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#### Abstract

In this paper, we examine differential subordination related to the harmonic mean in the case where a dominant is a linear function. A result for the first order Euler differential subordination of the nonlinear type is also discussed.


1. Introduction. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be the class of all analytic functions $f$ in $\mathbf{D}:=\mathbf{D}_{1}$, where $\mathbf{D}_{r}:=\{z \in \mathbf{C}:|z|<r\}$ for each $r>0$. A function $f \in \mathcal{H}$ is said to be subordinate to a function $F \in \mathcal{H}$ if there exists an $\omega \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\omega(0):=0, \omega(\mathbf{D}) \subset \mathbf{D}$ and $f=F \circ \omega$ in $\mathbf{D}$. Then, we write $f \prec F$. When $F$ is univalent, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f \prec F \Longleftrightarrow(f(0)=F(0) \wedge f(\mathbf{D}) \subset F(\mathbf{D})) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\beta \in[0,1]$ and $a, b \in \mathbf{C}$. When $b+\beta(b-a) \neq 0$, the harmonic mean of $a$ and $b$ is given as

$$
\frac{a b}{b+\beta(a-b)} .
$$

Definition 1.1. Let $\beta \in(0,1)$ and $\Psi \in \mathcal{H}$. By $\mathcal{H}(\beta, \Psi)$, we denote the subclass of $\mathcal{H}$ of all nonconstant functions $p$ such that the function

$$
\mathbf{D} \ni z \longmapsto \frac{p(z)\left(p(z)+z p^{\prime}(z) \Psi(z)\right)}{p(z)+(1-\beta) z p^{\prime}(z) \Psi(z)}
$$

is either analytic or has only removable singularities with an analytic extension on $\mathbf{D}$.

[^0]In $[2,3]$, studies of the differential subordination related to the harmonic mean were begun. Particularly, in [3] for $\beta \in(0,1], \Psi \in$ $\mathcal{H}, p \in \mathcal{H}(\beta, \Psi)$ and a univalent function $h \in \mathcal{H}$, the differential subordination related to the harmonic mean of the type

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{p(z)\left(p(z)+z p^{\prime}(z) \Psi(z)\right)}{p(z)+(1-\beta) z p^{\prime}(z) \Psi(z)} \prec h(z), \quad z \in \mathbf{D} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

was examined. The above formula with $\beta:=1 / 2$ and selected functions $\Psi$ and $h$ was also considered in [7]. A univalent function $q \in \mathcal{H}$ is called a dominant of differential subordination (1.2) if $p \prec q$ for all functions $p \in \mathcal{H}(\beta, \Psi)$ satisfying (1.2). A dominant $\widetilde{q}$ of (1.2) is called the best dominant of (1.2) if $\widetilde{q} \prec q$ for all dominants $q$ of (1.2) (for the general case, see [11, page 16]).

We recall that differential subordinations related to the arithmetic mean as well as to the geometric mean have been studied by various authors. Given $\alpha \in[0,1]$, the simplest form of the differential subordination related to the arithmetic mean is given as follows:

$$
p(z)+\alpha z p^{\prime}(z) \prec h(z), \quad z \in \mathbf{D} .
$$

For details and further references, see [11, pages 121-131]. The differential subordination related to the geometric mean was introduced in [6]. For further results in this direction, see e.g., $[\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{9}, 10]$.

A function $f \in \mathcal{H}$ is said to be convex if it is univalent and $f(\mathbf{D})$ is a convex domain.

Here, we introduce the subclass $\mathcal{Q}$ (for details on corners of curves, see e.g., [12, pages 51-65]). Let $\mathbf{T}:=\{z \in \mathbf{C}:|z|=1\}$.

Definition 1.2. By $\mathcal{Q}$, we denote the class of convex functions $h$ with the following properties:
(a) $h(\mathbf{D})$ is bound by finitely many smooth arcs which form corners at their end points (including corners at infinity);
(b) $E(h)$ is the set of all points $\zeta \in \mathbf{T}$ which corresponds to corners $h(\zeta)$ of $\partial h(\mathbf{D})$;
(c) $h^{\prime}(\zeta) \neq 0$ exists at every $\zeta \in \mathbf{T} \backslash E(h)$.

