

CANTOR SETS IN 3-MANIFOLDS¹

DAVID G. WRIGHT

1. **Introduction.** We answer the following 3-dimensional questions posed by Bing and Daverman which show that wild Cantor sets in 3-manifolds behave essentially like a 1-dimensional polyhedron. Furthermore, any compactum in the interior of a 3-manifold can be approximated by a Cantor set. The questions below are unsolved for $n > 3$; however, some partial solutions are known and pointed out.

QUESTION 1.1 (BING). [4, Question 1, p. 17]. What are necessary and sufficient conditions on an n -manifold M^n without boundary in order that it have the property that each Cantor set in M^n lies in an open n -cell in M^n ?

If we stipulate that the M^n in Bing's question is closed, then an answer to Bing's question is: M^n is homeomorphic to the n -sphere for $n = 3$ [8] and $n > 4$ [13].

DEFINITION 1.1. A compactum K in an n -manifold M^n is said to be *approximable by Cantor sets* if for each neighborhood U of K there exists a Cantor set C in U such that a loop γ in $M^n - U$ is inessential in $M^n - K$ if and only if γ is inessential in $M^n - C$. We say that the Cantor set C *approximates K with respect to U* .

QUESTION 1.2 (DAVERMAN). Is every compactum in the interior of an n -manifold approximable by Cantor sets?

Recent work of Daverman and Edwards [7] has shown that the answer to Question 1.2 is affirmative if K is a closed, flat, PL $(n - 2)$ -dimensional manifold.

I would like to express my indebtedness to the friendship and instruction of J. W. Cannon. I would also like to thank R. J. Daverman for a helpful discussion.

2. Approximating compacta by Cantor sets.

LEMMA 2.1. *Suppose P is a polyhedral finite graph in the interior of a 3-manifold M . Then P is approximable by Cantor sets.*

Received by the editors on August 10, 1976, and in revised form on March 10, 1977.

¹Some of this work is found in the author's doctoral thesis under Professor J. W. Cannon at the University of Wisconsin, Madison.

PROOF. We assume P is connected. If P has more than one component we repeat the following argument for each component of P . We assume that a neighborhood U of P is given and let N be a regular neighborhood of P which is contained in U . Let B be a regular neighborhood of a maximal tree of P which is contained in the interior of N . The set B is a PL 3-cell. We let $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k$ denote the 1-simplexes of P . Each σ_i is contained in an open set U_i of N which is homeomorphic to Euclidean 3-space. We choose a PL 3-cell B_i in each U_i such that (B_i, σ_i) is an unknotted cell pair and $B_i \cap B_j = \sigma_i \cap \sigma_j$ for $i \neq j$. We let S_i^2 denote the boundary of B_i . We now let G_i be a regular neighborhood of $\text{Bd } \sigma_i$ in S_i^2 which is contained in B . We then denote by N_i a regular neighborhood of $\text{Cl}(S_i^2 - G_i)$ which is contained in U_i . Let C_i be an Antoine's necklace [2, 12] in $U_i - N_i$ which links N_i ; i.e., the inclusion from N_i into $U_i - C_i$ induces a monomorphism on fundamental groups.

Since B is cellular, there exists a map [5] $P: M \rightarrow M$ such that the restriction to $M - N$ is the inclusion and the only nondegenerate inverse set is B . Let C be a Cantor set in N which contains the compact 0-dimensional set $P(\cup C_i \cup B)$. The Cantor set C is the desired Cantor set.

Let γ be a loop in $M - U$ which is inessential in $M - C$. Thus, there is a map $f: D \rightarrow M - C$ where D is a disk and $f| \text{Bd } D = \gamma$. The map P^{-1} of f shows that γ bounds in the complement of $\cup C_i \cup B$. Hence, there is a map $F: D \rightarrow M - (\cup C_i \cup B)$ such that $F| \text{Bd } D = \gamma$ and F is in general position with respect to the 2-spheres S_i^2 . The set $F^{-1}(\cup S_i^2)$ is a collection of disjoint simple closed curves in D . The map F restricted to each of these simple closed curves is a trivial loop in some $S_i^2 - G_i$. If this were not the case, a simple argument would show that the inclusion from N_i into $U_i - C_i$ does not induce a monomorphism on fundamental groups. Let J_1, \dots, J_m be the outermost simple closed curves. Redefine F on the interior of the disks bounded by the J_i by sending the interior of each disk to some $S_j^2 - G_j$ and we see that γ is inessential in $M - P$.

On the other hand, if γ is a loop of $M - U$ which is inessential in $M - P$, γ is inessential in $M - N$ and hence in $M - C$.

