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#### Abstract

In this paper, we study Lefschetz properties of Artinian reductions of Stanley-Reisner rings of balanced simplicial 3-polytopes. A $(d-1)$-dimensional simplicial complex is said to be balanced if its graph is $d$-colorable. If a simplicial complex is balanced, then its Stanley-Reisner ring has a special system of parameters induced by the coloring. We prove that the Artinian reduction of the StanleyReisner ring of a balanced simplicial 3-polytope with respect to this special system of parameters has the strong Lefschetz property if the characteristic of the base field is not two or three. Moreover, we characterize ( 2,1 )-balanced simplicial polytopes, i.e., polytopes with exactly one red vertex and two blue vertices in each facet, such that an analogous property holds. In fact, we show that this is the case if and only if the induced graph on the blue vertices satisfies a Lamantype combinatorial condition.


1. Introduction. Let $\mathbb{F}$ be an infinite field. An Artinian Gorenstein standard graded $\mathbb{F}$-algebra $A=A_{0} \oplus A_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus A_{s}$ with $A_{0} \cong A_{s} \cong \mathbb{F}$ is said to have the strong Lefschetz property (SLP) if there is a linear form $w \in A_{1}$ such that the multiplication map $\times w^{s-2 i}: A_{i} \rightarrow A_{s-i}$ is bijective for all $i<s / 2$. This property is motivated by the Hard Lefschetz theorem and has been of great interest in both algebra and combinatorics, with a multitude of applications (see [6]). Proving the SLP is difficult in general, and it is interesting to find new classes of Artinian Gorenstein algebras having the SLP. In this paper, we study

[^0]the SLP for certain Artinian reductions of the Stanley-Reisner rings of simplicial 3-polytopes, which satisfy nice vertex coloring conditions.

Given a simplicial complex $\Delta$ on the vertex set $V$, the ideal $I_{\Delta}$ of $\mathbb{F}\left[x_{v}: v \in V\right]$, defined by

$$
I_{\Delta}=\left(x_{v_{1}} \cdots x_{v_{k}}:\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right\} \subseteq V,\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right\} \notin \Delta\right),
$$

is called the Stanley-Reisner ideal of $\Delta$, and the quotient ring

$$
\mathbb{F}[\Delta]=\mathbb{F}\left[x_{v}: v \in V\right] / I_{\Delta}
$$

is called the Stanley-Reisner ring of $\Delta$ over the field $\mathbb{F}$. A $(d-1)$ dimensional simplicial complex $\Delta$ is said to be balanced (or completely balanced in some literature) if its graph is $d$-colorable, equivalently, if there is a map

$$
\kappa: V \longrightarrow[d]=\{1,2, \ldots, d\}
$$

such that, for all faces $\sigma \in \Delta$, one has $|\{v \in \sigma: \kappa(v)=i\}| \leq 1$ for all $i \in[d]$. It was proven by Stanley [9], that, if $\Delta$ is balanced, then the sequence of linear forms $\Theta=\left(\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{d}\right)$, defined by $\theta_{i}=\sum_{\kappa(v)=i} x_{v}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, d$, is a system of parameters for $\mathbb{F}[\Delta]$. We call such a $\Theta$ a colored system of parameters (colored s.o.p.) for $\mathbb{F}[\Delta]$. Note that, if $\Delta$ is strongly connected, then a map $\kappa$ satisfying the above condition is unique up to permutation of the elements of $[d]$, see Section 2. Thus, as a set, the colored s.o.p. does not depend upon the choice of the coloring $\kappa$.

A simplicial d-sphere is a simplicial complex which is homeomorphic to a $d$-sphere. In general, the boundary complex of a simplicial $d$ polytope is a simplicial $(d-1)$-sphere, and, by a classical theorem of Steinitz, every simplicial 2 -sphere is the boundary complex of some simplicial 3-polytope. If $\Delta$ is the boundary complex of a simplicial polytope and $\Theta$ is a linear system of parameters for $\mathbb{F}[\Delta]$, then the algebra $\mathbb{F}[\Delta] / \Theta \mathbb{F}[\Delta]$ is an Artinian Gorenstein algebra, and moreover, by the Hard Lefschetz theorem for projective toric varieties, for a certain choice of $\Theta$ (corresponding to convex or generic embeddings) this algebra has the SLP in characteristic 0 (see [10, III, Section 1]). However, when $\Delta$ is balanced, the linear system of parameters $\Theta$ used in this setting is not the colored s.o.p. defined above, and it is, hence, natural to ask whether the SLP holds for this specific s.o.p. as well.

We say that a balanced simplicial sphere $\Delta$ has the colored SLP over a field $\mathbb{F}$ if $\mathbb{F}[\Delta] / \Theta \mathbb{F}[\Delta]$ has the SLP for the colored s.o.p. $\Theta$ for $\mathbb{F}[\Delta]$. The first main result of this paper is the following.

Theorem 1.1. Let $\mathbb{F}$ be an infinite field with $\operatorname{char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2,3$. Any balanced simplicial 2 -sphere has the colored SLP over $\mathbb{F}$.

Note that, in characteristic 2 and 3 , any $\omega \in(\mathbb{F}[\Delta] / \Theta \mathbb{F}[\Delta])_{1}$ satisfies $\omega^{3}=0$ for $\Theta$ the colored s.o.p., and thus, $\Delta$ fails to have the colored SLP over $\mathbb{F}$. We consider a similar problem also for a more general class of spheres, namely, $(2,1)$-balanced simplicial 2 -spheres. For $\mathbf{a}=$ $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{n}$, a simplicial complex $\Delta$ on the vertex set $V$ is said to be a-balanced if $\Delta$ has dimension $a_{1}+\cdots+a_{n}-1$, and there is a map $\kappa: V \rightarrow[n]$ such that, for any face $\sigma \in \Delta$, we have $|\{v \in \sigma: \kappa(v)=i\}| \leq a_{i}$ for all $i \in[n]$. We call such a map $\kappa$ an a-coloring of $\Delta$. By a result from [9], for an a-balanced simplicial complex $\Delta$, there exists an s.o.p. $\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{d}$ such that exactly $a_{j}$ of the $\theta_{i} \mathrm{~s}$ are a linear combination of the variables $x_{v}$ having the same color $j$, that is, $\kappa(v)=j$. We call such a system of parameters an a-colored system of parameters (a-colored s.o.p.) for $\mathbb{F}[\Delta]$.

It is natural to ask whether an analogue of Theorem 1.1 holds for abalanced simplicial polytopes and spheres. Somewhat surprisingly, we find that the answer is negative even when $\mathbf{a}=(2,1)$. More precisely, we provide the following combinatorial characterization of the SLP for Artinian reductions of $\mathbb{F}[\Delta]$ with respect to any $(2,1)$-colored s.o.p. if $\Delta$ is a $(2,1)$-balanced simplicial sphere.

Theorem 1.2. Let $\mathbb{F}$ be an infinite field with $\operatorname{char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2,3$. Let $\Delta$ be a (2,1)-balanced simplicial 2 -sphere, $\kappa: V \rightarrow\{1,2\} a(2,1)$-coloring of $\Delta$ and $U$ the set of the vertices $v$ of $\Delta$ with $\kappa(v)=1$. The following conditions are equivalent:
(i) There is a $(2,1)$-colored s.o.p. $\Theta$ for $\mathbb{F}[\Delta]$ such that $\mathbb{F}[\Delta] / \Theta \mathbb{F}[\Delta]$ has the SLP.
(ii) For any subset $W \subseteq U$ with $|W| \geq 2$, the induced subcomplex $\Delta_{W}=\{\sigma \in \Delta: \sigma \subseteq W\}$ has at most $2|W|-3$ edges.

Criterion (ii) is motivated by, and essentially the same as, Laman's criterion for minimal generic rigidity of graphs in the plane [7].

Theorem 1.2 allows us to construct $(2,1)$-balanced simplicial 2 spheres $\Delta$ such that the Artinian reduction of $\mathbb{F}[\Delta]$ with respect to any $(2,1)$-colored s.o.p. fails to have the SLP, see Example 4.2.

Even though an analogue of Theorem 1.1 for a-balanced simplicial polytopes does not hold, considering Theorem 1.1, we propose the following conjecture in higher dimensions:

Conjecture 1.3. Any balanced simplicial sphere (or at least any balanced simplicial polytope) has the colored SLP over a field of characteristic 0 .

