

## ON 2-SG-SEMISIMPLE RINGS

DRISS BENNIS, KUI HU AND FANGGUI WANG

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we investigate 2-SG-semisimple rings which are a particular kind of quasi-Frobenius rings over which all modules are periodic of period 2. Namely, we show that local 2-SG-semisimple rings are the same as the known Artinian valuation rings. Also, a relation between Dedekind domains and 2-SG-semisimple rings is established.

**1. Introduction.** Throughout this paper, all rings are commutative with identity element and all modules are unital. It is convenient to use  $m$ -local or (simply) local to refer to not necessarily Noetherian rings with a unique maximal ideal  $m$ . We assume that the reader is familiar with the Gorenstein homological algebra (some references are [9, 10, 12]).

For a ring  $R$  and a positive integer  $n \geq 1$ , an  $R$ -module  $M$  is said to be  $n$ -strongly Gorenstein projective ( $n$ -SG-projective for short), if there exists an exact sequence of  $R$ -modules

$$0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow P_n \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow P_1 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0,$$

where each  $P_i$  is projective, such that  $\text{Hom}_R(-, Q)$  leaves the sequence exact whenever  $Q$  is a projective  $R$ -module (see [6]). The 1-SG-projective module is simply called *strongly Gorenstein projective* (SG-projective for short) (see [5]). An extension of these kinds of modules was given in [3]. Namely, we have, for integers  $n \geq 1$  and  $m \geq 0$ , a module  $M$  is called  $(n, m)$ -SG-projective if there exists an exact sequence of modules,

$$0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow Q_n \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow Q_1 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0,$$

---

2010 AMS *Mathematics subject classification.* Primary 13C13, 13F05, 13F10, 13H10, 13F30, Secondary 03E75.

*Keywords and phrases.* 2-SG-projective, 2-SG-semisimple rings, quasi-Frobenius rings, factors of Dedekind domains, Artinian valuation rings.

Received by the editors on January 2, 2013, and in revised form on July 23, 2013.

where  $\text{pd}(Q_i) \leq m$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , such that  $\text{Ext}^i(M, Q) = 0$  for any  $i > m$  and for any projective module  $Q$ . A general study of rings over which every module is  $(n, m)$ -SG-projective was done in [4], and such rings are called  $(n, m)$ -SG. Thus, as in classical homological dimension, the  $(n, m)$ -SG rings with small integers  $n$  and  $m$  would be of interest. Let us call by  $n$ -SG-semisimple, for an integer  $n \geq 1$ , the  $(n, 0)$ -SG rings. From [4, Corollary 2.8],  $n$ -SG-semisimple rings are a particular kind of quasi-Frobenius rings. In [8], it was proved that a local ring is 1-SG-semisimple if and only if it contains a unique non-trivial ideal.

The aim of this paper is to study 2-SG-semisimple rings. We prove that 2-SG-semisimple is the same as the well-known Artinian serial rings (see Corollary 2.7). Recall that a ring is called *serial* if it is a finite direct product of valuation rings, where a ring (not necessarily a domain) is called *valuation* if the lattice of all its ideals is linearly ordered under inclusions (see, for example, [11, pages 10 and 11]). Namely, we prove that a local ring is 2-SG-semisimple if and only if it is an Artinian valuation ring (see Theorem 2.6). Also, a relation between Dedekind domains and 2-SG-semisimple rings is established in Proposition 2.9.

Before starting, we need to recall some useful results about quasi-Frobenius rings (for more details about these kinds of rings, see, for example, [14]). The quasi-Frobenius rings have several characterizations, and here, we only need the following ones:

**Theorem 1.1** ([14], Theorems 1.50, 7.55 and 7.56). *For a ring  $R$ , the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $R$  is quasi-Frobenius;
- (ii)  $R$  is Artinian and self-injective;
- (iii) every projective  $R$ -module is injective;
- (iv) every injective  $R$ -module is projective;
- (v)  $R$  is Noetherian and, for every ideal  $I$ ,  $\text{Ann}(\text{Ann}(I)) = I$ , where  $\text{Ann}(I)$  denotes the annihilator of  $I$ .

For the local case, we have the following result:

**Theorem 1.2** ([13], Theorems 221). *Let  $R$  be an  $m$ -local and zero-dimensional Noetherian ring. The following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $R$  is quasi-Frobenius;
- (ii)  $\text{Ann}(m)$  is a principal ideal.

