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#### Abstract

In this paper, we give a negative answer to a problem presented by Bharanedhar and Ponnusamy [1] concerning univalency of a class of harmonic mappings. More precisely, we show that for all values of the involved parameter, this class contains a non-univalent function. Moreover, several results on a new subclass of close-toconvex harmonic mappings, motivated by the work of Ponnusamy and Sairam Kaliraj [16], are obtained.


1. Introduction. In this paper, we consider univalency criteria for complex-valued harmonic functions $f$ in the open unit disk $\mathbb{D}$. It is well known that such functions can be written as $f=h+\bar{g}$, where $h$ and $g$ are analytic functions in $\mathbb{D}$. We call $h$ the analytic part and $g$ the co-analytic part of $f$, respectively. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be the class of harmonic functions normalized by the conditions $f(0)=f_{z}(0)-1=0$, which have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k}+\overline{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{k} z^{k}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the Jacobian of $f$ is given by $\left|h^{\prime}\right|^{2}-\left|g^{\prime}\right|^{2}$, by Lewy's theorem (see [10]), it is locally univalent and sense-preserving if and only if $\left|g^{\prime}\right|<\left|h^{\prime}\right|$, or equivalently, the dilatation $\omega=g^{\prime} / h^{\prime}$ with $h^{\prime}(z) \neq 0$ has the property $|\omega|<1$ in $\mathbb{D}$. The subclass of $\mathcal{H}$ that is univalent and

[^0]sense-preserving in $\mathbb{D}$ is denoted by $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}}$. Univalent harmonic functions are also called harmonic mappings.

The classical family $\mathcal{S}$ of analytic univalent and normalized functions in $\mathbb{D}$ is a subclass of $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}}$ with $g(z) \equiv 0$. The family of all functions $f \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}}$ with the additional property that $f_{\bar{z}}(0)=0$ is denoted by $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}}^{0}$. There exist reciprocal transformations between the classes $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}}^{0}$ (see [5, 6]). Observe that the family $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}}^{0}$ is compact and normal; however, the family $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}}$ is not compact. For recent results involving univalent harmonic mappings, the interested reader is referred to $[\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{3}, \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{7}, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{1 1}, \mathbf{1 2}],[\mathbf{1 4}]-[\mathbf{2 1}]$, and the references therein.

A domain $\Omega$ is said to be close-to-convex if $\mathbb{C} \backslash \Omega$ can be represented as a union of non intersecting half-lines. Following Kaplan's results [9], an analytic function $F$ is called close-to-convex if there exists a univalent convex analytic function $\phi$ defined in $\mathbb{D}$ such that

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{F^{\prime}(z)}{\phi^{\prime}(z)}\right)>0, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

Furthermore, a planar harmonic mapping $f: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is close-to-convex if it is injective and $f(\mathbb{D})$ is a close-to-convex domain. We denote by $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{H}}^{0}$ the class of close-to-convex harmonic mappings.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give a negative answer to a problem posed by Bharanedhar and Ponnusamy in [1]. In Section 3, we study a subclass of close-to-convex harmonic mappings, which is motivated by work of Ponnusamy and Sairam Kaliraj [16]. Coefficient estimates, a growth theorem, a covering theorem and an area theorem, for mappings of this class, are obtained.
2. A problem of Bharanedhar and Ponnusamy. Recently, Mocanu [11] proposed the following conjecture involving the univalency of planar harmonic mappings.

Conjecture 2.1. Let
$\mathcal{M}=\left\{f=h+\bar{g} \in \mathcal{H}: g^{\prime}=z h^{\prime}\right.$ and $\left.\operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{z h^{\prime \prime}(z)}{h^{\prime}(z)}\right)>-\frac{1}{2}, z \in \mathbb{D}\right\}$.
Then, $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}}^{0}$.

