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#### Abstract

In this paper, we prove, for $\lambda$ and $\mu$ large, the existence of a positive solution for the semi-positone elliptic system $$
\begin{cases}-\Delta_{p} u=\lambda \omega(x) f(v) & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{P}\\ -\Delta_{q} v=\mu \rho(x) g(u) & \text { in } \Omega \\ (u, v)=(0,0) & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$ where $\Omega=B_{1}(0)=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}:|x| \leq 1\right\}$, and, for $m>1, \Delta_{m}$ denotes the $m$-Laplacian operator $p, q>1$. The weight functions $\omega, \rho: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are radial, continuous, nonnegative and not identically null, and the non-linearities $f, g:[0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous functions such that $f(t)$, $g(t) \geq-\sigma$. The result presented extends, for the radial case, some results in the literature $[\mathbf{9}, \mathbf{1 0}]$. In particular, we do not impose any monotonic condition on $f$ or $g$. The result is obtained as an application of the Schauder fixed point theorem and the maximum principle.


1. The system studied. Consider the boundary value problem

$$
\begin{cases}-\Delta_{p} u=\lambda \omega(x) f(v) & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{P}\\ -\Delta_{q} v=\mu \rho(x) g(u) & \text { in } \Omega \\ (u, v)=(0,0) & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

where $\Omega=B_{1}(0)=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}:|x|<1\right\}, \Delta_{m}, m>1$, denotes the $m$-Laplacian operator $p, q>1$. The weight functions $\omega, \rho: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous, nonnegative and not identically nulls, and the nonlinearities $f, g:[0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous functions such that
(H0) there exists a $\sigma>0$ such that $f(v), g(u) \geq-\sigma$;

[^0](H1) $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} f(t)=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} g(t)=\infty$;
(H2) $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f^{1 /(p-1)}\left(C g(t)^{1 /(q-1)}\right)}{t}=0$ for every $C>0$.
An example of functions which satisfy (H0), (H1) and (H2) is given by $f(t)=t^{\alpha}-\sigma$ and $g(t)=t^{\beta}-\sigma$, where $\sigma>0$ and $\alpha \beta<(p-1)(q-1)$.

For a given non negative continuous function, and not identically null $h: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $m>1$, let $\phi_{m, h}$ be the only solution of

$$
\begin{cases}-\Delta_{m} u=h(x) & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{1.1}\\ u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

where $m>1$. Observe that, by the maximum principle, $\phi_{m, h}(x)>0$ for all $x \in \Omega$.

With the above hypothesis, we establish, for $\lambda$ and $\mu$ large, the following result.

Theorem 1.1. Suppose that
(i) $f, g:[0,+\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous nonlinearities satisfying (H0), (H1) and (H2);
(ii) the weight functions $w, \rho: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are radial, continuous, nonnegative and not identically nulls in $\Omega$.

Then, problem ( P ) has at least a nontrivial and positive solution $(u, v) \in$ $C^{1, \alpha}(\Omega) \times C^{1, \alpha}(\Omega), 0<\alpha<1$, for $\lambda$ and $\mu$ large. In addition,

$$
\lambda^{1 /(p-1)} \frac{L^{1 /(p-1)}}{2}\left\|\phi_{p, \omega}\right\|_{\infty} \leq\|u\|_{\infty} \leq C_{\lambda}\left\|\phi_{p, \omega}\right\|_{\infty}
$$

and

$$
\mu^{1 /(q-1)} \frac{L^{1 /(q-1)}}{2} \phi_{q, \rho} \leq\|v\|_{\infty} \leq \mu^{1 /(q-1)} \widetilde{g}\left(C_{\lambda}\left\|\phi_{p, \omega}\right\|_{\infty}\right)^{1 /(q-1)} \phi_{q, \rho}
$$

where $C_{\lambda}$ is a large constant which depends only upon $\lambda$, and $L$ is a constant which depends upon $p, q, \omega, \rho$ and $\Omega$.

We do not impose sign conditions in $f(0)$ or $g(0)$, and $f$ and $g$ are not necessarily monotonic. The semi-positone case is considered
to be a very challenging problem for partial differential equations (for more details regarding semi-positone problems, see [2] and the references therein). The main result is obtained as an application of the Schauder fixed point theorem. For completeness, we present the following theorem [5, Proof].

