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#### Abstract

In this paper, the unique existence of generalized solutions to periodic boundary value problems for a class of systems of nonlinear equations with $x$-dependent coefficients is discussed under a resonance condition. The argument presented makes use of the global inverse theorem and Galerkin's method.


1. Introduction. There is much research on solutions of nonlinear wave equations with ordinary coefficients, see $[\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{3}, \mathbf{9}, \mathbf{1 0}, \mathbf{1 1}, \mathbf{1 3}]$ and the references therein. The solvability of nonlinear equations with resonance conditions has been considered by many authors (see $[4,5,6,7,8,12])$. By using the global inverse theorem and Galerkin's methods, Chen [3] studied the semilinear elastic beam equations at resonance. Iannacci and Nkashama in [5] treated the general operator equation at resonance and obtained some very general abstract results which unify and generalize most of the known existence theorems for the case where the resonance occurs at the eigenvalue $r_{N}$ and the nonlinearity lies between consecutive eigenvalues. Compared with nonlinear equations with ordinary coefficients, there are fewer papers regarding nonlinear wave equations with variational coefficients $[\mathbf{1}, 6,12]$. In [12], Wang and An investigated the following nonlinear

[^0]one-dimensional wave equation with $x$-dependent coefficients:
(1.1) $\rho(x) u_{t t}-\left(\rho(x) u_{x}\right)_{x}-f(x, t, u(x, t))=h(x, t) \quad$ for all $(x, t) \in \Omega$, with boundary conditions:
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\rho(0) u_{x}(0, t)=0, \quad u(\pi, t)=0 \quad \text { for all } t \in(0, T) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

and T-periodic conditions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, 0)=u(x, T), \quad u_{t}(x, 0)=u_{t}(x, T) \quad \text { for all } x \in(0, \pi), \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Omega=(0, \pi) \times(0, T)$. They considered the resonance conditions which are of Landesman-Lazer type by using the Leray-Schauder degree. In [1], by using the subdifferential method, Barbu and Pavel investigated equation (1.1) with the Dirichlet boundary condition $u(0, t)$ $=u(\pi, t)=0$ and periodic condition (1.3). However, it is noted that they were mainly concerned with the existence of solutions for (1.1) and did not consider the uniqueness of the solution. Moreover, they only considered the scalar equation.

The main difficulty of the presence of resonance is that the problem of existence of periodic solutions may not have solutions for general nonlinearity $f$. Simply speaking, in the non-resonance case, the nonlinearity $f$ must lie between an interval $[p, q]$ that does not contain any eigenvalues of the linear operator associated with the equations. However, the resonance case allows the nonlinearity to interact with the eigenvalues. More precisely, the nonlinearity lies between two consecutive eigenvalues and possibly "touches" the eigenvalues to some extent. Therefore, the resonance case could be trickier than the nonresonance case. In this paper, we are concerned with the existence and uniqueness of a generalized solution of system (1.1)-(1.3) at resonance of a particular type: the nonlinearity simultaneously interacts with the two consecutive eigenvalues. Our approach is based on a global inverse theorem and Galerkin's method.

Throughout the paper, the following assumptions are satisfied.
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right) f(x, t, u): \Omega \times \mathbf{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n}$ is continuous and continuously differentiable with respect to $u$.

$$
\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right) \rho(x) \in H^{2}(0, \pi), \rho(x) \geqslant s>0, x \in(0, \pi) \text { and } \eta_{0}=\operatorname{ess} \inf \eta_{\rho}(x)>0
$$

where

$$
\eta_{\rho}(x)=\frac{1}{2} \frac{\rho^{\prime \prime}}{\rho^{\prime}}-\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{\rho^{\prime}}{\rho}\right)^{2}
$$

$\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right) T=\pi(2 k-1) / p, p, k \in \mathbf{N}$.
The outline of this paper is as follows. Some properties of the linear part of the equation with which we are concerned are presented in Section 2. Section 3 is devoted to the notation and two useful lemmas used in this paper. In Section 4, we give our main result and its proof. The main result is given in Theorem 4.3.
2. Properties of the linear part of the equation. We denote by $L^{p}(\Omega), 1 \leqslant p \leqslant+\infty$, the Lebesgue spaces, i.e., spaces of measurable real-valued functions whose $p$ th power of the absolute value is Lebesgue integrable.

$$
H^{m}(\Omega)=\left\{v \in L^{2}(\Omega)\left|D^{\alpha} v \in L^{2}(\Omega),|\alpha| \leqslant m\right\}, \quad m=1,2\right.
$$

are the usual Sobolev spaces with the norm

$$
\|v\|_{m}=\left(\sum_{|\alpha| \leqslant m}\left\|D^{\alpha} v\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

