SEQUENTIALLY COHEN-MACAULAYNESS OF BIGRADED MODULES

AHAD RAHIMI

ABSTRACT. Let K be a field, $S = K[x_1, \ldots, x_m, y_1, \ldots, y_n]$ a standard bigraded polynomial ring, and M a finitely generated bigraded S-module. In this paper, we study the sequentially Cohen-Macaulayness of M with respect to $Q = (y_1, \ldots, y_n)$. We characterize the sequentially Cohen-Macaulayness of $L \otimes_K N$ with respect to Q as an Smodule when L and N are non-zero finitely generated graded modules over $K[x_1, \ldots, x_m]$ and $K[y_1, \ldots, y_n]$, respectively. All hypersurface rings that are sequentially Cohen-Macaulay with respect to Q are classified.

1. Introduction. In [13], Stanley introduced the notion of sequentially Cohen-Macaulayness for graded modules. This concept has since been studied by several authors; we refer the reader to [3, 4, 6, 9, 8, 12, 14]. In this paper, we define sequentially Cohen-Macaulayness for bigraded modules and introduce some new algebraic invariants which are relevant to this case. We let $S = K[x_1, \ldots, x_m, y_1, \ldots, y_n]$ be a standard bigraded polynomial ring over a field K, M a finitely generated bigraded S-module. We set $Q = (y_1, \ldots, y_n)$. In [10], M is said to be Cohen-Macaulay with respect to Q if grade(Q, M) = cd(Q, M), where cd(Q, M) denotes the cohomological dimension of M with respect to Q.

We call a finite filtration $\mathcal{F}: 0 = M_0 \subsetneq M_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq M_r = M$ of M by bigraded submodules M a Cohen-Macaulay filtration with respect to Q if:

(a) each quotient M_i/M_{i-1} is Cohen-Macaulay with respect to Q; (b) $0 \leq \operatorname{cd}(Q, M_1/M_0) < \operatorname{cd}(Q, M_2/M_1) < \cdots < \operatorname{cd}(Q, M_r/M_{r-1})$.

Received by the editors on May 19, 2015.

²⁰¹⁰ AMS Mathematics subject classification. Primary 13C14, 13D45, 16W50, 16W70.

Keywords and phrases. Dimension filtration, sequentially Cohen-Macaulay, cohomological dimension, bigraded modules, hypersurface rings.

DOI:10.1216/RMJ-2017-47-2-621 Copyright ©2017 Rocky Mountain Mathematics Consortium

If M admits a Cohen-Macaulay filtration with respect to Q, then we say that M is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay with respect to Q. The usual notion of sequentially Cohen-Macaulayness results from our definition if we assume that P = 0.

A finite filtration $\mathcal{D} : 0 = D_0 \subsetneq D_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq D_r = M$ of Mby bigraded submodules is called the *dimension filtration* of M with respect to Q if D_{i-1} is the largest bigraded submodule of D_i for which $cd(Q, D_{i-1}) < cd(Q, D_i)$, for all $i = 1, \ldots, r$. In Section 2, we show that if M is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay with respect to Q, then the filtration \mathcal{F} is uniquely determined and it is merely the dimension filtration of M with respect to Q, that is, $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{D}$. We explicitly describe the structure of the submodules D_i in [8]. We also show that, if M is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay with respect to Q with grade(Q, M) > 0and $|K| = \infty$, then there exists a bihomogeneous M-regular element $y \in Q$ of degree (0, 1) such that M/yM is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay with respect to Q, too. An example is given to show that the converse does not hold in general.

Let $K[x] = K[x_1, \ldots, x_m]$ and $K[y] = K[y_1, \ldots, y_n]$. In Section 3, we consider $L \otimes_K N$ as an S-module where L and N are two non-zero finitely generated graded modules over K[x] and K[y], respectively. We characterize the sequentially Cohen-Macaulayness of $L \otimes_K N$ with respect to Q as follows: $L \otimes_K N$ is a sequentially Cohen-Macaulay with respect to Q if and only if N is a sequentially Cohen-Macaulay K[y]-module.