In [3], the following was shown:

Theorem 1.3. Let $\beta \in(0,1], h \in \mathcal{Q}$ with $0 \in \overline{h(\mathbf{D})}$ and $\Psi \in \mathcal{H}$ be such that

$$
\operatorname{Re} \Psi(z) \geq 0, \quad \Psi(z) \neq 0, \quad z \in \mathbf{D}
$$

If $p \in \mathcal{H}(\beta, \Psi), p(0)=h(0)$ and

$$
\frac{p(z)\left(p(z)+z p^{\prime}(z) \Psi(z)\right)}{p(z)+(1-\beta) z p^{\prime}(z) \Psi(z)} \prec h(z), \quad z \in \mathbf{D}
$$

then

$$
p \prec h .
$$

In this paper, we continue the research on differential subordination of the form (1.2) when $\Psi$ is a constant function and $h$ is a linear function. The linearity of $h$ is the simplest, however especially interesting, case to study. It shows that Theorem 1.3 can essentially be improved, which leads to consideration of other selected convex functions $h$ for comparison with the general case. Moreover, the differential subordination of the harmonic mean with a linear function $h$ generalizes the first order Euler differential subordination (see [11, pages 334-336]) for the nonlinear case. Corollary 2.8 contains such a generalization and the importance of the result being sharp, i.e., with the best dominant.

The next lemma is a special case of [11, page 22, Lemma 2.2d] and is necessary for the proof of the main result.

Lemma 1.4. Let $h \in \mathcal{Q}$ and $p \in \mathcal{H}$ be nonconstant functions with $p(0):=h(0)$. If $p$ is not subordinate to $h$, then there exist $z_{0} \in \mathbf{D} \backslash\{0\}$ and $\zeta_{0} \in \mathbf{T} \backslash E(h)$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
p\left(\mathbf{D}_{\left|z_{0}\right|}\right) & \subset h(\mathbf{D}) ;  \tag{1.3}\\
p\left(z_{0}\right) & =h\left(\zeta_{0}\right) \tag{1.4}
\end{align*}
$$

and, for some $m \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{0} p^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=m \zeta_{0} h^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{0}\right) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. Main result. Given $\alpha \in \mathbf{C} \backslash\{0\}$, let $\Psi(z):=\alpha, z \in \mathbf{D}$. Let $\mathcal{H}(\beta, \alpha):=\mathcal{H}(\beta, \Psi)$.

Theorem 2.1. Let $a \geq 0$ and $\alpha, \beta \in(0,1]$. Let $M \geq a$ when $a>0$ and $M>0$ when $a=0$. If $p \in \mathcal{H}(\beta, \alpha), p(0):=a$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{p(z)\left(p(z)+\alpha z p^{\prime}(z)\right)}{p(z)+(1-\beta) \alpha z p^{\prime}(z)}-a\right|<M \frac{(1+\alpha \beta) a+(1+\alpha) M}{a+(1+(1-\beta) \alpha) M}, \quad z \in \mathbf{D} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
|p(z)-a|<M, \quad z \in \mathbf{D} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $h(z):=a+M z, z \in \mathbf{D}$. Since $h$ is univalent, $p(0)=$ $h(0)=a$, and (2.2) can be replaced by the inclusion $p(\mathbf{D}) \subset h(\mathbf{D})$; by using (1.1), condition (2.2) is equivalent to the subordination $p \prec h$.