We have the following structural characterization of quasi-Frobenius rings.

**Proposition 1.3.** *A ring  $R$  is quasi-Frobenius if and only if  $R = R_1 \times \cdots \times R_n$ , where each  $R_i$  is a local quasi-Frobenius ring.*

**2. Main results.** We aim to give an equivalent characterization of 2-SG-semisimple rings. The following leads us to restrict the study to the case of local rings.

**Lemma 2.1** ([4], Proposition 2.13). *A ring  $R$  is 2-SG-semisimple if and only if  $R = R_1 \times \cdots \times R_n$ , where each  $R_i$  is a local 2-SG-semisimple ring.*

Before giving the main result, we need the following lemmas.

The following result is a characterization of Artinian valuation local rings.

**Lemma 2.2** ([2], Proposition 8.8). *Let  $R$  be an Artinian  $m$ -local ring. Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i) every ideal is principal;
- (ii) the maximal ideal  $m$  is principal;
- (iii)  $R$  is a valuation ring.

*In this case every ideal  $I$  of  $R$  is of the form  $a^n R$  where  $a$  generates  $m$ .*

The two results below investigate the 2-SG-projective modules over local quasi-Frobenius rings.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $R$  be a local quasi-Frobenius ring and  $M$  a finitely generated  $R$ -module. If  $M$  is 2-SG-projective, then there is an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow F_2 \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$  where  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are free and*

finitely generated  $R$ -modules. Furthermore, if  $M$  is an ideal of  $R$ , then the exact sequence can be of the form:

$$0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow R^n \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0,$$

where  $n$  is a positive integer.

*Proof.* Let  $M$  be a finitely generated 2-SG-projective  $R$ -module. Then, by [18, Theorem 3.14], there exists an exact sequence of  $R$ -modules

$$0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow F_2 \longrightarrow F_1 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0$$

with  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are finitely generated projective  $R$ -modules. Notice that  $R$  is local, so  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are finitely generated free and the first assertion follows.

Now, suppose that  $M$  is an ideal of  $R$ . Decomposing the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow F_2 \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$  to get the short exact sequences:  $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow F_2 \rightarrow K \rightarrow 0$  and  $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ . Since  $R$  is quasi-Frobenius,  $F_1$  and  $R$  are injective  $R$ -modules. Then, we can apply the dual of the horseshoe lemma [15, Note after Lemma 6.20] to the short exact sequences above with the canonical one,  $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow R \rightarrow R/M \rightarrow 0$ , to get the following commutative diagram with exact columns and rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\
 & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 0 & \rightarrow & R/M & \rightarrow & Q & \rightarrow & M \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 0 & \rightarrow & R & \rightarrow & R \oplus F_1 & \rightarrow & F_1 \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 0 & \rightarrow & M & \rightarrow & F_2 & \rightarrow & K \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0
 \end{array}$$

From the top horizontal sequence,  $Q$  is a Gorenstein projective and finitely generated  $R$ -module. Then, using the middle vertical sequence,  $Q$  has finite projective dimension. This shows, using [12, Proposition 2.27], that  $Q$  is projective and then free (since  $R$  is local). Then, there is a positive integer  $n$  such that  $Q \cong R^n$ . Finally, combining the top horizontal sequence with the left vertical one to get the desired sequence. □

**Corollary 2.4.** *Let  $R$  be a local quasi-Frobenius ring, and let  $a$  be a zero-divisor element of  $R$ . If the principal ideal  $aR$  is 2-SG-projective, then  $\text{Ann}(a)$  is also principal and there are exact sequences of the form:*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\longrightarrow aR \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow aR \longrightarrow 0 \\ 0 &\longrightarrow \text{Ann}(a) \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow \text{Ann}(a) \longrightarrow 0 \\ 0 &\longrightarrow R/aR \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow R/aR \longrightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.3, we have an exact sequence of the form:

$$0 \longrightarrow R/aR \longrightarrow R^n \longrightarrow aR \longrightarrow 0$$

where  $n$  is a positive integer. By the Schanuel lemma [15, Theorem 9.4 (i)], the above exact sequence with the following canonical one:

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Ann}(aR) \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow aR \longrightarrow 0$$

implies that  $\text{Ann}(a) \oplus R^n \cong R/aR \oplus R$ . This shows that  $\text{Ann}(a)$  must be principal and  $n = 1$  which help to construct the desired sequences.  $\square$

The structure of modules over Artinian serial rings is given by the following well-known result.