In Section 4, we let $f \in S$ be a bihomogeneous element of degree (a, b) and consider the hypersurface ring R = S/fS. Note that, if a, b > 0, we have grade(Q, R) = n - 1 and cd(Q, R) = n; hence, R is not Cohen-Macaulay with respect to Q. Thus, it is natural to ask whether R is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay with respect to Q. We classify all hypersurface rings that are sequentially Cohen-Macaulay with respect to Q. In fact, we show that R is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay with respect to Q if and only if $f = h_1h_2$ where $deg(h_1) = (a, 0)$ with $a \ge 0$ and $deg(h_2) = (0, b)$ with $b \ge 0$.

2. Preliminaries. Let K be a field, and let

$$S = K[x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_n]$$

be a standard bigraded polynomial ring over K, in other words, $\deg x_i = (1,0)$ and $\deg y_j = (0,1)$ for all i and j. We set $P = (x_1, \ldots, x_m)$ and $Q = (y_1, \ldots, y_n)$. Let M be a finitely generated bigraded S-module. We denote by $\operatorname{cd}(Q, M)$ the cohomological dimension of M with respect to Q which is the largest integer i for which $H^i_Q(M) \neq 0$. Note that $0 \leq \operatorname{cd}(Q, M) \leq n$.

Definition 2.1. We say M is Cohen-Macaulay with respect to Q if we have only one non vanishing local cohomology module with respect to Q. In [10], this was referred to as relative Cohen-Macaulay with respect to Q; here, we omit the word "relative" for simplicity.

We recall the following facts which will be used in the sequel.

Fact 2.2. Let M be a finitely generated bigraded S-module. Then

- (a) $\operatorname{cd}(P, M) = \dim M/QM$ and $\operatorname{cd}(Q, M) = \dim M/PM$, see [10, formula 3].
- (b) $\operatorname{grade}(Q, M) \leq \dim M \operatorname{cd}(P, M)$, and the equality holds if M is Cohen-Macaulay, see [10, formula 5];
- (c) the exact sequence $0 \to M' \to M \to M'' \to 0$ of finitely generated bigraded S-modules yields $cd(Q, M) = max\{cd(Q, M'), cd(Q, M'')\},$ see the general version of [2, Proposition 4.4];
- (d) $cd(Q, M) = max\{cd(Q, S/\mathfrak{p}) : \mathfrak{p} \in Ass(M)\}$, see the general version of [2, Corollary 4.6].

Definition 2.3. We call a finite filtration

$$\mathcal{F}: 0 = M_0 \varsubsetneq M_1 \varsubsetneq \cdots \varsubsetneq M_r = M$$

of M by bigraded submodules a Cohen-Macaulay filtration with respect to Q if

(a) each quotient M_i/M_{i-1} is Cohen-Macaulay with respect to Q;

(b) $0 \le \operatorname{cd}(Q, M_1/M_0) < \operatorname{cd}(Q, M_2/M_1) < \cdots < \operatorname{cd}(Q, M_r/M_{r-1}).$

If M admits a Cohen-Macaulay filtration with respect to Q, then we say M is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay with respect to Q.

Observe that the ordinary definition of sequentially Cohen-Macaulay modules results from our definition if we assume that P = 0.

Remark 2.4. By applying Fact 2.2 (a) to the exact sequences

 $0 \longrightarrow M_{i-1} \longrightarrow M_i \longrightarrow M_i/M_{i-1} \longrightarrow 0,$

one immediately has $cd(Q, M_i) = cd(Q, M_i/M_{i-1})$ for i = 1, ..., r.

Example 2.5. Cohen-Macaulay modules with respect to Q are obvious examples of sequentially Cohen-Macaulay modules with respect to Q. Any module M such that $cd(Q, M) \leq 1$ is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay with respect to Q. To show this, we may assume that M is not Cohen-Macaulay with respect to Q. Thus, grade(Q, M) = 0 and cd(Q, M) = 1. The filtration $0 = M_0 \subsetneq M_1 \gneqq M_2 = M$, where $M_1 = H_Q^0(M)$ is a Cohen-Macaulay filtration with respect to Q.

Next, we show that the filtration \mathcal{F} given in Definition 2.3 is unique. To do so, we need some preparation.

Lemma 2.6. There is a unique largest bigraded submodule N of M for which cd(Q, N) < cd(Q, M).

Proof. Let \sum be the set of all bigraded submodules L of M such that cd(Q, L) < cd(Q, M). As M is a Noetherian S-module, \sum has a maximal element with respect to inclusion, say N. Let T be an arbitrary element in \sum . Fact 2.2 (c) implies that cd(Q, T + N) < cd(Q, M); hence, the maximality of N yields $T \subseteq N$.