Theorem 1.2 (Schauder fixed point theorem). Let $T: X \rightarrow X$ be a completely continuous operator, where $X$ is a Banach space. If $K \subset X$ is a nonempty convex, bounded, closed set, and $T(K) \subset K$, then $T$ has at least one fixed point in $K$.

The main motivation for this paper was the research of Dalmasso [4] and Hai and Shivaji [9]. In [4], problem (P) was considered, where $\Omega$ is a bounded and smooth domain, under the assumptions that $p=q=2$, $\omega=\rho \equiv 1$ and $\lambda=\mu$. The nonlinearities $f$ and $g$ are non negatives, at least continuous if $N=1$, and locally Holder continuous with exponent $\beta \in(0 ; 1]$ if $N \geq 2$, as well as non-decreasing functions. The main strategy used was a representation formula via the Green function and the Schauder fixed point theorem. Hai and Shivaji, where $p=q=2$ [9], extended the study of [4] to the semi positone case without assuming monotonic conditions on $f$ and $g$. In [10], Hai and Shivaji considered system (P) when $p=q, \omega=\rho$ and $\lambda=\mu$, and $f$ and $g$ are also considered to be continuously differentiable and satisfy (H1) in addition to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{f\left(M g(x)^{1 /(p-1)}\right)}{x^{p-1}}=0 \quad \text { for every } M>0 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The authors have dealt with the semi positone case; however, $f$ and $g$ should be monotonic functions. Their approach was based on the sub and supersolution methods. In [6], Hai dealt with system (P) when $p, q>1, \omega=\rho=1$, and the nonlinearities $f$ and $g$ are positives, that is, the semi-positone case is not considered, continuous, and nondecreasing in $[0,+\infty), g(x)>0$ for $x>0$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \limsup _{x \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{f^{1 /(p-1)}\left(c g^{1 /(q-1)}(x)\right)}{x}=\infty \\
& \liminf _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f^{1 /(p-1)}\left(c g^{1 /(q-1)}(x)\right)}{x}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Maximum principle and fixed point arguments were applied to guarantee the existence of the solution when the nonlinearities are possibly singular at 0 .

Chhetri, Hai and Shivaji [3] proved the existence of a radial solution when $p=q, \lambda=\mu$ large and $\Omega$ is an annulus. For a general bounded region $\Omega$, a non-existence result was presented for the case where $f(0)<0, g(0)<0$ and small $\lambda$.

The case $\lambda=\mu=1, f$ and $g$ non-negatives, was also considered by Martins and Ferreira [12] when $f$ and $g$ have local behavior. The result was obtained when there exist positive constants $0<\delta<M$ such that
(a) $0 \leq f(v) \leq k_{1} M^{p-1}, 0 \leq g(u) \leq k_{1} M^{q-1}$ if $0 \leq u, v \leq M$;
(b) $f(v) \geq k_{2} v^{p-1}$ if $0 \leq v \leq \delta$;
where constants $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ depend only upon $\omega, \rho$ and $\Omega$. No conditions at $\infty$ were imposed, but the semi-positone case was not considered.

In this paper, besides considering the semi-positone case, we do not impose any monotonic conditions on $f$ and $g$. Our strategy is to apply the Schauder fixed point theorem.
2. Existence result proof. In this section, we present the proof of Theorem 1.1 for the radial case:

$$
\Omega=B_{1}(0)=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}:|x|<1\right\}
$$

where the weight functions are radials. In this manner, an existence result is proven for the system
$\left(\mathrm{P}_{B}\right) \quad \begin{cases}-\left(r^{N-1} \psi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}(r)\right)\right)^{\prime}=\lambda r^{N-1} \omega(r) f(v(r)) & \text { in } B_{1}(0), \\ -\left(r^{N-1} \psi_{q}(v(r))\right)^{\prime}=\mu r^{N-1} \rho(r) g(u(r)) & \text { in } B_{1}(0), \\ (u, v)=(0,0) & \text { on } \partial B_{1}(0),\end{cases}$
where $\psi_{m}(t)=|t|^{m-2} t$.
For $m>1$, let $m^{\prime}$ be the conjugate of $m$, that is, $1 / m+1 / m^{\prime}=1$. It is easy to see that $(u, v)$ is a pair of radial solutions of $\left(\mathrm{P}_{B}\right)$ if, and only if, $(u, v)$ is a fixed point of