The paper is structured as follows. Section 2 provides some background on simplicial complexes and constructions on simplicial spheres. Section 3 contains the proof of our first main result, Theorem 1.1. Finally, Section 4 is concerned with the study of $(2,1)$-balanced simplicial 2 -spheres. Our second main result (Theorem 1.2) characterizes when those have the SLP with respect to a $(2,1)$-colored s.o.p.
2. Preliminaries. In this section, we provide some background and introduce notation that will be used throughout this article.
2.1. Simplicial complexes. A simplicial complex $\Delta$ on a finite set $V$ is a collection of subsets of $V$ that is closed under inclusion. An element of $\Delta$ is called a face of $\Delta$, and maximal faces (under inclusion) are called facets of $\Delta$. The dimension of a face is its cardinality minus one, and the dimension of a simplicial complex is the maximal dimension of its faces. Faces of dimension 0 are called vertices, and faces of dimension 1 are called edges. We denote by $V(\Delta)=\{v:\{v\} \in \Delta\}$ the vertex set of $\Delta$ and identify a singleton $\{v\} \in \Delta$ with $v \in V(\Delta)$. A simplicial complex is said to be pure if all of its facets have the same dimension. A pure simplicial complex $\Delta$ is said to be strongly connected if, for any pair $\sigma, \tau$ of facets of $\Delta$, there is a sequence $\rho_{1}, \ldots, \rho_{k}$ of facets of $\Delta$ such that

$$
\left|\sigma \backslash \rho_{1}\right|=\left|\rho_{1} \backslash \rho_{2}\right|=\cdots=\left|\rho_{k} \backslash \tau\right|=1 .
$$

For a simplicial complex $\Delta$, a map $\kappa: V(\Delta) \rightarrow[d]$ is said to be a proper $d$-coloring of $\Delta$ if $\kappa(u) \neq \kappa(v)$ for all edges $\{u, v\} \in \Delta$. Note that a $(d-1)$-dimensional simplicial complex $\Delta$ is balanced if and only if it has a proper $d$-coloring. If, in addition, $\Delta$ is strongly connected, then the choice of a proper $d$-coloring is unique up to permutations
of the elements of [ $d$ ] (since the values of $\kappa$ for vertices of one facet determine the values of $\kappa$ for all other vertices). The smallest example of a balanced simplicial $(d-1)$-sphere is the boundary complex of the $d$-crosspolytope, which is the convex hull of the unit vectors and their antipodes in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$.

For a simplicial complex $\Delta$ and a vertex $v \in V(\Delta)$, the simplicial complex

$$
\operatorname{st}_{\Delta}(v)=\{\tau \in \Delta: \tau \cup\{v\} \in \Delta\}
$$

is called the star of $v$ in $\Delta$. A simplicial 2 -ball is a simplicial complex that is homeomorphic to a two-dimensional ball. If $\Delta$ is a simplicial 2 -sphere, then $\operatorname{st}_{\Delta}(v)$ is a simplicial 2-ball for any vertex $v \in V(\Delta)$. For a simplicial 2-ball $B$, we write $\partial B$ for the boundary complex of $B$ and $\operatorname{int}(B)=B \backslash \partial B$ for the set of all interior faces of $B$.

Given a $(d-1)$-dimensional simplicial complex $\Delta$, a sequence of linear forms $\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{d} \in \mathbb{F}[\Delta]$ is said to be a linear system of parameters (l.s.o.p.) for $\mathbb{F}[\Delta]$ if $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}}\left(\mathbb{F}[\Delta] /\left(\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{d}\right) \mathbb{F}[\Delta]\right)<\infty$, and the Artinian algebra $\mathbb{F}[\Delta] /\left(\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{d}\right) \mathbb{F}[\Delta]$ is called the Artinian reduction of $\mathbb{F}[\Delta]$ with respect to $\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{d}$. As mentioned in the introduction, if $\Delta$ is balanced and $\kappa$ a proper $d$-coloring of $\Delta$, then the sequence of linear forms $\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{d}$ defined by $\theta_{i}=\sum_{v \in V(\Delta), \kappa(v)=i} x_{v}$ forms an l.s.o.p. for $\mathbb{F}[\Delta]$, the so-called colored s.o.p.
2.2. Operations on simplicial spheres. Finally, we recall two combinatorial operations on simplicial 2-spheres. For finite subsets $\sigma_{1}, \ldots$, $\sigma_{k}$, we write

$$
\left\langle\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{k}\right\rangle=\left\{\tau: \tau \subseteq \sigma_{i} \text { for some } i\right\}
$$

for the simplicial complex generated by $\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{k}$.
Definition 2.1. Let $\Delta$ and $\Gamma$ be two-dimensional simplicial complexes. If $\Delta \cap \Gamma$ is generated by a single two-dimensional face $\sigma$, then the simplicial complex

$$
(\Delta \backslash\{\sigma\}) \cup(\Gamma \backslash\{\sigma\})
$$

is called the connected sum of $\Delta$ and $\Gamma$, and denoted by $\Delta \#_{\sigma} \Gamma$.
A missing triangle of a simplicial complex $\Delta$ is a set $\{a, b, c\}$ such that $\{a, b\},\{a, c\},\{b, c\} \in \Delta$ and $\{a, b, c\} \notin \Delta$. The following property is well known.

Lemma 2.2. Let $\Delta$ be a simplicial 2 -sphere. If $\sigma$ is a missing triangle of $\Delta$, then there are unique simplicial 2 -spheres $\Gamma$ and $\Sigma$ such that $\Delta=\Gamma \#{ }_{\sigma} \Sigma$. Moreover, if $\Delta$ is balanced, then so are $\Gamma$ and $\Sigma$.

The first part of Lemma 2.2 easily follows from Jordan's curve theorem; see [2, Lemma 1.3] for a more general statement for PLmanifolds. The second part follows from the fact that the 1 -skeleta of $\Gamma$ and $\Sigma$ are subgraphs of that of $\Delta$.

Definition 2.3. For a simplicial complex $\Delta$ and two of its vertices $p$, $q$, we define

$$
\mathcal{C}_{p \rightarrow q}(\Delta)=\{\sigma \in \Delta: p \notin \sigma\} \cup\{(\sigma \backslash\{p\}) \cup\{q\}: p \in \sigma \in \Delta\}
$$

If $\{p, q\}$ is an edge of $\Delta$, then the operation $\Delta \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{p \rightarrow q}(\Delta)$ is called the contraction of the edge $\{p, q\}$.

For a simplicial 2 -sphere $\Delta$ that is not the boundary of a 3 -simplex a contraction $\Delta \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{p \rightarrow q}(\Delta)$ is admissible if there are no missing triangles of $\Delta$ that contain the edge $\{p, q\}$. Note that this condition is equivalent to saying that $\mathrm{st}_{\Delta}(p) \cap \mathrm{st}_{\Delta}(q)=\langle\{p, q, s\},\{p, q, t\}\rangle$ for some distinct vertices $s, t$. The following fact is well known, see, e.g., [3, Lemma 1] for a short proof, or, more generally, [8, Theorem 1.4] for edge contractions in PL-manifolds.

Lemma 2.4. Let $\Delta$ be a simplicial 2 -sphere. If $\Delta \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{p \rightarrow q}(\Delta)$ is an admissible contraction, then $\mathcal{C}_{p \rightarrow q}(\Delta)$ is a simplicial 2-sphere.
2.3. Contractions for balanced simplicial 2 -spheres. It is a classical result in graph theory, sometimes called the three color theorem, that a simplicial 2 -sphere is balanced if and only if each of its vertices has an even degree. See [5, pages 44-46] for possibly the earliest published complete proof. For such simplicial spheres, the following contraction operation has been considered.

