# ON THE PROBABILITY THAT AN INTEGER CHOSEN ACCORDING TO THE BINOMIAL DISTRIBUTION BE $\boldsymbol{k}$-FREE 

J. E. NYMANN AND W. J. LEAHEY

Introduction. Let $s$ and $t$ be integers chosen from among the first $n+1$ non-negative integers according to a binomial distribution with parameter $p, 0<p<1$. Consider the probability that $s$ and $t$ be relatively prime. In [1] we showed that this probability tends to $6 / \pi^{2}$, independent of $p$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Suppose now we choose a single integer $s$ from the first $n+1$ non-negative integers according to a binomial distribution and ask what is the probability that $s$ be squarefree. In this paper we show that the techniques of [1] can also be used to show that this probability is $6 / \pi^{2}$ in the limit. In fact we show something more, viz., that the probability that $s$ be $k$-free, $k$ any integer greater than 1 , is $1 / \zeta(k)$ where $\zeta$ denotes the Riemann zetafunction. ( $s$ is $k$-free if and only if $s$ is not divisible by the $k$-th power of any prime.) In section 1 we deal with the case $k>2$ and in section 2 , with the case $k=2$.

1. Let $n$ be a non-negative integer and denote by $N_{n}$ the set of integers $0,1,2, \cdots, n$. Let $P_{n}$ be a probability distribution on $N_{n}$ and let $Q_{k}$ denote the set of non-negative $k$-free integers. Set $Q_{k}(n)=$ $Q_{k} \cap N_{n}$. For any positive integer $d$, let $A_{n}(d)=\left\{j \in N_{n}: j \equiv 0\right.$ $(\bmod d)\}$. We then have the following.

Lemma 1. Let $P_{n}$ be any probability measure on $N_{n}$. Then for $n>1$,

$$
P_{n}\left(Q_{k}(n)\right)=\sum_{1 \leqq d \leqq n^{1 / k}} \mu(d)\left\{P_{n}\left(A_{n}\left(d^{k}\right)\right)-P_{n}(\{0\})\right\} .
$$

Proof. Let $p_{1}<p_{2}<\cdots<p_{s}$ be the primes less than or equal to $n^{1 / k}$. Then, if $\tilde{Q}_{k}(n)$ denotes the complement of $Q_{k}(n)$ in $N_{n}$, we have

$$
\tilde{Q}_{k}(n)=\bigcup_{i=1}^{s} A_{n}\left(p_{i}{ }^{k}\right) .
$$

Therefore
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$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{n}\left(Q_{k}(n)\right)= & 1-P_{n}\left(\widetilde{Q}_{k}(n)\right)=1-P_{n}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{s} A_{n}\left(p_{i}^{k}\right)\right) \\
= & 1-\sum_{r=1}^{s} \sum_{\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, \cdots, i_{r}\right)}(-1)^{r-1} P_{n}\left(A_{n}\left(p_{i_{1}}^{k}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\cap A_{n}\left(p_{i_{2}}^{k}\right) \cap \cdots \cap A_{n}\left(p_{i_{r}}^{k}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the inner sum is taken over all $r$-tuples $\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, \cdots, i_{r}\right)$ such that $1 \leqq i_{1}<i_{2}<\cdots<i_{r} \leqq s$. Now it is clear that if $\left(d_{1}, d_{2}\right)=1$, then $A_{n}\left(d_{1}\right) \cap A_{n}\left(d_{2}\right)=A_{n}\left(d_{1} d_{2}\right)$. Hence this last expression can be rewritten as

$$
1+\sum_{r=1}^{s} \sum_{\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, \cdots, i_{r}\right)}(-1)^{r} P_{n}\left(A_{n}\left(\left(p_{i_{1}} p_{i_{2}} \cdots p_{i_{r}}\right)^{k}\right)\right)
$$

Now if $\left(p_{i_{1}} p_{i_{2}} \cdots p_{i_{r}}\right)^{k}>n, A_{n}\left(\left(p_{i_{1}} p_{i_{2}} \cdots p_{i_{r}}\right)^{k}\right)=\{0\}$. Hence this last expression is the same as

$$
\sum_{1 \leqq d \leq n^{2 / k}} \mu(d) P_{n}\left(A_{n}\left(d^{k}\right)\right)+\sum_{r=1}^{s} \sum_{p_{i_{1}} p_{i_{2}}, \cdots p_{i_{r}}>n / k} \mu\left(p_{i_{1}} p_{i_{2}} \cdots p_{i_{r}}\right) P_{n}(\{0\}) .
$$

Since

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{d \mid p_{1} p_{2} \cdots p_{s}} \mu(d)=0 \\
\sum_{r=1}^{s} \sum_{p_{i_{1} p_{i_{2}}} \cdots p_{i_{r}}>n^{1 / k}} \mu\left(p_{i_{1}} p_{i_{2}} \cdots p_{i_{r}}\right)=-\sum_{1 \leqq d \leqq n^{1 / k}} \mu(d) .
\end{gathered}
$$