By applying the close-to-convexity criterion for analytic functions due to Kaplan [9], Bshouty and Lyzzaik [3] solved the above conjecture by establishing the following, stronger result:

Theorem A. $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{H}}^{0}$.
Later, Ponnusamy and Sairam Kaliraj [16, Theorem 4.1] generalized Theorem A under the assumption that the analytic dilatation $\omega$ satisfies the condition

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\lambda z \omega^{\prime}(z)}{1-\lambda \omega(z)}\right)>-\frac{1}{2}
$$

for all $\lambda$ such that $|\lambda|=1$. In particular, for $\omega(z)=\lambda k z^{n}$,

$$
\left(|\lambda|=1 ; 0<k \leq \frac{1}{2 n-1} ; n \in \mathbb{N}:=\{1,2,3, \ldots\}\right)
$$

they gave the following result.
Theorem B. Suppose that $h$ and $g$ are analytic in $\mathbb{D}$ such that

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{z h^{\prime \prime}(z)}{h^{\prime}(z)}\right)>-\frac{1}{2}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
g^{\prime}(z)=\lambda k z^{n} h^{\prime}(z) \\
\left(n \in \mathbb{N} ;|\lambda|=1 ; 0<k \leq \frac{1}{2 n-1}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Then, $f=h+\bar{g}$ is univalent and close-to-convex in $\mathbb{D}$.
Motivated by Theorem B, we introduce the following natural class of close-to-convex harmonic mappings, which will be studied in Section 3. Note that, for $n=1$, we have the class $\mathcal{M}(\alpha, \zeta)$, which was studied in [18].

Definition 2.2. A harmonic mapping $f=h+\bar{g} \in \mathcal{H}$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{M}(\alpha, \zeta, n)$ if $h$ and $g$ satisfy the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{z h^{\prime \prime}(z)}{h^{\prime}(z)}\right)>\alpha, \quad-\frac{1}{2} \leq \alpha<1 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gather*}
g^{\prime}(z)=\zeta z^{n} h^{\prime}(z)  \tag{2.2}\\
\left(\zeta \in \mathbb{C} \text { with }|\zeta| \leq \frac{1}{2 n-1} ; n \in \mathbb{N}\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

In 1995, Ponnusamy and Rajasekaran [13] derived the following starlikeness criterion for analytic functions.

Theorem C. Suppose that $F$ is a normalized analytic function in $\mathbb{D}$. If $F$ satisfies the condition

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{z F^{\prime \prime}(z)}{F^{\prime}(z)}\right)<\beta, \quad 1<\beta \leq \frac{3}{2}
$$

then $F$ is univalent and starlike in $\mathbb{D}$, i.e., $F(\mathbb{D})$ is a domain, starlike with respect to the origin.

Essentially motivated by Theorems A and C, Bharanedhar and Ponnusamy [1, page 763, Problem 1] posed the following problem, presented here in a slightly modified form.

Problem 2.3. For $\beta \in(1,3 / 2)$, define
$\mathcal{P}(\beta)=\left\{f=h+\bar{g} \in \mathcal{H}: g^{\prime}=z h^{\prime}\right.$ and $\left.\operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{z h^{\prime \prime}(z)}{h^{\prime}(z)}\right)<\beta, z \in \mathbb{D}\right\}$.
Determine $\inf \left\{\beta \in(1,3 / 2): \mathcal{P}(\beta) \subset \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}}^{0}\right\}$.
We recall the following result of Bshouty and Lyzzaik [3]:
Theorem D. Suppose that $0 \leq \lambda<1 / 2$. Let $f=h+\bar{g}$ be the harmonic polynomial mapping with

$$
h(z)=z-\lambda z^{2} \quad \text { and } \quad g(z)=\frac{z^{2}}{2}-\frac{2 \lambda z^{3}}{3} .
$$

If $0 \leq \lambda \leq 3 / 10$, then $f$ is univalent in $\mathbb{D}$. However, for $3 / 10<\lambda<$ $1 / 2, f$ is not univalent in $\mathbb{D}$.