$$
T: C\left(B_{1}, \mathbb{R}\right) \longrightarrow C\left(B_{1}, \mathbb{R}\right)
$$

given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(u, v)=\left(T_{1}(u, v), T_{2}(u, v)\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
T_{1}(u(r), v(r))=\lambda^{1 /(p-1)} \int_{r}^{1} \psi_{p^{\prime}}\left(\int_{0}^{\theta}\left(\frac{s}{\theta}\right)^{N-1} w(s) f(v(s)) d s\right) d \theta
$$

and

$$
T_{2}(u(r), v(r))=\mu^{1 /(q-1)} \int_{r}^{1} \psi_{q^{\prime}}\left(\int_{0}^{\theta}\left(\frac{s}{\theta}\right)^{N-1} \rho(s) g(u(s)) d s\right) d \theta
$$

It is well known that, in the radial case, the function that solves (1.1) is given by

$$
\phi_{m, h}(r)=\int_{r}^{1} \psi_{m^{\prime}}\left(\int_{0}^{\theta}\left(\frac{s}{\theta}\right)^{N-1} h(s) d s\right) d \theta
$$

Observe that $\phi_{m, h}$ is positive and decreasing for $r \in[0,1]$.
For $m>1$ and a continuous, non negative and radial function $h: B_{1}(0) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, let $\tau_{m, h} \in(0,1)$ be chosen such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\tau_{m, h} / 2}^{\tau_{m, h}} \psi_{m^{\prime}}\left(\int_{0}^{\theta}\left(\frac{s}{\theta}\right)^{N-1} h(s) d s\right) d \theta>\phi_{m, h}\left(\tau_{m, h}\right)>0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also define

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{m, h}=\left(\frac{2 \psi_{m^{\prime}}(\sigma) \phi_{m, \omega}\left(\tau_{m, h}\right)}{\int_{\tau_{m, h} / 2}^{\tau_{m, h}} \psi_{m^{\prime}}\left(\int_{0}^{\theta}(s / \theta)^{N-1} h(s) d s\right) d \theta-\phi_{m, h}\left(\tau_{m, h}\right)}\right)^{m-1}>0 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\max \left\{L_{p, \omega}, L_{q, \rho}\right\} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\underline{u}(r), \underline{v}(r))=\left(\lambda^{1 /(p-1)} \frac{L^{1 /(p-1)}}{2} \phi_{p, \omega}(r), \mu^{1 /(q-1)} \frac{L^{1 /(q-1)}}{2} \phi_{q, \rho}(r)\right) . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The next lemma is required for the proof of Theorem 1.1 in the radial case.

Lemma 2.1. Let $G$ and $H$ be such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
G(v)(r)= & \int_{r}^{1} \psi_{p^{\prime}}\left(\int_{0}^{\theta}\left(\frac{s}{\theta}\right)^{N-1} \omega(s) f(v(s)) d s\right) d \theta \\
& -\frac{L^{1 /(p-1)}}{2} \int_{r}^{1} \psi_{p^{\prime}}\left(\int_{0}^{\theta}\left(\frac{s}{\theta}\right)^{N-1} \omega(s) d s\right) d \theta
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
H(u)(r)= & \int_{r}^{1} \psi_{q^{\prime}}\left(\int_{0}^{\theta}\left(\frac{s}{\theta}\right)^{N-1} \rho(s) g(u(s)) d s\right) d \theta \\
& -\frac{L^{1 /(q-1)}}{2} \int_{r}^{1} \psi_{q^{\prime}}\left(\int_{0}^{\theta}\left(\frac{s}{\theta}\right)^{N-1} \rho(s) d s\right) d \theta
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, there exists a pair $\left(\lambda^{*}, \mu^{*}\right)>(0,0)$ such that

$$
G(u, v)(r), H(u, v)(r) \geq 0
$$

for every $(u, v) \geq(\underline{u}, \underline{v})$ and $(\lambda, \mu) \geq\left(\lambda^{*}, \mu^{*}\right)$.