Consider the following scalar linear equation

$$
\begin{cases}\rho(x) u_{t t}-\left(\rho(x) u_{x}\right)_{x}=\rho(x) h(x, t) & \text { for all }(x, t) \in \Omega  \tag{2.1}\\ -\rho(0) u_{x}(0, t)=0, \quad u(\pi, t)=0 & \text { for all } t \in(0, T) \\ u(x, 0)=u(x, T), \quad u_{t}(x, 0)=u_{t}(x, T) & \text { for all } x \in(0, \pi)\end{cases}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{2}^{\pi}(\bar{\Omega})=\left\{v \in C^{2}(\bar{\Omega}): v_{x}(0, t)=0\right. \\
& \left.\quad v(\pi, t)=0, v(x, 0)=v(x, T), v_{t}(x, 0)=v_{t}(x, T)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to see that $C_{2}^{\pi}(\bar{\Omega})$ is dense in $L^{2}(\Omega)$. We say that $u \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ is a weak solution of (2.1) if, for all $v \in C_{2}^{\pi}(\bar{\Omega})$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} u(x, t)\left(\rho(x) v_{t t}-\left(\rho(x) v_{x}\right)_{x}\right) d x d t=\int_{\Omega} \rho(x) h(x, t) v(x, t) d x d t \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set $\operatorname{dom}(L)=\left\{u \in L^{2}(\Omega)\right.$; there exists an $h \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ such that (2.2) holds\}, and define $L: \operatorname{dom}(L) \rightarrow L^{2}(\Omega)$ by $L u=h$ for $u \in \operatorname{dom}(L)$, i.e., $L u=h$ if and only if (2.2) holds for all $v \in C_{2}^{\pi}(\bar{\Omega})$. This operator is well defined and is called the weak solution operator. Define the inner product in $L^{2}(\Omega)$ by

$$
\langle f, g\rangle=\int_{\Omega} \rho(x) f(x, t) \overline{g(x, t)} d x d t, \quad f, g \in L^{2}(\Omega)
$$

The norm in $L^{2}(\Omega)$ is given by

$$
\|u(x, t)\|=\left(\int_{\Omega} \rho(x)|u(x, t)|^{2} d x d t\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

Then, we have the following complete orthornornal system of eigenfunctions $\left\{\psi_{m}(t) \varphi_{n}(x): m \in \mathbf{Z}, n \in \mathbf{N}^{*}=\{0\} \bigcup \mathbf{N}\right\}$ in $L^{2}(\Omega)$, see [12], where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{m}(t)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} e^{i \mu_{m} t}, \quad \mu_{m}=\frac{2 m \pi}{T}, \quad m \in \mathbf{Z} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\lambda_{n}, \varphi_{n}(x)$ are determined by the Strum-Liouville problem:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\rho(x) \varphi_{n}^{\prime}(x)\right)^{\prime}=\rho(x) \lambda_{n}^{2} \varphi_{n}(x), \quad \varphi_{n}^{\prime}(0)=\varphi_{n}(\pi)=0, \quad n \in \mathbf{N}^{*} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varphi_{n}^{\prime}=(d / d x) \varphi_{n}$.
Denote by

$$
u_{m n}=\int_{\Omega} \rho(x) u(x, t) \varphi_{n}(x) \overline{\psi_{m}(t)} d x d t
$$

the Fourier coefficient of

$$
u=\sum_{\substack{m \in \mathbf{Z} \\ n \in \mathbf{N}^{*}}} u_{m n} \varphi_{n}(x) \psi_{m}(t)
$$

in $L^{2}(\Omega)$ and

$$
h_{m n}=\int_{\Omega} \rho(x) h(x, t) \varphi_{n}(x) \overline{\psi_{m}(t)} d x d t
$$

the Fourier coefficient of

$$
h=\sum_{\substack{m \in \mathbf{Z} \\ n \in \mathbf{N}^{*}}} h_{m n} \varphi_{n}(x) \psi_{m}(t)
$$

in $L^{2}(\Omega)$. According to Parseval's formula, we have

$$
\|u\|^{2}=\sum_{\substack{m \in \mathbf{Z} \\ n \in \mathbf{N}^{*}}}\left|u_{m n}\right|^{2}, \quad\|h\|^{2}=\sum_{\substack{m \in \mathbf{Z} \\ n \in \mathbf{N}^{*}}}\left|h_{m n}\right|^{2}
$$

Substituting $v=\varphi_{n}(x) \overline{\psi_{m}(t)}$ into (2.2) yields that $L u=h$ if and only if

$$
\left(\lambda_{n}^{2}-\mu_{m}^{2}\right) u_{m n}=h_{m n} .
$$

We can derive some of the main properties of $L$ as follows.
Lemma 2.1 ([1]). $L$ is self-adjoint in $L^{2}(\Omega)$ with closed range $\operatorname{Im} L$ given by

$$
\operatorname{Im} L=\operatorname{Span}\left\{\varphi_{n} \psi_{m} ; m \in \boldsymbol{Z}, n \in \boldsymbol{N}, \text { with } \lambda_{n} \neq\left|\mu_{m}\right|\right\}=(\operatorname{ker} L)^{\perp}
$$

with null space

$$
\operatorname{ker} L=\operatorname{Span}\left\{\varphi_{n} \psi_{m} ; m \in \boldsymbol{Z}, n \in \boldsymbol{N}, \text { with } \lambda_{n}=\left|\mu_{m}\right|\right\} .
$$

The right inverse of $L$ (denoted by $\left.K=L_{\mathrm{dom}(L) \cap \operatorname{Im} L}^{-1}\right)$ is compact and continuous.

Moreover, we have:

$$
\|K h\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)} \leqslant C\|K h\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}, \quad\|K h\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leqslant C\|K h\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\langle K h, h\rangle \geqslant-\alpha^{-1}\|h\|^{2}, \quad\langle K u, u\rangle \geqslant-\alpha^{-1}\|L u\|^{2}, \\
h \in \operatorname{Im} L, \quad u \in \operatorname{dom}(L),
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\alpha^{-1}=\inf \left\{\mu_{m}^{2}-\lambda_{n}^{2} ; \mu_{m}^{2}>\lambda_{n}^{2}\right\}$.