**Lemma 2.5** ([11], Theorems 5.6). *Let  $R$  be an Artinian serial ring. Then every  $R$ -module is a direct sum of cyclic modules.*

Now we are in position to give the main result.

**Theorem 2.6.** *An  $m$ -local ring  $R$  is 2-SG-semisimple if and only if it is an Artinian valuation ring.*

*Proof.* If  $R$  is 2-SG-semisimple, then it is quasi-Frobenius (by [4, Corollary 2.8]). Then, by Theorem 1.2,  $\text{Ann}(m)$  is principal. This shows, using Corollary 2.4 and Theorem 1.1, that  $m = \text{Ann}(\text{Ann}(m))$  is principal. Therefore,  $R$  is a valuation ring (by Lemma 2.2). Conversely, assume that  $R$  is an Artinian valuation ring. Obviously,  $R$  is quasi-Frobenius with only principal ideals. Then, for every zero-divisor element  $a$  of  $R$ , we have the exact sequences  $0 \rightarrow \text{Ann}(a) \rightarrow R \rightarrow$

$aR \rightarrow 0$  and  $0 \rightarrow aR = \text{Ann}(\text{Ann}(a)) \rightarrow R \rightarrow \text{Ann}(a) \rightarrow 0$ . Combining these sequences, we deduce that  $aR$  is 2-SG-projective. Then, from Corollary 2.4, the cyclic module  $R/aR$  is also 2-SG-projective and so are all cyclic modules including the free ones. Therefore, Lemma 2.5 with [3, Proposition 2.3] show that every module is 2-SG-projective and therefore  $R$  is 2-SG-semisimple.  $\square$

From Lemma 2.1, the structure of 2-SG-semisimple rings is immediately deduced as follows.

**Corollary 2.7.** *A ring  $R$  is 2-SG-semisimple if and only if it is an Artinian serial ring.*

To construct examples of 2-SG-semisimple rings, one can use the well-known result that nontrivial factor rings of Dedekind domains are principal Artinian serial rings, which means that nontrivial factor rings of Dedekind domains are 2-SG-semisimple (see, for example, [17, Corollary, page 278]). The following result (Proposition 2.9) shows that the Dedekind domains is closely related to the 2-SG-semisimple rings in the sense that the converse of the well-known result above holds true. To prove this result, we use the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.8.** *Let  $R$  be a domain and  $P$  a maximal ideal of  $R$  which is finitely generated. Then  $P$  is invertible if and only if  $P_P$  (i.e.,  $PR_P$ ) is a principal ideal of  $R_P$ .*

*Proof.* By [16, Theorem 8.4.2],  $P$  is invertible if and only if  $P_{\mathfrak{m}}$  is principal for any maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $R$ . Since  $P$  is maximal,  $P_{\mathfrak{m}} = R_{\mathfrak{m}}$  for any maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  other than  $P$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.9.** *A domain  $R$  is Dedekind if and only if every nontrivial factor ring of  $R$  is 2-SG-semisimple.*

*Proof.* If every nontrivial factor ring of  $R$  is 2-SG-semisimple, then, by [13, Theorem 90],  $R$  must be one-dimensional and Noetherian. So, by [1, Theorem 3],  $R$  must be a Dedekind domain. We give a direct proof here. Let  $P$  be a maximal ideal of  $R$ , and let  $a$  be an element in  $P$  which is not in  $P^2$ . Since  $R/P^2$  is a QF-ring, by Theorem 1.1,  $(\bar{a}) = \text{Ann}(\text{Ann}(\bar{a}))$ . Since  $(P/P^2)^2 = 0$ , it can be seen

that  $\text{Ann}(\text{Ann}(\bar{a})) = P/P^2$ . Therefore  $(\bar{a}) = P/P^2$ . So  $Ra + P^2 = P$  and by the Nakayama lemma,  $P_P = (a)_P$ . Thus, by Lemma 2.8,  $P$  is invertible, and this means that  $R$  is a Dedekind domain.