Remark 2.4. In view of Theorem D , we see that $\beta$ can be restricted to the value on the interval $(1,11 / 8]$ since

$$
\sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}}\left\{\operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{z h^{\prime \prime}(z)}{h^{\prime}(z)}\right)\right\}=\frac{11}{8}
$$

for

$$
h(z)=z-\frac{3}{10} z^{2}
$$

Now, we are ready to give a counterexample which shows that, for all $\beta \in(1,11 / 8]$, the class $\mathcal{P}(\beta)$ of Problem 2.3 contains a non-univalent function.

Consider the harmonic function given by $f_{\gamma}=h+\bar{g} \in \mathcal{H}$, where

$$
h(z)=\frac{1}{\gamma}\left[1-(1-z)^{\gamma}\right], \quad 1<\gamma \leq \frac{7}{4}
$$

and

$$
g(z)=\frac{1}{\gamma(1+\gamma)}\left[1-(1+\gamma z)(1-z)^{\gamma}\right], \quad 1<\gamma \leq \frac{7}{4}
$$

Clearly, we have $g^{\prime}=z h^{\prime}$. It follows that

$$
1+\frac{z h^{\prime \prime}(z)}{h^{\prime}(z)}=\frac{1-\gamma z}{1-z}
$$

and therefore,

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{z h^{\prime \prime}(z)}{h^{\prime}(z)}\right)<\frac{1+\gamma}{2}, \quad 1<\frac{1+\gamma}{2} \leq \frac{11}{8}
$$

that is,

$$
f_{\gamma}=h+\bar{g} \in \mathcal{P}((1+\gamma) / 2) \subset \mathcal{P}(\beta)
$$

In what follows, we shall prove that the function $f_{\gamma}$ is not univalent in $\mathbb{D}$. It is easy to verify that both the analytic and co-analytic parts of $f_{\gamma}$ have real coefficients, and thus, $f_{\gamma}(z)=\overline{f_{\gamma}(\bar{z})}$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. In particular,

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(f_{\gamma}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right)=\operatorname{Re}\left(f_{\gamma}\left(r e^{-i \theta}\right)\right)
$$

for some $r \in(0,1)$ and $\theta \in(-\pi, 0) \cup(0, \pi)$. It suffices to show that there exist $r_{0} \in(0,1)$ and $\theta_{0} \in(-\pi, 0) \cup(0, \pi)$ such that

$$
\operatorname{Im}\left(f_{\gamma}\left(r_{0} e^{i \theta_{0}}\right)\right)=\operatorname{Im}\left(f_{\gamma}\left(r_{0} e^{-i \theta_{0}}\right)\right)=0
$$

In view of the relation

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Im}\left(f_{\gamma}(z)\right) & =\operatorname{Im}(h(z)-g(z))=\operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{1-(1-z)^{\gamma+1}}{\gamma+1}\right) \\
& =-\operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{e^{(\gamma+1) \log (1-z)}}{\gamma+1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Im}\left(f_{\gamma}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right) & =-\operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{e^{(\gamma+1) \log \left(1-r e^{i \theta}\right)}}{\gamma+1}\right) \\
& =-\frac{e^{(\gamma+1) \log \left|1-r e^{i \theta}\right|}}{\gamma+1} \sin \left[(\gamma+1) \arg \left(1-r e^{i \theta}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\operatorname{Im}\left(f_{\gamma}\left(r e^{-i \theta}\right)\right) & =\frac{e^{(\gamma+1) \log \left|1-r e^{-i \theta}\right|}}{\gamma+1} \sin \left[(\gamma+1) \arg \left(1-r e^{-i \theta}\right)\right] \\
& =\operatorname{Im}\left(f_{\gamma}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By noting that

$$
\arg \left(1-r e^{i \theta}\right) \in\left(-\frac{\pi}{2}, 0\right) \cup\left(0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)
$$

we deduce that, for each $1<\gamma \leq 7 / 4$, there exist $r_{0} \in(0,1)$ and $\theta_{0} \in(-\pi, 0) \cup(0, \pi)$ such that

$$
\sin \left[(\gamma+1) \arg \left(1-r_{0} e^{i \theta_{0}}\right)\right]=0
$$

It follows that

$$
\operatorname{Im}\left(f_{\gamma}\left(r_{0} e^{i \theta_{0}}\right)\right)=\operatorname{Im}\left(f_{\gamma}\left(r_{0} e^{-i \theta_{0}}\right)\right)=0
$$