This observation completes the proof of the lemma.
If $P_{n}$ is the uniform distribution on $N_{n}\left(P_{n}(j)=(n+1)^{-1}\right.$ for all $\left.j \in N_{n}\right)$ then it is easy to check that $\left|P_{n}\left(A_{n}(d)\right)-d^{-1}\right|<n^{-1}$ uniformly in $d$. Using this estimate along with Lemma 1 and the fact that $\sum \mu(d) d^{-k} \rightarrow 1 / \zeta(k)$, it is not difficult to prove

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{n}\left(Q_{k}(n)\right)=1 / \zeta(k)
$$

for all $k \geqq 2$.
From now on $P_{n}$ will always be taken to be a binomial distribution relative to some fixed parameter $p$ with $0<p<1$. Thus $P_{n}(j)=$ $\binom{n}{j} p^{j}(1-p)^{n-j}$. For $1 \leqq d \leqq n$ define $\epsilon_{n}(d)$ by

$$
\epsilon_{n}(d)=P_{n}\left(A_{n}(d)\right)-d^{-1}=\sum_{j=0(d)}\binom{n}{j} p^{j}(1-p)^{n-j}-d^{-1}
$$

Lemma 2. $\left|\epsilon_{n}(d)\right| \ll n^{-1 / 2}$ uniformly in $d$.

Proof. See [1].
Theorem 3. If $P_{n}$ is a binomial distribution, then $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{n}\left(Q_{k}(n)\right)$ $=1 / \zeta(k)$ for all $k \geqq 3$.

Proof. By Lemma 1 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{n}\left(Q_{k}(n)\right)= & \sum_{1 \leqq d \leqq n^{1 / k}} \mu(d)\left\{P_{n}\left(A_{n}\left(d^{k}\right)\right)-P_{n}(\{0\})\right\} \\
= & \sum_{1 \leqq d \leqq n^{1 / k}} \mu(d)\left\{d^{-k}+\epsilon_{n}\left(d^{k}\right)-(1-p)^{n}\right\} \\
= & \sum_{1 \leqq d \leqq n^{1 / k}} \mu(d) d^{-k}+\sum_{1 \leqq d \leqq n^{1 / k}} \mu(d) \epsilon_{n}\left(d^{k}\right) \\
& -(1-p)^{n} \sum_{1 \leqq d \leqq n^{1 / k}} \mu(d) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The first sum tends to $l / \zeta(k)$ while the last sum goes to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$. For the middle sum we have by Lemma 2

$$
\left|\sum_{1 \leqq d \leq n^{1 / k}} \mu(d) \epsilon_{n}\left(d^{k}\right)\right| \ll n^{1 / k} n^{-1 / 2}
$$

Thus for $k>2$ this term goes to zero which proves the theorem.
2. In this section we show that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{n}\left(Q_{2}(n)\right)=6 / \pi^{2}(=1 / \zeta(2))$ where $P_{n}$ is a binomial distribution. As in the proof of Theorem 3 it is sufficient to show that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{1 \leqq d^{2} \leqq n}\left|\epsilon_{n}\left(d^{2}\right)\right|=0
$$

We need the following lemmas. For proofs of the first two we refer to [1].

## Lemma 4.

$$
\sum_{|k-p n|>p n^{3 / 4}}\binom{n}{k} p^{k}(1-p)^{n-k} \ll n^{-1}
$$

Lemma 5. If $d>p\left(n+n^{3 / 4}\right)$, then $\left|\epsilon_{n}(d)\right| \ll d^{-1}$ uniformly in d.

Lemma 6. Let $K_{n}$ be the number of integers $d$ which satisfy $p n^{3 / 4}$ $\leqq d^{2} \leqq p\left(n-n^{3 / 4}\right)$ and which have the property that for some integer $k, k d^{2}$ is in the interval $\left(p\left(n-n^{3 / 4}\right), p\left(n+n^{3 / 4}\right)\right)$. Then $K_{n} \ll n^{3 / 8}$.

Proof. Let $u=p n, v=p n^{3 / 4}$ and let $s=[(u+v) / v]$. Suppose $k d^{2} \in(u-v, u+v)$. Then we must have $2 \leqq k \leqq s$. For each such $k$ we ask how many possible $d$ 's are there such that $k d^{2} \in(u-v, u+$ $v)$. Such $d$ 's must lie in the interval

$$
\left(((u-v) / k)^{1 / 2},((u+v) / k)^{1 / 2}\right)
$$

Hence there are not more than $z_{k}=\left((u+v)^{1 / 2}-(u-v)^{1 / 2}\right) k^{-1 / 2}$ +1 of them. Now it is easy to verify that $(u+v)^{1 / 2}-(u-v)^{1 / 2} \leqq$ $\left(2 v^{2} / u\right)^{1 / 2}$. Therefore

$$
K_{n}=\sum_{k=2}^{s} z_{k}<\left(2 v^{2} / u\right)^{1 / 2} \sum_{k=2}^{s} k^{-1 / 2}+s-1 \leqq v(2 s / u)^{1 / 2}+s-1 \ll n^{3 / 8}
$$

