NON-LINEAR λ -JORDAN TRIPLE *-DERIVATION ON PRIME *-ALGEBRAS

A. TAGHAVI, M. NOURI, M. RAZEGHI AND V. DARVISH

ABSTRACT. Let \mathcal{A} be a prime *-algebra and Φ a λ -Jordan triple derivation on A, that is, for every $A, B, C \in \mathcal{A}$, $\Phi(A \diamond_{\lambda} B \diamond_{\lambda} C) = \Phi(A) \diamond_{\lambda} B \diamond_{\lambda} C + A \diamond_{\lambda} \Phi(B) \diamond_{\lambda} C + A \diamond_{\lambda} B \diamond_{\lambda} \Phi(C)$, where $A \diamond_{\lambda} B = AB + \lambda BA^*$ such that a complex scalar $|\lambda| \neq 0, 1$, and Φ is additive. Moreover, if $\Phi(I)$ is self-adjoint, then Φ is a *-derivation.

1. Introduction. Let \mathcal{R} be a *-ring. For $A, B \in \mathcal{R}$, we denote by $A \diamond B = AB + BA^*$ and $[A, B]_* = AB - BA^*$, the *-Jordan product and the *-Lie product, respectively. These products have recently attracted many authors' attention (for example, see [2, 7, 10, 11]).

In addition, some authors have considered triple *-products of three elements. For example, the authors in [4] considered two von Neumann algebras \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} such that one of them has no central abelian projections. Let $\lambda \neq -1$ be a non-zero complex number, and let $\Phi: \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ be a, not necessarily linear, bijection with $\Phi(I) = I$. Then, Φ preserves the following condition

$$(1.1) \Phi(A \diamond_{\lambda} B \diamond_{\lambda} C) = \Phi(A) \diamond_{\lambda} \Phi(B) \diamond_{\lambda} \Phi(C),$$

for $A, B, C \in \mathcal{A}$ if and only if one of the following statements holds:

- $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, and there exists a central projection $P \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\Phi(P)$ is a central projection in \mathcal{B} , $\Phi|_{\mathcal{A}P}: \mathcal{A}P \to \mathcal{B}\Phi(P)$ is a linear *-isomorphism and $\Phi|_{\mathcal{A}(I-P)}: \mathcal{A}(I-P) \to \mathcal{B}(I-\Phi(P))$ is a conjugate linear *-isomorphism.
 - $\lambda \notin \mathbb{R}$, and Φ is a linear *-isomorphism.

The map Φ which holds in (1.1) preserves the λ -Jordan triple product. We should note that \diamond_{λ} is not necessarily associative. In order to clarify

²⁰¹⁰ AMS Mathematics subject classification. Primary 47B48, 46J10, 46L10. Keywords and phrases. Jordan triple derivation, prime *-algebra, additive map. Received by the editors on January 23, 2018, and in revised form on April 25, 2018.

this, we mention that

(1.2)

$$A \diamond_{\lambda} B \diamond_{\lambda} C := (A \diamond_{\lambda} B) \diamond_{\lambda} C = ABC + \lambda (BA^*C + CB^*A^*) + |\lambda|^2 CAB^*.$$

For more papers regarding maps preserving the triple product, the interested reader may refer to [3, 5, 8, 12]

We define λ -Jordan *-product by $A \diamond_{\lambda} B = AB + \lambda BA^*$. We say that the map Φ (not necessarily linear) with the property of $\Phi(A \diamond_{\lambda} B) = \Phi(A) \diamond_{\lambda} B + A \diamond_{\lambda} \Phi(B)$ is a λ -Jordan *-derivation map. It is clear that, for $\lambda = -1$ and $\lambda = 1$, the λ -Jordan *-derivation map is a *-Lie derivation and a *-Jordan derivation, respectively [1]. We should mention here that, whenever we say Φ is a derivation, it means that $\Phi(AB) = \Phi(A)B + A\Phi(B)$.

Recently, Yu and Zhang [14] proved that every non-linear *-Lie derivation from a factor von Neumann algebra into itself is an additive *-derivation. Also, Li, Lu and Fang [6] investigated a non-linear λ -Jordan *-derivation. They showed that, if $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a von Neumann algebra without central abelian projections and λ is a non-zero scaler, then

$$\Phi: \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$$

is a non-linear λ -Jordan *-derivation if and only if Φ is an additive *-derivation.