We denote by $\sigma(L)=\left\{\lambda_{n}^{2}-\mu_{m}^{2} \mid m \in \mathbf{Z}, n \in \mathbf{N}^{*}\right\}$ the spectrum set of $L$. It is a consequence of Lemma 2.1 that each $r \neq 0, r \in \sigma(L)$ is an eigenvalue of finite multiplicity and that $\sigma(L)$ has no finite cluster point.
3. Preliminaries. Before discussion of the existence of solutions to system (1.1)-(1.3), in what follows, we give some notation and useful lemmas. Let $H=\left[L^{2}(\Omega)\right]^{n}$, with integer $n \geqslant 1$. Then, $H$ is a real Hil-
bert space with inner product

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle u, v\rangle=\int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{T} \rho(x)(u(x, t), v(x, t)) d x d t, \quad u, v \in H \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $(\cdot, \cdot)$ denotes the Euclidean inner product in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$, and the norms induced by $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ and $(\cdot, \cdot)$ are denoted by $\|\cdot\|$ and $|\cdot|$, respectively.

We call $u \in H$ the weak solution to system (1.1)-(1.3) if it satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega}\left(u(x, t),\left(\rho(x) v_{t t}\right.\right. & \left.\left.-\left(\rho(x) v_{x}\right)_{x}\right)\right) d x d t-\int_{\Omega}(f(x, t, u), v(x, t)) d x d t  \tag{3.2}\\
= & \int_{\Omega}(h(x, t), v(x, t)) d x d t \quad \text { for all } v \in\left[C_{2}^{\pi}(\bar{\Omega})\right]^{n}
\end{align*}
$$

System (1.1)-(1.3) is said to be at resonance if the following condition holds, i.e., there exist two constant symmetric $n \times n$ matrices $A$ and $B$ such that

$$
A \leqslant \frac{f_{u}^{\prime}(t, x, u)}{\rho(x)} \leqslant B, \quad \bigcup_{j=1}^{n}\left[\nu_{1 i}, \nu_{2 i}\right] \bigcap \sigma(L) \neq \emptyset
$$

where $\nu_{11} \leqslant \nu_{12} \leqslant \cdots \leqslant \nu_{1 n}$ and $\nu_{21} \leqslant \nu_{22} \leqslant \cdots \leqslant \nu_{2 n}$ are the eigenvalues of $A$ and $B$, respectively, with $\nu_{1 i} \leqslant \nu_{2 i}$, for $i=1, \ldots, n$. For two $n \times n$ symmetric matrices $A$ and $B$, by $B \geqslant A$, we mean that $((B-A) \xi, \xi) \geqslant 0$ for all $\xi \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$.

To be more precise, we will prove in this paper that, if $f$ is continuously differentiable and $f_{u}^{\prime}(t, x, u)$ is symmetric satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
A+\alpha(\|u\|) I \leqslant \frac{f_{u}^{\prime}(t, x, u)}{\rho(x)} \leqslant B-\beta(\|u\|) I \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{+\infty} \min \{\alpha(s), \beta(s)\} d s=+\infty \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

then there exists a unique weak solution to (1.1)-(1.3), where $I$ is an $n \times n$ identity matrix, $A$ and $B$ are two real symmetric matrices (and we assume that $\nu_{1 i}, \nu_{2 i} \in \sigma(L)$ are consecutive, for $i=1,2, \ldots, n$, where $\nu_{1 i}$ and $\nu_{2 i}$ are the eigenvalues of $A$ and $B$, respectively) and $\alpha(s)$ and $\beta(s)$ are two continuous and nonincreasing functions from $[0, \infty)$ to
$(0, \infty)$. We note that $\nu_{1 i}, \nu_{2 i} \in \sigma(L), \nu_{1 i} \neq \nu_{2 i}$, are consecutive for $i=1, \ldots, n$, provided

$$
\bigcup_{j=1}^{n}\left(\nu_{1 i}, \nu_{2 i}\right) \bigcap \sigma(L)=\emptyset
$$

and $\nu_{11} \leqslant \nu_{12} \leqslant \cdots \leqslant \nu_{1 n}, \nu_{21} \leqslant \nu_{22} \leqslant \cdots \leqslant \nu_{2 n}, \nu_{1 i} \leqslant \nu_{2 i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$.

Next, we introduce the following two lemmas which play an important role in this paper.

Lemma 3.1 ([7]). Let $H$ be a real Hilbert space, $T \in C^{1}(H, H)$, and assume that $T^{\prime}(u)$ is everywhere invertible for all $u \in H$. Then, $T$ is a global diffeomorphism onto $H$ if $w$ satisfies $\left\|T^{\prime}(u)^{-1}\right\| \leqslant w(\|u\|)$. Here, $w$ is a nondecreasing mapping and satisfies the following conditions:

$$
\begin{gather*}
w: \boldsymbol{R}_{+} \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{R}_{+}, \quad \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d t}{w(t)}=\infty  \tag{3.5}\\
w(t)>0, \quad t>0
\end{gather*}
$$

Lemma 3.2 ([3, 8]). Let $H$ be a vector space such that, for subspaces $Y$ and $Z, H=Z \bigoplus Y$. If $Z$ is finite-dimensional and $X$ is a subspace of $H$ such that $X \cap Y=\{0\}$ and $\operatorname{dim} X=\operatorname{dim} Z$, then $H=X \bigoplus Y$.
4. Main result and proof. We will follow the setup in Mawhin [8]. Set $v_{m n}(x, t)=\varphi_{n}(x) \psi_{m}(t), m \in \mathbf{Z}, n \in \mathbf{N}^{*}$, and let $\left\{e_{k} \mid 1 \leqslant k \leqslant n\right\}$ denote an orthonormal basis in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$. Then, for every $u \in H$, we have the Fourier series

