

ON GOLDIE THEOREMS FOR QUOTIENT RINGS

GEORGE SZETO

1. **Introduction.** It is well known that a ring has a quotient ring if and only if it satisfies the Ore condition. The structure of the quotient ring of a ring with the Ore condition has been intensively investigated. In particular, A. W. Goldie ([4], [5], and [6]) proved that a ring is prime Goldie if and only if its quotient ring is Artinian simple, and that a ring is semiprime Goldie if and only if its quotient ring is Artinian semisimple. The purpose of the present paper is to generalize the above theorems of Goldie to a ring with an A -biregular or weakly A -biregular quotient ring, where an A -biregular ring R is a ring whose stalks of the Pierce sheaf [9] induced by R are Artinian simple rings, and a weakly A -biregular ring is a ring whose stalks of the Pierce sheaf induced by R are Artinian semisimple. Our theorem is the following: Let R be a ring with the identity 1 in which every non-zero-divisor of the stalks of the Pierce sheaf is lifted to a non-zero-divisor of R . If the stalks are prime Goldie (semiprime Goldie), then the quotient ring of R exists and is A -biregular (weakly A -biregular). A counterexample will be given to show that the converse does not always hold. However, when no extra central idempotents are added to the quotient ring from those of R , the theorem is reversible. Moreover, as pointed out by G. Bergman [1], the set of idempotents of the quotient ring of a commutative ring may be larger than the set of those of the ring. We shall examine this fact for a non-commutative ring in detail, and our results characterize a weakly A -regular quotient ring being A -biregular, equivalently, semiprime Goldie stalks being prime Goldie. Since prime Goldie rings and semiprime Goldie rings admit only a finite number of central idempotents, our study is a generalization of Goldie theorems for quotient rings to a class of rings with infinite central idempotents.

The author wishes to thank the referee for his valuable suggestions and comments and for bringing [2] to our attention.

2. **Preliminaries.** The ring R is called a (left) Goldie ring if R satisfies the ACC condition on (left) annihilators, and if R has no infinite direct sum of (left) ideals ([7], p. 62). The ring R with the left Ore condition is a ring such that for any a, s in R with s a non-zero-divisor, there exist b, t in R with t a non-zero-divisor such that $ta = bs$. It is well known that R satisfies the (left) Ore condition if and only if it has a

Received by the editors on April 4, 1977 and in revised form on October 12, 1977.

Copyright © 1979 Rocky Mountain Mathematical Consortium

(left) quotient ring ([7], p. 61). For the definitions of prime, semiprime rings see [7].

Let R be a ring with the identity 1, $B(R)$ the set of central idempotents of R , $(B(R), \vee, \wedge)$ the usual Boolean algebra, and $\text{Spec } B(R)$ the Boolean spectrum of the set of maximal ideals of $(B(R), \vee, \wedge)$. We note that $\text{Spec } B(R)$ has an open base, $\Gamma(e) = \{x \text{ in } \text{Spec } B(R) \mid e \text{ is not in } x\}$ for $e \text{ in } B(R)$. R. Pierce [9] proved that a sheaf (the Pierce sheaf) of rings R/xR for $x \text{ in } \text{Spec } B(R)$ is defined, and that R is isomorphic with the ring of sections of the sheaf (that is, the ring of continuous functions from $\text{Spec } B(R)$ to the sheaf). A number of algebraic properties and applications to the sheaf representation theory have been found by R. Pierce [9], O. Villamayor and D. Zelinsky [10], G. Bergman [1], A. Magid [8], F. DeMeyer [3], and others. We shall employ some basic properties as given in [9] and [10].

Throughout, we assume that R is a ring with 1, and that all quotient rings and the Ore condition are left sided. Denote the quotient ring of the ring R with the Ore condition by $Q(R)$.

3. Quotient rings and Goldie theorems on quotient rings. R. Pierce [9] and G. Bergman [1] studied a class of rings R in which the support $\text{Sup}(r)$ of any element r in R is both open and closed in $\text{Spec } B(R)$. Now we are interested in a class of rings R in which the subset $T(r) = \{x \text{ in } \text{Spec } B(R) \mid r_x, \text{ the image of } r \text{ in } R/xR, \text{ is a non-zero-divisor in the stalk } R/xR\}$, for each r in R , is both open and closed. When R is a p.p. ring [1] such that the left annihilator of each element in R is the annihilator of some e in $B(R)$, $T(r)$ is both open and closed. By using the usual sheaf technique, it is not difficult to show:

PROPOSITION 3.1. *If $T(r)$ is both open and closed for each r in R , then R satisfies the Ore condition if and only if so does each stalk R/xR .*

