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#### Abstract

The aim of this paper is to extend the domain of polygamma function from the set of complex numbers to the set of bicomplex numbers. We also discuss integral representation, recurrence relation, multiplication formula and reflection formula for this function.


## 1. Introduction, Definitions and Preliminaries

Corrado Segre published a paper [10] in 1892, in which he studied an infinite set of algebras whose elements, he called bicomplex numbers, tricomplex,..., $n$-complex numbers. The algebras of quarternions and bicomplex numbers were developed by making use of so called complex pairs. The work of Segre remained unnoticed for almost a century. But recently mathematicians have started taking interest in the subject and a new theory of special functions has started coming up.

Recently G. Baley Price [7] and Rönn [9] have developed the bicomplex algebra and function theory while Rochon [8] has developed a bicomplex Riemann zeta function. Recently we have extended the domain of Hurwitz zeta function, Gamma and Beta functions from the set of complex numbers to the set of bicomplex numbers [4], [5]. Motivated by this, in this paper we study the Polygamma function whose domain is the set of bicomplex numbers. Also we discuss integral representation, recurrence relation, multiplication formula and reflection formula for this function.

### 1.1. The Bicomplex Number.

Definition 1. The bicomplex number $\omega$ is defined as follows [8]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=a+b i_{1}+c i_{2}+d i_{1} \cdot i_{2}, \quad(a, b, c, d \in \mathbf{R}) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(where $i_{1}^{2}=i_{2}^{2}=-1$ ).
It can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=\left(a+b i_{1}\right)+\left(c+d i_{1}\right) i_{2}, \quad(a, b, c, d \in \mathbf{R}), \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]or
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=z_{1}+z_{2} i_{2}, \quad\left(z_{1}, z_{2} \in \mathbf{C}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where $z_{1}=a+b i_{1}$ and $z_{2}=c+d i_{1}$ and $\mathbf{C}$ is the set of complex numbers.
Let $\mathbf{C}_{2}$ denotes the set of bicomplex numbers, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{C}_{2}=\left\{a+b i_{1}+c i_{2}+d i_{1} \cdot i_{2}: i_{1}^{2}=i_{2}^{2}=-1, a, b, c, d \in \mathbf{R}\right\} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $w=z_{1}+z_{2} i_{2} \in \mathbf{C}_{2}$. Then $w$ is non-invertible iff

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{1}^{2}+z_{2}^{2}=0 \Rightarrow z_{1}+z_{2} i_{1}=0 \text { or } z_{1}-z_{2} i_{1}=0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The set of non-invertible elements in $\mathbf{C}_{2}$ is denoted by $O_{2}$.
1.2. Bicomplex Analysis. (a) The bicomplex functions of interest are holomorphic functions and characterized by the fact that they are differentiable. It is possible to define differentiability of a function at a point of $\mathbf{C}_{2}$ [8]:

DEFINITION 2. Let U be an open set of $\mathbf{C}_{2}$ and $w_{o} \in U$. Then $f: U \subseteq \mathbf{C}_{2} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_{2}$ is said to be $\mathbf{C}_{2}$-differentiable at $w_{o}$ with derivative equal to $f^{\prime}\left(w_{o}\right) \in \mathbf{C}_{2}$, (with $\left(w-w_{o}\right)$ invertible) if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{w \rightarrow w_{o}} \frac{f(w)-f\left(w_{o}\right)}{w-w_{o}}=f^{\prime}\left(w_{o}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

exists.
We shall say that the function $f$ is holomorphic on an open set $U$ iff $f$ is $\mathbf{C}_{2}$ differentiable at each point of $U$.