Definition 2.7. A filtration $\mathcal{D}: 0 = D_0 \subsetneq D_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq D_r = M$ of M by bigraded submodules is called the *dimension filtration of* M with respect to Q if D_{i-1} is the largest bigraded submodule of D_i for which $cd(Q, D_{i-1}) < cd(Q, D_i)$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, r$.

The dimension filtration introduced by Schenzel [12] is thus a dimension filtration with respect to the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} = P + Q$. A filtration \mathcal{D} as in Definition 2.7 is unique by Lemma 2.6. In order to prove the uniqueness of an \mathcal{F} as in Definition 2.3, we will show that

 $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{D}$. In [7], M is said to be *relatively unmixed* with respect to Q if $\operatorname{cd}(Q, M) = \operatorname{cd}(Q, S/\mathfrak{p})$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Ass}(M)$.

Lemma 2.8. Let N be a non-zero bigraded submodule of M. If M is Cohen-Macaulay with respect to Q, then cd(Q, N) = cd(Q, M).

Proof. Since M is Cohen-Macaulay with respect to Q, it follows from [7, Corollary 1.11] that M is relatively unmixed with respect to Q, i.e., $cd(Q, M) = cd(Q, S/\mathfrak{p})$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in Ass(M)$. As N is a non-zero submodule of M, we have $Ass(N) \neq \emptyset$ and $Ass(N) \subseteq Ass(M)$. Thus, Fact 2.2 (d) implies that

$$\operatorname{cd}(Q,N) = \max\{\operatorname{cd}(Q,S/\mathfrak{p}) : \mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Ass}(N)\} = \operatorname{cd}(Q,M),$$

as desired.

Proposition 2.9. Let \mathcal{F} be a Cohen-Macaulay filtration of M with respect to Q and \mathcal{D} be the dimension filtration of M with respect to Q. Then, $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{D}$.

Proof. Let

$$\mathcal{F}: 0 = M_0 \subsetneq M_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq M_r = M$$

and

$$\mathcal{D}: 0 = D_0 \subsetneq D_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq D_s = M.$$

We will show that r = s and $M_i = D_i$ for all *i*. By Remark 2.4, we have $cd(Q, M_{i-1}) < cd(Q, M_i)$ for all i = 1, ..., r. Hence, Definition 2.7 says that $M_{r-1} \subseteq D_{s-1}$. Assume that $M_{r-1} \subsetneq D_{s-1}$. Thus, D_{s-1}/M_{r-1} is a non-zero submodule of M/M_{r-1} . Since M/M_{r-1} is Cohen-Macaulay with respect to Q, it follows from Lemma 2.8 that $cd(Q, D_{s-1}/M_{r-1}) = cd(Q, M/M_{r-1}) = cd(Q, M)$, where the second equality is yielded by Remark 2.4. Now, applying Fact 2.2 (c) to the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow M_{r-1} \longrightarrow D_{s-1} \longrightarrow D_{s-1}/M_{r-1} \longrightarrow 0,$$

yields $\operatorname{cd}(Q, D_{s-1}) = \operatorname{cd}(Q, M)$, a contradiction. Thus, $M_{r-1} = D_{s-1}$. Continuing in this way, we get r = s and $M_i = D_i$ for all *i*. Therefore, $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{D}$.

We conclude this section with Proposition 2.11, which gives us a class of sequentially Cohen-Macaulay with respect to Q. First, we have Lemma 2.10.

Lemma 2.10. Let M be sequentially Cohen-Macaulay with respect to Q. If M is relatively unmixed with respect to Q, then M is Cohen-Macaulay with respect to Q.

Proof. Let $0 = M_0 \subsetneq M_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq M_r = M$ be the Cohen-Macaulay filtration with respect to Q. By Fact 3.3, we have $\operatorname{grade}(Q, M) = \operatorname{grade}(Q, M_1)$. Since M_1 is Cohen-Macaulay with respect to Q, it follows from [7, Corollary 1.11] that M_1 is relatively unmixed with respect to Q. Thus,

 $\operatorname{grade}(Q, M) = \operatorname{grade}(Q, M_1) = \operatorname{cd}(Q, M_1) = \operatorname{cd}(Q, S/\mathfrak{p}),$

for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Ass}(M_1)$. As M is relatively unmixed with respect to Q and $\operatorname{Ass}(M_1) \subseteq \operatorname{Ass}(M)$, we have $\operatorname{grade}(Q, M) = \operatorname{cd}(Q, M)$, as desired. \Box

Proposition 2.11. Suppose that grade(Q, M) > 0 and $|K| = \infty$. If M is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay with respect to Q, then there exists a bihomogeneous M-regular element $y \in Q$ of degree (0,1) such that M/yM is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay with respect to Q.