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{(m, n) \in \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{N}^{*}} u_{k, m, n} v_{m n} e_{k} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u_{k, m, n}$ satisfies $u_{k, m, n}=\overline{u_{k,-m, n}}$ to make the series real. We define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D}(L)=\left\{\left.u \in H\left|\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{(m, n) \in \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{N}^{*}}\left(\lambda_{n}^{2}-\mu_{m}^{2}\right)^{2}\right| u_{k, m, n}\right|^{2}<\infty\right\} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gather*}
L: \mathrm{D}(L) \subset H \longmapsto H,  \tag{4.3}\\
u \longmapsto \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{(m, n) \in \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{N}^{*}}\left(\lambda_{n}^{2}-\mu_{m}^{2}\right) u_{k, m, n} v_{m n} e_{k} .
\end{gather*}
$$

From Lemma 2.1, it is easy to verify that $L$ is a self-adjoint operator. We assume that there exists a constant $C \geqslant 0$ such that, for all $u \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f_{u}^{\prime}(t, x, u)\right\| \leqslant C \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is well known that the mapping $N$ defined on $H$ by

$$
N u(x, t)=\frac{f(t, x, u(x, t))}{\rho(x)} \quad \text { almost everywhere on } \Omega
$$

continuously maps $H$ into itself. Then, the existence of the weak solution for (1.1)-(1.3) is equivalent to the existence of a solution $u \in \mathrm{D}(L)$ for the equation in $H$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
L u-N u=\bar{h}, \quad \bar{h}=h / \rho . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall now construct Galerkin's approximate equations for (4.5) in a manner similar to Mawhin [8]. Let $\left\{a_{k} \mid 1 \leqslant k \leqslant n\right\}$ and $\left\{b_{k} \mid 1 \leqslant k \leqslant n\right\}$ be two orthonormal bases in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ such that

$$
A a_{k}=\nu_{1 k} a_{k}, \quad B b_{k}=\nu_{2 k} b_{k}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots, n .
$$

For every $j \in \mathbf{N}$, define a subspace $H_{j}$ of $H$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{j}=\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{(m, n) \in\left(\mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{N}^{*}\right)_{j}} u_{k, m, n} v_{m n} b_{k}, u_{k, m, n}=\overline{u_{k,-m, n}}\right\}, \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\left(\mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{N}^{*}\right)_{j}=\left\{(m, n) \in \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{N}^{*}:\left|\lambda_{n}^{2}-\mu_{m}^{2}\right| \leqslant j,\left|\lambda_{n}\right| \leqslant j\right\}
$$

Note that, by this construction, the restriction of $L$ to $\mathrm{D}(L) \bigcap H_{j}$ has, in contrast with $L$, a spectrum bounded below and above, and the spectrum set is made of eigenvalues having finite multiplicity. Moreover, $\bigcup_{j \in \mathbf{N}} H_{j}$ is dense in $H$. If we denote by $P_{j}: H \mapsto H$ the orthogonal projection onto $H_{j}, j \in \mathbf{N}$, Galerkin's approximate
equations for (4.5) will be

$$
\begin{equation*}
L u_{j}-P_{j} N u_{j}=P_{j} \bar{h}, \quad u_{j} \in \mathrm{D}(L) \bigcap H_{j}=H_{j}, \quad j \in \mathbf{N} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We are now in a position to prove the existence of Galerkin's approximate solutions. Let $j \in \mathbf{N}$ be fixed. We introduce a direct sum decomposition of $H_{j}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{j}=\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{(m, n) \in\left(\mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{N}^{*}\right)_{j}} u_{k, m, n} v_{m n} b_{k}, u_{k, m, n}=\overline{u_{k,-m, n}^{2}-\mu_{m}^{2} \geqslant \nu_{2 k}}\right\}, \\
& Y_{j}=\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{\substack{(m, n) \in\left(\mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{N}^{*}\right)_{j} \\
\lambda_{n}^{2}-\mu_{m}^{2}<\nu_{2 k}}} u_{k, m, n} v_{m n} b_{k}, u_{k, m, n}=\overline{u_{k,-m, n}}\right\}, \\
& Z_{j}=\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{\substack{(m, n) \in\left(\mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{N}^{*}\right)_{j} \\
\lambda_{n}^{2}-\mu_{m}^{2}<\nu_{1 k}}} u_{k, m, n} v_{m n} a_{k}, u_{k, m, n}=\overline{u_{k,-m, n}}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly, $H_{j}=X_{j} \bigoplus Y_{j}$ (the orthogonal direct sum) and, since $\nu_{1 i}, \nu_{2 i} \in$ $\sigma(L)$ are consecutive, $\operatorname{dim} Y_{j}=\operatorname{dim} Z_{j}<+\infty$.

Now, we establish a unique existence result. We note that some of the ideas in the proof are modeled after [8, Lemma 2].

Lemma 4.1. If conditions (3.3) and (3.4) hold for all $(x, t) \in \Omega$, then equation (4.7) has, for each $j \in \boldsymbol{N}$ and each $\bar{h} \in H$, a unique solution $u_{j} \in \mathrm{D}(L) \bigcap H_{j}$ and there exists a constant $C=C\left(\nu_{11}\right.$, $\left.\ldots, \nu_{1 n}, \nu_{21}, \ldots, \nu_{2 n},\|\bar{h}\|\right)$ such that $\left\|u_{j}\right\| \leqslant C$ for all $j \in N$.