DEFInition 3. ([8]). Let U be an open set and $f: U \subseteq \mathbf{C}_{2} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_{2}$. Also suppose that $f\left(z_{1}+z_{2} i_{2}\right)=f_{1}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)+i_{2} f_{2}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$. Then $f$ is $T$-holomorphic on U iff $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are holomorphic in $U$ and satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial z_{1}}=\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial z_{2}} \text { and } \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial z_{1}}=-\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial z_{2}} \quad \text { on } U \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (7) are known as complexified Cauchy-Riemann (C-R) equations and the function $f$ is said to be in class $T H(U),\left(U \subseteq \mathbf{C}_{2}\right)$ of holomorphic functions.
(b) The bicomplex integration of a bicomplex function $\phi(\omega)=\phi_{1}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)+$ $i_{2} \phi_{2}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$ is defined as a line integral, that is evaluated with respect to some fourdimensional curve $H$ in $\mathbf{C}_{2}$. More specifically, the bicomplex integration (see, e.g. Rönn [9]) is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\int_{H} \phi(\omega) \otimes d \omega, \quad d \omega=\left(d z_{1}, d z_{2}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H$ is a piecewise continuously differentiable curve in $\mathbf{C}_{2}$ and has the parametric equation $H: P=P(t), P(t)=(a(t), b(t))$, for $r \leq t \leq s$ and $H$ can be taken as a curve made up of two component curves $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}$ in $\mathbf{C}_{1}$ i.e. $H=\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\int_{r}^{s} \phi[\omega(t)] \otimes \omega^{\prime}(t) \otimes d t \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

1.3. The Idempotent Basis. Every Bicomplex number $w=z_{1}+z_{2} i_{2}$ has the following unique idempotent representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=z_{1}+z_{2} i_{2}=\left(z_{1}-z_{2} i_{1}\right) e_{1}+\left(z_{1}+z_{2} i_{1}\right) e_{2}, \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $e_{1}=\left(1+i_{1} . i_{2}\right) / 2, e_{2}=\left(1-i_{1} \cdot i_{2}\right) / 2$.
This representation is very useful because addition, multiplication and division can be done term by term.

DEFINITION 4. $A \subseteq \mathbf{C}_{2}$ is said to be a $\mathbf{C}_{2}$-cartesian set determined by $A_{1}, A_{2} \subseteq \mathbf{C}$, if $A=A_{1} \times A_{2}=\left\{z_{1}+z_{2} i_{2} \in \mathbf{C}_{2}: z_{1}+z_{2} i_{2}=w_{1} e_{1}+w_{2} e_{2},\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right) \in A_{1} \times A_{2}\right\}$.

Obviously if $A_{1}, A_{2} \subseteq \mathbf{C}$, then $A_{1} \times_{e} A_{2} \subseteq \mathbf{C}_{2}$. Thus if $w \in \mathbf{C}_{2}$ is in the form $w=$ $w_{1} e_{1}+w_{2} e_{2}$ and also if $w=z_{1}+z_{2} i_{2}$, then

$$
\begin{gather*}
w_{1}=z_{1}-z_{2} i_{1}, \quad w_{2}=z_{1}+z_{2} i_{1}  \tag{11}\\
z_{1}=\left(w_{1}+w_{2}\right) / 2, \quad z_{2}=\left(w_{1}-w_{2}\right) i_{1} / 2 . \tag{12}
\end{gather*}
$$

The results contained in the following Lemmas will also be required in the sequel.
LEMMA 1. If $f_{e_{1}}: A_{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_{1}$ and $f_{e_{2}}: A_{2} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ are holomorphic (analytic) functions in $\mathbf{C}$ on the domains $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ respectively, Then the function $f: A_{1} \times A_{2} \rightarrow \mathbf{C} 2$ defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(z_{1}+z_{2} i_{2}\right)=f_{e_{1}}\left(z_{1}-z_{2} i_{1}\right) e_{1}+f_{e_{2}}\left(z_{1}+z_{2} i_{1}\right) e_{2} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\forall z_{1}+z_{2} i_{2} \in A_{1} \times A_{2}$, is T-holomorphic on the domain $A_{1} \times A_{2} \subseteq \mathbf{C}_{2}$.
LEMMA 2. If $f_{e_{1}}: A_{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ and $f_{e_{2}}: A_{2} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ are holomorphic functions in $\mathbf{C}$ on the domains $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ respectively, Then we can define a function $f: A_{1} \times A_{2} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_{2}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\omega)=f_{e_{1}}\left(\omega_{1}\right) e_{1}+f_{e_{2}}\left(\omega_{2}\right) e_{2} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega=\omega_{1} e_{1}+\omega_{2} e_{2} \Rightarrow d \omega=\left(d \omega_{1}\right) e_{1}+\left(d \omega_{2}\right) e_{2} \forall \omega \in A_{1} \times_{e} A_{2} \subseteq \mathbf{C}_{2}$. Now we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{H} f(\omega) \otimes d \omega=\left\{\int_{\gamma_{1}} f_{e_{1}}\left(\omega_{1}\right) \otimes d \omega_{1}\right\} e_{1}+\left\{\int_{\gamma_{2}} f_{e_{2}}\left(\omega_{2}\right) \otimes d \omega_{2}\right\} e_{2} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H: \omega=\omega(t), \omega(t)=\omega_{1}(t) e_{1}+\omega_{2}(t) e_{2}$ for $r \leq t \leq s$.
1.4. Polygamma Function. A special function which is given by the $(n+1)^{t h}$ derivative of the logarithm of the gamma function is called the Polygamma function and is denoted
by $\psi_{n}(z)$ (see, e.g. [2], [6])