Therefore, there exist two distinct points $z_{1}=r_{0} e^{i \theta_{0}}$ and $z_{2}=r_{0} e^{-i \theta_{0}}$ in $\mathbb{D}$ such that $f_{\gamma}\left(z_{1}\right)=f_{\gamma}\left(z_{2}\right)$, which shows that the function $f_{\gamma}(z)$ is not univalent in $\mathbb{D}$. Thus, we conclude that the conditions given in Problem 2.3 are not satisfied for any $\beta \in(1,11 / 8]$.

The image domain of $f_{\gamma}$ for $\gamma=5 / 4$ is given in Figures 1 and 2 to illustrate our counterexample.


Figure 1. The image of the mapping $f_{5 / 4}$.
3. The subclass $\mathcal{M}(\alpha, \zeta, n)$ of close-to-convex harmonic mappings. Recall the following lemma, due to Suffridge [17], which will be required in the proof of Theorem 3.2.

Lemma 3.1. If $h(z)=z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k}$ satisfies condition (2.1), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{k}\right| \leq \frac{1}{k!} \prod_{j=2}^{k}(j-2 \alpha), \quad k \in \mathbb{N} \backslash\{1\} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the extremal function given by

$$
h(z)=\int_{0}^{z} \frac{d t}{(1-\delta t)^{2-2 \alpha}}, \quad|\delta|=1 ; z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

We now derive the coefficient estimates for the class $\mathcal{M}(\alpha, \zeta, n)$.


Figure 2. An enlarged view of the right cusp of the image of $f_{5 / 4}$.
Theorem 3.2. Let $f=h+\bar{g} \in \mathcal{M}(\alpha, \zeta, n)$ be of the form (1.1). Then, the coefficients $a_{k}, k \in \mathbb{N} \backslash\{1\}$, of h satisfy (3.1). Moreover, the coefficients $b_{k}, k=n+1, n+2, \ldots ; n \in \mathbb{N}$, of $g$ satisfy:

$$
\left|b_{n+1}\right| \leq \frac{|\zeta|}{n+1}
$$

and

$$
\left|b_{k+n}\right| \leq \frac{|\zeta|}{(k+n)(k-1)!} \prod_{j=2}^{k}(j-2 \alpha), \quad k \in \mathbb{N} \backslash\{1\}
$$

The bounds are sharp for the extremal function given by

$$
f(z)=\int_{0}^{z} \frac{d t}{(1-\delta t)^{2-2 \alpha}}+\overline{\int_{0}^{z} \frac{\zeta t^{n}}{(1-\delta t)^{2-2 \alpha}} d t}, \quad|\delta|=1 ; z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

Proof. By equating the coefficients of $z^{k+n-1}$ on both sides of (2.2), we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(k+n) b_{k+n}=\zeta k a_{k}, \quad k, n \in \mathbb{N} ; a_{1}=1 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of Lemma 3.1 and (3.2), we obtain the desired result of Theorem 3.2.