Proof. We show that the mapping $F_{j}: H_{j} \mapsto H_{j}$, defined by

$$
F_{j} u_{j}=L u_{j}-P_{j} N u_{j}
$$

for every $u_{j} \in H_{j}$ satisfies all the conditions of Lemma 3.1. The continuous Fréchet differentiability of $F_{j}$ is trivial. Now, if $u_{j} \in H_{j}$ and
$x_{j} \in \mathrm{D}(L) \bigcap X_{j}=X_{j}$ with

$$
x_{j}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{\substack{(m, n) \in\left(\mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{N}^{*}\right)_{j} \\ \lambda_{n}^{2}-\mu_{m}^{2} \geqslant \nu_{2 k}}} x_{k m n} v_{m n} b_{k},
$$

we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle L x_{j}-P_{j} N^{\prime}\left(u_{j}\right) x_{j}, x_{j}\right\rangle  \tag{4.8}\\
& \quad=\left\langle L x_{j}, x_{j}\right\rangle-\left\langle P_{j} N^{\prime}\left(u_{j}\right) x_{j}, x_{j}\right\rangle \\
& \quad \geqslant \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{\substack{(m, n) \in\left(\mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{N}^{*}\right)_{j} \\
\lambda_{n}^{2}-\mu_{m}^{2} \geqslant \nu_{2 k}}}\left(\lambda_{n}^{2}-\mu_{m}^{2}\right)\left|x_{k m n}\right|^{2}-\left\langle\left(B-\beta\left(\left\|u_{j}\right\|\right) I\right) x_{j}, x_{j}\right\rangle \\
& \quad=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{\substack{(m, n) \in\left(\mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{N}^{*}\right)_{j} \\
\lambda_{n}^{2}-\mu_{m}^{2} \geqslant \nu_{2 k}}}\left(\lambda_{n}^{2}-\mu_{m}^{2}-\left[\nu_{2 k}-\beta\left(\left\|u_{j}\right\|\right)\right]\right)\left|x_{k m n}\right|^{2} \\
& \quad \geqslant \beta\left(\left\|u_{j}\right\|\right)\left\|x_{j}\right\|^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, if $z_{j} \in \mathrm{D}(L) \bigcap Z_{j}=Z_{j}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle L z_{j}-P_{j} N^{\prime}\left(u_{j}\right) z_{j}, z_{j}\right\rangle \leqslant-\alpha\left(\left\|u_{j}\right\|\right)\left\|z_{j}\right\|^{2} . \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inequalities (4.8) and (4.9) imply that $X_{j} \bigcap Z_{j}=\{0\}$, which, together with $\operatorname{dim} Y_{j}=\operatorname{dim} Z_{j}<\infty$, and Lemma 3.2, show that $H_{j}=X_{j} \bigoplus Z_{j}$ algebraically, and hence, topologically.

Consequently, if $u_{j} \in H_{j}, v_{j} \in H_{j}$ and $v_{j}=x_{j}+z_{j}$ with $x_{j} \in X_{j}$ and $z_{j} \in Z_{j}$, using (4.8), (4.9), and the symmetry of $L$ and $P_{j} N^{\prime}\left(u_{j}\right)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle F_{j}^{\prime}\left(u_{j}\right) v_{j}, x_{j}-z_{j}\right\rangle & =\left\langle F_{j}^{\prime}\left(u_{j}\right) x_{j}, x_{j}\right\rangle-\left\langle F_{j}^{\prime}\left(u_{j}\right) z_{j}, z_{j}\right\rangle \\
& \geqslant \beta\left(\left\|u_{j}\right\|\right)\left\|x_{j}\right\|^{2}+\alpha\left(\left\|u_{j}\right\|\right)\left\|z_{j}\right\|^{2} \\
& \geqslant \gamma\left(\left\|u_{j}\right\|\right)\left\|v_{j}\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\gamma(s)=\min \{\alpha(s), \beta(s)\}$. Furthermore, we have

$$
\gamma\left(\left\|u_{j}\right\|\right)\left\|v_{j}\right\|^{2} \leqslant\left\|F_{j}^{\prime}\left(u_{j}\right) v_{j}\right\|\left(\left\|x_{j}\right\|+\left\|z_{j}\right\|\right)
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma\left(\left\|u_{j}\right\|\right)\left\|v_{j}\right\| \leqslant \gamma\left(\left\|u_{j}\right\|\right)\left(\left\|x_{j}\right\|+\left\|z_{j}\right\|\right) \leqslant 2\left\|F_{j}^{\prime}\left(u_{j}\right) v_{j}\right\| \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $\sqrt{a^{2}+b^{2}} \leqslant a+b$ and $(a+b)^{2} \leqslant 2\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)$ for $a \geqslant 0, b \geqslant 0$.

We will prove that the conditions of Lemma 3.1 are satisfied. First, we show that $F_{j}^{\prime}\left(u_{j}\right)$ is a one-to-one mapping. In fact, suppose that $w_{1} \neq w_{2}, w_{1}, w_{2} \in H_{j}$, such that $F_{j}^{\prime}\left(u_{j}\right) w_{1}=F_{j}^{\prime}\left(u_{j}\right) w_{2}$. Then, from (4.10), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\left\|F_{j}^{\prime}\left(u_{j}\right) w_{1}-F_{j}^{\prime}\left(u_{j}\right) w_{2}\right\|=\left\|F_{j}^{\prime}\left(u_{j}\right)\left(w_{1}-w_{2}\right)\right\| \\
& \geqslant \frac{\gamma\left(\left\|u_{j}\right\|\right)}{2}\left\|w_{1}-w_{2}\right\|>0
\end{aligned}
$$