Definition 2.5. Let $\Delta$ be a balanced simplicial 2 -sphere. We say that a pair $(p, q)$ of distinct vertices of $\Delta$ is a contractible pair in $\Delta$ if
(i) $p$ and $q$ have the same color, that is, $\kappa(p)=\kappa(q)$ for some proper 3-coloring $\kappa$ of $\Delta$; and
(ii) there are vertices $s, t, w$ such that

$$
\operatorname{st}_{\Delta}(p) \cap \operatorname{st}_{\Delta}(q)=\langle\{s, w\},\{w, t\}\rangle .
$$

For a contractible pair $(p, q)$, we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}_{p \rightarrow q}^{(b)}(\Delta) & =\left(\Delta \backslash \operatorname{int}^{\left.\left(\operatorname{st}_{\Delta}(p) \cup \operatorname{st}_{\Delta}(q)\right)\right)}\right. \\
& \cup\left\{\sigma \cup\{q\}: \sigma \in \partial\left(\operatorname{st}_{\Delta}(p) \cup \operatorname{st}_{\Delta}(q)\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

The operation $\Delta \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{p \rightarrow q}^{(b)}(\Delta)$ is called the balanced contraction (or 4contraction in some literature) of the pair ( $p, q$ ), see Figure 1 for an illustration.


Figure 1. The balanced contraction of a pair $(p, q)$ also showing the change in a coloring.

Observe that, by the uniqueness of a coloring, the first condition in Definition 2.5 either holds for any proper 3 -coloring or none. Note also that, since st ${ }_{\Delta}(p)$ and st ${ }_{\Delta}(q)$ are simplicial 2-balls, the second condition implies that $\mathrm{st}_{\Delta}(p) \cup \mathrm{st}_{\Delta}(q)$ is a simplicial 2-ball; thus, its boundary, used in the definition of $\mathcal{C}_{p \rightarrow q}^{(b)}(\Delta)$, is indeed well defined.

It is easy to see that, if $\Delta$ is a balanced simplicial 2-sphere and $(p, q)$ is a contractible pair in $\Delta$, then $\mathcal{C}_{p \rightarrow q}^{(b)}(\Delta)$ is a balanced simplicial 2 -sphere. The following result was proven by Batagelj [4]. ${ }^{1}$

Theorem 2.6. Let $\Delta$ be a balanced simplicial 2-sphere which is not the boundary of a 3-crosspolytope. Then, $\Delta$ has a missing triangle or a contractible pair $(p, q)$.
3. Lefschetz properties of 2 -spheres. In this section, we study the strong Lefschetz property of simplicial 2-spheres. Throughout this section, we assume that char $(\mathbb{F})$ is not 2 or 3 .

Let $\Delta$ be a simplicial 2-sphere, and let $\Theta=\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \theta_{3}$ be an l.s.o.p. for $\mathbb{F}[\Delta]$. Then, $A=\mathbb{F}[\Delta] / \Theta \mathbb{F}[\Delta]$ is a Gorenstein algebra with

$$
A=A_{0} \oplus A_{1} \oplus A_{2} \oplus A_{3}
$$

and $A_{0} \cong A_{3} \cong \mathbb{F}$, see [10, II, Section 6]. Since any monomial of degree 3 in $\mathbb{F}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ can be written as a linear combination of cubics of linear forms if $\operatorname{char}(\mathbb{F})$ is not 2 or $3,\left\{w^{3}: w \in A_{1}\right\}$ spans $A_{3}$. Since $A_{3}$ is non-zero, this implies that $\times w^{3}: A_{0} \rightarrow A_{3}$ is bijective for a generic $w$. Thus, $A$ has the SLP if and only if there is a linear form $w$ such that

$$
\times w: A_{1} \longrightarrow A_{2}
$$

is bijective. Moreover, since $A_{1} \cong A_{2}$ as $\mathbb{F}$-vector spaces, to prove the above bijectivity, it suffices to prove that the multiplication map $\times w: A_{1} \rightarrow A_{2}$ is surjective. Thus, in this setting, $A$ has the SLP if and only if

$$
(\mathbb{F}[\Delta] /(\Theta, w) \mathbb{F}[\Delta])_{2}=0
$$

for some linear form $w$.
Let $\Delta$ be a simplicial complex. We identify linear forms in $S=$ $\mathbb{F}\left[x_{v}: v \in V(\Delta)\right]$ with their image in $\mathbb{F}[\Delta]$. Also, for a subcomplex $\Gamma$ of $\Delta$, we often regard $\mathbb{F}[\Gamma]$ as an $S$-module. Since there is a surjection $\mathbb{F}[\Delta] / \Theta \mathbb{F}[\Delta] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}[\Gamma] / \Theta \mathbb{F}[\Gamma]$ for any sequence $\Theta=\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{k} \in S$ if $\Gamma \subseteq \Delta$, the following property holds.

Lemma 3.1. Let $\Delta$ be a simplicial complex, and let $\Gamma$ be a subcomplex of $\Delta$ having the same dimension as $\Delta$. Then every l.s.o.p. for $\mathbb{F}[\Delta]$ is an l.s.o.p. for $\mathbb{F}[\Gamma]$.

The next statement was proven by Babson and Nevo [1, Theorem 6.1].

Lemma 3.2 ([1]). Let $\Delta=\Gamma_{1} \#_{\sigma} \Gamma_{2}$ be a simplicial 2-sphere, $\Theta=\theta_{1}$, $\theta_{2}, \theta_{3}$ a common l.s.o.p. for $\mathbb{F}[\Delta]$ and $\mathbb{F}[\langle\sigma\rangle]$ and let $w$ be a linear form in $\mathbb{F}\left[x_{v}: v \in V(\Delta)\right]$. If $\left(\mathbb{F}\left[\Gamma_{i}\right] /(\Theta, w) \mathbb{F}\left[\Gamma_{i}\right]\right)_{2}=0$ for $i=1,2$, then $(\mathbb{F}[\Delta] /(\Theta, w) \mathbb{F}[\Delta])_{2}=0$.

Recall that a balanced simplicial 2-sphere $\Delta$ is said to have the colored SLP over $\mathbb{F}$ if $\mathbb{F}[\Delta] / \Theta \mathbb{F}[\Delta]$ has the SLP, where $\Theta$ is the colored s.o.p. for $\mathbb{F}[\Delta]$. Lemma 3.2, applied to the case that $\Theta$ is the colored s.o.p. implies the following corollary.

Corollary 3.3. Let $\Delta=\Gamma_{1} \#_{\sigma} \Gamma_{2}$ be a balanced simplicial 2-sphere. If both $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ have the colored SLP over $\mathbb{F}$, then so does $\Delta$.

We need two more technical statements.

Lemma 3.4. Let $\Delta$ be a two-dimensional simplicial complex, $u$ a vertex which is not in $\Delta$ and $\{s, w\},\{t, w\} \in \Delta$. Let $\Sigma=\langle\{s, w, u\},\{t, w, u\}\rangle$, $\Gamma=\Delta \cup \Sigma$, $\Theta$ an l.s.o.p. for $\mathbb{F}[\Gamma]$ and $w$ a linear form in $\mathbb{F}\left[x_{v}: v \in\right.$ $V(\Gamma)]$. If $(\mathbb{F}[\Delta] /(\Theta, w) \mathbb{F}[\Delta])_{2}=0$ and $w$ is non-zero in $\mathbb{F}[\Sigma] / \Theta \mathbb{F}[\Sigma]$, then $(\mathbb{F}[\Gamma] /(\Theta, w) \mathbb{F}[\Gamma])_{2}=0$.

Proof. Let $S=\mathbb{F}\left[x_{v}: v \in V(\Gamma)\right]$. We have the following exact sequence of $S$-modules

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}[\Sigma] \xrightarrow{\times x_{u}} \mathbb{F}[\Gamma] \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}[\Delta] \longrightarrow 0
$$

By the right-exactness of the tensor product, tensoring the above exact sequence with $S /(\Theta, w) S$ yields the exact sequence

$$
\begin{align*}
(\mathbb{F}[\Sigma] /(\Theta, w) \mathbb{F}[\Sigma])_{1} & \xrightarrow{\times x_{u}}(\mathbb{F}[\Gamma] /(\Theta, w) \mathbb{F}[\Gamma])_{2}  \tag{3.1}\\
& \longrightarrow(\mathbb{F}[\Delta] /(\Theta, w) \mathbb{F}[\Delta])_{2} \longrightarrow 0 .
\end{align*}
$$

From

$$
\mathbb{F}[\Sigma] / \Theta \mathbb{F}[\Sigma]=\mathbb{F}\left[x_{s}, x_{t}, x_{u}, x_{w}\right] /\left(x_{s} x_{t}, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \theta_{3}\right) \cong \mathbb{F}[x] /\left(x^{2}\right)
$$

we infer $(\mathbb{F}[\Sigma] /(\Theta, w) \mathbb{F}[\Sigma])_{1}=0$, if $w$ is non-zero in $\mathbb{F}[\Sigma] / \Theta \mathbb{F}[\Sigma]$. Now, the desired property follows from (3.1) and the assumption $(\mathbb{F}[\Delta] /(\Theta, w) \mathbb{F}[\Delta])_{2}=0$.