Proof. We present the proof for $G$, although the proof of $H$ may be obtained using the same method. In order to simplify notation, we denote $\tau_{p, \omega}$ by $\tau$, and divide the proof into two cases.

Case (i). $r \in[0, \tau / 2)$. In this case, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
G(v)(r)= & \int_{r}^{1} \psi_{p^{\prime}}\left(\int_{0}^{\theta}\left(\frac{s}{\theta}\right)^{N-1} w(s) f(v(s)) d s\right) d \theta  \tag{2.6}\\
& -\frac{L^{1 /(p-1)}}{2} \int_{r}^{1} \psi_{p^{\prime}}\left(\int_{0}^{\theta}\left(\frac{s}{\theta}\right)^{N-1} w(s) d s\right) d \theta \\
= & \int_{r}^{\tau} \psi_{p^{\prime}}\left(\int_{0}^{\theta}\left(\frac{s}{\theta}\right)^{N-1} w(s) f(v(s)) d s\right) \\
& -\frac{L^{1 /(p-1)}}{2} \psi_{p^{\prime}}\left(\int_{0}^{\theta}\left(\frac{s}{\theta}\right)^{N-1} w(s) d s\right) d \theta \\
& +\int_{\tau}^{1} \psi_{p^{\prime}}\left(\int_{0}^{\theta}\left(\frac{s}{\theta}\right)^{N-1} w(s) f(v(s)) d s\right) \\
& -\frac{L^{1 /(p-1)}}{2} \psi_{p^{\prime}}\left(\int_{0}^{\theta}\left(\frac{s}{\theta}\right)^{N-1} w(s) d s\right) d \theta
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\phi_{m, h}$ is a positive and decreasing function, it follows that, for all $r \in[0, \tau / 2)$, we have

$$
\underline{v}(r)=\mu^{1 /(q-1)} \frac{L^{1 /(q-1)}}{2} \phi_{q, \rho}(r) \geq \mu^{1 /(q-1)} \frac{L^{1 /(q-1)}}{2} \phi_{q, \rho}\left(\frac{\tau}{2}\right)>0
$$

that is,

$$
v(r)>\underline{v}(r) \geq \mu^{1 /(q-1)} \frac{L^{1 /(q-1)}}{2} \phi_{q, \rho}(\tau / 2)>0
$$

By (H1), there exists a $\mu_{1}>0$ large enough such that $\mu>\mu_{1}$ implies that $f(v(s)) \geq L$ for all $s \in[0, \tau / 2)$. Then, in (2.6), we can write

$$
\begin{align*}
G(v)(r) \geq & \int_{r}^{\tau} L^{1 /(p-1)} \psi_{p^{\prime}}\left(\int_{0}^{\theta}\left(\frac{s}{\theta}\right)^{N-1} w(s) d s\right)  \tag{2.7}\\
& -\frac{L^{1 /(p-1)}}{2} \psi_{p^{\prime}}\left(\int_{0}^{\theta}\left(\frac{s}{\theta}\right)^{N-1} w(s) d s\right) d \theta \\
& +\int_{\tau}^{1} \psi_{p^{\prime}}\left(\int_{0}^{\theta}\left(\frac{s}{\theta}\right)^{N-1} w(s) f(v(s)) d s\right) \\
& -\frac{L^{1 /(p-1)}}{2} \psi_{p^{\prime}}\left(\int_{0}^{\theta}\left(\frac{s}{\theta}\right)^{N-1} w(s) d s\right) d \theta
\end{align*}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{align*}
G(v)(r) \geq & \frac{L^{1 /(p-1)}}{2} \int_{r}^{\tau} \psi_{p^{\prime}}\left(\int_{0}^{\theta}\left(\frac{s}{\theta}\right)^{N-1} w(s) d s\right) d \theta  \tag{2.8}\\
& +\int_{\tau}^{1} \psi_{p^{\prime}}\left(\int_{0}^{\theta}\left(\frac{s}{\theta}\right)^{N-1} w(s) f(v(s)) d s\right) d \theta \\
& -\frac{L^{1 /(p-1)}}{2} \phi_{p, \omega}(\tau) .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $r \in[0, \tau / 2)$, we can write