In [13], the authors showed that the *-Jordan derivation map, i.e., $\phi(A \diamond_1 B) = \phi(A) \diamond_1 B + A \diamond_1 \phi(B)$, on every factor von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is an additive *-derivation.

The authors in [9] introduced the concept of skew Lie triple derivations. A map

$$\Phi: \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$$

is a nonlinear skew Lie triple derivation if

$$\Phi([[A,B]_*,C]_*) = [[\Phi(A),B]_*,C]_* + [[A,\Phi(B)]_*,C]_* + [[A,B]_*,\Phi(C)]_*$$

for all $A, B, C \in \mathcal{A}$, where $[A, B]_* = AB - BA^*$. They showed that, if Φ preserves the above characterizations on factor von Neumann algebras, then Φ is additive *-derivation.

In this paper, motivated by the above results, we consider a map Φ on a prime *-algebra \mathcal{A} which holds under the following conditions

$$\Phi(A \diamond_{\lambda} B \diamond_{\lambda} C) = \Phi(A) \diamond_{\lambda} B \diamond_{\lambda} C + A \diamond_{\lambda} \Phi(B) \diamond_{\lambda} C) + A \diamond_{\lambda} B \diamond_{\lambda} \Phi(C),$$

where $A \diamond_{\lambda} B = AB + \lambda BA^*$ is such that a complex scalar $|\lambda| \neq 0, 1$, and Φ is additive. Also, if $\Phi(I)$ is self-adjoint, then Φ is a *-derivation. We say that \mathcal{A} is prime, that is, for $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$, if $A\mathcal{A}B = \{0\}$, then A = 0 or B = 0.

2. Main results. Our first theorem is as follows.

Theorem 2.1. Let A be a prime *-algebra with unit I and a nontrivial projection. Then, the map $\Phi: A \to A$ satisfies the following condition

$$(2.1) \ \Phi(A \diamond_{\lambda} B \diamond_{\lambda} C) = \Phi(A) \diamond_{\lambda} B \diamond_{\lambda} C + A \diamond_{\lambda} \Phi(B) \diamond_{\lambda} C + A \diamond_{\lambda} B \diamond_{\lambda} \Phi(C),$$

where $A \diamond_{\lambda} B = AB + \lambda BA^*$ is such that a complex scalar, $|\lambda| \neq 0, 1$, is additive.

Proof. Let P_1 be a nontrivial projection in \mathcal{A} and $P_2 = I_{\mathcal{A}} - P_1$. Denote $\mathcal{A}_{ij} = P_i \mathcal{A} P_j$, i, j = 1, 2. Then,

$$\mathcal{A} = \sum_{i, j=1}^{2} \mathcal{A}_{ij}.$$

For every $A \in \mathcal{A}$, we may write $A = A_{11} + A_{12} + A_{21} + A_{22}$. In all which follows, when we write A_{ij} , it indicates that $A_{ij} \in \mathcal{A}_{ij}$. In order to show additivity of Φ on \mathcal{A} , we use the above partition of \mathcal{A} and provide some claims which prove that Φ is additive on each \mathcal{A}_{ij} , i, j = 1, 2.

The above theorem is proven by several claims.

Claim 2.2. We show that $\Phi(0) = 0$.

Proof. If $\Phi(0) \neq 0$, then, by successively putting A = 0, B = 0, and then C = 0 in (1.2), we obtain a contradiction.

Claim 2.3. For each $A_{12} \in A_{12}$ and $A_{21} \in A_{21}$, we have

$$\Phi(A_{12} + A_{21}) = \Phi(A_{12}) + \Phi(A_{21}).$$

Proof. We show that

$$T = \Phi(A_{12} + A_{21}) - \Phi(A_{12}) - \Phi(A_{21}) = 0.$$

We can write:

$$\begin{split} \Phi(I) \diamond_{\lambda} & (P_{1} - P_{2}) \diamond_{\lambda} (A_{12} + A_{21}) + I \diamond_{\lambda} \Phi(P_{1} - P_{2}) \diamond_{\lambda} (A_{12} + A_{21}) \\ & + I \diamond_{\lambda} (P_{1} - P_{2}) \diamond_{\lambda} \Phi(A_{12} + A_{21}) \\ &= \Phi(I \diamond_{\lambda} (P_{1} - P_{2}) \diamond_{\lambda} (A_{12} + A_{21})) \\ &= \Phi(I \diamond_{\lambda} (P_{1} - P_{2}) \diamond_{\lambda} A_{12}) + \Phi(I \diamond_{\lambda} (P_{1} - P_{2}) \diamond_{\lambda} A_{21}) \\ &= \Phi(I) \diamond_{\lambda} (P_{1} - P_{2}) \diamond_{\lambda} (A_{12} + A_{21}) + I \diamond_{\lambda} \Phi(P_{1} - P_{2}) \diamond_{\lambda} (A_{12} + A_{21}) \\ &+ I \diamond_{\lambda} (P_{1} - P_{2}) \diamond_{\lambda} \Phi(A_{12}) + \Phi(A_{21}). \end{split}$$