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi_{n}(z) & =\frac{d^{n+1}}{d z^{n+1}} \ln [\Gamma(z)] \\
& =\frac{d^{n}}{d z^{n}} \frac{\Gamma^{\prime}(z)}{\Gamma(z)}  \tag{16}\\
& =\frac{d^{n}}{d z^{n}} \psi_{0}(z), n>0
\end{align*}
$$

where $\psi_{0}(z)$ is Digamma function.
Also, Polygamma function is related to Hurwitz Zeta function $\zeta(s, a)$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi_{n}(z) & =(-1)^{n+1} n!\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(z+k)^{n+1}}  \tag{17}\\
& =(-1)^{n+1} n!\zeta(n+1, z)
\end{align*}
$$

The polygamma function obeys the recurrence relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{n}(z+1)=\psi_{n}(z)+(-1)^{n} n!z^{-n-1} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

the reflection formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{n}(1-z)+(-1)^{n+1} \psi_{n}(z)=(-1)^{n} \pi \frac{d^{n}}{d z^{n}} \cot (\pi z) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the multiplication formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{n}(m z)=\delta_{n 0} \ln m+\frac{1}{m^{n+1}} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \psi_{n}\left(z+\frac{k}{m}\right) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta_{m n}$ is Kronecker delta.
The Euler Mascheroni constant is a special value of the Digamma function $\psi_{0}(z)$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma=-\Gamma^{\prime}(1)=-\psi_{0}(1) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Polygamma function may be represented in integral form as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{n}(z)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{m} e^{-z t}}{1-e^{-t}} d t \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

which holds for $\operatorname{Re}(z)>0$.
The Taylor series at $z=1$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{n}(z+1)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{n+k+1}(n+k)!\zeta(n+k+1) \frac{z^{k}}{k!} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

which converges for $|z|<1$. Here $\zeta(n)$ is the Riemann zeta function. This series can be derived easily from the corresponding Taylor series for the Hurwitz zeta function.

The Polygamma functions are analytic everywhere in the complex plane except for the poles (of order $n+1$ ) at all non-positive integers. The residues at these poles are all given by $(-1)^{n+1} n$ !.

## 2. Bicomplex Polygamma Functions

DEFINITION 5. Let $n \in \mathbf{N}, \omega=z_{1}+z_{2} i_{2} \in \mathbf{C}_{2}$. Then bicomplex polygamma function $\psi_{n}(\omega)$ is defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi_{n}(\omega) & =(-1)^{n+1} n!\zeta(n+1, \omega) \\
& =\frac{d^{n+1}}{d \omega^{n+1}}[\ln \Gamma(\omega)] \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