PROPOSITION 3.2. *If $T(r)$ is both open and closed for each r in R , then S is the set of non-zero-divisors of R if and only if $(S)_x$, the image of S in R/xR , is the set of non-zero-divisors of R/xR for each $x \text{ in } \text{Spec } B(R)$.*

In this section, we show that, for the ring R as given in Proposition 3.1, the quotient ring $Q(R)$ of R is isomorphic with the ring of sections of the sheaf of $Q(R/xR)$ over $\text{Spec } B(R)$. Then, we generalize the Goldie theorems on quotient rings to rings with infinite central idempotents. Throughout the section, $T(r)$ is assumed both open and closed for each r in R . Let S be the set of non-zero-divisors of R . We note that S_x is the set of non-zero-divisors of R/xR .

LEMMA 3.3. *If R/xR satisfies the Ore condition, $Q(R/xR)$ is isomorphic with $Q(R)/xQ(R)$ for each $x \text{ in } \text{Spec } B(R)$.*

PROOF. By hypothesis, S_x is the set of non-zero-divisors of R/xR , so the map: $(s^{-1}r)_x \rightarrow (s^{-1})_x r_x$ is an isomorphism, where s is in S and r in R .

Let R be a ring with the Ore condition. Similar to Lemma 3.1 (ii implies iii) in [1], we have a sheaf of $Q(R/xR)$ over $\text{Spec } B(R)$.

THEOREM 3.4. *Let R be a ring with the Ore condition. Then $Q(R)$ is isomorphic with the ring of sections from $\text{Spec } B(R)$ to the sheaf of $Q(R/xR)$ for x in $\text{Spec } B(R)$.*

PROOF. Let F be a map from $Q(R)$ to the ring of sections such that $F(s^{-1}r) = f_{s^{-1}r}$, where $f_{s^{-1}r}(x) = (s_x)^{-1}r_x$ for x in $\text{Spec } B(R)$; that is, $f_{s^{-1}r}$ is a section induced by $s^{-1}r$. Since the sheaf of $Q(R/xR)$ is a sheaf of R/xR -modules over $\text{Spec } B(R)$, the map F is a module isomorphism ([9], Theorem 4.5). Hence it suffices to show that F preserves the multiplication. Let $s^{-1}r$ and $s_1^{-1}r_1$ be in $Q(R)$. We have $F(s^{-1}rs_1^{-1}r_1) = f_{s^{-1}r}f_{s_1^{-1}r_1}$, since $(s^{-1}rs_1^{-1}r_1)_x = (s^{-1}r)_x(s_1^{-1}r_1)_x = (s_x)^{-1}r_x(s_{1x})^{-1}(r_{1x})$ by noting that $Q(R/xR) \cong Q(R)/xQ(R)$ by Lemma 3.3. Thus $F(s^{-1}rs_1^{-1}r_1) = F(s^{-1}r)F(s_1^{-1}r_1)$.

By [9], the ring $Q(R)$ is isomorphic with the ring of sections of the sheaf induced by $Q(R)$, so we have:

COROLLARY 3.5. *The ring of sections of the sheaf of $Q(R)/yQ(R)$ over $\text{Spec } B(Q(R))$ (y in $\text{Spec } B(Q(R))$) is isomorphic with the ring of sections of $Q(R/xR)$ over $\text{Spec } B(R)$ (x in $\text{Spec } B(R)$).*

Next are generalizations of the Goldie theorems on quotient rings.

THEOREM 3.6. *Let R be a ring with the Ore condition. If R/xR is prime Goldie for each x in $\text{Spec } B(R)$, then $Q(R)$ is A -biregular. Conversely, if $Q(R)$ is A -biregular such that $B(Q(R)) = B(R)$, then R/xR is prime Goldie for each x .*

PROOF. Let R/xR be prime Goldie for each x in $\text{Spec } B(R)$. Then by the first Goldie theorem ([7], Theorem 4.4) $Q(R/xR)$ is Artinian simple. Hence $Q(R/xR)$ has no central idempotents but 0_x and 1_x , and so $B(Q(R)) = B(R)$. In fact, let e be in $B(Q(R))$. We have $e_x = 0_x$ or 1_x , which are central elements in R/xR for each x in $\text{Spec } B(R)$. Hence e is central in R ; that is, e is in $B(R)$. But then the stalk of $Q(R)$ over $\text{Spec } B(Q(R))$, $Q(R)/yQ(R)$, is isomorphic with $Q(R/yR)$ by Lemma 3.3. Since $Q(R/yR)$ is Artinian simple, $Q(R)$ is A -biregular.