Thus, we have

$$I \diamond_{\lambda} (P_1 - P_2) \diamond_{\lambda} T = 0.$$

Since $T = T_{11} + T_{12} + T_{21} + T_{22}$, then

$$(1+2\lambda+|\lambda|^2)T_{11}+(1-|\lambda|^2)T_{12}+(1-|\lambda|^2)T_{21}-(1+2\lambda+|\lambda|^2)T_{22}=0.$$

We know that $|\lambda| \neq 0, 1$. Then,

$$T_{11} = T_{12} = T_{21} = T_{22} = 0.$$

Claim 2.4. For each $A_{11} \in \mathcal{A}_{11}$, $A_{12} \in \mathcal{A}_{12}$, $A_{21} \in \mathcal{A}_{21}$, we have $\Phi(A_{11} + A_{12} + A_{21}) = \Phi(A_{11}) + \Phi(A_{12}) + \Phi(A_{21})$.

Proof. We show that, for T in \mathcal{A} , the following holds:

$$(2.2) T = \Phi(A_{11} + A_{12} + A_{21}) - \Phi(A_{11}) - \Phi(A_{12}) - \Phi(A_{21}) = 0.$$

We can write

$$\begin{split} \Phi(I) \diamond_{\lambda} \left(P_{1} - P_{2} \right) \diamond_{\lambda} \left(A_{11} + A_{12} + A_{21} \right) \\ &+ I \diamond_{\lambda} \Phi(P_{1} - P_{2}) \diamond_{\lambda} \left(A_{11} + A_{12} + A_{21} \right) \\ &+ I \diamond_{\lambda} \left(P_{1} - P_{2} \right) \diamond_{\lambda} \Phi(A_{11} + A_{12} + A_{21}) \\ &= \Phi(I \diamond_{\lambda} \left(P_{1} - P_{2} \right) \diamond_{\lambda} \left(A_{11} + A_{12} + A_{21} \right) \right) \\ &= \Phi(I \diamond_{\lambda} \left(P_{1} - P_{2} \right) \diamond_{\lambda} A_{11} \right) + \Phi(I \diamond_{\lambda} \left(P_{1} + P_{2} \right) \diamond_{\lambda} A_{12}) \\ &+ \Phi(I \diamond_{\lambda} \left(P_{1} - P_{2} \right) \diamond_{\lambda} A_{21} \right) \\ &= \Phi(I) \diamond_{\lambda} \left(P_{1} - P_{2} \right) \diamond_{\lambda} \left(A_{11} + A_{12} + A_{21} \right) \end{split}$$

$$+ I \diamond_{\lambda} \Phi(P_1 - P_2) \diamond_{\lambda} (A_{11} + A_{12} + A_{21}) + I \diamond_{\lambda} (P_1 - P_2) \diamond_{\lambda} (\Phi(A_{11}) + \Phi(A_{12}) + \Phi(A_{21})).$$

Then, we have

$$I \diamond_{\lambda} (P_1 - P_2) \diamond_{\lambda} T = 0.$$

Since $T = T_{11} + T_{12} + T_{21} + T_{22}$, we obtain

$$(1+2\lambda+|\lambda|^2)T_{11}+(1-\lambda|^2)T_{12}+(1-|\lambda|^2)T_{21}-(1+2\lambda+|\lambda|^2)T_{22}=0.$$

Since $|\lambda| \neq 0, 1$, we have

$$T_{11} = T_{12} = T_{21} = T_{22} = 0.$$

Claim 2.5. For each $A_{11} \in A_{11}$, $A_{12} \in A_{12}$, $A_{21} \in A_{21}$, $A_{22} \in A_{22}$, we have:

$$\Phi(A_{11} + A_{12} + A_{21} + A_{22}) = \Phi(A_{11}) + \Phi(A_{12}) + \Phi(A_{21}) + \Phi(A_{22}).$$