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{L^{1 /(p-1)}}{2} \int_{r}^{\tau} \psi_{p^{\prime}}\left(\int_{0}^{\theta}\left(\frac{s}{\theta}\right)^{N-1} w(s) d s\right) d \theta  \tag{2.9}\\
& \quad \geq \frac{L^{1 /(p-1)}}{2} \int_{\tau / 2}^{\tau} \psi_{p^{\prime}}\left(\int_{0}^{\theta}\left(\frac{s}{\theta}\right)^{N-1} w(s) d s\right) d \theta
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, by (H0), it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\tau}^{1} \psi_{p^{\prime}}\left(\int_{0}^{\theta}\left(\frac{s}{\theta}\right)^{N-1} w(s) f(v(s)) d s\right) d \theta  \tag{2.10}\\
& \geq-\psi_{p^{\prime}}(\sigma) \int_{\tau}^{1} \psi_{p^{\prime}}\left(\int_{0}^{\theta}\left(\frac{s}{\theta}\right)^{N-1} w(s) d s\right) d \theta
\end{align*}
$$

Applying (2.9) and (2.10) to (2.8), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
G(v)(r) \geq & \frac{L^{1 /(p-1)}}{2} \int_{\tau / 2}^{\tau} \psi_{p^{\prime}}\left(\int_{0}^{\theta}\left(\frac{s}{\theta}\right)^{N-1} w(s) d s\right) d \theta \\
& -\psi_{p^{\prime}}(\sigma) \int_{\tau}^{1} \psi_{p^{\prime}}\left(\int_{0}^{\theta}\left(\frac{s}{\theta}\right)^{N-1} w(s) d s\right) d \theta \\
& -\frac{L^{1 /(p-1)}}{2} \phi_{p, \omega}(\tau)
\end{aligned}
$$

this allows us to write

$$
\begin{aligned}
G(v)(r) \geq & \frac{L^{1 /(p-1)}}{2}\left(\int_{\tau / 2}^{\tau} \psi_{p^{\prime}}\left(\int_{0}^{\theta}\left(\frac{s}{\theta}\right)^{N-1} w(s) d s\right) d \theta-\phi_{p, \omega}(\tau)\right) \\
& -\psi_{p^{\prime}}(\sigma) \phi_{p, \omega}(\tau)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Case (ii). $r \in[\tau / 2,1]$. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{r}^{1} \psi_{p^{\prime}}\left(\int_{0}^{\theta}\left(\frac{s}{\theta}\right)^{N-1} w(s) f(v(s)) d s\right) d \theta \\
& \quad=\int_{r}^{1} \theta^{(1-N) /(p-1)} \psi_{p^{\prime}}\left(\int_{0}^{\theta} s^{N-1} \omega(s) f(v(s)) d s\right) d \theta
\end{aligned}
$$

we can rewrite $G$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
G(v)(r)= & \int_{r}^{1} \theta^{(1-N) /(p-1)} \psi_{p^{\prime}}\left(\int_{0}^{\theta} s^{N-1} \omega(s) f(v(s)) d s\right) d \theta \\
& -\frac{L^{1 /(p-1)}}{2} \phi_{p, \omega}(r)
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{align*}
G(v)(r)= & \int_{r}^{1} \theta^{(1-N) /(p-1)} \psi_{p^{\prime}}  \tag{2.11}\\
& \cdot\left(\left(\int_{0}^{\tau / 2} s^{N-1} \omega(s) f(v(s)) d s+\int_{\tau / 2}^{\theta} s^{N-1} \omega(s) f(v(s)) d s\right)\right) d \theta \\
& -\frac{L^{1 /(p-1)}}{2} \phi_{p, \omega}(r)
\end{align*}
$$

Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
C:=\frac{\sigma \int_{\tau / 2}^{1} s^{N-1} \omega(s) d s+\left(L / 2^{p-1}\right) \int_{0}^{1} s^{N-1} \omega(s) d s}{\int_{0}^{\tau / 2} s^{N-1} \omega(s) d s}>0 \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