$(m+\omega) \notin O_{2}, m \in \mathbf{N} \cup\{0\}$ and $O_{2}$ is the set of non-invertible elements in $\mathbf{C}_{2}$.
This definition is well justified by the following
Theorem 2. Let $\omega=z_{1}+z_{2} i_{2} \in \mathbf{C}_{2}, m+\omega \notin O_{2}, m \in \mathbf{N} \cup\{0\}$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi_{n}(\omega) & =(-1)^{n+1} n!\left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left(z_{1}-z_{2} i_{1}+k\right)^{n+1}} e_{1}+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left(z_{1}+z_{2} i_{1}+k\right)^{n+1}} e_{2}\right]  \tag{25}\\
& =\psi_{n}\left(z_{1}-z_{2} i_{1}\right) e_{1}+\psi_{n}\left(z_{1}+z_{2} i_{1}\right) e_{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. By the definition of Bicomplex Hurwitz Zeta function [4], we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\zeta(n+1, \omega) & =\zeta\left(n+1, z_{1}-z_{2} i_{1}\right) e_{1}+\zeta\left(n+1, z_{1}+z_{2} i_{1}\right) e_{2} \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left(z_{1}-z_{2} i_{1}+k\right)^{n+1}} e_{1}+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left(z_{1}+z_{2} i_{1}+k\right)^{n+1}} e_{2} \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{align*}
(-1)^{n+1} n!\zeta(n+1, \omega)= & (-1)^{n+1} n!\zeta\left(n+1, z_{1}-z_{2} i_{1}\right) e_{1} \\
& +(-1)^{n+1} n!\zeta\left(n+1, z_{1}+z_{2} i_{1}\right) e_{2}  \tag{27}\\
= & \psi_{n}\left(z_{1}-z_{2} i_{1}\right) e_{1}+\psi_{n}\left(z_{1}+z_{2} i_{1}\right) e_{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Further, using (16) in (27), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi_{n}(\omega)= & \frac{d^{n+1}}{d\left(z_{1}-z_{2} i_{1}\right)^{n+1}} \ln \left[\Gamma\left(z_{1}-z_{2} i_{1}\right)\right] e_{1}+\frac{d^{n+1}}{d\left(z_{1}+z_{2} i_{1}\right)^{n+1}} \ln \left[\Gamma\left(z_{1}+z_{2} i_{1}\right)\right] e_{2} \\
= & \left(\frac{d^{n+1}}{d\left(z_{1}-z_{2} i_{1}\right)^{n+1}} e_{1}+\frac{d^{n+1}}{d\left(z_{1}+z_{2} i_{1}\right)^{n+1}} e_{2}\right)  \tag{28}\\
& \times\left(\ln \left\{\Gamma\left(z_{1}-z_{2} i_{1}\right) e_{1}+\Gamma\left(z_{1}+z_{2} i_{1}\right) e_{2}\right\}\right) \\
= & \frac{d^{n+1}}{d \omega^{n+1}} \ln [\Gamma(\omega)] \quad \quad(\text { using }[5, \text { p. } .136,(30)]) .
\end{align*}
$$

2.1. Domain of Bicomplex Polygamma Functions. If $O_{2}$ is set of non-invertible elements as in (5) in $\mathbf{C}_{2}$, we extend the domain of $\psi_{n}(\omega)$ on the set $\mathbf{C}_{2} /\left\{-m+O_{2}\right\}, m \in \mathbf{N} \cup\{0\}$ as follows:

We know from definition of Polygamma function that it has poles of order $(n+1)$ with residue $(-1)^{n+1} n$ ! when

$$
\left(z_{1}-z_{2} i_{1}\right)=-m \text { or }\left(z_{1}+z_{2} i_{1}\right)=-m, m \in \mathbf{N} \cup\{0\}
$$

combining above and using (25), we get poles of bicomplex polygamma function for

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega \in\left\{-m+O_{2}\right\}, \quad m \in \mathbf{N} \cup\{0\} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3. Bicomplex Polygamma functions are T-holomorphic on $\mathbf{C}_{2} /\left\{-m+O_{2}\right\}$, $m \in \mathbf{N} \cup\{0\}$.

Proof. We define $\mathbf{C}_{2}$ cartesian set

$$
\begin{align*}
A=A_{1} \times A_{2}=\{\omega & =z_{1}+z_{2} i_{2} \in \mathbf{C}_{2}: z_{1}+z_{2} i_{2} \\
& \left.=\omega_{1} e_{1}+\omega_{2} e_{2}, \omega_{1}, \omega_{2} \neq(-m),\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right) \in A_{1} \times A_{2}\right\} \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us define $f_{e_{1}}: A_{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}, f_{e_{1}}(x)=\psi_{n}(x)$ and $f_{e_{2}}: A_{2} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}, f_{e_{2}}(x)=\psi_{n}(x)$. Then the function $f: A_{1} \times A_{2} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_{2}$ is defined by $f(\omega)=\psi_{n}(\omega), \forall \omega \in A_{1} \times A_{2}$.

Now since the function $\psi_{n}(x)$ is analytic in $\mathbf{C}$ on the domains $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ respectively, by definition (25) and Lemma 1, we find that $\psi_{n}(\omega)$ is $T$-holomorphic on the domain $A_{1} \times{ }_{e} A_{2} \subseteq$ $\mathrm{C}_{2}$.