Proof. We show that, for T in A, the following holds: (2.3)

$$T = \Phi(A_{11} + A_{12} + A_{21} + A_{22}) - \Phi(A_{11}) - \Phi(A_{12}) - \Phi(A_{21}) - \Phi(A_{22}) = 0.$$

From Claim 2.4, we can write

$$\begin{split} &\Phi(P_{1}) \diamond_{\lambda} I \diamond_{\lambda} (A_{11} + A_{12} + A_{21} + A_{22}) \\ &+ P_{1} \diamond_{\lambda} \Phi(I) \diamond_{\lambda} (A_{11} + A_{12} + A_{21} + A_{22}) \\ &+ P_{1} \diamond_{\lambda} I \diamond_{\lambda} \Phi(A_{11} + A_{12} + A_{21} + A_{22}) \\ &= \Phi(P_{1} \diamond_{\lambda} I \diamond_{\lambda} (A_{11} + A_{12} + A_{21} + A_{22}) \\ &= \Phi(P_{1} \diamond_{\lambda} I \diamond_{\lambda} (A_{11} + A_{12} + A_{21}) + \Phi(P_{1} \diamond_{\lambda} I \diamond_{\lambda} A_{22}) \\ &= \Phi(P_{1}) \diamond_{\lambda} I \diamond_{\lambda} (A_{11} + A_{12} + A_{21}) + \Phi(P_{1} \diamond_{\lambda} I \diamond_{\lambda} A_{22}) \\ &= \Phi(P_{1}) \diamond_{\lambda} I \diamond_{\lambda} (A_{11}) + \Phi(P_{1} \diamond_{\lambda} I \diamond_{\lambda} A_{22}) \\ &= \Phi(P_{1}) \diamond_{\lambda} I \diamond_{\lambda} (A_{11} + A_{12} + A_{21} + A_{22}) \\ &+ P_{1} \diamond_{\lambda} \Phi(I) \diamond_{\lambda} (A_{11} + A_{12} + A_{21} + A_{22}) \\ &+ P_{1} \diamond_{\lambda} I \diamond_{\lambda} (\Phi(A_{11}) + \Phi(A_{12}) + \Phi(A_{21}) + \Phi(A_{22})). \end{split}$$

Then, we have

$$P_1 \diamond_{\lambda} I \diamond_{\lambda} T = 0.$$

Thus,

$$(1+2\lambda+|\lambda|^2)T_{11}+(1+\lambda)T_{12}+(\lambda+|\lambda|^2)T_{21}=0.$$

Therefore, $T_{11}=T_{21}=T_{12}=0$. Similarly, we can show that $T_{22}=0$.

Claim 2.6. For each $A_{ij}, B_{ij} \in A_{ij}$ such that $i \neq j$, we have

$$\Phi(A_{ij} + B_{ij}) = \Phi(A_{ij}) + \Phi(B_{ij}).$$

Proof. For $R_{ij}, S_{ij} \in \mathcal{A}_{ij}$, we have (2.4)

$$\stackrel{f}{\vee}_{\lambda} (R_{ij} + P_i) \diamond_{\lambda} (P_j + S_{ij}) = (1 + \lambda) S_{ij} + (1 + \lambda) R_{ij}
+ (\lambda + |\lambda|^2) R_{ij}^* + (\lambda + |\lambda|^2) S_{ij} R_{ij}^*.$$

From equation (2.4), we have

$$\Phi((1+\lambda)(R_{ij}+S_{ij}) + \Phi((\lambda+|\lambda|^2)R_{ij}^*) + \Phi((\lambda+|\lambda|^2)S_{ij}R_{ij}^*)
= \Phi(I \diamond_{\lambda} (R_{ij}+P_i) \diamond_{\lambda} (P_j+S_{ij}))
= \Phi(I) \diamond_{\lambda} (R_{ij}+P_i) \diamond_{\lambda} (P_j+S_{ij})
+ I \diamond_{\lambda} \Phi(R_{ij}+P_i) \diamond_{\lambda} (P_j+S_{ij}) + I \diamond_{\lambda} (R_{ij}+P_i) \diamond_{\lambda} \Phi(P_j+S_{ij})
= \Phi(I \diamond_{\lambda} R_{ij} \diamond_{\lambda} S_{ij}) + \Phi(I \diamond_{\lambda} P_i \diamond_{\lambda} P_j) + \Phi(I \diamond_{\lambda} P_i \diamond_{\lambda} S_{ij})
+ \Phi(I \diamond_{\lambda} R_{ij} \diamond_{\lambda} P_j)
= \Phi((1+\lambda)R_{ij}) + \Phi((\lambda+|\lambda|^2)R_{ij}^*)
+ \Phi((\lambda+|\lambda|^2)S_{ij}R_{ii}^*) + \Phi((1+\lambda)S_{ij}).$$