I am indebted to Ric Ancel for pointing out the following result. Ancel as shown [1] the surprising result that the following lemma is false in all dimensions greater than three.

LEMMA 2.2. *Let K be a compactum in the interior of a 3-manifold M . If U is a neighborhood of K , then there exists a smaller neighborhood V of K such that a loop in $M - U$ which is inessential in $M - K$ is inessential in $M - V$.*

PROOF. We may assume that U is a compact PL 3-manifold with boundary. For each component C_i of $\text{Bd } U$, we let M_i be the component of $M - K$ which contains C_i . The subgroup of $\pi_1(M_i)$ which is the image of $\pi_1(C_i)$ under inclusion is finitely generated and hence [10] finitely presented. Therefore, the kernel of the homomorphism on fundamental groups induced by the inclusion of C_i into M_i is the normal closure of a finite number of elements which we designate as H_i . We let V be a neighborhood of K contained in U such that, for each i , any element of H_i is inessential in $M - V$. By general position and cut and paste the set V satisfies the conclusion of the lemma.

We give an outline of the reason Lemma 2.2 fails in higher dimensions. Let G be a finitely generated group with a countable presentation but which fails to have a finite presentation. Let K be a locally finite 2-complex with carrier P such that $\pi_1(P) = G$.

Now P can be embedded in $E^n (n \geq 5)$ as a closed polyhedron. If the complex K is chosen carefully, P can also be embedded as a closed polyhedron in E^4 [1]. Let W be an open neighborhood of the embedded P which strong deformation retracts onto P . Hence, $\pi_1(W) = G$ and W is an example of an n -manifold ($n \geq 4$) in which Scott's theorem is false. We consider $E^n \subset \Sigma^n$ (the 1-point compactification of E^n). We set $X = \Sigma^n - W$. Let U be any neighborhood of X in Σ^n which misses a finite set of generators for $\pi_1(W)$. It is now evident that Lemma 2.2 fails with this choice of X and U in Σ^n ; otherwise, all the relators for $\pi_1(W)$ would bound in a compact subset of W which would imply that $\pi_1(W)$ is finitely presented.

THEOREM 2.1. *Suppose K is a compactum in the interior of a 3-manifold M . Then K is approximable by Cantor sets.*

PROOF. Let U be a neighborhood of K . Let V be a neighborhood as given by Lemma 2.2. We further assume that V is a PL 3-manifold with boundary in the interior of U . By Lemma 2.1 there is a Cantor set C in the interior of a small regular neighborhood of the 1-skeleton of the boundary of V which approximates that 1-skeleton with respect to the small regular neighborhood. The Cantor set C is the desired Cantor set.

If γ is a loop in $M - U$ which is inessential in $M - K$, then γ is inessential in $M - V$ and hence in $M - C$. On the other hand if γ is inessential in $M - C$, then γ is inessential in the complement of the 1-skeleton of V and hence in $M - V$ which is contained in $M - K$.

3. Punctured cells. Suppose C, C_1, \dots, C_k is a finite collection of PL n -cells in Euclidean n -space such that $C_i \cap C_j = \emptyset$ if $i \neq j$ and

$C_i \subset \text{Int } C$. Then $C - \bigcup \text{Int } C_i$ is a cell with holes and any set homeomorphic to $C - \bigcup \text{Int } C_k$ is called a punctured n -cell. The boundary of a punctured n -cell is the union of a finite number of $(n - 1)$ -spheres. Two punctured n -cells are homeomorphic if their boundaries have the same number of components. In fact if H_1 and H_2 are punctured n -cells with the same number of boundary components and f is a homeomorphism between a boundary component of H_1 and a boundary component of H_2 , then f can be extended to a homeomorphism of H_1 onto H_2 . This fact is well known if the H_i have only one boundary component. To prove the general case it is helpful to take handle decompositions of the punctured n -cells H_i in which each decomposition consists of a single 0-handle and k $(n - 1)$ -handles. The map f is then extended on each handle by using induction on n and the well known case in which there is only one boundary component.

The following lemma will be used only in the case $n = 3$, but we prove it for all dimensions.

LEMMA 3.1. *Suppose M is an n -dimensional manifold. Then M is homeomorphic to the n -sphere S^n minus a tame compact 0-dimensional subset if and only if M can be written as the union of punctured n -cells H_i ($i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$) where $H_i \subset \text{Int } H_{i+1}$.*

PROOF. If M is homeomorphic to S^n minus a tame compact 0-dimensional subset, then it is easy to show that M is the union of an ascending sequence of punctured n -cells.