Remark. Let $\omega_{0} \in\left\{-m+O_{2}\right\}$ then for $\omega \notin\left\{-m+O_{2}\right\}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\omega \rightarrow \omega_{0}}\left|\psi_{n}(\omega)\right|=\infty \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence the domain $\mathbf{C}_{2} /\left\{-m+O_{2}\right\}$ is the best possible.
2.2. Integral Representation. Integral representation for bicomplex Polygamma function is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{n}(\omega)=\int_{H} \frac{e^{-\omega p} \otimes p^{n}}{1-e^{-p}} \otimes d p \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided that $\operatorname{Re}\left(z_{1}\right)>\left|\operatorname{Im}\left(z_{2}\right)\right|$. Where $\omega=z_{1}+i_{2} z_{2} \in \mathbf{C}_{2}, p=p_{1} e_{1}+p_{2} e_{2} \in \mathbf{C}_{2}$, $p_{1}, p_{2} \in R^{+}$, and $H \equiv\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right), \gamma_{1} \equiv \gamma_{1}\left(p_{1}\right)$ and $\gamma_{2} \equiv \gamma_{2}\left(p_{2}\right)$.

Proof. The representation given by (32) is justified since by using (22) and Lemma 2, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{H} \frac{e^{-\omega p} \otimes p^{n}}{1-e^{-p}} \otimes d p & =\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\left(z_{1}-i_{1} z_{2}\right) p_{1}} p_{1}^{n}}{1-e^{-p_{1}}} d p_{1} e_{1}+\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\left(z_{1}+i_{1} z_{2}\right) p_{2}} p_{2}^{n}}{1-e^{-p_{2}}} d p_{2} e_{2}  \tag{33}\\
& =\psi_{n}\left(z_{1}-i_{1} z_{2}\right) e_{1}+\psi_{n}\left(z_{1}+i_{1} z_{2}\right) e_{2}=\psi_{n}(\omega)
\end{align*}
$$

Also, by the condition for the integral representation (22) for Polygamma function, we have

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(z_{1}-i_{1} z_{2}\right)>0 \text { and } \operatorname{Re}\left(z_{1}+i_{1} z_{2}\right)>0
$$

Let $z_{1}=\sigma_{1}+t_{1} i_{1}$ and $z_{2}=\sigma_{2}+t_{2} i_{1}, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, t_{1}, t_{2} \in \mathbf{R}$. Then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sigma_{1}+t_{2}>0 \text { and } \sigma_{1}-t_{2}>0 \\
\Rightarrow \sigma_{1}>0 \text { and }-\sigma_{1}<t_{2}<\sigma_{1} \\
\Rightarrow \operatorname{Re}\left(z_{1}\right)>0 \text { and }\left|\operatorname{Im}\left(z_{2}\right)\right|<\operatorname{Re}\left(z_{1}\right) . \tag{34}
\end{gather*}
$$

2.3. Recurrence relation. Recurrence relation for Polygamma function is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{n}(\omega+1)=\psi_{n}(\omega)+(-1)^{n} n!\omega^{-(n+1)} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By the recurrence relation (18) and the result (25), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi_{n}(\omega+1)= & \psi_{n}\left(z_{1}-z_{2} i_{1}+1\right) e_{1}+\psi_{n}\left(z_{1}+z_{2} i_{1}+1\right) e_{2} \\
= & \left\{\psi_{n}\left(z_{1}-z_{2} i_{1}\right) e_{1}+\psi_{n}\left(z_{1}+z_{2} i_{1}\right) e_{2}\right\}  \tag{36}\\
& +(-1)^{n} n!\left[\left(z_{1}-z_{2} i_{1}\right)^{-(n+1)} e_{1}+\left(z_{1}+z_{2} i_{1}\right)^{-(n+1)} e_{2}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

Hence using (25), we get (35).
2.4. Reflection formula and Multiplication formula. Using (19), (20) and (25), we have the Reflection formula for bicomplex Polygamma function given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{n}(1-\omega)+(-1)^{n+1} \psi_{n}(\omega)=(-1)^{n} \pi \frac{d^{n}}{d \omega^{n}} \cot (\pi \omega) \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

and multiplication formula given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{n}(m \omega)=\delta_{n 0} \ln m+\frac{1}{m^{n+1}} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \psi_{n}\left(\omega+\frac{k}{m}\right) \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$
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