Hence,

$$\Phi((1+\lambda)(R_{ij} + S_{ij})) = \Phi((1+\lambda)R_{ij}) + \Phi((1+\lambda)S_{ij}).$$

Let $A_{ij} = (1 + \lambda)R_{ij}$ and $B_{ij} = (1 + \lambda)S_{ij}$. Then, we have

$$\Phi(A_{ij} + B_{ij}) = \Phi(A_{ij}) + \Phi(B_{ij}). \qquad \Box$$

Claim 2.7. For each $A_{ii}, B_{ii} \in A_{ii}$ such that $1 \le i \le 2$, we have

$$\Phi(A_{ii} + B_{ii}) = \Phi(A_{ii}) + \Phi(B_{ii}).$$

Proof. We show that

$$T = \Phi(A_{ii} + B_{ii}) - \Phi(A_{ii}) - \Phi(B_{ii}) = 0.$$

We can write, for $j \neq i$,

$$\begin{split} \Phi(P_j) \diamond_{\lambda} P_j \diamond_{\lambda} (A_{ii} + B_{ii}) + P_j \diamond_{\lambda} \Phi(P_j) \diamond_{\lambda} (A_{ii} + B_{ii}) \\ + P_j \diamond_{\lambda} P_j \diamond_{\lambda} \Phi(A_{ii} + B_{ii}) \\ = \Phi(P_j \diamond_{\lambda} P_j \diamond_{\lambda} (A_{ii} + B_{ii})) \\ = \Phi(P_j \diamond_{\lambda} P_j \diamond_{\lambda} (A_{ii} + B_{ii})) \\ = \Phi(P_j \diamond_{\lambda} P_j \diamond_{\lambda} A_{ii}) + \Phi(P_j \diamond_{\lambda} P_j \diamond_{\lambda} B_{ii}) \\ = \Phi(P_j) \diamond_{\lambda} P_j \diamond_{\lambda} (A_{ii} + B_{ii}) + P_j \diamond_{\lambda} \Phi(P_j) \diamond_{\lambda} (A_{ii} + B_{ii}) \\ + P_j \diamond_{\lambda} P_j \diamond_{\lambda} (\Phi(A_{ii}) + \Phi(B_{ii})). \end{split}$$

Therefore,

$$P_j \diamond_{\lambda} P_j \diamond_{\lambda} T = 0.$$

Thus,

$$(1+2\lambda+|\lambda|^2)T_{jj}+(1+\lambda)T_{ji}+(\lambda+|\lambda|^2)T_{ij}=0.$$
 It follows that $T_{ij}=T_{ji}=T_{jj}=0.$

From Claim 2.6, for every $C_{ij} \in \mathcal{A}_{ij}$, we have

$$\begin{split} &\Phi(P_i) \diamond_{\lambda} \left(A_{ii} + B_{ii}\right) \diamond_{\lambda} C_{ij} + P_i \diamond_{\lambda} \Phi(A_{ii} + B_{ii}) \diamond_{\lambda} C_{ij} \\ &\quad + P_i \diamond_{\lambda} \left(A_{ii} + B_{ii}\right) \diamond_{\lambda} \Phi(C_{ij}) \\ &= \Phi(P_i \diamond_{\lambda} \left(A_{ii} + B_{ii}\right) \diamond_{\lambda} C_{ij}) \\ &= \Phi(P_i \diamond_{\lambda} A_{ii} \diamond_{\lambda} C_{ij}) + \Phi(P_i \diamond_{\lambda} B_{ii} \diamond_{\lambda} C_{ij}) \\ &= \Phi(P_i) \diamond_{\lambda} \left(A_{ii} + B_{ii}\right) \diamond_{\lambda} C_{ij} + P_i \diamond_{\lambda} \left(\Phi(A_{ii}) + \Phi(B_{ii})\right) \diamond_{\lambda} C_{ij} \\ &\quad + P_i \diamond_{\lambda} \left(A_{ii} + B_{ii}\right) \diamond_{\lambda} \Phi(C_{ij}). \end{split}$$

Thus,

$$P_i \diamond_1 T \diamond_1 C_{ii} = 0.$$

By primeness, and since $T = T_{11} + T_{12} + T_{21} + T_{22}$, we obtain $T_{ii} = 0$. Hence, the additivity of Φ comes from Claims 2.2–2.7.

