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#### Abstract

Bruno de Finetti's (1931) representation for the law of an exchangeable sequence of 0 's and 1 's is exhibited as an invariant limit in the ergodic theorem for a transformation first defined by L. K. Arnold (1968) and studied by Hajian, Ito, and Kakutani (1972) in the context of $\sigma$-finite invariant measures. A known result on almost-sure convergence of normalized sums for such a sequence emerges as a corollary.


0. Background. A random sequence $\left\{X_{k}(\omega)\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ is said to be exchangeable if for any permutation $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ of a finite set $\left\{k_{1}, \cdots, k_{n}\right\}$ of indices and for any $A_{1}, \cdots, A_{n} \in \varsubsetneqq$ measurable events in $\Omega$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mu\left(\left\{\omega \in \Omega: X_{\sigma\left(k_{1}\right)}(\omega) \in A_{1}, \cdots, X_{\sigma\left(k_{n}\right)}(\omega) \in A_{n}\right\}\right) \\
=\mu\left(X_{k_{1}} \in A_{1}, \cdots, X_{k_{n}} \in A_{n}\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

where we suppress explicit mention of $\omega$ on the right-hand side. A sequence of measurable events $\left\{A_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is called exchangeable whenever the sequence $\left\{I_{A_{k}}(\cdot)\right\}$ of its indicator functions is.

The simplest example of exchangeable events is that of an independent sequence $\left\{A_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ with all $\mu\left(A_{k}\right)$ equal. This case occurs when the indicator $I_{A_{k}}(\omega)=\omega_{k}$ is the $k^{\text {th }}$ coordinate of a point $\omega \in\{0,1\}^{\infty} \cong \Omega$, where $\exists$ is the product $\sigma$-algebra and $\mu$ the infiniteproduct probability measure on $\{0,1\}^{\infty}$ assigning probability $\mu\left(A_{1}\right)$ $=\mu\left(A_{k}\right)$ to $\{1\} \times\{0,1\}^{\infty}$.

Given any sequence $\left\{A_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ of measurable events in $\Omega$, we can identify the measure spaces $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ and $\{0,1\}^{\infty}$ via $\omega \rightarrow\left\{I_{A_{k}}:(\omega)\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$.

So from now on we take $\Omega=\{0,1\}^{\infty}$ with product $\sigma$-algebra $\varsubsetneqq$, so that $\mu$ is the probability law of the random sequence $\omega=\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \cdots\right)$ $\in\{0,1\}^{\infty}$. We assume that $\left\{X_{k}(\omega)\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \equiv\left\{I_{A_{k}}(\omega)\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}=\left\{\omega_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is exchangeable, and call the measure $\mu$ exchangeable as well.
A celebrated theorem of Bruno de Finetti [2] says that the most general exchangeable measure $\mu$ on $\{0,1\}^{\infty}$ is a mixture of infiniteproduct measures. There are many ways to prove this, including a particularly elementary combinatorial one due to Feller [3, p. 228]. In this paper we give a proof intended to shed immediate light on a further analogy between exchangeable and independent sequences:
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the Strong Law of Large Numbers. To be sure, the Strong Law for exchangeable sequences is also known, but its natural and nonelementary setting seems to be as a corollary to the Ergodic Theorem of Birkhoff (or Doob's Martingale Convergence Theorem). We shall exhibit de Finetti's mixture of product measures as an invariant limit in the Ergodic Theorem on $\{0,1\}^{\infty}$ for a particular transformation whose construction also yields the Strong Law. The transformation S we use was first defined by L. K. Arnold [1] and studied by Hajian, Ito, and Kakutani [4] as a measure-preserving transformation induced from a more complicated transformation, of interest in the context of $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$-finite invariant measures. We define $\boldsymbol{S}$ directly, with a slight modification on the set of "terminating" sequences, borrowing the notation of the latter paper.