The following statement is crucial in our proof of Theorem 1.1.
Lemma 3.5. Let $\Delta$ be a balanced simplicial 2 -sphere, and let $(p, q)$ be a contractible pair in $\Delta$. If $\mathcal{C}_{p \rightarrow q}^{(b)}(\Delta)$ has the colored SLP, then $\Delta$ has the colored SLP.

Proof. Let $V=V(\Delta)$ be the vertex set of $\Delta, S=\mathbb{F}\left[x_{v}: v \in V\right]$, and let $\kappa$ be a proper 3 -coloring of $\Delta$. Let $\Theta=\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \theta_{3}$ be the colored s.o.p. for $\mathbb{F}[\Delta]$, i.e., $\theta_{i}=\sum_{v \in V, \kappa(v)=i} x_{v}$ for $i=1,2,3$. Let $s, t, w$ be the vertices with $\operatorname{st}_{\Delta}(p) \cap \operatorname{st}_{\Delta}(q)=\langle\{s, w\},\{w, t\}\rangle$, and let

$$
\Gamma=\mathcal{C}_{p \rightarrow q}^{(b)}(\Delta) \cup\langle\{s, w, q\},\{t, w, q\}\rangle \cup\langle\{s, w, p\},\{t, w, p\}\rangle .
$$

As $\kappa$ is also a proper coloring for $\Gamma, \Theta$ is also the colored s.o.p. for $\mathbb{F}[\Gamma]$. Since $\{s, q\},\{t, q\} \in \mathcal{C}_{p \rightarrow q}^{(b)}(\Delta)$ and $w, p \notin \mathcal{C}_{p \rightarrow q}^{(b)}(\Delta)$, and, since $\mathcal{C}_{p \rightarrow q}^{(b)}(\Delta)$ has the colored SLP by the assumption, applying Lemma 3.4 twice yields that there is a linear form $w$ such that $(\mathbb{F}[\Gamma] /(\Theta, w) \mathbb{F}[\Gamma])_{2}=0$, in other words,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(I_{\Gamma}+(\Theta, w)\right)_{2}=S_{2}, \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(\Theta, w)$ is the ideal of $S$ generated by $\Theta$ and $w$.
Let $\mathcal{G}=\left\{x_{u} x_{v}:\{u, v\} \notin \Delta\right\}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{G}}=\mathcal{G} \cup\left\{x_{v}^{2}: v \in V\right\}$. Thus, $\mathcal{G}$ is the set of degree 2 generators of the Stanley-Reisner ideal $I_{\Delta} \subseteq S$. Note that $x_{p} x_{q} \in \mathcal{G}$. For $m \in \overline{\mathcal{G}}$ and $t \in \mathbb{F}$, we define

$$
\Phi_{t}(m)= \begin{cases}m\left(x_{p} / x_{q}\right)+t m & \text { if } x_{q} \text { divides } m \text { and } m\left(x_{p} / x_{q}\right) \notin \overline{\mathcal{G}}  \tag{3.3}\\ m & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

and define the ideal

$$
J(t)=\left(\Phi_{t}(m): m \in \mathcal{G}\right) \subseteq S
$$

Also, for $t \in \mathbb{F} \backslash\{0\}$, let $\varphi_{t}$ be the change of coordinates of $S$ defined by $\varphi_{t}\left(x_{v}\right)=x_{v}$ for all $v \neq q$ and $\varphi_{t}\left(x_{q}\right)=x_{p}+t x_{q}$.

We show the following claims:

## Claim 3.6.

(a) $I_{\Delta}+\left(x_{v}^{2}: v \in V\right)+(\Theta)=I_{\Delta}+(\Theta)$.
(b) $J(0)_{2}=\left(I_{\Gamma}\right)_{2}$.
(c) For $t \neq 0, \varphi_{t}\left(I_{\Delta}+\left(x_{v}^{2}: v \in V\right)\right)_{2}=\left(J(t)+\left(x_{v}^{2}: v \in V\right)\right)_{2}$.
(d) For $t \notin\{0,1\}$, if $\left(\varphi_{t}\left(I_{\Delta}+\left(x_{v}^{2}: v \in V\right)\right)+(\Theta, w)\right)_{2}=S_{2}$ for some linear form $w$, then there is a linear form $w^{\prime}$ such that $\left(I_{\Delta}+\left(\Theta, w^{\prime}\right)\right)_{2}=S_{2}$.

Proof. Property (a) follows from [10, III, Proposition 4.3]. Since the graph of $\Gamma$ is obtained from the graph of $\Delta$ by replacing an edge $\{p, v\} \in \Delta$ with $\{q, v\}$ whenever $\{q, v\} \notin \Delta$ (see Figure 1); property (b) is straightforward by the definition of $\Phi_{t}$.

Now, we prove (c). Let $\mathcal{H}=\left\{m \in \mathcal{G}: m\left(x_{p} / x_{q}\right) \in \overline{\mathcal{G}}\right\}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{H}}=\mathcal{H} \cup\left\{x_{q}^{2}\right\}$. By the definition of $\Phi_{t}(-), \Phi_{t}(m)=\varphi_{t}(m)$ for $m \in \mathcal{G} \backslash \mathcal{H}$ and $\Phi_{t}(m)=m$ for $m \in \mathcal{H}$. Also, $\varphi_{t}\left(x_{v}^{2}\right)=x_{v}^{2}$ for any $v \in V$ with $v \neq q$. Thus, the $\mathbb{F}$-vector space $\left(J(t)+\left(x_{v}^{2}: v \in V\right)\right)_{2}$ is spanned by:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\Phi_{t}(m): m \in \mathcal{G}\right\} \cup\left\{x_{v}^{2}: v \in V\right\}  \tag{3.4}\\
& =\left\{\varphi_{t}(m): m \in \mathcal{G} \backslash \mathcal{H}\right\} \cup \mathcal{H} \cup\left\{x_{q}^{2}\right\} \cup\left\{x_{v}^{2}: v \in V, v \neq q\right\} \\
& =\left\{\varphi_{t}(m): m \in \overline{\mathcal{G}} \backslash \overline{\mathcal{H}}\right\} \cup \mathcal{H} \cup\left\{x_{q}^{2}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

Also, $\varphi_{t}\left(I_{\Delta}+\left(x_{v}^{2}: v \in V\right)\right)_{2}$ is spanned by

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi_{t}(\overline{\mathcal{G}}) & =\left\{\varphi_{t}(m): m \in \overline{\mathcal{G}} \backslash \overline{\mathcal{H}}\right\} \cup\left\{\varphi_{t}(m): m \in \mathcal{H}\right\} \cup\left\{\varphi_{t}\left(x_{q}^{2}\right)\right\}  \tag{3.5}\\
& =\left\{\varphi_{t}(m): m \in \overline{\mathcal{G}} \backslash \overline{\mathcal{H}}\right\} \cup\left\{m\left(x_{p} / x_{q}\right)+t m: m \in \mathcal{H}\right\} \cup\left\{\varphi_{t}\left(x_{q}^{2}\right)\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

Since, for any $m \in \mathcal{H}, m\left(x_{p} / x_{q}\right)=\varphi_{t}\left(m\left(x_{p} / x_{q}\right)\right)$ is contained in $\left\{\varphi_{t}(m): m \in \overline{\mathcal{G}} \backslash \overline{\mathcal{H}}\right\}$, (3.5) states that $\varphi_{t}\left(I_{\Delta}+\left(x_{v}^{2}: v \in V\right)\right)_{2}$ is spanned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\varphi_{t}(m): m \in \overline{\mathcal{G}} \backslash \overline{\mathcal{H}}\right\} \cup \mathcal{H} \cup\left\{x_{p}^{2}+2 t x_{p} x_{q}+t^{2} x_{q}^{2}\right\} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $x_{p}^{2}, x_{p} x_{q} \in \mathcal{G} \backslash \mathcal{H},\left\{\varphi_{t}(m): m \in \overline{\mathcal{G}} \backslash \overline{\mathcal{H}}\right\}$ contains $\varphi_{t}\left(x_{p}^{2}\right)=$ $x_{p}^{2}, \varphi_{t}\left(x_{p} x_{q}\right)=x_{p}^{2}+t x_{p} x_{q}$. This implies that both sets (3.4) and (3.6) generate the same $\mathbb{F}$-vector space, which proves the desired equation.