In the remainder of the paper, we show that Φ is a *-derivation.

Theorem 2.8. Let A be a prime *-algebra. Let the map

$$\Phi: \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$$

satisfy the condition

(2.5)
$$\Phi(A \diamond_{\lambda} B \diamond_{\lambda} C) = \Phi(A) \diamond_{\lambda} B \diamond_{\lambda} C + A \diamond_{\lambda} \Phi(B) \diamond_{\lambda} C + A \diamond_{\lambda} B \diamond_{\lambda} \Phi(C),$$

where $A \diamond_{\lambda} B = AB + \lambda BA^*$ for $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$. If $\Phi(I)$ is self-adjoint, then Φ is a *-derivation.

Proof. We present the proof of the above theorem with several claims. From Theorem 2.1, we need to prove that Φ is self-adjoint and has the derivation property.

Claim 2.9. If $\Phi(I)$ is self-adjoint, then $\Phi(iI) = \Phi(I) = 0$.

Proof. It is easy to verify that

$$I \diamond_{\lambda} iI \diamond_{\lambda} iI = iI \diamond_{\lambda} iI \diamond_{\lambda} I.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{split} \Phi(I) \diamond_{\lambda} iI \diamond_{\lambda} iI + I \diamond_{\lambda} \Phi(iI) \diamond_{\lambda} iI + I \diamond_{\lambda} iI \diamond_{\lambda} \Phi(iI) \\ &= \Phi(iI) \diamond_{\lambda} iI \diamond_{\lambda} I + iI \diamond_{\lambda} \Phi(iI) \diamond_{\lambda} I + iI \diamond_{\lambda} iI \diamond_{\lambda} \Phi(I). \end{split}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{split} 2i\Phi(iI) - |\lambda|^2 i\Phi(iI) + |\lambda|^2 i\Phi(iI)^* + \lambda i\Phi(iI) + \lambda i\Phi(iI)^* - \Phi(I) + |\lambda|^2 |\Phi(I)| \\ &= -\Phi(I) + |\lambda|^2 \Phi(I) + 2i\Phi(iI) - \lambda i\Phi(iI) - \lambda i\Phi(iI)^* \\ &- |\lambda|^2 i\Phi(iI) + |\lambda|^2 i\Phi(iI)^*. \end{split}$$

Then,

$$2\lambda i(\Phi(iI) + \Phi(iI)^*) = 0,$$

which gives

(2.6)
$$\Phi(iI)^* = -\Phi(iI).$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\Phi(iI \diamond_{\lambda} iI \diamond_{\lambda} iI) = -\Phi(I \diamond_{\lambda} iI \diamond_{\lambda} I).$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{split} \Phi(iI) \diamond_{\lambda} iI \diamond_{\lambda} iI + iI \diamond_{\lambda} \Phi(iI) \diamond_{\lambda} iI + iI \diamond_{\lambda} iI \diamond_{\lambda} \Phi(iI) \\ &= -(\Phi(I) \diamond_{\lambda} iI \diamond_{\lambda} I + I \diamond_{\lambda} \Phi(iI) \diamond_{\lambda} I + I \diamond_{\lambda} iI \diamond_{\lambda} \Phi(I)). \end{split}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{split} -3\Phi(iI) + \lambda \Phi(iI) + \lambda \Phi(iI)^* + 2|\lambda|^2 \Phi(iI) - |\lambda|^2 \Phi(iI)^* &= -2i\Phi(I) \\ -\lambda \Phi(iI) - \lambda \Phi(iI)^* + 2|\lambda|^2 i\Phi(iI) - |\lambda|^2 \Phi(iI)^* - \Phi(iI). \end{split}$$

From (2.6), we have

$$-3\Phi(iI) + \lambda\Phi(iI) - \lambda\Phi(iI) + 2|\lambda|^2\Phi(iI) + |\lambda|^2\Phi(iI) = -2i\Phi(I)$$
$$-\lambda\Phi(iI) + \lambda\Phi(iI) + 2|\lambda|^2i\Phi(iI) + |\lambda|^2\Phi(iI) - \Phi(iI).$$

Equivalently, we obtain

$$(2.7) -2\Phi(iI) + 2|\lambda|^2\Phi(iI) + 2i\Phi(I) - 2|\lambda|^2i\Phi(iI) = 0.$$

By taking the adjoint of (2.7), we obtain

$$(2.8) 2\Phi(iI) - 2|\lambda|^2\Phi(iI) - 2i\Phi(I) - 2|\lambda|^2i\Phi(iI) = 0.$$

From (2.7) and (2.8), we obtain

$$\Phi(iI) = 0.$$

In addition, by (2.8) and (2.9), we have $\Phi(I) = 0$.