For the “only if” part, let  $I$  be a proper ideal of a Dedekind domain  $R$ . Then  $I = P_1^{t_1} P_2^{t_2} \cdots P_n^{t_n}$  for some prime ideals  $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n$  and some integers  $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n$ . By the Chinese remainder theorem,  $R/I \cong R/P_1^{t_1} \oplus R/P_2^{t_2} \oplus \cdots \oplus R/P_n^{t_n}$ . In order to show that  $R/I$  is 2-SG-semisimple, we only need to prove that  $R/P_i^{t_i}$  is such a ring. When  $t_i = 1$ , the field  $R/P_i$  is certainly 2-SG-semisimple. Therefore, we can assume that  $t_i > 1$ . Since  $R/P_i^{t_i}$  is an Artinian local ring, by Lemma 2.2 and Theorem 2.6, it suffices to prove that the maximal ideal  $P_i/P_i^{t_i}$  is principal. By [16, Corollary 9.8.7], we can choose an element  $b \in P_i^{t_i}$  and an element  $c \in P_i$  such that  $P_i = (b, c)$ . Therefore,  $P_i/P_i^{t_i} = (c + P_i^{t_i})$  is principal.  $\square$

**Acknowledgments.** The authors would like to thank the referee for careful reading and helpful comments.

## REFERENCES

1. Z. Abraham, *Restricted Gorenstein rings*, Illinois J. Math. **13** (1969), 796–803.
2. M. Atiyah and I.G. Macdonald, *Introduction to commutative algebra*, Addison Wesley, Reading, 1969.
3. D. Bennis,  *$(n, m)$ -Strongly Gorenstein projective modules*, Int. Electr. J. Alg. **6** (2009), 119–133.
4. ———,  *$(n, m)$ -SG rings*, AJSE-Mathematics **35** (2010), 169–178.
5. D. Bennis and N. Mahdou, *Strongly Gorenstein projective, injective, and flat modules*, J. Pure Appl. Alg. **210** (2007), 437–445.
6. ———, *A generalization of strongly Gorenstein projective modules*, J. Alg. Appl. **8** (2009), 219–227.
7. ———, *Global Gorenstein dimensions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **138** (2010), 461–465.
8. D. Bennis, N. Mahdou and K. Ouarghi, *Rings over which all modules are strongly Gorenstein projective*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **40** (2010), 749–759.
9. L.W. Christensen, *Gorenstein dimensions*, Lect. Notes Math. **1747**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.
10. E.E. Enochs and O.M.G. Jenda, *Relative homological algebra*, de Gruyter Expo. Math. **30**, Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 2000.
11. A. Facchini, *Module theory: Endomorphism rings and direct sum decompositions in some classes of modules*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1998.

12. H. Holm, *Gorenstein homological dimensions*, J. Pure Appl. Alg. **189** (2004), 167–193.
13. I. Kaplansky, *Commutative rings*, Allyn and Bacon, Boston, 1970.
14. W.K. Nicholson and M.F. Youssif, *Quasi-Frobenius rings*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.
15. J.J. Rotman, *An introduction to homological algebra*, Academic Press, New York, 1979.
16. F. Wang, *Commutative rings and star operation theory*, Science Press, Beijing, 2006 (in Chinese).
17. O. Zariski and P. Samuel, *Commutative algebra*, Vol. 1, Springer New York, 1975.
18. G.Q. Zhao and Z.Y. Huang, *n-strongly Gorenstein projective, injective and flat modules*, Comm. Alg. **39** (2011), 3044–3062.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCES, B.P. 1014, MOHAMMED V UNIVERSITY, RABAT, MOROCCO

**Email address:** [d.bennis@fsr.ac.ma](mailto:d.bennis@fsr.ac.ma), [driss\\_bennis@hotmail.com](mailto:driss_bennis@hotmail.com)

COLLEGE OF SCIENCE, SOUTHWEST UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, MIANYANG, 621010, P.R. CHINA

**Email address:** [hukui200418@163.com](mailto:hukui200418@163.com)

COLLEGE OF MATHEMATICS AND SOFTWARE SCIENCE, SICHUAN NORMAL UNIVERSITY, CHENGDU, 610068, P.R. CHINA

**Email address:** [wangfg2004@163.com](mailto:wangfg2004@163.com)