Suppose, on the contrary, that $p$ is not subordinate to $h$. Since $h \in \mathcal{Q}$ with $E(h)=\emptyset$, by Lemma 1.4, there exist $z_{0} \in \mathbf{D} \backslash\{0\}$ and $\zeta_{0} \in \mathbf{T}$ such that (1.3)-(1.5) hold. Thus,

$$
p\left(z_{0}\right)=a+M \zeta_{0}
$$

and, for some $m \geq 1$,

$$
z_{0} p^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=m M \zeta_{0}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\frac{p\left(z_{0}\right)\left(p\left(z_{0}\right)+\alpha z_{0} p^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)\right)}{p\left(z_{0}\right)+(1-\beta) \alpha z_{0} p^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}-a\right|  \tag{2.3}\\
& \quad=\left|\frac{p^{2}\left(z_{0}\right)+\alpha p\left(z_{0}\right) z_{0} p^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)-a p\left(z_{0}\right)-a(1-\beta) \alpha z_{0} p^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{p\left(z_{0}\right)+(1-\beta) \alpha z_{0} p^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}\right| \\
& \quad=\left|\frac{\left(+M \zeta_{0}\right)^{2}+\alpha\left(a+M \zeta_{0}\right) m M \zeta_{0}-a^{2}-a M \zeta_{0}-a(1-\beta) \alpha m M \zeta_{0}}{a+M \zeta_{0}+(1-\beta) \alpha m M \zeta_{0}}\right| \\
& \quad=\left|\frac{a M \zeta_{0}+M^{2} \zeta_{0}^{2}+\alpha m M^{2} \zeta_{0}^{2}+a \alpha \beta m M \zeta_{0}}{a+(1+(1-\beta) \alpha m) M \zeta_{0}}\right| \\
& \quad=M\left|\frac{a+a \beta \alpha m+(1+\alpha m) M \zeta_{0}}{a+(1+(1-\beta) \alpha m) M \zeta_{0}}\right|
\end{align*}
$$

Since $M \geq a \geq 0$, thus for $\beta \in(0,1)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|a+(1+(1-\beta) \alpha m) M z| & \geq|a-(1+(1-\beta) \alpha m) M| z| | \\
& =M-a+(1-\beta) \alpha m M>0, \quad z \in \mathbf{T} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, for each $m \geq 1$ and $\beta \in(0,1)$, we can define

$$
q_{m}(z):=\frac{a+a \beta \alpha m+(1+\alpha m) M z}{a+(1+(1-\beta) \alpha m) M z}, \quad z \in \mathbf{T} .
$$

The case $\beta=1$ reduces to

$$
q_{m}(z)=1+\alpha m, \quad z \in \mathbf{T} .
$$

Since $q_{m}$ is a linear-fractional mapping having real coefficients, $q_{m}(\mathbf{T})$ is a circle symmetrical with respect to the real axis. Moreover,

$$
q_{m}(1)=\frac{a+a \beta \alpha m+(1+\alpha m) M}{a+(1+(1-\beta) \alpha m) M}<\frac{a+a \beta \alpha m-(1+\alpha m) M}{a-(1+(1-\beta) \alpha m) M}=q_{m}(-1)
$$

Indeed, the above inequality, after simplifying, is equivalent to the inequality

$$
a M[(1+\alpha \beta m)(1+(1-\beta) \alpha m)+1+\alpha m]>0
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|q_{m}(z)\right| \geq q_{m}(1)=\frac{a+M+\alpha(a \beta+M) m}{a+M+(1-\beta) \alpha M m}, \quad z \in \mathbf{T} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe now that the function $r(m):=q_{m}(1), m \geq 1$, is increasing since

$$
r^{\prime}(m)=\frac{\alpha \beta(a+M)^{2}}{(a+M+(1-\beta) \alpha M m)^{2}}>0, \quad m \geq 1
$$

Consequently, by (2.4), we have

$$
\left|q_{m}(z)\right| \geq r(m) \geq r(1)=\frac{(1+\alpha \beta) a+(1+\alpha) M}{a+(1+(1-\beta) \alpha) M}, \quad z \in \mathbf{T}
$$

Since, particularly, the above inequality holds for $z:=\zeta_{0}$, by (2.3), we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\frac{p\left(z_{0}\right)\left(p\left(z_{0}\right)+\alpha z_{0} p^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)\right)}{p\left(z_{0}\right)+(1-\beta) \alpha z_{0} p^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}-a\right| & =M\left|\frac{a+a \beta \alpha m+(1+\alpha m) M \zeta_{0}}{a+(1+(1-\beta) \alpha m) M \zeta_{0}}\right| \\
& \geq M \frac{(1+\alpha \beta) a+(1+\alpha) M}{a+(1+(1-\beta) \alpha) M}
\end{aligned}
$$

which contradicts (2.1) and concludes the proof.