which is a contradiction. Also, by (4.10), we can prove that $F_{j}^{\prime}\left(u_{j}\right) H_{j}$ is a closed subspace of $H_{j}$. Next, we prove that $F_{j}^{\prime}\left(u_{j}\right) H_{j}=H_{j}$. For this purpose, we assume that there exists a $v \in\left(F_{j}\left(u_{j}\right) H_{j}\right)^{\perp}$ with $v \neq 0$. Then, $\left\langle v, F_{j}^{\prime}\left(u_{j}\right) w\right\rangle=0$ for all $w \in H_{j}$. Let $v=v_{X}+v_{Z}, v_{X} \in X_{j}, v_{Z} \in$ $Z_{j}$ and set $w=v_{Z}-v_{X}$. Then,

$$
0=\left\langle v, F_{j}^{\prime}\left(u_{j}\right) w\right\rangle \geqslant \beta\left(\left\|u_{j}\right\|\right)\left\|v_{X}\right\|^{2}+\alpha\left(\left\|u_{j}\right\|\right)\left\|v_{Z}\right\|^{2} \geqslant \frac{\gamma\left(\left\|u_{j}\right\|\right)}{2}\|w\|^{2}
$$

This is a contradiction since $X_{j} \bigcap Z_{j}=\{0\}$; hence, $F_{j}^{\prime}\left(u_{j}\right) H_{j}=H_{j}$. Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|F_{j}^{\prime}\left(u_{j}\right)^{-1}\right\| \leqslant \frac{2}{\gamma\left(\left\|u_{j}\right\|\right)} \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

With (4.11) and Lemma 3.1, we can see that $F_{j}: H_{j} \mapsto H_{j}$ is a homeomorphism. In order to obtain the estimate for unique solution $u_{j}$ to equation (4.7), by $F_{j}\left(u_{j}\right)=P_{j} \bar{h}$, we obtain that

$$
u_{j}=F_{j}^{-1}\left(P_{j} \bar{h}\right)-F_{j}^{-1}\left(F_{j}(0)\right) ;
$$

hence, using the integral mean value theorem, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|u_{j}\right\| & =\left\|F_{j}^{-1}\left(P_{j} \bar{h}\right)-F_{j}^{-1}\left(F_{j}(0)\right)\right\|  \tag{4.12}\\
& \leqslant \int_{0}^{1}\left\|\left(F_{j}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\left(F_{j}(0)+\theta\left(P_{j} \bar{h}-F_{j}(0)\right)\right)\right\| d \theta\left\|P_{j} \bar{h}-F_{j}(0)\right\| .
\end{align*}
$$

Noting that

$$
\left\|F_{j}(0)+\theta\left(P_{j} \bar{h}-F_{j}(0)\right)\right\| \leqslant\left\|P_{j} \bar{h}\right\|+\left\|F_{j}(0)\right\| \leqslant\|\bar{h}\|+\|N(0)\|,
$$

it follows from (4.10) and (4.12) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{j}\right\| \leqslant \frac{2}{\gamma(\|\bar{h}\|+\|N(0)\|)}\|\bar{h}\|+\|N(0)\| \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the right-hand side member independent of $j$. The proof is complete.

The convergence result for Galerkin's method associated to nonlinear perturbations of $L$ may be found from the following lemma.

Lemma 4.2 ([8]). Assume that there exists a sequence $\left\{H_{j}\right\}$ of finitedimensional vector subspaces of $H$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
H_{j} \subset H_{j+1}, \quad \widetilde{L}\left(\mathrm{D}(\widetilde{L}) \bigcap H_{j}\right) \subset H_{j}, \quad j \in N \\
H=\overline{\bigcup_{j \in N} H_{j}} \tag{4.14}
\end{gather*}
$$

and let $P_{j}: H \rightarrow H$ be the orthogonal projector onto $H_{j}, j \in N$. Let $\tilde{N}: H \rightarrow H$ be a continuous monotone mapping which takes bounded sets into bounded sets. Assume that, for some $\bar{h} \in H$ and some $r>0$, the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{L} u_{j}-P_{j} \widetilde{N} u_{j}=P_{j} \bar{h} \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

has a unique solution $u_{j} \in \mathrm{D}(\widetilde{L}) \bigcap H_{j}$ such that $\left\|u_{j}\right\| \leqslant r, j \in \boldsymbol{N}$. Then, $\widetilde{L} u-\widetilde{N} u=h$ has at least one solution $u \in \mathrm{D}(\widetilde{L})$ such that $\|u\| \leqslant r$.

Now, we present our main theorem.
Theorem 4.3. If conditions (3.3) and (3.4) hold for all $(x, t) \in \Omega$ and $u \in H$, then the system (1.1)-(1.3) has a unique generalized solution.