Finally, we prove (d). We may assume that $\kappa(p)=\kappa(q)=1$. Since $\varphi_{t}^{-1}\left(x_{q}\right)=\left(x_{q}-x_{p}\right) / t$ and $\varphi_{t}^{-1}\left(x_{v}\right)=x_{v}$ for $v \neq q$, by the assumption
of (d),

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{2} & =\varphi_{t}^{-1}\left(S_{2}\right)  \tag{3.7}\\
& =\varphi_{t}^{-1}\left(\varphi_{t}\left(I_{\Delta}+\left(x_{v}^{2}: v \in V\right)\right)+(\Theta, w)\right)_{2} \\
& =\left(I_{\Delta}+\left(x_{v}^{2}: v \in V\right)+\left(\varphi_{t}^{-1}\left(\theta_{1}\right), \theta_{2}, \theta_{3}, \varphi_{t}^{-1}(w)\right)\right)_{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Since

$$
\varphi_{t}^{-1}\left(\theta_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{t} x_{q}+\left(1-\frac{1}{t}\right) x_{p}+\sum_{\substack{\kappa(v)=1 \\ v \neq p, q}} x_{v}
$$

and, since $I_{\Delta}+\left(x_{v}^{2}: v \in V\right)$ is a monomial ideal, by applying the change of coordinates $\psi$ of $S$ which only changes $x_{p}$ to $(1-1 / t)^{-1} x_{p}$ and $x_{q}$ to $t x_{q}$, we infer from (3.7) that

$$
\left(I_{\Delta}+\left(x_{v}^{2}: v \in V\right)+\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \theta_{3}, \psi \circ \varphi_{t}^{-1}(w)\right)\right)_{2}=\psi\left(S_{2}\right)=S_{2}
$$

Then, the desired equality follows from (a).

We now return to the proof of Lemma 3.5. For any linear form $w$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}}(J(0)+(\Theta, w))_{2} \leq \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}}(J(t)+(\Theta, w))_{2} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a generic choice of $t \in \mathbb{F}$. Indeed, since $(J(t)+(\Theta, w))_{2}$ is spanned by

$$
X=\left\{\Phi_{t}(m): m \in \mathcal{G}\right\} \cup\left\{x_{v} \theta_{i}: v \in V, i \in\{1,2,3\}\right\} \cup\left\{x_{v} w: v \in V\right\}
$$

$\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}}(J(t)+(\Theta, w))_{2}$ is equal to the rank of the $|X| \times\left(\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}} S_{2}\right)$-matrix $M_{t}$, whose entries are coefficients of degree 2 monomials of the elements of $X$. Since we may regard the entries of $M_{t}$ as polynomials in $t$, we have $\operatorname{rank} M_{t} \geq \operatorname{rank} M_{0}$ for a generic choice of $t \in \mathbb{F}$. (A generic choice of $t$ makes sense as the field $\mathbb{F}$ is infinite.)

Now, by (3.2), there is a linear form $w$ such that

$$
(J(0)+(\Theta, w))_{2}=\left(I_{\Gamma}+(\Theta, w)\right)_{2}=S_{2}
$$

where we use claim (b) for the first equality. Thus, by (3.8),

$$
\left(J(t)+\left(x_{v}^{2}: v \in V\right)+(\Theta, w)\right)_{2}=S_{2}
$$

for a generic $t \in \mathbb{F}$. Then, by claim (c), we have

$$
\left(\varphi_{t}\left(I_{\Delta}+\left(x_{v}^{2}: v \in V\right)\right)+(\Theta, w)\right)_{2}=S_{2}
$$

and, by claim (d), it follows that there is a linear form $w^{\prime}$ such that

$$
\left(I_{\Delta}+\left(\Theta, w^{\prime}\right)\right)_{2}=S_{2}
$$

This proves $\left(\mathbb{F}[\Delta] /\left(\Theta, w^{\prime}\right) \mathbb{F}[\Delta]\right)_{2}=0$, as desired.

## We now prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We prove the statement by induction on the number of vertices. Let $\Delta$ be a balanced simplicial 2-sphere. Then, $\Delta$ has at least six vertices since there are at least two vertices in each color. If $\Delta$ has exactly six vertices, then $\Delta$ must be the boundary of a 3 -crosspolytope, and hence,

$$
\mathbb{F}[\Delta] / \Theta \mathbb{F}[\Delta] \cong \mathbb{F}[x, y, z] /\left(x^{2}, y^{2}, z^{2}\right)
$$

which has the SLP if $\operatorname{char}(\mathbb{F})$ is not 2 or 3 , where $\Theta$ is the colored s.o.p.
Suppose that $\Delta$ has at least seven vertices. By Theorem 2.6, either $\Delta=\Gamma \#{ }_{\sigma} \Sigma$ for some balanced simplicial 2-spheres $\Gamma$ and $\Sigma$, or there is a contractible pair $(p, q)$ in $\Delta$. In the former case, since $\Gamma$ and $\Sigma$ have the colored SLP by the induction hypothesis, $\Delta$ also has the colored SLP by Corollary 3.3. In the latter case, $\mathcal{C}_{p \rightarrow q}^{(b)}(\Delta)$ has the colored SLP by the induction hypothesis, and Lemma 3.5 shows that $\Delta$ has the colored SLP.
4. (2, 1)-balanced simplicial spheres. In this section, we prove Theorem 1.2. In order to simplify the argument, we slightly modify some notation from the introduction.

Let $\Delta$ be a two-dimensional simplicial complex. A bi-coloring of $\Delta$ is a map $\pi: V(\Delta) \rightarrow\{b, r\}$, where $b$ and $r$ are letters. For a fixed bicoloring $\pi$, vertices $v$ with $\pi(v)=b$ (respectively, $\pi(v)=r$ ) are called blue vertices (respectively, red vertices). A bi-coloring $\pi$ is said to be a $(2,1)$-coloring of $\Delta$ if every face $\sigma \in \Delta$ has at most two blue vertices and at most one red vertex. Thus a two-dimensional simplicial complex is $(2,1)$-balanced if it has a $(2,1)$-coloring.

Given a fixed bi-coloring $\pi$ of $\Delta$, a linear form $\theta=\sum_{v \in V(\Delta)} \alpha_{v} x_{v} \in$ $\mathbb{F}[\Delta]$ is said to be blue (respectively, red) if $\alpha_{v}=0$ for all $v$ with $\pi(v) \neq b$ (respectively, $\pi(v) \neq r)$. A $(2,1)$-colored sequence in $\mathbb{F}[\Delta]$ (with respect to $\pi$ ) is a sequence of linear forms $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \theta_{3}$ in $\mathbb{F}[\Delta]$ such that $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}$ are blue and $\theta_{3}$ is red. If $\pi$ is a $(2,1)$-coloring of $\Delta$, then, by a result from [9, Theorem 4.1], there is a (2,1)-colored sequence which is an l.s.o.p. for $\mathbb{F}[\Delta]$. We call such an l.s.o.p. a $(2,1)$-colored s.o.p. for $\mathbb{F}[\Delta]$.

Recall from the previous section that, for a simplicial 2-sphere $\Delta$ and an l.s.o.p. $\Theta$ for $\mathbb{F}[\Delta]$, the algebra $\mathbb{F}[\Delta] / \Theta \mathbb{F}[\Delta]$ has the SLP if there is a linear form $w$ such that

$$
(\mathbb{F}[\Delta] /(\Theta, w) \mathbb{F}[\Delta])_{2}=0
$$

We denote by $e(\Delta)$ the number of edges of $\Delta$. The next statement proves the implication (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) of Theorem 1.2.

