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#### Abstract

Let $T$ be a unital, continuous linear functional defined on complex Banach algebra $A$. First, we prove an approximate version of the Gleason-Kahane-Żelazko theorem: given $\epsilon>0$, there exists an $M>0$ such that, if $$
T(\exp x) \neq 0, \quad x \in A, \quad\|x\| \leq M
$$ then $T$ is $\epsilon$-almost multiplicative. Then, we show that this result remains true if the exponential function is replaced by a nonsurjective entire function $F$ with $F^{\prime}(0) \neq 0$.


1. Introduction and preliminaries. Let $A$ be a complex unital Banach algebra with unit $e$. The Gleason-Kahane-Zelazko (G-K-Z) theorem states that, if $T$ is a unital linear functional on $A$ such that

$$
T(x) \neq 0, \quad \text { for all } x \in \operatorname{Inv} A,
$$

then $T$ is multiplicative. By taking a close look at the standard proofs of the G-K-Z theorem, one can deduce the next, stronger result.

Theorem 1.1. If $T$ is a unital, linear functional on $A$ such that

$$
T(\exp x) \neq 0, \quad \text { for all } x \in A,
$$

then $T$ is multiplicative.

There are several extensions of the G-K-Z theorem, see $[\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{9}, \mathbf{1 2}]$. In 1987, in the direction of the extension of the G-K-Z theorem, Arens [3] conjectured that, if $F$ is a nonconstant entire function, then the condition

$$
T \circ F(x) \neq 0, \quad x \in A,
$$

[^0]implies the multiplicativity of $T$. In 1997, Jarosz [7] proved the Arens conjecture as follows:

Theorem 1.2. Let $F$ be a nonconstant, entire function, let $T$ be a linear functional on $A$, and let $T \circ F: A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a nonsurjective function. Then,
(i) if $T(e) \neq 0$, then $T / T(e)$ is multiplicative;
(ii) if $T(e)=0$, then $T=0$.

In this paper, we prove the results which can be considered as approximate versions of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

A linear functional $T$ on $A$ is said to be $\epsilon$-almost multiplicative if $\operatorname{mult}(T) \leq \epsilon$, where

$$
\operatorname{mult}(T)=\sup \{\|T(x y)-T(x) T(y)\|: x, y \in A,\|x\|=\|y\|=1\}
$$

Such functionals have been extensively studied, see $[5,8,10]$ for more details and examples. Johnson [10] proved that a continuous linear functional $\phi$ on $A$ is almost multiplicative if

$$
d(\phi(a), \sigma(a))<\epsilon, \quad a \in A, \quad\|a\|=1
$$

where $\sigma(a)$ is the spectrum of $a$. This and similar results can be considered as approximate versions of the G-K-Z theorem, see, for example, [1, Theorem 4.2] and [11, Theorem 5]. They are concerned with identifying the almost-multiplicative linear functionals among all linear functionals on Banach algebra $A$ in terms of spectra.

In Section 2, we prove the result from which all such approximate versions of the G-K-Z theorem can be derived. This result is an analogue of Theorem 1.1: let $T$ be a continuous unital linear functional on $A$. Given $\epsilon>0$, there exists an $M>0$ such that, if

$$
T(\exp x) \neq 0, \quad x \in A, \quad\|x\| \leq M
$$

then $T$ is $\epsilon$-almost multiplicative.
In Section 3, we show that this result remains true if the exponential function is replaced by a nonsurjective entire function $F$ with $F^{\prime}(0) \neq 0$.

Throughout this paper, let $A$ be a complex unital Banach algebra with unit $e$, and let $A_{[r]}$ be the closed ball in $A$ with center 0 and radius
$r>0$. The open unit disc is denoted by $\mathbb{D}$. We denote the open disc of radius $r>0$ around the origin in $\mathbb{C}$ by $\mathbb{D}(0, r)$.
2. Approximate version of the G-K-Z theorem. Our main result in this section is given by Corollary 2.2 and may be considered an approximate version of Theorem 1.1. First, we prove the next theorem by a similar method to the proof of [2, Theorem 3.2] or [11, Theorem 5].