As in Case (i), by (H1), there exists a $\mu_{2}>0$ such that $f(v(s)) \geq C$ for every $\mu>\mu_{2}$ and $s \in[0, \tau / 2]$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\tau / 2} s^{N-1} \omega(s) f(v(s)) d s \geq C \int_{0}^{\tau / 2} s^{N-1} \omega(s) d s \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (H0), (2.11) and (2.13), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
G(v)(r) \geq & \int_{r}^{1}\left(\theta^{(1-N) /(p-1)} \psi_{p^{\prime}}\right.  \tag{2.14}\\
& \left.\cdot\left(\int_{0}^{\tau / 2} s^{N-1} \omega(s) C d s-\sigma \int_{\tau / 2}^{\theta} s^{N-1} \omega(s) d s\right)\right) d \theta \\
& -\frac{L^{1 /(p-1)}}{2} \phi_{p, \omega}(r)
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, since

$$
\int_{\tau / 2}^{\theta} s^{N-1} \omega(s) d s \leq \int_{\tau / 2}^{1} s^{N-1} \omega(s) d s
$$

and
$\phi_{p, \omega}(r)=\int_{r}^{1} \theta^{(1-N) /(p-1)} \psi_{p^{\prime}}\left(\int_{0}^{\tau / 2} s^{N-1} \omega(s) d s+\int_{\tau / 2}^{\theta} s^{N-1} \omega(s) d s\right) d \theta$,
we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{p, \omega}(r) \leq \int_{r}^{1} \theta^{(1-N) /(p-1)} \psi_{p^{\prime}}\left(\int_{0}^{1} s^{N-1} \omega(s) d s\right) d \theta \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, using (2.11), (2.15) and (H0), it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
G(v)(r) \geq & \int_{r}^{1} \theta^{(1-N) /(p-1)} \psi_{p^{\prime}}\left(\left(\int_{0}^{\tau / 2} s^{N-1} \omega(s) C d s-\sigma \int_{\tau / 2}^{1} s^{N-1} \omega(s) d s\right)\right) d \theta \\
& -\frac{L^{1 /(p-1)}}{2} \int_{r}^{1} \theta^{(1-N) /(p-1)} \psi_{p^{\prime}}\left(\int_{0}^{1} s^{N-1} \omega(s) d s\right) d \theta
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, from (2.12), we have $G(v)(r) \geq 0$ for all $r \in[\tau / 2,1]$.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. According to Lemma 2.1, it follows that

$$
\left(T_{1}(u, v)(r), T_{2}(u, v)(r)\right) \geq(\underline{u}(r), \underline{v}(r))
$$

for every $r \in[0,1]$ and $(\lambda, \mu) \geq\left(\lambda^{*}, \mu^{*}\right)$. Define $\widetilde{g}(s)=\sup _{t \leq s} g(t)$, and let $(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) \geq(\underline{u}, \underline{v})$ be