We now suppose that M is the union of an ascending sequence of punctured n -cells H_i . By shrinking each H_i slightly we may assume that $\text{Bd } H_i$ is collared in M . We wish to find a sequence H'_i of punctured n -cells such that $H_i \subset H'_i \subset \text{Int } H_{i+1}$ and $\text{Cl}(H'_{i+1} - H'_i)$ is a finite collection of punctured n -cells. We define $H'_1 = H_1$ and assume that by induction we have defined H'_1, \dots, H'_m where $\text{Bd } H'_m$ is collared in M . Let $f: H_{m+2} \rightarrow S^n$ be an embedding such that $\text{Cl}(S^n - f(H'_m))$ is the union of disjoint PL n -cells. In each component U_i of $S^n - f(H_{m+2})$ we choose a PL n -cell B_i . Since $\text{Cl}(U_i)$ is cellular there exists a homeomorphism $g: S^n \rightarrow S^n$ which fixes $f(H_{m+1})$ and takes $\text{Cl}(U_i)$ into $\text{Int } B_i$ [5]. We define H'_{m+1} to be the set $(g \circ f)^{-1}(S^n - \bigcup \text{Int } B_i)$.

We now define an embedding $h: M \rightarrow S^n$. We suppose inductively that we have defined h on H'_i and that $\text{Cl}(S^n - h(H'_i))$ is the union of a finite number of PL n -cells of diameter less than $1/i$. Since each component of $\text{Cl}(H'_{i+1} - H'_i)$ is a punctured n -cell, there is a natural way to extend h to H'_{i+1} such that $\text{Cl}(S^n - h(H'_{i+1}))$ is the disjoint union of PL n -balls of diameter less than $1/(i + 1)$. The map h is an embedding and $S^n - h(M)$ is a compact 0-dimensional set. Since for each $\epsilon > 0$

there exists a finite number of disjoint n -cells of diameter less than ϵ whose interiors cover $S^n - h(M)$, we conclude [9, Theorem 1] that $S^n - h(M)$ is tame.

4. 3-manifolds in which Cantor sets lie in open 3-cells. To answer Bing's question for $n = 3$ we will need the following theorem.

THEOREM 4.1. *Suppose M is a 3-manifold in which every Cantor set lies in an open 3-cell of M . Then every polyhedral finite graph in M is contained in an open 3-cell of M .*

PROOF. The proof is implicit in [13, Theorem 2.3]. For completeness we give a proof which is similar to the proof of our Lemma 2.1.

Let K be a polyhedral finite graph in M . Since the hypothesis of the theorem implies M is connected, we assume, without loss of generality, that K is connected. We let $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m$ be the 1-simplexes of K . Each σ_i is contained in an open set U_i of M which is homeomorphic with Euclidean 3-space. There exist *PL* 2-spheres S_i^2 in each U_i such that $\sigma_j \subset S_i^2$, S_i^2 is the join of $\text{Bd } \sigma_i$ and a simple closed curve Σ_i , and $S_i^2 \cap S_j^2 = \sigma_i \cap \sigma_j$ for $i \neq j$. Let B be a regular neighborhood of a maximal tree of K . For each i , let G_i be a regular neighborhood of the end points of σ_i in S_i^2 such that $G_i \subset B$. We let N_i be a regular neighborhood of Σ_i in U_i such that $S_i^2 - G_i \subset N_i$. Let C_i be an Antoine necklace [2, 12] in $U_i - N_i$ which links N_i .

If we identify the cell B to a point, we get M/B which is homeomorphic to M [5]. Let P be the identification map from M to M/B . The compact 0-dimensional set $P(\cup C_i \cup B)$ is contained in a Cantor set C in M/B which in turn is contained in an open 3-cell U' in M/B by the hypothesis of the theorem. The set $U = P^{-1}(U')$ is also an open 3-cell [5] in M which contains $\cup C_i \cup B$.

We let W be a closed collared 3-cell in U such that $\cup C_i \cup B \subset W$. Triangulate each S_i^2 so finely that if a simplex of S_i^2 intersects W then it is contained in U . Let P_i be the union of all the closed simplexes of S_i^2 which miss W . The polyhedron P_i is contained in $S_i^2 - G_i$ and hence in N_i . If P_i separates the end points of γ_i in S_i^2 , then P_i contains a simple closed curve γ_i which is homotopic to Σ_i in N_i . Since γ_i is in the complement of W , γ_i is homotopically trivial in $M - W$. Since U_i is simply connected at infinity, γ_i is homotopically trivial in $U_i - C_i$ which is a contradiction to the choice of C_i . Thus, we may conclude that P_i does not separate the end points of σ_i in S_i^2 .