Theorem 2.1. Let $\phi$ be a unital, continuous linear functional on $A$. Suppose that there is an $M>0$ such that $M \geq \ln \|\phi\|$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(\exp x) \neq 0, \quad x \in A_{[M]} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then,

$$
\operatorname{jmult}(\phi) \leq \frac{1}{M}\left(\frac{6}{\ln 2}+\frac{1}{M}\right)
$$

where

$$
\operatorname{jmult}(\phi)=\sup \left\{\left\|\phi\left(a^{2}\right)-\phi(a)^{2}\right\|: a \in A,\|a\|=1\right\} .
$$

Proof. Let $a \in A$ with $\|a\|=1$. Since $\phi$ is continuous and linear, the function $f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$
f(z):=\phi(\exp z a)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\phi\left(a^{n}\right)}{n!} z^{n}
$$

is entire such that, for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(z)| \leq\|\phi\|\|\exp z a\| \leq\|\phi\| e^{|z|} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, $f$ has growth order $\leq 1$. Suppose that $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots$, are the zeros of $f$ indexed with

$$
\left|\alpha_{1}\right| \leq\left|\alpha_{2}\right| \leq \cdots .
$$

Using Hadamard's factorization theorem [13], and, by the same method as in the proof of [11, Theorem 5], we obtain

$$
\phi\left(a^{2}\right)-\phi(a)^{2}=-\sum_{j} \frac{1}{\alpha_{j}^{2}}
$$

Now, let $\alpha_{j}$ be a zero of $f$. Since $f$ is an entire function and $f(0)=1$, by Jensen's formula [13], we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{N} \ln \frac{2\left|\alpha_{j}\right|}{\left|z_{k}\right|}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \ln \left|f\left(2\left|\alpha_{j}\right| e^{i \theta}\right)\right| d \theta \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{N}$, denote the zeros of $f$ in the open disc of radius $2\left|\alpha_{j}\right|$ centered at the origin. Since $\left|\alpha_{i}\right| \leq\left|\alpha_{j}\right|$ for every $1 \leq i \leq j$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
j \ln 2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{j} \ln \frac{2\left|\alpha_{j}\right|}{\left|\alpha_{i}\right|} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{N} \ln \frac{2\left|\alpha_{j}\right|}{\left|z_{k}\right|} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, by equation (2.2), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \ln \left|f\left(2\left|\alpha_{j}\right| e^{i \theta}\right)\right| d \theta \leq \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \ln \left(\|\phi\| e^{2\left|\alpha_{j}\right|}\right) d \theta=\ln \|\phi\|+2\left|\alpha_{j}\right| \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, by equations (2.3), (2.4) and (2.5), we obtain $j \ln 2 \leq \ln \|\phi\|+$ $2\left|\alpha_{j}\right|$. On the other hand, by equation (2.1), we have $\left|\alpha_{j}\right|>M \geq \ln \|\phi\|$. Therefore, we obtain

$$
j \frac{\ln 2}{3} \leq\left|\alpha_{j}\right|, \quad \text { for all } j
$$

Let $k$ be the greatest integer less than or equal to $(3 / \ln 2) M$. Now, we find a bound for

$$
\left|\sum_{j} \frac{1}{\alpha_{j}^{2}}\right|
$$

using $\left|\alpha_{j}\right| \geq M$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$, and $\left|\alpha_{j}\right| \geq(\ln 2 / 3) j$ for $j>k$. Then, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\sum_{j} \frac{1}{\alpha_{j}^{2}}\right| & \leq \sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{1}{\left|\alpha_{j}\right|^{2}}+\sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left|\alpha_{j}\right|^{2}} \\
& \leq \sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{1}{M^{2}}+\sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{3}{\ln 2}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{j^{2}} \\
& \leq \frac{k}{M^{2}}+\left(\frac{3}{\ln 2}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{1}{(k+1)^{2}}+\frac{1}{k+1}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{M}\left(\frac{6}{\ln 2}+\frac{1}{M}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\left|\phi\left(a^{2}\right)-\phi(a)^{2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{M}\left(\frac{6}{\ln 2}+\frac{1}{M}\right)
$$

for all $a \in A$ with $\|a\|=1$. Therefore, we obtain

$$
\operatorname{jmult}(\phi) \leq \frac{1}{M}\left(\frac{6}{\ln 2}+\frac{1}{M}\right)
$$

Corollary 2.2. For each $\epsilon, k>0$, there exists an $M>0$ such that, if $T$ is a unital, continuous linear functional on $A$ with $\|T\|<k$ and

$$
T(\exp x) \neq 0, \quad x \in A_{[M]},
$$

then $T$ is $\epsilon$-multiplicative.