Claim 2.10. We prove that Φ preserves the star.

Proof. Since $\Phi(iI) = 0$, we have

$$\Phi(A \diamond_{\lambda} iI \diamond_{\lambda} iI) = \Phi(A) \diamond_{\lambda} iI \diamond_{\lambda} iI.$$

It follows that

$$\Phi(-A - \lambda A^* + \lambda A^* + |\lambda|^2 A) = -\Phi(A) - \lambda \Phi(A)^* + \lambda \Phi(A)^* + |\lambda|^2 \Phi(A),$$
which gives

(2.10)
$$\Phi(|\lambda|^2 A) = |\lambda|^2 \Phi(A).$$

Also,

$$\Phi(A \diamond_{\lambda} I \diamond_{\lambda} I) = \Phi(A) \diamond_{\lambda} I \diamond_{\lambda} I.$$

From (2.10), we obtain

$$\Phi(A + 2\lambda A^* + |\lambda|^2 A) = \Phi(A) + 2\lambda \Phi(A)^* + |\lambda|^2 \Phi(A).$$

Hence,

(2.11)
$$\Phi(2\lambda A^*) = 2\lambda \Phi(A)^*.$$

In addition,

$$\Phi(I \diamond_{\lambda} I \diamond_{\lambda} A^*) = I \diamond_{\lambda} I \diamond_{\lambda} \Phi(A^*).$$

It follows that

$$\Phi(A^* + 2\lambda A^* + |\lambda|^2 A^*) = \Phi(A^*) + 2\lambda \Phi(A^*) + |\lambda|^2 \Phi(A^*).$$

Thus,

(2.12)
$$\Phi(2\lambda A^*) = 2\lambda \Phi(A^*).$$

From (2.11) and (2.12), we obtain

$$\Phi(A^*) = \Phi(A)^*.$$

Claim 2.11. $\Phi(iA) = i\Phi(A)$ for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$.

Proof. For every $A \in \mathcal{A}$, from (2.10), we have

$$(1-|\lambda|^2)\Phi(iA) = \Phi(I \diamond_{\lambda} iI \diamond_{\lambda} A) = I \diamond_{\lambda} iI \diamond_{\lambda} \Phi(A) = (1-|\lambda|^2)i\Phi(A).$$

Therefore,

$$\Phi(iA) = i\Phi(A).$$

Claim 2.12. Φ is a derivation.

Proof. For every $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$, we have

$$\begin{split} &\Phi(AB + \lambda AB + \lambda BA^* + |\lambda|^2 BA^*) \\ &= \Phi(I \diamond_{\lambda} A \diamond_{\lambda} B) \\ &= I \diamond_{\lambda} \Phi(A) \diamond_{\lambda} B + I \diamond_{\lambda} A \diamond_{\lambda} \Phi(B) \\ &= \Phi(A)B + \lambda \Phi(A)B + \lambda B\Phi(A)^* + |\lambda|^2 B\Phi(A)^* + A\Phi(B) \\ &+ \lambda A\Phi(B) + \lambda \Phi(B)A^* + |\lambda|^2 \Phi(B)A^*. \end{split}$$

Thus,

(2.13)

$$\Phi(AB + \lambda AB + \lambda BA^* + |\lambda|^2 BA^*) = \Phi(A)B + \lambda \Phi(A)B + \lambda B\Phi(A)^* + |\lambda|^2 B\Phi(A)^* A\Phi(B) + \lambda A\Phi(B) + \lambda \Phi(B)A^* + |\lambda|^2 \Phi(B)A^*.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{split} &\Phi(AB + \lambda AB - \lambda BA^* - |\lambda|^2 BA^*) \\ &= \Phi(I \diamond_{\lambda} iA \diamond_{\lambda} (-iB)) \\ &= I \diamond_{\lambda} \Phi(iA) \diamond_{\lambda} (-iB) + I \diamond_{\lambda} iA \diamond_{\lambda} \Phi(-iB) \\ &= \Phi(A)B + \lambda \Phi(A)B - \lambda B\Phi(A)^* - |\lambda|^2 B\Phi(A)^* + A\Phi(B) \\ &+ \lambda A\Phi(B) - \lambda \Phi(B)A^* - |\lambda|^2 \Phi(B)A^*. \end{split}$$