Theorem 3.3. Let $f \in \mathcal{M}(\alpha, \zeta, n)$ with $0 \leq \alpha<1$ and $0 \leq \zeta<$ $1 /(2 n-1), n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(r ; \alpha, \zeta, n) \leq|f(z)| \leq \Psi(r ; \alpha, \zeta, n), \quad r=|z|<1 \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\Phi(r ; \alpha, \zeta, n)= \begin{cases}\log (1+r)-\frac{\zeta r^{n+1}{ }_{2} F_{1}(1, n+1 ; n+2 ;-r)}{n+1} & \alpha=1 / 2 \\ \frac{(1+r)^{2 \alpha-1}-1}{2 \alpha-1}-\frac{\zeta r^{n+1}{ }_{2} F_{1}(n+1,2-2 \alpha ; n+2 ;-r)}{n+1} & \alpha \neq 1 / 2\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
\Psi(r ; \alpha, \zeta, n)= \begin{cases}-\log (1-r)+\frac{\zeta r^{n+1}{ }_{2} F_{1}(1, n+1 ; n+2 ; r)}{n+1} & \alpha=1 / 2 \\ \frac{1-(1-r)^{2 \alpha-1}}{2 \alpha-1}+\frac{\zeta r^{n+1}{ }_{2} F_{1}(n+1,2-2 \alpha ; n+2 ; r)}{n+1} & \alpha \neq 1 / 2\end{cases}
$$

All of these bounds are sharp. The extremal function is $f_{\alpha, \zeta, n}=h_{\alpha}$ $+\overline{g_{\alpha, \zeta, n}}$, or its rotations, where

$$
f_{\alpha, \zeta, n}(z)= \begin{cases}-\log (1-z)+\frac{\overline{\zeta z^{n+1}{ }_{2} F_{1}(1, n+1 ; n+2 ; z)}}{n+1} & \alpha=1 / 2  \tag{3.4}\\ \frac{1-(1-z)^{2 \alpha-1}}{2 \alpha-1}+\frac{\overline{\zeta z^{n+1}{ }_{2} F_{1}(n+1,2-2 \alpha ; n+2 ; z)}}{n+1} & \alpha \neq 1 / 2\end{cases}
$$

Proof. Assume that $f=h+\bar{g} \in \mathcal{M}(\alpha, \zeta, n)$. Also, let $\Gamma$ be the line segment joining 0 and $z$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
|f(z)| & =\left|\int_{\Gamma} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \xi} d \xi+\frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{\xi}} d \bar{\xi}\right| \leq \int_{\Gamma}\left(\left|h^{\prime}(\xi)\right|+\left|g^{\prime}(\xi)\right|\right)|d \xi|  \tag{3.5}\\
& =\int_{\Gamma}\left(1+|\zeta||\xi|^{n}\right)\left|h^{\prime}(\xi)\right||d \xi|
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, let $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ be the preimage under $f$ of the line segment joining 0 and $f(z)$. Then, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
|f(z)| & =\int_{\widetilde{\Gamma}}\left|\frac{\partial f}{\partial \xi} d \xi+\frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{\xi}} d \bar{\xi}\right| \geq \int_{\widetilde{\Gamma}}\left(\left|h^{\prime}(\xi)\right|-\left|g^{\prime}(\xi)\right|\right)|d \xi|  \tag{3.6}\\
& =\int_{\widetilde{\Gamma}}\left(1-|\zeta||\xi|^{n}\right)\left|h^{\prime}(\xi)\right||d \xi|
\end{align*}
$$

By observing that $h$ is a convex analytic function of order $\alpha, 0 \leq \alpha<1$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{(1+r)^{2(1-\alpha)}} \leq\left|h^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \frac{1}{(1-r)^{2(1-\alpha)}}, \quad|z|=r<1 \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By virtue of (3.5)-(3.7), we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi(r ; \alpha, \zeta, n) & :=\int_{0}^{r} \frac{\left(1-|\zeta| \rho^{n}\right) d \rho}{(1+\rho)^{2(1-\alpha)}} \\
& \leq|f(z)| \leq \int_{0}^{r} \frac{\left(1+|\zeta| \rho^{n}\right) d \rho}{(1-\rho)^{2(1-\alpha)}} \\
& =: \Psi(r ; \alpha, \zeta, n),
\end{aligned}
$$

which yields the desired inequalities (3.3).
Now, we shall prove the sharpness of the result. We only need show that $f_{\alpha, \zeta, n}$, defined by (3.4), belongs to the class $\mathcal{M}(\alpha, \zeta, n)$ for each $\alpha \in[0,1)$. Suppose that

$$
h_{\alpha}(z)= \begin{cases}-\log (1-z) & \alpha=1 / 2 \\ \frac{1-(1-z)^{2 \alpha-1}}{2 \alpha-1} & \alpha \neq 1 / 2\end{cases}
$$