Proof. Let $\epsilon>0$ and $k>0$. By [1, Corollary 3.6], there is a $\delta>0$ such that, if $\phi$ is a linear functional on $A$ with $\operatorname{jmult}(\phi)<\delta$, then $\operatorname{mult}(\phi)<\epsilon$. Choose $M>0$ with $M>\ln k$ and

$$
\frac{1}{M}\left(\frac{6}{\ln 2}+\frac{1}{M}\right)<\delta
$$

Now, if $T$ is a unital, continuous linear functional on $A$ with $\|T\|<k$ and $T(\exp x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in A_{[M]}$, then, by Theorem 2.1, $\operatorname{jmult}(T)<\delta$. Thus, mult $(T)<\epsilon$.

## 3. Almost multiplicative functionals and entire functions.

 In this section, we show that Corollary 2.2 remains true if the exponential function is replaced by a nonsurjective entire function $F$ with $F^{\prime}(0) \neq 0$. First, we give a sufficient condition for a linear functional to be continuous, compare with [7, Lemma 6].Theorem 3.1. Let $F$ be a nonconstant entire function, and let $T$ be a linear functional on $A$. Suppose that there is an $r>0$ such that the function $T \circ F: A_{[r]} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is nonsurjective. Then, $T$ is continuous.

Proof. Let $0<r^{\prime}<r$. Since $F$ is a nonconstant entire function, there is a $z_{0} \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\left|z_{0}\right|<r^{\prime}$ such that $F^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right) \neq 0$. Let $G$ be a function defined by

$$
G(z)=F\left(z+z_{0}\right)-F\left(z_{0}\right), \quad z \in \mathbb{C} .
$$

For every $x \in A$ with $\|x\| \leq r-r^{\prime}$, we have $\left\|x+z_{0} e\right\|<r$ and

$$
T \circ G(x)=T \circ F\left(x+z_{0} e\right)-T \circ F\left(z_{0} e\right)
$$

Thus, $T \circ G: A_{\left[r-r^{\prime}\right]} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is nonsurjective since $T \circ F: A_{[r]} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is nonsurjective. Hence, without loss of generality, we may assume that $F(0)=0$ and $F^{\prime}(0) \neq 0$. Since $F^{\prime}(0) \neq 0$, there are neighborhoods $U$ and $V$ of 0 such that $F$ is a homeomorphism of $U$ onto $V$. The function $\left(\left.F\right|_{U}\right)^{-1}$ is holomorphic on $V$. Hence, there are $\epsilon>0$ and a complex sequence $\left\{\beta_{n}\right\}$ such that

$$
\left(\left.F\right|_{U}\right)^{-1}(\omega)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_{n} \omega^{n}
$$

for all $\omega \in \mathbb{D}(0, \epsilon)$. Suppose that $F$ has the power series expansion

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{n} z^{n}
$$

For every $\omega \in \mathbb{D}(0, \epsilon)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega=F\left(\left(\left.F\right|_{U}\right)^{-1}(\omega)\right) & =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{n}\left(\left(\left.F\right|_{U}\right)^{-1}(\omega)\right)^{n} \\
& =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{n}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_{k} \omega^{k}\right)^{n} \\
& =\alpha_{1} \beta_{1} \omega+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $\alpha_{1} \beta_{1}=1$ and, for every $n>1$, the coefficient of $\omega^{n}$ is 0 . Therefore, $F\left(\left(\left.F\right|_{U}\right)^{-1}(x)\right)=x$ for all $x \in A$ with $\|x\|<\epsilon$. Since $\left(\left.F\right|_{U}\right)^{-1}: A_{[\epsilon]} \rightarrow A$ is continuous at 0 , there is a $0<\delta<\epsilon$ such that $\left\|\left(\left.F\right|_{U}\right)^{-1}(x)\right\|<r$ for all $x \in A$ with $\|x\| \leq \delta$. Thus, we have

$$
T\left(A_{[\delta]}\right)=T \circ F\left(\left(\left.F\right|_{U}\right)^{-1}\left(A_{[\delta]}\right)\right) \subseteq T \circ F\left(A_{[r]}\right) \varsubsetneqq \mathbb{C},
$$

and $T$ is nonsurjective on $A_{[\delta]}$; hence, $T$ is continuous.
The main result of this section follows.