Proof. We assume that M is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay and let \mathcal{F} : $0 = M_0 \subsetneq M_1 \subsetneq \cdots \varsubsetneq M_r = M$ be the Cohen-Macaulay filtration, with respect to Q. Since $\operatorname{grade}(Q, M) = \operatorname{grade}(Q, M_1) = \operatorname{cd}(Q, M_1) > 0$, it follows that $\operatorname{grade}(Q, M_i/M_{i-1}) = \operatorname{cd}(Q, M_i/M_{i-1}) > 0$ for all i. We set $N_i = M_i/M_{i-1}$. Thus, by [10, Corollary, 3.5], which is also valid for finitely many modules that are Cohen-Macaulay and have positive cohomological dimension with respect to Q. There exists a bihomogeneous element $y \in Q$ of degree (0,1) such that y is N_i regular for all i and $\overline{N_i}$ is Cohen-Macaulay with respect to Q with $\operatorname{cd}(Q, \overline{N_i}) = \operatorname{cd}(Q, N_i) - 1$. Here, $\overline{L} = L/yL$ for any S-module L.

Consider the exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow M_{i-1} \longrightarrow M_i \longrightarrow N_i \longrightarrow 0$$
 for all i .

Since y is regular on N_i for all i, it follows that $\operatorname{Tor}_1^S(S/yS, N_i) = 0$ for all i. Hence, we obtain the exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \overline{M_{i-1}} \longrightarrow \overline{M_i} \longrightarrow \overline{N_i} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{for all } i.$$

Now, the filtration

$$\mathcal{G}: 0 = \overline{M_0} \subsetneq \overline{M_1} \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \overline{M_r} = M/yM$$

is the Cohen-Macaulay filtration for M/yM with respect to Q. In fact, $\overline{M_i}/\overline{M_{i-1}} \cong \overline{N_i}$ and

$$\operatorname{grade}(Q, \overline{N_i}) = \operatorname{grade}(Q, N_i) - 1 = \operatorname{cd}(Q, N_i) - 1 = \operatorname{cd}(Q, \overline{N_i})$$

Hence, $\operatorname{grade}(Q, \overline{M_i}/\overline{M_{i-1}}) = \operatorname{cd}(Q, \overline{M_i}/\overline{M_{i-1}})$. As $\operatorname{cd}(Q, M_i/M_{i-1}) < \operatorname{cd}(Q, M_{i+1}/M_i)$ for all *i*, we have $\operatorname{cd}(Q, \overline{M_i}/\overline{M_{i-1}}) < \operatorname{cd}(Q, \overline{M_{i+1}}/\overline{M_i})$ for all *i*.

The next example shows that the converse of Proposition 2.11 does not hold in general.

Example 2.12. Consider the hypersurface ring

$$R = K[x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2]/(f)$$

where $f = x_1y_1 + x_2y_2$. One has grade(Q, R) = 1 and cd(Q, R) = 2. By [10, Lemma 3.4], there exists a bihomogeneous *R*-regular element $y \in Q$ of degree (0, 1) such that cd(Q, R/yR) = cd(Q, R) - 1 = 1and, of course, grade(Q, R/yR) = grade(Q, R) - 1 = 0. Hence, R/yR is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay with respect to Q. On the other hand, R is not sequentially Cohen-Macaulay with respect to Q. Indeed, $Ass(R) = \{(f)\}$ and cd(Q, R) = cd(Q, S/(f)) show that R is relatively unmixed with respect to Q. If R is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay with respect to Q, then by Lemma 2.10, R is Cohen-Macaulay with respect to Q, a contradiction.