Then, we find that $h_{\alpha}(z)$ satisfies inequality (2.1) and the relation $g_{\alpha, \zeta, n}^{\prime}(z)=\zeta z^{n} h_{\alpha}^{\prime}(z)$ for each $\alpha \in[0,1)$. Moreover, for $0 \leq \alpha<1$, $0<\zeta<1 /(2 n-1)$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}, 0<r<1$, it is easy to see that

$$
f_{\alpha, \zeta, n}(-r)=-\Phi(r ; \alpha, \zeta, n) \quad \text { and } \quad f_{\alpha, \zeta, n}(r)=\Psi(r ; \alpha, \zeta, n),
$$

and therefore,

$$
\left|f_{\alpha, \zeta, n}(-r)\right|=\Phi(r ; \alpha, \zeta, n) \quad \text { and } \quad\left|f_{\alpha, \zeta, n}(r)\right|=\Psi(r ; \alpha, \zeta, n)
$$

This shows that the bounds are sharp.
Next, we consider a covering theorem for functions in the class $\mathcal{M}(\alpha, \zeta, n)$.

Theorem 3.4. Let $f \in \mathcal{M}(\alpha, \zeta, n)$ with $0 \leq \alpha<1$ and $0 \leq \zeta<$ $1 /(2 n-1), n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, the range $f(\mathbb{D})$ contains the disk

$$
|\omega|<r(\alpha, \zeta, n)= \begin{cases}\log 2-\frac{\zeta_{2} F_{1}(1, n+1 ; n+2 ;-1)}{n+1} & \alpha=1 / 2 \\ \frac{2^{2 \alpha-1}-1}{2 \alpha-1}-\frac{\zeta_{2} F_{1}(n+1,2-2 \alpha ; n+2 ;-1)}{n+1} & \alpha \neq 1 / 2\end{cases}
$$

The bounds are sharp for the function $f_{\alpha, \zeta, n}=h_{\alpha}+\overline{g_{\alpha, \zeta, n}}$, given by (3.4) or its rotations.

Proof. By putting $r \rightarrow 1^{-}$in the lower bound for $|f(z)|$ in Theorem 3.3, we obtain the desired result. The sharpness is similar to that of Theorem 3.2; thus, we omit the details.

Now, we consider the area theorem of the mappings belonging to the class $\mathcal{M}(\alpha, \zeta, n)$. We denote $\mathcal{A}\left(f\left(\mathbb{D}_{r}\right)\right)$ by the area of $f\left(\mathbb{D}_{r}\right)$, where $\mathbb{D}_{r}:=r \mathbb{D}$ for $0<r<1$.

Theorem 3.5. Let $f \in \mathcal{M}(\alpha, \zeta, n)$ with $0 \leq \alpha<1$. Then, for $0<r$ $<1, \mathcal{A}\left(f\left(\mathbb{D}_{r}\right)\right)$ satisfies the inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \pi \int_{0}^{r} \frac{\rho\left(1-|\zeta|^{2} \rho^{2 n}\right)}{(1+\rho)^{4(1-\alpha)}} d \rho \leq \mathcal{A}\left(f\left(\mathbb{D}_{r}\right)\right) \leq 2 \pi \int_{0}^{r} \frac{\rho\left(1-|\zeta|^{2} \rho^{2 n}\right)}{(1-\rho)^{4(1-\alpha)}} d \rho \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $f=h+\bar{g} \in \mathcal{M}(\alpha, \zeta, n)$. Then, for $0<r<1$, we see that

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{A}\left(f\left(\mathbb{D}_{r}\right)\right) & =\iint_{\mathbb{D}_{r}}\left(\left|h^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}-\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}\right) d x d y  \tag{3.9}\\
& =\iint_{\mathbb{D}_{r}}\left(1-|\zeta|^{2}|z|^{2 n}\right)\left|h^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} d x d y
\end{align*}
$$

By observing that $h$ is a convex analytic function of order $\alpha, 0 \leq \alpha<1$, in view of (3.7) and (3.9), we obtain the desired inequalities (3.8) of Theorem 3.5.