Proof. Since $\nu_{1 k}, \nu_{2 k} \in \sigma(L)$, for $k=1,2, \ldots, n$ are consecutive, then there exists an integer $0 \leqslant p \leqslant n$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \nu_{1 k} \leqslant \nu_{2 k}, \quad \nu_{1 k} \leqslant 0, \quad 1 \leqslant k \leqslant p, \\
& \nu_{2 k} \geqslant \nu_{1 k} \geqslant 0, \quad p+1 \leqslant k \leqslant n .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, define the operators $S_{+}$and $S_{-}$on $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ as follows:

$$
S_{+} x=\sum_{k=p+1}^{n} \xi_{k} a_{k}, \quad S_{-} x=\sum_{k=1}^{p} \xi_{k} b_{k}
$$

for every $x=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \xi_{k} a_{k}$ in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$. Next, we show that $\operatorname{Im} S_{+} \bigcap \operatorname{Im} S_{-}=$ $\{0\}$. In fact, for $x \in \operatorname{Im} S_{+}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
((B-\beta(\|u\|)) x, x) & \geqslant((A+\alpha(\|u\|)) x, x) \\
& =\sum_{k=p+1}^{n}\left(\nu_{1 k}+\alpha(\|u\|)\right) \xi_{k}^{2} \\
& \geqslant \min _{p+1 \leqslant k \leqslant n}\left(\nu_{1 k}+\alpha(\|u\|)\right)\left(\sum_{k=p+1}^{n} \xi_{k}^{2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and, for $x \in \operatorname{Im} S_{-}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
((A+\alpha(\|u\|)) x, x) & \leqslant((B-\beta(\|u\|)) x, x) \\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{p}\left(\nu_{2 k}-\beta(\|u\|)\right) \xi_{k}^{2} \\
& \leqslant \max _{1 \leqslant k \leqslant p}\left(\nu_{2 k}-\beta(\|u\|)\right)\left(\sum_{k=1}^{p} \xi_{k}^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\min _{p+1 \leqslant k \leqslant n}\left(\nu_{1 k}+\alpha(\|u\|)\right)>0$ and $\max _{1 \leqslant k \leqslant p}\left(\nu_{2 k}-\beta(\|u\|)\right)<0$, we have $\operatorname{Im} S_{+} \bigcap \operatorname{Im} S_{-}=\{0\}$. From Lemma 3.2, we have $\mathbf{R}^{n}=\operatorname{Im} S_{+}$ $\bigoplus \operatorname{Im} S_{-}$.

If we now define the operators $\widetilde{S_{+}}$and $\widetilde{S_{-}}$on $H$ by

$$
\widetilde{S_{ \pm}} u(x, t)=S_{ \pm}(u(x, t)) \quad \text { almost everywhere on } \Omega
$$

then $H=\operatorname{Im} \widetilde{S_{+}} \bigoplus \operatorname{Im} \widetilde{S_{-}}($topologically $), \widetilde{S_{ \pm}}(\mathrm{D}(L)) \subset \mathrm{D}(L), \widetilde{S_{+}}-\widetilde{S_{-}}$ is a linear homeomorphism on $H$ with $\left(\widetilde{S_{+}}-\widetilde{S_{-}}\right)^{-1}=\widetilde{S_{+}}-\widetilde{S_{-}}$and, on $\mathrm{D}(L)$, we have $L \widetilde{S_{ \pm}}=\widetilde{S_{ \pm}} L$. Consequently, if we set, in equation (4.5),

$$
u=\left(\widetilde{S_{+}}-\widetilde{S_{-}}\right) v
$$

so that $v=\left(\widetilde{S_{+}}-\widetilde{S_{-}}\right) u$, then we obtain the equivalent equation $L\left(\widetilde{S_{+}}-\widetilde{S_{-}}\right) v-N\left(\left(\widetilde{S_{+}}-\widetilde{S_{-}}\right) v\right)=\bar{h}$. Moreover, $u_{j} \in H_{j}$ is a solution of (4.7) if and only if $v_{j}=\left(\widetilde{S_{+}}-\widetilde{S_{-}}\right) u_{j} \in H_{j}$ satisfies the equation

$$
\widetilde{L} v_{j}-P_{j} \tilde{N} v_{j}=P_{j} \bar{h}
$$

where $\widetilde{L}=L\left(\widetilde{S_{+}}-\widetilde{S_{-}}\right), \widetilde{N}=N\left(\widetilde{S_{+}}-\widetilde{S_{-}}\right)$. Now, $\widetilde{L}$ has the same domain, kernel, range and spectrum as $L$. For every $w \in H, \widetilde{N}$ is also
of class $C^{1}$ at $w$, and

$$
\widetilde{N}^{\prime}(w)=N^{\prime}\left(\left(\widetilde{S_{+}}-\widetilde{S_{-}}\right) w\right)\left(\widetilde{S_{+}}-\widetilde{S_{-}}\right) .
$$

Next, we will show that $\widetilde{N}$ is Lipschizian and monotonic. From condition (3.3) and the above property, i.e., $\left(\widetilde{S_{+}}-\widetilde{S_{-}}\right)^{-1}=\widetilde{S_{+}}-\widetilde{S_{-}}$, of $\widetilde{S_{+}}-\widetilde{S_{-}}$, we have (note that $\rho(A)=\|A\|$ ) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\widetilde{N}^{\prime}(w)\right\| & =\left\|N^{\prime}\left(\left(\widetilde{S_{+}}-\widetilde{S_{-}}\right) w\right)\left(\widetilde{S_{+}}-\widetilde{S_{-}}\right)\right\| \\
& \left.\leqslant \| N^{\prime}\left(\widetilde{\left(S_{+}\right.}-\widetilde{S_{-}}\right) w\right)\|=\| f_{u}^{\prime}\left(t, x,\left(\widetilde{S_{+}}-\widetilde{S_{-}}\right) w\right) \| \\
& \leqslant \max \{\|A\|,\|B\|\} \leqslant \max _{1 \leqslant k \leqslant n}\left\{\left|\nu_{1 k}\right|,\left|\nu_{2 k}\right|\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This means that $\widetilde{N}$ is Lipschitzian.
For every $w, v \in H$, using the symmetry of $N^{\prime}(u)$, we can obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\widetilde{N}^{\prime}(w) v, v\right)= & \left(N^{\prime}\left(\left(\widetilde{S_{+}}-\widetilde{S_{-}}\right) w\right)\left(\widetilde{S_{+}}-\widetilde{S_{-}}\right) v,\left(\widetilde{S_{+}}-\widetilde{S_{-}}\right) v\right) \\
= & \left(N^{\prime}\left(\left(\widetilde{S_{+}}-\widetilde{S_{-}}\right) w\right) \widetilde{S_{+}} v, \widetilde{S_{+}} v\right) \\
& \left.-\left(N^{\prime}\left(\widetilde{S_{+}}-\widetilde{S_{-}}\right) w\right) \widetilde{S_{-}} v, \widetilde{S_{-}} v\right) \\
\geqslant & \left((A+\alpha(\|w\|)) \widetilde{S_{+}} v, \widetilde{S_{-}} v\right) \\
& -\left((B-\beta(\|w\|)) \widetilde{S_{-}} v, \widetilde{S_{-}} v\right) \geqslant 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that $\tilde{N}$ is monotone and takes bounded sets into bounded sets. Since $\sigma(\widetilde{L}) \backslash\{0\}$ is made of eigenvalues with finite multiplicity with no finite accumulation point, its right inverse