3. Sequentially Cohen-Macaulayness of $L \otimes_K N$ with respect to Q. In this section, we characterize the sequentially Cohen-Macaulayness of $L \otimes_K N$ with respect to Q as an S-module where Land N are two non-zero finitely generated graded modules over K[x]and K[y], respectively. For the bigraded S-module M we define the

bigraded Matlis-dual of M to be M^{\vee} , where the (-i, -j)th bigraded components of M^{\vee} are given by $\operatorname{Hom}_{K}(M_{(i,j)}, K)$. We set

$$M_k = M_{(k,*)} = \bigoplus_j M_{(k,j)}$$

and consider it to be a finitely generated graded K[y]-module.

Lemma 3.1. Let M be a finitely generated bigraded S-module. If M is Cohen-Macaulay with respect to Q, cd(Q, M) = q, then $(H^q_Q(M)^{\vee})_{(k,*)}$ is a finitely generated Cohen-Macaulay K[y]-module of dimension q for all k.

Proof. Note that

$$(H^{i}_{Q}(M)^{\vee})_{(k,*)} \cong (H^{i}_{Q}(M)_{(-k,*)})^{\vee}$$
$$\cong (H^{i}_{(y_{1},...,y_{n})}(M_{(-k,*)}))^{\vee}$$
$$\cong \operatorname{Ext}_{K[y]}^{n-i}(M_{(-k,*)},K[y](-n))$$

Since M is Cohen-Macaulay with respect to Q with cd(Q, M) = q, it follows from [10, Proposition 1.2] that $M_{(-k,*)}$ is a Cohen-Macaulay K[y]-module of dimension q, and the conclusion follows immediately.

Lemma 3.2. Let M be sequentially Cohen-Macaulay with respect to Qwith Cohen-Macaulay filtration $\mathcal{F}: 0 = M_0 \subsetneq M_1 \subsetneq \cdots \varsubsetneq M_r = M$ with respect to Q. Then, we have

$$H_O^{q_i}(M) \cong H_O^{q_i}(M_i) \cong H_O^{q_i}(M_i/M_{i-1}),$$

where

$$q_i = \operatorname{cd}(Q, M_i) \quad for \ i = 1, \dots, r$$

and

$$H^k_O(M) = 0 \quad for \ k \notin \{q_1, \dots, q_r\}.$$

Proof. We proceed by induction on the length r of \mathcal{F} . The case r = 1 is obvious.

Now, suppose that $r \geq 2$ and that the statement holds for sequentially Cohen-Macaulay modules with respect to Q with filtrations of length < r. We want to prove it for M which is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay with respect to Q and has the Cohen-Macaulay filtration \mathcal{F} of length r. Note that M_{r-1} , which appears in the filtration \mathcal{F} of M, is also sequentially Cohen-Macaulay with respect to Q. Thus, by the induction hypothesis, we have

$$H_Q^{q_i}(M_{r-1}) \cong H_Q^{q_i}(M_i) \cong H_Q^{q_i}(M_i/M_{i-1}) \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, r-1$$

and

$$H_Q^k(M_{r-1}) = 0 \text{ for } k \notin \{q_1, \dots, q_{r-1}\}.$$

Now, the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow M_{r-1} \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow M/M_{r-1} \longrightarrow 0$$

yields $H_Q^{q_r}(M) \cong H_Q^{q_r}(M_r/M_{r-1})$ and $H_Q^t(M) \cong H_Q^t(M_{r-1})$ for $0 \le t < q_r$. Therefore, the desired result follows.

Fact 3.3. In the proof of Lemma 3.2, one observes that

$$\operatorname{grade}(Q, M_i) = q_1 \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, \dots, r.$$

Theorem 3.4. Let L and N be two non-zero finitely generated graded modules over K[x] and K[y], respectively. We set $M = L \otimes_K N$. Then, the following statements are equivalent:

(a) M is a sequentially Cohen-Macaulay S-module with respect to Q;
(b) N is a sequentially Cohen-Macaulay K[y]-module.

Proof.