Theorem 3.2. Let $F$ be an entire function such that $F^{\prime}(0) \neq 0$, and let there be a $z_{0} \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $F(z) \neq z_{0}$ for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Then, for each $\epsilon, k>0$, there is an $M>0$ such that, if $T$ is a unital, continuous
linear functional on $A$ with $\|T\|<k$ and $T \circ F(x) \neq z_{0}$ for all $x \in A_{[M]}$, then $T$ is $\epsilon$-multiplicative.

In order to prove this result, we first prove the next theorem.
Theorem 3.3. Let $g$ be an entire function with $g^{\prime}(0) \neq 0$, and let $T$ be a unital, continuous linear functional on A. Suppose that there is an $M>0$ with

$$
M>\frac{144}{\left|g^{\prime}(0)\right|} \ln (2\|T\|), \quad \text { such that }(T \circ \exp g)(x) \neq 0
$$

for all $x \in A_{[M]}$. Then, $\operatorname{jmult}(T)<\epsilon$, where

$$
\epsilon=\frac{576}{M\left|g^{\prime}(0)\right|}\left(\frac{6}{\ln 2}+\frac{144}{M\left|g^{\prime}(0)\right|}\right)
$$

Proof. By a similar method to [7, Proof of Theorem 3], we first prove the result on the disc algebra $A(\mathbb{D})$. Let $\phi$ be a unital, continuous linear functional on $A(\mathbb{D})$, and suppose that there is an $R>0$ with $R>\left(72 /\left|g^{\prime}(0)\right|\right) \ln \|\phi\|$ such that $(\phi \circ \exp g)(x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in A(\mathbb{D})_{[R]}$. The function

$$
G(z)=\frac{g(R z)-g(0)}{R g^{\prime}(0)}
$$

is entire, satisfying $G(0)=0$ and $G^{\prime}(0)=1$. By Bloch's theorem [4], there is a $\operatorname{disc} \mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathbb{D}$ such that $G$ is one-to-one on $\mathcal{S}$ and $G(\mathcal{S})$ contains a disc of radius $1 / 72$. Hence, $R \mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathbb{D}(0, R), g$ is one-to-one on $R \mathcal{S}$ and $g(R S)$ contains a disc of radius $R\left|g^{\prime}(0)\right| / 72$ and center at some point $\omega_{0}$. Let $a \in A(\mathbb{D})$ with $\|a\|=1$, and let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\lambda|<R\left|g^{\prime}(0)\right| / 72$. Define the function

$$
\psi: \overline{\mathbb{D}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}
$$

by

$$
\psi(z)=\left(\left.g\right|_{R \mathcal{S}}-\omega_{0}\right)^{-1}(\lambda a(z))
$$

It is clear that $\psi \in A(\mathbb{D})$. Since

$$
\mathbb{D}\left(0, \frac{R\left|g^{\prime}(0)\right|}{72}\right) \subseteq\left(g-\omega_{0}\right)(R S)
$$

we have

$$
\psi(z) \in R \mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathbb{D}(0, R)
$$

for all $z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$. Hence, $\|\psi\| \leq R$, and so $(\phi \circ \exp g)(\psi) \neq 0$. On the other hand, we have

$$
g \circ \psi(z)=g\left(\left(\left.g\right|_{R \mathcal{S}}-\omega_{0}\right)^{-1}(\lambda a(z))\right)=\lambda a(z)+\omega_{0},
$$

for all $z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$. Hence, $g \circ \psi=\lambda a+\omega_{0}$. Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi(\exp \lambda a)=\phi\left(\exp \left(g \circ \psi-\omega_{0}\right)\right) & =e^{-\omega_{0}} \phi(\exp g(\psi)) \\
& =e^{-\omega_{\circ}}(\phi \circ \exp g)(\psi) \neq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, by Theorem 2.1, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{jmult}(\phi) \leq \frac{72}{R\left|g^{\prime}(0)\right|}\left(\frac{6}{\ln 2}+\frac{72}{R\left|g^{\prime}(0)\right|}\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, fix $x \in A$ with $\|x\|=1$. For every

$$
a(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{n} z^{n} \in A(\mathbb{D})
$$

define

$$
\mathbf{a}(x):=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{n}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{n}
$$