Remark 3.6. By setting $n=1$ in Theorems 3.2, 3.3, 3.4 and 3.5, respectively, we get the corresponding results obtained in [18].

Finally, we discuss the radius of close-to-convexity of a certain class of harmonic mappings related to the class $\mathcal{M}(\alpha, \zeta, n)$. The next lemma, due to Clunie and Sheil-Small [5], will be required in the proof of Theorem 3.8.

Lemma 3.7. If $h$ and $g$ are analytic in $\mathbb{D}$ with $\left|h^{\prime}(0)\right|>\left|g^{\prime}(0)\right|$, and $h+\lambda g$ is close-to-convex for each $\lambda(|\lambda|=1)$, then $f=h+\bar{g}$ is harmonic close-to-convex in $\mathbb{D}$.

Theorem 3.8. Suppose that $f=h+\bar{g}$ satisfies inequality (2.1) with $-1 / 2<\alpha<0$. If $g^{\prime}(z)=z^{n} h^{\prime}(z)$ with $n \in \mathbb{N} \backslash\{1\}$, then $f$ is close-toconvex in the disk

$$
|z|<\sqrt[n]{\frac{1+2 \alpha}{1+2 n+2 \alpha}}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \backslash\{1\}
$$

Proof. Suppose that $F_{\lambda}(z)=h(z)-\lambda g(z)$ with $|\lambda|=1$. It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{z F_{\lambda}^{\prime \prime}(z)}{F_{\lambda}^{\prime}(z)}\right) & =\operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{z h^{\prime \prime}(z)}{h^{\prime}(z)}\right)+n \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\lambda z^{n}}{\lambda z^{n}-1}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{z h^{\prime \prime}(z)}{h^{\prime}(z)}\right)+\frac{n}{2}\left(1-\frac{1-\left|\lambda z^{n}\right|^{2}}{\left(1-\lambda z^{n}\right)\left(1-\overline{\lambda z^{n}}\right)}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $z=r e^{i \theta}(0<r<1)$, we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{n}{2}\left(1-\frac{1-\left|\lambda z^{n}\right|^{2}}{\left(1-\lambda z^{n}\right)\left(1-\overline{\lambda z^{n}}\right)}\right) & =\frac{n}{2}\left(1-\frac{1-r^{2 n}}{1+r^{2 n}-2 \operatorname{Re}\left(\lambda z^{n}\right)}\right) \\
& \geq-\frac{n r^{n}}{1-r^{n}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\theta_{1}}^{\theta_{2}} \operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{z F_{\lambda}^{\prime \prime}(z)}{F_{\lambda}^{\prime}(z)}\right) d \theta & >\int_{\theta_{1}}^{\theta_{2}}\left(\alpha-\frac{n r^{n}}{1-r^{n}}\right) d \theta \\
& =\left(\alpha-\frac{n r^{n}}{1-r^{n}}\right)\left(\theta_{2}-\theta_{1}\right) \\
& >-\pi, \quad \theta_{1}<\theta_{2}<\theta_{1}+2 \pi
\end{aligned}
$$

for

$$
|z|=r<\sqrt[n]{\frac{1+2 \alpha}{1+2 n+2 \alpha}}=: r(\alpha, n)
$$

From Lemma 3.7 and Kaplan's close-to-convexity criterion for analytic functions (see [9]), we deduce that $f$ is close-to-convex in the disk $|z|<r(\alpha, n)$.
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