Conversely, $Q(R)$ is A -biregular, so $Q(R)/yQ(R)$ is Artinian simple for each y in $\text{Spec } B(Q(R))$. By hypothesis, $B(Q(R)) = B(R)$, so $\text{Spec } B(Q(R))$

= $\text{Spec } B(R)$. Hence $Q(R/xR)$ is Artinian simple for each x . Then, by Theorem 4.5 in [7], R/xR is prime Goldie for each x .

THEOREM 3.7. *Let R be a ring with the Ore condition. If R/xR is semiprime Goldie for each x in $\text{Spec } B(R)$, then $Q(R)$ is weakly A-biregular. Conversely, if $Q(R)$ is weakly A-biregular such that for each x in $\text{Spec } B(R)$, $x = \bigcap_{i=1}^n y_i$ for some y_i in $\text{Spec } B(Q(R))$ and some positive integer n , then R/xR is semiprime Goldie for each x .*

PROOF. Let R/xR be semiprime Goldie for each x in $\text{Spec } B(R)$. Then $Q(R/xR)$ is Artinian semisimple by the second Goldie theorem ([7], Theorem 4.7). For a y in $\text{Spec } B(Q(R))$ such that $x \subset y$, since $Q(R)/yQ(R)$ is a homomorphic image of $Q(R/xR) (\cong Q(R)/xQ(R))$, it is Artinian semisimple. Noting that there exists an x in $\text{Spec } B(R)$ contained in a given y in $\text{Spec } B(Q(R))$, we conclude that $Q(R)$ is weakly A-biregular.

Conversely, assume $Q(R)$ is weakly A-biregular. Then by definition, $Q(R)/yQ(R)$ is Artinian semisimple for each y in $\text{Spec } B(Q(R))$. By hypothesis, for each x in $\text{Spec } B(R)$, $x = \bigcap_{i=1}^n y_i$, so it is easy to see that $xQ(R) = \bigcap y_i Q(R)$. Since $y_i Q(R)$ and $y_j Q(R)$ are comaximal, $Q(R/xR) \cong Q(R)/xQ(R) \cong \bigoplus \sum_{i=1}^n Q(R)/y_i Q(R)$ by the Chinese Remainder Theorem. Noting that each summand is Artinian semisimple we conclude that $Q(R/xR)$ is a finite direct sum of Artinian simple rings by Wedderburn's theorem. Thus R/xR is semisimple Goldie for each x by Theorem 4.5 in [7].

REMARKS. 1. There exist rings R such that $T(r)$ (Prop. 3.1) is both open and closed for every r in R . The following example is due to the referee: Let X be the one point compactification of the integers and $R_x = D$ where D is a division ring for all x in X . Then the ring R (the ring of sections of X to the sheaf of R_x) with $B(R)$ equal to X and stalks R_x has the desired property.

2. *The condition that $x = \bigcap_{i=1}^n y_i$ cannot be dropped from the above theorem.* For example, let R be the set of all sequences $\{(a_i) \mid a_i \text{ is an integer with } a_i = a_j \pmod{n}\}$ for a fixed positive integer n . Then R is a ring with identity under the component-wise addition and multiplication. We have that the quotient ring of R , $Q(R) = \prod Q_i$, is an infinite direct product of rational fields Q_i . Since $\text{Spec } B(R)$ contains exactly one point x , $R/xR = R$. Clearly, $Q(R)$ is weakly A-biregular and R/xR is not Goldie (in fact, it is A-biregular).

3. It is easy to see the following characterization of the condition that $x = \bigcap_{i=1}^n y_i$ of Theorem 3.7. Assume that each central idempotent

of $Q(R/xR)$ is lifted to a central idempotent of $Q(R)$. For each x in $\text{Spec } B(R)$, $x = \bigcap_{i=1}^n y_i$ for some y_i in $\text{Spec } B(Q)$ if and only if $B(Q(R/xR))$ is a finite set, where R is in Theorem 3.7.

4. As an example of Remark 3, let $Q(R)$ be finitely generated over its center as a ring. Then each central idempotent of $Q(R/xR)$ is lifted to a central idempotent of $Q(R)$.

4. Central idempotents. From Theorem 3.6, we note that no extra central idempotents are produced when R passes to $Q(R)$. But $B(Q(R))$ is larger than $B(R)$ in Theorem 3.7. This will be discussed again in Theorem 4.1, and we shall show that the fact $B(Q(R)) = B(R)$ characterizes semiprime Goldie stalks R/xR being prime Goldie. Then a necessary and sufficient condition is found for an element r in R such that $s^{-1}r$ is in $B(R)$ for some non-zero-divisor s .