It is clear that $\mathbf{a}(x)$ is an element of $A$, and the function $a \mapsto \mathbf{a}(x)$, an algebraic homomorphism, maps from $A(\mathbb{D})$ into $A$. We define the linear functional

$$
\widetilde{T}: A(\mathbb{D}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}
$$

by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{T}(a)=T(\mathbf{a}(x)) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{T}(\exp g(a))=T \circ \exp g(\mathbf{a}(x)) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $a \in A(\mathbb{D})$. Let $a \in A(\mathbb{D})$ with $\|a\| \leq M / 2$. If

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{n} z^{n}
$$

is the power series expansion of the analytic function $a$, then, by the Cauchy estimate, we have $\left|\gamma_{n}\right| \leq M / 2$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$. Thus,

$$
\|\mathbf{a}(x)\| \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left|\gamma_{n}\right|}{2^{n}} \leq \frac{M}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-n}=M
$$

Hence, by equation (3.3) and our assumption, for any $a \in A(\mathbb{D})$ with $\|a\| \leq M / 2$, we have

$$
\widetilde{T} \circ \exp g(a) \neq 0
$$

Also, for every $a \in A(\mathbb{D})$ with $\|a\| \leq 1$, we have

$$
|\widetilde{T}(a)|=|T(\mathbf{a}(x))| \leq\|T\|\|\mathbf{a}(x)\| \leq 2\|T\|,
$$

and so $\widetilde{T}$ is continuous, $\|\widetilde{T}\| \leq 2\|T\|$. Since

$$
M>\frac{144}{\left|g^{\prime}(0)\right|} \ln \frac{2\|T\|}{|T(e)|}
$$

we obtain

$$
\frac{72}{\left|g^{\prime}(0)\right|} \ln \|\widetilde{T}\| \leq \frac{72}{\left|g^{\prime}(0)\right|} \ln (2\|T\|)<\frac{M}{2}
$$

Therefore, setting $R=M / 2$ and $\phi=\widetilde{T}$, from equation (3.1), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{jmult}(\widetilde{T}) \leq \frac{144}{M\left|g^{\prime}(0)\right|}\left(\frac{6}{\ln 2}+\frac{144}{M\left|g^{\prime}(0)\right|}\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The identity function on $\mathbb{C}$ is denoted by $\mathbf{Z}$. By equation (3.2), we have

$$
T(x)=2 \widetilde{T}(\mathbf{Z}), \quad T\left(x^{2}\right)=4 \widetilde{T}\left(\mathbf{Z}^{2}\right)
$$

Hence, by equation (3.4), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|T\left(x^{2}\right)-T(x)^{2}\right| & =\left|4 \widetilde{T}\left(\mathbf{Z}^{2}\right)-4 \widetilde{T}(\mathbf{Z})^{2}\right| \\
& \leq \frac{576}{M\left|g^{\prime}(0)\right|}\left(\frac{6}{\ln 2}+\frac{144}{M\left|g^{\prime}(0)\right|}\right) \\
& :=\epsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we have jmult $(T)<\epsilon$.

Remark 3.4. According to Theorem 3.3, if $A$ is a commutative Banach algebra, then it follows from [11, Lemma 4] that $T$ is a $2 \epsilon$-multiplicative linear functional.

Proof of Theorem 3.2. By the Weierstrass factorization theorem [4], there is an entire function $g$ such that $F-z_{0}=\exp g$. Since $F^{\prime}(0) \neq 0$, we have $g^{\prime}(0) \neq 0$. Now, by the same reasoning as in the proof of Corollary 2.2 , it is sufficient to consider $M>0$ with $M>\left(144 /\left|g^{\prime}(0)\right|\right) \ln (2 k)$ and

$$
\frac{576}{M\left|g^{\prime}(0)\right|}\left(\frac{6}{\ln 2}+\frac{144}{M\left|g^{\prime}(0)\right|}\right)<\delta
$$

and to use Theorem 3.3.