We now state and prove our main result as
Theorem 7. Let $P_{n}$ be a binomial distribution. Then

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{n}\left(Q_{2}(n)\right)=6 / \pi^{2}
$$

Proof. As stated at the beginning of this section we need to show

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{1 \leqq d^{2} \leqq n}\left|\epsilon_{n}\left(d^{2}\right)\right|=0 . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $n_{1}=p n^{3 / 4}, n_{2}=p\left(n-n^{3 / 4}\right)$ and $n_{3}=p\left(n+n^{3 / 4}\right)$. The sum in (1) can then be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{1 \leqq d^{2} \leqq n}=\sum_{1 \leqq d^{2} \leqq n_{1}}+\sum_{n_{1}<d^{2} \leqq n_{2}}+\sum_{n_{2}<d^{2} \leqq n_{3}}+\sum_{n_{3}<d^{2} \leqq n} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(We assume that $n$ is large enough so that $n_{1}<n_{2}$ and $n_{3}<n$.) We will examine each of these sums separately. By Lemma 2

$$
\sum_{1 \leqq d^{2} \leqq n_{1}}\left|\epsilon_{n}\left(d^{2}\right)\right| \ll n_{1}{ }^{1 / 2} n^{-1 / 2} \leqq n^{3 / 8} n^{-1 / 2}=n^{-1 / 4}
$$

and hence the first term on the right-hand side of (2) goes to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$. A similar argument works for the third sum on the right-hand side of (2).

By Lemma $5\left|\epsilon_{n}\left(d^{2}\right)\right| \ll d^{-2}$ for $d^{2}>p\left(n+n^{3 / 4}\right)$. Hence the fourth sum

$$
\sum_{n_{3}<d^{2} \leqq n}\left|\epsilon_{n}\left(d^{2}\right)\right| \ll \sum_{n_{3}<d^{2} \leqq n} d^{-2}<\sum_{d=\left[n_{3}!1 / 2\right]}^{\infty} d^{-2}
$$

and hence goes to zero because it is less than the tail of a convergent series.

The second sum on the right-hand side of (2) is somewhat more difficult to deal with. We break it into two parts

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n_{1}<d^{2} \leqq n_{2}}=\sum_{n_{1}<d^{2} \leqq n_{2}}^{\prime}+\sum_{n_{1}<d^{2} \leqq n_{2}}^{\prime \prime} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the summation with the prime on it is taken over those $d^{2}$ which have the property that for some integer $k, k d^{2}$ is in the interval ( $n_{2}, n_{3}$ ) and the double primed summation is taken over the remaining $d^{2}$. By Lemmas 2 and 6 we have

$$
\sum_{n_{1}<d^{2} \leqq n_{2}}^{\prime}\left|\epsilon_{n}\left(d^{2}\right)\right| \ll n^{3 / 8} n^{-1 / 2}=n^{-1 / 8}
$$

Hence the single primed sum goes to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We now examine the double primed sum. Recall that

$$
\epsilon_{n}\left(d^{2}\right)=\sum_{k=0\left(d^{2}\right)}\binom{n}{k} p^{k}(1-p)^{n-k}-d^{-2}
$$

For the $d^{2}$ under consideration we have by Lemma 4

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{k \equiv 0\left(d^{2}\right)}\binom{n}{k} p^{k}(1-p)^{n-k}=\sum_{\substack{k \equiv 0\left(d^{2}\right) \\
|k-p n|>p n^{3 / 4}}}\binom{n}{k} p^{k}(1-p)^{n-k} \\
\leqq \sum_{|k-p n|>p n^{3 / 4}}\binom{n}{k} p^{n}(1-p)^{n-k} \ll n^{-1}
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence for those $d^{2},\left|\epsilon_{n}\left(d^{2}\right)\right| \ll d^{-2}$. Thus for the double primed sum

$$
\sum_{n_{1}<d^{2} \leqq n_{2}}^{\prime \prime}\left|\epsilon_{n}\left(d^{2}\right)\right| \ll \sum_{n_{1}<d^{2} \leqq n_{2}} d^{-2}<\sum_{d=\left[n_{1} 1 / 2\right]}^{\infty} d^{-2}
$$

and hence goes to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This completes the proof of Theorem 7.

## Reference

1. J. E. Nymann and W. J. Leahey, On the probability that integers chosen according to the binomial distribution are relatively prime, Acta Arithmetica 31 (1976), 205-211.

The University of Texas at El Paso, El Paso, Texas 79968