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\bar{u}, \bar{v})(r)=\left(C_{\lambda} \phi_{p, \omega}(r), \mu^{1 /(q-1)} \widetilde{g}\left(C_{\lambda}\left\|\phi_{p, \omega}\right\|_{\infty}\right)^{1 /(q-1)} \phi_{q, \rho}(r)\right) \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{\lambda}$ is a constant to be chosen.
We claim that, if $(u, v) \leq(\bar{u}, \bar{v})$, then $T(u, v) \leq(\bar{u}, \bar{v})$. In fact, since $\widetilde{g}$ is an increasing function and $u \leq \bar{u}$, we have $\widetilde{g}(u) \leq \widetilde{g}(\bar{u})=\widetilde{g}\left(C_{\lambda} \phi_{p, \omega}\right)$. Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{2}(u, v)(r) & =\mu^{1 /(q-1)} \int_{r}^{1} \psi_{q^{\prime}}\left(\int_{0}^{\theta}\left(\frac{s}{\theta}\right)^{N-1} \rho(s) g(u(s)) d s\right) d \theta \\
& \leq \mu^{1 /(q-1)} \int_{r}^{1} \psi_{q^{\prime}}\left(\int_{0}^{\theta}\left(\frac{s}{\theta}\right)^{N-1} \rho(s) \widetilde{g}(u(s)) d s\right) d \theta \\
& \leq \mu^{1 /(q-1)} \int_{r}^{1} \psi_{q^{\prime}}\left(\int_{0}^{\theta}\left(\frac{s}{\theta}\right)^{N-1} \rho(s) \widetilde{g}\left(C_{\lambda} \phi_{p, \omega}\right) d s\right) d \theta \\
& \leq \mu^{1 /(q-1)} \widetilde{g}\left(C_{\lambda}\left\|\phi_{p, \omega}\right\|_{\infty}\right)^{1 /(q-1)} \int_{r}^{1} \psi_{q^{\prime}}\left(\int_{0}^{\theta}\left(\frac{s}{\theta}\right)^{N-1} \rho(s) d s\right) d \theta \\
& =\mu^{1 /(q-1)} \widetilde{g}\left(C_{\lambda}\left\|\phi_{p, \omega}\right\|_{\infty}\right)^{1 /(q-1)} \phi_{q, \rho}(r)=\bar{v}(r)
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, $v(s) \leq \bar{v}(s)=\mu^{1 /(q-1)} \widetilde{g}\left(C_{\lambda}\left\|\phi_{p, \omega}\right\|_{\infty} /\right)^{1 /(q-1)} \phi_{q, \rho}(r)$ implies that

$$
\widetilde{f}(v(s)) \leq \widetilde{f}\left(\mu^{1 /(q-1)} \widetilde{g}\left(C_{\lambda}\left\|\phi_{p, \omega}\right\|_{\infty}\right)^{1 /(q-1)} \phi_{q, \rho}(r)\right)
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{1}(u, v)(r) \\
& =\lambda^{1 /(p-1)} \int_{r}^{1} \psi_{p^{\prime}}\left(\int_{0}^{\theta}\left(\frac{s}{\theta}\right)^{N-1} w(s) f(v(s)) d s\right) d \theta \\
& \leq \lambda^{1 /(p-1)} \int_{r}^{1} \psi_{p^{\prime}}\left(\int_{0}^{\theta}\left(\frac{s}{\theta}\right)^{N-1} w(s) \widetilde{f}(v(s)) d s\right) d \theta \\
& \leq \lambda^{1 /(p-1)} \int_{r}^{1} \psi_{p^{\prime}}\left(\int_{0}^{\theta}\left(\frac{s}{\theta}\right)^{N-1} w(s)\right. \\
& \left.\cdot \tilde{f}\left(\mu^{1 /(q-1)} \widetilde{g}\left(C_{\lambda}\left\|\phi_{p, \omega}\right\|_{\infty}\right)^{1 /(q-1)} \phi_{q, \rho}\right) d s\right) d \theta \\
& \leq \lambda^{1 /(p-1)} \widetilde{f}^{1 /(p-1)}\left(\mu^{1 /(q-1)}\left\|\phi_{q, \rho}\right\|_{\infty} \widetilde{g}\left(C_{\lambda}\left\|\phi_{p, \omega}\right\|_{\infty}\right)^{1 /(q-1)}\right) \\
& \cdot \int_{r}^{1} \psi_{p^{\prime}}\left(\int_{0}^{\theta}\left(\frac{s}{\theta}\right)^{N-1} w(s) d s\right) d \theta \\
& \leq \lambda^{1 /(p-1)} \widetilde{f}^{1 /(p-1)}\left(\mu^{1 /(q-1)}\left\|\phi_{q, \rho}\right\|_{\infty} \widetilde{g}\left(C_{\lambda}\left\|\phi_{p, \omega}\right\|_{\infty}\right)^{1 /(q-1)}\right) \phi_{p, \omega}(r) .
\end{aligned}
$$

According to (H2), if $C_{\lambda}$ is large enough, it is possible to obtain $T_{1}(u, v) \leq C_{\lambda} \phi_{p, \omega}(r)$. Then, $[(\underline{u}, \underline{v}) ;(\bar{u}, \bar{v})]$ is invariant by $T$. Since this set is bounded, closed, convex, and $T$ is completely continuous, it follows that $T$ has a fixed point which is a solution of $(\mathrm{P})$.
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