(a) \Rightarrow (b). Let $\mathcal{F}: 0 = M_0 \subsetneq M_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq M_r = M$ be the Cohen-Macaulay filtration with respect to Q. By Lemma 3.2, we have

$$H_O^{q_i}(M) \cong H_O^{q_i}(M_i) \cong H_O^{q_i}(M_i/M_{i-1}),$$

where $q_i = \operatorname{cd}(Q, M_i) = \operatorname{cd}(Q, M_i/M_{i-1})$ for $i = 1, \ldots, r$ and $H^k_Q(M) = 0$ for $k \notin \{q_1, \ldots, q_r\}$. Note that

$$H^{q_i}_O(M) \cong L \otimes_K H^{q_i}_O(N) \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, r,$$

see also the proof of [10, Proposition 1.5]. Hence,

$$H_Q^{q_i}(M)^{\vee} \cong L^{\vee} \otimes_K H_Q^{q_i}(N)^{\vee},$$

where $(-)^{\vee}$ is the Matlis-dual, see [5, Lemma 1.1]. We conclude that

$$(H_Q^{q_i}(M_i/M_{i-1})^{\vee})_{(k,*)} \cong (H_Q^{q_i}(M)^{\vee})_{(k,*)}$$
$$\cong (L^{\vee})_k \otimes_K H_Q^{q_i}(N)^{\vee}$$
$$\cong \operatorname{Ext}_{K[y]}^{n-q_i}(N, K[y])^t,$$

where $t = \dim_K(L^{\vee})_k$. Since each M_i/M_{i-1} is Cohen-Macaulay with respect to Q with $\operatorname{cd}(Q, M_i/M_{i-1}) = q_i$, it follows from the above isomorphisms and Lemma 3.1 that $\operatorname{Ext}_{K[y]}^{n-q_i}(N, K[y])$ is Cohen-Macaulay of dimension q_i for $i = 1, \ldots, r$. If $k \notin \{q_1, \ldots, q_r\}$, then $L \otimes_K H_Q^k(N) \cong$ $H_Q^k(M) = 0$, and hence, $H_Q^k(N) = 0$. Thus, $\operatorname{Ext}_{K[y]}^{n-k}(N, K[y]) = 0$ for $k \notin \{q_1, \ldots, q_r\}$. Therefore, the result follows from [6, Theorem 1.4].

(b) \Rightarrow (a). Let N be a sequentially Cohen-Macaulay K[y]-module with the Cohen-Macaulay filtration $0 = N_0 \subsetneq N_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq N_r = N$. Consider the filtration

$$0 = L \otimes_K N_0 \subseteq L \otimes_K N_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq L \otimes_K N_r = L \otimes_K N.$$

We claim this filtration is the Cohen-Macaulay filtration with respect to Q. First, we note that $L \otimes_K N_i \subsetneq L \otimes_K N_{i+1}$ for all i. Otherwise, we have dim $N_i = \dim N_{i+1}$ by [11, Corollary 2.3], a contradiction. For all k and i we have the next isomorphisms

$$H^k_Q((L \otimes_K N_i)/(L \otimes_K N_{i-1})) \cong H^k_Q(L \otimes_K (N_i/N_{i-1}))$$
$$\cong L \otimes_K H^k_Q(N_i/N_{i-1}).$$

The first isomorphism is standard, and for the second, see the proof of [10, Proposition 1.5]. We set $D_i = (L \otimes_K N_i)/(L \otimes_K N_{i-1})$ for all *i*. Thus, we have $\operatorname{cd}(Q, D_i) = \dim N_i/N_{i-1}$ for all *i*. This implies that $\operatorname{cd}(Q, D_{i-1}) < \operatorname{cd}(Q, D_i)$ for all *i*. Also, each D_i is Cohen-Macaulay with respect to Q because N_i/N_{i-1} is Cohen-Macaulay for all *i*. \Box

4. Hypersurface rings that are sequentially Cohen-Macaulay with respect to Q. Let $f \in S$ be a bihomogeneous element of degree (a, b), and consider the hypersurface ring R = S/fS. We may write

$$f = \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|=a\\|\beta|=b}} c_{\alpha\beta} x^{\alpha} y^{\beta} \quad \text{where } c_{\alpha\beta} \in K.$$

Note that R is a Cohen-Macaulay module of dimension m+n-1. Next, we summarize some observations.

Lemma 4.1. Consider the hypersurface ring R defined above. Then, the statements hold:

- (a) if a = 0 and b > 0, then R is Cohen-Macaulay with respect to P of cd(P, R) = m and Cohen-Macaulay with respect to Q of cd(Q, R) = n 1;
- (b) if a > 0 and b = 0, then R is Cohen-Macaulay with respect to P of cd(P, R) = m − 1 and Cohen-Macaulay with respect to Q of cd(Q, R) = n;
- (c) if a > 0 and b > 0, then $\operatorname{grade}(P, R) = m 1$ and $\operatorname{cd}(P, R) = m$, and $\operatorname{grade}(Q, R) = n - 1$ and $\operatorname{cd}(Q, R) = n$.