Finally, consider the linear functional $T$ with $T(e)=0$; suppose that $T \circ F$ is nonsurjective on $A_{[M]}$. In this case, we show that $T$ is close to 0 .

Theorem 3.5. Let $F$ be an entire function with $F^{\prime}(0) \neq 0$. Let $T$ be $a$ linear functional on $A$ with $T(e)=0$. Suppose that there is an $M>0$ such that $T \circ F$ is nonsurjective on $A_{[M]}$. Then,

$$
\|T\| \leq \frac{288 r}{M\left|F^{\prime}(0)\right|}
$$

where

$$
r=\inf \left\{|\alpha|: \alpha \notin T \circ F\left(A_{[M]}\right)\right\}
$$

Proof. Since $T(e)=0$ and $F(z e)=F(z) e$ for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$, we have $T \circ F(z e)=0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}$ be such that $T \circ F(x) \neq \alpha$, for all $x \in A_{[M]}$.

First, we prove that, if $\phi$ is a linear functional on $A(\mathbb{D})$ with $\phi(e)=0$ and $\phi \circ F(x) \neq \alpha$ for all $x \in A(\mathbb{D})_{[M]}$, then $\phi$ is continuous and $\|\phi\| \leq 72|\alpha| / M\left|F^{\prime}(0)\right|$. By Bloch's theorem, there is a disc $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathbb{D}$ such that $F$ is one-to-one on $M \mathcal{S}$ and $F(M \mathcal{S})$ contains a disc of radius $M\left|F^{\prime}(0)\right| / 72$ and center at some point $\omega_{0}$. Let $a \in A(\mathbb{D})$ with $\|a\|=1$, and let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with

$$
|\lambda|<\frac{M\left|F^{\prime}(0)\right|}{72}
$$

As in the proof of Theorem 3.3, we define the function $\psi: \overline{\mathbb{D}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$
\psi(z)=\left(\left.F\right|_{M \mathcal{S}}-\omega_{0}\right)^{-1}(\lambda a(z))
$$

We see that $\psi \in A(\mathbb{D})_{[M]}$, and so $\phi \circ F(\psi) \neq \alpha$. Since $F(\psi)=F \circ \psi=$ $\lambda a+\omega_{0}$, we have

$$
\phi \circ F(\psi)=\lambda \phi(a)+\omega_{0} \phi(e)=\lambda \phi(a) .
$$

Hence, $\lambda \phi(a) \neq \alpha$, for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\lambda|<M\left|F^{\prime}(0)\right| / 72$. Thus,

$$
|\phi(a)| \leq \frac{72|\alpha|}{M\left|F^{\prime}(0)\right|}
$$

for all $a \in A(\mathbb{D})$ with $\|a\|=1$. Hence, $\phi$ is continuous and $\|\phi\| \leq$ $72|\alpha| / M\left|F^{\prime}(0)\right|$.

Now, let $x \in A$ with $\|x\|=1$. Define the linear functional $\widetilde{T}$ on $A(\mathbb{D})$ similarly to that given in the proof of Theorem 3.3 , that is, $\widetilde{T}(a)=T(\mathbf{a}(x))$ for every $a \in A(\mathbb{D})$. We have

$$
\widetilde{T} \circ F(a)=T \circ F(\mathbf{a}(x))
$$

Hence, by the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 3.3, we have $\widetilde{T} \circ F(a) \neq \alpha$, for all $a \in A(\mathbb{D})$ with $\|a\| \leq M / 2$ and $\widetilde{T}(e)=T(e)=0$. Thus, $\widetilde{T}$ is continuous and

$$
\|\widetilde{T}\| \leq \frac{144|\alpha|}{M\left|F^{\prime}(0)\right|}
$$

Hence, we have

$$
|T(x)|=2|\widetilde{T}(\mathbf{Z})| \leq \frac{288|\alpha|}{M\left|F^{\prime}(0)\right|}
$$

for all $x \in A$ with $\|x\|=1$; thus,

$$
\|T\| \leq \frac{288|\alpha|}{M\left|F^{\prime}(0)\right|}
$$
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