Remark 2.2. Under the assumptions on $a$ and $M, 0 \in \overline{h(\mathbf{D})}$. Moreover,

$$
\frac{(1+\alpha \beta) a+(1+\alpha) M}{a+(1+(1-\beta) \alpha) M} \geq 1
$$

Therefore, Theorem 1.3 follows from Theorem 2.1 for such chosen $\Psi$ and $h$.

Theorem 2.1 produces a sequence of corollaries, listed below. For $\alpha=1$, we obtain:

Corollary 2.3. Let $a \geq 0$ and $\beta \in(0,1]$. Let $M \geq a$ when $a>0$ and $M>0$ when $a=0$. If $p \in \mathcal{H}(\beta, 1), p(0):=a$ and

$$
\left|\frac{p(z)\left(p(z)+z p^{\prime}(z)\right)}{p(z)+(1-\beta) z p^{\prime}(z)}-a\right|<M \frac{(1+\beta) a+2 M}{a+(2-\beta) M}, \quad z \in \mathbf{D}
$$

then

$$
|p(z)-a|<M, \quad z \in \mathbf{D}
$$

For $a=M$, we have

Corollary 2.4. Let $M>0$ and $\alpha, \beta \in(0,1]$. If $p \in \mathcal{H}(\beta, \alpha)$, $p(0):=M$ and

$$
\left|\frac{p(z)\left(p(z)+\alpha z p^{\prime}(z)\right)}{p(z)+(1-\beta) \alpha z p^{\prime}(z)}-M\right|<M \frac{2+(1+\beta) \alpha}{2+(1-\beta) \alpha}, \quad z \in \mathbf{D}
$$

then

$$
|p(z)-M|<M, \quad z \in \mathbf{D}
$$

The case $M=1$ yields

Corollary 2.5. Let $\alpha, \beta \in(0,1]$. If $p \in \mathcal{H}(\beta, \alpha), p(0):=1$ and

$$
\left|\frac{p(z)\left(p(z)+\alpha z p^{\prime}(z)\right)}{p(z)+(1-\beta) \alpha z p^{\prime}(z)}-1\right|<\frac{2+(1+\beta) \alpha}{2+(1-\beta) \alpha}, \quad z \in \mathbf{D}
$$

then

$$
|p(z)-1|<1, \quad z \in \mathbf{D}
$$

The cases $a=M$ and $\alpha=1$ are as follows.

Corollary 2.6. Let $M>0$ and $\beta \in(0,1]$. If $p \in \mathcal{H}(\beta, 1), p(0):=M$ and

$$
\left|\frac{p(z)\left(p(z)+z p^{\prime}(z)\right)}{p(z)+(1-\beta) z p^{\prime}(z)}-M\right|<M \frac{3+\beta}{3-\beta}, \quad z \in \mathbf{D}
$$

then

$$
|p(z)-M|<M, \quad z \in \mathbf{D}
$$

Now, we deal with the case $a=0$. We shall discuss the best dominant for this case, also. We rewrite Theorem 2.1 in terms of the differential subordination.

Corollary 2.7. Let $\alpha, \beta \in(0,1]$ and $M>0$. If $p \in \mathcal{H}(\beta, \alpha), p(0):=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{p(z)\left(p(z)+\alpha z p^{\prime}(z)\right)}{p(z)+(1-\beta) \alpha z p^{\prime}(z)} \prec M z, \quad z \in \mathbf{D} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z) \prec\left(1-\frac{\alpha \beta}{1+\alpha}\right) M z=: \widetilde{q}(z), \quad z \in \mathbf{D} . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, the function $\widetilde{q}$ is the best dominant of (2.5).