Lemma 4.1. Let $\Delta$ be a two-dimensional simplicial complex, $\pi$ a bicoloring of $\Delta$ and $\Theta$ a $(2,1)$-colored sequence in $\mathbb{F}[\Delta]$. For any set $W$ of blue vertices of $\Delta$ with $|W| \geq 2$, and for any linear form $w$, we have

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbb{F}[\Delta] /(\Theta, w) \mathbb{F}[\Delta])_{2} \geq e\left(\Delta_{W}\right)-2|W|+3
$$

Proof. The surjection $\mathbb{F}[\Delta] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}\left[\Delta_{W}\right]$ induces a surjection

$$
\mathbb{F}[\Delta] /(\Theta, w) \mathbb{F}[\Delta] \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}\left[\Delta_{W}\right] /(\Theta, w) \mathbb{F}\left[\Delta_{W}\right]
$$

Since $\Delta_{W}$ has no red vertices, $\theta_{3}$ is zero in $\mathbb{F}\left[\Delta_{W}\right]$ and

$$
\mathbb{F}\left[\Delta_{W}\right] /(\Theta, w) \mathbb{F}\left[\Delta_{W}\right]=\mathbb{F}\left[\Delta_{W}\right] /\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, w\right) \mathbb{F}\left[\Delta_{W}\right]
$$

Then, since $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}} \mathbb{F}\left[\Delta_{W}\right]_{2}=e\left(\Delta_{W}\right)+|W|$ and $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}} \mathbb{F}\left[\Delta_{W}\right]_{1}=|W|$, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbb{F}[\Delta] /(\Theta, w) \mathbb{F}[\Delta])_{2} & \geq \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}}\left(\mathbb{F}\left[\Delta_{W}\right] /\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, w\right) \mathbb{F}\left[\Delta_{W}\right]\right)_{2} \\
& \geq e\left(\Delta_{W}\right)+|W|-(3|W|-3) \\
& =e\left(\Delta_{W}\right)-2|W|+3,
\end{aligned}
$$

as desired. (The -3 term above comes from the fact that each of $\theta_{1} \theta_{2}$, $\theta_{1} w, \theta_{2} w \in \mathbb{F}\left[\Delta_{W}\right]_{2}$ is in at least two of the ideals $x \mathbb{F}\left[\Delta_{W}\right]$, where $x \in\left\{\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, w\right\}$.)

Example 4.2. From Lemma 4.1, we can produce (2,1)-balanced 2spheres such that $\mathbb{F}[\Delta] / \Theta \mathbb{F}[\Delta]$ fails to have the SLP for any $(2,1)$ colored s.o.p. $\Theta$ for $\mathbb{F}[\Delta]$.

Let $\Gamma$ be a simplicial 2 -sphere with $n$ vertices, and let $\Delta$ be the simplicial 2 -sphere obtained from $\Gamma$ by subdividing all facets of $\Gamma$. Then, $\Delta$ is $(2,1)$-balanced and has a unique $(2,1)$-coloring $\pi$, which is defined by $\pi(v)=b$ if $v$ is a vertex of $\Gamma$ and $\pi(v)=r$, otherwise. Figure 2 shows the graph of $\Delta$ when $\Gamma$ is the boundary of a simplex.


Figure 2. The graph of the (2, 1)-balanced sphere constructed from a tetrahedron.

Let $W$ be the set of all blue vertices of $\Delta$. Then, $\Delta_{W}$ is the graph of $\Gamma$; thus, $|W|=n$ and $e\left(\Delta_{W}\right)=3 n-6$. Hence, Lemma 4.1 states that

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbb{F}[\Delta] /(\Theta, w) \mathbb{F}[\Delta])_{2} \geq 3 n-6-(2 n-3)=n-3
$$

for any $(2,1)$-colored s.o.p. $\Theta$ for $\mathbb{F}[\Delta]$ and any linear form $w$. Since $n>3, \mathbb{F}[\Delta] / \Theta \mathbb{F}[\Delta]$ fails to have the SLP for any $(2,1)$-colored s.o.p. $\Theta$ for $\mathbb{F}[\Delta]$.

For any simplicial 2 -sphere $\Delta$, by the Hard Lefschetz theorem, $\mathbb{F}[\Delta] / \Theta \mathbb{F}[\Delta]$ has the SLP for a generic l.s.o.p. $\Theta$ for $\mathbb{F}[\Delta]$. However, the previous example shows that, for a specific choice of a simplicial 2 -sphere $\Delta$ and a specific l.s.o.p. $\Theta$, the dimension

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbb{F}[\Delta] /(\Theta, w) \mathbb{F}[\Delta])_{2},
$$

where $w$ is a generic linear form, can be arbitrarily big.
In the rest of this section, we prove the implication of Theorem 1.2 (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). We actually consider a more general class of simplicial spheres that properly contain $(2,1)$-balanced simplicial 2 -spheres. We say that a bi-coloring $\pi$ of a simplicial complex $\Delta$ is semi-proper if there are no edges $\{u, v\} \in \Delta$ with $\pi(u)=\pi(v)=r$. Note that any $(2,1)$-coloring is semi-proper; however, the converse is false since a semi-proper bi-coloring does not forbid the existence of a 2 -face, all of whose vertices are blue. From Kind-Kleinschmidt's criterion on linear systems of parameters for Stanley-Reisner rings [10, III, Lemma 2.4], we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 4.3. Let $\Delta$ be a two-dimensional simplical complex, and let $\pi$ be a semi-proper bi-coloring of $\Delta$. Then, for a generic choice of blue linear forms $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}$, and for a generic linear form $\theta_{3}$, the sequence $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \theta_{3}$ is a system of parameters for $\mathbb{F}[\Delta]$.

Proof. Let $\Theta=\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \theta_{3} \in \mathbb{F}[\Delta]$ be a sequence of linear forms with $\theta_{i}=\sum_{v \in V(\Delta)} \alpha_{i, v} x_{v}$. Kind-Kleinschmidt's criterion states that, if, for any face $\sigma \in \Delta$, the matrix $\left(\alpha_{i, v}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq 3, v \in \sigma}$ has rank $|\sigma|$, then $\Theta$ is an l.s.o.p. for $\mathbb{F}[\Delta]$. Since each face has at most one red vertex, if we choose $\Theta$ generically under the restriction that $\alpha_{i, v}=0$ when $\pi(v)=r$ and $i \in\{1,2\}$, then Kind-Kleinschmidt's criterion shows that $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \theta_{3}$ is an l.s.o.p. for $\mathbb{F}[\Delta]$.

Next, we prove analogues of Corollary 3.3 and Lemma 3.5 for the semi-proper setup. Let $\Delta$ be a simplicial 2 -sphere with a semi-proper bi-coloring $\pi$. We say that $\Delta$ has the $\pi$-colored SLP (over $\mathbb{F}$ ) if there are a $(2,1)$-colored sequence $\Theta=\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \theta_{3}$ in $\mathbb{F}[\Delta]$ and a linear form $w$ such that

$$
(\mathbb{F}[\Delta] /(\Theta, w) \mathbb{F}[\Delta])_{2}=0
$$

Note that, if $\Delta$ has the $\pi$-colored SLP, then $(\mathbb{F}[\Delta] /(\Theta, w) \mathbb{F}[\Delta])_{2}=0$ for a generic choice of a $(2,1)$-colored sequence $\Theta$ and a generic linear form $w$. In particular, if $\pi$ is a $(2,1)$-coloring, then $\Theta$ can be taken as an l.s.o.p. for $\mathbb{F}[\Delta]$.

Lemma 4.4. Let $\Delta=\Gamma_{1} \#_{\sigma} \Gamma_{2}$ be a simplicial 2-sphere with a semiproper bi-coloring $\pi$. If both $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ have the $\pi$-colored SLP, then so does $\Delta$.

Proof. Let $S=\mathbb{F}\left[x_{v}: v \in V(\Delta)\right]$. If we choose a $(2,1)$-colored sequence $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \theta_{3} \in S$ and a linear form $w \in S$ generically, then $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, w$ is a common system of parameters for $\mathbb{F}[\Delta]$ and $\mathbb{F}[\langle\sigma\rangle]$ by Lemma 4.3. Also, $\left(\mathbb{F}\left[\Gamma_{i}\right] /(\Theta, w) \mathbb{F}\left[\Gamma_{i}\right]\right)_{2}=0$ for $i \in\{1,2\}$ by the assumption. Then, the assertion follows from Lemma 3.2.