Hence,

(2.14)
$$\Phi(AB + \lambda AB - \lambda BA^* - |\lambda|^2 BA^*)$$

$$= \Phi(A)B + \lambda \Phi(A)B - \lambda B\Phi(A)^* - |\lambda|^2 B\Phi(A)^*$$

$$+ A\Phi(B) + \lambda A\Phi(B) - \lambda \Phi(B)A^* - |\lambda|^2 \Phi(B)A^*.$$

From (2.13) and (2.14), we have

$$\Phi((1+\lambda)AB) = (1+\lambda)\Phi(A)B + (1+\lambda)A\Phi(B).$$

From (2.12) and knowing that Φ preserves the star, we have

$$(1+\lambda)\Phi(AB) = (1+\lambda)(\Phi(A)B + A\Phi(B)).$$

Finally, we obtain

$$\Phi(AB) = \Phi(A)B + A\Phi(B)$$
. \square

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank the anonymous referee for a thorough and detailed report with many helpful comments and suggestions.

REFERENCES

- 1. Z. Bai and S. Du, The structure of non-linear Lie derivations on factor von Neumann algebras, Lin. Alg. Appl. 436 (2012), 2701–2708.
- 2. J. Cui and C.K. Li, Maps preserving product XY-YX* on factor von Neumann algebras, Lin. Alg. Appl. 431 (2009), 833-842.
- 3. Y. Friedman and J. Hakeda, *Additivity of quadratic maps*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 24 (1988), 707–722.
- **4**. D. Huo, B. Zheng and H. Liu, Nonlinear maps preserving Jordan triple η -*-products, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **430** (2015), 830–844.
- 5. C. Li and F. Lu, Nonlinear maps preserving the Jordan triple 1-*-product on von Neumann algebras, Compl. Anal. Oper. Th. 11 (2017), 109–117.

- **6.** C. Li, F. Lu and X. Fang, Nonlinear ξ-Jordan *-derivations on von Neumann algebras, Lin. Multilin. Alg. **62** (2014), 466–473.
- 7. _____, Nonlinear mappings preserving product $XY + YX^*$ on factor von Neumann algebras, Lin. Alg. Appl. **438** (2013), 2339–2345.
- 8. C. Li, F. Lu and T. Wang, Nonlinear maps preserving the Jordan triple *-product on von Neumann algebras, Ann. Funct. Anal. 7 (2016), 496–507.
- 9. C. Li, F Zhao and Q. Chen, Nonlinear skew Lie triple derivations between factors, Acta Math. Sinica 32 (2016), 821–830.
- 10. L. Molnár, A condition for a subspace of B(H) to be an ideal, Lin. Alg. Appl. 235 (1996), 229–234.
- 11. A. Taghavi, V. Darvish and H. Rohi, Additivity of maps preserving products $AP \pm PA^*$ on C^* -algebras, Math. Slov. 67 (2017), 213–220.
- 12. A. Taghavi, M. Razeghi, M. Nouri and V. Darvish, *Maps preserving triple product* A*B + BA* on *-algebras, Asian-European J. Math. 12 (2019).
- 13. A. Taghavi, H. Rohi and V. Darvish, Non-linear *-Jordan derivations on von Neumann algebras, Lin. Multilin. Alg. 64 (2016), 426–439.
- 14. W. Yu and J. Zhang, Nonlinear *-Lie derivations on factor von Neumann algebras, Lin. Alg. Appl. 437 (2012), 1979–1991.

University of Mazandaran, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematical Sciences, P.O. Box 47416-1468, Babolsar, Iran

Email address: taghavi@umz.ac.ir

University of Mazandaran, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematical Sciences, P.O. Box 47416-1468, Babolsar, Iran

Email address: mojtaba.nori2010@gmail.com

University of Mazandaran, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematical Sciences, P.O. Box 47416-1468, Babolsar, Iran

Email address: razeghi.mehran19@yahoo.com

University of Mazandaran, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematical Sciences, P.O. Box 47416-1468, Babolsar, Iran

Email address: vahid.darvish@mail.com