1. Any Borel measure $\mu$ on $\{0,1\}^{\infty}$ is uniquely determined by its values on cylinder sets $I_{a}=\left\{\omega \in\{0,1\}^{\infty}: \omega_{i}=a_{i}, i=1, \cdots, n\right\}$, where $a \in\{0,1\}^{n}$. We call $a$ the $n$-segment of $\omega$ in $I_{a}$. For $\mu$ to be exchangeable, $\mu\left(I_{a}\right)$ must depend only on the length $n$ of $a$ and the number $s=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}$ of its l's, hence $\mu\left(\{i\} \times I_{a}\right)=\mu\left(I_{a \times\{i\}}\right)$ for $i=0,1$.
We define the set of "terminating" sequences $C=\left\{\omega \in\{0,1\}^{\infty}\right.$ : there exists $r \in \mathbf{Z}^{+}$with $\omega_{n}=\omega_{r}$ for $\left.n \geqq r\right\}$. By the above paragraph, $\boldsymbol{\mu}(C)=\boldsymbol{\mu}(\{(0,0, \cdots),(1,1, \cdots)\})$. On $\{0,1\}^{\infty} \backslash C=\bigcup_{p \geqq 0, q \geqq 1} B_{p, q}$, where $B_{p, q} \equiv\left\{\omega \in\{0,1\}^{\infty} \backslash C: \omega_{1}=\cdots=\omega_{p}=0\right.$ if $p \geqq 1, \omega_{p+1}=\cdots=$ $\left.\omega_{p+q}=1, \omega_{p+q+1}=0\right\}$, Arnold [1] defines the transformation $S$ by $\mathbf{S} \omega=\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$, where $\omega \in B_{p, q}$ and $\epsilon_{1}=\cdots=\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{q-1}=1$ if $q \geqq 2, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{q}=$ $\cdots=\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{p+q}=0, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{p+q+1}=1, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{r}=\omega_{r}$ if $r \geqq p+q+2 . S$ is the left shift on $C$, sending $\omega$ to $\left(\omega_{2}, \omega_{3}, \cdots\right)$. Since $S$ on $\{0,1\}^{\infty} \backslash C$ preserves numbers of 0 's and l's among coordinates and $S$ fixes the sequences $(0,0, \cdots)$ and $(1,1, \cdots)$, it preserves any exchangeable measure $\mu$, i.e., $\mu\left(S^{-1} I_{a}\right)=\mu\left(I_{a}\right)$ for all $a$. Clearly S is measurable, with measurable inverse on $\{0,1\}^{\infty} \backslash C$.

As Hajian, Ito, and Kakutani [4] remark, for every $\omega \in\{0,1\}^{\infty} \backslash C$ there exist infinitely many positive integers $N_{k}$ with $\omega_{N_{k}+1}=1$, $\omega_{N_{k}+2}=0, s_{k}=\sum_{i=1}^{N_{k}} \omega_{i} \geqq s$, and $N_{k}-s_{k} \geqq n-s$. It is easy to check that there are $\left[\begin{array}{c}N_{k} k_{k} \\ s_{k}\end{array}\right]$ distinct $N_{k}$-segments of $\left\{S^{j} \boldsymbol{\omega}: j=1, \cdots\right.$, $\left.\left[\begin{array}{l}N_{k} k\end{array}\right]\right\}$. Therefore, writing $\chi_{I_{a}}$ for the indicator function of $I_{a}$,

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{\left[\begin{array}{l}
N_{k} k
\end{array}\right]} X_{I_{a}}\left(S^{j} \omega\right)=\binom{N_{k}-n}{s_{k}-s} \sim\binom{N_{k}}{s_{k}}\left(\frac{s_{k}}{N_{k}}\right)^{s}\left(\frac{N_{k}-s_{k}}{N_{k}}\right)^{n-s} .
$$

Birkhoffs Ergodic Theorem states that as $N \rightarrow \infty$, for each $a$, $(1 / N) \sum_{j=1}^{N} \chi_{I a}\left(S^{j} \omega\right)$ converges $a . s$. $(\mu)$ for $\omega \in\{0,1\}^{\infty} \backslash C$ to a number $\nu\left(I_{a}, \omega\right)$ in $[0,1]$, depending measurably on $a$, $\omega$, with $\int \nu\left(I_{a}, \omega\right) d \mu(\omega)$ $=\mu\left(I_{a}\right)$, and $\nu(\cdot, \omega)$ can clearly be extended to a random Borel measure on $\{0,1\}^{\infty}$.
2. So for almost all $\omega$ in $\{0,1\}^{\infty} \backslash C$, the subsequence

$$
\binom{N_{k}}{s_{k}}^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\left[\begin{array}{c}
N \\
s_{k}
\end{array}\right]} \boldsymbol{X}_{I_{a}}\left(S^{j} \boldsymbol{\omega}\right)
$$

converges, hence $\left(s_{k} / N_{k}\right)^{s} \cdot\left(1-s_{k} / N_{k}\right)^{n-s}$ converges, and $s_{k} / N_{k}$ converges to some number $p \in[0,1]$. This is a restricted Strong Law. For a proof via martingales, see Loève [5, p. 400]; still another proof is contained in the Ergodic Theorem together with the Hewitt-Savage 0-1 Law (see Feller [3, p. 124]).