Lemma 4.5. Let $\Delta$ be a simplicial 2-sphere with a semi-proper bicoloring $\pi$, and let $\{p, q\} \in \Delta$ with $\pi(p)=\pi(q)=b$. Assume that $\mathrm{st}_{\Delta}(p) \cap \mathrm{st}_{\Delta}(q)$ is an induced subcomplex of $\Delta$ consisting of two triangles $\langle\{s, p, q\},\{t, p, q\}\rangle$ and that $\pi(s)=r$. Then, $\Delta \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{p \rightarrow q}(\Delta)$ is an admissible contraction and, if $\mathcal{C}_{p \rightarrow q}(\Delta)$ has the $\pi$-colored SLP, then $\Delta$ has the $\pi$-colored SLP.

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Lemma 3.5. That the contraction $\Delta \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{p \rightarrow q}(\Delta)$ is admissible is obvious. Let $S=\mathbb{F}\left[x_{v}: v \in V(\Delta)\right]$. In addition, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma:=\operatorname{st}_{\Delta}(p) \cap \mathrm{st}_{\Delta}(q)=\langle\{s, p, q\},\{t, p, q\}\rangle \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and let

$$
\Gamma:=\mathcal{C}_{p \rightarrow q}(\Delta) \cup \Sigma
$$

Note that $\pi$ gives a semi-proper bi-coloring of $\Gamma$. For a generic choice of blue linear forms $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}$, of a red linear form $\theta_{3}$ and of a linear form $w \in S$, the sequence $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, w$ is an l.s.o.p. for $\mathbb{F}[\Gamma]$ by Lemma 4.3. Moreover, $\theta_{3}$ is non-zero in $\mathbb{F}[\Sigma] /\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, w\right) \mathbb{F}[\Sigma]$ since, otherwise, either $w$ is zero in $\mathbb{F}[\Sigma] /\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \theta_{3}\right) \mathbb{F}[\Sigma]$ or $\theta_{3}$ is zero in $\mathbb{F}[\Sigma] /\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right) \mathbb{F}[\Sigma]$, none of which may occur as $\Sigma$ has a red vertex.

Then, by Lemma 3.4 and the assumption that $\mathcal{C}_{p \rightarrow q}(\Delta)$ has the $\pi$ colored SLP, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S /\left(I_{\Gamma}+(\Theta, w)\right)\right)_{2}=(\mathbb{F}[\Gamma] /(\Theta, w) \mathbb{F}[\Gamma])_{2}=0 \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Theta=\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \theta_{3}$.
Let $\mathcal{G}=\left\{x_{u} x_{v}:\{u, v\} \notin \Delta\right\}$. For $m \in \mathcal{G}$ and $t \in \mathbb{F}$, we define $\Phi_{t}(m)$ in the same manner as in (3.3). Also, for $t \in \mathbb{F} \backslash\{0\}$, let $\varphi_{t}$ be the change of coordinates of $S$ defined by $\varphi_{t}\left(x_{v}\right)=x_{v}$ for $v \neq q$ and
$\varphi_{t}\left(x_{q}\right)=x_{p}+t x_{q}$. Let $J(t)=\left(\Phi_{t}(m): m \in \mathcal{G}\right)$. Then, it is not difficult to prove that
(a) $J(0)_{2}=\left(I_{\Gamma}\right)_{2}$
and
(b) $\left(\varphi_{t}\left(I_{\Delta}\right)\right)_{2}=(J(t))_{2}$ for $t \neq 0$.

Indeed, (a) easily follows from (4.1), and (b) follows from a similar (and simpler) argument as claim (c) in the proof of Lemma 3.5.

Now, using (b), for a generic $t \in \mathbb{F}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(J(0)+(\Theta, w))_{2} & \leq \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}}(J(t)+(\Theta, w))_{2} \\
& =\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}}\left(I_{\Delta}+\left(\varphi_{t}^{-1}(\Theta), \varphi_{t}^{-1}(w)\right)\right)_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $(J(0)+(\Theta, w))_{2}=\left(I_{\Gamma}+(\Theta, w)\right)_{2}=S_{2}$ by (a) and (4.2), the above inequality shows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S /\left(I_{\Delta}+\left(\varphi_{t}^{-1}(\Theta), \varphi_{t}^{-1}(w)\right)\right)\right)_{2}=0 \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left(\varphi_{t}^{-1}\left(\theta_{1}\right), \varphi_{t}^{-1}\left(\theta_{2}\right), \varphi_{t}^{-1}\left(\theta_{3}\right)\right)$ is a $(2,1)$-colored sequence, equation (4.3) proves that $\Delta$ has the $\pi$-colored SLP.

The next theorem completes the proof of the remaining part of Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 4.6. Let $\Delta$ be a simplicial 2 -sphere with a semi-proper bicoloring $\pi$ that satisfies the following property:
(L) $e\left(\Delta_{W}\right) \leq 2|W|-3$ for any set $W$ of blue vertices with $|W| \geq 2$.

Then, $\Delta$ has the $\pi$-colored SLP.

Proof. We proceed by induction on $|V|$ for $V=V(\Delta)$. If $|V|=4$, then $\Delta$ is the boundary of a tetrahedron and, as (L) holds, $\Delta$ has three blue vertices and one red vertex with respect to $\pi$. It may readily be verified that $\Delta$ has the $\pi$-colored SLP.

Assume that $|V|>4$. If $\Delta$ has a missing triangle, then, by Lemma $2.2, \Delta$ decomposes as a connected sum $\Delta=\Gamma_{1} \#_{\sigma} \Gamma_{2}$. In this case, $\pi$ induces a semi-proper bi-coloring on each $\Gamma_{i}$, and, clearly, (L) holds for each $\Gamma_{i}$. Hence, by the induction hypothesis, each $\Gamma_{i}$ has the $\pi$-colored SLP, and thus, by Lemma 4.4, so has $\Delta$.

Thus, assume that $\Delta$ has no missing triangle. Then, for any edge $\{p, q\} \in \Delta$,

$$
\Delta \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{p \rightarrow q}(\Delta)
$$

is an admissible contraction. Moreover, if $p$ and $q$ are blue vertices, then $\pi$ induces a semi-proper coloring of $\mathcal{C}_{p \rightarrow q}(\Delta)$. We will show that there is a facet $\{p, q, x\}$ with $\pi(p)=\pi(q)=b$ and $\pi(x)=r$ such that the complex $\mathcal{C}_{p \rightarrow q}(\Delta)$ satisfies (L). Then, by the induction hypothesis, $\mathcal{C}_{p \rightarrow q}(\Delta)$ has the $\pi$-colored SLP, and thus, by Lemma 4.5 so has $\Delta$, as desired.

We distinguish two cases: whether $\Delta$ contains a blue facet (i.e., a facet all of whose vertices are blue) or not.

Case (i). Assume that $\Delta$ has no blue facet. Recall that $\Delta$ has no missing triangle either. Then, for every subset $W$ of blue vertices, the 1-skeleton of $\Delta_{W}$ has no 3-cycles; thus, by Euler's formula, $e\left(\Delta_{W}\right) \leq$ $2|W|-4$ whenever $|W| \geq 3$. Assume further that $W$ is a subset of the vertex set of $\mathcal{C}_{p \rightarrow q}(\Delta)$, namely, $p \notin W$. Since $e\left(\mathcal{C}_{p \rightarrow q}(\Delta)_{W}\right)=e\left(\Delta_{W}\right)$ if $q \notin W$ and $e\left(\mathcal{C}_{p \rightarrow q}(\Delta)_{W}\right) \leq e\left(\Delta_{W \cup\{p\}}\right)-1$ if $q \in W$, this implies that condition (L) holds in $\mathcal{C}_{p \rightarrow q}(\Delta)$ for any blue edge $\{p, q\} \in \Delta$.

Case (ii). Assume that $\Delta$ has a blue facet. We first show that there is a blue facet $T=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right\}$ such that there exist red vertices $v_{1}^{\prime}, v_{2}^{\prime}$ (possibly $v_{1}^{\prime}=v_{2}^{\prime}$ ) with $\left\{v_{1}, v_{1}^{\prime}, v_{3}\right\},\left\{v_{2}, v_{2}^{\prime}, v_{3}\right\} \in \Delta$. Then, we proceed to show that either $\mathcal{C}_{v_{1} \rightarrow v_{3}}(\Delta)$ or $\mathcal{C}_{v_{2} \rightarrow v_{3}}(\Delta)$ satisfies (L), for some such choice.