Proof. It remains to find the best dominant of (2.5). Toward this end, we will find the univalent solution $q$ of the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{q(z)\left(q(z)+\alpha z q^{\prime}(z)\right)}{q(z)+(1-\beta) \alpha z q^{\prime}(z)}=M z, \quad z \in \mathbf{D} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that $q(0):=0$. We use the technique of power series to find an analytic solution of (2.7) of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}, \quad z \in \mathbf{D} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $q$ should be univalent, so

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1}=q^{\prime}(0) \neq 0 \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.7), equivalently, we have

$$
\alpha z q^{\prime}(z)(q(z)-(1-\beta) M z)=M z q(z)-q^{2}(z), \quad z \in \mathbf{D}
$$

Placing into the above equality the series from (2.8), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha\left(a_{1} z+2 a_{2} z^{2}+3 a_{3} z^{3}+\cdots\right)\left(\left(a_{1}-(1-\beta) M\right) z+a_{2} z^{2}+a_{3} z^{3}+\cdots\right) \\
&=\left(M a_{1}-a_{1}^{2}\right) z^{2}+\left(M a_{2}-2 a_{1} a_{2}\right) z^{3} \\
&+\left(M a_{3}-\left(2 a_{1} a_{3}+a_{2}^{2}\right)\right) z^{4}+\cdots, \quad z \in \mathbf{D}
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e., equivalently,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\alpha a_{1}\left(a_{1}-(1-\beta) M\right)\right) z^{2}+\alpha\left(a_{1} a_{2}+2 a_{2}\left(a_{1}-(1-\beta) M\right)\right) z^{3} \\
& \quad+\alpha\left(a_{1} a_{3}+2 a_{2}^{2}+3 a_{3}\left(a_{1}-(1-\beta) M\right)\right) z^{4}+\cdots  \tag{2.10}\\
& \quad=\left(M a_{1}-a_{1}^{2}\right) z^{2}+\left(M a_{2}-2 a_{1} a_{2}\right) z^{3} \\
& \quad+\left(M a_{3}-2 a_{1} a_{3}+a_{2}^{2}\right) z^{4}+\cdots, \quad z \in \mathbf{D} .
\end{align*}
$$

Comparing the first coefficients, we have

$$
\alpha a_{1}\left[a_{1}-(1-\beta) M\right]=M a_{1}-a_{1}^{2}
$$

Hence, $a_{1}=0$, which contradicts (2.9), or

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1}=\left(1-\frac{\alpha \beta}{1+\alpha}\right) M \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing the second coefficients in (2.10), we obtain

$$
\alpha\left[a_{1} a_{2}+2 a_{2}\left(a_{1}-(1-\beta) M\right]\right]=M a_{2}-2 a_{1} a_{2}
$$

Hence,

$$
a_{1}=\frac{1+2 \alpha(1-\beta)}{3 \alpha+2} M
$$

which contradicts (2.11), or $a_{2}=0$. Comparing the third coefficients in (2.10), and taking into account that $a_{2}=0$, we have

$$
a_{1}=\frac{1+3 \alpha(1-\beta)}{4 \alpha+2} M
$$

which contradicts (2.11) or $a_{3}=0$. Summarizing, in this way, we show that $a_{n}=0$ for $n \geq 2$. Thus, from (2.8) and (2.11), it follows that

$$
q(z)=a_{1} z=\left(1-\frac{\alpha \beta}{1+\alpha}\right) M z, \quad z \in \mathbf{D}
$$

Since $q$ is univalent and, by (2.7), it satisfies (2.6) with $p:=q$, it follows that $q$ is the best dominant of (2.5). Clearly, $q=\widetilde{q}$.