Proof. In order to prove (a), if a = 0, then we may write

$$f = \sum_{|\beta|=b} c_{\beta} y^{\beta}.$$

Fact 2.2 (a) implies that

$$\operatorname{cd}(P,R) = \dim S/(Q+(f)) = m$$

and

$$cd(Q, R) = \dim S/(P + (f)) = n - 1.$$

On the other hand, by Fact 2.2 (b), we have

$$grade(P, R) = \dim R - cd(Q, R) = m + n - 1 - (n - 1) = m$$

and

$$grade(Q, R) = \dim R - cd(P, R) = m + n - 1 - m = n - 1.$$

Thus, the conclusions follow. Parts (b) and (c) are proved in the same way. $\hfill \Box$

Note that, if a, b > 0, then R is not Cohen-Macaulay with respect to Q. Thus, it is natural to ask whether R is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay with respect to Q. In the following, we classify all hyper-

surface rings that are sequentially Cohen-Macaulay with respect to Q. First, we have the next proposition.

Proposition 4.2. Let $f \in S$ be a bihomogeneous element of degree (a,b) such that $f = h_1h_2$, where

$$h_1 = \sum_{|\alpha|=a} c_{\alpha} x^{\alpha} \quad with \ c_{\alpha} \in K$$

and

$$h_2 = \sum_{|\beta|=b} c_{\beta} y^{\beta} \quad with \ c_{\beta} \in K,$$

i.e., $\deg h_1 = (a, 0)$ and $\deg h_2 = (0, b)$. Consider the hypersurface ring R = S/fS. Then, R is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay with respect to P and Q.

Proof. We show that R is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay with respect to P. The argument for Q is similar. Consider the filtration \mathcal{F} : $0 = R_0 \subsetneq R_1 \varsubsetneq R_2 = R$ where $R_1 = h_2 S/fS$. We claim that this filtration is the Cohen-Macaulay filtration with respect to P. Observe that $R_2/R_1 \cong S/h_2S$ is Cohen-Macaulay with respect to P with $\operatorname{cd}(P, R_2/R_1) = m$, by Lemma 4.1 (a). Now, consider the map

$$\varphi: S \longrightarrow h_2 S/fS$$

given by

 $g \longmapsto gh_2 + fS.$

We obtain the isomorphism

$$S/h_1 S \cong h_2 S/f S \cong R_1/R_0.$$

Thus, R_1/R_0 is Cohen-Macaulay with respect to P with $cd(P, R_1/R_0) = m-1$, by Lemma 4.1 (b). Therefore, \mathcal{F} is the Cohen-Macaulay filtration of R with respect to P.

For the proof of the main theorem, we recall the next results from [8].

Fact 4.3. Let $\mathcal{D}: 0 = D_0 \subsetneq D_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq D_r = M$ be the dimension filtration of M with respect to Q. Then

(a) $D_i = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p}_j \notin B_{i,Q}} N_j$ for $i = 1, \ldots, r-1$, where $0 = \bigcap_{j=1}^s N_j$ is a reduced primary decomposition of 0 in M with $N_j \mathfrak{p}_j$ -primary for $j = 1, \ldots, s$, and

$$B_{i,Q} = \{ \mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Ass}(M) : \operatorname{cd}(Q, S/\mathfrak{p}) \le \operatorname{cd}(Q, D_i) \};$$

- (b) $\operatorname{Ass}(M/D_i) = \operatorname{Ass}(M) \setminus \operatorname{Ass}(D_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$;
- (c) grade $(Q, M/D_{i-1}) = cd(Q, D_i)$ for i = 1, ..., r if and only if M is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay with respect to Q.

Theorem 4.4. Let $f \in S$ be a bihomogeneous element of degree (a, b), and let R = S/fS be the hypersurface ring. Then, the next statements are equivalent:

- (a) R is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay with respect to Q;
- (b) $f = h_1 h_2$, where deg $h_1 = (a, 0)$ with $a \ge 0$ and deg $h_2 = (0, b)$ with $b \ge 0$.

Proof.