Suppose, to the contrary, that there is no blue facet $T$ satisfying the above condition. This means that each blue facet is adjacent to at least two blue facets in the dual graph of $\Delta$. Consider the graph $G$ whose vertices are the blue facets of $\Delta$ and two facets $\sigma, \tau$ are adjacent if their intersection is an edge of $\Delta$. Then, each vertex of $G$ has degree at least two, and therefore, $G$ has an induced cycle $\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{k}$. Let $\Gamma=\left\langle\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{k}\right\rangle$ and $W=V(\Gamma)$. Then, since we take an induced cycle in $G$, there are exactly $k$ edges, which are contained in two facets in $\Gamma$, implying $e(\Gamma)=3 k-k=2 k$. Also, since $|V(\Gamma)|=\left|V\left(\left\langle\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{k-1}\right\rangle\right)\right|$ and $\left|V\left(\left\langle\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{i}\right\rangle\right)\right|-\left|V\left(\left\langle\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{i-1}\right\rangle\right)\right| \leq 1$ for $i<k$, we have $|V(\Gamma)| \leq k+1$. Thus, we have $e\left(\Delta_{W}\right) \geq e(\Gamma)=2 k \geq 2|W|-2$ which contradicts (L), as $|W| \geq 2$.

Let $T, v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{1}^{\prime}, v_{2}^{\prime}$ be as guaranteed above. Next we show that either at least one of the complexes $C_{1}:=\mathcal{C}_{v_{1} \rightarrow v_{3}}(\Delta)$ and $C_{2}:=$ $\mathcal{C}_{v_{2} \rightarrow v_{3}}(\Delta)$ satisfies (L), or we are in a situation that allows an inductive argument for finding some other choice as above for which one of $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ satisfies (L). Assume that both $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ violate (L). Then, for $i=1,2$, there is a subset of blue vertices $B_{i}^{\prime}$ in $C_{i}$ with $\left|B_{i}^{\prime}\right| \geq 2$ and $e\left(\left(C_{i}\right)_{B_{i}^{\prime}}\right)>2\left|B_{i}^{\prime}\right|-3$. In particular, the vertex $v_{3}$ is in $B_{i}^{\prime}$, and, for the set $B_{i}=B_{i}^{\prime} \cup\left\{v_{i}\right\} \subseteq V$, we must have
(i) $e\left(\Delta_{B_{i}}\right)=2\left|B_{i}\right|-3$, and
(ii) $v_{3-i}$ is not in $B_{i}$;
this is due to the fact that $\Delta_{B_{i}}$ satisfies the inequality in $(\mathrm{L})$ and $\left(C_{i}\right)_{B_{i}^{\prime}}$ violates it.

Consider the union $B=B_{1} \cup B_{2}$. Now, we count the edges in $\Delta_{B_{1}}$ $\cup \Delta_{B_{2}}$; if $\left|B_{1} \cap B_{2}\right| \geq 2$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
e\left(\Delta_{B_{1}} \cup \Delta_{B_{2}}\right) & =e\left(\Delta_{B_{1}}\right)+e\left(\Delta_{B_{2}}\right)-e\left(\Delta_{B_{1} \cap B_{2}}\right) \\
& =2\left|B_{1}\right|-3+2\left|B_{2}\right|-3-e\left(\Delta_{B_{1} \cap B_{2}}\right) \\
& \geq 2\left|B_{1}\right|-3+2\left|B_{2}\right|-3-\left(2\left|B_{1} \cap B_{2}\right|-3\right) \\
& =2|B|-3 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The edge $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\}$ is in the 1-skeleton of $\Delta_{B}$ but not in $\Delta_{B_{1}} \cup \Delta_{B_{2}}$. Thus, $\Delta_{B}$ violates the inequality in (L), a contradiction. This completes the proof, unless $\left|B_{1} \cap B_{2}\right| \leq 1$, in which case $B_{1} \cap B_{2}=\left\{v_{3}\right\}$.

We point out a simple observation we have just proved that will be useful later on in the proof: call a subset $U$ of blue vertices in $V$ with $|U| \geq 3$ Laman if the complex $\Delta_{U}$ satisfies (L) and $e\left(\Delta_{U}\right)=2|U|-3$.

Lemma 4.7. If $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ are Laman subsets of vertices in a simplicial complex $\Delta$ and $\left|S_{1} \cap S_{2}\right| \geq 2$, then $S_{1} \cup S_{2}$ is Laman and $\Delta_{S_{1} \cup S_{2}}$ and $\Delta_{S_{1}} \cup \Delta_{S_{2}}$ have the same 1-skeleton.

Recall $B_{1}$ above is Laman, $v_{1}, v_{3} \in B_{1}$ and $v_{2} \notin B_{1}$ (thus, $C_{1}$ violates $(\mathrm{L}))$; let $B_{1}$ be of maximal size with these properties. Note that $\Delta_{B_{1}}$ contains a blue facet, by Euler's formula as argued in case (i), since $\left|B_{1}\right|=\left|B_{1}^{\prime}\right|+1 \geq 3$.

Next, we show that there is a blue facet $T^{\prime \prime}=\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right\} \subseteq B_{1}$ such that each of the edges $u_{1} u_{3}$ and $u_{2} u_{3}$ is contained in a facet whose
third vertex is red; note that neither of these two edges is $v_{1} v_{3}$. Indeed, in order to apply the argument used in case (ii) (for the existence of $T$ above) to $\Delta_{B_{1}}$ rather than to $\Delta$, what we must verify is that, if $F \subseteq B_{1}$ is a blue facet adjacent in $\Delta$ to another blue facet $F^{\prime}$, and $\{z\}=F^{\prime} \backslash F$, then $z \in B_{1}$. Now, if $z \notin B_{1}$, then $B_{1} \cup\{z\}$ is Laman; thus, by the maximality of $B_{1}$, we must have $z=v_{2}$. However, one of the edges $v_{2} v_{3}, v_{2} v_{1}$ is not in $\Delta_{B_{1}} \cup\left\langle F^{\prime}\right\rangle$; thus, $\Delta_{B_{1} \cup\{z\}}$ violates (L), a contradiction.

Assume that both $\mathcal{C}_{u_{1} \rightarrow u_{3}}(\Delta)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{u_{2} \rightarrow u_{3}}(\Delta)$ violate $(\mathrm{L})$; otherwise, we are done. As previously argued, there exist, for $i=1,2$, Laman subsets $B_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ with $u_{i}, u_{3} \in B_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ and $u_{3-i} \notin B_{i}^{\prime \prime}$. If $\left|B_{1}^{\prime \prime} \cap B_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right| \geq 2$, then, by Lemma 4.7, $B_{1}^{\prime \prime} \cup B_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ violates $(\mathrm{L})$, a contradiction. Thus, assume that $B_{1}^{\prime \prime} \cap B_{2}^{\prime \prime}=\left\{u_{3}\right\}$. Next, we show that, in this case, $B_{i}^{\prime \prime} \subset B_{1}$, for at least one of $i=1,2$; the inclusion is strict.

Note that $\left|B_{i}^{\prime \prime} \cap B_{1}\right| \geq 2$. Thus, Lemma 4.7 gives that $B_{i}^{\prime \prime} \cup B_{1}$ is Laman with $\Delta_{B_{i}^{\prime \prime} \cup B_{1}}$ and $\Delta_{B_{i}^{\prime \prime}} \cup \Delta_{B_{1}}$ having the same 1-skeleton. By the maximality of $B_{1}$, for each of $i=1,2$, either $B_{i}^{\prime \prime} \subset B_{1}$ (with strict containment as $u_{3-i} \in B_{1} \backslash B_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ ) or $v_{2} \in B_{i}^{\prime \prime}$. The latter case cannot occur for both $i=1,2$ as $B_{1}^{\prime \prime} \cap B_{2}^{\prime \prime}=\left\{u_{3}\right\}$ and $u_{3} \neq v_{2}$. Thus, after exchanging the names of $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$, if necessary, we can assume that $B_{1}^{\prime \prime} \subset B_{1}$, and we choose such a $B_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ of maximal size.

Since $\left|B_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right|<\left|B_{1}\right|$, by iterating this argument for $B_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ inductively, we conclude that, at some point, an edge $\{x, y\}$ is found that is contained in a unique blue facet and such that $\mathcal{C}_{x \rightarrow y}(\Delta)$ satisfies (L). This completes the proof.
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## ENDNOTES

1. Batagelj phrased his result for simplicial spheres where all vertex degrees are even.
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