THEOREM 4.1. *Let R be a ring with the Ore condition with $Q(R)$ finitely generated over its center as a ring. If, for some x in $\text{Spec } B(R)$, R/xR is semiprime Goldie but not prime, then $B(Q(R))$ is larger than $B(R)$.*

PROOF. Let $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ be a set of generators of $Q(R)$ over its center. Since R/xR is semiprime Goldie but not prime for some x in $\text{Spec } B(R)$, $Q(R/xR)$ is Artinian semisimple but not simple according to the Goldie second theorem ([7], Theorem 4.5 and Theorem 4.7). Hence there exists at least one central idempotent E_x different from 0_x and 1_x in $Q(R/xR)$. Since $Q(R/xR) \cong Q(R)/xQ(R)$, we can lift E_x to an idempotent E in $Q(R)$ by a basic property proved in [10]. But then $E_x(a_i)_x = (a_i)_xE_x$ for each a_i , and this finite set of equations continues to hold over a basic open set $\Gamma(e)$ for some e in $B(R)$ by a standard property of sheaf theory. Thus $eEa_i = ea_iE$ for each i . This implies that eE is a central idempotent of $Q(R)$. Since $(eE)_x (= E_x)$ is different from 0_x and 1_x , eE is not in $B(R)$.

THEOREM 4.2. *Let R be a ring with the Ore condition such that each central idempotent of $Q(R/xR)$ is lifted to a central idempotent of $Q(R)$. Assume $B(Q(R)) = B(R)$. If R/xR is semiprime Goldie for each x , then R/xR is prime Goldie.*

PROOF. Since R/xR is semiprime Goldie, $Q(R/xR)$ is Artinian semisimple. Since each central idempotent of $Q(R/xR)$ is lifted to a central idempotent of $Q(R)$ and $B(Q(R)) = B(R)$, $Q(R/xR)$ has no central idempotents but 0_x and 1_x by the proof of Theorem 4.1. Hence $Q(R/xR)$ is Artinian simple. Thus R/xR is prime Goldie.

When R passes to $Q(R)$, we have already known that $B(Q(R))$ may be larger than $B(R)$. A natural question is what elements r in R are such that $s^{-1}r$ are in $B(R)$ for s in S , the set of non-zero-divisors of R . Denote the right annihilator of r in R by $A(r)$.

THEOREM 4.3. *Let R be a ring with the Ore condition. Then, for an element r in R , $A(r) = A(e)$ for some e in $B(R)$ if and only if there exists an s in S such that $s^{-1}r = e$ in $B(R)$.*

PROOF. The sufficiency is clear. Conversely, since $r = re + r(1 - e) = re = er$ (for $A(r) = A(e)$), $r = er$. Next, from the map of R to R induced by r in R such that $a \rightarrow ra$ for a in R , we have $R \cong A(r) \oplus rR$ since $A(r) = A(e) = (1 - e)R$ by hypothesis. Thus the decomposition of R implies that $r (= er)$ is a non-zero-divisor of the ring eR . So, $((1 - e) + er)$ is invertible in $Q(R)$, and this implies that (er) is invertible in $Q(eR)$. But then there exists an s in S such that $s^{-1}r = e$, the identity of $Q(eR)$.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. G. Bergman, *Hereditary commutative rings and centres of hereditary rings*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **23** (1971), 214–236.
2. W. D. Burgess and W. Stephenson, *Pierce sheaves of non-commutative rings*, Comm. in Algebra **4** (1), (1976), 51–75.
3. F. DeMeyer, *Separable polynomials over a commutative ring*, Roc. Mtn. J. Math. **2** (1972), 299–310.
4. A. W. Goldie, *The structure of prime rings under ascending chain conditions*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **8** (1958), 589–608.
5. ———, *Seniprime rings with maximum conditions*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **10** (1960), 201–220.
6. ———, *Rings with maximum conditions*, Arch. Math. **13** (1962), 213–221.
7. I. N. Herstein, *Topics in ring theory*, The University of Chicago Press, 1969.
8. A. Magid, *Pierce's representation and separable algebras*, Ill. J. Math. **15** (1971), 114–121.
9. R. Pierce, *Modules over commutative regular rings*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **70** (1967).
10. O. Villamayor and D. Zelinsky, *Galois theory for rings with infinitely many idempotents*, Nagoya J. Math. **35** (1969), 83–98.

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, BRADLEY UNIVERSITY, PEORIA, IL 61625