(a) \Rightarrow (b). Assume that R is not Cohen-Macaulay with respect to Q, see Lemma 4.1. Let

$$f = \prod_{i=1}^{r} f_i$$

be the unique factorization of f into bihomogeneous irreducible factors f_i with deg $f_i = (a_i, b_i)$ for i = 1, ..., r. Note that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} a_i = a \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{r} b_i = b_i$$

Our aim is to show that, for each f_i , we have deg $f_i = (a_i, 0)$ with $a_i \ge 0$ or deg $f_i = (0, b_i)$ with $b_i \ge 0$. Assume that this is not the case, and so there exists $1 \le s \le r$ such that deg $f_s = (a_s, b_s)$ with $a_s, b_s > 0$. Thus, we may write that deg $f_i = (a_i, 0)$ with $a_i \ge 0$ for $i = 1, \ldots, s - 1$, deg $f_i = (a_i, b_i)$ with $a_i, b_i > 0$ for $i = s, s + 1, \ldots, t$ and deg $f_i = (0, b_i)$ with $b_i \ge 0$ for $i = t + 1, \ldots, r$, and t < r. By Fact 4.3 (a), R has the dimension filtration

$$\mathcal{F}: 0 = (f)/(f) \subsetneq I/(f) \subsetneq R = S/(f)$$

with respect to Q, where

$$I = \bigcap_{i=1}^{t} (f_i).$$

Note that cd(Q, I/(f)) = n - 1 by Fact 4.3 (b), Fact 2.2 (d) and cd(Q, R) = n. As R is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay with respect to Q, we must have grade(Q, S/I) = cd(Q, R) by Fact 4.3 (c). Since S/I is Cohen-Macaulay, it follows from Fact 2.2 (b) that

$$grade(Q, S/I) = \dim S/I - cd(P, S/I) = (m + n - 1) - m = n - 1,$$

a contradiction.

(b) \Rightarrow (a). Follows from Proposition 4.2.

Acknowledgments. The author would like to thank Jürgen Herzog for his helpful comments.

REFERENCES

 W. Bruns and J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay rings*, revised ed., Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math. **39**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.

2. M. Chardin, J.P. Jouanolou and A. Rahimi, *The eventual stability of depth, associated primes and cohomology of a graded module*, J. Commutative Algebra 5 (2013), 63–92.

3. N.T. Cuong and L.T. Nhan, *Pseudo Cohen-Macaulay and pseudo generalized Cohen-Macaulay modules*, J. Algebra **267** (2003), 156–177.

4. S. Faridi, Monomial ideals via square-free monomial ideals, Lect. Notes Pure Appl. Math. 244 (2005), 85–114.

J. Herzog and A. Rahimi, Local duality for bigraded modules, Illinois J. Math.
 (2007), 137–150.

6. J. Herzog and E. Sbarra, Sequentially Cohen-Macaulay modules and local cohomology, Tata Inst. Fund. Res. Stud. Math. 16 (2002), 327–340.

7. M. Jahangiri and A. Rahimi, *Relative Cohen-Macaulayness and relative unmixedness of bigraded modules*, J. Commutative Algebra 4 (2012), 551–575.

8. L. Parsaei Majd and A. Rahimi, On the structure of sequentially Cohen-Macaulay bigraded modules, Czech. Math. J. 65 (2015), 1011–1022.

9. H. Noormohamadi and A. Rahimi, Sequentially generalized Cohen-Macaulayness of bigraded modules, J. Alg. Appl. **15** (2016), 1650017–14.

 A. Rahimi, Relative Cohen-Macaulayness of bigraded modules, J. Algebra 323 (2010), 1745–1757.

 H. Sabzrou, M. Tousi and S. Yassemi, Simplicial join via tensor product, Manuscr. Math. 126 (2008), 255–272.

 \square

12. P. Schenzel, On the dimension filtration and Cohen-Macaulay filtered modules, Lect. Notes Pure Appl. Math. 206, Dekker, New York, 1999.

13. R.P. Stanley, *Combinatorics and commutative algebra*, second ed., Birkhäuser, Berlin, 1996.

14. M. Tousi and S. Yassemi, Sequentially Cohen-Macaulay modules under base change, Comm. Alg. 33 (2005), 3977–3987.

RAZI UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, P.O. BOX 19395-5746, BAGH-E ABRISHAM, KERMANSHAH, IRAN AND INSTITUTE FOR RESEARCH IN FUNDAMENTAL SCIENCES (IPM), SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, P.O. BOX 67149 TEHRAN, IRAN Email address: ahad.rahimi@razi.ac.ir