Theorem. If $\left\{X_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is an exchangeable sequence in $\{0,1\}^{\infty}$ with law $\mu$, then almost surely (i.e., $\mu$-a.e.) $m^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{m} X_{k}$ converges.

Proof. Without loss of generality we assume $\mu(C)>0$. (Otherwise replace $\left\{X_{k}\right\}$ by an exchangeable sequence $\left\{\gamma_{k}\right\}$ with law $\tau$ a mixture of $\mu$ and the point mass at $(0,0, \cdots)$, and $0<\tau(\{(0,0, \cdots, 0)\})<1$. Our theorem for $\left\{\gamma_{k}\right\}$ implies the same result for $\left\{X_{k}\right\}$.) Let $D=$ $\left\{\omega: m^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{m} X_{k}(\omega)\right.$ does not converge as $\left.m \rightarrow \infty\right\}$. Then $D$ is invariant under finite permutations of indices, and by approximating it closely in measure by cylinder-sets, we have as in the Hewitt-Savage $0-1$ Law that if $\mu(D)>0$, then $\mu(D)=1$. But $C \cap D=\varnothing$, therefore $\mu(C)>0$ implies $\mu(D)=0$. Since we previously showed $s_{k} / N_{k}$ converges for $\mu$ - almost all $\omega$, we have that $m^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{m} X_{k}$ converges a.s. to the same limit.
For $\omega \in C$, we define $\nu(\cdot, \omega)$ to be the point mass at $(0,0, \cdots)$ if $\omega$ terminates in 0 's, at $(1,1, \cdots)$ if in l's; so that once again $\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} m^{-1}$ $\sum_{j=1}^{m} \boldsymbol{X}_{I_{a}}\left(\mathbf{S}^{j} \boldsymbol{\omega}\right)=\nu\left(I_{a}, \boldsymbol{\omega}\right)$.
So whatever $a \in\{0,1\}^{n}$ and $n \in \mathbf{Z}^{+}$we choose, if $\omega \notin C$ and $s=a_{1}+\cdots+a_{n}$, then $\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} m^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \chi_{I_{a}}\left(\mathbf{S}^{j} \omega\right) \equiv \nu\left(I_{a}, \quad \omega\right)=$ $\left(\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} s_{k} / N_{k}\right)^{s} \cdot\left(1-\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} s_{k} / N_{k}\right)^{n-s}=\nu\left(I_{1}, \omega\right)^{s} \nu\left(I_{0}, \omega\right)^{n-s}$ a.s. $(\mu)$, where $I_{1}=\left\{\omega: \omega_{1}=1\right\}, I_{0}=\left\{\omega: \omega_{1}=0\right\}$. As is easily verified also for $\omega \notin C, \nu(\cdot, \omega)$ is a random product measure on $\{0,1\}^{\infty}$, over the probability space $\left(\{0,1\}^{\infty}, \mu\right)$, with $E_{\mu}(\nu(\cdot, \omega))=\mu(\cdot)$. We denote the finite product-measure $\nu(\cdot, \omega)$ on $\{0,1\}^{\infty}$ by $\left((1-r) \delta_{0}+r \delta_{1}\right)^{\infty}$, where $r=\nu\left(I_{1}, \omega\right)$ and $\delta_{0}$ and $\delta_{1}$ are respectively the point masses at $\{0\}$ and $\{1\}$ on $\{0,1\}$.

We define for $0 \leqq t \leqq 1, F(t) \equiv \mu\left(\left\{\omega: s_{k} / N_{k} \rightarrow\right.\right.$ some $\left.\left.r \leqq t\right\}\right)=$ $\mu\left(\left\{\omega: \nu\left(I_{1}, \omega\right) \leqq t\right\}\right)$. Then $\mu\left(I_{a}\right)=\int_{0}^{1} \nu\left(I_{a}, \omega\right) d \mu\left(\left\{\omega: \nu\left(I_{1}, \omega\right) \leqq t\right\}\right)$ $=\int_{0}^{l} t^{s}(1-t)^{n-s} d F(t)$, which is precisely de Finetti's (1931) representation.

Remark. De Finetti's theorem gives a one-to-one correspondence $\mu \leftrightarrow F$ between exchangeable Borel probability measures on $\{0,1\}^{\infty}$ and probability distribution functions on $[0,1]$. Since $\mu\left(I_{a}\right)$ is expressed in terms of the moments of $F$, this gives an amusing nocalculation proof of the

Proposition. A probability distribution function on a compact real interval is uniquely determined by its moments.
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