Choose a polyhedral arc δ_i connecting the end points of σ_i in $S_i^2 - p_i$. Let K' be the polyhedron formed by taking the union of the δ_i . The polyhedron K' is contained in the open 3-cell U . We take an isotopy of the 2-spheres S_i^2 which takes each δ_i onto σ_i , keeping the

end points fixed. By using collars on the S_i^2 we extend this to an isotopy of M which takes K' to K . Hence K is also contained in an open 3-cell.

THEOREM 4.2. *Suppose M is a 3-manifold. Then M is homeomorphic to S^3 or S^3 minus a tame compact 0-dimensional subset if and only if each polyhedral finite graph in M is contained in an open 3-cell of M .*

PROOF. If every finite polyhedral graph of M is contained in an open 3-cell of M and M is compact then M is homeomorphic to S^3 . For, using complementary 1-skeleta and Stallings' stretching technique [11], M is the union of two open 3-cells and hence [5] is homeomorphic to S^3 . If M is not compact, we show that M is the union of an ascending sequence of punctured 3-cells. Hence by Lemma 3.1 we can conclude that M is homeomorphic to S^3 minus a tame compact 0-dimensional subset. It will suffice to show that every compact subset of M is contained in the interior of a punctured 3-cell. An arbitrary compact subset of M is contained in a finite polyhedron L . By hypothesis the 1-skeleton of L is contained in an open 3-cell. By the Hauptvermutung for 3-manifolds the 1-skeleton of L lies in the interior of a PL 3-cell B which we assume to be in general position with respect to L . By the techniques used in the proof of [3, Lemma 4] it is possible to modify B by cut and paste to obtain a punctured 3-cell H which contains L in its interior.

As a consequence of Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 we get our desired answer to Bing's question for $n = 3$.

THEOREM 4.3. *Suppose M is a 3-manifold. Then M is homeomorphic to S^3 or S^3 minus a tame compact 0-dimensional subset if and only if each Cantor set of M is contained in an open 3-cell of M .*

CONJECTURE 4.1. Suppose M is an n -manifold ($n \geq 3$). Then M is homeomorphic to S^n or S^n minus a tame compact 0-dimensional subset if and only if each Cantor set of M is contained in an open n -cell of M .

Bing showed [3, Lemma 7] that if each polygonal simple closed curve of a 3-manifold lies in a topological 3-cell in the manifold, then each polyhedral finite graph in the manifold lies in an open 3-cell of the manifold. Hence we also have the following corollary which strengthens a theorem [6, Theorem 2] of Costich, Doyle and Galewski.

COROLLARY 4.1. *Suppose M is a 3-manifold. Then M is homeomorphic to S^3 or S^3 minus a tame compact 0-dimensional subset if and only if each polygonal simple closed curve of M lies in a topological 3-cell of M .*

COROLLARY 4.2. *A contractible 3-manifold M is topologically E^3 if and only if each Cantor set in M lies in an open 3-cell of M .*

Our final corollary is only a slight modification of a theorem of Bing [3, Theorem 2].

COROLLARY 4.3. *A contractible 3-manifold M is topologically E^3 if and only if each polygonal simple closed curve in M lies in a topological 3-cell of M .*

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. F. D. Ancel (to appear).
2. L. Antoine, *Sur l'homeomorphie de deux figures et de leur voisinages*, J. math. Pures Appl. **86** (1921), 221–325.
3. R. H. Bing, *Necessary and sufficient conditions that a 3-manifold be S^3* , Ann. of Math. (2) **68** (1958), 17–37.
4. ———, *Radial Engulfing*, in Conference on the Topology of Manifolds, 1967 (John G. Hocking, ed.), 1–18, Prindle, Weber and Schmidt, Boston, 1968.
5. M. Brown, *A proof of the generalized Schoenflies theorem*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **66** (1960), 74–76.
6. O. L. Costich, P. H. Doyle and D. E. Galewski, *A characterization of punctured open 3-cells*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **28** (1971), 295–298.
7. R. J. Daverman and R. D. Edwards (to appear).
8. P. H. Doyle and J. G. Hocking, *Dimensional invertibility*, Pacific J. Math. **12** (1962), 1235–40.
9. R. P. Osborne, *Embedding Cantor sets in a manifold, Part I: Tame Cantor sets in E^n* , Michigan Math. J., **13** (1966), 57–63.
10. G. P. Scott, *Finitely generated 3-manifold groups are finitely presented*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **6** (1973), 437–440.
11. J. Stallings, *The piecewise-linear structure of Euclidean space*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **58** (1962), 481–488.
12. D. G. Wright, *Cantor sets and homotopy connectedness of manifolds*, doctoral thesis, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin, 1973.
13. ———, *Cantor sets and homotopy connectedness of manifolds*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **50** (1975), 463–470.

UTAH STATE UNIVERSITY, LOGAN, UTAH 84322