Using the notation of [11, page 336], let

$$
E^{(1)}[p](z):=p(z)+z p^{\prime}(z), \quad p \in \mathcal{H}, z \in \mathbf{D}
$$

Now, the cases $\alpha=1$ and $M=1$ in Corollary 2.7 are as follows.
Corollary 2.8. Let $\beta \in(0,1]$. If $p \in \mathcal{H}(\beta, 1), p(0):=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{p(z) E^{(1)}[p](z)}{\beta p(z)+(1-\beta) E^{(1)}[p](z)} \prec z, \quad z \in \mathbf{D}, \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
p(z) \prec\left(1-\frac{\beta}{2}\right) z, \quad z \in \mathbf{D} .
$$

Moreover, the function $\widetilde{q}(z):=(1-\beta / 2) z, z \in \mathbf{D}$, is the best dominant of (2.12).

Formula (2.12) generalizes the first order Euler differential subordination which holds for $\beta=1$ (see [11, pages 334-336]) for the nonlinear case. Thus, the above corollary generalizes the well-known result for the first order Euler differential subordination recalled below (see [11, page 335]).

Corollary 2.9. If $p \in \mathcal{H}, p(0):=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{(1)}[p](z) \prec z, \quad z \in \mathbf{D} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
p(z) \prec \frac{z}{2}, \quad z \in \mathbf{D} .
$$

Moreover, the function $\widetilde{q}(z):=z / 2, z \in \mathbf{D}$, is the best dominant of (2.13).

Corollary 2.9 is also a special case of the well-known Hallenbeck and Ruscheweyh result [5].

To conclude, we write the case $a=0, \alpha=1$ and $\beta=1$ (see also [11, page 36]).

Corollary 2.10. Let $M>0$. If $p \in \mathcal{H}, p(0):=0$ and

$$
\left|p(z)+z p^{\prime}(z)\right|<2 M, \quad z \in \mathbf{D}
$$

then

$$
|p(z)|<M, \quad z \in \mathbf{D}
$$

## REFERENCES

1. N.E. Cho, O. Chojnacka and A. Lecko, On differential subordination of geometric mean, Bull. Soc. Sci. Lett. Lodz 64 (2014), 11-24.
2. N.E. Cho and A. Lecko, Differential subordination of harmonic mean, Comp. Meth. Funct. Th. 15 (2015), 427-437.
3. O. Chojnacka and A. Lecko, On some differential subordination of harmonic mean, Bull. Soc. Sci. Lett. Lodz 64 (2014), 29-40.
4. O. Crişan and S. Kanas, Differential subordinations involving arithmetic and geometric means, Appl. Math. Comp. 222 (2013), 123-131.
5. D.J. Hallenbeck and S. Ruscheweyh, Subordination by convex function, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 52 (1975), 191-195.
6. S. Kanas, A. Lecko and J. Stankiewicz, Differential subordinations and geometric means, Compl. Var. 28 (1996), 201-209.
7. S. Kanas and A-E. Tudor, Differential subordinations and harmonic means, Bull. Malaysian Math. Sci. Soc. 38 (2015), 1243-1253.
8. Y.C. Kim and A. Lecko, On differential subordination related to convex functions, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 235 (1999), 130-141.
9. A. Lecko, On differential subordinations and inclusion relation between classes of analytic functions, Compl. Var. 40 (2000), 371-385.
10. A. Lecko and M. Lecko, Differential subordinations of arithmetic and geometric means of some functionals related to a sector, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 2011 (2011), 1-19.
11. S.S. Miller and P.T. Mocanu, Differential subordinations, Theory and applications, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 2000.
12. Ch. Pommerenke, Boundary behaviour of conformal maps, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.

University of Warmia and Mazury in Olsztyn, Department of Complex Analysis, ul. SŁoneczna 54, 10-710 Olsztyn, Poland
Email address: oliwia.chojnacka@gmail.com
University of Warmia and Mazury in Olsztyn, Department of Complex Analysis, ul. SŁoneczna 54, 10-710 Olsztyn, Poland
Email address: alecko@matman.uwm.edu.pl


[^0]:    2010 AMS Mathematics subject classification. Primary 30C45, Secondary 30C80.

    Keywords and phrases. Differential subordination, harmonic mean, arithmetic mean, geometric mean, convex function.

    The second author is the corresponding author.
    Received by the editors on April 17, 2017, and in revised form on September 24, 2017.