$$
\widetilde{K}=\left(\left.\widetilde{L}\right|_{\mathrm{D}(\widetilde{L}) \cap \operatorname{Im} \tilde{L}}\right)^{-1}
$$

is compact, and we can apply Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2 to obtain the existence of $v \in \mathrm{D}(\widetilde{L})$ such that $\widetilde{L} v-\widetilde{N} v=\bar{h}$, and hence, we have the existence of the solution $u=\left(\widetilde{S_{+}}-\widetilde{S_{-}}\right) v$ for (4.5), i.e., the existence of the solution $u$ to (1.1)-(1.3).

For the uniqueness, let $u^{1}$ and $u^{2}$ be two periodic solutions to (1.1)(1.3), and set

$$
\begin{gathered}
u_{j}^{i}=P_{j} u^{i}, \quad u_{j}^{i}=x_{j}^{i}+z_{j}^{i}, \quad x_{j}^{i} \in X_{j}, \quad z_{j}^{i} \in Z_{j}, \\
v_{j}=x_{j}^{1}-x_{j}^{2}, \quad w_{j}=z_{j}^{1}-z_{j}^{2},
\end{gathered}
$$

so that $u_{j}^{1}-u_{j}^{2}=v_{j}+w_{j}, j \in \mathbf{N}$. Therefore, using the notation of Lemma 4.1, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0= & \left\langle L\left(u^{1}-u^{2}\right)-N\left(u^{1}-u^{2}\right), v_{j}-w_{j}\right\rangle \\
= & \left\langle L\left(u_{j}^{1}-u_{j}^{2}\right), v_{j}-w_{j}\right\rangle \\
& -\left\langle\int_{0}^{1} N^{\prime}\left(u^{2}+s\left(u^{1}-u^{2}\right)\right)\left(u^{1}-u^{2}\right) d s, v_{j}-w_{j}\right\rangle \\
= & \left\langle L\left(v_{j}+w_{j}\right), v_{j}-w_{j}\right\rangle \\
& -\left\langle\int_{0}^{1} N^{\prime}\left(u^{2}+s\left(u^{1}-u^{2}\right)\right)\left(v_{j}+w_{j}\right) d s, v_{j}-w_{j}\right\rangle \\
& -\left\langle\int_{0}^{1} N^{\prime}\left(u^{2}+s\left(u^{1}-u^{2}\right)\right)\left(u^{1}-u_{j}^{1}+u_{j}^{2}-u^{2}\right) d s, v_{j}-w_{j}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\left\langle L v_{j}, v_{j}\right\rangle-\left\langle\int_{0}^{1} N^{\prime}\left(u^{2}+s\left(u^{1}-u^{2}\right)\right) v_{j} d s, v_{j}\right\rangle \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\left\langle L w_{j}, w_{j}\right\rangle+\left\langle\int_{0}^{1} N^{\prime}\left(u^{2}+s\left(u^{1}-u^{2}\right)\right) w_{j} d s, w_{j}\right\rangle \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\left\langle\int_{0}^{1} N^{\prime}\left(u^{2}+s\left(u^{1}-u^{2}\right)\right)\left(u^{1}-u_{j}^{1}+u_{j}^{2}-u^{2}\right) d s, v_{j}-w_{j}\right\rangle  \tag{4.18}\\
\geqslant & \int_{0}^{1} \beta\left(\left\|u^{2}+s\left(u^{1}-u^{2}\right)\right\|\right) d s\left\|v_{j}\right\|^{2} \\
& +\int_{0}^{1} \alpha\left(\left\|u^{2}+s\left(u^{1}-u^{2}\right)\right\|\right) d s\left\|w_{j}\right\|^{2} \\
& -C\left(\left\|u^{1}-u_{j}^{1}\right\|+\left\|u^{2}-u_{j}^{2}\right\|\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where the first two terms of the last inequality are due to (4.16), (4.17) and Lemma 4.1, and the last term is due to (4.18) and condition (3.3), with $C>0$, some constant depending only upon $\nu_{1 k}, \nu_{2 k}$, $k=1,2, \ldots, n$, and $r$ (see Lemma 4.2). Consequently, $v_{j} \rightarrow 0$ and $w_{j} \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$, so that

$$
u^{1}-u^{2}=\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left(u_{j}^{1}-u_{j}^{2}\right)=\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left(v_{j}+w_{j}\right)=0
$$

and the proof of the theorem is complete.
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