 Japan.
e-mail: ykishi@fukuoka-edu.ac.jp


[^0]:    Received July 15, 2003
    The author was supported by JSPS Research Fellowships for Young Scientists.

